

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Monday, August 29, 2016 at 2:19:16 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Christine Alexander

Email: ChrisRHood@aol.com

Comment:

We just moved to the area south of Baseline that would affect McKinley Elementary Students. I am very, very concerned that my daughter, who is in 2nd grade, will go through school at McKinley and Five Oaks but then when she is in High School, she will suddenly be separated from all her friends, who will go to Westview. She is adopted and has had a lot of upheaval. Transition to High School will be a difficult time as it is. Please reconsider this idea and keep ALL McKinley kids at Westview!

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Saturday, August 27, 2016 at 12:19:15 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Kirby Cuff

Email: Kirby.cuff@gmail.com

Comment:

This Boundry Map just makes more sense!!

We live at 14800 NW Cornell Rd. This address is presicely 2,098 feet (0.3mi) from Sunset High School. Walking distance doesn't get much closer. The current boundry will have my student attending Westview High School, 3.1 mi away. That just makes no sense! I understand there needs to be a boundry line, but there is a very large section of land that has no housing immediatly adjacent to the east side of our residential area and stretches all the way to the junction of Cornell Rd. and Hwy 26. This new boundry proposal finally takes this into consideration. Please proceed with the new boundry map. It would be cosidered more reasonable and understandable to households on both sides of the boundry line.

Thank you.

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 at 4:49:49 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Garrett Drummond

Email: gsdrummond@yahoo.com

Comment:

Superintendent Grotting,

Regarding the area of consideration #2: Portion of McKinley Elementary School

We live in this Willow Creek neighborhood that would be affected and have a child that will be attending McKinley soon. From what has been presented in the boundary proposal, I can't identify a good enough reason why an elementary school like McKinley should be subject to having a small group of students separated and moved to Aloha. This will present a challenging future for our child at a critical age where he will be developing important relationships. We feel this is putting these students at a disadvantage and it's very clear that the benefits don't outweigh the risks. In fact this shift, while small, also increases the already higher rate of F/R eligible students at Aloha. Isn't the goal to move the needle in the opposite direction?

Overall, the relatively small area that this affects is somewhat immaterial to the capacity constraints that Westview faces. However, it has a very material impact on the future of the kids which will be separated from their community of friends at a critical age.

I grew up as a child of two elementary principals and I understand the difficult decisions that need to be made in these circumstances. However, I ask that you please take into consideration the extremely negative impact that this will have on these children that will essentially be segregated off to go to a different school because they happen to live on the wrong street. As a parent it will be very difficult to explain to my child that they need to abandon the community and relationships they have grown up with because of where we live and the lines that were drawn on a map, permanently dividing a school.

An elementary school like McKinley should not have a small population of children roped off for a different future just to drop Westview's enrollment by 44 students.

Regards,
Garrett

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Monday, August 29, 2016 at 2:57:48 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Michelle Hughes

Email: michelle_e_hughes@yahoo.com

Comment:

I have submitted a few written comments on this as well at the various publicly held district meetings. I just want to reiterate that my family moved specifically so that our kids would be able to attend Ridgewood/Cedar Park/Sunset. Our kids are currently at Ridgewood, and we would like them to be grandfathered in to going to Sunset. This seems like a fair deal. I understand that the district can make changes at any given time but to forcibly take this away from our kids is not a thoughtful decision. We just moved 4 yrs ago and just finished renovations - living in a beautiful, family-oriented neighborhood. Now we may well have to uproot again because of this decision. I do not want our kids to go to Beaverton High, or else we would not have moved. Please rethink how you are affecting all involved. If you think you have made the best decision in the long run, please offer options for those who do not share that view. Solve it case by case. I beg of you.

Michelle Hughes

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Thursday, September 1, 2016 at 3:14:43 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Anne Lawrance

Email: annelawrance@yahoo.com

Comment:

I am a Tigard resident, and I live right off of Bull Mountain. As a mother of an 8th grader I would love to have my child attend the new high school being built on Scholls Ferry, as Tualitin High School is much, much further away. Please let me know what steps must be taken to ensure my children may enroll at this new high school much closer to our home.

Thank you,
Anne Lawrance

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Sunday, August 28, 2016 at 10:13:05 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Michelle McLandress

Email: mclandress4@msn.com

Comment:

August 28, 2002

Dear Superintendent Grotting,

I was disappointed to see that the area of West TV attendance was not one of the areas that the school district was considering for reassignment in the continued high school boundary decision-making process. During this process our neighborhood has taken an active role in providing the school district with input and data to support the boundary decision process and make it better. I think that our community has provided compelling and accurate information to justify the assignment of the West TV Elementary community to the Sunset High School boundary area. Even though there were many criteria under consideration during the boundary decision, the areas that are currently under consideration are transportation and proximity, so here is some information I would like to share with you on these areas.

Transportation –

1. The distance from school from the West TV area is 4.14 mi. to Beaverton HS and 2.87 mi. to Sunset HS. With the current boundary students in our area will travel twice the district average of 2.07mi to get to school.
2. The school district data shows that a move of our students back to Sunset will save .87 miles when data from sensible boundaries shows that it would actually save 1.27 miles. The district has continued to under estimate the distance and time it will take students to travel to and from Beaverton HS.
3. In the area of cost, the assignment of West TV students to Beaverton HS increases transportation costs by \$8,160.00 per year. This is the most increase in transportation costs for any area. It is more than any area that is currently under consideration for movement.
4. The school district should be looking for ways to decrease costs, not increase them unnecessarily.
5. In an e-mail on 2/29/16 from Craig Beaver, BSD Transportation Administrator, there were issues raised with the boundary decision on transportation services to the elementary schools in our area, “There will be an impact to service of elementary schools in this area.” and also “This will result in adding additional routes to service West TV ES.” These issues are not part of the current transportation discussion and they should be.
6. My children have spent many years at Cedar Park Middle School waiting for their bus to arrive. The busses to our area are often the last to arrive for pick up at CPMS. While driving in our area at afternoon pick up time, I have seen the school buses on their way to West TV elementary after release time of 3:05pm and then on their way to Cedar Park Middle School after the 3:40 release time. If there is already difficulty getting busses to the schools with the current shorter route this will only increase with a longer route to Beaverton High School.
7. Driving from our area to Beaverton HS is a much less safe route to school than the driving route to Sunset HS. The

crash rate is significantly higher, with more crashes resulting in significant injury.

8. I have a recently licensed driver. I allow her to drive to her volleyball practices at Sunset HS from our house. I do not allow her to drive to the downtown Beaverton area (where Beaverton HS is located). The drive down Barnes Road and Highway 217 is a much more stressful drive, with many more hazards than driving down Cornell Rd.

9. She has been able to drive herself to her volleyball and Linkcrew activities when my husband and I are unable to take her due to our work schedules. If she attended Beaverton HS she may not be able to participate in school activities because of transportation issues.

10. Anyone that lives in this area knows that traffic on Hwy 217 is already over crowded and will continue to get worse. It is also very unpredictable and can increase commuting time significantly. Also, any traffic issues on Hwy 26 instantly diverts traffic on to Barnes Rd. which can make Barnes Rd. impassable.

11. Clearly, our area will be negatively impacted with commuting time and commuting safety by reassignment to Beaverton High School.

Proximity –

1. When looking at the proximity map provided by the district it is clear to see that the West TV attendance area is an isolated area on the east edge of the district attendance area.

2. When looking at this map there is no part of the West TV area that is within the 1.5 or 2.0 mile radius from Beaverton HS. There is a small portion within the 2.5 mile radius.

3. When looking at the proximity from Sunset HS, there are portions of the neighborhood within the 1.5mile, 2.0 mile and 2.5mile radius from Sunset HS.

4. Even though the West TV area is not within close proximity to either Sunset HS or Beaverton HS, it would be considered closer to Sunset HS than Beaverton HS based on the proximity map.

5. Once again, I would like to point out that even though the overcrowding the district is experiencing and where the new high school is being built are both on the west side of the school district, but the boundary changes are impacting the east side of school district.

Based on transportation and proximity data, I would ask you to please add the West TV boundary area to the areas under consideration for movement within the continued high school boundary process. I would also like you to consider the flawed enrollment projections that are forcing students and families to transition from one high school to another when it may not be necessary. I truly believe that students within the West TV attendance area should continue to attend Sunset High School and ask you to make this change to future high school boundary maps.

Thank you for your consideration,

Michelle McLandress

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Monday, August 29, 2016 at 1:26:03 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Rie Kimura-Opperman

Email: riekimura2006@hotmail.com

Comment:

Dear Beaverton SD Board Members:

First of all, thank you for all your services and hope you all had a great summer.

I am writing again to ask that you vote to keep West TV elementary students within Sunset HS boundary. My neighbors and I are extremely disappointed that, in the proposed revisions to the boundary, West TV neighborhood's concerns were not heard, to stay with Sunset HS. As I have expressed before, I am very concerned about the travel time, the type of traffic our children will need to negotiate for daily commute to school. You must be well aware that, because of Beaverton's residential and commercial growth and traffic/transportation augmentation that have lagged behind the demand, the traffic is undeniably heinous in Beaverton downtown and the commercial districts where Beaverton HS is located. Getting to and from and traveling around Beaverton area from West TV neighborhood is HORRENDOUS to say the least during the normal school hours. In fact, we AVOID going toward Beaverton HS area during those hours. Traveling south from SW Barnes to I217, then getting off the HWY at Canyon/Beavton-Hillsdale or Allen Blvd during the AM and PM is one of the WORST in the Portland metro area. So is the other alternative route, going from Barnes to Cedar Hills straight toward Beaverton HS--just as terrible, unsafe, and bumper-to-bumper traffic. This would not only increase safety risks to our children's commute, it will take valuable time away from extracurricular activities to augment their education, independent study, family time, after school volunteer or employment activities. Our kids will also have limited options to safe and ecological mode of travel such as riding their bicycles and walking, etc. to school. Additionally, I am extremely concerned that because of the transportation bottle neck, it will be difficult or may be impossible for many of the working parents to make themselves available as school volunteers during or after school. School districts should do whatever in its powers to increase, encourage, and recruit parental involvement, especially when funding resources are limited and studies also show that parental involvement contribute to students' academic success. Also, in case of family emergency or natural disaster, families will have unnecessary challenges in reaching their children. Lastly but not the least, living far away or in area inconvenient from a student's school also disrupts the sense of community and connection of the school to the neighborhood.

PLEASE VOTE TO KEEP WEST TV WITH SUNSET HS. To not do so would be the worst decision you can make for many children and families in the Beaverton SD.

Sincerely,

Rie Kimura-Opperman

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Friday, September 2, 2016 at 7:45:22 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Nadia Redmond

Email: n.redmond@comcast.net

Comment:

Thank you for reviewing the considerations for re-evaluating the boundaries. Is it possible to add one more? What about the sore thump where Beaverton HS is sticking into Southridge HS - between Allen and Olsen Rd, Southeast corner of the new HS boundary map. Looking at the map just doesn't make sense.

Appreciate your reply and explanation.

Nadia Redmond

with 3 children in BSD and 2 graduates

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Monday, August 29, 2016 at 2:23:06 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Sunset Parent

Email: sunsetparent12@gmail.com

Comment:

Please finalize middle school boundaries too this year instead of 2020 along with elementary school boundaries. It would help parents like me decide which house will belong to a particular school combination if we want to move.

Thanks,
Sunset Parent

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Thursday, September 1, 2016 at 11:57:30 AM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Carla Jean Teitzel

Email: cjteitzel@comcast.net

Comment:

The boundary committee's latest proposal does still not keep West Tualatin View in the Sunset HS area where it belongs. My children graduated in 1996 and 1999, so my concern is for my neighbors now and in the future.

West TV and Sunset HS remain my community, even 20 years later. I looked at my trips to these areas over a 3-month period. I had 44 trips to places inside the West TV area, primarily to St. Vincent and walks from home. I had 62 trips to places in the new Sunset HS area including twice weekly to Bales Thriftway and weekly to Cedar Mill Library. I had 27 trips to the proposed Beaverton HS area, excluding West TV, half of which were to the Elsie Stuhr Center. I had no trips any farther south than that. I was twice as often in central Cedar Mill compared to central Beaverton.

My dentist is near Beaverton HS. I allow 25 minutes to get there. I can get to Walgreen's near Sunset HS in 12 minutes. My children took swimming lessons at Sunset, Beaverton, and the main Rec Center. Sunset was by far the easiest and fastest to reach. Each trip for parents to pick up children from after school activities would take twice as long and probably discourage some families from participating. Going home at 5:30 p.m. via TriMet takes 5 minutes longer and one more transfer.

Please reconsider the boundaries to keep West TV in the Sunset HS area and keep our communities intact.

Subject: Boundary Adjustment Comment Form

Date: Thursday, September 1, 2016 at 1:12:35 PM Pacific Daylight Time

From: Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us <Do_Not_Reply@beaverton.k12.or.us>

To: Boundary Adjustment Comments <Boundary-Adjustment-Comments@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Name: Victoria Toews

Email: victoriatoews@comcast.net

Comment:

Superintendent Grotting,

I am a parent of 2 BSD students (class of 2018 and class of 2020). Although my younger child will be allowed to stay at her current high school with the "sibling rule" I continue to hold a concern for the Class of 2020 and the level of transition they are being asked to shoulder -- even though my child is not directly affected.

I have watched my older child who is now entering his third year at the same school and love seeing the deepening relationships and connections with teachers, clubs, sports, and academics that has happened over time. It is a wonderful thing.

The class of 2020 won't have this. These students will be saddled with unnecessary transitions. They will all be at 3 schools in 3 years (8th grade at a middle school, 9th grade at their existing HS, and then 10th grade at a new HS). Class of 2021 won't have this (they have all 4 years at one HS), and Class of 2018 and class of 2019 get to stay at their starting school. Why is it valuable for the class of 2018 and 2019 and 2021 -- but not for 2020? These kids deserve it, too.

For example, I know from meetings I have attended and documents Ms. Erwin shared that for BHS, 300 kids out of the class of 400 BHS "2020" kids -- meaning this year's 9th graders -- will be moving schools. So that means 300 of this year's BHS 9th graders will be moved out of BHS and 300 Sunset 9th graders are moved into BHS to take their place. That is 600 kids coming/going just at BHS. 75% of students are dealing with this unnecessary transition. Grandfather in the 10th graders -- just as the 11 and 12 have been.

As the new superintendent you can change this. Please do! Let all kids finish where they start!

Thank you,
Victoria Dolby Toews, MPH