# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

School Name	County-District-School (CDS) Code	Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date	Local Board Approval Date
Island Community Day School	10-62240-0114587		

## **Purpose and Description**

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

**Targeted Support and Improvement** 

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

The SPSA is aligned with the KECSD LCAP and was developed with input from the School Site Council, school staff and members of the school community.

The SPSA was designed to meet the needs of the targeted students and align with school programs and strategies to support students most at risk, academically. The purpose of the SPSA is to create a cycle of continuous improvement of student performance and progress and to ensure that all students succeed in reaching academic standards. All decisions are based on careful analysis and reflection of data from multiple measures, including state and local assessments.

The development of the SPSA starts with implementation of a school needs assessment. Data from the needs assessment is analyzed and together with the SSC and members of the school community, an action plan is made to meet those identified students' needs. The SPSA is aligned with the school's budget, resources and plans to meet students' needs and remain in compliant with federal, local and state programs. The development of the SPSA includes the following:

- A comprehensive needs assessment (pursuant to ESSA)
- Analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with state priorities, including state-determined long term goals (May include local data)
- An identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the School Plan and progress towards accomplishing the goals
- Stakeholder involvement
- Goals to improve student outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups
- Evidence-based strategies, actions, and services
- Proposed expenditures

## **Table of Contents**

SPSA Title Page	1
Purpose and Description	1
Table of Contents	3
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components	5
Data Analysis	5
Surveys	5
Classroom Observations	5
Analysis of Current Instructional Program	5
Stakeholder Involvement	9
Resource Inequities	9
School and Student Performance Data	10
Student Enrollment	10
CAASPP Results	12
ELPAC Results	16
Student Population	18
Overall Performance	20
Academic Performance	21
Academic Engagement	30
Conditions & Climate	33
Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures	35
Goal 1	35
Goal 2	37
Goal 3	39
Goal 4	40
Goal 5	41
Budget Summary	42
Budget Summary	42
Other Federal, State, and Local Funds	42
Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan	43
Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source	43
Expenditures by Funding Source	43
Expenditures by Budget Reference	43
Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source	43
Expenditures by Goal	43
School Site Council Membership	
Recommendations and Assurances	45

Instr	uctions	46
	Instructions: Linked Table of Contents	46
	Purpose and Description	47
	Stakeholder Involvement	47
	Resource Inequities	47
	ls, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review	
	Annual Review	49
	Budget Summary	50
	Appendix A: Plan Requirements	52
	Appendix B:	55
	Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs	57

## **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Components**

### **Data Analysis**

Please refer to the School and Student Performance Data section where an analysis is provided.

## **Surveys**

This section provides a description of surveys (i.e., Student, Parent, Teacher) used during the school-year, and a summary of results from the survey(s).

#### Classroom Observations

This section provides a description of types and frequency of classroom observations conducted during the school-year and a summary of findings.

The Principal of Island Community Day School walks through the classroom no less than two times a week. In addition the Principal logs these classroom walk-throughs in Teacher Compass. The Principal and Teacher discuss the results as logged into compass no less than one time a month. The Principal is looking for evidence of the sheltered instruction observation protocol (SIOP) being utilized to deepen all students understanding of the curriculum.

### **Analysis of Current Instructional Program**

The following statements are derived from the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 and Essential Program Components (EPCs). In conjunction with the needs assessments, these categories may be used to discuss and develop critical findings that characterize current instructional practice for numerically significant subgroups as well as individual students who are:

- Not meeting performance goals
- Meeting performance goals
- Exceeding performance goals

Discussion of each of these statements should result in succinct and focused findings based on verifiable facts. Avoid vague or general descriptions. Each successive school plan should examine the status of these findings and note progress made. Special consideration should be given to any practices, policies, or procedures found to be noncompliant through ongoing monitoring of categorical programs.

### Standards, Assessment, and Accountability

Use of state and local assessments to modify instruction and improve student achievement (ESEA) Current plan includes a core program of ELA (Houghton Mifflin) and math (MyMath). ELA block time is scheduled in the mornings with math time taking place in the afternoon. Teachers use several supplementary programs as well to help fill in any gaps that students may have. As far as technology is concerned we are at a 1:1 ratio of students to computers.

Illuminate is used to assess student needs from benchmark data. Two benchmarks are given throughout the year to show student growth.

Other assessments given include until test and assessments from the core curriculum. All data from these assessments are stored on teacher's laptop. A meeting is held no less than twice a year between each individual teacher and the site principal to discuss student data. Due to our small size our teachers monitor all of their students to measure growth and monitor student achievement over the course of the school year.

Various intervention programs will be used throughout the school to address sub skill needs for students below grade level standards.

Use of data to monitor student progress on curriculum-embedded assessments and modify instruction (EPC)

Other assessments given include until test and assessments from the core curriculum. All data from these assessments are stored on teacher's laptop. A meeting is held no less than twice a year between each individual teacher and the site principal to discuss student data. Due to our small size our teachers monitor all of their students to measure growth and monitor student achievement over the course of the school year.

## Staffing and Professional Development

Status of meeting requirements for highly qualified staff (ESEA)

The teacher at Island Community Day School is highly qualified based on the NCLB requirements. Staff development over the course of the year has included EL strategies workshops, goal writing and the development of professional learning communities.

Sufficiency of credentialed teachers and teacher professional development (e.g., access to instructional materials training on SBE-adopted instructional materials) (EPC)

The teacher was trained in our new math adoption, MyMath. In addition, the teacher has participated in BTSA and Kagan Strategies.

Alignment of staff development to content standards, assessed student performance, and professional needs (ESEA)

Through the use PLCs, teachers will align standards, instruction, and assessments.

Ongoing instructional assistance and support for teachers (e.g., use of content experts and instructional coaches) (EPC)

The Kingsburg Elementary School District has a team of academic coaches that are available to work with the teacher during the instruction day on an as needed basis. Due to the small size of Island Community Day School, the teacher often cross trains with staff at other sites within our district. This ensures continuity of the program and allows our teacher an opportunity to collaborate with others.

Teacher collaboration by grade level (kindergarten through grade eight [K–8]) and department (grades nine through twelve) (EPC)

ICDS has only one teacher in the school - we participle in articulation across grades and departments as needed and no less than once per year.

### **Teaching and Learning**

Alignment of curriculum, instruction, and materials to content and performance standards (ESEA)

Teacher is aligned to the pacing guides of Houghton Mifflin and MyMath in the areas of ELA and math

Adherence to recommended instructional minutes for reading/language arts and mathematics (K–8) (EPC)

All students in the school meet the criteria for in English Language Arts, Mathematics and English Language Instruction per day.

Lesson pacing schedule (K–8) and master schedule flexibility for sufficient numbers of intervention courses (EPC)

The pacing guides for both math and ELA are prepared for the following school year based upon experiences from this school year. Due to the newly adopted math series, the math guide has been updated constantly over the year.

Availability of standards-based instructional materials appropriate to all student groups (ESEA) Standards-based material is provided for every student.

Use of SBE-adopted and standards-aligned instructional materials, including intervention materials, and for high school students, access to standards-aligned core courses (EPC)

Houghton Mifflin ELA and My Math. We are awaiting an English Language Arts program that aligns with the Common Core State Standards. In the meantime we have participated in several planning days that align our Houghton Mifflin program to meet the new standards.

## **Opportunity and Equal Educational Access**

Services provided by the regular program that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

The Houghton Mifflin and MyMath programs both offer curriculum that meets the needs of all kids. The core program also includes differentiated instruction in each classroom provided by the teacher to all kids. Also, our new math adoption, MyMath has a web-based interactive site www.connected.com that allow students to access additional videos, tutorials and supports.

Evidence-based educational practices to raise student achievement

The most powerful research-based instructional practices at ICDS are the professional learning communities. Teachers working together to address the needs of all students in order for all students to achieve.

## **Parental Engagement**

Resources available from family, school, district, and community to assist under-achieving students (ESEA)

ICDS uses resources from the community, district, and school site to ensure that all students achieve with a specific focus on underachieving students. Programs like KCAPS and Yu's Taekwondo lessons are two of the many examples.

Involvement of parents, community representatives, classroom teachers, other school personnel, and students in secondary schools, in the planning, implementation, and evaluation of ConApp programs (5 California Code of Regulations 3932)

Parents are involved through the use of the phone and the weekly/monthly newsletter. The Principal and the Teacher are available daily for question or concern a stakeholder of ICDS may have.

### **Funding**

Services provided by categorical funds that enable underperforming students to meet standards (ESEA)

Supplemental materials and technology are purchased with these funds.

### Fiscal support (EPC)

Programs and resources are provided to the school site through several funding sources. ICDS involves all stakeholders in the Local Control Funding Formula Process.

## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Staff of ICDS consulted on the SPSA. No parents were available to participate.

## **Resource Inequities**

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Student Group

Student Enrollment by Subgroup												
	Per	cent of Enrollr	nent	Nu	mber of Stude	nts						
Student Group	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21						
American Indian	%	0%	%		0							
African American	%	0%	%		0							
Asian	%	0%	%		0							
Filipino	%	0%	%		0							
Hispanic/Latino	100%	100%	%	1	1							
Pacific Islander	%	0%	%		0							
White	%	0%	%		0							
Multiple/No Response	%	0%	%		0							
		Tot	tal Enrollment	1	1							

## Student Enrollment Enrollment By Grade Level

Student Enrollment by Grade Level											
Out de		Number of Students									
Grade	18-19	19-20	20-21								
Grade 7		1									
Grade 8	1										
Total Enrollment	1	1									

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. For the past two years, hispanic has been our primary sub group.

## Student Enrollment English Learner (EL) Enrollment

English Learner (EL) Enrollment											
24.1.40	Num	ber of Stud	lents	Percent of Students							
Student Group	18-19	19-20	20-21	18-19	19-20	20-21					
English Learners	0			0.0%	0.0%						
Fluent English Proficient (FEP)	0	0		0.0%	0.0%						
Reclassified Fluent English Proficient	0	0		0.0%	0.0%						

#### Conclusions based on this data:

<sup>1.</sup> In 2019-2020 0% were English Learners

## CAASPP Results English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

	Overall Participation for All Students													
Grade	Grade # of St		Students Enrolled #			# of Students Tested			# of Students with			% of Enrolled Students		
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 6	*			*			*							
Grade 7	*			*			*							
Grade 8		*			*			*						
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*						

The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
Grade	Grade Mean Scale Score		Score	% Standard		% Standard Met		% Standard Nearly			% Standard Not				
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 6	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 7	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 8		*			*			*			*			*	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Reading Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts											
One de Level	% <b>A</b> k	ove Stan	dard	% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard				
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 6	*			*			*				
Grade 7	*			*			*				
Grade 8		*			*			*			
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*			

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Producing clear and purposeful writing												
Out de la cont	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard					
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21			
Grade 6	*			*			*					
Grade 7	*			*			*					
Grade 8		*			*			*				
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*				

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Listening Demonstrating effective communication skills											
Quada Lacad	% At	ove Stan	ndard	% At o	% At or Near Standard			% Below Standard			
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 6	*			*			*				
Grade 7	*			*			*				
Grade 8		*			*			*			
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*			

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Research/Inquiry Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information											
One de la const	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At or Near Standard			% Ве	% Below Standard			
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21		
Grade 6	*			*			*				
Grade 7	*			*			*				
Grade 8		*			*			*			
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*			

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. ICDS met the testing participation rate.

## **CAASPP Results Mathematics (All Students)**

				Overall	Participa	ation for	All Stude	ents				
Grade	# of Stu	udents E	nrolled	# of St	tudents	Гested	# of \$	Students	with	% of Er	rolled St	tudents
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 6	*			*			*					
Grade 7	*			*			*					
Grade 8		*			*			*				
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*				

<sup>\*</sup> The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

				C	Overall	Achiev	ement	for All	Studer	nts					
Grade	Mean	Scale	Score	%	Standa	rd	% St	andard	l Met	% Sta	ndard l	Nearly	% St	andard	l Not
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 6	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 7	*			*			*			*			*		
Grade 8		*			*			*			*			*	
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*		*	*		*	*		*	*	

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

	Applying			ocedures cepts an		ures									
Out to Local	Grade Level         % Above Standard         % At or Near Standard         % Below Standard           17-18         18-19         20-21         17-18         18-19         20-21														
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21						
Grade 6	*			*			*								
Grade 7	*			*			*								
Grade 8		*			*			*							
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*							

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Using appropriate				eling/Data ve real wo			ical probl	ems							
Out de la cont	Grade Level         % Above Standard         % At or Near Standard         % Below Standard           17-18         18-19         20-21         17-18         18-19         20-21         17-18         18-19         20-21														
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21						
Grade 6	*			*			*								
Grade 7	*			*			*								
Grade 8		*			*			*							
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*							

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Demo	onstrating	Commu ability to	unicating support	Reasonir mathem	ng atical cor	nclusions			
O do 11	% At	ove Stan	dard	% At o	r Near St	andard	% Ве	elow Stan	dard
Grade Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21
Grade 6	*			*			*		
Grade 7	*			*			*		
Grade 8		*			*			*	
All Grades	*	*		*	*		*	*	

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

#### **ELPAC Results**

		Nu					ssment I Scores		tudents			
Grade		Overall		Ora	ıl Langua	age	Writt	en Lang	uage		lumber d dents Te	
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentag	ge of St	tudents		all Lan ch Perf			el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ŀ		Level 3	3		Level 2	2	ı	Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentag	ge of S	tudents		l Lang		ce Leve	el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade		Level 4	ļ.		Level 3	3		Level 2		ı	Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Pe	rcentag	ge of S	tudents		en Lan ch Perf			el for A	II Stud	ents			
Grade	ı	Level 4			Level 3	3		Level 2	2	I	Level 1			al Num Studer	
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ing Doma in Perfoi		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students  Grade Well Developed Somewhat/Moderately Beginning Total Number of Students												
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l	•	ing Dom	ain rmance L	_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	l Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	erately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Studen	
Level	17-18	Well Developed Somewhat/Moderately Beginning of Students										

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

		Percent	age of S	tudents l		ng Doma in Perfo		_evel for	All Stud	ents		
Grade	Wel	l Develo	ped	Somew	/hat/Mod	lerately	E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Studen	
Level								17-18	18-19	20-21		

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

Writing Domain Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students												
Grade	Wel	Well Developed		Somewhat/Moderately		E	Beginnin	g		tal Numb f Studen		
Level	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21	17-18	18-19	20-21

#### 2019-20 Data:

Executive Order N-30-20 was issued which waived the assessment, accountability, and reporting requirements for the 2019-2020 school year, thus no data is available to report for this year.

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1.

## **Student Population**

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

This section provides information about the school's student population.

#### 2020-21 Student Population

#### **Total Enrollment**

This is the total number of students enrolled.

#### Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

#### **English** Learners

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

#### **Foster** Youth

This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

2019-20 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group						
Student Group	Total	Percentage				
English Learners						

**Foster Youth** 

**Homeless** 

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

Students with Disabilities

Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity					
Student Group	Total	Percentage			
African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic					
Two or More Races					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. Hispanic ws the largest ethnic subgroup at ICDS.
- 2. 2/3 of our population was socioeconomically disadvantaged and homeless.
- 3. 1/3 of the population was EL.

#### **Overall Performance**

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students

**Academic Performance** 

**Academic Engagement** 

Chronic Absenteeism

No Performance Color

**Conditions & Climate** 

**Suspension Rate** 

No Performance Color

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

## Academic Performance English Language Arts

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue	Highest Performance	
This section provide	s number of s	tudent groups in ea	ach color.				
	2019	9 Fall Dashboard I	English Langua	ge Arts Equity	Report		
Red	C	<b>Drange</b>	Yellow	Gı	reen	Blue	
how well students ar	e meeting gra	ide-level standards	on the English L	anguage Arts a	issessment. This	rformance, specifically s measure is based or students in grades 3–8	
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group							
All St	ıdants	F	English I garner	e	Fost	er Youth	

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group							
All Students	English	Learners		Foster Youth			
Homeless	Socioeconomical	ly Disadvantaged	Students with Disabilities				
2019 Fall	2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance by Race/Ethnicity						
African American	American Indian	Asian		Filipino			
Hisnanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander		White			

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3–8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners						
Current English Learner	Reclassified English Learners	English Only				

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

## Academic Performance Mathematics

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance	Red	C	Orange	Yell	ow	Greer	1	Blue	Highest Performance
This section provide			Ū						
		2019	Fall Dasi	hboard Mat	thematics E	quity R	eport		
Red		Orange		Yell	ow		Green		Blue
how well students a	are meeting	grade-lev	el standa	rds on the I	Mathematics	s assess	ment. This	meas	erformance, specifically ure is based on studen ents in grades 3–8 and
2	2019 Fall Da	shboard	Mathem	atics Perfo	rmance for	All Stu	dents/Stud	ent G	roup
All Students			English Learners			Foster Youth			
Hon	neless		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			Students with Disabilities			
	2019	Fall Dasi	nboard N	lathematic	s Performa	nce by F	Race/Ethni	city	
African Ame			erican In			Asian		•	Filipino
Hispanio	С	Two	or More I	Races	Pacific Islander		der	White	
how well students a	are meeting	grade-lev	el standa	rds on the I	Mathematics	s assess	ment. This	meas	erformance, specifically ture is based on studen ents in grades 3–8 and
	2019 Fall	Dashboa	rd Mathe	ematics Da	ta Compari	sons fo	r English L	earne	ers
Current En	glish Learn	er	Recl	assified En	ıglish Learr	ners		Enç	glish Only
Conclusions base	ed on this d	ata:							
1. Due to small p	opulation size	ze, data c	an not be	collected a	s it would je	opardize	e student pi	ivacy.	

## **Academic Performance English Learner Progress**

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

#### **English Learner Progress**

making progress towards English language proficiency

Number of EL Students:

Performance Level:

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

Decreased One ELPI Level Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H Maintained ELPI Level 4

Progressed At Least One ELPI Level

#### Conclusions based on this data:

Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

## Academic Performance College/Career Measures Only Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

Number and Percentage of Students in the Combined Graduation Rate and/or Dashboard Alternative School Status (DASS) Graduation Rate by Student Group						
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent				
All Students						
African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
English Learners						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
Students with Disabilities						
Foster Youth						
Homeless						

Advanced Placement Exams – Number and Percentage of Fou	ır-Year Graduation Rate C	ohort Students
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students		
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		

This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 3 or higher on at least two Advanced Placement exams.

International Baccalaureate Exams – Number and Percentage of Four-Year Graduation Rate Cohort					
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent			
All Students					
African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
English Learners					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
Students with Disabilities					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

<sup>\*</sup> This table shows students in the four-year graduation rate cohort by student group who scored 4 or higher on at least two International Baccalaureate Exams.

Completed at Least One Career Technical Education (CTE) Pathway – Number and Percentage of All Students					
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent			
All Students					
African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic					
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
White					
Two or More Races					
English Learners					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged					
Students with Disabilities					
Foster Youth					
Homeless					

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed at least one CTE Pathway with a grade of C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.

Completed a-g Requirements – Number and Percentage of All Students						
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent				
All Students						
African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic						
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander						
White						
Two or More Races						
English Learners						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						
Students with Disabilities						
Foster Youth						
Homeless						

<sup>\*</sup> This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who met the University of California (UC) or California State University (CSU) a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass).

Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent
All Students	·	
African American		
American Indian or Alaska Native		
Asian		
Filipino		
Hispanic		
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		
White		
Two or More Races		
English Learners		
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		
Students with Disabilities		
Foster Youth		
Homeless		
* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and UC or CSU a-g criteria with a grade of C or better (or Pass) Al C- or better (or Pass) in the capstone course.		
Completed College Credit Courses – Numl Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, o		
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Stude

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing One Semester, Two Quarters, or Two Trimesters of College Credit Courses			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students	
All Students			
African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			
White			
Two or More Races			
English Learners			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			
Students with Disabilities			
Foster Youth			
Homeless			

<sup>\*</sup> This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Completed College Credit Courses – Number and Percentage of All Student Students Completing Two Semesters, Three Quarters, or Three Trimesters of College Credit Courses				
Student Group	Number of Students	Percent of Students		
All Students				
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
English Learners				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
Students with Disabilities				
Foster Youth				
Homeless				

\* This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who completed Academic or CTE subject college credit courses with a grade of C- or better (or Pass).

Earned the State Seal of Biliteracy – Number and Percentage of All Students				
Student Group	Cohort Totals	Cohort Percent		
All Students				
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
English Learners				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
Students with Disabilities				
Foster Youth				
Homeless				

<sup>\*</sup> This table shows students in the combined graduation rate and/or DASS graduation rate by student group who earned the State Seal of Biliteracy.

#### Conclusions based on this data:

**1.** n/a

## Academic Engagement Chronic Absenteeism

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group

#### **All Students**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

4

#### **English Learners**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### Foster Youth

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### **Homeless**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

#### Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

\_\_\_

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

4

#### **Students with Disabilities**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

#### 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

#### **African American**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data

Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### **American Indian**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### Asian

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### **Filipino**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### Hispanic

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

3

#### **Two or More Races**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### Pacific Islander

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

0

#### White

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy

1

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate Additional Report

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021.

2021 Graduation Rate by Student Group				
Student Group	Number of Students in the Graduation Rate	Number of Graduates	Number of Fifth Year Graduates	Graduation Rate
All Students				
English Learners				
Foster Youth				
Homeless				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
Students with Disabilities				
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Conclusions based on this data:  1. <sub>n/a</sub>				

## Conditions & Climate Suspension Rate

Although both Senate Bill 98 and Assembly Bill 130 suspended the publication of state indicators on the 2020 and 2021 California School Dashboards, these bills also required the reporting of valid and reliable data that would have been included in these Dashboards.

To meet this requirement, CDE has made available the Enrollment, Graduation Rate Additional Report and the College/Career Measures Report data available. All other reports are not available for 2020 and 2021, thus the most recent data (2019 Fall) is provided here.

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

Lowest Performance









Rlue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report				
Red	Orange	Yellow	Green	Blue
0	0	0	0	0

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

### 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not

**English Learners** 

**Foster Youth** 

#### **Homeless**

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not

Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

No Performance Color
Less than 11 Students - Data Not

Students with Disabilities

No Performance Color

Less than 11 Students - Data Not

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Race/Ethnicity				
African American	American Indian	Asian	Filipino	
Hispanic	Two or More Races	Pacific Islander	White	
No Performance Color			No Performance Color	
Less than 11 Students - Data			Less than 11 Students - Data	
4			1	

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year				
2017 2018 2019				

#### Conclusions based on this data:

1. Due to small population size, data can not be collected as it would jeopardize student privacy.

## Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

### **Goal Subject**

#### **LEA/LCAP Goal**

## Goal 1

85% of ICDS students will achieve growth of one level on CAASPP.

#### **Identified Need**

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome

**Expected Outcome** 

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Materials and supplies for supplemental resources.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

800	LCFF
	4000-4999: Books And Supplies
	Materials and Supplies

## Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

### Strategy/Activity

## **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)
-----------	-----------

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

#### Goal Subject

Mathematics

#### **LEA/LCAP Goal**

### Goal 2

Students will achieve 85% or better on benchmark and/or formative assessments that align with grade level common core standards in mathematics.

#### **Identified Need**

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome

**Expected Outcome** 

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

Materials, supplies and technology to support reaching grade level mathematics standards

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

200	LCFF
	4000-4999: Books And Supplies
	Materials, supplies, technology

### Strategy/Activity 2

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

### Strategy/Activity

### **Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity**

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s) Source(s)

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

#### **Goal Subject**

#### **LEA/LCAP Goal**

### Goal 3

Students will achieve 85% or better on benchmark and/or formative assessments that align with grade level common core standards in english language arts.

#### **Identified Need**

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome

**Expected Outcome** 

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

#### Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

#### Strategy/Activity

Materials, supplies and technology for reaching common core English Language arts standards.

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)	Source(s)

250	LCFF
	4000-4999: Books And Supplies
	materials, supplies and technology

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject	
LEA/LCAP Goal	
Goal 4	
Identified Need	

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome

**Expected Outcome** 

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

Goal Subject	
LEA/LCAP Goal	
Goal 5	
Identified Need	

#### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Metric/Indicator

Baseline/Actual Outcome

**Expected Outcome** 

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

### Strategy/Activity 1

Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

Strategy/Activity

#### Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

## **Budget Summary**

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

### **Budget Summary**

Description	Amount
Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application	\$
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI	\$
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA	\$1,250.00

### Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

Federal Programs	Allocation (\$)
------------------	-----------------

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$

List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

State or Local Programs	Allocation (\$)
LCFF	\$1,250.00

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$1,250.00

Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$1,250.00

## **Budgeted Funds and Expenditures in this Plan**

The tables below are provided to help the school track expenditures as they relate to funds budgeted to the school.

### **Funds Budgeted to the School by Funding Source**

Funding Source	Amount	Balance

## **Expenditures by Funding Source**

Funding Source	Amount
LCFF	1,250.00

### **Expenditures by Budget Reference**

Budget Reference	Amount
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	1,250.00

### **Expenditures by Budget Reference and Funding Source**

Budget Reference	Funding Source	Amount
4000-4999: Books And Supplies	LCFF	1,250.00

## **Expenditures by Goal**

Goal Number	l otal Expenditures
Goal 1	800.00
Goal 2	200.00
Goal 3	250.00

## **School Site Council Membership**

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

- 1 School Principal
- 1 Classroom Teachers
- 2 Other School Staff

Name of Members		Role

Christine Cook	Other School Staff
Teressa Busch	Classroom Teacher
Lisa Regier	Principal
Josiah Elias	Other School Staff

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

### **Recommendations and Assurances**

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

#### **Signature**

#### **Committee or Advisory Group Name**

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

Principal, Lisa Regier on 10-27-21

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on May 18, 2015.

Attested:

School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

### Instructions

The School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a strategic plan that maximizes the resources available to the school while minimizing duplication of effort with the ultimate goal of increasing student achievement. SPSA development should be aligned with and inform the Local Control and Accountability Plan process.

The SPSA consolidates all school-level planning efforts into one plan for programs funded through the consolidated application (ConApp), and for federal school improvement programs, including schoolwide programs, Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), and Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI), pursuant to California Education Code (EC) Section 64001 and the Elementary and Secondary Education Act as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). This template is designed to meet schoolwide program planning requirements. It also notes how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements, as applicable.

California's ESSA State Plan supports the state's approach to improving student group performance through the utilization of federal resources. Schools use the SPSA to document their approach to maximizing the impact of federal investments in support of underserved students. The implementation of ESSA in California presents an opportunity for schools to innovate with their federally-funded programs and align them with the priority goals of the school and the LEA that are being realized under the state's Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF).

The LCFF provides schools and LEAs flexibility to design programs and provide services that meet the needs of students in order to achieve readiness for college, career, and lifelong learning. The SPSA planning process supports continuous cycles of action, reflection, and improvement. Consistent with EC 65001, the Schoolsite Council (SSC) is required to develop and annually review the SPSA, establish an annual budget, and make modifications to the plan that reflect changing needs and priorities, as applicable.

For questions related to specific sections of the template, please see instructions below:

### Instructions: Linked Table of Contents

The SPSA template meets the requirements of schoolwide planning (SWP). Each section also contains a notation of how to meet CSI, TSI, or ATSI requirements.

Stakeholder Involvement

Goals, Strategies, & Proposed Expenditures

Planned Strategies/Activities

Annual Review and Update

**Budget Summary** 

Appendix A: Plan Requirements for Title I Schoolwide Programs

Appendix B: Plan Requirements for Schools to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning

Requirements

Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs

For additional questions or technical assistance related to LEA and school planning, please contact the Local Agency Systems Support Office, at <a href="LCFF@cde.ca.gov">LCFF@cde.ca.gov</a>.

For programmatic or policy questions regarding Title I schoolwide planning, please contact the local educational agency, or the CDE's Title I Policy and Program Guidance Office at <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/j.com/">TITLEI@cde.ca.gov</a>.

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting federal school improvement planning requirements (for CSI, TSI, and ATSI), please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

## **Purpose and Description**

Schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement (ATSI) must respond to the following prompts. A school that has not been identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI may delete the Purpose and Description prompts.

## **Purpose**

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan by selecting from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)

## **Description**

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.

## Stakeholder Involvement

Meaningful involvement of parents, students, and other stakeholders is critical to the development of the SPSA and the budget process. Schools must share the SPSA with school site-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., English Learner Advisory committee, student advisory groups, tribes and tribal organizations present in the community, as appropriate, etc.) and seek input from these advisory groups in the development of the SPSA.

The Stakeholder Engagement process is an ongoing, annual process. Describe the process used to involve advisory committees, parents, students, school faculty and staff, and the community in the development of the SPSA and the annual review and update.

[This section meets the requirements for TSI and ATSI.]

[When completing this section for CSI, the LEA shall partner with the school in the development and implementation of this plan.]

## **Resource Inequities**

Schools eligible for CSI or ATSI must identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEAand school-level budgeting as a part of the required needs assessment. Identified resource inequities must be addressed through implementation of the CSI or ATSI plan. Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment and summarize how the identified resource inequities are addressed in the SPSA.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI and ATSI. If the school is not identified for CSI or ATSI this section is not applicable and may be deleted.]

## Goals, Strategies, Expenditures, & Annual Review

In this section a school provides a description of the annual goals to be achieved by the school. This section also includes descriptions of the specific planned strategies/activities a school will take to meet the identified goals, and a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific strategies and activities.

### Goal

State the goal. A goal is a broad statement that describes the desired result to which all strategies/activities are directed. A goal answers the question: What is the school seeking to achieve?

It can be helpful to use a framework for writing goals such the S.M.A.R.T. approach. A S.M.A.R.T. goal is one that is **S**pecific, **M**easurable, **A**chievable, **R**ealistic, and **T**ime-bound. A level of specificity is needed in order to measure performance relative to the goal as well as to assess whether it is reasonably achievable. Including time constraints, such as milestone dates, ensures a realistic approach that supports student success.

A school may number the goals using the "Goal #" for ease of reference.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, improvement goals shall align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

#### **Identified Need**

Describe the basis for establishing the goal. The goal should be based upon an analysis of verifiable state data, including local and state indicator data from the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and data from the School Accountability Report Card, including local data voluntarily collected by districts to measure pupil achievement.

[Completing this section fully addresses all relevant federal planning requirements]

### **Annual Measurable Outcomes**

Identify the metric(s) and/or state indicator(s) that the school will use as a means of evaluating progress toward accomplishing the goal. A school may identify metrics for specific student groups. Include in the baseline column the most recent data associated with the metric or indicator available at the time of adoption of the SPSA. The most recent data associated with a metric or indicator includes data reported in the annual update of the SPSA. In the subsequent Expected Outcome column, identify the progress the school intends to make in the coming year.

[When completing this section for CSI the school must include school-level metrics related to the metrics that led to the school's identification.]

[When completing this section for TSI/ATSI the school must include metrics related to the specific student group(s) that led to the school's identification.]

## Strategies/Activities

Describe the strategies and activities being provided to meet the described goal. A school may number the strategy/activity using the "Strategy/Activity #" for ease of reference.

Planned strategies/activities address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with state priorities and resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of the local educational agency's budgeting, its local control and accountability plan, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI, this plan shall include evidence-based interventions and align to the goals, actions, and services in the LEA LCAP.]

[When completing this section for CSI and ATSI, this plan shall address through implementation, identified resource inequities, which may have been identified through a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting.]

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

Indicate in this box which students will benefit from the strategies/activities by indicating "All Students" or listing one or more specific student group(s) to be served.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI.]

[When completing this section for TSI and ATSI, at a minimum, the student groups to be served shall include the student groups that are consistently underperforming, for which the school received the TSI or ATSI designation. For TSI, a school may focus on all students or the student group(s) that led to identification based on the evidence-based interventions selected.]

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

For each strategy/activity, list the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures for the school year to implement these strategies/activities. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal, identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Proposed expenditures that are included more than once in a SPSA should be indicated as a duplicated expenditure and include a reference to the goal and strategy/activity where the expenditure first appears in the SPSA. Pursuant to Education Code, Section 64001(g)(3)(C), proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or governing body of the LEA, to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities including identifying resource inequities which may include a review of the LEA's budgeting, its LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable.

[This section meets the requirements for CSI, TSI, and ATSI.]

[NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools identified for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

## **Annual Review**

In the following Analysis prompts, identify any material differences between what was planned and what actually occurred as well as significant changes in strategies/activities and/ or expenditures from the prior year. This annual review and analysis should be the basis for decision-making and updates to the plan.

## **Analysis**

Using actual outcome data, including state indicator data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned strategies/activities were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed. Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal the Annual Review section is not required and this section may be deleted.

- Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
- Briefly describe any major differences between either/or the intended implementation or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
- Describe any changes that will be made to the goal, expected annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard, as applicable. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.

[When completing this section for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, any changes made to the goals, annual measurable outcomes, metrics/indicators, or strategies/activities, shall meet the CSI, TSI, or ATSI planning requirements. CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements are listed under each section of the Instructions. For example, as a result of the Annual Review and Update, if changes are made to a goal(s), see the Goal section for CSI, TSI, and ATSI planning requirements.]

## **Budget Summary**

In this section a school provides a brief summary of the funding allocated to the school through the ConApp and/or other funding sources as well as the total amount of funds for proposed expenditures described in the SPSA. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp and that receive federal funds for CSI. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

From its total allocation for CSI, the LEA may distribute funds across its schools that meet the criteria for CSI to support implementation of this plan. In addition, the LEA may retain a portion of its total allocation to support LEA-level expenditures that are directly related to serving schools eligible for CSI.

## **Budget Summary**

A school receiving funds allocated through the ConApp should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

- Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school through the ConApp for the school year. The school year means the fiscal year for which a SPSA is adopted or updated.
- Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA: This amount is the total of
  the proposed expenditures from all sources of funds associated with the strategies/activities
  reflected in the SPSA. To the extent strategies/activities and/or proposed expenditures are
  listed in the SPSA under more than one goal, the expenditures should be counted only once.

A school receiving federal funds for CSI should complete the Budget Summary as follows:

Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI: This amount is the total amount of funding provided to the school from the LEA.
 [NOTE: Federal funds for CSI shall not be used in schools eligible for TSI or ATSI. In addition, funds for CSI shall not be used to hire additional permanent staff.]

## **Appendix A: Plan Requirements**

## Schoolwide Program Requirements

This School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) template meets the requirements of a schoolwide program plan. The requirements below are for planning reference.

A school that operates a schoolwide program and receives funds allocated through the ConApp is required to develop a SPSA. The SPSA, including proposed expenditures of funds allocated to the school through the ConApp, must be reviewed annually and updated by the SSC. The content of a SPSA must be aligned with school goals for improving student achievement.

#### Requirements for Development of the Plan

- I. The development of the SPSA shall include both of the following actions:
  - A. Administration of a comprehensive needs assessment that forms the basis of the school's goals contained in the SPSA.
    - 1. The comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school shall:
      - a. Include an analysis of verifiable state data, consistent with all state priorities as noted in Sections 52060 and 52066, and informed by all indicators described in Section 1111(c)(4)(B) of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act, including pupil performance against state-determined long-term goals. The school may include data voluntarily developed by districts to measure pupil outcomes (described in the Identified Need); and
      - b. Be based on academic achievement information about all students in the school, including all groups under §200.13(b)(7) and migratory children as defined in section 1309(2) of the ESEA, relative to the State's academic standards under §200.1 to—
        - Help the school understand the subjects and skills for which teaching and learning need to be improved; and
        - ii. Identify the specific academic needs of students and groups of students who are not yet achieving the State's academic standards; and
        - Assess the needs of the school relative to each of the components of the schoolwide program under §200.28.
        - iv. Develop the comprehensive needs assessment with the participation of individuals who will carry out the schoolwide program plan.
        - v. Document how it conducted the needs assessment, the results it obtained, and the conclusions it drew from those results.
  - B. Identification of the process for evaluating and monitoring the implementation of the SPSA and progress towards accomplishing the goals set forth in the SPSA (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and Annual Review and Update).

### Requirements for the Plan

- II. The SPSA shall include the following:
  - A. Goals set to improve pupil outcomes, including addressing the needs of student groups as identified through the needs assessment.

- B. Evidence-based strategies, actions, or services (described in Strategies and Activities)
  - 1. A description of the strategies that the school will be implementing to address school needs, including a description of how such strategies will--
    - a. provide opportunities for all children including each of the subgroups of students to meet the challenging state academic standards
    - b. use methods and instructional strategies that:
      - i. strengthen the academic program in the school,
      - ii. increase the amount and quality of learning time, and
      - iii. provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum, which may include programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well-rounded education.
    - c. Address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging State academic standards, so that all students demonstrate at least proficiency on the State's academic standards through activities which may include:
      - i. strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas;
      - ii. preparation for and awareness of opportunities for postsecondary education and the workforce;
      - iii. implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior;
      - iv. professional development and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals, and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data; and
      - v. strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.
- C. Proposed expenditures, based on the projected resource allocation from the governing board or body of the local educational agency (may include funds allocated via the ConApp, federal funds for CSI, any other state or local funds allocated to the school), to address the findings of the needs assessment consistent with the state priorities, including identifying resource inequities, which may include a review of the LEAs budgeting, it's LCAP, and school-level budgeting, if applicable (described in Proposed Expenditures and Budget Summary). Employees of the schoolwide program may be deemed funded by a single cost objective.
- D. A description of how the school will determine if school needs have been met (described in the Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes and the Annual Review and Update).
  - Annually evaluate the implementation of, and results achieved by, the schoolwide program, using data from the State's annual assessments and other indicators of academic achievement;
  - 2. Determine whether the schoolwide program has been effective in increasing the achievement of students in meeting the State's academic standards, particularly for those students who had been furthest from achieving the standards; and
  - 3. Revise the plan, as necessary, based on the results of the evaluation, to ensure continuous improvement of students in the schoolwide program.

- E. A description of how the school will ensure parental involvement in the planning, review, and improvement of the schoolwide program plan (described in Stakeholder Involvement and/or Strategies/Activities).
- F. A description of the activities the school will include to ensure that students who experience difficulty attaining proficient or advanced levels of academic achievement standards will be provided with effective, timely additional support, including measures to
  - 1. Ensure that those students' difficulties are identified on a timely basis; and
  - 2. Provide sufficient information on which to base effective assistance to those students.
- G. For an elementary school, a description of how the school will assist preschool students in the successful transition from early childhood programs to the school.
- H. A description of how the school will use resources to carry out these components (described in the Proposed Expenditures for Strategies/Activities).
- I. A description of any other activities and objectives as established by the SSC (described in the Strategies/Activities).

Authority Cited: S Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR), sections 200.25-26, and 200.29, and sections-1114(b)(7)(A)(i)-(iii) and 1118(b) of the ESEA. EC sections 6400 et. seq.

## **Appendix B:**

# Plan Requirements for School to Meet Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements

For questions or technical assistance related to meeting Federal School Improvement Planning Requirements, please contact the CDE's School Improvement and Support Office at SISO@cde.ca.gov.

#### **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

The LEA shall partner with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) to locally develop and implement the CSI plan for the school to improve student outcomes, and specifically address the metrics that led to eligibility for CSI (Stakeholder Involvement).

#### The CSI plan shall:

- Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable);
- Include evidence-based interventions (Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable) (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" at <a href="https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf">https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf</a>);
- 3. Be based on a school-level needs assessment (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- 4. Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, to be addressed through implementation of the CSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities; and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(A), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(1) of the ESSA.

### **Targeted Support and Improvement**

In partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers, and parents) the school shall develop and implement a school-level TSI plan to improve student outcomes for each subgroup of students that was the subject of identification (Stakeholder Involvement).

#### The TSI plan shall:

- Be informed by all state indicators, including student performance against state-determined long-term goals (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Annual Review and Update, as applicable); and
- Include evidence-based interventions (Planned Strategies/Activities, Annual Review and Update, as applicable). (For resources related to evidence-based interventions, see the U.S. Department of Education's "Using Evidence to Strengthen Education Investments" <a href="https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf">https://www2.ed.gov/policy/elsec/leg/essa/guidanceuseseinvestment.pdf</a>.)

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B) and 1111(d)(2) of the ESSA.

#### **Additional Targeted Support and Improvement**

A school identified for ATSI shall:

 Identify resource inequities, which may include a review of LEA- and school-level budgeting, which will be addressed through implementation of its TSI plan (Goal, Identified Need, Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes, Planned Strategies/Activities, and Annual Review and Update, as applicable).

Authority Cited: Sections 1003(e)(1)(B), 1003(i), 1111(c)(4)(B), and 1111(d)(2)(c) of the ESSA.

#### Single School Districts and Charter Schools Identified for School Improvement

Single school districts (SSDs) or charter schools that are identified for CSI, TSI, or ATSI, shall develop a SPSA that addresses the applicable requirements above as a condition of receiving funds (EC Section 64001[a] as amended by Assembly Bill [AB] 716, effective January 1, 2019).

However, a SSD or a charter school may streamline the process by combining state and federal requirements into one document which may include the local control and accountability plan (LCAP) and all federal planning requirements, provided that the combined plan is able to demonstrate that the legal requirements for each of the plans is met (EC Section 52062[a] as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019).

Planning requirements for single school districts and charter schools choosing to exercise this option are available in the LCAP Instructions.

Authority Cited: EC sections 52062(a) and 64001(a), both as amended by AB 716, effective January 1, 2019.

### **Appendix C: Select State and Federal Programs**

#### For a list of active programs, please see the following links:

Programs included on the Consolidated Application: <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/co/</a>
ESSA Title I, Part A: School Improvement: <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/sw/t1/schoolsupport.asp</a>
Available Funding: <a href="https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/">https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/fo/af/</a>

Developed by the California Department of Education, January 2019