Carrollton-Farmers Branch Independent School District

Davis Elementary

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

The Mission of CFBISD, a diverse community of global learners, is to empower scholars to acquire life-long knowledge, skills, and values that prepare them to compete in the world marketplace while contributing to their community.

Vision

CFBISD will be an exceptional learning community where all graduates impact and excel in a complex, interconnected, and ever-changing world.

Value Statement

CFBISD BELIEVES IN...

- Excellence in ALL learning opportunities
- Respecting differences by embracing diversity
- A growth mindset for students, faculty, and staff
- Ongoing partnerships with the community
- Support and love of the whole student

Motto

High Expectations for ALL

Table of Contents

| Comprehensive Needs Assessment | 4 |
|--|----|
| Demographics | 4 |
| Student Learning | 4 |
| School Processes & Programs | 10 |
| Perceptions | 11 |
| Priority Problem Statements | 12 |
| Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation | 13 |
| Guiding Objectives | 14 |
| Guiding Objective 1: Optimize Engaging and Diverse Learning | 15 |
| Guiding Objective 2: Optimize Facility, Safety and Security, and Infrastructure to be adaptable to student needs | 19 |
| Guiding Objective 3: Optimize Community Engagement | 20 |
| Guiding Objective 4: Optimize Social and Emotional Health of all students | 21 |
| Guiding Objective 5: Optimize All Available Resources | 23 |
| State Compensatory | 24 |
| Budget for Davis Elementary | 25 |
| Plan Notes | 25 |
| Campus Improvement Committee | 27 |
| Campus Funding Summary | 28 |
| | |

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Revised/Approved: April 13, 2022

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Davis Elementary is a 48 -year-old, K-5th grade Title 1 campus in Carrollton Farmers Branch ISD located in Carrollton, TX. Carrollton, an established suburb centrally located in the DFW metroplex, is a diverse community and residents report that it is a great place to live and raise a family. Davis Elementary is a neighborhood school that is located on the edge of the town. Due to its location in the neighborhood, the majority of students live close enough to walk to school. Additionally, CFB offers school choice, which contributes to the enrollment of out-of-district students. Throughout the years, student enrollment at Davis Elementary has held an average of 505 students. Davis celebrates the diversity of its students and staff. The African American (10.9%), Hispanic (76%), White (6.5%), Asian (4.2%), and Two or More Races (1.8%) student populations are what make the school very diverse.

The last published mobility rate of 15.5% for Davis Elementary is slightly above the state average of 13.8%. Attendance rates were high at the end of the year, in spite of COVID and the transitions with remote learning. Attendance rates have traditionally been high. At 93.57%, the attendance rate is lower than the district percentage of 97.8%. Davis Elementary serves 64.6% Emergent Bilinguals (EBs), 7.5% Gifted and Talented, and 15.1% Special Education. Additionally, 78.6% are economically disadvantaged.

In recent years the school became a Coding academy that incorporates Coding into the core classrooms. The school is currently undergoing bond renovations to add on to prior renovations. These renovations will enhance the Coding program throughout the campus.

Davis Elementary employs highly motivated, trained and talented staff. Teachers are provided meaningful professional development and strong mentorship for new teachers. According to the TAPR report, 42% of the staff has 6 or more years of experience.

Demographics Strengths

- The percentage of Hispanic teachers increased by 5% to 46.4%.
- Students are offered instruction in coding to increase critical thinking.
- Students utilize technology on an ongoing basis to interact with content.
- Even with a change in principal, the staff remained fairly stable.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Student attendance is well below the district average. Root Cause: School attendance has not been emphasized and incentivized in the past few years.

Problem Statement 2: Teacher retention has suffered in the past few years. Root Cause: Inconsistent expectations were held.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

Members of the CIC met to disaggregate data for the Campus Needs Assessment. Various forms of data were used for small group instruction, intervention, and goal setting for students and campus-wide goals.

After reviewing various students' achievement data points such as MAP, CIP, TAPR, Reading Levels, District Common Formative Assessments, and teacher information, the CNA committee was able to identify current strengths and needs in our student achievement.

Reading MAP Data 2021-2022 - English

| Grade Level | Did Not Meet Growth | Met Growth |
|-------------|------------------------|------------|
| K | 59% | 41% |
| 1 | 55% | 45% |
| 2 | 55% | 45% |
| 3 | 56% | 54% |
| 4 | 38% | 62% |
| 5 | 50% | 50% |
| Campus | 51% | 49% |

Reading MAP Data 2021-2022 - Spanish

| Grade Level | Did Not Meet Growth | Met Growth | |
|-------------|------------------------|------------|--|
| K | 64% | 36% | |
| 1 | 68% | 32% | |
| 2 | 64% | 36% | |
| 3 | 23% | 77% | |
| 4 | 24% | 76% | |
| 5 | n/a | n/a | |
| Campus | 50% | 50% | |

Math MAP 2021-2022

| Grade Level | Did Not Meet Growth | Met Growth |
|-------------|----------------------------|------------|
| K | 50% | 50% |
| 1 | 42% | 58% |
| 2 | 55% | 45% |
| 3 | 36% | 64% |
| 4 | 37% | 63% |

| Grade Level | Did Not Meet Growth | Met Growth |
|-------------|---------------------|------------|
| 5 | 47% | 53% |
| Campus | 45% | 55% |

STAAR Reading Preliminary - English

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 3 Reading Preliminary | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | |
| Davis Elementary | 37 | 1429 | 75.68% | 40.54% | 27.03% | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 27 | 1421 | 81.48% | 37.04% | 22.22% | | |
| Asian | 2 | 1557 | 100% | 100% | 50% | | |
| Black/African American | 9 | 1293 | 44.44% | 0% | 0% | | |
| Hispanic | 19 | 1445 | 84.21% | 42.11% | 26.32% | | |
| Two or More Races | | | | | | | |
| White | 7 | 1521 | 85.71% | 71.43% | 57.14% | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 16 | 1432 | 75% | 43.75% | 31.25% | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 11 | 1292 | 36.36% | 0% | 0% | | |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 4 Reading Preliminary | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | | |
| Davis Elementary | 35 | 1545 | 82.86% | 51.43% | 31.43% | | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 24 | 1530 | 83.33% | 45.83% | 29.17% | | | |
| Asian | 4 | 1565 | 75% | 75% | 50% | | | |
| Black/African American | 7 | 1570 | 85.71% | 57.14% | 28.57% | | | |
| Hispanic | 19 | 1527 | 84.21% | 42.11% | 26.32% | | | |
| Two or More Races | 2 | 1574 | 100% | 50% | 50% | | | |
| White | 3 | 1561 | 66.67% | 66.67% | 33.33% | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 11 | 1519 | 90.91% | 36.36% | 27.27% | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 5 | 1448 | 80% | 20% | 0% | | | |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Reading Preliminary | | | | | | | |
|---|----|------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|
| Total Students Scale Score Approaches Meets Masters | | | | | | | |
| Davis Elementary | 52 | 1593 | 75% | 55.77% | 34.62% | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 41 | 1568 | 73.17% | 53.66% | 26.83% | | |
| Asian | 2 | 1652 | 100% | 50% | 50% | | |
| Black/African American | 7 | 1570 | 85.71% | 42.86% | 14.29% | | |

Davis Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Reading Preliminary | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Hispanic | 38 | 1580 | 71.05% | 55.26% | 31.58% | |
| Two or More Races | 1 | 1705 | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| White | 4 | 1694 | 75% | 75% | 75% | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 27 | 1564 | 70.37% | 51.85% | 29.63% | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 10 | 1470 | 40% | 20% | 10% | |

STAAR Reading Preliminary - Spanish

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 3 Reading Preliminary - Spanish | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | |
| Davis Elementary | 46 | 1435 | 84.78% | 36.96% | 23.91% | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 37 | 1444 | 86.49% | 40.54% | 24.32% | | |
| Hispanic | 46 | 1435 | 84.78% | 36.96% | 23.91% | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 45 | 1440 | 86.67% | 37.78% | 24.44% | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 2 | 1308 | 50% | 0% | 0% | | |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 4 Reading Preliminary - Spanish | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|------------|--------|---------|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | |
| Davis Elementary | 30 | 1480 | 73.33% | 33.33% | 16.67% | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 22 | 1456 | 68.18% | 22.73% | 13.64% | |
| Hispanic | 30 | 1480 | 73.33% | 33.33% | 16.67% | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 28 | 1473 | 71.43% | 32.14% | 14.29% | |
| Special Ed Indicator | | | | | | |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Reading Preliminary - Spanish | | | | | |
|--|----|------|-----|-----|---------|
| Total Students Scale Score Approaches Meets Master | | | | | Masters |
| Davis Elementary | 12 | 1528 | 75% | 50% | 8.33% |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Reading Preliminary - Spanish | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Economic Disadvantage | 11 | 1524 | 72.73% | 45.45% | 9.09% | | | |
| Hispanic | 12 | 1528 | 75% | 50% | 8.33% | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 12 | 1528 | 75% | 50% | 8.33% | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 5 | 1380 | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |

STAAR Math Preliminary

| | May 2022 STAAR Grade 3 Mathematics Preliminary | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Total Students | Total Students Scale Score Approaches | | Meets | Masters | | | |
| Davis Elementary | 81 | 1467 | 75.31% | 53.09% | 28.4% | | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 64 | 1474 | 76.56% | 53.12% | 29.69% | | | |
| Asian | 2 | 1604 | 100% | 100% | 50% | | | |
| Black/African American | 9 | 1321 | 33.33% | 33.33% | 11.11% | | | |
| Hispanic | 63 | 1477 | 77.78% | 53.97% | 28.57% | | | |
| Two or More Races | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | |
| White | 7 | 1523 | 100% | 57.14% | 42.86% | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 59 | 1479 | 76.27% | 59.32% | 32.2% | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 13 | 1296 | 30.77% | 7.69% | 0% | | | |

| May 2022 STAAR Grade 4 Mathematics Preliminary | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | | |
| Davis Elementary | 60 | 1541 | 70% | 40% | 18.33% | | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 44 | 1523 | 70.45% | 36.36% | 13.64% | | | |
| Asian | 4 | 1602 | 75% | 75% | 50% | | | |
| Black/African American | 7 | 1499 | 57.14% | 28.57% | 14.29% | | | |
| Hispanic | 44 | 1534 | 72.73% | 36.36% | 13.64% | | | |
| Two or More Races | 2 | 1678 | 50% | 50% | 50% | | | |
| White | 3 | 1577 | 66.67% | 66.67% | 33.33% | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 34 | 1530 | 73.53% | 35.29% | 14.71% | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 5 | 1380 | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | |

| | May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Mathematics Preliminary | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|------------|-------|---------|--|--|
| Г | Fotal Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | |

| | May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Mathematics Preliminary | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|
| Davis Elementary | 60 | 1555 | 63.33% | 26.67% | 8.33% | | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 48 | 1550 | 60.42% | 25% | 8.33% | | | |
| Asian | 2 | 1561 | 100% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| Black/African American | 7 | 1488 | 42.86% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| Hispanic | 46 | 1555 | 63.04% | 28.26% | 8.7% | | | |
| Two or More Races | 1 | 1546 | 100% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| White | 4 | 1664 | 75% | 75% | 25% | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 35 | 1551 | 62.86% | 22.86% | 8.57% | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 10 | 1482 | 30% | 20% | 0% | | | |

STAAR Science Preliminary

| | May 2022 STAAR Grade 5 Science Preliminary | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------|------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Total Students | Scale Score | Approaches | Meets | Masters | | | | |
| Davis Elementary | 60 | 3670 | 45% | 26.67% | 10% | | | | |
| Economic Disadvantage | 48 | 3617 | 41.67% | 20.83% | 6.25% | | | | |
| Asian | 2 | 3301 | 0% | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| Black/African American | 7 | 3436 | 28.57% | 0% | 0% | | | | |
| Hispanic | 46 | 3663 | 45.65% | 26.09% | 8.7% | | | | |
| Two or More Races | 1 | 4263 | 100% | 100% | 0% | | | | |
| White | 4 | 4199 | 75% | 75% | 50% | | | | |
| Currently Emergent Bilingual | 35 | 3634 | 40% | 20% | 8.57% | | | | |
| Special Ed Indicator | 10 | 3420 | 20% | 20% | 10% | | | | |

TELPAS

| | TELPAS | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|--|
| | | Yearly Progress Indicator | | | | TELPAS Composite Rating | | | | |
| | Total Students | Lower/Same Level | 1 Level Higher | 2 Levels Higher | 3 Levels Higher | Beginning | Intermediate | Advanced | Advanced High | |
| Kinder | 51 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | 49.02% | 39.22% | 11.76% | 0% | |
| 1st | 52 | 21.43% | 47.62% | 23.81% | 7.14% | 17.31% | 50% | 21.15% | 11.54% | |
| 2nd | 60 | 56.14% | 38.6% | 5.26% | 0% | 8.33% | 36.67% | 43.33% | 10% | |
| 3rd | 60 | 50% | 50% | 0% | 0% | 6.67% | 23.33% | 65% | 5% | |
| 4th | 39 | 84.38% | 15.62% | 0% | 0% | 5.13% | 35.9% | 51.28% | 7.69% | |
| 5th | 39 | 65.38% | 34.62% | 0% | 0% | 7.69% | 28.21% | 46.15% | 17.95% | |

• TELPAS: Emergent Bilingual students remain at the same level or decline a level more than 50% of the time in grades 2-5.

- TELPAS: Less than 20% of students reach the Advanced High Level in grades K-5.
- STAAR: 50% or more of students in the following grades/subjects scored at the Meets level or above (3rd Math, 4th Reading English, 5th English Reading).
- STAAR: Students taking the Spanish Reading test received fewer scores of Meets or Masters compared to students taking the English Reading test.
- STAAR: Special Education students scored lower than the Emergent Bilingual or Economically Disadvantaged subpopulations.

Student Learning Strengths

- TELPAS: Approximately 50% of 4th and 5th grade students achieved a score of Advanced.
- MAP: Greater than 40% of students Met Growth in English Reading in all grade levels (K-5).
- MAP: While Met Growth scores for Spanish Reading ere less than 40% in grades K-2, growth in 3rd and 4th grades are at 77% and 76% respectively. (Note: 5th grade students do not test in Spanish.)
- MAP: Math Met Growth levels surpassed 50% in grades K, 1, & 5), and topped 60% in grades 3 & 4.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 35.15% of all students grades K-5 did not meet expected reading level standards at the third 9 weeks grading period during the 2021-22 school year. **Root Cause:** 1. Teachers lack training to effectively provide small group instruction in reading.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): 2nd grade students had lower growth in mathematics than other grade levels as identified through MAP. Root Cause: Students struggle with number, operations and quantitative reasoning due to inadequate foundational skills.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Students are not reaching the advanced high levels in TELPAS by the end of 5th grade. Root Cause: Students lack the opportunity to have meaningful academic classroom conversations.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

In the 2021-2022 school year, an Upbeat Campus-Based Survey was given to all staff to assess the campus process and programs. Survey results showed great gains across the campus as a whole but still identified two school-wide processes needing improvement: Autonomy and Teacher Voice and Leadership.

For the Spring of 2021-2022 school year, the campus reinforced its work on small group reading and Professional Learning Communities (PLCs). The team began looking at common formative assessments and how to conduct an effective assessment analysis of the campus data. During the Summer of 2021, staff attended PLC training to create a guiding coalition focusing on the importance of data dialogues and essential standards to create effective common assessments.

According to the 2020-2021 TAPR:

- 11 teachers had less than one year of experience.
- 10 teachers with 1 to 5 years experience.
- 7.5 teachers with 6-10 years of experience.
- 5 teachers with 11-20 years of experience.
- 1 teacher with over 20 years of experience.
- 7.5 teachers hold a Master's Degree.
- 1 teacher has a Doctorate.

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- The campus offers coding instruction to cultivate critical thinking.
- The staff and students transitioned well to an interim principal.
- Implementation of CFBs curriculum increased over the 2nd semester.
- Staff increased use of data to guide instructional practices.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Teachers and staff do not feel that they have a voice in school policies. Root Cause: Teachers and staff have received inconsistent messaging about campus expectations. Teachers do not feel ownership for campus-wide decisions.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

At Davis Elementary, the staff recognizes the importance of students' attendance. The attendance rate for the campus was 93.68%, down from 96.55% in 2021.

Conflicts are reduced through restorative practices and guidance lessons. In the fall Panorama survey, 68% of students in grades 3-5 reported that their class had a positive classroom climate. This was a decrease of 8% points from the Fall of 2021. 68% of students report feeling overall positive energy in their classes and 80% of students report that their classroom rules are fair. The question which elicited the lowest response rate was "How comfortable are you asking this teacher questions about what you are learning in his/her class? 62% of the students responded favorably to this question which was a decrease of 7% from the Fall of 2021. In addition, 66% of students responded favorably to "How focused are you on the activities in class?"

Fifty-three referrals were documented in TEAMS for the 2021-2022 school year. Of those, 42% dealt with students using physical aggression.

On the annual Upbeat Survey, the staff at Davis report positively on parent communication at 89% and self-efficacy. Principal/teacher trust is 81% positive and this was an increase from 2020-2021. Instructional Leadership is 86% positive, and 95% of the staff report that the environment is safe for staff and students alike.

The areas to address centered around work-life balance and professional development.

Perceptions Strengths

- 100% of the staff understands the vision for the school.
- 90% of the staff recommend the district as a good place to work, although that number drops to 71% in regard to the campus
- 95% feel loyal to their team.
- 95% feel that they are supported by their teammates, yet 76% shared that they have a high level of trust for one another
- 95% feel that the school is safe for staff and students
- There is a high level of compliance with the Student Code of Conduct and behavior expectations.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Student attendance has not rebounded after Covid. Root Cause: Systems for monitoring attendance need to be reviewed and strengthened.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Students are not comfortable asking questions in class. Root Cause: Language proficiency and/or classroom climate may prohibit students from feeling comfortable.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Almost half of the discipline referrals were for students using aggressive behaviors to solve disputes. **Root Cause:** Emotional regulation and/or having the verbal skills to solve the problem in another way.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 4: Student attendance is well below the district average.Root Cause 4: School attendance has not been emphasized and incentivized in the past few years.Problem Statement 4 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 1: 35.15% of all students grades K-5 did not meet expected reading level standards at the third 9 weeks grading period during the 2021-22 school year. Root Cause 1: 1. Teachers lack training to effectively provide small group instruction in reading. Problem Statement 1 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 5: Teachers and staff do not feel that they have a voice in school policies.
Root Cause 5: Teachers and staff have received inconsistent messaging about campus expectations. Teachers do not feel ownership for campus-wide decisions.
Problem Statement 5 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 6: Student attendance has not rebounded after Covid.Root Cause 6: Systems for monitoring attendance need to be reviewed and strengthened.Problem Statement 6 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 2: 2nd grade students had lower growth in mathematics than other grade levels as identified through MAP.Root Cause 2: Students struggle with number, operations and quantitative reasoning due to inadequate foundational skills.Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 7: Students are not comfortable asking questions in class.Root Cause 7: Language proficiency and/or classroom climate may prohibit students from feeling comfortable.Problem Statement 7 Areas: Perceptions

Problem Statement 3: Students are not reaching the advanced high levels in TELPAS by the end of 5th grade.Root Cause 3: Students lack the opportunity to have meaningful academic classroom conversations.Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 8: Almost half of the discipline referrals were for students using aggressive behaviors to solve disputes.Root Cause 8: Emotional regulation and/or having the verbal skills to solve the problem in another way.Problem Statement 8 Areas: Perceptions

Davis Elementary Generated by Plan4Learning.com

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain

Student Data: Assessments

- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Running Records results

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- · Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Campus leadership data

Parent/Community Data

• Parent surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data

Guiding Objectives

Revised/Approved: August 4, 2022

Guiding Objective 1: Optimize Engaging and Diverse Learning

Goal 1: 85% of K-5 students will read on grade level by the end of the 2022-2023 school year.

HB3 Guiding Objective

Evaluation Data Sources: F&P

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Train reading teachers on the Reading Workshop model. | | Summative | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have strategies & tools to help them perform at high levels in reading and reading comprehension as measured by the Fountas and Pinnell reading inventory. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Instructional Coach, Principal, Asst. Principal | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Kidney shaped tables in every class - 199 General Budget - \$5,000, Classroom libraries/Decodable texts - 211 Title I - \$20,000, Instructional Coaches - 211 Title I - \$79,982, Teacher books/Materials - 211 Title I - \$8,000 | | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | | |
| Strategy 2: Implement the readers workshop model with fidelity. | | Formative | | Summative | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will have strategies & tools to help them perform at high levels in | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| reading and reading comprehension as measured by the Fountas and Pinnell reading inventory. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Reading Instructional Coach, Principal, Asst. Principal Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify | X Discor | I | | | |

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 35.15% of all students grades K-5 did not meet expected reading level standards at the third 9 weeks grading period during the 2021-22 school year. **Root Cause**: 1. Teachers lack training to effectively provide small group instruction in reading.

Goal 2: By May 2023, TELPAS Advanced High scores will increase by 10% for students in 1st and 2nd grades, and by 20% in grades 3-5.

HB3 Guiding Objective

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | views | |
|--|----------|-----------|-------|------|
| Strategy 1: Plan for EBs by implementing the English Language Proficiency Standards and linguistic accommodations | | Summative | | |
| across subject areas. Interventions for Emergent Bilinguals will be provided based on need during RTI for reading and writing. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased performance of EB students evident in reading levels, MAP data and monthly ISIP scores. | | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal | | | | |
| TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 - Perceptions 2 | | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | views | |
| Strategy 2: Implement campus-wide Accountable Talk strategies. | | Formative | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student discourse throughout the instructional day resulting in increase in student achievement for all subject areas. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal & Assistant Principal | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 | | | | |
| No Progress ON Accomplished - Continue/Modify | X Discor | itinue | 1 | _1 |

Goal 2 Problem Statements:

| Student Learning |
|---|
| Problem Statement 3 : Students are not reaching the advanced high levels in TELPAS by the end of 5th grade. Root Cause : Students lack the opportunity to have meaningful academic classroom conversations. |

Problem Statement 2: Students are not comfortable asking questions in class. **Root Cause**: Language proficiency and/or classroom climate may prohibit students from feeling comfortable.

Goal 3: By May 2023, STAAR Math scores will increase by 10% at the Meets and Masters level in grades 3, 4, & 5.

HB3 Guiding Objective

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR scores

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Implement small group instruction based on student data. | | Summative | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The number of students who read on or above grade level will increase. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal, Instructional Coach | | | | | |
| - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 | | | | | |
| Funding Sources: Title 1 Small Group Teachers - 211 Title I - \$20,000 | | | | | |
| No Progress Ore Accomplished Continue/Modify | X Discor | litinue | | | |

Goal 3 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: 2nd grade students had lower growth in mathematics than other grade levels as identified through MAP. **Root Cause**: Students struggle with number, operations and quantitative reasoning due to inadequate foundational skills.

Goal 1: For the 2022-2023 school year, Davis will complete 100% of the required security and safety drills established by the district.

Evaluation Data Sources: Drill data; Informal feedback; Discipline and Safety committee

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Schedule all drills on the Davis Master calendar for the year. | | Summative | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the percentage of staff who respond favorably in the Upbeat | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| survey about working at a safe campus. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Asst. Principal | | | | | |
| No Progress Continue/Modify | X Discontinue | | | | |

Guiding Objective 3: Optimize Community Engagement

Goal 1: During the 2022-23 school year, parent & community engagement events will be offered quarterly to support community relations and student achievement with 80% favorably endorsement on an end-of-year survey related to engagement opportunities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign in sheets Parent Survey

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----|------|--|
| Strategy 1: Survey parents & staff to identify areas of interest & need. | | Summative | | | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and community members will be more invested in student achievement. Community partnerships will strengthen. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal | | | | | |
| Funding Sources: Parent Materials - 211 Title I - \$5,548 | | | | | |
| Image: Moment of the image: Moment | X Discon | tinue | | | |

Goal 1: By May 2023, the number of office referrals will decrease by 10%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline referral data

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | views | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-------|-----------|--|
| Strategy 1: Teach and practice school-wide and classroom systems and routines during the first 15 days of school, and | | Formative | | Summative | |
| revisit as needed. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased behavior support by clarifying expectations. All Davis staff is able to hold every student accountable for meeting campus expectations. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | views | | |
| Strategy 2: Utilize ISS, OSS, and DAEP as a last resort. Data will be monitored at the end of each 9 weeks for over- | Formative Sum | | | | |
| represented subgroups. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | |
| Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower counts of students missing classroom instruction due to out-of-class suspensions. The Discipline and Behavior committee will meet at the end of each 9 weeks to analyze data and make recommendations. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 | | | | | |
| Image: Model with the second secon | X Discon | tinue | • | · | |

Goal 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: 35.15% of all students grades K-5 did not meet expected reading level standards at the third 9 weeks grading period during the 2021-22 school year. **Root Cause**: 1. Teachers lack training to effectively provide small group instruction in reading.

Goal 2: By May 2023, the student attendance rate will increase to at least 97%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance data from 2021-2022 compared to 2022-2023

| Strategy 1 Details | Reviews | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Strategy 1: Implement a school-wide incentive and recognition program and include the attendance clerk contacting | | Summative | | | | | |
| parents for every absence. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Implement a school-wide incentive and recognition program and include the attendance clerk contacting parents for every absence. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Principal, Asst. Principal Problem Statements: Demographics 1 Funding Sources: Attendance Incentives - 211 Title I - \$3,000 | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | | | |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | | | | |
| Strategy 2: Monitor and inform administration regarding students reaching 3 or more absences. Administrators will utilize | Formative Summat | | | | | | |
| letters, home visits, phone calls, attendance contracts, and the court system as needed to promote attendance. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase attendance rate to at least 97%. | Oct | Jan | Mar | June | | | |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Principal, Assistant Principal | | | | | | | |
| Problem Statements: Demographics 1 | | | | | | | |
| No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify | X Discor | tinuo | | 1 | | | |

Goal 2 Problem Statements:

| Demographics |
|---|
| Problem Statement 1: Student attendance is well below the district average. Root Cause: School attendance has not been emphasized and incentivized in the past few years. |

Goal 1: During the 2022-23 school year, teachers/ staff will play an active role in shaping school policies by offering input via quarterly surveys, monthly staff meetings, and monthly principal advisory council meetings with 80% favorable endorsement on the EOY Upbeat survey question- "Teachers at my school play an active role in shaping school policies."

Evaluation Data Sources: Upbeat Survey Agendas, Minutes

| Strategy 1 Details | | Rev | iews | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|------|
| Strategy 1: Develop Principal Advisory Committee that meets monthly to inform and advise Principal of concerns and | | Summative | | |
| possible solutions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Upbeat Survey results will reflect that staff voices are represented and heard. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Strategy 2 Details | | Rev | iews | _ |
| Strategy 2: Increase recognition for teachers/staff members through highlights during Staff Meetings, Shout Outs in | | Summative | | |
| weekly SMORE, and descriptive feedback and recognitions given to teachers by administrators. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Retention of teacher/staff | Oct | Jan | Mar | June |
| Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators | | | | |
| Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 | | | | |
| Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify | X Discon | tinue | | |

Goal 1 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Teachers and staff do not feel that they have a voice in school policies. Root Cause: Teachers and staff have received inconsistent messaging about campus expectations. Teachers do not feel ownership for campus-wide decisions.

State Compensatory

Budget for Davis Elementary

Total SCE Funds: \$267,864.00 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 0 **Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs**

Students are provided with small group instruction and/or tutorials

Plan Notes

| HB 3 Board Goals (H | Revised 2022) |
|---------------------|---------------|
|---------------------|---------------|

| | Early Childhood Literacy Closing the Gaps Student Groups | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Grade 3 Reading | District | Campus | African Amer | Hispanic | White | Amer Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Eco. Disadv. | English Learner |
| 2017 STAAR | 44 | 30 | * | 26 | * | * | * | | * | * | 30 | 26 |
| 2018 STAAR | 44 | 47 | * | 51 | * | | * | | * | * | 45 | 55 |
| 2019 STAAR | 43 | 38 | 40 | 36 | 50 | | 38 | | | 33 | 37 | 32 |
| 2020 STAAR | | | Not Repo | rted due | to COVIE | D-19 canc | ellation c | of state te | sting in Sp | pring 2020 |) | |
| 2021 STAAR | 36 | 31 | 27 | 35 | * | | * | | | 31 | 28 | 26 |
| 2022 STAAR | 39 | 34 | 32 | 36 | 50 | | 38 | | | 33 | 32 | 29 |
| 2023 STAAR | 42 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 51 | | 39 | | | 34 | 36 | 32 |
| 2024 STAAR | 46 | 41 | 43 | 39 | 53 | | 41 | | | 36 | 40 | 35 |

| | Early Childhood Mathematics Closing the Gaps Student Groups | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|--------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Grade 3 Math | District | Campus | African Amer | Hispanic | White | Amer Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | Eco. Disadv. | English Learner |
| 2017 STAAR | 48 | 39 | * | 38 | * | * | * | | * | * | 35 | 36 |
| 2018 STAAR | 46 | 53 | * | 54 | * | | * | | * | * | 52 | 53 |
| 2019 STAAR | 48 | 54 | 60 | 52 | 67 | | 63 | | | 33 | 53 | 49 |
| 2020 STAAR | | 1 | Not Repo | rted due | to COVIE |)-19 cana | ellation o | of state te | sting in S | pring 2020 | 0 | |
| 2021 STAAR | 25 | 39 | 27 | 40 | * | | * | | | 31 | 38 | 44 |
| 2022 STAAR | 33 | 45 | 39 | 45 | 67 | | 63 | | | 33 | 44 | 47 |
| 2023 STAAR | 41 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 68 | | 65 | | | 34 | 50 | 49 |
| 2024 STAAR | 48 | 57 | 63 | 55 | 70 | | 66 | | | 36 | 56 | 52 |

Campus Improvement Committee

| Committee Role | Name | Position |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Administrator | Tracey Battle | Principal |
| Administrator | Lauren Evans | Assistant Principal |
| Parent | Marleny Villalobos | Parent |
| Parent | Ruth Almazan | Parent |
| Parent | KaShayla Anthony | Parent |
| Parent | Alejandra Carmona | Parent |
| Classroom Teacher | Olga Bailon | Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Monica Godwin | Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Gabriela Carmona | Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Xzandria Turner | Teacher |
| Classroom Teacher | Jennifer Gastelum | Teacher |
| Non-classroom Professional | Michelle Phillips | Special Education Teacher |
| Non-classroom Professional | Susan Cox | Librarian |
| Community Representative | Sanil Sheriff | Community |
| Community Representative | LaCretia Lewis | Community |

Campus Funding Summary

| 211 Title I | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--|
| Guiding Objective | Goal | Strategy | Resources Needed | Account Code | Amount | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Instructional Coaches | Instructional Coaches | | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | lassroom libraries/Decodable texts | | \$20,000.00 | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | Teacher books/Materials | cher books/Materials | | |
| 1 | 3 | 1 | Title 1 Small Group Teachers | | \$20,000.00 | |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | Parent Materials | | \$5,548.00 | |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | Attendance Incentives | | \$3,000.00 | |
| | | | | Sub-Total | \$136,530.00 | |
| | | | Budgeted | Fund Source Amount | \$136,530.00 | |
| | | | | +/- Difference | \$0.00 | |
| Grand Total Budgeted | | | | | | |
| | | | | Grand Total Spent | \$136,530.00 | |
| | | | | +/- Difference | \$0.00 | |