



Phase II: Update from Supt. Jeff Rose - April 11, 2016

As I have been reviewing the recommendations from the High School Boundary Advisory Committee, I have also been receiving comments from the community. Thank you to those who wrote to share their concerns and ideas about the high school boundary recommendations. I am reading every email that has been sent in. I will not be able to respond to each email, but please know I appreciate the ideas that are being shared by our community. I will take your concerns and ideas into consideration, but cannot make promises about potential adjustments.

The concerns shared with me were used as vetting questions I explored with the high school principals, the Boundary Committee and the Technical Team last week. I asked questions about their reasoning and rationale for the map and transition recommendations. I asked how they applied the criteria, what criteria was dominant and how decisions were made. I had questions around the feasibility of some of the transition recommendations, particularly around how they affect capacity and educational program planning.

The following are some of the general themes of the comments I have received from the community in the last couple of weeks:

Boundary Comments

- Aloha HS - boundary attendance area is long and does not feel community-oriented
- Beaverton HS - there is an island in Raleigh Hills area going to Southridge; keep community together
- Southridge HS - Garden Home area should go to Southridge
- Sunset HS - keep West TV in Sunset HS attendance area; keep Oak Hills community together and all going to Sunset
- Westview HS - keep Merewood and Waterhouse South neighborhoods together and attending Westview HS, not Aloha HS

Transition Comments

- Freshman - let freshman continue where they start
- Junior/Seniors - grandfather juniors at their current high school
- Siblings - allow siblings to attend high school with older siblings