

Financial and Performance Audits
Building Fund (2012 Measure G)
June 30, 2021

Morgan Hill Unified School District

FINANCIAL AUDIT

Independent Auditor's Report 1

Financial Statements

 Balance Sheet 3

 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance 4

 Notes to Financial Statements 5

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*11

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

 Financial Statement Findings13

 Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings.....14

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance15

Authority for Issuance16

Purpose of Issuance.....16

Authority for the Audit17

Objectives of the Audit17

Scope of the Audit17

Methodology.....18

Conclusion.....18

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs19

 Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings.....20

Financial Audit

Building Fund (2012 Measure G)

June 30, 2021

Morgan Hill Unified School District



Independent Auditor's Report

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's (District), Building Fund (2012 Measure G), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund (2012 Measure G) of the District as of June 30, 2021, and the change in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Building Fund specific to 2012 Measure G are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2021, and the change in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 11, 2022, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

The image shows a handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned in the lower-left area of the page.

Menlo Park, California
January 11, 2022

Morgan Hill Unified School District
Building Fund (2012 Measure G)
Balance Sheet
June 30, 2021

Assets	
Deposits and investments	\$ 18,103,149
Accounts receivable	<u>38,178</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 18,141,327</u>
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	<u>\$ 1,718,217</u>
Total liabilities	<u>1,718,217</u>
Fund Balance	
Restricted for capital projects	<u>16,423,110</u>
Total fund balance	<u>16,423,110</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 18,141,327</u>

Morgan Hill Unified School District
Building Fund (2012 Measure G)
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Year Ended June 30, 2021

Revenues	
Interest income	\$ 292,289
	<u>292,289</u>
Total revenues	<u>292,289</u>
Expenditures	
Current	
Salaries and benefits	654,759
Supplies and Materials	
Capital outlay	<u>18,064,052</u>
	<u>18,974,234</u>
Total expenditures	<u>18,974,234</u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	(18,681,945)
Fund Balance - Beginning	<u>35,105,055</u>
Fund Balance - Ending	<u><u>\$ 16,423,110</u></u>

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's (the District) Building Fund (2012 Measure G) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The District's Building Fund accounts for financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual.

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Building Fund of the District used to account for 2012 Measure G projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under 2012 Measure G. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Building Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Building Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Fund Balance - Building Fund (2012 Measure G)

As of June 30, 2021, the fund balance is classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Spending Order Policy

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Note 2 - Investments

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instrument; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreement; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security, and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fairly value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool. The District maintains a Building Fund (2012 Measure G) investment of \$18,103,149 with the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool, with a weighted average maturity of less than one year.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investments in the County Pool is not rated, nor has been rated as of June 30, 2021.

Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share. The County Treasury Investment Pool has a daily redemption frequency period and a one-day redemption notice period.

Note 4 - Commitments and Contingencies

As of June 30, 2021, the Building Fund (2012 Measure G) had the following commitments with respect to unfinished projects:

Measure G Projects	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
Britton Student Union/Admin	\$ 1,832,964	October 2023
Nordstrom Classrooms	1,519	April 2021
Nordstrom Admin	270,689	October 2023
JAMM Classrooms	15,767	April 2021
JAMM MultiUse Bldg and Music Classroom	1,355,106	October 2021
Live Oak Fencing	7,600	April 2022
Los Paseos Kinder Fencing	69,880	August 2021
Sobrato Fencing	671,863	November 2021
	<u>\$ 4,225,388</u>	

Litigation

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings related to the Building Fund (2012 Measure G) as of June 30, 2021.

Note 5 - 2012 Measure G Bonds

Summary

The outstanding 2012 Measure G general obligation bonds are as follows:

Issue Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Bonds		Bonds
				Outstanding July 1, 2020	Redeemed	Outstanding June 30, 2021
2013	2042	2.00%~3.25%	\$ 55,000,000	\$ 45,610,000	\$ (355,000)	\$ 45,255,000
2017	2049	2.69%~5.00%	80,000,000	68,130,000	(3,650,000)	64,480,000
			<u>\$ 135,000,000</u>	<u>\$ 113,740,000</u>	<u>\$ (4,005,000)</u>	<u>\$ 109,735,000</u>

Repayment of the Bonds will be funded by a separate property tax override levied on property residing within the District boundaries. Property tax revenues will be collected and disbursed out of a separate Bond Interest and Redemption Fund under the control of the Santa Clara County Controller's Office. This fund is not included as part of these financial statements. General school district revenues will not be required to fund the debt service on the bonds.

Bonded Debt

The bonds mature through 2048 are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Current Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2022	\$ 445,000	\$ 4,367,913	\$ 4,812,913
2023	540,000	4,348,213	4,888,213
2024	645,000	4,324,513	4,969,513
2025	750,000	4,292,863	5,042,863
2026	875,000	4,252,238	5,127,238
2027-2031	6,555,000	20,467,930	27,022,930
2032-2036	15,215,000	18,585,044	33,800,044
2037-2041	25,260,000	14,716,583	39,976,583
2042-2046	39,025,000	8,253,600	47,278,600
2047-2049	20,425,000	832,300	21,257,300
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total	<u>\$ 109,735,000</u>	<u>\$ 60,638,714</u>	<u>\$ 85,663,714</u>

Independent Auditor's Report

June 30, 2021

Morgan Hill Unified School District



**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Morgan Hill Unified School District (District) Building Fund (2012 Measure G) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 11, 2022.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Building Fund specific to 2012 Measure G are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2021, and the change in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's Building Fund (2012 Measure G) financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Building Fund (2012 Measure G) financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Sully LLP".

Menlo Park, California
January 11, 2022

None reported.

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Performance Audit
Building Fund (2012 Measure G)
June 30, 2021

Morgan Hill Unified School District



Independent Auditor's Report on Performance

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Morgan Hill Unified School District (District) Building Fund (2012 Measure G) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Appendix A contained in the *2020-2021 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting* issued by the California Education Audit Appeals Panel. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Building Fund (2012 Measure G) funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than this specified party

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park, California
January 11, 2022

Authority for Issuance

The general obligation bonds associated with 2012 Measure G were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

The District received authorization from an election held on November 6, 2012, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$198,250,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2012 Authorization). The first series of the authorized bonds, Series A, in the amount of \$55,000,000, were sold on February 21, 2013. The second series of the authorized bonds, Series B, in the amount of \$80,000,000, were sold on October 17, 2017.

Purpose of Issuance

The Bonds are being issued to improve student access to computers and modern technology and provide a quality education, repair or replace leaky roofs, upgrade old plumbing, heating and cooling systems, classrooms and outdated restrooms, upgrade fire safety, maximize energy efficiency, improve handicapped accessibility, and modernize, construct and acquire classrooms, equipment, sites and facilities.

Authority for the Audit

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

Objectives of the Audit

1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of 2012 Measure G.
2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Building Fund were in support of 2012 Measure G and not for District general administration or operations.

Scope of the Audit

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2020 to June 30, 2021. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2021, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

Methodology

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, for the Building Fund (2012 Measure G). Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices, purchase orders, and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and 2012 Measure G as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

1. We identified expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
2. We selected a sample of expenditures using the following criteria:
 - a. We considered all expenditures recorded in all object codes.
 - b. We considered all expenditures recorded in all projects that were funded from July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 from 2012 Measure G bond proceeds.
 - c. We selected all expenditures that were individually significant expenditures. Individually significant expenditures were identified as individual transactions (expenditures) that exceeded approximately 0.5 percent of the total expenditures incurred.
 - d. For all items below the individually significant threshold identified in item 2c, judgmentally selected expenditures based on risk assessment and consideration of coverage of all object codes, including transfers out, and projects for period starting July 1, 2020 and ending June 30, 2021.
3. Our sample included transactions totaling \$15,565,099. This represents 82 percent of the total expenditures of \$18,978,234.
4. We reviewed the actual invoices and other supporting documentation to determine that:
 - a. Expenditures were supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipting goods or services.
 - b. Expenditures were supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable.
 - c. Expenditures were expended in accordance with voter-approved bond project list.
 - d. Bond proceeds were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.
5. We determined that the District has met the compliance requirement of 2012 Measure G if the following conditions were met:
 - a. Supporting documents for expenditures were aligned with the voter-approved bond project list.
 - b. Supporting documents for expenditures were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the Districts.

Conclusion

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Building Fund (2012 Measure G) and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

None reported.

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.