**NJDOE** Home

Families



Governor Chris Christie •	Lt. Governor Kim Guadagno
NJ Home   Services A to Z	Departments/Agencies   FAQs

**Students** 

Search: NJ DOE ▼

**Educators** 

Community

>><u>SPECIAL EDUCATION</u> >><u>ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT</u> >><u>MIDDLESEX</u> >>SAYREVILLE

## **Local District Special Education Public Report for 2013-2014**

A description of how data were calculated regarding the performance of each local school district, for each of the SPP/APR indicators, can be found by clicking the name of the indicator.

NA: This element is not applicable to this district for these grade levels.

N: NO Y: YES

Data Source: Hig	aduation Rates - Pe		Year 201	X County 3-2014			
	h School Graduation			+ 2013)			
,	with IEPs graduating	g from high scho	ool with a regula		Local Data	State Target	Met State
	eported data on End lot in Graduation Col		a Co <b>ll</b> ection		73.7%	75 <b>.</b> 0%	
					1		1
	p-Out Rates - Perfo						
	of Year Report (Collect with IEPs dropping						
•		•			Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
	eported data on End lot in Graduation Col		a Collection		13,3%	14.0%	
TO Marcatoo 11	or in Gradation Col	1011			10,070	11,070	
ndicator 3: Ass	sessment - Perform	ance Indicator					
	EA Accountability Data	hilitu aubass +	hat magte the C	Ptata'a minimum "-"	aize that reset the	Ctata'a Ammi-l	T
	e districts with a disa ective (AMO) targets			state's minimum in	size that meet the	e State's Annual	Met Targe
Did not meet	the state "n" size						
	the state "n" size for	previous year					NO
accommodations Subject	; alternate assessme LEA Data	ent against grade State Target	e level standard Met State Target	ls; alternate assess  Subject	ment against alter LEA Data	nate achievemen State Target	Met State Target
LAL	98.1%	97.0%	YES	Math	98.4%	97.0%	YES
C. Proficiency ra	te for children with II	EPs against grad	le level standar	ds and alternate ac	chievement standa	rds	•
0.1.14	LEA	LEA	Met LEA	0	LEA	LEA	Met LEA
Subject LAL	<b>Data</b> 39.5%	Target 52.7%	Target NO	Subject Math	Data 49.7%	Target 64.2%	Target NO
	t the state "n" size o				48.7%	04.2%	NO
No target as Met Target v No data repo	the district has no nowith Confidence Inte	ninimum 'N' size rval applied on - Performan	for previous ye	ar	20, 2012)		
	identified by the Stat					l expulsions of	1
	s for greater than 10			parrey in the raise i		. expansions of	N
ndicator 4B: Si	uspension/Expulsion ctronic Violence and	on - Compliand	e Indicator	uly 1 2012 - Juno 3	20. 2012)		
					suspensions and e	xpulsions of	N.
Does the district	nave: (a) a significa days in a school yeai			•			N

Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2013)

Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2013 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class 80% or more of the day.	42.9%	48.0%	N
B. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 inside the regular class less than 40% of the day.	33.2%	16.5%	N
C. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	6.9%	7.6%	Y

Indicator 6: Pre-School LRE - Performance Indicator NEW Data Source: Annual Data Report (Collection Date: October 15, 2013)

Indicates no reported data on October 15th collection

NA: Indicates not a pre-school district

Note: The LRE data for public reporting were collected on October 15 2013 and do not include Non-Public School students.

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100.	16.5%	42.5%	N
B. Percent = [(# of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs attending a separate special education class, separate school or residential facility) divided by the (total # of children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs)] times 100	81.7%	36.0%	N

Indicator 7: Pre-School Outcomes - Performance Indicator

Data Source: BDI-2 Data Manager

NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

Outcome A: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	78.8%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they exited the program.	NIC	72.7%	NIC
Outcome B: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy)	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
<ol> <li>Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.</li> </ol>	NIC	64.4%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they exited the program.	NIC	50.8%	NIC
Outcome C: Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
1. Of those children who entered or exited the program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they exited the program.	NIC	70.0%	NIC
2. The percent of children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they exited the program.	NIC	59.2%	NIC

Percent = # of respondent parents who report schools facilitated parent involvement as
a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities divided by the
total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.

total number of respondent parents of children with disabilities times 100.  NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target	
- Indicates the number of survey responses were too low to yield meaningful interpretation of the data	NIC	84.0%	NIC	

Indicator 9: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u>
Data Source: NJSMART (Collections 2011, 2012, 2013) and Fall Surveys (October 2011, October 2012, October 2013)

Has the district been identified for disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification?

Ν

# Indicator 10: <u>Disproportionality - Compliance Indicator</u> Data Source: NJSMART (Collection Date: October 15, 2013)

Has the district been identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification?

N

Mot State

#### Indicator 11: Effective General Supervision Part B/Child Find

Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2014 for the period July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014)

Percent of children who were evaluated within the State established time line of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
* Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection *** 0 students received parental consent to evaluate	92.55%	100.0%	Z

#### Indicator 12: Early Childhood Transition - Compliance Indicator

Data Source: NJSMART (Collected on October 15, 2014 for the period July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014)

**Note:** Corrections or additions to the numbers for this indicator that were submitted after October 15, 2013 cannot be reflected in the public reporting. Resubmissions that were requested were for data verification purposes only and were not for revising the original data submission.

Although the data for indicator 12 were collected on October 15, 2014, the time period of collection reflects the referrals and evaluations completed during the 2013 - 2014 School Year.

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.			
* Indicates no reported data on December 1st collection NA Indicates "Not Applicable"	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
*** 0 students referred from Part C to part B  *** No Eligible students	***	100.0%	***

### Indicator 13: Secondary Transition - Compliance Indicator

Data Source: Special Education Monitoring System

Percent = [(# of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where **Met State** transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a **Local Data** State Target Target representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority) divided by the (# of youth with an IEP age 16 and above)] times 100. NIC: Indicates "Not in Cohort" NIC 100.0% NIC

#### Indicator 14: Post Secondary Transition Outcomes

Data Source: Post School Outcome Survey

#### NIC Indicates "Not in Cohort"

	Local Data	State Target	Met State Target
A. Percent enrolled in higher education = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	46.0%	NIC
<b>B.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	75.0%	NIC
<b>C.</b> Percent enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment = [(# of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school and were enrolled in higher education, or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment) divided by the (# of respondent youth who are no longer in secondary school and had IEPs in effect at the time they left school)] times 100.	NIC	86.0%	NIC





NJDOE: Families | Students | Educators | Community | Programs & Services | Ask DOE | DOE A to Z

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} \textbf{Statewide:} & NJ Home & Services A to Z & Departments/Agencies & FAQs \\ Copyright © State of New Jersey, 1996 - 2010 \\ \end{tabular}$ 

NJ Department of Education, PO Box 500, Trenton, NJ 08625-0500, (877)900-6960