



Request for Approval Special Education Procedures Manual

To: Board of Education, Salt Lake City Schools

From: Leeson M. Taylor II, Ed. D., Executive Director, SL&P

Re: Request for Approval Special Education Procedures Manual for SLCSE & Open Classroom

Date: December 13, 2021

The purpose of this communication is to request approval for the Special Education Policies and Procedures manual for the district charter schools, SLCSE and Open Classroom. The Special Education Policies and Procedures manuals have already been approved by the state and, therefore, I am requesting approval from the Board. Once approval is secured and minutes approved, the information will be submitted to the state as verification.

Thank you for your time and for all that you do for the Salt Lake City community.

**SALT LAKE CENTER
FOR SCIENCE
EDUCATION SPECIAL
EDUCATION POLICIES
AND PROCEDURES
MANUAL**

Combination SLD Eligibility Method

October, 2021

ADA Compliant: September 2020

Table of Contents

I.	GENERAL PROVISIONS	7
I.A.	PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.1.; Rules I.A.)	7
I.B.	DEFINITIONS (34 CFR § 300.4–300.45; Rules I.E.1–53.)	7
I.C.	FULL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GOAL (34 CFR § 300.109; Rules IX.A.2.d.(2)(c)).....	7
I.D.	METHODS OF ENSURING SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.154; Rules IX.A.2.d.(2)(m))	8
II.	IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND EVALUATION	9
II.A.	CHILD FIND SYSTEM (34 CFR §§ 300.109, 300.111; Rules II.A.).....	9
II.B.	REFERRAL (34 CFR § 300.301; Rules II.B.)	10
II.C.	PARENTAL CONSENT (34 CFR § 300.300; Rules II.C.).....	10
II.D.	INITIAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.301; Rules II.D.)	13
II.E.	SCREENING FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.302; Rules II.E.).....	14
II.F.	EVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.304; Rules II.F.)	14
II.G.	REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.303; Rules II.G.).....	16
II.H.	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR INITIAL EVALUATIONS AND REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.305; Rules II.H.).....	16
II.I.	DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.306; Rules II.I.)	18
II.J.	CATEGORICAL DEFINITIONS, CRITERIA, AND ASSESSMENTS (34 CFR § 300.8; Rules II.J.)	19
III.	IEP DEVELOPMENT AND SERVICE DELIVERY	24
III.A.	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (Rules III.A.).....	24
III.B.	WHEN IEPs MUST BE IN EFFECT (34 CFR § 300.323; Rules III.B.)	24
III.C.	TRANSFER STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.323; Rules III.C.)	24
III.D.	LEA RESPONSIBILITY FOR IEP MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.323(c)(1)); Rules III.D.).....	25
III.E.	IEP TEAM MEMBERSHIP (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules III.E.).....	25
III.F.	IEP TEAM ATTENDANCE (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules III.F.).....	26
III.G.	PARENT PARTICIPATION (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules III.G.).....	27
III.H.	NOTICE OF MEETING (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules III.H.)	28
III.I.	DEVELOPMENT, REVIEW, AND REVISION OF THE IEP (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules III.I.) ..	29
III.J.	DEFINITION OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (34 CFR § 300.320; Rules III.J.)	32
III.K.	IEP AND SERVICES FOR PRESCHOOL STUDENTS AGES THREE THROUGH FIVE (Rules III.K.)	34

III.L. PHYSICAL EDUCATION (34 CFR § 300.108; Rules III.L.).....	34
III.M. ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY (34 CFR § 300.105; R277-495; Rules III.M.).....	35
III.N. EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.106; R277-751; Rules III.N.) .	35
III.O. LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE) (34 CFR § 300.114; Rules III.O.).....	36
III.P. CONTINUUM OF ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.115; Rules III.P.).....	36
III.Q. PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.116; Rules III.Q.).....	37
III.R. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT IN PLACEMENT DECISIONS (34 CFR §§ 300.327, 300.50; Rules III.R.)	37
III.S. NONACADEMIC SETTINGS AND EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES (34 CFR § 300.117; UCA 53G-6-709; Rules III.S.).....	38
III.T. NONACADEMIC SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.107; Rules III.T.).....	38
IV. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS: DUE PROCESS PROCEDURES FOR PARENT(S) AND STUDENTS (IDEA SUBPART E).....	39
IV.A. PARENTAL OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE RECORDS AND PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.501; Rules IV.A.).....	39
IV.B. INDEPENDENT EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.502; Rules IV.B.).....	40
IV.C. PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.503; Rules IV.C.).....	41
IV.D. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.504; Rules IV.D.).....	42
IV.E. STATE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.151–153; UCA 53E-7-208; Rules IV.E.)	43
IV.F. MEDIATION (34 CFR § 300.506; Rules IV.F.).....	43
IV.G. FILING A DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.507; UCA 53E-7-208; Rules IV.G) .	43
IV.H. DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.508; Rules IV.H.)	43
IV.I. MODEL FORMS (34 CFR § 300.509; Rules IV.I.)	43
IV.J. RESOLUTION PROCESS (34 CFR § 300.510; Rules IV.J.)	44
IV.K. IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS HEARING (34 CFR § 300.511; Rules IV.K.).....	44
IV.L. HEARING RIGHTS (34 CFR § 300.512; Rules IV.L.).....	44
IV.M. HEARING DECISIONS (34 CFR § 300.513; Rules IV.M.)	44
IV.N. FINALITY OF DECISION (34 CFR § 300.514; Rules IV.N.)	44
IV.O. STATE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS (34 CFR § 300.537; Rules IV.O.).....	44
IV.P. TIMELINES AND CONVENIENCE OF HEARINGS (34 CFR § 300.515; UBSE-SER IV.P.).....	44
IV.Q. CIVIL ACTION (34 CFR § 300.516; Rules IV.Q.).....	44
IV.R. ATTORNEYS’ FEES (34 CFR § 300.517; UCA 53E-7-208(4)(b); Rules IV.R.).....	44

IV.S.	STUDENT’S STATUS DURING PROCEEDINGS (34 CFR § 300.518; Rules IV.S.)	44
IV.T.	SURROGATE PARENTS (34 CFR § 300.519; Rules IV.T.)	44
IV.U.	TRANSFER OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AT AGE OF MAJORITY (34 CFR § 300.520; Rules IV.U.).....	45
IV.V.	CONFIDENTIALITY (34 CFR § 300.610; R277-487; Rules IV.V.)	46
V.	DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.530)	52
V.A.	DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (Rules V.A.).....	52
V.B.	AUTHORITY OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL (34 CFR § 300.530(a–c); Rules V.B.).....	52
V.C.	SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.530(d); Rules V.C.)	52
V.D.	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT DUE TO DISCIPLINARY REMOVALS (34 CFR § 300.536; Rules V.D.).....	53
V.E.	MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION (34 CFR § 300.530(e); Rules V.E.).....	53
V.F.	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.530; Rules V.F.)	55
V.G.	DETERMINATION OF SETTING (34 CFR § 300.531; Rules V.G.).....	55
V.H.	APPEALS BY PARENT OR LEA (34 CFR § 300.532; Rules V.H.).....	55
V.I.	PLACEMENT DURING APPEALS (34 CFR § 300.533; Rules V.I.).....	56
V.J.	PROTECTIONS FOR STUDENTS NOT DETERMINED ELIGIBLE FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.534; Rules V.J.)	56
V.K.	REFERRAL TO AND ACTION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES (34 CFR § 300.535; Rules V.K.)	57
VI.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN OTHER SETTINGS	59
VI.A.	PRIVATE SCHOOL PLACEMENTS BY LEAs (34 CFR § 300.325; Rules VI.A.).....	59
VI.B.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS NOT AT ISSUE (UNILATERAL PLACEMENT) (34 CFR § 300.130; Rules VI.B.).....	59
VI.C.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS AT ISSUE (34 CFR § 300.148; Rules VI.C.)	68
VI.D.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN HOME SCHOOL. (Rules VI.D.)	69
VI.E.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN ADULT EDUCATION (Rules VI.E.)	70
VI.F.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN VIRTUAL SETTINGS (Rules VI.F.)	70
VI.G.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CONVICTED AS ADULTS AND INCARCERATED IN ADULT PRISONS (34C CFR § 300.324; Rules VI.J.).....	71
VI.H.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE ALSO IN STATE CUSTODY/CARE (UCA 62A-4a- 701; R277-709; Rules VI.K.).....	71
VI.I.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO RESIDE IN NURSING HOMES (Rules VI.L.).....	72

VII.	TRANSITIONS (34 CFR § 300.1; Rules VII.)	73
VII.A.	TRANSITION FROM PART C TO PART B OF the IDEA (Rules VII.A.)	73
VII.B.	TRANSITION SERVICES—SCHOOL TO POST-SCHOOL (Rules VII.B.)	73
VII.C.	GRADUATION (UCA 53E-7-202; R277-705; Rules VIII.C.).....	77
VII.D.	TERMINATION OF SERVICES UPON REACHING AGE 22 (R277-419-2(25)(b); Rules VII.D.).....	78
VIII.	RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE UTAH STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION	79
VIII.A.	GENERAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY	79
VIII.B.	STATE ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.110; USBE VIII.B.3.)	79
VIII.C.	USBE PROGRAM MONITORING (USBE VIII.D.2-3.)	79
VIII.D.	PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS (34 CFR § 300.156; Rules VIII.K.3-5.).....	79
VIII.E.	REPORTING ON SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATES (34 CFR § 300.170; Rules VIII.M.).....	80
VIII.F.	PROHIBITION ON MANDATORY MEDICATION (34 CFR § 300.174; Rules VIII.X.)	81
IX.	LEA ELIGIBILITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	82
IX.A.	LEA ELIGIBILITY FOR IDEA PART B FUNDS (34 CFR §§ 300.211–212, 220)	82
IX.B.	USE OF PART B FEDERAL FUNDS BY THE LEA (34 CFR §§ 300.200–206, 208)	83
IX.C.	CHARTER SCHOOLS AND THEIR STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.209; Rules IX.C.)	87
IX.D.	COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (CEIS) (34 CFR § 300.226; Rules IX.D.)	87
IX.E.	PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT (34 CFR § 300.207; Rules IX.E.)	88
IX.F.	FUNDED PREVALENCE OF DISABLING CONDITIONS (UCA 53F-2-307; Rules IX.F.).....	88
IX.G.	LEA PROVISION OF FAPE (34 CFR § 300.101; Rules IX.G.)	89
IX.H.	ROUTINE CHECKING OF HEARING AIDS AND EXTERNAL COMPONENTS OF SURGICALLY IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICES (34 CFR § 300.113; Rules IX.H.)	89
IX.I.	EDUCATOR LICENSE REQUIREMENTS (R277-504; R277-506; R277-520; Rules IX.I.)	89
IX.J.	PURCHASE OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS (34 CFR § 300.210; Rules IX.J.)	90
IX.K.	SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE USDB CLASS SPACE (UCA 53E-8-410; Rules IX.K.)	90
X.	SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDING (Rules X.)	91
X.A.	STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS GENERALLY (Rules X.A.).....	91
X.B.	ALLOCATION OF STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS FOR PROGRAMS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (UCA 53F-2-307; R277-479; Rules X.B.3.)	91
X.C.	SPECIAL EDUCATION ADD-ON ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1205) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); Rules X.C.)	91

X.D. SPECIAL EDUCATION SELF-CONTAINED ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1210) (UCA 53F-2-307(3); Rules X.E.)	92
X.E. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION IMPACT AID ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1225) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); Rules X.I.)	92
X.F. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1220) (UCA 53F-2-308(2); Rules X.K.)	92
X.G. STATE EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR STIPEND FOR SPECIAL EDUCATORS (EYSE) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1278) (UCA 53F-2-310; Rules X.M.).....	92
X.H. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION INTENSIVE SERVICES ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1230) (UCA 53F-2-309(1); Rules X.O.)	92
X.I. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS ALLOWABLE USE (Rules X.P.).....	93
X.J. ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR FEDERAL (IDEA) SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS (Rules X.R.1.;4-7.).....	93
XI. APPENDIX A – Referral, Evaluation and Eligibility of English Language Learners (“MLL”)..	96
XI.A. Child Find Procedures for English Language Learners.....	96
XI.B. Initial Evaluation of MLL.....	96
XI.C. Evaluation procedures for MLL students.....	98
XI.D. Eligibility procedures for MLL students.....	100
XI.E. IEP development for MLL students.....	101
XI.F. Placement for MLL students	102
XII. APPENDIX B – EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY (“SLD”)	103
XII.A. Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD)	103
XII.B. Identification of an SLD.....	104
XII.C. SLD Problem-Solving Eligibility Rubric Instructions	105
XII.D. Guide.....	106

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

I.A. PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.1.; RULES I.A.)

The primary purposes of this local education agency (LEA) policies and procedures manual, consistent with Utah Code Annotated (UCA) 53E-7-2 and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA), Public Law 108-446, as amended; are:

1. To ensure that all students with disabilities ages 3 through 21 in Utah, including students with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled from school and students who have not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma, have available to them a free appropriate public education (FAPE) that emphasizes special education and related services, as specified on an Individualized Education Program (IEP) designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment, and independent living;
2. To ensure that the rights of students with disabilities and their parent(s) are protected;
3. To ensure that State standards are implemented for the provision of a FAPE to students with disabilities, as defined in Utah State Board of Education Special Education Rules (Rules); and
4. To assess and ensure the effectiveness of efforts to educate students with disabilities.

I.B. DEFINITIONS (34 CFR § 300.4–300.45; RULES I.E.1–53.)

1. Salt Lake Center for Science Education (SLCSE) has adopted applicable definitions as found in Rules I.E.1-53.

I.C. FULL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GOAL (34 CFR § 300.109; RULES IX.A.2.D.(2)(C))

SLCSE provides a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to all eligible students with disabilities in conformity with the requirements of the Rules and the IDEA. SLCSE hereby affirms the goal of providing a full educational opportunity to all students with disabilities determined eligible for special education and related services, of the ages served by SLCSE, in accordance with all of the timeline requirements of the IDEA.

SLCSE follows all necessary requirements in the development and delivery of an individualized education program (IEP) for eligible students. Placement in the least restrictive environment (LRE) will be implemented to the maximum extent appropriate for students with special needs. SLCSE provides a continuum of placements to address the needs of students with disabilities to ensure those students receive special education and related services appropriate to their needs.

I.D. METHODS OF ENSURING SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.154; RULES IX.A.2.D.(2)(M))

SLCSE ensures each eligible student with disabilities enrolled in the school receives the services included in the IEP through a systematic process of IEP internal file reviews and monitoring of service delivery by SLCSE personnel.

II. IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND EVALUATION

II.A. CHILD FIND SYSTEM (34 CFR §§ 300.109, 300.111; RULES II.A.)

1. SLCSE, in accordance with the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and with the Rules, has developed policies and procedures to ensure that all students with disabilities residing within the jurisdiction of the LEA, including students with disabilities birth through 21 years of age, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services, are identified, located, and evaluated. These policies and procedures include a practical method for determining which students are currently receiving needed special education and related services and provide a process to reevaluate those who are found eligible within the three-year timeframe.
2. The requirements of this section apply to:
 - a. Highly mobile students with disabilities (such as students who are migrant and homeless) (34 CFR § 300.111(c)(2); Rules II.A.2.a.).
 - b. Students who have been suspended or expelled from school (34 CFR § 300.101(a); Rules II.A.2.b.).
 - c. Students who have not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(iii); Rules II.A.2.c.).
 - d. Students who are suspected of being a student with a disability under the IDEA and the Rules and who are in need of special education and related services, even though they are advancing from grade to grade (34 CFR § 300.111(c)(1)). The determination that a student is a “student with a disability” under the Rules must be made on an individual basis, by a team made up of the parent or adult student and school personnel determined by the student’s LEA (Rules II.A.2.d.).
 - e. Home schooled students and students enrolled in private schools within the school district’s boundaries (Rules II.A.2.e.).
 - f. Students in State custody/care (Rules II.A.2.f.).
 - g. Students in nursing homes (Rules II.A.2.g.).
3. Charter schools are responsible for child find for students enrolled in their own schools and have no responsibility for child find for private school students. Charter schools may not refer enrolled students to the local school district for child find (Rules II.A.3.).
4. Major components of the child find system include:
 - a. LEA implementation, coordination, and tracking of child find activities and students identified (34 CFR § 300.131; Rules II.A.4.a.).
 - b. USBE staff provision of ongoing technical assistance to LEAs, private schools, and other State agencies in implementing the child find system (Rules II.A.4.b.).

- c. Implementation of the statewide data collection system for reporting student information, including Federal student count (34 CFR §§ 300.132, 300.640–641) and the data requirements found in Rules VI.B.3, which includes that:
 - (1) Each school district must maintain in its records, and provide to the USBE staff annually, the following information related to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students:
 - (a) The number of students evaluated and reevaluated within three years;
 - (b) The number of students determined to be students with disabilities; and
 - (c) The number of students served.
- d. School district collaboration and coordination with State and Local Department of Health, which has responsibility for providing early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities, ages birth through two, under Part C of the IDEA (Interagency Agreement).

The collection and use of data to meet the requirements of this section are subject to the confidentiality of information provisions under the Rules and R277-487.

II.B. REFERRAL (34 CFR § 300.301; RULES II.B.)

- 1. Consistent with the consent requirements in Rules II.C., either a parent or the adult student or an LEA may initiate a request for an initial evaluation to determine if a student is a student with a disability under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules. Upon receipt of a request for an evaluation, SLCSE must respond within a reasonable timeframe. The response may not be delayed due to SLCSE's Response to Intervention process.
- 2. SLCSE shall provide an initial special education assessment for students who enter the custody of the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) upon request by that division, and the LEA obtains appropriate parental consent for the evaluation for students whose school records indicate they may have disabilities requiring special education services.

II.C. PARENTAL CONSENT (34 CFR § 300.300; RULES II.C.)

- 1. Parental consent for initial evaluation.
 - a. When proposing to conduct an initial evaluation to determine if a student qualifies as a student with a disability under the Rules, SLCSE must, after providing prior written notice to the parent or adult student, obtain informed consent, consistent with Rules I.E.9., from the parent of the student or the adult student before conducting the evaluation.
 - (1) Parental or adult student consent for initial evaluation must not be construed as consent for initial provision of special education and related services.

- (2) SLCSE must make reasonable efforts to obtain informed consent from the parent or adult student for an initial evaluation to determine whether the student is a student with a disability.
 - (3) When conducting psychological evaluations, SLCSE must implement the parental or adult student consent requirements of UCA 53E-9-203 (Student Privacy and Data Protection).
 - b. For initial evaluations only, if the student is a ward of the State and is not residing with the student's parent(s), SLCSE is not required to obtain informed consent from the parent for an initial evaluation to determine whether the student is a student with a disability if:
 - (1) Despite reasonable efforts to do so, SLCSE cannot discover the whereabouts of the parent(s) of the student;
 - (2) The rights of the parent(s) of the student have been terminated in accordance with State law; or
 - (3) The rights of the parent(s) to make educational decisions have been subrogated by a judge in accordance with State law and consent for an initial evaluation has been given by an individual appointed by the judge to represent the student.
 - c. If the parent(s) of a student or an adult student enrolled in public school or seeking to be enrolled in public school does not provide consent for initial evaluation, or fails to respond to a request to provide consent, SLCSE may, but is not required to, pursue the initial evaluation of the student by utilizing the procedural safeguards or the due process procedures in Section IV of the Rules.
 - (1) SLCSE does not violate its obligation under the child find provisions of the Rules if it declines to pursue the evaluation by utilizing the procedural safeguards or the due process procedures.
2. Parental consent for services.
- a. SLCSE is responsible for making a FAPE available to a student with a disability and must obtain informed consent from the parent(s) of the student or adult student before the initial provision of special education and related services to the student.
 - b. SLCSE must make reasonable efforts to obtain informed consent from the parent(s) or adult student for the initial provision of special education and related services to the eligible student with disabilities.
 - c. If the parent(s) of a student or adult student fails to respond to a request for, or refuses to consent to, the initial provision of special education and related services, SLCSE:
 - (1) May not use the procedures in Section IV of the Rules, including the mediation procedures or the due process procedures, in order to obtain agreement or a ruling that the services may be provided to the student;

- (2) Will not be considered to be in violation of the requirement to make available a FAPE to the student for the failure to provide the student with the special education and related services for which SLCSE requests consent; and
 - (3) Is not required to convene an IEP Team meeting or develop an IEP for the student for the special education and related services for which SLCSE requests such consent.
 - d. If, at any time subsequent to the initial provision of special education and related services, the parent(s) of a student or adult student revokes consent in writing for the continued provision of special education and related services, SLCSE:
 - (1) May not continue to provide special education and related services to the student but must provide prior written notice in accordance with Rules IV.D. before ceasing the provision of special education and related services;
 - (2) May not use the procedures in Rules IV., including the mediation procedures or the due process procedures, in order to obtain agreement or a ruling that the services may be provided to the student;
 - (3) Will not be considered to be in violation of the requirement to make available a FAPE to the student for the failure to provide the student with the special education and related services for which SLCSE requests consent; and
 - (4) Is not required to convene an IEP Team meeting or develop an IEP for the student for the special education and related services for which SLCSE requests such consent (34 CFR § 300.300; Rules II.C.2.d.(4)).
3. Parental consent for reevaluations.
 - a. SLCSE must obtain informed parental or adult student consent prior to conducting any reevaluation of a student with a disability.
 - b. If the parent or adult student refuses to consent to the reevaluation, SLCSE may, but is not required to, pursue the reevaluation by using the dispute resolution procedures provided in the procedural safeguards, and including mediation or due process procedures.
 - c. SLCSE does not violate its obligation under child find if it declines to pursue the reevaluation.
 - d. The informed parental or adult student consent need not be obtained if SLCSE can demonstrate that:
 - (1) It made reasonable efforts to obtain such consent; and
 - (2) The student's parent or the adult student has failed to respond.
4. Other consent requirements.
 - a. Parental or adult student consent is not required before:

- (1) Reviewing existing data as part of an evaluation or a reevaluation; or
 - (2) Administering a test or other evaluation that is administered to all students unless consent is required for all students before administration of that test or evaluation.
- b. SLCSE may not use a parent's or adult student's refusal to consent to one service or activity under Rules II.C.1., II.C.2., or II.C.3., to deny the parent or student any other service, benefit, or activity of SLCSE, except as required by this part.
 - c. To meet the reasonable efforts requirement in Rules II.C.1.a.2., II.C.1.b.1., II.C.2.b., and II.C.3.d.1., SLCSE must document its attempts to obtain parental or adult student consent using the procedures in Rules III.G.3.
 - d. Unless parent(s) or the adult student revoke consent for special education and related services or refuse consent for initial placement, disagreements regarding the provision of IEP services should be resolved by the IEP Team and result in a completed IEP which includes all components necessary for the provision of a FAPE.

II.D. INITIAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.301; RULES II.D.)

- 1. SLCSE must conduct a full and individual initial evaluation to determine whether a student is a "student with a disability" under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, and to determine the educational needs of the student.
- 2. The initial evaluation:
 - a. Must be conducted within 45 school days of receiving parental or adult student consent for the evaluation, unless:
 - (1) The initial evaluation is requested by the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) and SLCSE obtains appropriate consent for the evaluation, in which case SLCSE shall provide an initial special education evaluation to an individual who enters DCFS custody if DCFS suspects the individual may be an eligible student within 30 days after the day on which DCFS makes the request (53E-7-207).
 - (a) SLCSE may refuse to conduct an evaluation described in II.D.2.a.(1) if SLCSE reviews the relevant data regarding the individual and, within 10 days after the day on which SLCSE received the request described in II.D.2.a.(1), gives the DCFS prior written notice of refusal to evaluate.
 - b. Must consist of procedures to determine:
 - (1) If the student is a student with a disability; and
 - (2) The educational needs of the student.
- 3. The timeframe shall not apply to SLCSE if:
 - a. The parent of a student repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the student for the evaluation; or

- b. The adult student repeatedly fails or refuses to participate in evaluation activities; or
- c. A student enrolls in SLCSE after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the student's previous LEA as to whether the student is a student with a disability.
- d. The exception in Rule II.D.3.c. applies only if SLCSE is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent or adult student and SLCSE agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.

II.E. SCREENING FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.302; RULES II.E.)

The screening of a student by a teacher or specialist to determine appropriate instructional strategies for curriculum implementation shall not be considered to be an evaluation for eligibility for special education and related services. Results of screenings should be considered by SLCSE for child find purposes.

II.F. EVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.304; RULES II.F.)

SLCSE has established and implemented the following procedures that meet the evaluation requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules as follows:

1. In conducting the evaluation, SLCSE must:
 - a. Use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the student, including information provided by a parent or adult student, that may assist in determining:
 - (1) Whether the student is a student with a disability; and
 - (2) The content of the student's IEP, including information related to enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general education curriculum;
 - b. Not use any single procedure as the sole criterion for determining whether a student is a student with a disability and for determining an appropriate educational program for the student; and
 - c. Use technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors. SLCSE must consider the publication date and continued validity of assessments in use when new editions are published.
 - d. SLCSE must ensure that assessments and other evaluation materials used to assess a student:
 - (1) Are selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis;
 - (2) Are provided and administered in the student's native language or other mode of communication, and in the form most likely to yield accurate information on

what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally, unless it is clearly not feasible to so provide or administer;

- (3) Are selected to assess the specific areas of concern identified by the assessment/evaluation team, including the parent(s) or adult student;
 - (4) Are used for the purposes for which the assessments or measures are valid and reliable;
 - (5) Are selected and administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel based upon the specific assessment's requirements; and
 - (6) Are administered and interpreted in accordance with any instructions and administrator requirements provided by the producer of the assessments and the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, NCME, 2014).
 - (a) SLCSE must ensure and document that all evaluators meet the assessment publishers' administrator/interpreter/user requirements, (e.g., appropriate degree, higher education coursework in tests and measures, and supervised clinical experiences/practica).
 - (b) SLCSE shall provide documentation to USBE staff upon request.
- e. SLCSE must ensure that:
- (1) Assessments and other evaluation materials include those tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and not merely those that are designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient.
 - (2) Assessments are selected and administered so as best to ensure that if an assessment is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the assessment results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or whatever other factors the test purports to measure, rather than reflecting the student's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills (unless those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure).
 - (3) The administration of psychological testing and the evaluation or assessment of personal characteristics, such as intelligence (e.g., cognitive, IQ), personality, abilities, interests, aptitudes, and neuropsychological functioning are only administered and interpreted by personnel who have been trained and fully meet the administrator/interpreter/user qualifications of the test publisher (e.g., appropriate degree, higher education coursework in tests and measures, and supervised clinical experiences/practica).
 - (4) The student is assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, and motor abilities.

- (5) Assessments of students with disabilities who transfer from another LEA to SLCSE in the same school year are coordinated with those students' prior and subsequent schools, as necessary and as expeditiously as possible, to ensure prompt completion of full evaluations.
 - (6) In evaluating each student with a disability, the evaluation is sufficiently comprehensive to identify all the student's special education and related services needs, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category in which the student has been classified.
 - (7) Assessment tools and strategies provide relevant information that directly assists persons in determining the educational needs of the student are provided.
2. The Utah Schools for the Deaf and the Blind (USDB) is available to LEAs for assessments of students with visual impairment and hearing loss, as well as professional learning on appropriate administration of assessments, and procedures to ensure appropriate interpretation of assessments (R277-800-7). The Utah Department of Health shall provide diagnostic and evaluation services, which are required by State or Federal law but are not typically otherwise provided by school districts and charter schools, to students with disabilities.

II.G. REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.303; RULES II.G.)

- 1. SLCSE must ensure that a reevaluation of each student with a disability is conducted:
 - a. If SLCSE determines the educational or related services needs, including improved academic achievement and functional performance, of the student warrant a reevaluation; or
 - b. If the student's parents or adult student or teacher requests a reevaluation.
- 2. A reevaluation:
 - a. May occur not more than once a year, unless the parent(s) or adult student and SLCSE agree otherwise; and
 - b. Must occur at least once every three years, unless the parent(s) or adult student and SLCSE agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary as there are data available to continue eligibility and determine the educational needs of the student. When the parent(s) or adult student and LEA agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary, the team must document data reviewed and used in an evaluation report and complete an eligibility determination.

II.H. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR INITIAL EVALUATIONS AND REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.305; RULES II.H.)

- 1. As part of any initial evaluation (if appropriate) and as part of any reevaluation, the IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, must:
 - a. Review existing evaluation data on the student, including:

- (1) Evaluations and information provided by the parent(s) of the student or the adult student;
 - (2) Current classroom-based, local, or State assessments, and classroom-based observations; and
 - (3) Observations by teachers and related services providers; and
- b. On the basis of that review, and input from the student's parent(s) or the adult student, identify what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:
 - (1) Whether the student is a student with a disability and the educational needs of the student; or, in the case of a reevaluation of a student, whether the student continues to have such a disability, and the educational needs of the student;
 - (2) The present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs of the student;
 - (3) Whether the student needs special education and related services; or, in the case of a reevaluation of a student, whether the student continues to need special education and related services; and
 - (4) Whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are needed to enable the student to meet the measurable annual goals set out in the IEP of the student and to participate, as appropriate, in the general education curriculum.
2. The IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, may conduct its review of existing data without a meeting.
3. SLCSE must administer such assessments and other evaluation measures as may be needed to produce the data needed to determine continuing eligibility.
4. If the IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, determine that no additional data are needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability and to determine the student's educational needs, SLCSE must notify the student's parent(s) or adult student of:
 - a. That determination and the reason(s) for the determination; and
 - b. The right of the parent(s) or adult student to request an assessment to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability, and to determine the student's educational needs.
5. SLCSE is not required to conduct the assessment for reevaluation described in Rules II.H.4.b. unless requested to do so by the student's parent(s) or the adult student.
6. Evaluations before change in eligibility.
 - a. SLCSE must evaluate a student with a disability before determining that the student is no longer a student with a disability.

- b. The evaluation is not required before the termination of a student's eligibility due to graduation from secondary school with a regular diploma, or due to exceeding the age eligibility for a FAPE under State law (i.e., age 22).
- c. For a student whose eligibility terminates due to graduation from secondary school with a regular high school diploma or due to exceeding the age eligibility for a FAPE under State law, SLCSE must provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance, which shall include recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals.

II.1. DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.306; RULES II.1.)

1. Upon completion of the administration of assessments and other evaluation measures, a group of qualified professionals and the parents of the student or the adult student determine eligibility under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, including:
 - a. Whether that student is a student with a disability, and
 - b. The educational needs of the student.
2. SLCSE shall provide the parent(s) or adult student with a copy of the evaluation report and the documentation of determination of eligibility.
3. A student must not be determined to be a student with a disability:
 - a. If the determinant factor for that determination is:
 - (1) Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction (phonemic awareness, alphabetic principle, vocabulary, comprehension, and fluency);
 - (2) Lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics; or
 - (3) Limited English proficiency; and
 - (4) If the student does not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria.
4. Procedures for determining eligibility and educational need.
 - a. In interpreting evaluation data for the purpose of determining if a student is a student with a disability and the educational needs of the student, SLCSE must:
 - (1) Draw upon information from a variety of sources, such as aptitude and achievement tests, parent or adult student input, teacher recommendations, physical condition, social or cultural background, and adaptive behavior; and
 - (2) Ensure information obtained from all these sources is documented and carefully considered.
 - b. If a determination is made that a student has a disability and needs special education and related services, an IEP must be developed for the student within 30 calendar days.

II.J. CATEGORICAL DEFINITIONS, CRITERIA, AND ASSESSMENTS (34 CFR § 300.8; RULES II.J.)

SLCSE has adopted the criteria and evaluation procedures, by category, for determining eligibility for a student with disabilities under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules II.J.1-13. Including:

1. Specific Learning Disabilities (Rules II.J.10.).

a. Definition (34 CFR § 300.8(C)(10); Rules II.J.10.a.).

Specific Learning Disabilities means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia, that affects a student's educational performance.

Specific learning disabilities does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; of intellectual disability; of emotional disturbance; or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

b. Procedures for Identifying Students with Specific Learning Disabilities (34 CFR § 300.307; Rules II.J.10.b.).

(1) SLCSE has adopted the following method for determining a student's eligibility under the specific learning disability category:

(a) A Combination method of:

- (i) A process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention called the Response to Intervention (RtI) method which shows the student does not make sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the areas identified in Rule II.J.10.b.(3)(a); and
- (ii) A discrepancy analysis which identifies that the student's scores demonstrate that a severe discrepancy exists between the student's intellectual ability and academic achievement in one or more of the areas identified in Rules II.J.10.b.(3)(a) which indicates the student exhibits a disability using a combination of both the RtI and a discrepancy analysis (the team must refer to the *USBE Specific Learning Disability Eligibility Guidelines* when using this method).

(2) Additional team members (34 CFR § 300.308; Rules II.J.10.b.2.)

The determination of whether a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is a student with a disability must be made by the student's parent(s) or adult student and a team of qualified professionals, which must include:

- (a) The student's regular teacher; or
 - (b) If the student does not have a regular teacher, a regular classroom teacher qualified to teach a student of his/her age; or
 - (c) For a student of less than school age; an individual qualified by the USBE to teach a student of his/her age; and
 - (d) At least one person qualified to conduct individual diagnostic examinations of students and interpret the results of those assessments (as per the publisher's assessment administration criteria), such as a school psychologist, speech-language pathologist, reading teacher or reading specialist, or special education teacher.
- (3) Determining the existence of a specific learning disability (34 CFR § 300.309; Rules II.J.10.b.3.)

The team described may determine that a student has a specific learning disability if:

- (a) The student does not achieve adequately for the student's age or to meet State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's age or State-approved grade-level standards:
 - (i) Oral expression;
 - (ii) Listening comprehension;
 - (iii) Written expression;
 - (iv) Basic reading skills;
 - (v) Reading fluency skills;
 - (vi) Reading comprehension;
 - (vii) Mathematics calculation;
 - (viii) Mathematics problem solving.
- (b) The group determines that its findings are not primarily the result of:
 - (i) A visual, hearing, or motor disability;
 - (ii) Intellectual disability;
 - (iii) Emotional disturbance;
 - (iv) Cultural factors;
 - (v) Environmental or economic disadvantage; or
 - (vi) Limited English proficiency.

- (4) The specific learning disability must adversely affect the student’s educational performance.
 - (5) The student with the specific learning disability must need special education and related services (34 CFR § 300.8(a); Rules II.J.10.b.5.)
 - (6) The team must determine that the specific learning disability is the student’s primary disability.
- c. Evaluation (34 CFR § 300.309(b)–(c); Rules II.J.10.c.)
- (1) An evaluation must include a variety of assessment tools and strategies and cannot rely on any single procedure as the sole criterion.
 - (2) To ensure underachievement in a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is not due to lack of appropriate instruction in reading or math, the group must consider, as part of the evaluation:
 - (a) Data that demonstrate that prior to, or as a part of, the referral process, the student was provided appropriate instruction in regular education settings, delivered by qualified personnel; and
 - (b) Data-based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction, which was provided to the student’s parent(s) or the adult student.
 - (3) SLCSE must promptly request parental consent or consent of the adult student to evaluate the student to determine if the student needs special education and related services, and must adhere to the 45-school-day evaluation timeframe, unless extended by mutual written agreement of the student’s parent(s) or adult student and a group of qualified professionals:
 - (a) If, prior to a referral, a student has not made adequate progress after an appropriate period of time as determined by SLCSE when provided appropriate instruction, and
 - (b) Whenever a student is referred for an evaluation.
 - (4) Observation (34 CFR § 300.310(a)–(c); Rules II.J.10.b.4.)

SLCSE must ensure the student is observed in the student’s learning environment (including the regular classroom setting) to document the student’s academic performance and behavior in the areas of concern.

 - (a) The team must decide to:
 - (i) Use information from an observation in routine classroom instruction and monitoring of the student’s performance that was done before the student was referred for an evaluation; or

- (ii) Have at least one member of the team conduct an observation of the student's academic performance in the regular classroom after the student has been referred for an evaluation and parental consent or consent of the adult student is obtained.
 - (b) If the student is a home-schooled student, SLCSE may determine how to conduct the observation and who will conduct it.
 - (c) In the case of a student of less than school age or who is out of school, a group member must observe the student in an environment appropriate for a student of that age.
- (5) Specific documentation for the eligibility determination (34 CFR § 300.311; Rules II.J.10.b.5.)

The team's documentation of the determination of eligibility with a specific learning disability must contain a statement of:

- (a) Whether the student has a specific learning disability;
- (b) The basis for making the determination;
- (c) The relevant behavior, if any, noted during the observation of the student and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic functioning;
- (d) The educationally relevant medical findings, if any; and
- (e) Whether the student meets the criteria below.
- (f) Combination (RtI and discrepancy analysis). Does not make sufficient progress to meet State-approved age- or grade-level standards when using a process based on the student's response to scientific evidence-based interventions and obtains scores that demonstrate that a severe discrepancy exists between the student's academic achievement and intellectual ability in one or more of the areas of specific learning disability.
 - (i) SLCSE has a process that assesses a student's response to scientific, research-based intervention as part of determining if the student has a specific learning disability. This process must include:
 - (ii) High quality research-based instruction delivered by qualified staff in the general education setting; and
 - (iii) Assessment of student performance that specifically includes universal screening and progress-monitoring; and
 - (iv) Multiple tiers of evidence-based interventions to address individual student difficulties; and
 - (v) Documentation of systematic and regular parent, adult student, and/or family involvement and communication as well as notification about:

- (A) The State’s policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance data that would be collected and the general education services that would be provided (the team must refer to the USBE Specific Learning Disability Eligibility Guidelines when using this method);
 - (B) Strategies for increasing the student’s rate of learning; and
 - (C) The parent’s(s’) or the adult student’s right to request an evaluation; and
- (vi) System supports (e.g., leadership, problem-solving, data management systems, coaching and collaboration, professional learning, and measures of fidelity) in place to ensure effective implementation; or
 - (vii) The instructional strategies used and the student-centered data collected.
 - (viii) The team must document that the student’s performance on a standardized, norm-referenced, individually administered achievement measure in the area of the suspected disability, and
 - (ix) That the student scored above the intellectual disability range on a standardized, norm-referenced, individually administered measure of intellectual ability, and
- (A) The comparison of the standard scores on the tests of achievement and intellectual ability using an LEA board-approved and USBE-reviewed discrepancy analysis. The team must document consideration of the discrepancy analysis and the team’s determination of whether or not it represents a severe discrepancy.
- (6) The determination of the team concerning the effects of a visual, hearing, or motor disability; intellectual disability; emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency on the student’s achievement level;
 - (7) The requirements of Rules II.D.–H. must be met.
 - (8) Each team member must certify in writing whether the report reflects the member’s conclusion (34 CFR § 300.311(b)). If it does not reflect the member’s conclusion, the team member must submit a separate statement presenting the member’s conclusions.

III. IEP DEVELOPMENT AND SERVICE DELIVERY

III.A. INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (RULES III.A.)

SLCSE implements the following policies and procedures to address the IEP requirements of Rules III.A-T., including the least restrictive environment (LRE) requirements, consistent with Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, as well as R277-750, R277-800, and the USBE/USDB Interagency Agreement.

III.B. WHEN IEPS MUST BE IN EFFECT (34 CFR § 300.323; RULES III.B.)

1. At the beginning of each school year, SLCSE must have an IEP in effect for each student with a disability within its jurisdiction.
2. SLCSE must ensure that:
 - a. A meeting to develop an IEP for a student is conducted within 30 calendar days of a determination that the student needs special education and related services; and
 - b. As soon as possible following development of the IEP, special education and related services are made available to the student in accordance with the student's IEP.
 - c. SLCSE must ensure the student's IEP is:
 - (1) Accessible to each regular education teacher, special education teacher, related service provider, and any other service provider who is responsible for its implementation; and
 - (2) Each teacher and provider is informed of:
 - (a) His/her specific responsibilities related to implementing the student's IEP; and
 - (b) The specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided for the student in accordance with the IEP.
3. Documentation of IEP implementation shall be provided to USBE upon request.

III.C. TRANSFER STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.323; RULES III.C.)

1. Transfers within Utah.
 - a. In the case of a student with a disability with a current IEP who transfers from one LEA to another within the State within the same school year and enrolls in a new school, SLCSE, in consultation with the parent(s) or adult student, must provide a FAPE to the student, including services comparable to those described in the previously held IEP, until such time as SLCSE:
 - (1) Adopts the previously held IEP, or
 - (2) Develops, adopts, and implements a new IEP that is consistent with Federal regulations and the Rules.

- b. The requirements of 34 CFR § 300.323 also apply for students transferring from an LEA placement to a local juvenile or adult correctional facility or temporary State placement for observation and assessment.

2. Transfers from out of State.

- a. In the case of a student with a disability with a current IEP who transfers LEAs within the same school year, who enrolls in a new school, and who has an IEP that was in effect in another State, SLCSE, in consultation with the parent(s) or adult student, must provide the student with a FAPE, including services comparable to those described in the previously held IEP, until SLCSE:

- (1) Conducts an evaluation, if determined to be necessary by SLCSE; and

- (2) Develops a new IEP, if appropriate, that is consistent with Federal and State law.

- b. The evaluation for eligibility that may be conducted by SLCSE is considered an initial evaluation, not a reevaluation (71 FR 4668-82).

3. To facilitate the transition for a student described above:

- a. SLCSE must take reasonable steps to promptly obtain the student's records, including the IEP and supporting documents and any other records relating to the provision of special education or related services to the student, from the previous LEA in which the student was enrolled; and

- b. The previous LEA in which the student was enrolled must take reasonable steps to promptly respond to the request from SLCSE.

- c. SLCSE must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.

4. Experiencing difficulty in obtaining the IEP from the previous LEA does not relieve SLCSE of its obligation to have a current IEP in place for an eligible student.

III.D. LEA RESPONSIBILITY FOR IEP MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.323(C)(1)); RULES III.D.)

- 1. SLCSE is responsible for initiating and conducting meetings for the purpose of developing, reviewing, and revising the IEP of a student with a disability ages 3 through 21, consistent with the Rules.
- 2. A meeting to develop an IEP for an eligible student must be conducted within 30 calendar days of a determination that a student needs special education and related services.

III.E. IEP TEAM MEMBERSHIP (34 CFR § 300.321; RULES III.E.)

SLCSE must ensure the IEP Team for each student with a disability includes:

- 1. The parent(s) of the student or the adult student;
- 2. Not less than one regular education teacher of the student (if the student is, or may be, participating in the regular education environment);

3. Not less than one special education teacher of the student, or where appropriate, not less than one special education provider of the student;
4. A representative of SLCSE who:
 - a. Is qualified to provide, or supervise the provision of, specially designed instruction to meet the unique needs of students with disabilities;
 - b. Is knowledgeable about the general education curriculum; and
 - c. Is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of SLCSE.
 - d. SLCSE may designate a SLCSE member of the IEP Team to also serve as SLCSE representative, if the above criteria are satisfied.
5. A representative of USDB and the LEA of residence when the student's placement is at USDB, when the IEP Team is considering placement at USDB, or when the student receives 180 minutes or more of special education and/or related services from USDB.
6. An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be a member of the team described in this section;
7. At the discretion of the parent(s) or adult student or SLCSE, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the student, including related services personnel as appropriate; and
8. Whenever appropriate, the student with a disability.
9. The determination of knowledge or special expertise of any individual described in Rule III.E.6. above must be made by the party (parent(s) or adult student or SLCSE) who invited the individual to be a member of the IEP Team.
10. If a purpose of the IEP Team meeting is consideration of the postsecondary goals for the student and the transition services needed to assist the student in reaching those goals, SLCSE must invite the student with a disability to attend the student's IEP meeting. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, SLCSE must take other steps to ensure the student's preferences and interests are considered.
11. To the extent appropriate, with the written consent of the parent(s) or adult student, SLCSE must invite a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.
12. Signatures on an IEP denote participation of IEP Team members in the development of the IEP.

III.F. IEP TEAM ATTENDANCE (34 CFR § 300.321; RULES III.F.)

1. A required member of the IEP Team is not required to attend a particular IEP Team meeting, in whole or in part, if the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and SLCSE agree, in writing, that the attendance of the member is not necessary because the member's area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed in the meeting.

2. A required member of the IEP Team may be excused from attending an IEP meeting, in whole or in part, when the meeting involves a modification to or discussion of the member's area of the curriculum or related services, if:
 - a. The parent(s) or adult student, in writing, and SLCSE consent to the excusal; and
 - b. The member submits, in writing, to the parent(s) or adult student and the IEP Team, input into the development of the IEP prior to the meeting.

III.G. PARENT PARTICIPATION (34 CFR § 300.322; RULES III.G.)

1. SLCSE must take steps to ensure that one or both of the parents of a student with a disability or the adult student are present at each IEP meeting or are afforded the opportunity to participate, including:
 - a. Notifying parent(s) or adult student of the meeting early enough to ensure they will have an opportunity to attend; and
 - b. Scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed-on time and place.
2. If the parent(s) or adult student cannot attend, SLCSE must use other methods to ensure participation of the parent(s) or the adult student, including individual or conference telephone calls. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or the adult student and SLCSE may agree to use alternative means of meeting participation, such as video conferences and conference calls (34 CFR § 300.328).
3. A meeting may be conducted without a parent or the adult student in attendance if SLCSE is unable to convince the parent(s) or the adult student they should attend. In this case, SLCSE must keep a record of its attempts to arrange a mutually agreed-on time and place, such as:
 - a. Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted and the results of those calls;
 - b. Copies of correspondence sent to the parent(s) or adult student and any responses received; and
 - c. Detailed records of visits made to the parent's(s') or adult student's home or place of employment and the results of those visits.
4. SLCSE must take whatever action is necessary to ensure the parent(s) or adult student understands the proceedings of the IEP Team meeting, including arranging for an interpreter for parent(s) or adult student with deafness or whose native language is other than English.
 - a. Under UCA 35A-13-604, an individual is required to be certified as an interpreter if that individual provides interpreter services for deaf and hard of hearing individuals.
 - b. An individual providing interpreting services other than those for deaf and hard of hearing individuals shall be trained.

5. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student are participants along with school personnel in developing, reviewing, and revising the IEP for their student. This is an active role in which the parent(s) or adult student:
 - a. Provide critical information regarding the strengths of the student and express their concerns for enhancing the education of the student;
 - b. Participate in the discussion of the student's need for special education and related services, and supplementary aids and services; and
 - c. Join with other participants in deciding how the student will be involved and progress in the general curriculum, how the student will participate in State- and LEA-wide assessments, and what services SLCSE will provide to the student and in what setting.
6. SLCSE must give the parent(s) or adult student a copy of the student's IEP at no cost to the parent(s) or adult student.

III.H. NOTICE OF MEETING (34 CFR § 300.322; RULES III.H.)

1. The notice of meeting required to be provided to the parent(s) or adult student must:
 - a. Indicate the purpose, time, and location of the meeting and who will be in attendance; and
 - b. Inform the parent(s) or adult student of the provision for participation of other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise about the student on the IEP Team.
 - c. Inform the parent(s) that, at their request, the Part C service coordinator, or other representatives of the Part C system, may be invited to participate at the initial IEP team meeting for a student previously served under Part C of the IDEA.
2. For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, the IEP notice of meeting also must:
 - a. Indicate that a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the postsecondary goals and transition services for the student; and
 - b. Indicate that SLCSE will invite the student; and
 - c. Identify any other agency that will be invited, with parental or adult student consent, to send a representative.
3. When conducting IEP Team meetings and placement meetings and carrying out administrative matters, the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and SLCSE may agree to use alternative means of meeting participation such as video conferences and conference calls (34 CFR § 300.328).

III.I. DEVELOPMENT, REVIEW, AND REVISION OF THE IEP (34 CFR § 300.324; RULES III.I.)

1. Development, review, and revision of the IEP.
 - a. In developing each student's IEP, the IEP Team must consider:
 - (1) The strengths of the student;
 - (2) The concerns of the parent(s) or adult student for enhancing the education of the student;
 - (3) The results of the initial or most recent evaluation of the student, and
 - (4) The academic, developmental, and functional needs of the student.
 - b. The IEP Team, in conducting a meeting to develop, review and, if appropriate, revise a student's IEP, must consider the following special factors:
 - (1) In the case of a student with limited English proficiency (LEP), consider the language needs of the student as those needs relate to the student's IEP;
 - (2) In the case of a student who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in braille and the use of braille unless the IEP Team determines, after an evaluation of the student's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the student's future needs for instruction in braille or the use of braille), that instruction in braille or the use of braille is not appropriate for the student;
 - (a) Prior to determining whether a blind student should use braille as the primary reading mode, the student's IEP Team must be provided (through pertinent literature or discussions with competent braille users and educators, or both) with detailed information about the use and efficiency of braille as a reading medium, in order to make an informed choice as to the student's primary reading.
 - (3) Consider the communication needs of the student and, in the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the student's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communication with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode;
 - (4) Consider whether the student needs assistive technology devices and services in school and on a case-by-case basis, in a student's home or other setting; and
 - (5) In the case of a student whose behavior impedes the student's learning or that of others, consider the use of positive behavior interventions and supports, and other strategies, to address that behavior.

- (a) When making decisions on behavior interventions, the IEP Team must refer to the USBE Least Restrictive Behavior Interventions (LRBI) Technical Assistance (TA) Manual for information on research-based intervention procedures.
 - (i) Emergency safety interventions may only be included in an IEP as a planned intervention when the IEP Team agrees that less restrictive means which meet circumstances in R277-608 have been attempted, a functional behavior assessment (FBA) has been conducted, and a positive behavior intervention plan based on data analysis has been developed and implemented (R277-609).
 - (b) The purpose of the LRBI TA Manual related to the use of positive behavior supports and behavior interventions in schools is to:
 - (i) Protect the safety and well-being of all students;
 - (ii) Provide protection for students, teachers, other school personnel, and LEAs; and
 - (iii) Ensure parent(s) or adult students are involved in the consideration and selection of behavior interventions to be used.
 - (c) When an emergency situation occurs that requires the immediate use of an emergency safety intervention to protect the student or others from harm, the staff shall comply with requirements in R277-609 with regards to time limitations and parental or adult student notification.
 - (d) As appropriate, the student should receive a FBA and behavior intervention services and modifications that are designed to address the behavior (34 CFR § 300.530(d)(1)(ii)).
- c. If, in considering the special factors described above, the IEP Team determines a student needs a particular device or services for educational purposes (including an intervention, accommodation, or other program modification) in order for the student to receive a FAPE, the IEP Team must include a statement to that effect in the student's IEP.
 - d. A regular education teacher of a student with a disability, as a member of the IEP Team, must, to the extent appropriate, participate in the development of the IEP of the student, including the determination of:
 - (1) Appropriate positive behavior interventions and supports and other strategies for the student; and
 - (2) Supplementary aids and services, program modifications, and support for school personnel consistent with the IEP.
2. Changes to the IEP.

- a. In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP Team meeting for a school year, the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and SLCSE may agree not to convene an IEP meeting for the purposes of making those changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP.
 - b. Upon request, the parent(s) or adult student must be provided with a revised copy of the IEP with the amendments incorporated.
 - c. If changes are made to the student's IEP through the amendment process, SLCSE must ensure the student's IEP Team is informed of those changes.
3. To the extent possible, SLCSE must encourage the consolidation of reevaluation meetings and other IEP Team meetings for the student (34 CFR § 300.324(a)(5)).
 4. Review and revision of the IEP.

SLCSE must ensure the IEP Team:

- a. Reviews the student's IEP periodically, but not less than annually, to determine whether the annual goals for the student are being achieved; and
- b. Revises the IEP, as appropriate, to address:
 - (1) Any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals in the IEP and in the general education curriculum, if appropriate;
 - (2) The results of any reevaluation;
 - (3) Information about the student provided to, or by, the parent(s) or adult student;
 - (4) The student's anticipated needs; or
 - (5) Other matters.
- c. In conducting a review of the student's IEP, the IEP Team must consider the special factors in Rules III.I.1.b.
- d. A regular education teacher of the student, as a member of the IEP Team, must participate in the review and revision of the IEP of the student, if the student is or may be participating in the general education classroom.
- e. If a participating agency, other than SLCSE, fails to provide the transition services described in the IEP, SLCSE must reconvene the IEP Team to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student set out in the IEP.
- f. Nothing in this part relieves any participating agency, including a State vocational rehabilitation agency, of the responsibility to provide or pay for any transition service that the agency would otherwise provide to students with disabilities who meet the eligibility criteria of that agency.

III.J. DEFINITION OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (34 CFR § 300.320; RULES III.J.)

1. The term individualized education program (IEP) means a written statement for each student with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting.
2. The IEP must include:
 - a. A statement of the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), including:
 - (1) How the student's disability affects the student's involvement and progress in the general education curriculum (i.e., the same grade-level curriculum as for non-disabled students); or
 - (2) For preschool students, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities; and
 - (3) For students who are blind, the results obtained from a braille-related or braille skills assessment;
 - b. A statement of measurable annual goals, including academic and functional goals designed to:
 - (1) Meet the student's needs that result from the student's disability to enable the student to be involved in and make progress in the grade-level general education curriculum; and
 - (2) Meet each of the student's other educational needs that result from the student's disability;
 - c. For eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities who will participate in grade-level alternate achievement standards (i.e., Essential Elements):
 - (1) Notification to the parent(s) or adult student that the student's academic achievement will be measured through an assessment of the grade-level Utah alternate achievement standards and how participation in such alternate achievement assessments may delay or otherwise affect the student from completing the requirements for a regular high school diploma; and
 - (2) A description of benchmarks or short-term objectives for each annual goal;
 - d. A description of:
 - (1) How the student's progress toward meeting the annual IEP goals will be measured; and
 - (2) When periodic reports to the parent(s) or adult student on the progress the student is making toward meeting the annual IEP goals (such as through the use of quarterly or other periodic reports, concurrent with the issuance of report cards) will be provided;

- e. A statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services (including assistive technology), based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided to the student, or on behalf of the student, and a statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided to enable the student:
 - (1) To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals;
 - (2) To be involved in and make progress in the grade-level general education curriculum, and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities; and
 - (3) To be educated and participate with other similar-aged students with disabilities and non-disabled students in the activities described in this section;
- f. An explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with similar-aged non-disabled students in the regular education environment and in the activities described in this section;
- g. A statement of:
 - (1) Any individual appropriate accommodations that are necessary to measure the academic achievement and functional performance of the student on all grade-level State- and LEA-wide assessments; and
 - (2) If the IEP Team determines the student must take an alternate assessment instead of a particular regular State- or LEA-wide assessment of student achievement, a statement of why:
 - (a) The student cannot participate in the regular assessment; and
 - (b) The particular alternate assessment selected is appropriate for the student; and
- h. All students, including students with disabilities, participate in statewide assessments. SLCSE reports the results of statewide assessments on the website. If more than one percent of students with significant cognitive disabilities participate in an alternate assessment, SLCSE will submit justification to the USBE on the need to exceed the cap.
- i. The projected date for the beginning of the services and modifications, and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration of those services and modifications.
- j. A statement of school to post-school transition services.

For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, and updated annually thereafter, the IEP must include:

- (1) Appropriate measurable postsecondary goals based upon age-appropriate transition assessments related to training or education, employment, and, where appropriate, independent living skills; and

(2) The transition services (including courses of study) needed to assist the student in reaching those goals.

k. Transfer of rights at age of majority.

Beginning not later than one year before the student reaches the age of majority (age 18 in Utah), the IEP must include a statement that the student has been informed of the student's rights under Part B of the IDEA that will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority. The transfer of rights also occurs upon notification to SLCSE that a student has married or become emancipated before age 18.

l. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require that additional information be included in a student's IEP beyond what is explicitly required in Section 614 of Part B of the IDEA, or require the IEP Team to include information under one component of a student's IEP that is already contained under another component of the student's IEP.

m. IEP Teams should discuss and address, if appropriate, student participation in not only the grade-level Utah Core Standards, but other general education activities and courses (e.g., health and maturation, suicide prevention), as well as the Statewide Online Education Program (SOEP) or other online, distance, blended, or competency-based courses, as well as courses taken through Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and concurrent enrollment. Students with disabilities may require special education and related services and accommodations for equitable participation, in conjunction with Part B of the IDEA, the Rules, R277-418, R277-713, and R277-726.

III.K. IEP AND SERVICES FOR PRESCHOOL STUDENTS AGES THREE THROUGH FIVE (RULES III.K.)

See Section [VII. Transitions](#) below.

III.L. PHYSICAL EDUCATION (34 CFR § 300.108; RULES III.L.)

1. Physical education services, specially designed if necessary, are made available to every student with a disability receiving a FAPE,
2. Each student with a disability must be afforded the opportunity to participate in the regular physical education program available to nondisabled students unless:
 - a. The student is enrolled full time in a separate facility; or
 - b. The student needs specially designed physical education, as prescribed in the student's IEP.
3. SLCSE is responsible for specially designed physical education (e.g., adapted PE) if it is prescribed in a student's IEP, by providing the services directly or by making arrangements for those services to be provided through other public or private programs.

4. SLCSE is responsible for the education of a student with a disability who is enrolled in a separate facility and must ensure the student receives appropriate physical education services.

III.M. ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY (34 CFR § 300.105; R277-495; RULES III.M.)

1. SLCSE must ensure assistive technology devices or assistive technology services, or both, are made available to a student with a disability if required as a part of the student's:
 - a. Special education,
 - b. Related services, or
 - c. Supplementary aids and services.
2. On a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a student's home or in other settings is required if the student's IEP Team determines the student needs access to those devices in order to receive a FAPE.

III.N. EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.106; R277-751; RULES III.N.)

1. Extended school year services mean special education and related services that:
 - a. Are provided to an eligible student with a disability:
 - (1) Beyond the normal school year of SLCSE;
 - (2) In accordance with the student's IEP; and
 - (3) At no cost to the parent(s) of the student or adult student; and
 - b. Meet the standards of the USBE in R277-751.
2. SLCSE shall ensure:
 - a. ESY services are available as necessary to provide a FAPE, consistent with the Rules and considered for each individual student with a disability during an IEP, based upon a review of multiple data sources and factors.
 - b. ESY student programs are provided in the least restrictive environment.
 - c. ESY teachers and paraeducators meet USBE and IDEA requirements.
3. ESY services must be provided only if a student's IEP Team determines, on an individual basis, the services are necessary for the provision of a FAPE to the student. The annual IEP shall reflect the IEP Team's decision regarding the need for ESY services.
 - a. Parent(s) or the adult student shall be provided with prior written notice of proposal or refusal to provide ESY services.
 - b. If the student is determined eligible for ESY services, the IEP Team shall determine the appropriate ESY program, based on the student's individual needs.

- c. ESY eligibility decisions and prior written notice of ESY programs shall be provided to parent(s) or adult student in sufficient time to permit accessing dispute resolution options of the procedural safeguards, in the event of a dispute.
- 4. In implementing the requirements of this section, SLCSE may not:
 - a. Limit ESY services to particular categories of disability, age, or grade level;
 - b. Unilaterally limit the type, amount, or duration of those services; or
 - c. Limit data consideration by IEP Teams to only an analysis of regression and recoupment.

III.O. LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE) (34 CFR § 300.114; RULES III.O.)

- 1. SLCSE must ensure:
 - a. To the maximum extent appropriate, students with disabilities, including students in public or private institutions or other care facilities (e.g., nursing homes), are educated with similar-aged students who are nondisabled; and
 - b. Special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of students with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily. In the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, consideration of a special class or school may be the least restrictive environment in that it provides opportunities for direct communication and instruction in the student's language and communication mode with professional personnel and peers.
 - c. LRE provisions apply to transition programs and placement.

III.P. CONTINUUM OF ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.115; RULES III.P.)

- 1. SLCSE must ensure a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of students with disabilities for special education and related services.
- 2. The continuum required:
 - a. Includes the following alternative placements for instruction:
 - (1) Regular classes,
 - (2) Special classes,
 - (3) Special schools,
 - (4) Home instruction, and
 - (5) Instruction in hospitals and institutions; and
 - b. Makes provision for supplementary services (such as resource room or itinerant instruction) to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement.

III.Q. PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.116; RULES III.Q.)

1. In determining the educational placement of a student with a disability, including a transition-aged student with a disability, SLCSE must ensure:
 - a. The placement decision:
 - (1) Is made by a group of persons, including the parent(s) or adult student and other persons knowledgeable about the student, the meaning of the evaluation data, and the placement options; and
 - (2) Is made in conformity with the LRE provisions above.
 - b. The student's placement:
 - (1) Is determined at least annually;
 - (2) Is based on the student's IEP; and
 - (3) Is as close as possible to the student's home;
 - c. Unless the IEP of a student with a disability requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that the student would attend if non-disabled;
 - d. In selecting the LRE, consideration is given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services the student needs; and
 - e. A student with a disability is not removed from education in age-appropriate regular classrooms solely because of needed modifications in the general education curriculum.

III.R. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT IN PLACEMENT DECISIONS (34 CFR §§ 300.327, 300.50; RULES III.R.)

1. SLCSE must ensure the parent(s) of each student with a disability or adult student are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of the parent's student or the adult student (Rules IV.B).
2. In implementing this requirement, SLCSE shall use procedures for parent or adult student involvement in placement decisions consistent with those used for parent participation in IEP meetings.
3. If neither parent or the adult student can participate in a meeting in which a decision is to be made relating to the educational placement of the student, SLCSE shall use other methods to ensure their participation, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.
4. A group may make a placement decision without the involvement of the parent(s) or adult student if SLCSE is unable to obtain either parent's or adult student's participation in the decision. In this case, SLCSE must have a record of its attempts to ensure their involvement.

III.S. NONACADEMIC SETTINGS AND EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES (34 CFR § 300.117; UCA 53G-6-709; RULES III.S.)

1. In providing or arranging for the provision of nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities, including meals, recess periods, and the services and activities in Rules III.V, SLCSE must ensure each student with a disability participates with non-disabled students in the extracurricular services and activities to the maximum extent appropriate to the needs of that student.
2. SLCSE must ensure each student with a disability has the supplementary aids and services determined by the student's IEP Team to be appropriate and necessary for the student to participate in nonacademic settings.
3. A student with a disability (under the age of 22 who has not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma, whose IEP Team recommends participation) may not be denied the opportunity of participating in public school programs or extracurricular activities solely because of the student's age, unless the participation threatens the health or safety of the student. SLCSE, in cooperation with the Utah Department of Health, shall establish criteria used to determine the health and safety factor (UCA 53G-6-709).

III.T. NONACADEMIC SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.107; RULES III.T.)

1. SLCSE must take steps, including the provision of supplementary aids and services determined appropriate and necessary by the student's IEP Team, to provide nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities in the manner necessary to afford students with disabilities an equal opportunity for participation in those services and activities.
2. Nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities may include counseling services, athletics, transportation, health services, recreational activities, special interest groups or clubs sponsored by SLCSE, referrals to agencies that provide assistance to individuals with disabilities, and employment of students, including both employment by SLCSE and assistance in making outside employment available.

IV. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS: DUE PROCESS PROCEDURES FOR PARENT(S) AND STUDENTS (IDEA SUBPART E)

IV.A. PARENTAL OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE RECORDS AND PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.501; RULES IV.A.)

1. Opportunity to examine records.
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be afforded, in accordance with the Rules, an opportunity to inspect and review all education records with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
2. Parent participation in meetings.
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be afforded an opportunity to participate in meetings with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
 - b. SLCSE must provide notice, consistent with the Rules, to ensure parents of students with disabilities or adult students have the opportunity to participate in meetings.
 - c. A meeting does not include informal or unscheduled conversations involving SLCSE personnel and conversations on issues such as teaching methodology, lesson plans, or coordination of service provision. A meeting also does not include preparatory activities that SLCSE personnel engage in to develop a proposal or a response to a parent or adult student proposal that will be discussed at a later meeting.
3. Parent involvement in placement decisions.
 - a. SLCSE must ensure that a parent of each student with a disability or adult student is a member of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of the parent's student (34 CFR § 300.327), including notifying the parent(s) or adult student of the meeting early enough to ensure that they will have an opportunity to attend and scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed-on time and place (34 CFR § 300.322(a)).
 - b. The notice of meeting must indicate the purpose(s), time, and location of the meeting, who will be in attendance, and inform the parents or adult student of their right to bring other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise about the student (34 CFR § 300.322(b)).
 - c. If neither parent or the adult student can participate in a meeting in which a decision is to be made relating to the educational placement of the student, SLCSE must use other methods to ensure their participation, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.

- d. A placement decision may be made by a group without the involvement of a parent or adult student if SLCSE is unable to obtain the parent's(s') or adult student's participation in the decision. In this case, the LEA must have a record of its attempt to ensure their involvement.

IV.B. INDEPENDENT EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.502; RULES IV.B.)

1. Definitions.
 - a. *Independent educational evaluation* (IEE) means an evaluation conducted by a qualified examiner who is not employed by the LEA responsible for the education of the student in question.
 - b. *Public expense* means that the LEA either pays for the full cost of the evaluation or ensures that the evaluation is otherwise provided at no cost to the parent or adult student.
2. SLCSE has established and implemented the following policies and procedures related to independent educational evaluation that meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules.
3. The following requirements must be addressed:
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student have the right to obtain an IEE of the student at public expense if they disagree with an evaluation obtained by SLCSE.
 - b. SLCSE must provide to the parent(s) or adult student, upon request for an IEE, information about where an IEE may be obtained and SLCSE criteria applicable for IEEs.
 - c. If the parent(s) or adult student requests an IEE at public expense, SLCSE must, without unnecessary delay, either file a due process complaint to request a hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate, or ensure an IEE is provided at public expense, unless SLCSE demonstrates in a hearing that the evaluation obtained by the parent(s) or adult student did not meet SLCSE criteria. If SLCSE files a due process complaint notice to request a hearing and the final decision is that SLCSE's evaluation is appropriate, the parent(s) or adult student still has the right to an IEE, but not at public expense. If the parent(s) or adult student requests an IEE, SLCSE may ask for the parent's(s') or adult student's reason why they object to the public evaluation. However, the explanation by the parent(s) or adult student may not be required and SLCSE may not unreasonably delay either providing the IEE at public expense or requesting a due process hearing to defend the public evaluation.
 - d. The parent(s) or adult student is entitled to only one IEE at public expense each time SLCSE conducts an evaluation with which the parent(s) or adult student disagrees.
 - e. If the parent(s) or adult student obtains an IEE at public expense or shares with SLCSE an evaluation obtained at private expense, the results of the evaluation must

be considered by SLCSE, if it meets SLCSE criteria, in any decision made with respect to the provision of a FAPE to the student and may be presented by any party as evidence at a hearing on a due process complaint regarding that student.

- f. If a hearing officer requests an IEE as part of a hearing on a due process complaint, the cost of the evaluation must be at public expense.
 - g. If an IEE is at public expense, the criteria under which the evaluation is obtained, including the location of the evaluation and the qualifications of the examiner, must be the same as the criteria that SLCSE uses when it initiates an evaluation, to the extent those criteria are consistent with the parent's(s') or adult student's right to an IEE.
 - h. Except for the criteria described above, SLCSE may not impose additional conditions or timelines related to obtaining an IEE at public expense.
4. An IEE conducted at SLCSE's expense becomes the property of SLCSE, in its entirety.

IV.C. PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.503; RULES IV.C.)

1. Prior written notice must be given to the parents of a student with a disability or adult student a reasonable time before SLCSE:
 - a. Proposes to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the student or the provision of a FAPE to the student; or
 - b. Refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the student or the provision of a FAPE to the student.
2. The notice required must include:
 - a. A description of the action proposed or refused by SLCSE;
 - b. An explanation of why SLCSE proposes or refuses to take the action;
 - c. A description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record, or report SLCSE used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;
 - d. A statement that the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student have protection under the procedural safeguards of Part B of the IDEA and, if this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained;
 - e. Sources for the parent(s) or adult student to contact to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of Part B of the IDEA;
 - f. A description of other options the IEP Team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected; and
 - g. A description of other factors relevant to SLCSE's proposal or refusal.
3. The notice must be:

- a. Written in language understandable to the general public; and
- b. Provided in the native language of the parent(s) or adult student or other mode of communication used by the parent(s) or adult student, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so.
 - (1) If the native language or other mode of communication of the parent(s) or adult student is not a written language, SLCSE must take steps to ensure:
 - (a) The notice is translated orally or by other means to the parent(s) or adult student in his/her native language or other mode of communication;
 - (b) The parent(s) or adult student understands the content of the notice; and
 - (c) There is written evidence that the requirements have been met.

IV.D. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.504; RULES IV.D.)

1. A copy of the procedural safeguards available to the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be given to the parent(s) or adult student only one time a year, except that a copy also must be given to the parent(s) or adult student:
 - a. Upon initial referral or parental or adult student request for evaluation;
 - b. Upon receipt of the first State complaint or a due process complaint in that school year; and
 - c. Upon request by the parent(s) or adult student.
2. An LEA may place a current copy of the procedural safeguards notice on its website if a website exists.
3. The procedural safeguards notice must include a full explanation of all the procedural safeguards relating to:
 - a. Independent educational evaluations;
 - b. Prior written notice;
 - c. Parental or adult student consent;
 - d. Access to educational records;
 - e. The opportunity to present and resolve complaints through the State IEP facilitation, mediation, due process complaint or State complaint procedures, including the time period in which to file a complaint;
 - f. The opportunity for SLCSE to resolve the complaint, and the difference between the due process complaint and the State complaint procedures, including the jurisdiction of each procedure, what issues may be raised, filing and decisional timelines, and relevant procedures;
 - g. The availability of IEP facilitation and mediation;
 - h. The student's placement during pendency of hearings on due process complaints;

- i. Procedures for students who are subject to placement in an interim alternative educational setting (IAES);
 - j. Requirements for unilateral placement by parent(s) of students or by adult students in private schools at public expense;
 - k. Hearings on due process complaints, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;
 - l. State-level appeals;
 - m. Civil actions, including the time period in which to file those actions; and
 - n. Attorneys' fees.
4. The notice required must be in language understandable to the parent(s) or adult student.
 5. A parent of a student with a disability or adult student may elect to receive notices by an electronic mail communication, if SLCSE makes that option available (34 CFR § 300.505).
 - a. Dispute resolution options remain available.

IV.E. STATE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.151–153; UCA 53E-7-208; RULES IV.E.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.E. regarding State Complaint Procedures.

IV.F. MEDIATION (34 CFR § 300.506; RULES IV.F.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.F. regarding Mediation.

IV.G. FILING A DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.507; UCA 53E-7-208; RULES IV.G)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.G. regarding filing a due process complaint.

IV.H. DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.508; RULES IV.H.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.H. regarding due process complaints.

IV.I. MODEL FORMS (34 CFR § 300.509; RULES IV.I.)

The USBE staff have developed model forms to assist parent(s) or adult students in filing a State complaint, a due process hearing complaint, and requesting mediation. These forms are available on the USBE Special Education Services webpage. Parties are not required to use the State's model forms. Parents or adult students, public agencies, and other parties may use the appropriate State model form or another form or other document, so long as the form or document that is used meets, as appropriate, the content requirements for filing a due process complaint or the requirements for filing a State complaint.

IV.J. RESOLUTION PROCESS (34 CFR § 300.510; RULES IV.J.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.J. regarding the resolution process.

IV.K. IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS HEARING (34 CFR § 300.511; RULES IV.K.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.K. regarding impartial due process hearings.

IV.L. HEARING RIGHTS (34 CFR § 300.512; RULES IV.L.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.L. regarding hearing rights.

IV.M. HEARING DECISIONS (34 CFR § 300.513; RULES IV.M.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.M. regarding hearing decisions.

IV.N. FINALITY OF DECISION (34 CFR § 300.514; RULES IV.N.)

SLCSE acknowledges that a decision made in a hearing conducted is final, unless a party to the hearing appeals the decision to a civil action.

IV.O. STATE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS (34 CFR § 300.537; RULES IV.O.)

SLCSE acknowledges the state enforcement mechanisms found in Rules IV.O.

IV.P. TIMELINES AND CONVENIENCE OF HEARINGS (34 CFR § 300.515; UBSE-SER IV.P.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.P. regarding timelines and convenience of hearings.

IV.Q. CIVIL ACTION (34 CFR § 300.516; RULES IV.Q.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.Q. regarding civil action.

IV.R. ATTORNEYS' FEES (34 CFR § 300.517; UCA 53E-7-208(4)(B); RULES IV.R.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.R. regarding attorneys' fees.

IV.S. STUDENT'S STATUS DURING PROCEEDINGS (34 CFR § 300.518; RULES IV.S.)

SLCSE follows all requirements found in Rules IV.S. regarding student's status during proceedings.

IV.T. SURROGATE PARENTS (34 CFR § 300.519; RULES IV.T.)

1. SLCSE ensures the rights of a student are protected when:
 - a. No parent can be identified for a student under the age of majority;
 - b. SLCSE, after reasonable efforts, cannot locate a parent for a student under the age of majority;
 - c. The student is a ward of the state under the laws of that state; or

- d. The student is an unaccompanied homeless youth under the age of majority.
2. The duties of SLCSE include the assignment of an individual to act as a surrogate for the parent(s) for a student under the age of majority. This must include a method for determining whether a student under the age of majority needs a surrogate parent and for assigning a surrogate parent to the student.
3. In the case of a student who is a ward of the State, the surrogate parent alternatively may be appointed by the judge overseeing the student's case, provided that the surrogate meets the requirements.
4. SLCSE may select a surrogate parent in any way permitted under State law.
5. SLCSE must ensure that a person selected as a surrogate parent:
 - a. Is not an employee of the USBE, SLCSE, or any other agency that is involved in the education or care of the student;
 - b. Has no personal or professional interest that conflicts with the interest of the student he/she represents; and
 - c. Has knowledge and skills that ensure adequate representation of the student.
6. A person otherwise qualified to be a surrogate parent is not an employee of SLCSE solely because the person is paid by SLCSE to serve as a surrogate parent.
7. In the case of a student who is an unaccompanied homeless youth, appropriate staff of emergency shelters, transitional shelters, independent living programs, and street outreach programs may be appointed as temporary surrogates until a surrogate can be appointed who meets all of the requirements.
8. The surrogate parent may represent the student in all matters relating to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student, and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
9. The USBE and SLCSE staff must make reasonable efforts to ensure the assignment of a surrogate parent not more than 30 calendar days after SLCSE determines the student needs a surrogate.

IV.U. TRANSFER OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AT AGE OF MAJORITY (34 CFR § 300.520; RULES IV.U.)

1. When a student with a disability reaches the age of majority under State law (i.e., age 18) that applies to all students, except for a student with a disability who has been determined to be incompetent under State law, or the student with a disability marries or becomes emancipated:
 - a. SLCSE must provide any notice required by Part B of the IDEA to both the individual and the parent(s); and
 - b. All other rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student;

- c. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to students who are incarcerated in an adult or juvenile State or local correctional institution; and
- d. Whenever a state transfers rights, SLCSE must notify the individual and the parent(s) of the transfer of rights within a reasonable time frame.

IV.V. CONFIDENTIALITY (34 CFR § 300.610; R277-487; RULES IV.V.)

SLCSE takes appropriate steps to ensure the protection of the confidentiality of any personally identifiable data, information, and records collected or maintained by the LEAs pursuant to Part B of the IDEA and R277-487.

1. Definitions (34 CFR § 300.611).

As used in these procedural safeguards:

- a. *Destruction* means physical destruction or removal of personal identifiers from information so that the information is no longer personally identifiable.
- b. *Education records* means the type of records covered under the definition of “education records” in 34 CFR § 99, implementing regulations for the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, 20 USC § 1232g (FERPA).
- c. *Participating agency* means any agency or institution that collects, maintains, or uses personally identifiable information (PII), or from which information is obtained, under Part B of the IDEA.

2. Notice to parent(s) or adult student (34 CFR § 300.612).

- a. SLCSE must give notice that is adequate to fully inform parent(s) or adult students, including:
 - (1) A description of the extent that the notice is given in the native languages of the various population groups in the State;
 - (2) A description of the students on whom PII is maintained, the types of information sought, the methods SLCSE intends to use in gathering the information (including the sources from whom information is gathered), and the uses to be made of the information;
 - (3) A summary of the policies and procedures SLCSE must follow regarding storage, disclosure to third parties, retention, and destruction of PII; and
 - (4) A description of all of the rights of parents and students regarding this information, including the rights under FERPA.

3. Before any major identification, location, or evaluation activity, the notice must be published or announced in newspapers or other media, or both, with circulation adequate to notify parents or adult students throughout SLCSE of the activity.

4. Access rights (34 CFR § 300.613).

- a. SLCSE must permit parents or adult students to inspect and review any education records relating to their student or themselves that are collected, maintained, or used by the LEA. SLCSE must comply with a request without unnecessary delay and before any meeting regarding an IEP, or any hearing or resolution session, and in no case more than 45 calendar days after the request has been made.
 - b. The right to inspect and review education records under this section includes:
 - (1) The right to a response from SLCSE to reasonable requests for explanations and interpretations of the records;
 - (2) The right to request that SLCSE provide copies of the records containing the information if failure to provide those copies would effectively prevent the parent or adult student from exercising the right to inspect and review the records; and
 - (3) The right to have a representative of the parent or adult student inspect and review the records.
 - c. SLCSE may presume that the parent(s) or adult student has authority to inspect and review records relating to his/her student unless SLCSE has been advised that the parent(s) does not have the authority under applicable State law governing such matters as guardianship, separation, and divorce.
5. Record of access (34 CFR § 300.614).
- SLCSE must keep a record of parties obtaining access to education records collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules (except access by parents or adult students and authorized employees of the LEA), including the name of the party, the date access was given, and the purpose for which the party is authorized to use the records.
6. Records on more than one student (34 CFR § 300.615).
- If any education record includes information on more than one student, the parent(s) of those students or the adult students have the right to inspect and review only the information relating to their student or themselves or to be informed of that specific information.
7. List of types and locations of information (34 CFR § 300.616).
- On request, SLCSE must provide parents or adult students with a list of the types and locations of education records collected, maintained, or used by the LEA.
8. Fees (34 CFR § 300.617).
- a. SLCSE may charge a fee for copies of records that are made for parent(s) or adult students under Part B of the IDEA if the fee does not effectively prevent the parent(s) or adult students from exercising their right to inspect and review those records.

- b. The USBE staff and an LEA may not charge a fee to search for or to retrieve information under Part B of the IDEA.

9. Amendment of records at parent's(s') request (34 CFR § 300.618).

- a. A parent or adult student who believes that information in the education records collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA or Rules is inaccurate or misleading or violates the privacy or other rights of the student may request SLCSE to amend the information.
- b. SLCSE must decide whether to amend the information in accordance with the request within a reasonable period of time of receipt of the request.
- c. If SLCSE decides to refuse to amend the information in accordance with the request, it must inform the parent or adult student of the refusal and advise the parent(s) or adult student of the right to a hearing on the matter.

10. Opportunity for a hearing (34 CFR § 300.619).

SLCSE must, on request, provide an opportunity for a hearing to challenge information in education records to ensure that it is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student. This hearing is not an IDEA due process complaint/hearing.

11. Result of hearing (34 CFR § 300.620).

- a. If, as a result of the hearing, SLCSE decides that the information is inaccurate, misleading or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student, it must amend the information accordingly and so inform the parent(s) or adult student in writing.
- b. If, as a result of the hearing, SLCSE decides that the information is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student, it must inform the parent(s) or adult student of the right to place in the records it maintains on the student a statement commenting on the information or setting forth any reasons for disagreeing with the decision of the LEA.
- c. Any explanation placed in the records of the student under this section must:
 - (1) Be maintained by the LEA as part of the records of the student as long as the record or contested portion is maintained by the LEA; and
 - (2) If the records of the student or the contested portion are disclosed by the LEA to any party, the explanation must also be disclosed to the party.

12. Hearing procedures (34 CFR § 300.621).

A hearing that challenges education records must be conducted according to the procedures under 34 CFR § 99.22 as described below. At a minimum, SLCSE's hearing procedures must adhere to the following requirements:

- a. The hearing shall be held within a reasonable period of time after the LEA receives the request, and the parent(s) of the student or adult student shall be given notice of the date, place, and time reasonably in advance of the hearing.
- b. The hearing may be conducted by any party, including an official of the LEA, who does not have a direct interest in the outcome of the hearing.
- c. The parent(s) of the student or adult student shall be afforded a full and fair opportunity to present evidence relevant to the issues raised and may be assisted or be represented by individuals of his/her choice at his/her own expense, including an attorney.
- d. The LEA shall make its decision in writing within a reasonable period of time after the conclusion of the hearing.
- e. The decision of the LEA shall be based solely upon the evidence presented at the hearing and shall include a summary of the evidence and the reasons for the decision.

13. Consent (34 CFR § 300.622).

- a. Except as to disclosures addressed in referral to and action by law enforcement and judicial authorities, for which parental consent is not required by 34 CFR § 99, parental or adult student consent must be obtained before PII is:
 - (1) Disclosed to anyone other than officials of participating agencies collecting or using the information under Part B of the IDEA or the Rules, or
 - (2) Used for any purpose other than meeting a requirement of Part B of the IDEA or the Rules.
- b. SLCSE may not release information from education records to participating agencies without parental or adult student consent unless authorized to do so by 34 CFR §§ 99.31 and 99.34 (FERPA):
 - (1) Regulation 34 CFR § 99.31 allows an LEA to disclose PII from the education records of a student without the written consent of the parent(s) of the student or adult student, if the disclosure is:
 - (a) To other school officials, including teachers within the LEA who have been determined by the LEA to have legitimate educational interests.
 - (b) To officials of another school or school site in which the student seeks or intends to enroll, subject to the requirements set forth in 34 CFR § 99.34 below.
 - (2) Regulation 34 CFR § 99.34 requires that an LEA transferring the education records of a student pursuant to 34 CFR § 99.34 above shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the parent of the student or adult student of the transfer of records at the last known address of the parent or adult student, except that the LEA does not have to provide any further notice of the transfer of records when:

- (a) The transfer is initiated by the parent(s) or adult student at the sending LEA.
 - (b) SLCSE includes in its annual notice of procedural safeguards, that it is the policy of the LEA to forward education records on request to a school in which a student seeks or intends to enroll.
 - (c) SLCSE transferring the records must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.
- c. SLCSE, upon receiving PII from another educational agency or institution, may make further disclosure of the information on behalf of the LEA without the prior written consent of the parent(s) or adult student if the conditions of 34 CFR §§ 99.31 and 99.34 noted above are met, and if the educational agency informs the party to whom disclosure is made of these requirements.
 - d. If the parent(s) or adult student refuses consent for the release of PII to a third party, then that party may proceed with statutory procedures in an effort to obtain the desired information.

Note: As authorized in 34 CFR § 99.31 (FERPA), SLCSE includes in the annual procedural safeguards notice that it is their policy to forward educational records of a student with disabilities without parental or adult student consent or notice to officials of another school or school district in which a student seeks or intends to enroll.

14. Safeguards (34 CFR § 300.623).

- a. SLCSE must protect the confidentiality of PII at collection, storage, disclosure, and destruction stages.
- b. One official at each LEA must assume responsibility for ensuring the confidentiality of any PII.
- c. All persons at SLCSE collecting or using PII must receive training or instruction regarding the State's policies and procedures in Rules IV.V. and 34 CFR § 99.
- d. SLCSE must maintain, for public inspection, a current listing of the names and positions of those employees within the LEA who may have access to PII on students with disabilities.

15. Destruction of information (34 CFR § 300.624).

- a. SLCSE must inform parents or adult student when PII collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA and Rules is no longer needed to provide educational services to the student.
- b. The information no longer needed must be destroyed at the request of the parent(s) or adult student. However, a permanent record of a student's name, address, phone number, his/her grades, attendance record, classes attended, grade level completed, and year completed may be maintained without time limitation.

- c. Each student's records may be considered "no longer needed to provide educational services" and may be destroyed three years after the student graduates or three years after the student turns 22 under IDEA. Medicaid requires that records be maintained for at least five years after the provision of services.

16. Students' rights (34 CFR § 300.625).

- a. The rights of privacy afforded to parent(s) are transferred to the student who reaches the age of 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated.
- b. Under the regulations for FERPA at 34 CFR § 99.5(a), the rights of parent(s) regarding education records are transferred to the student at age 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated.
- c. Because the rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA are transferred to a student who reaches the age of 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated, the rights regarding educational records must also be transferred to the student. However, SLCSE must provide any notice required under Section 615 of Part B of the IDEA to the student and the parent(s).

17. Enforcement (34 CFR § 300.626).

The confidentiality requirements of Part B of the IDEA are reviewed and approved as part of SLCSE eligibility process.

18. U.S. Department of Education use of PII (34 CFR § 300.627).

If the U.S. Department of Education or its authorized representatives collect any PII regarding students with disabilities that is not subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 USC § 552a, the Secretary of Education (Secretary hereafter) applies the applicable Federal statute, and the regulations implementing those provisions in 34 CFR § 5b.

V. DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.530)

V.A. DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (RULES V.A.)

Consistent with the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, SLCSE shall establish, maintain, and implement the following policies and procedures for disciplining students with disabilities.

V.B. AUTHORITY OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL (34 CFR § 300.530(A–C); RULES V.B.)

1. School personnel may consider any unique circumstances on a case-by-case basis when determining whether a change in placement, consistent with the other requirements of this section, is appropriate for a student with a disability who violates a code of student conduct.
2. School personnel may remove a student with a disability who violates a code of student conduct from his/her current placement to an appropriate interim alternative educational setting (IAES), another setting, or suspension, for not more than ten consecutive school days (to the extent those alternatives are applied to students without disabilities), and for additional removals of not more than ten consecutive school days in that same school year for separate incidents of misconduct, as long as those removals do not constitute a change of placement.
3. After a student with a disability has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days in the same school year, during any subsequent days of removal SLCSE must provide services to the extent required.
4. For disciplinary changes in placement that would exceed ten consecutive school days, if the behavior that gave rise to the violation of the school code is determined not to be a manifestation of the student's disability, school personnel may apply the relevant disciplinary procedures to students with disabilities in the same manner and for the same duration as the procedures would be applied to students without disabilities, except after the tenth day of removal that constitutes a change in placement, the LEA must provide services to the student.

V.C. SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.530(D); RULES V.C.)

1. A student with a disability who is removed from the student's current placement must:
 - a. Continue to receive educational services so as to enable the student to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the student's IEP; and
 - b. Receive, as appropriate, a functional behavior assessment, and behavior intervention services and modifications that are designed to address the behavior violation so that it does not recur.
2. The services may be provided in an IAES.

3. SLCSE is only required to provide services during periods of removal to a student with a disability who has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days or less in that school year if it also provides services to a student without disabilities who is similarly removed.
4. After a student with a disability has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days in the same school year, if the current removal is for not more than ten consecutive school days and is not a change of placement, school personnel, in consultation with at least one of the student's teachers, determine the extent to which services are needed, so as to enable the student to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the student's IEP.
5. If the removal is a change of placement, the student's IEP Team determines appropriate services to be provided during the removal.

V.D. CHANGE OF PLACEMENT DUE TO DISCIPLINARY REMOVALS (34 CFR § 300.536; RULES V.D.)

1. For purposes of removals of a student with a disability from the student's current educational placement, a change of placement occurs if:
 - a. The removal is for more than ten consecutive school days; or
 - b. The student has been subjected to a series of removals that constitute a pattern:
 - (1) Because the series of removals total more than ten school days in a school year;
 - (2) Because the student's behavior is substantially similar to the student's behavior in previous incidents that resulted in the series of removals; and
 - (3) Because of such additional factors as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student has been removed, and the proximity of the removals to one another.
2. SLCSE determines on a case-by-case basis whether a pattern of removals constitutes a change of placement. This determination is subject to review through due process and judicial proceedings.

V.E. MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION (34 CFR § 300.530(E); RULES V.E.)

1. Within ten school days of any decision to change the placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, SLCSE, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team (as determined by the parent(s) or adult student and the LEA) must review all relevant information in the student's file, including the student's IEP, any teacher observations, and any relevant information provided by the parent(s) or adult student to determine:
 - a. If the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to, the student's disability; or

- b. If the conduct in question was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP.
2. The conduct must be determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability if SLCSE, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team determine that the misconduct was caused by or had a direct and substantial relationship to the student's disability, or was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP.
3. If SLCSE, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team determine that the misconduct was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP, the LEA must take immediate steps to remedy those deficiencies.
4. If SLCSE, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the IEP Team make the determination that the conduct was a manifestation of the student's disability, the IEP Team must either:
 - a. Conduct a functional behavior assessment (FBA), unless SLCSE had conducted a FBA before the behavior that resulted in the change of placement occurred, and implement a behavior intervention plan (BIP) for the student; or
 - b. If a BIP has already been developed, review the BIP, and modify it, as necessary, to address the behavior; and
 - c. Unless the misconduct falls under the definition of special circumstances in Rules V.E.5, return the student to the placement from which the student was removed, unless the parent or adult student and the LEA agree to a change of placement as part of the modification of the behavior intervention plan.
5. Special circumstances.

School personnel may remove a student to an IAES for not more than 45 school days without regard to whether the behavior is determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability, if the student:

 - a. Carries a weapon to or possesses a weapon at school, on school premises, or to or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA;
 - b. Knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs, or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance, while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA, or
 - c. Has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA.
6. Definitions.

For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

- a. *Controlled substance* means a drug or other substance that cannot be distributed without a prescription, identified under schedules I, II, III, IV, or V in section 202(c) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 USC § 812(c)).
- b. *Illegal drug* means a controlled substance but does not include a drug controlled, possessed, or used under the supervision of a licensed health-care professional or one legally possessed or used under the Controlled Substances Act or under any other provision of Federal law (21 USC § 812).
- c. *Serious bodily injury* means bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death, extreme physical pain, protracted and obvious disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty (18 USC § 1365). Serious bodily injury does not include a cut, abrasion, bruise, burn, disfigurement, physical pain, illness, or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ or mental faculty that is temporary (20 USC § 1365).
- d. *Weapon* means a weapon, device, instrument, material, or substance, animate or inanimate, that is used for or is readily capable of, causing death or serious bodily injury, except that such term does not include a pocket knife with a blade of less than 2.5 inches (18 USC § 930).

V.F. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.530; RULES V.F.)

On the date on which the decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, SLCSE must notify the parent(s) or adult student of that decision, and provide the parent(s) or adult student the procedural safeguards notice.

V.G. DETERMINATION OF SETTING (34 CFR § 300.531; RULES V.G.)

The student's IEP Team determines the IAES for services if the behavior that gives rise to the removal is not a manifestation of the student's disability, the removal constitutes a change of placement, or the behavior falls under the special circumstances in USBE V.E.5.

V.H. APPEALS BY PARENT OR LEA (34 CFR § 300.532; RULES V.H.)

1. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student who disagrees with any decision regarding placement or the manifestation determination, or an LEA that believes that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or others, may appeal the decision by filing a due process hearing complaint.
2. Authority of hearing officer.
 - a. A due process hearing officer hears and makes a determination regarding an appeal.
 - b. In making the determination, the hearing officer may:
 - (1) Return the student with a disability to the placement from which the student was removed if the hearing officer determines that the removal was a violation

of the discipline procedures under Part B of the IDEA or the Rules or that the student's behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability; or

- (2) Order a change of placement of the student with a disability to an appropriate IAES for not more than 45 school days if the hearing officer determines that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.
 - c. The appeal procedures may be repeated if SLCSE believes that returning the student to the original placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.
3. Expedited due process hearing.
- a. Whenever a hearing is requested, the parent(s) or adult student or SLCSE must have an opportunity for an impartial due process hearing.
 - b. SLCSE is responsible for arranging the expedited due process hearing with the State Director of Special Education, which must occur within 20 school days of the date the complaint requesting the hearing is filed. The hearing officer must make a determination within ten school days after the hearing.
 - c. Unless the parent(s) or adult student and SLCSE agree in writing to waive the resolution meeting, or agree to use mediation:
 - (1) A resolution meeting must occur within seven calendar days of receiving notice of the due process complaint; and
 - (2) The due process hearing may proceed unless the matter has been resolved to the satisfaction of both parties within 15 calendar days of the receipt of the due process complaint.
 - d. The decisions on expedited due process hearings are final, unless meeting the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.514(b) or 34 CFR § 300.516.

V.I. PLACEMENT DURING APPEALS (34 CFR § 300.533; RULES V.I.)

When an appeal through a due process complaint has been made by either the parent or adult student or SLCSE, the student must remain in the IAES pending the decision of the hearing officer or until the expiration of the time period specified, whichever occurs first, unless the parent(s) or adult student and the SEA or LEA agree otherwise.

V.J. PROTECTIONS FOR STUDENTS NOT DETERMINED ELIGIBLE FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.534; RULES V.J.)

1. A student who has not been determined to be eligible for special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA, and who has engaged in behavior that violated a code of student conduct, may assert any of the protections provided for in this part if SLCSE had knowledge that the student was a student with a disability before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred.

2. SLCSE must be deemed to have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability if, before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred:
 - a. The parent(s) of the student or adult student expressed concern in writing to supervisory or administrative personnel of SLCSE, or a teacher of the student, that the student is in need of special education and related services;
 - b. The parent(s) of the student or adult student requested an evaluation of the student; or
 - c. The teacher of the student, or other personnel of SLCSE, expressed specific concerns about a pattern of behavior demonstrated by the student directly to the director of special education of the LEA or to other supervisory personnel of the LEA.
3. SLCSE would not be deemed to have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability if:
 - a. The parent(s) of the student or the adult student:
 - (1) Has not allowed an evaluation of the student; or
 - (2) Has refused services under this part; or
 - b. The student has been evaluated in accordance with and determined to not be a student with a disability under Part B of the IDEA.
4. If SLCSE does not have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability prior to taking disciplinary measures against the student, the student may be subjected to the disciplinary measures applied to students without disabilities who engage in comparable behaviors.
 - a. If a request is made for an evaluation of a student during the time period in which the student is subjected to disciplinary measures, the evaluation must be conducted in an expedited manner.
 - (1) Until the evaluation is completed, the student remains in the educational placement determined by school authorities, which can include suspension or expulsion without educational services.
 - (2) If the student is determined to be a student with a disability, taking into consideration information from the evaluation conducted by SLCSE and information provided by the parent(s) or adult student, the LEA must provide special education and related services.

V.K. REFERRAL TO AND ACTION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES (34 CFR § 300.535; RULES V.K.)

1. Nothing in Part B of the IDEA prohibits SLCSE from reporting a crime committed by a student with a disability to appropriate authorities or prevents State law enforcement and judicial authorities from exercising their responsibilities with regard to the application of Federal and State law to crimes committed by a student with a disability.

2. Transmittal of records.

- a. If SLCSE reports a crime committed by a student with a disability, it must ensure that copies of the special education and disciplinary records of the student are transmitted for consideration by the appropriate authorities to whom the LEA reports the crime.
- b. If SLCSE reports a crime under this section, it may transmit copies of the student's special education and disciplinary records only to the extent that the transmission is permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

VI. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN OTHER SETTINGS

VI.A. PRIVATE SCHOOL PLACEMENTS BY LEAS (34 CFR § 300.325; RULES VI.A.)

1. Developing IEPs.
 - a. Before SLCSE places a student with a disability in, or refers a student to, a private school or facility, SLCSE must initiate and conduct a meeting to develop an IEP for the student in accordance with Part B of the IDEA and the Rules.
 - b. SLCSE must ensure that a representative of the private school or facility attends the meeting. If the representative cannot attend, the LEA must use other methods to ensure participation by the private school or facility, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.
2. Reviewing and revising IEPs.
 - a. After a student with a disability is placed in a private school or facility, any meetings to review and revise the student's IEP may be initiated and conducted by the private school or facility at the discretion of SLCSE.
 - b. If the private school or facility initiates and conducts these meetings, SLCSE must ensure that the parent(s) or adult student and an LEA representative:
 - (1) Are involved in any decisions about the student's IEP; and
 - (2) Agree to any proposed changes in the IEP before those changes are implemented.
3. Even if a private school or facility implements a student's IEP, responsibility for compliance with this part remains with SLCSE and the USBE.
4. Residential placement (34 CFR § 300.104).

If placement in a public or private residential program is necessary to provide special education and related services to a student with a disability, the program, including non-medical care and room and board, must be at no cost to the parent(s) of the student or adult student.

VI.B. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS NOT AT ISSUE (UNILATERAL PLACEMENT) (34 CFR § 300.130; RULES VI.B.)

Parentally placed private school students with disabilities means students with disabilities enrolled by their parent(s) or an adult student in private, including religious, schools or facilities that meet the definition of elementary school or secondary school in Part B of the IDEA.

1. Definitions.

- a. *Elementary school* means a nonprofit institutional day or residential school, including a public elementary charter school, that provides elementary education, as determined under State law (34 CFR § 300.13).
 - b. *Secondary school* means a nonprofit institutional day or residential school, including a public secondary charter school, that provides secondary education, as determined under State law, except that it does not include any education beyond grade 12 (34 CFR § 300.36). Grades nine and above must be accredited, in accordance with USBE Rule.
2. Child find for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities (34 CFR § 300.131).
- a. SLCSE must locate, identify, and evaluate all students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parent(s), or adult students, in private (either for-profit or nonprofit), including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in the area served by SLCSE.
 - b. SLCSE'S child find process must be designed to ensure:
 - (1) The equitable participation of parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students; and
 - (2) An accurate count of those students in nonprofit private schools.
 - c. SLCSE must undertake activities similar to the activities undertaken for SLCSE's public school students.
 - d. The cost of carrying out the child find requirements in this section, including individual evaluations, may not be considered in determining if SLCSE has met its obligation under spending a proportionate share of funds under Part B of the IDEA to provide services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students.
 - e. The child find process must be completed in a time period comparable to that for students attending public schools in SLCSE.
 - f. If private (for-profit and nonprofit), including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools are located in SLCSE, SLCSE must, in carrying out the child find requirements in this section, include parentally placed or adult student private school students who reside in a state other than the state in which the private schools that they attend are located.
3. Basic requirements for provision of services for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities (34 CFR § 300.132).
- a. To the extent consistent with the number and location of students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parent(s), or an adult student, in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in the area served by SLCSE, provision is made for the participation of those students in the

- program assisted or carried out under Part B of the IDEA by providing them with special education and related services, including direct services.
- b. SLCSE must develop and implement a services plan for each nonprofit private school student with a disability who has been designated by SLCSE in which the private school is located to receive special education and related services.
 - c. SLCSE must maintain in its records, and provide to the USBE staff annually, the following information related to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students, including that required under Rules II.A.(4)(c):
 - (1) The number of students evaluated and reevaluated within three years;
 - (2) The number of students determined to be students with disabilities; and
 - (3) The number of students served.
4. Expenditures (34 CFR § 300.133).
- a. SLCSE must spend the following on providing special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities:
 - (1) For students ages 3 through 21, an amount that is the same proportion of the SLCSE's total subgrant under Section 611(f) of Part B of the IDEA as the number of private school students with disabilities ages 3 through 21 who are enrolled by their parent(s), or an adult student, in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in SLCSE, is to the total number of students with disabilities in its jurisdiction ages 3 through 21.
 - (2) For students ages three through five, an amount that is the same proportion of SLCSE's total subgrant under Section 619(g) of the Part B of the IDEA as the number of parentally placed private school students with disabilities ages three through five who are enrolled by their parent(s) in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools located in SLCSE, is to the total number of students with disabilities in SLCSE ages three through five.
 - (a) Students ages three through five are considered to be parentally placed private school students with disabilities enrolled by their parent(s) in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools, if they are enrolled in a private preschool that is part of a private elementary school.
 - (3) If SLCSE has not expended for equitable services all of the required funds by the end of the fiscal year for which Congress appropriated the funds, SLCSE must obligate the remaining funds for special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities during a carryover period of one additional year.
 - b. In calculating the proportionate amount of Federal funds to be provided for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities,

SLCSE, after timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of private schools, must conduct a thorough and complete child find process to determine the number of parentally placed, or adult student, students with disabilities attending nonprofit private schools located in SLCSE.

- c. Annual count of the number of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities.

(1) SLCSE must:

- (a) After timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities, determine the number of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities attending nonprofit private schools located in SLCSE; and
- (b) Ensure that the count is conducted on any date between October 1 and December 1, inclusive, of each year.

(2) The count must be used to determine the amount that SLCSE must spend on providing special education and related services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities in the next subsequent fiscal year.

- d. State and local funds may supplement and in no case supplant the proportionate amount of Federal funds required to be expended for parentally placed adult student private school students with disabilities.

5. Consultation (34 CFR § 300.134).

To ensure timely and meaningful consultation, SLCSE must consult with nonprofit private school representatives and representatives of parent(s) of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities during the design and development of special education and related services for the students regarding the following:

- a. The child find process, including:
 - (1) How parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students suspected of having a disability can participate equitably; and
 - (2) How the parent(s) or adult student, teachers, and nonprofit private school officials will be informed of the process.
- b. The determination of the proportionate share of Federal funds available to serve parentally or adult student placed nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including the determination of how the proportionate share of those funds was calculated.
- c. The consultation process among SLCSE, nonprofit private school officials, and representatives of parent(s), or the adult student, of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities, including how the process will

operate throughout the school year to ensure that parentally placed, or adult student, students with disabilities identified through the child find process can meaningfully participate in special education and related services.

- d. How, where, and by whom special education and related services will be provided for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including a discussion of:
 - (1) The types of services, including direct services and alternate service delivery mechanisms; and
 - (2) How special education and related services will be apportioned if funds are insufficient to serve all parentally placed or adult student private school students; and
 - (3) How and when those decisions will be made;
 - e. How, if SLCSE disagrees with the views of the nonprofit private school officials on the provision of services or the types of services (whether provided directly or through a contract), SLCSE will provide to the nonprofit private school officials a written explanation of the reasons why the school district chose not to provide services directly or through a contract.
6. Written affirmation (34 CFR § 300.135).
- a. When timely and meaningful consultation has occurred, SLCSE must obtain a written affirmation signed by the representatives of participating nonprofit private schools.
 - b. If the representatives do not provide the affirmation within a reasonable period of time, SLCSE must forward the documentation of the consultation process to the State Director of Special Education.
7. Compliance (34 CFR § 300.136).
- a. A nonprofit private school official has the right to submit a complaint to the State Director of Special Education that SLCSE:
 - (1) Did not engage in consultation that was meaningful and timely; or
 - (2) Did not give due consideration to the views of the nonprofit private school official.
 - b. Procedure.
 - (1) If the nonprofit private school official wishes to submit a complaint, the official must provide to the State Director of Special Education the basis of the noncompliance by SLCSE with the applicable nonprofit private school provisions in this part; and
 - (2) SLCSE must forward the appropriate documentation to the State Director of Special Education.

- (3) If the nonprofit private school official is dissatisfied with the decision of the State Director of Special Education, the official may submit a complaint to the Secretary by providing the information on noncompliance described above; and the State Director of Special Education must forward the appropriate documentation to the Secretary.
8. Equitable services determined (34 CFR § 300.137).
- a. No parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school student with a disability has an individual right to receive some or all of the special education and related services that the student would receive if enrolled in a public school.
 - b. Decisions about the services that will be provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities by SLCSE must be made in accordance with the consultation and proportionate share requirements.
 - c. SLCSE must make the final decisions with respect to the services to be provided to eligible parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities.
 - d. If a student with a disability is enrolled in a nonprofit religious or other private school by the student's parent(s) or adult student and will receive special education or related services from SLCSE, SLCSE must:
 - (1) Initiate and conduct meetings to develop, review, and revise a services plan for the student; and
 - (2) Ensure that a representative of the religious or other nonprofit private school attends each meeting. If the representative cannot attend, SLCSE shall use other methods to ensure participation by the religious or other nonprofit private school, including individual or conference telephone calls.
9. Equitable services provided (34 CFR § 300.138).
- a. The services provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities must be provided by personnel meeting the same standards as personnel providing services in the public schools, except that private elementary school and secondary school teachers who are providing equitable services to parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities do not have to meet the USBE and IDEA special education teacher requirements.
 - b. Parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities may receive a different amount of services than students with disabilities in public schools.
 - c. Services provided in accordance with a services plan.
 - (1) Each parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school student with a disability who has been designated to receive services must have a services plan

that describes the specific special education and related services that SLCSE will provide to the student in light of the services that SLCSE has determined it will make available to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities.

(2) The services plan must, to the extent appropriate:

(a) Meet the same content requirements as the IEP, including access and progress in the general curriculum, or for a student ages three through five, including access and progress in age-appropriate activities, with respect to the services provided; and

(b) Be developed, reviewed, and revised consistent with the IEP provisions in Rule III.I.

d. Provision of equitable services.

(1) Services must be provided:

(a) By employees of SLCSE; or

(b) Through contract by the school district with an individual, association, agency, organization, or other entity.

(2) Special education and related services provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including materials and equipment, must be secular, neutral, and non-ideological.

10. Location of services and transportation (34 CFR § 300.139).

a. Services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities may be provided on the premises of private, including religious, schools, to the extent consistent with law.

b. Transportation.

(1) If necessary for the student to benefit from or participate in the services provided under this part, a parentally or adult student placed nonprofit private school student with a disability must be provided transportation:

(a) From the student's school or the student's home to a site other than the private school; and

(b) From the service site to the private school, or to the student's home, depending on the timing of the services.

(2) School districts are not required to provide transportation from the student's home to the private school.

(3) The cost of the transportation may be included in calculating whether the school district has met the requirements for proportionate share spending.

11. Due process complaints and State complaints (34 CFR § 300.140).

- a. Due process not applicable, except for child find.
 - (1) Except as provided in Rule VI.B.11.b, the procedures for State complaints and due process hearing requests do not apply to complaints that a school district has failed to meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and Rules, including the provision of services indicated on the student's services plan.
- b. Child find complaints to be filed with the school district in which the private school is located.
 - (1) The procedures for State complaints and due process hearing requests apply to complaints that a school district has failed to meet the child find requirements in Part B of the IDEA and Rules.
 - (2) Any due process complaint regarding the child find requirements as described in Rule VI.B.11.b.(1) must be filed with the school district in which the private school is located, and a copy must be forwarded to the State Director of Special Education.

12. State complaints.

- a. Any complaint that a school district has failed to meet the requirements for provision of services, expenditures, consultation, written affirmation, determination of equitable services, location of services, due process and State complaints, funds not to benefit a private school, use of personnel, separate classes prohibited, and use of property, equipment, and supplies must be filed in accordance with the State complaint procedures described in Rule IV.G.
- b. A complaint filed by a nonprofit private school official under the meaningful and timely consultation, or due consideration to views of private school official requirements, must be filed with the State Director of Special Education in accordance with the procedures in Rule VI.B.7.b.

13. Requirement that funds not benefit a private school (34 CFR § 300.141).

- a. An LEA may not use funds provided under Section 611 or 619 of the IDEA to finance the existing level of instruction in a private school or to otherwise benefit the private school.
- b. The school district must use funds provided under Part B of the IDEA to meet the special education and related services needs of parentally or adult student placed private school students with disabilities, but not for meeting:
 - (1) The needs of a private school; or
 - (2) The general needs of the students enrolled in the private school.

14. Use of personnel (34 CFR § 300.142).

- a. A school district may use funds available under sections 611 and 619 of the IDEA to make public school personnel available in other than public facilities:

- (1) To the extent necessary to provide services contained in service plans for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities; and
 - (2) If those services are not normally provided by the private school.
 - b. A school district may use funds available under sections 611 and 619 of the IDEA to pay for the services of an employee of a private school to provide services contained in service plans for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities if:
 - (1) The employee performs the services outside of his/her regular hours of duty; and
 - (2) The employee performs the services under public supervision and control.
- 15. Separate classes prohibited (34 CFR § 300.143).

An LEA may not use funds available under Section 611 or 619 of the IDEA for classes that are organized separately on the basis of school enrollment or religion of the students if:

 - a. The classes are at the same site; and
 - b. The classes include students enrolled in public schools and students enrolled in private schools.
- 16. Property, equipment, and supplies (34 CFR § 300.144).
 - a. A school district must control and administer the funds used to provide special education and related services, and hold title to and administer materials, equipment, and property purchased with those funds for the uses and purposes provided in the IDEA.
 - b. The school district may place equipment and supplies in a private school for the period of time needed for the Part B program.
 - c. The school district must ensure that the equipment and supplies placed in a private school:
 - (1) Are used only for Part B purposes; and
 - (2) Can be removed from the private school without remodeling the private school facility.
 - d. The school district must remove equipment and supplies from a private school if:
 - (1) The equipment and supplies are no longer needed for Part B purposes; or
 - (2) Removal is necessary to avoid unauthorized use of the equipment and supplies for other than Part B purposes.
 - e. No funds under Part B of the IDEA may be used for repairs, minor remodeling, or construction of private school facilities.

VI.C. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS AT ISSUE (34 CFR § 300.148; RULES VI.C.)

1. SLCSE is not required to pay for the cost of education, including special education and related services, of a student with a disability at a private school or facility if that LEA made a FAPE available to the student and the parent(s) or adult student elected to place the student in a private school or facility. However, SLCSE must include that student in the population whose needs are addressed consistent with the Rules VI.B. (District responsibilities include, but are not limited to child find, expenditures of school district special education funds, consultation with private school representatives during the design and development of special education and related services and written affirmations of the same, provision of services within a services plan, and many other nuanced responsibilities.)
2. Disagreements between the parent(s) or adult student and an LEA regarding the availability of a program appropriate for the student, and the question of financial reimbursement, are subject to the State complaint and due process procedures in the Rules IV.G.–R.
3. If the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student, who previously received special education and related services under the authority of SLCSE, enroll the student in a private preschool, elementary school, or secondary school without the consent of or referral by the LEA, a court or a hearing officer may require the LEA to reimburse the parent(s) or adult student for the cost of that enrollment if the court or hearing officer finds that the LEA had not made a FAPE available to the student in a timely manner prior to that enrollment and that the private placement is appropriate. A parental placement may be found to be appropriate by a hearing officer or a court even if it does not meet the State standards that apply to education provided by the USBE and LEAs.
4. The cost of reimbursement may be reduced or denied if:
 - a. At the most recent IEP Team meeting that the parent(s) or adult student attended prior to removal of the student from the public school, the parent(s) or adult student did not inform the IEP Team that they were rejecting the placement proposed by the LEA to provide a FAPE to the student, including stating their concerns and their intent to enroll their student in a private school at public expense; or
 - b. At least ten business days (including any holidays that occur on a business day) prior to the removal of the student from the public school, the parent(s) or adult student did not give written notice to the LEA of the information described in the Rules VI.C.4.a;
 - c. Prior to the parent's(s') or adult student's removal of the student from the public school, the LEA informed the parent(s) or adult student, through the prior written notice requirements, of its intent to evaluate the student (including a statement of

- the purpose of the evaluation that was appropriate and reasonable), but the parent(s) or adult student did not make the student available for the evaluation; or
- d. Upon a judicial finding of unreasonableness with respect to actions taken by the parent(s) or adult student.
5. Notwithstanding the requirements for the parent(s) or adult student to provide notice to SLCSE prior to removal of the student, the cost of reimbursement:
 - a. Must not be reduced or denied for failure to provide the notice if:
 - (1) The school prevented the parent(s) or adult student from providing the notice;
 - (2) The parent(s) or adult student had not received prior written notice of the notice requirement in Rules VI.C.4.a–c; or
 - (3) Compliance with the notice requirements in Rules VI.C.4.a.–c. would likely result in physical harm to the student; and
 - b. May, in the discretion of the court or a hearing officer, not be reduced or denied for failure to provide this notice if:
 - (1) The parent(s) or adult student are not literate or cannot write in English; or
 - (2) Compliance with Rule VI.C.4.a.–c. would likely result in serious emotional harm to the student.

VI.D. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN HOME SCHOOL. (RULES VI.D.)

1. SLCSE is responsible for location, identification, and evaluation for eligibility for home schooled students in its boundaries.
2. A home-schooled student shall meet the eligibility criteria for students with disabilities in conformity with the Rules II.C.–H., including proper documentation, using comparable procedures to those required for identifying an eligible public school student.
3. If a parent of a student or adult student who is home schooled or placed in a private school by the parent(s) at their own expense does not provide consent for the initial evaluation or the reevaluation, or the parent or adult student fails to respond to a request to provide consent (34 CFR § 300.300):
 - a. SLCSE may not use the dispute resolution procedures provided in the procedural safeguards, including mediation or due process procedures; and
 - b. SLCSE is not required to consider the student as eligible for services.
4. Students enrolled in home school full time.

No student with a disability who is home schooled full time has an individual right to receive any of the special education and related services that the student would receive if enrolled in a public school.

5. SLCSE must make the final decision with respect to the services, if any, to be provided to eligible home-schooled students with disabilities.
6. SLCSE may develop a services plan for home schooled students with disabilities who are eligible for special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules. The services plan shall describe the special education and related services, if any, that the LEA will provide to the student, and must, to the extent appropriate:
 - a. Meet the IEP content requirements with respect to the services provided; and
 - b. Be developed, reviewed, and revised consistent with the IEP provisions in Rules III.I.
7. SLCSE shall determine where and when any services specified in the services plan will be provided.
8. Dual enrollment (R277-438 and UCA 53G-6-702).
 - a. A student with a disability who is simultaneously enrolled in both home school or private school and a public school is considered a dual enrollment student.
 - b. A student with a disability seeking dual enrollment is entitled to special education and related services, under an IEP, for the time, or for the number of courses, the student is enrolled in the public school, based on the decision of the student's IEP Team. The IEP Team must consider the amount of time and courses needed for the provision of FAPE.
9. Home schools do not meet the definition of private schools (R277-438).

VI.E. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN ADULT EDUCATION (RULES VI.E.)

1. Students with disabilities enrolled in Adult Education remain entitled to special education and related services until determined no longer meeting eligibility criteria, graduate with a regular high school diploma, or reach maximum age (i.e., age 22).
2. The responsibility for FAPE for students with disabilities enrolled in Adult Education classes remains with the school district of residence

VI.F. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN VIRTUAL SETTINGS (RULES VI.F.)

1. Students with disabilities enrolled in public education virtual settings remain entitled to special education and related services until determined no longer meeting eligibility criteria, graduate with a regular high school diploma, or reach maximum age.
2. The responsibility for FAPE for students with disabilities enrolled in public education virtual settings remains with the LEA of enrollment, unless Board Rule specifies otherwise.

VI.G. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CONVICTED AS ADULTS AND INCARCERATED IN ADULT PRISONS (34C CFR § 300.324; RULES VI.J.)

1. The obligation to make FAPE available, including special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules, to all students with disabilities applies to students ages 18 through 21 who are incarcerated in adult prisons, with the following exceptions:
 - a. The requirements relating to participation of students with disabilities in State- and district-wide assessments.
 - b. The requirements in 34 CFR § 300.320(b) relating to transition planning and transition services with respect to students whose eligibility under Part B of the IDEA will end, because of their age, before they will be eligible to be released from prison based on consideration of their sentence and eligibility for early release.
2. The IEP Team of a student with a disability who is convicted as an adult under State law and incarcerated in an adult prison may modify the student's IEP or placement if the State has demonstrated a bona fide security or compelling penological interest that cannot otherwise be accommodated. The IEP and LRE requirements do not apply with respect to such modifications.
3. Custodial status alone does not qualify an individual for services under the IDEA (R277-709-5(2)).
4. Responsibility for the provision of FAPE resides with the school district in which the prison facility is located.

VI.H. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE ALSO IN STATE CUSTODY/CARE (UCA 62A-4A-701; R277-709; RULES VI.K.)

1. The obligation to make FAPE available in the LRE, including special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules, applies to all students with disabilities in state custody/care.
2. All requirements of these Rules apply to students with disabilities in State custody/care, including child find, LRE, and continuum of alternative placements.
3. Special education programs provided through youth in custody programs shall be monitored, through regular site monitoring visits and monthly desk monitoring on an annual basis, as directed by USBE (R277-709).
4. The USBE will develop and implement a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with other State agencies responsible for placing students in State custody/care across LEAs or in private facilities. The MOU will address, at a minimum, payment for education and special education services, timelines for placement, and notification of LEAs of changes in placement, and assign responsibility for FAPE.

5. LEAs must develop and implement a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), policies, and procedures to address the process and timelines for interstate and intrastate transfers of students with disabilities in State custody/care, including the transfer of special education files, including the IEP, and the implementation of the IEP and provision of FAPE in the LRE, even in temporary placements.
 - a. The LEA transferring the records must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.

VI.I. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO RESIDE IN NURSING HOMES (RULES VI.L)

1. Students with disabilities residing in nursing homes and their parent(s) or adult students have the same rights under IDEA as all other IDEA-eligible students with disabilities.

VII. TRANSITIONS (34 CFR § 300.1; RULES VII.)

VII.A. TRANSITION FROM PART C TO PART B OF THE IDEA (RULES VII.A.)

1. At the beginning of each school year, each LEA must have an IEP in effect for each student with a disability ages three through five within its jurisdiction (34 CFR § 300.323).
2. The USBE and LEA must have in effect policies and procedures to ensure that (34 CFR § 300.124):
 - a. Students participating in early intervention programs assisted under Part C of the IDEA, and who will participate in preschool programs assisted under Part B of the IDEA, experience a smooth and effective transition to those preschool programs;
 - b. By the eligible student's third birthday, an IEP has been developed and is being implemented for the student;
 - c. If a student's third birthday occurs after the end of the school year, the student's IEP Team shall determine the date in the next school year when services under the IEP will begin, except that the IEP Team may determine that extended school year services are needed outside the school year; and
 - d. Each affected LEA will participate in transition planning conferences arranged by the designated lead agency for Part C.
3. In developing the IEP for a student with a disability ages three through five or, at the discretion of SLCSE, a two-year-old student with a disability who will turn age three during the school year, the IEP Team must consider the contents of an IFSP that contains the natural environments statement and an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills (34 CFR § 300.323).
4. In the case of a student who was previously served under Part C of the IDEA, an invitation to the initial IEP meeting must, at the request of the parent, be sent to the Part C service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system to assist with the smooth transition of services (34 CFR § 300.321).

VII.B. TRANSITION SERVICES—SCHOOL TO POST-SCHOOL (RULES VII.B.)

1. Purpose (34 CFR § 300.1; Rules VII.B.1.)

To ensure that all students with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment, and independent living.
2. Definition (34 CFR § 300.43; Rules VII.B.2.)
 - a. *Transition services* means a coordinated set of activities for a student with a disability that:

- (1) Is designed to be within a results-oriented process that is focused on improving the academic and functional achievement of the student with a disability, to facilitate the student's movement from school to post-school activities, including postsecondary education, vocational education, integrated employment (including supported employment), continuing and adult education, adult services, independent living, or community participation;
 - (2) Is based on the individual student's needs, taking into account the student's strengths, preferences, and interests, and includes:
 - (a) Instruction;
 - (b) Related services;
 - (c) Community experiences;
 - (d) The development of employment and other post-school adult living objectives; and
 - (e) If appropriate, acquisition of daily living skills and provision of a functional vocational evaluation.
- b. Transition services for students with disabilities may be special education, if provided as specially designed instruction, or a related service, if required to assist a student with a disability to benefit from special education.
3. Parent or adult student participation (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules VII.B.3.)
- For a student with a disability age 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, the notice of meeting must indicate:
- a. That a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the postsecondary goals and transition services for the student;
 - b. That SLCSE will invite the student; and
 - c. Identify any other agency that will be invited, with the consent of the parent(s) or adult student, to send a representative.
4. IEP Team (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules VII.B.4.)
- For an IEP Team meeting that includes as a purpose the development of a transition plan:
- a. SLCSE must invite the student with a disability to attend the student's IEP meeting if a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the post-secondary goals for the student and the transition services needed to assist the student in reaching those goals.
 - b. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, SLCSE must take other steps to ensure that the student's preferences and interests are considered.

- c. To the extent appropriate, with the consent of the parent(s) or adult student, SLCSE must invite a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.
5. Definition of IEP (34 CFR § 300.320(b); Rules VII.B.5.)
- a. Transition services. For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, and updated annually thereafter, the IEP must include:
 - (1) Realistic and reasonable measurable postsecondary goals based upon annual age-appropriate transition assessments related to training or education, employment, and, where appropriate, independent living skills;
 - (2) Transition services, including multi-year courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to reach the post-secondary goals identified on the IEP;
 - (3) Evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, the IEP Team must take other steps to ensure the student's preferences and interests are considered;
 - (4) If appropriate, evidence that a representative of any participating agency that might be providing or paying for any transition services was invited to the IEP Team meeting with written consent of the parent or adult student prior to the meeting; and
 - (5) Any modifications to graduation requirements, as permitted under R277-700.
 - b. Students with disabilities must have access to school counselors for the purpose of planning and must be actively invited and included (when appropriate) in school activities which address course planning (including online courses), graduation, and post-secondary education and employment (i.e., college week, scholarship opportunities, ACT, and concurrent enrollment).
6. Transfer of rights at age of majority (34 CFR §§ 300.320(c), 300.520; Rules VII.B.6.)
- a. Not later than the student's 17th birthday, the IEP must include a dated statement, signed by the student, parent, and an LEA Representative, that the student and the student's parent(s) have been informed of parent's rights under Part B of the IDEA that will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority (i.e., age 18), except for a student with a disability who has been determined to be incompetent by a court.
 - b. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student on his/her 18th birthday unless the IEP Team determines that:
 - (1) The parent has obtained legal guardianship, power of attorney, or conservatorship; or

- (2) The student has married or become emancipated (in which case the rights transfer at that time).
 - c. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to students who are incarcerated in an adult or juvenile State or local correctional institution.
7. Termination of eligibility as a change of placement (34 CFR § 300.305; Rules VII.B.7.)
- a. An evaluation is not required before the termination of a student's eligibility under this part due to graduation from secondary school with a regular high school diploma, or due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE under Utah law.
 - b. For a student whose eligibility terminates due to graduation from secondary school with a regular diploma, or due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE under Utah law, an LEA must provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance which shall include a statement of the student's post-secondary goals, recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals, and a statement of when and how accommodations were used for instruction and assessment.
 - c. Receipt of a general educational development (GED) credential does not end eligibility for FAPE.
8. Failure to meet transition objectives (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules VII.B.8.)
- a. If a participating agency, other than SLCSE, fails to provide the transition services described in the IEP, the LEA must reconvene the IEP Team to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student set out in the IEP.
 - b. Nothing relieves any participating agency, including a State vocational rehabilitation agency, of the responsibility to provide or pay for any transition service that the agency would otherwise provide to students with disabilities who meet the eligibility criteria of that LEA (34 CFR § 300.324).
 - c. If any public agency other than an educational agency is otherwise obligated under Federal or State law, or assigned responsibility under State policy or an interagency agreement, to provide or pay for any services that are also considered special education or related services such as, but not limited to, services relating to assistive technology devices, assistive technology services, related services, supplementary aids and services, and transition services, that are necessary for ensuring a FAPE to students with disabilities within the State, the public agency must fulfill that obligation or responsibility, either directly or through contract or other arrangement or as provided in an interagency agreement.
9. Students with disabilities in adult prisons (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules VII.B.9.)
- a. The requirements relating to transition planning and transition services do not apply with respect to those students whose eligibility under Part B of the IDEA will end,

because of their age, before they will be eligible to be released from prison based on consideration of their sentence and eligibility for early release.

- b. The obligation to make FAPE available to all students with disabilities does not apply with respect to students ages 18 through 21 to the extent that State law does not require that special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA be provided to students with disabilities who, in the last educational placement prior to their incarceration in an adult correctional facility (34 CFR § 300.102):

- (1) Were not actually identified as being a student with a disability; and
- (2) Did not have an IEP under Part B of the IDEA.

- c. The exception does not apply to students with disabilities ages 18 through 21 who:

- (1) Had been identified as a student with a disability and had received services in accordance with an IEP, but who left school prior to their incarceration; or
- (2) Did not have an IEP in their last educational setting, but who had actually been identified as a student with a disability.

VII.C. GRADUATION (UCA 53E-7-202; R277-705; RULES VIII.C.)

1. The obligation of SLCSE to make FAPE available to all students with disabilities does not apply to students with disabilities who have graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(i)).
 - a. The exception above does not apply to students that have graduated from high school but have not been awarded a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(ii)).
 - b. SLCSE may not withhold a regular high school diploma from a student who has met State or LEA graduation requirements.
 - c. Graduation from high school with a regular high school diploma constitutes a change in placement, requiring prior written notice that must contain all the requirements in Rule IV.D, including being given a reasonable time before SLCSE proposed to terminate the student's eligibility under the IDEA by issuing the student a diploma (34 CFR § 300.503).
 - d. The term "regular high school diploma" does not include an alternative degree that is not fully aligned with the State's academic standards, such as a certificate or a GED (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(iv)).
2. A student with a disability served by a special education program shall satisfy high school completion or graduation criteria, consistent with State and federal law and the student's IEP. SLCSE may modify graduation requirements consistent with the student's IEP (R277-700-6(25)). SLCSE may award a student a certificate of completion consistent with state and federal law and the student's IEP.

3. The IEP Team must refer to the USBE Special Education Graduation Guidelines for additional information regarding modifying graduation requirements and IEP substitutions.

VII.D. TERMINATION OF SERVICES UPON REACHING AGE 22 (R277-419-2(25)(B); RULES VII.D.)

1. If a student with a disability turns 22 any time after July 1, LEAs must continue to provide FAPE until the end of that school year.

VIII. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE UTAH STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

In addition to the requirements listed below, SLCSE provides data as required for State and Federal reports and other State functions as listed in Rules VIII.

VIII.A. GENERAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

1. LEA Special Education Program Funding (Rules VIII.A.3.)
 - a. SLCSE shall provide, either singly or in cooperation with other school districts or public institutions, a free appropriate public education program for all students with disabilities who are enrolled in SLCSE. The program shall include necessary special facilities, instruction, and education-related services. The costs of SLCSE's program, or share of a joint program, shall be paid from LEA funds.
 - b. SLCSE shall receive funds under UCA Title 53F, Chapter 2, State Funding--Minimum School Program, and other applicable laws to provide special education services in accordance with the Rules.
 - c. SLCSE may, singly or in cooperation with other public entities, provide education and training for persons with disabilities who are younger than 3 or older than 22. The cost of such a program may be paid from fees, contributions, and other funds received by LEA for support of the program but may not be paid from public education funds.
2. The requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules are binding on each LEA and other public agency that has direct or delegated authority to provide special education and related services in the State of Utah.

VIII.B. STATE ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.110; USBE VIII.B.3.)

1. Program Options.
 - a. SLCSE takes steps to ensure that its students with disabilities have available to them the variety of educational programs and services available to nondisabled students in the area served by the agency, including art, music, industrial arts, consumer and homemaking education, and vocational education.

VIII.C. USBE PROGRAM MONITORING (USBE VIII.D.2-3.)

1. SLCSE is involved in the Utah's Program Improvement Planning System (UPIPS) monitoring system, as required under Part B of the IDEA, R277-709, and R277-114-3. SLCSE shall complete the required activities according to the timeline provided by the USBE staff.
2. Results of the monitoring process are publicly available, upon request.

VIII.D. PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS (34 CFR § 300.156; RULES VIII.K.3-5.)

1. Qualifications for special education teachers (R277-504).

The USBE and IDEA established qualifications for each person employed as a public school special education teacher in the State who teaches in an elementary school, middle school, or secondary school.

2. Related services personnel and paraeducators (R277-506 and R277-524).

The qualifications include qualifications for related services personnel and paraeducators that:

- a. Are consistent with any State-approved or State-recognized certification, licensing, registration, or other comparable requirements that apply to the professional discipline in which those personnel are providing special education or related services; and
- b. Ensure that related services personnel who deliver services in their discipline or profession:
 - (1) Meet the requirements; and
 - (2) Have not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis; and
- c. Allow paraeducators and assistants who are appropriately trained and supervised, in accordance with State law, regulation, or written policy, in meeting the requirements of this part, to be used to assist in the provision of special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA to students with disabilities.
- d. Interpreters for the Deaf.

Under UCA 35A-13-604, an individual is required to be certified as an interpreter if that individual provides interpreter services for deaf and hard of hearing students.

3. Notwithstanding any other individual right of action that a parent, adult student, or student may maintain under this part, nothing in this part shall be construed to create a right of action on behalf of an individual student or a class of students for the failure of a particular SEA, LEA, or other public agency employee to be highly qualified, or to prevent a parent or adult student from filing a State complaint about staff qualifications with the State Director of Special Education.

VIII.E. REPORTING ON SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATES (34 CFR § 300.170; RULES VIII.M.)

1. Through daily uploads, SLCSE shall report to the USBE staff, through the UTREx reporting system, on the rates of long-term suspensions and expulsions of students with disabilities and nondisabled students, including data disaggregated by race and ethnicity. The USBE staff shall examine these data to determine if significant discrepancies are occurring:
 - a. Between nondisabled students and students with disabilities within SLCSE.

2. If discrepancies are occurring, the USBE staff shall review and, if appropriate, require revisions in both USBE and LEA policies, procedures, and practices to ensure compliance with Part B of the IDEA.
3. Policies, procedures, and practices to be reviewed and, if appropriate, revised, include:
 - a. The development and implementation of IEPs;
 - b. The use of positive behavior interventions and supports; and
 - c. Procedural safeguards.

VIII.F. PROHIBITION ON MANDATORY MEDICATION (34 CFR § 300.174; RULES VIII.X.)

1. The USBE prohibits State and SLCSE personnel from requiring parents or adult students to obtain a prescription for substances identified under schedules I, II, III, IV, or V in section 202(c) of the Controlled Substances Act for a student as a condition of attending school, receiving an evaluation, or receiving services under Part B of the IDEA (21 USC § 812(c)).
2. Nothing in Rules VIII.X.1. shall be construed to create a Federal prohibition against teachers and other school personnel consulting or sharing classroom-based observations with parent(s) or adult student regarding a student's academic and functional performance, or behavior in the classroom or school, or regarding the need for evaluation for special education or related services related to child find.

IX. LEA ELIGIBILITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES

IX.A. LEA ELIGIBILITY FOR IDEA PART B FUNDS (34 CFR §§ 300.211–212, 220)

Federal special education funding is made available through a grant to the state from the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). These funds are restricted and may only be used to provide services and program for students who qualify under Part B of the IDEA. Funds are available for students who are 3–5 (section 619 Preschool) and for students age 3–21 (section 611 School-Age). Some funds are retained at the state level for administration and for state level activities. The remaining funds are distributed to Utah Local Education Agencies (LEAs) by formula.

1. Annually, the USBE staff shall notify SLCSE of the availability of Federal funds under Part B of the IDEA. In order to receive IDEA Part B flow-through funds, SLCSE must have in effect a USBE-approved special education program (Rules X.B.2.), including policies and procedures that are consistent with the Rules.
2. SLCSE must have a USBE-approved special education program (UCA 53F-2-307; (Rules IX.A.2). SLCSE’s program is approved by the state board when SLCSE’s special education policies and procedures are approved by the USBE special education staff and then by SLCSE’s local board in a public meeting. SLCSE must submit documentation of the local board’s approval to the USBE special education staff. The USBE approval of SLCSE’s policies and procedures includes the approval of any supporting documentation necessary to ensure their implementation. All required minimum components of Rules A.2.a-e are addressed in this policies and procedures manual.
3. As part of establishing eligibility for Part B funds, SLCSE must have revised policies and procedures in alignment with the IDEA 2004 final regulations and current Rules within one year of the final USBE approval of Rules.
4. Policies and procedures submitted by SLCSE in accordance with this section, and approved by the USBE staff, remain in effect until any of the following occur (34 CFR § 300.220):
 - a. The LEA submits modifications to the USBE staff that the SEA or LEA determines are necessary;
 - (1) The provisions of the Rules apply to any modifications in an LEA’s policies and procedures in the same manner and to the same extent as the LEA’s original policies and procedures.
 - b. The USBE staff gives the LEA notice of a new interpretation of the IDEA by Federal or State courts, or a change in Federal statute; or
 - c. There is an official finding of noncompliance with Federal or State law or regulations that requires a change in the LEA’s policy and procedures.
5. SLCSE must have on file with the USBE staff, information to demonstrate that it will make available to parents of students with disabilities or adult students and to the

general public all documents relating to the eligibility of the LEA under Part B of the IDEA (34 CFR § 300.212).

6. SLCSE creates annual improvement goals based on the State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR) Indicators to improve outcomes for students with disabilities (Rules IX.A.2.d(2)(r)).
7. SLCSE collects and provides additional information which the USBE may require in order to meet Federal reporting requirements, including suspension and expulsion rates, LRE environments, disproportionality data, personnel information, and others (Rules IX.A.2.e).

IX.B. USE OF PART B FEDERAL FUNDS BY THE LEA (34 CFR §§ 300.200–206, 208)

1. SLCSE submits a plan that provides assurances to the USBE that SLCSE meets each of the conditions in Rules IX.B. (34 CFR § 300.200).
2. SLCSE has in effect policies, procedures, and programs that are consistent with the State policies and procedures established in the Rules (34 CFR § 300.201).
3. Use of amounts (34 CFR § 300.202).

SLCSE has on file with the USBE staff, information to demonstrate that amounts provided to the LEA under Part B of the IDEA:

- a. Will be expended in accordance with the applicable provision of the Rules.
 - b. Will be used only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to students with disabilities consistent with the Rules; and
 - c. Will be used to supplement State, local, and other Federal funds and not to supplant those funds.
4. The excess cost requirement prevents SLCSE from using funds provided under Part B of the IDEA to pay for all of the costs directly attributable to the education of a student with a disability.
 5. SLCSE meets the excess cost requirement if it has spent at least a minimum average amount for the education of its students with disabilities before funds under Part B of the IDEA are used.
 6. Maintenance of effort (34 CFR § 300.203).

- a. Eligibility standard.

(1) For purposes of establishing SLCSE's eligibility for an award for a fiscal year, the USBE must determine that SLCSE budgets for the education of students with disabilities for at least the same amount, from at least one of the following sources, as SLCSE spent for that purpose from the same source for the most recent fiscal year for which information is available:

- (a) Local funds only;

- (b) The combination of State and local funds;
 - (c) Local funds only on a per capita basis; or
 - (d) The combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis.
- (2) When determining the amount of funds that SLCSE must budget to meet the requirement in paragraph Rules IX.B.6.a.(1), SLCSE may take into consideration, to the extent the information is available, the exceptions and adjustment provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205 that SLCSE:
- (a) Took in the intervening year or years between the most recent fiscal year for which information is available and the fiscal year for which SLCSE is budgeting; and
 - (b) Reasonably expects to take in the fiscal year for which SLCSE is budgeting.
- (3) Expenditures made from funds provided by the Federal government for which the SEA is required to account to the Federal government or for which SLCSE is required to account to the Federal government directly or through the USBE may not be considered in determining whether SLCSE meets the standard in Rules IX.B.6.a.(1).
- b. Compliance standard.
- (1) Except as provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205, funds provided to SLCSE under Part B of the IDEA will not be used to reduce the level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities made by the LEA from local funds below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year.
- (2) SLCSE meets this standard if it does not reduce the level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities made by SLCSE from at least one of the following sources below the level of those expenditures from the same source for the preceding fiscal year, except as provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205:
- (a) Local funds only;
 - (b) The combination of State and local funds;
 - (c) Local funds only on a per capita basis; or
 - (d) The combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis.
- (3) Expenditures made from funds provided by the Federal government for which the USBE is required to account to the Federal government or for which SLCSE is required to account to the Federal government directly or through the USBE may not be considered in determining whether SLCSE meets the standard of Rules IX.B.6.b.(1) and IX.B.6.b.(2).
- c. Subsequent years.

- (1) If, in the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2013 or July 1, 2014, SLCSE fails to meet the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.203 in effect at that time, the level of expenditures required of SLCSE for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required in the absence of that failure, not SLCSE's reduced level of expenditures.
 - (2) If, in any fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2015, SLCSE fails to meet the requirement of Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(a) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(c) and SLCSE is relying on local funds only, or local funds only on a per capita basis, to meet the requirements of the Rules IX.B.6.a or IX.B.6.b, the level of expenditures required of SLCSE for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required under Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(a) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(c) in the absence of that failure, not SLCSE's reduced level of expenditures.
 - (3) If, in any fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2015, SLCSE fails to meet the requirement of Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(b) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(d) and SLCSE is relying on the combination of State and local funds, or the combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis, to meet the requirements of Rules IX.B.6.a or IX.B.6.b, the level of expenditures required of SLCSE for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required under Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(b) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(d) in the absence of that failure, not SLCSE's reduced level of expenditures.
- d. Consequence of failure to maintain effort.
- (1) If SLCSE fails to maintain its level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities in accordance with Rules IX.B.6.b, the USBE is liable in a recovery action under section 452 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 USC § 1234a) to return to the Department, using non-Federal funds, an amount equal to the amount by which SLCSE failed to maintain its level of expenditures in accordance with Rules IX.B.6.b. in that fiscal year, or the amount of SLCSE's Part B subgrant in that fiscal year, whichever is lower.
 - (2) If the USBE is required to return funds to the Department because of SLCSE's failure to meet the Maintenance of Effort requirement, the USBE shall reduce the amount provided to SLCSE's Minimum School Program (MSP) Basic Program on a 1/12 basis.
7. Exception to maintenance of effort (34 CFR § 300.204).
- SLCSE may reduce the level of expenditures by SLCSE under Part B of the IDEA below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year if the reduction is attributable to any of the following:
- a. The voluntary departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.
 - b. A decrease in the enrollment of students with disabilities.

- c. The termination of the obligation of SLCSE, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular student with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the USBE staff, because the student:
 - (1) Has left the jurisdiction of SLCSE;
 - (2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of SLCSE to provide a FAPE to the student has terminated; or
 - (3) No longer needs the program of special education.
 - d. The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.
 - e. The assumption of cost by the high-cost (i.e., Intensive Services fund) fund operated by the USBE staff.
8. Adjustment to local fiscal efforts in certain fiscal years (34 CFR § 300.205).
- a. For any fiscal year for which the allocation received by SLCSE under Part B of the IDEA exceeds the amount the LEA received for the previous fiscal year, SLCSE may reduce the level of expenditures otherwise required by maintenance of efforts requirements by not more than 50 percent of the amount of that excess.
 - b. Use of amounts to carry out activities under ESEA/ESSA.

If SLCSE exercises the authority to reduce the level of expenditures due to an increase in Part B funds, SLCSE must use an amount of local funds equal to the reduction in expenditures to carry out activities that could be supported with funds under the ESEA/ESSA, regardless of whether SLCSE is using funds under the ESEA/ESSA for those activities.
 - c. The USBE staff must prohibit SLCSE from reducing the level of expenditures for a fiscal year, if the USBE staff determines that:
 - (1) SLCSE is unable to establish and maintain programs of FAPE that meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA, or
 - (2) The USBE staff has taken action against SLCSE under Section 616 of the IDEA and subpart F of the regulations (Monitoring, Technical Assistance, and Enforcement).
 - d. The amount of funds expended by SLCSE for mandatory or voluntary Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS) shall count toward the maximum amount of expenditures that SLCSE may reduce under the requirements of this section.
9. If the USBE staff determines that SLCSE is not meeting the requirements of the Rules, the USBE staff may prohibit SLCSE from treating funds received under Part B of the IDEA as local funds under this section for any fiscal year, but only if it is authorized to do so by the State constitution or State statute.
10. School-wide programs under Title I of the ESEA/ESSA (34 CFR § 300.206).

- a. SLCSE may use funds received under Part B of the IDEA for any fiscal year to carry out a school-wide program under section 1114 of the ESEA/ESSA, except that the amount used in any school-wide program may not exceed the amount received by the LEA under Part B of the IDEA for that fiscal year:
 - (1) Divided by the number of students with disabilities in the jurisdiction of the LEA; and
 - (2) Multiplied by the number of students with disabilities participating in the school-wide program.
- b. The funds described in this section must be considered as Federal Part B funds for purposes of the calculations required for excess costs and supplanting.
- c. The funds may be used without regard to the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.202(a)(1) of the IDEA.
- d. All other requirements of Part B of the IDEA must be met by SLCSE using Part B funds for school-wide programs under section 1114 of the ESEA/ESSA, including ensuring that students with disabilities in school-wide program schools:
 - (1) Receive services in accordance with a properly developed IEP; and
 - (2) Are afforded all the rights and services guaranteed to students with disabilities under Part B of the IDEA.

IX.C. CHARTER SCHOOLS AND THEIR STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.209; RULES IX.C.)

- 1. Nothing in the Rules prohibit school districts and charter schools from developing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to address student specific needs and/or placements.

IX.D. COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (CEIS) (34 CFR § 300.226; RULES IX.D.)

- 1. SLCSE may not use more than 15 percent of the amount SLCSE receives under Part B of the IDEA for any fiscal year, less any amount reduced by the LEA pursuant to maintenance of effort, if any, in combination with other amounts (which may include amounts other than education funds), to develop and implement coordinated early intervening services (CEIS), which may include interagency financing structures, for students in kindergarten through grade 12 (with a particular emphasis on students in kindergarten through grade three) who are not currently identified as needing special education or related services, but who need additional academic and behavioral support to succeed in a general education environment.
- 2. In implementing CEIS, SLCSE may carry out activities that include:
 - a. Professional learning (which may be provided by entities other than LEAs) for teachers and other school staff to enable such personnel to deliver scientifically based academic and behavior interventions, including scientifically based literacy

- instruction, and, where appropriate, instruction on the use of adaptive and instructional software; and
- b. Providing educational and behavioral evaluations, services, and supports, including scientifically based literacy instruction.
3. CEIS may not be used to limit or create a right to FAPE under Part B of the IDEA or to delay appropriate evaluation of a student suspected of having a disability.
 4. SLCSE must annually report to the USBE staff on:
 - a. The number of students served under this section who received early intervening services; and
 - b. The number of students served under this section who received early intervening services and subsequently receive special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA during the preceding two-year period.
 5. Funds made available to carry out this section may be used to carry out coordinated early intervening services aligned with activities funded by, and carried out under, the ESEA/ESSA if those funds are used to supplement, and not supplant, funds made available under the ESEA/ESSA for the activities and services assisted under this section. LEAs should refer to the USBE CEIS Technical Assistance for additional information.

IX.E. PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT (34 CFR § 300.207; RULES IX.E.)

1. SLCSE must ensure that all personnel necessary to carry out Part B of the IDEA are appropriately and adequately prepared, subject to the requirements related to personnel qualifications and section 2122 of the ESEA/ESSA, as well as 34 CFR § 300.156; R277-504, R277-506, R277-520, and R277-524.
2. Paraeducators, when used to carry out Part B of the IDEA, must be appropriately trained and supervised, and utilized in accordance with USBE Paraeducator Standards.
 - a. SLCSE shall provide documentation of paraeducator training and supervision to USBE staff upon request.

IX.F. FUNDED PREVALENCE OF DISABLING CONDITIONS (UCA 53F-2-307; RULES IX.F.)

1. The USBE shall limit a school district's allocation of State special education monies to 12.18 percent of the school district's average daily membership (ADM).
2. Students three and four years of age and those students turning five after September 1 who are classified as developmentally delayed are not included in the school district's 12.18 percent ADM maximum.
3. Public charter schools are not subject to the prevalence funding limits in this section because a charter school's boundaries are not defined.

IX.G. LEA PROVISION OF FAPE (34 CFR § 300.101; RULES IX.G.)

1. SLCSE will oversee the caseload of each special educator (including psychologists, social workers, speech-language pathologists, occupational therapists, physical therapists, adapted PE specialists, and any other related servers) to ensure that a free appropriate public education is available to all eligible students with disabilities.

IX.H. ROUTINE CHECKING OF HEARING AIDS AND EXTERNAL COMPONENTS OF SURGICALLY IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICES (34 CFR § 300.113; RULES IX.H.)

1. Hearing aids. SLCSE must ensure that hearing aids worn in school by students with hearing loss, including deafness, are functioning properly.
2. External components of surgically implanted medical devices.
 - a. Subject to Rules IX.H.2.b., each public agency must ensure that the external components of surgically implanted medical devices are functioning properly.
 - b. For a student with a surgically implanted medical device who is receiving special education and related services, SLCSE is not responsible for the post-surgical maintenance, programming, or replacement of the medical device that has been surgically implanted (or of an external component of the surgically implanted medical device).

IX.I. EDUCATOR LICENSE REQUIREMENTS (R277-504; R277-506; R277-520; RULES IX.I.)

Professionals providing services to students with disabilities must hold a Utah Professional Educator License or Endorsement in the area in which they provide services. This includes special education teachers, speech/language pathologists, school psychologists, school social workers, and other professionals. Physical and occupational therapists must hold appropriate Utah licensure. The SLCSE administration shall be responsible for the evaluation of the appropriateness of licenses and endorsements when assigning staff members. SLCSE refers to the USBE Teaching, Leadership, and Paraeducator Standards.

1. *Preschool Special Education (Birth–Age 5) License* area of concentration means a teaching license required for teaching preschool students with disabilities (R277-501-2(11)).
2. *Special Education (K–12) License* area of concentration means the license required for teaching students with disabilities in kindergarten through grade 12. Special Education areas of concentration carry endorsements in at least one of the following areas (R277-504-2(13)(a)):
 - a. Mild/Moderate Disabilities,
 - b. Severe Disabilities,
 - c. Deaf and Hard of Hearing,

- d. Blind and Visually Impaired, and
 - e. Deafblind.
3. Teachers providing services to the single category of Speech Language Impairment must hold the appropriate license, endorsement, or area of concentration in the category of Speech Language Impairment (R277-506).
 4. Teachers assigned to teach academic subjects in elementary and secondary special education programs must, in addition to their special education license, meet the standards for personnel under the USBE and the ESEA/ESSA.
 5. School social workers and school psychologists providing services to students with disabilities must be licensed by the USBE (R277-506).
 6. Teachers serving preschool-aged students with disabilities must hold the Special Education (Birth–Age 5) educator license (R277-504).
 7. Individuals providing psychological evaluation services for students with disabilities must hold a Utah education license for school psychologists or State licensure and meet the assessment publisher’s criteria for administration (R277-506).

IX.J. PURCHASE OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS (34 CFR § 300.210; RULES IX.J.)

1. SLCSE chooses to coordinate with the National Instructional Materials Access Center (NIMAC), when purchasing print instructional materials, and must acquire those instructional materials in the same manner, and subject to the same conditions as the USBE under Rules VIII.W.
2. Nothing in this section relieves SLCSE of its responsibility to ensure that students with disabilities who need instructional materials in accessible formats but are not included under the definition of blind or other persons with print disabilities or who need materials that cannot be produced from NIMAS files, receive those instructional materials in a timely manner.
3. For all purposes of this section, the USBE defines timely manner as follows: the USBE and LEAs must take reasonable steps to provide instructional materials in accessible formats to students with disabilities who need those instructional materials at the same time as other students receive instructional materials.

IX.K. SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE USDB CLASS SPACE (UCA 53E-8-410; RULES IX.K.)

1. If SLCSE has students who reside within SLCSE's boundaries and are served by the Utah Schools for the Deaf and the Blind (USDB), SLCSE shall make a good faith effort to provide the USDB with space required for programs offered by the USDB.

X. SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDING (RULES X.)

As the State Education Agency (SEA), the USBE has a responsibility under both Federal and State law to monitor implementation of the IDEA by LEAs through a system of general supervision that improves educational results and functional outcomes and ensures that public agencies meet program requirements. The special education program that is funded both from federal and state funds and it is critical to understand the similarities and differences of these funding sources.

“Federal special education funds” means funds paid to the State under IDEA Part B for the purposes of special education.

“State special education funds” means state funds appropriated to public education for the purposes of special education.

Federal special education funds are calculated, allocated, and classified differently than state special education funds. Rules X outline the regulations, restrictions, and allowable costs and activities applicable to each funding source; some requirements are the same for both funding sources and some provisions apply only to one or the other.

X.A. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS GENERALLY (RULES X.A.)

1. State special education funds may be spent only for direct costs, as outlined in the Rules. Direct costs are those elements of cost which can be easily, obviously, and conveniently identified with specific special education activities or programs, as distinguished from those costs incurred for several different activities or programs and whose elements are not readily identifiable with specific special education activities.
2. State special education funds are appropriated to the Minimum School Program (MSP) and provide restricted (categorical) monies that must be spent for the education of students with disabilities.

X.B. ALLOCATION OF STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS FOR PROGRAMS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (UCA 53F-2-307; R277-479; RULES X.B.3.)

1. SLCSE must be current with the Utah Program Improvement Planning System (UPIPS) monitoring requirements, including correction of noncompliance within one year of notification, annual Corrective Action Plan (CAP) and Program Improvement Plan (PIP) reports, and desk audit submissions to be eligible for State special education funds.

X.C. SPECIAL EDUCATION ADD-ON ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1205) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); RULES X.C.)

1. SLCSE will use Special Education add-on funds in accordance with Rules X.B. and to cover the direct costs of providing special education to students with disabilities.

X.D. SPECIAL EDUCATION SELF-CONTAINED ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1210) (UCA 53F-2-307(3); RULES X.E.)

1. "Self-contained" means a public-school student with an IEP or a youth in custody/care (YIC) who receives 180 minutes or more of special education or YIC services during a typical school day per R277-419-2(35).
2. SLCSE will use Special Education Self-Contained funds only for direct costs attributable to the cost of the special education of students with disabilities whose placement is a special class or self-contained environment.

X.E. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION IMPACT AID ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1225) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); RULES X.I.)

1. SLCSE will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of administering the special education program as follows:
 - a. Costs for students in state custody (prisons, detention facilities, and the state hospital)
 - b. Additional costs attributable for services to students with low-incidence disabilities

X.F. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1220) (UCA 53F-2-308(2); RULES X.K.)

1. SLCSE will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of extended year services (ESY) provided to students with disabilities, determined by the student's IEP team to require ESY in order to receive a FAPE and in accordance with R277-751.

X.G. STATE EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR STIPEND FOR SPECIAL EDUCATORS (EYSE) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1278) (UCA 53F-2-310; RULES X.M.)

1. SLCSE will use state special education funds for salaries and allowable benefits of Special Education Teachers, or Speech Language Pathologists who provide eligible services under R277-525-2.
2. A special educator receiving a stipend shall: (a) work an additional day beyond the number of days contracted with the special educator's school district or school for each daily stipend; (b) schedule the additional days of work before or after the school year; and (c) use the additional days of work to perform duties related to the IEP process, including: administering student assessments, conducting IEP meetings, writing IEP's, conferring with parent(s) or adult students, and preparing and maintaining records.

X.H. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION INTENSIVE SERVICES ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1230) (UCA 53F-2-309(1); RULES X.O.)

1. SLCSE will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of implementing IEPs for students with disabilities.

2. Cost of services to a student with a disability must be in excess of three times the annual average per pupil expenditure (APPE) as calculated by USBE Financial Operations.
3. Costs must meet the eligibility requirements outlined in R277-752.

X.I. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS ALLOWABLE USE (RULES X.P.)

1. State special education funds may be spent only for direct costs, as outlined in Rules X.B. Direct costs are those elements of cost which can be easily, obviously, and conveniently identified with specific special education activities or programs, as distinguished from those costs incurred for several different activities or programs and whose elements are not readily identifiable with specific special education activities. (Rules X.A.1.).
2. SLCSE will use state special education funds for the costs of providing for specially designed instruction, related services, and supplementary aids and services provided in a regular class or other education-related setting to a student with a disability in accordance with the IEP of the student.
3. SLCSE will use state special education funds for the costs of providing inclusive special education preschool services.
4. SLCSE will use state special education funds for the costs of including peer models in IEP services that require a peer model.
5. SLCSE will use state special education funds for the costs of providing co-teaching, in which both a licensed general educator and licensed special education teacher plan and provide specially designed instruction.
6. SLCSE follows the allowable use of state special education funds as listed in Rules X.P.6.

X.J. ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR FEDERAL (IDEA) SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS (RULES X.R.1.; 4-7.)

1. Funds paid to the State under IDEA Part B for the purposes of special education (“Federal special education funds”) are calculated, allocated, and classified differently than State special education funds.
2. SLCSE will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing for specially designed instruction, related services, and supplementary aids and services provided in a regular class or other education-related setting to a student with a disability in accordance with the IEP of the student.
3. SLCSE will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing inclusive special education preschool services.
4. SLCSE will use Federal special education funds for the costs of including peer models in IEP services that require a peer model.

5. SLCSE will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing co-teaching, in which both a licensed general educator and licensed special education teacher plan and provide specially designed instruction.
6. SLCSE follows the allowable use of Federal special education funds, as listed in Rules X.R.8.

XI. APPENDIX A – REFERRAL, EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY OF MULTI LANGUAGE LEARNERS (“MLL”)

XI.A. CHILD FIND PROCEDURES FOR MULTI LANGUAGE LEARNERS

1. A student is considered a Multi Language Learner (“MLL”) if during the registration process a parent or guardian indicates that another language other than English is spoken in the home. The student is automatically added to the Primary Home Language Other than English (“PHLOTE”) list and begins the process of having the English language fluency measured by the WIDA test and, if eligible, receives English Language Development (“ELD”) services.

XI.B INITIAL EVALUATION OF MLL

1. If school personnel or parent suspects that an MLL student may have a disability, the concerns regarding the student should be presented to the SSC, just as with other students suspected of having a disability.
2. The procedures outlined in the sections II.D. of this Manual must be followed. However, due to the complexity and nuance of determining how language acquisition and possible disability interact in the planning of interventions, evaluation and eligibility determination, the following additional steps must also be taken.
 - a. The amount of time that a student has participated in ELD services must be considered in determining possible interventions.
 - i. Students who are MLL and who have had less than one school year of Alternative Language Services (“ALS”), or who are entering school with no previous educational experience should be referred for a special education evaluation only after the following criteria have been considered:
 - a.) objective data supports the possibility of a disability that impacts speech-language development (e.g.

severe/profound disabilities, cleft lip/palate, hearing impairment, orthopedic impairment, traumatic brain injury);

b.) educators can rule out the potential influence of limited English, culture, economics, and/or environmental issues as the basis for the referral;

c.) interventions, instructional strategies, and program options specific to the student's education needs have been provided within a response to intervention model, for a sufficient period of time, and the MLL has been unsuccessful in demonstrating growth;

d.) a peer analysis has been completed, indicating that the MLL is indeed exhibiting atypical performance compared to his/her MLL peer group; and

e.) parents are able to provide information that indicates that the child was delayed/disordered in acquisition of their first language.

3. If a student is a MLL and is receiving ALS, including daily ELD, the following must occur and be considered:
 - a. The most recent version of the ALS packet (ALS Summary Form and completed Parent Interview form, January 2016) must be completed by the student's teacher and ALS Coordinator/ELD provider for any student who is an MLL. This form must be completed before an evaluation can be considered, but it is recommended that it be used by the Student Services Committee (SSC) throughout the process to guide and document the process. If a student is found eligible for special education services and/or related services, the form becomes part of the pre-referral documentation. If the student is determined to be ineligible for special education services and/or related services, copies of the form should be in the student's DNQ folder and the ALS section of their cumulative folder.
 - b. The length of time that an MLL student has received ALS (newcomers, ESL classes, English Language Development, bi-lingual classes) and the student's language level must be considered by the SSC prior to referring the student for a special education evaluation. Data of student progress in ALS should be documented.
4. The ALS coordinator or the student's ELD teacher must be a member of the student services team when an MLL student is being presented. Their attendance should be documented in the SSC minutes. A school psychologist

must be involved in every MLL referral to determine eligibility for special education services. In addition, the speech language pathologist must be an additional member of the evaluation team if the team suspects that the student may have a language disability in both L1 and L2.

5. Information and data regarding the student's progress in English Language acquisition must also be presented, documented and considered as the committee determines appropriate interventions in the area of concern indicated by the teacher.
6. The parent interview may be used at any time during the pre-referral or referral process. An interpreter should be used when appropriate to allow for full understanding of a student's level of functional and development in their first language. Specific questions on the interview allow for school teams to have a deeper understanding of the impact of language and culture on a student. The information gathered during the interview will also provide to data to support evidence of a possible disability in the native language.
7. Schools shall use research based interventions to target the area of concern for the MLL student. Language acquisition must be considered when planning and implementing interventions. Interventions that are heavily language based and could possibly yield data that describes a student's language acquisition and not a possible disability are inappropriate and should not be considered.
8. The SSC is responsible for determining and documenting when interventions have not been successful and the student's difficulties in the area of concern are not due to issues related to English language acquisition. The LEA, ALS coordinator/ELD teacher and school psychologist must participate in the decision and confirm that all documentation, progress monitoring, the ALS Summary form, parent interview, and At-Risk Documentation form are completed with proper signatures.

XI.B EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. Procedures in section II.C. of this Manual should be followed to obtain written consent for evaluation. The purpose for the evaluation and possible outcomes must be presented to parent(s) and or guardian(s) in an understandable language through translated documents or by an interpreter.

2. When an MLL student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education services, the following steps will be followed:
 - a. WIDA: If student is an MLL, the WIDA is administered and oral language, literacy, comprehension, and overall scale scores are reported in the ALS form; and
 - b. ALS FORM: If the overall WIDA score is 4 or below, the form will indicate that referred student will undergo standardized language proficiency testing in his native to the extent it is feasible to do so.
3. Spanish-Speaking MLLs.
 - a. The Woodcock-Munoz Language Proficiency Testing – Revised will be administered and scores will be obtained for oral language, reading, and writing in both English and Spanish.
 - i. If student is fluent in English (Broad English Ability CALP score of 4 or higher), the IEP Team may recommend to conduct cognitive and achievement evaluation in English only.
 - ii. If student is fluent in Spanish (Broad Spanish Ability score of 4 or higher), the IEP Team may recommend conducting cognitive and achievement evaluation in Spanish using standardized measures such as the Bateria III Pruebas de Habilidades Cognitivas and Pruebas de Aprovechamiento
 - iii. Dual immersion, consideration must be given for students who may be participating in dual immersion programs and receiving instruction in two languages.
 - iv. If a student’s Munoz score does not measure as fluent in either English or Spanish, the IEP Team may recommend conducting a nonverbal assessment of cognitive abilities. Achievement testing will be conducted in English using standardized measures such as the WJ-IV Tests of Achievement if student has consistently been educated in English; however, results obtained will need to be interpreted cautiously and in conjunction with other data including but not limited to:
 - a.) response to intervention;
 - b.) educational history;
 - c.) benchmark data;
 - d.) interim scores; and
 - e.) parent interview.

4. MLL students who speak languages other than Spanish.
 - a. If a student does not receive a score of 4 on the WIDA and does not speak Spanish, one of two courses of action will be used to ascertain their level of fluency in their first language:
 - i. the Bilingual Verbal Ability Test (BVAT) is available in 17 languages plus English. It measures the language fluency in those 17 languages;
 - ii. the use of interpreters for a structured interview and the Qualitative Examination of Language Proficiency Form to determine fluency levels for MLL students in their first language.
 - b. If a student is determined to be fluent in English, they will be evaluated in English in accordance with the criteria set forth in 3.a.i.
 - c. If a student is determined to be fluent in their first language and testing in that language is feasible, they will be evaluated in that language in accordance with the criteria set forth in 3.a.ii.
 - d. If a student is determined to not be fluent in either their first language or in English or it is not feasible for the district to test them in their first language, the IEP Team may recommend conducting a nonverbal assessment of cognitive abilities. Achievement testing will be conducted in English using standardized measures such as the WJ-IV Tests of Achievement if the student has consistently been educated in English. The results will need to be interpreted with the same cautions as outlined in 3.a. iv.
5. Qualifications of staff to administer tests.
 - a. All standardized testing will be administered according to the guidelines put forth and approved by the psychometrics who have published the test to protect reliability and validity of results.
 - b. All staff testing students using standardized measures will be trained and supervised by a school psychologist.
 - c. Bilingual testers will be used whenever feasible and must also meet the requirements set forth by the test publishers.
 - d. All staff involved with testing MLL students will be required to participate yearly in training regarding sensitivity to language and culture to allow for the best possible results from MLL students.

XI.C. ELIGIBILITY PROCEDURES FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. After testing has been concluded, the IEP Team will meet and determine whether or not the student is eligible for services, and in what category, as outlined in the USBE SER and IDEA.
2. The IEP Team should include all of the members as outlined in section III.E. of this manual. The ELD teacher/ALS coordinator who has knowledge of the student's language and culture and its impact on the evaluation should also be part of the IEP Team. A school psychologist will also be present to discuss the validity and reliability determinations on the report. If the team is aware of additional persons who may be able to speak to the impact of the student's language and culture, they can be invited to participate in the meeting.
3. The team will summarize all evaluation information on the Team Evaluation Summary Report and Written Prior Notice of Eligibility Determination form. The form used in the pre-referral process also becomes part of the information used to determine eligibility. In the evaluation summary, the following will be reported:
 - a. the linguistic and cultural background of the student and an analysis of the impact on the student's learning including, the student's WIDA score, ELD progress, the parent interview and language fluency in their first language and English;
 - b. how the above impacted the evaluation plan for the student and what measures were used and why and whether or not anything in the evaluation was altered to the extent that validity of results could be impacted;
 - c. any statements regarding the caution that should be used in the interpretation of results; and
 - d. other forms of data that support the interpretation of the result of the evaluation including curriculum based measures, RTI data, behavioral and academic observations.

XI.D. IEP DEVELOPMENT FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. IEP development for MLL students should follow the same guidelines as outlined in the USBE SER and in section III.I. of this Manual.
2. In addition to those guidelines, the following should also be in place:
 - a. The ALS coordinator/ELD teacher will be part of the IEP Team.

- b. In the Special Factors section of the IEP, the team should check the box indicating that the student has “special language needs because he/she is a Limited English Proficient student” and, after consideration of those needs during the IEP meeting, check the box that those needs will be addressed in the IEP. This documents that the team is aware and has considered the student’s language needs in the development of the IEP.
3. In the PLAAFP statement, the teacher will indicate that the student is an MLL student, list their WIDA score and state where the student will receive the ELD services, either within the special education setting or in the general education ELD setting. Every effort will be made for students to access the general education ELD services, however, individual IEP Teams will determine where the student would best access those services based on the documented nature of the student’s disability. All MLL students with disabilities will receive both ELD services and all special education and related aids and services they are eligible for. Student with disabilities’ ELD services will be provided by a properly credentialed ESL endorsed teacher regardless of where they are given.
4. A student’s language and culture must be considered when developing IEP goals and services to address a student’s disability.

XI.E. PLACEMENT FOR MLL STUDENTS

SLCSE follows all guidelines for student placement as outlined in the USBE SER section III.R.

In addition to the guidelines in the USBE SER the following must be considered when IEP Teams are making placement decisions regarding MLL students.

1. All placement decisions will be made based on a variety of data, including the level of direct and related services required to meet the needs of the student, existing records, results of pre referral interventions, progress monitoring of current interventions and services, curriculum based measures, formal and informal measures, observations, and work samples.
2. IEP Teams will ensure that the analysis of the data collected to determine placement considers the impact of the student language proficiency and culture on the data being considered.

XII.B. APPENDIX B – EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY (“SLD”)

The Purpose of this section is to provide specific guidelines and procedures for determining the probability that a student has a specific learning disability using the SLD problem solving rubric. Teams who are referring MLL students for a possible SLD must also follow the procedures outlined in appendix one.

XII.A. SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES (SLD)

1. An SLD is a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia, that affects a student’s educational performance.
2. SLD does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; of intellectual disability; of emotional disturbance; or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

XII.B. IDENTIFICATION OF AN SLD

1. If a teacher suspects that a student may have an SLD they should immediately secure an appointment to present the student at the school’s SSC.
2. SLCSE SSC teams will adhere to USBE SER II.10 (a-c) for referral, initial evaluation and determining eligibility for SLD.
3. Schools shall utilize research-based interventions to address individual student difficulties. This involves the expectation that multi-tiered instruction and research-based supplemental and intensive interventions will be attempted on the targeted deficit skill prior to referral for a special education evaluation if the student is suspected of having an SLD.
4. Progress monitoring data shall be collected during the intervention period and shall indicate the intervention, note the frequency of the intervention (i.e. fidelity, consistency, intensity), and be graphed to show the student’s response to the intervention. SSCs are responsible for determining if the student has responded to the intervention, needs additional interventions or programs, or should be referred for a special education evaluation based on progress monitoring data.




5. When the school team has determined that a referral for evaluation for SLD is appropriate and the evaluation has begun, the special education resource teacher will collect data in the areas specified by the SLD problem-solving eligibility rubric.
6. The SLCSE problem-solving rubric for SLD must be completed with a school psychologist.
 - a. The instructions for completing the rubric are outlined on the pages that follow.

XII.C. SLD PROBLEM-SOLVING ELIGIBILITY RUBRIC INSTRUCTIONS

1. The SLCSE SLD Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric contains nine (9) items which will help teams determine whether a student meets criteria for a classification of SLD.
 - a. Data provided for the different items will inform the team whether the likelihood or probability of an SLD is **low, mild, moderate, or strong**.
 - b. The more items which receive ratings in the strong or moderate category, the more likely a student presents with an SLD.
 - c. Each item is discussed below and includes rationale, data required, and examples.
2. PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN for the student should be stated at the top of the Rubric.
 - a. It is critically important to keep this as your main focus, as many line items on the Rubric will depend on the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. For example, if the Rubric notes that the primary concern is in Basic Reading, then all the data need to address Basic Reading (not Reading Comprehension or Math Calculation).
 - b. Additional eligibility rubrics may be filled out for each different PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN, if needed.
 - a.) Doing so is not required in order to provide services in all areas of concern as addressed during the IEP process. Data for other areas of concern should be attached to the IEP if services in those areas are going to be provided.

XII.D. GUIDE

1. Benchmark Data																																																																																																																																										
Rationale	In the Salt Lake City School District, we collect benchmark data via DIBELS and Interim assessments for Language Arts and Math. Benchmark data is collected for the purpose of communicating expectations of learning, planning instruction, monitoring and evaluating learning, and predicting future performance.																																																																																																																																									
Data required	<p>Most recent DIBELS Composite or LA or Math Interim scores.</p> <p>Remember to select the benchmark data that best matches the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN (e.g., LA Interim score for Reading Comprehension, DIBELS for Basic Reading, etc.). This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”).</p>																																																																																																																																									
Examples	<p>Review the individual student data.</p> <p>DIBELS Composite Score: Green = At or Above Standard typically suggests that there is low probability of an SLD. High Yellow (use your professional judgment based on benchmark cut off scores) = Approaching grade level standard. The probability of an SLD is still mild. Low Yellow (use your professional judgment based on benchmark cut scores) = Below grade level benchmark. The probability of an SLD is moderate. Red = Well below grade level. The probability of an SLD is strong.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">ent ID</th> <th colspan="4">NWF</th> <th colspan="4">DORF</th> <th colspan="5">COMPOSITE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>CLS</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>WWR</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Words Correct</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>DORF Accuracy</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Retell</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Retell Quality</th> <th>Score</th> <th>Need for Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>58</td> <td></td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td>47</td> <td></td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>155</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>30</td> <td>42</td> <td>Intensive</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>54</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>17</td> <td>Intensive</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60</td> <td>60</td> <td>Core</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>29</td> <td>1</td> <td>83</td> <td>2</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>116</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>66</td> <td>57</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>13</td> <td>3</td> <td>34</td> <td>2</td> <td>89</td> <td>2</td> <td>29</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>135</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01</td> <td>56</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>18</td> <td>3</td> <td>35</td> <td>2</td> <td>88</td> <td>2</td> <td>19</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>140</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>33</td> <td>33</td> <td>Intensive</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>51</td> <td>3</td> <td>91</td> <td>3</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>152</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>87</td> <td>55</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>48</td> <td>3</td> <td>96</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>159</td> <td>Core</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>OR</p> <p>INTERIM SCORES: Green/Light Green = typically suggests that the probability of SLD is low Yellow = indicates that the probability of SLD is mild Orange = probability of SLD is likely moderate Red = probability of SLD is likely strong</p>													ent ID	NWF				DORF				COMPOSITE					CLS	Need for Support	WWR	Need for Support	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Retell	Need for Support	Retell Quality	Score	Need for Support		58		13		47		90		15			155		30	42	Intensive	5	1	7	1	54	1	0	2	1	17	Intensive	60	60	Core	15	3	29	1	83	2	15	3	3	116	Strategic	66	57	Strategic	13	3	34	2	89	2	29	3	4	135	Strategic	01	56	Strategic	18	3	35	2	88	2	19	3	1	140	Strategic	33	33	Intensive	10	2	51	3	91	3	15	3	2	152	Strategic	87	55	Strategic	9	2	48	3	96	3	9	2	1	159	Core
ent ID	NWF				DORF				COMPOSITE																																																																																																																																	
	CLS	Need for Support	WWR	Need for Support	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Retell	Need for Support	Retell Quality	Score	Need for Support																																																																																																																													
	58		13		47		90		15			155																																																																																																																														
30	42	Intensive	5	1	7	1	54	1	0	2	1	17	Intensive																																																																																																																													
60	60	Core	15	3	29	1	83	2	15	3	3	116	Strategic																																																																																																																													
66	57	Strategic	13	3	34	2	89	2	29	3	4	135	Strategic																																																																																																																													
01	56	Strategic	18	3	35	2	88	2	19	3	1	140	Strategic																																																																																																																													
33	33	Intensive	10	2	51	3	91	3	15	3	2	152	Strategic																																																																																																																													
87	55	Strategic	9	2	48	3	96	3	9	2	1	159	Core																																																																																																																													

Assessment	Date Taken	Correct / Possible	Performance Band	Percent Correct
SLCSD Interim 3 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Apr 7, 2015 12:04:00	16 / 23	Below Basic	69.57% 
SLCSD Interim 2 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Feb 27, 2015 12:02:00	12 / 18	Approaching Proficient	66.67% 
SLCSD Interim 1 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Nov 3, 2014 12:11:00	3 / 14	Below Proficient	21.43% 

2. Benchmark/ Screener Class Peer Comparison	
Rationale	For purposes of determining if a student meets criteria for an SLD, it is important to rule out if lack of appropriate instruction is a primary factor . Collecting information on the academic performance of classroom peers informs us about appropriate classroom instruction.

<p>Data required</p>	<p>Obtain classroom benchmark data using either DIBELS or Interim Scores for LA or Math (via Illuminate). Remember to use the same benchmark data as in Item 1. This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”).</p>
<p>Examples</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If only 0-24% of peers are at-or-above benchmark this suggests that most class peers struggle, and we cannot confidently rule out lack of appropriate instruction, therefore, the probability of SLD is low. • If 25-55% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, many class peers are struggling, suggesting that lack of appropriate instruction may still be a factor and hence, the probability of SLD is mild. • If 56-74% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, only some class peers struggle, making lack of appropriate instruction less defensible, therefore, the probability of SLD becomes moderate. • If 75-100% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, very few class peers struggle, lack of appropriate instruction becomes very unlikely, and therefore, the probability of SLD is strong.
<p>Special Instructions</p>	<p>Instructions for determining the % for Class Peer comparison. Review the whole class scores to determine the Class peer comparison:</p> <p>If DIBELS is used in Item 1: Review the class report of DIBELS. To find the Class Peer Comparison: count the number of students in the Green and divide by the total number of students represented in the class DIBELS benchmark. For instance, if 18/23 students fall in the Green, this indicates that 78% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, which in the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric suggests a strong probability of SLD for the referred student.</p>

ID#	CLS	NMF			DORF			COMPOSITE			
		Need for Support	WWR	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Rank	Need for Support	Rank Quality	Score
120	58	Interim	13	47	3	30	15	0	1	117	Interim
180	42	Core	5	7	1	24	2	1	118	Strategic	
188	60	Core	15	29	1	33	2	15	3	125	Strategic
101	57	Strategic	12	34	2	39	2	29	3	140	Strategic
133	56	Strategic	18	35	2	55	2	19	3	152	Strategic
187	33	Interim	10	51	3	31	3	15	3	152	Core
107	55	Strategic	9	45	3	38	3	9	2	159	Core
129	57	Strategic	19	35	2	35	3	19	3	165	Core
177	42	Interim	14	55	3	25	3	25	3	170	Core
198	52	Core	26	41	2	31	3	35	3	170	Core
185	71	Core	25	53	3	38	3	21	3	196	Core
124	57	Core	24	54	3	38	3	12	2	205	Core
180	94	Core	33	55	3	38	3	0	2	220	Core
112	102	Core	31	71	3	38	3	20	3	228	Core
104	98	Core	33	76	3	100	3	30	3	247	Core
127	108	Core	37	81	3	100	3	25	3	260	Core
115	122	Core	36	92	3	37	3	45	3	267	Core
140	120	Core	47	85	3	39	3	39	3	267	Core
175	110	Core	36	82	3	39	3	5	2	265	Core
145	123	Core	40	102	3	39	3	45	3	255	Core
192	140	Core	50	94	3	39	3	32	3	299	Core
112	143	Core	50	94	3	100	3	30	3	299	Core
117	142	Core	49	104	3	38	3	35	3	301	Core
195	140	Core	45	144	3	100	3	52	3	245	Core
182											

In the DIBELS benchmark example to the left, 18 students are “green” and have met benchmark criteria.

So 18 out of 23 students, or 78% of students, are “at or above benchmark” indicating that the likelihood of SLD for the referred student is **strong**.


If Math or LA Interims are used in Item 1: Refer to Assessments in Illuminate. Pick the most current Interim, filter the data to the classroom teacher. **Be careful to report the % Mastered and not the Average % Correct (average score for the class).**

SLCSD Interim 4 2014-2015 MA Grade 3

Overall Performance

Created by Assessments, Department Of Shared
 Scope: Interim
 Grade Levels: 3
 Subject Area: Mathematics
 # Questions: 28

Custom Reports Item bank Online Testing Shared Portal Amplify ALS
 Curriculum Associate Edusoft Versions Data Director



Summary





Avg. % Correct
34.0%

Students
20

% Not Mastered
95%

% Mastered
5%



3. Progress Monitoring Data				
Rationale	Progress Monitoring is a practice which helps teachers use student performance data to evaluate effectiveness of teaching and interventions.			
Data	Progress Monitoring Data presented and documented in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation (“Mint Form”) need to address the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. Keep in mind the consistency of the data points, the overall trend, and the rate of growth.			
Examples of Growth Rates	Low probability 	Mild probability 	Moderate probability 	Strong probability 

4. Intervention Tier Level to Attain Progress	
Rationale	A multi-tiered approach is used to effectively differentiate instruction for all students. Students who receive intensive, research based, and targeted interventions may or not may not respond which has implications for the identification of an SLD.
Data required	Using the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”), identify the most current targeted intervention addressing the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. What Tier and for what amount of supplemental time has the student received intervention? Who provided the intervention?
Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tier I (CORE instruction only) = If student only requires CORE instruction the probability of SLD is low. • Tier II (60 minutes weekly across multiple sessions) = If student required Tier II intervention, but received relatively intensive intervention, the probability of SLD is mild. • Tier III (90 minutes or more weekly across multiple sessions) = If student received intensive intervention in what would constitute Tier III and did not make adequate progress, the probability of a SLD is strong.

5. SAGE data or District End of Level Assessment	
Rationale	Summative assessments such as SAGE are used to evaluate student learning at the end of an instructional year. In Salt Lake City School District, these measures are used to evaluate student growth and compare against district-wide standards.
Data required	Note the student's proficiency level on the most recent SAGE (grade 3 and up) or District End of Level assessment (K-2). This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form ("Mint Form").
Example	Student received a Proficient (3) score on his Language Arts SAGE. Language Arts was selected because the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is Basic Reading. Being proficient in language arts suggests that the probability of SLD is low .

6. Achievement Standardized Test Score	
Rationale	Standardized Achievement tests allow us to compare a student's performance with same age peers in the areas of Reading, Math and Writing.
Data required	Review the Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Score Report. Write down on the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric the Standard Score that addresses the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN under the appropriate column.
Special Instructions	The WJ-IV Achievement Cluster score must match the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. For example: Use BASIC READING SKILLS cluster score= Basic reading concerns READING COMPREHENSION cluster score= Reading comprehension concerns MATH CALCULATION SKILLS cluster score= Basic math concerns MATH PROBLEM SOLVING cluster score =Math application or reasoning concerns
Example	Teacher reported that student has difficulty with sight words and putting sounds together to make simple VC words. This means that the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is in basic reading, therefore, you should use the BASIC READING cluster score from the WJ-IV Achievement.
Special Instructions	If PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is only in the area of Reading Fluency, contact your school psychologist or consultant.

7. Relative Proficiency Index (RPI)
--

Rationale	RPI describes a student's mastery of age or grade-level academic material. RPI is a more sensitive measure of student academic progress than Standard Scores. For example, an RPI of 45/90 indicates that a student is 45% proficient with age-level reading tasks when compared to the expectation of 90% success or proficiency in the same domain.
Data required	Review the Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Score Report. Find the RPI score. Mark on the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric the RPI score that addresses the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN under the appropriate column.
Examples	Student RPI score for Basic Reading is 28/90. The Rubric indicates that such a score is suggestive of moderate probability of SLD.
Special Instructions	If PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is only in the area of Reading Fluency, contact your school psychologist or consultant.

8. Pattern of Cognitive Strengths and Weaknesses

Rationale	The federal definition of an SLD includes a disorder in "one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written." A process called Pattern of Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) will be used to identify a student's cognitive profile and how it relates to the Primary Area of Concern.																																								
Data required	Pattern of Strengths and Weakness Matrix (will be provided to you) Cognitive and Achievement scores																																								
Examples	<p style="text-align: center;">PATTERN OF STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES (PSW) MATRIX Cattell- Horn-Carroll (CHC) Classification of Cognitive Ability Tests Academic Areas Impacted by CHC Factors</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>CHC Factor</th> <th>Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge</th> <th>Gf Fluid Reasoning</th> <th>Glr Long-Term Retrieval</th> <th>Gsm Short-Term Memory</th> <th>Gv Visual Processing</th> <th>Gs Processing Speed</th> <th>Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ACH Area</td> <td>Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Rdn Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Calc</td> <td>Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Math P Solving</td> <td>Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Math Calc W Expression</td> <td>Basic Rdn</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WJ-IV</td> <td>COMP/ KNOWLEDGE</td> <td>FLUID REASONING W SS=76</td> <td>LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL</td> <td>SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY</td> <td>V VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90</td> <td>COG PROCESSING SPEED</td> <td>AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WNV</td> <td>--</td> <td>Matrices</td> <td>--</td> <td>Recognition</td> <td>Obj Assembly</td> <td>Coding</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WISC-V</td> <td>VERBAL COMPREHENSION</td> <td>FLUID REASONING</td> <td>--</td> <td>WORKING MEMORY</td> <td>VISUAL SPATIAL</td> <td>PROCESSING SPEED</td> <td>--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Name: <u>Jane Jones</u> PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN: <u>Basic math facts / math calculation</u></p> <p>Normative Strength= SS=90 or above, T-score=43 or above Normative Weakness=SS=80 or below, T-score=37 or below (If no normative weaknesses are found, contact your school psychologist for identification of possible relative weaknesses.)</p> <p>Identify 2 Cognitive Strengths: 1. <u>Aud. Processing SS = 104</u> 2. <u>Visual-Spatial Thinking SS = 90</u></p> <p>Identify 1 Cognitive Weakness: <u>Fluid Reasoning SS = 76</u></p> <p>Identify 1 Academic Weakness: <u>Math Calculation Skills SS = 73</u></p> <p>Is the Cognitive Weakness associated to the Academic Weakness? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Is your identified Academic Weakness the same as your PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, mark Item 7 on SLD Eligibility Rubric If not, you may want to complete another SLD Eligibility Rubric based on the Academic Weakness you identified.</p> <p>AH/2015</p>	CHC Factor	Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge	Gf Fluid Reasoning	Glr Long-Term Retrieval	Gsm Short-Term Memory	Gv Visual Processing	Gs Processing Speed	Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness	ACH Area	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math P Solving W Expression	Rdn Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Calc	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression	Math P Solving	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Math Calc W Expression	Basic Rdn	WJ-IV	COMP/ KNOWLEDGE	FLUID REASONING W SS=76	LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL	SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY	V VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90	COG PROCESSING SPEED	AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104	WNV	--	Matrices	--	Recognition	Obj Assembly	Coding	--	WISC-V	VERBAL COMPREHENSION	FLUID REASONING	--	WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL SPATIAL	PROCESSING SPEED	--
CHC Factor	Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge	Gf Fluid Reasoning	Glr Long-Term Retrieval	Gsm Short-Term Memory	Gv Visual Processing	Gs Processing Speed	Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness																																		
ACH Area	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math P Solving W Expression	Rdn Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Calc	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Rdn Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression	Math P Solving	Basic Rdn Rdn Fluency Math Calc W Expression	Basic Rdn																																		
WJ-IV	COMP/ KNOWLEDGE	FLUID REASONING W SS=76	LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL	SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY	V VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90	COG PROCESSING SPEED	AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104																																		
WNV	--	Matrices	--	Recognition	Obj Assembly	Coding	--																																		
WISC-V	VERBAL COMPREHENSION	FLUID REASONING	--	WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL SPATIAL	PROCESSING SPEED	--																																		

Special Instructions	<p>Fill out the attached Worksheet titled "Pattern of Strengths and Weakness (PSW)."</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify 2 Cognitive Strengths based on the cognitive measure 2. Identify 1 Cognitive Weakness 3. Look for a match between the Cognitive Weakness and the PRIMARY AREA OF ACADEMIC CONCERN. <p>*Consult your school psychologist or consultant with questions/concerns.</p>
----------------------	---

9. Discrepancy	
Rationale	In a true SLD the student's academic performance is substantially or significantly below what would be expected given his obtained intellectual ability score.
Data required	SLD Discrepancy Calculation Formula

<p>Examples</p>	<p>Student Name: _____ Date: _____</p> <p>Ability Test: WJ IV GIA Achievement Test: WJ IV - Basic Reading Skills</p> <p>Achievement Area:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basic Reading Skill <input type="checkbox"/> Written Expression <input type="checkbox"/> Reading Comprehension <input type="checkbox"/> Oral Expression <input type="checkbox"/> Math Calculation Skills <input type="checkbox"/> Listening Comprehension <input type="checkbox"/> Math Problem Solving <input type="checkbox"/> Reading Fluency</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Estimated correlation between tests</td> <td>0.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enter Achievement Score</td> <td>73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enter Ability score</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expected Achievement</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)</td> <td>11.015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Difference Required at .05 level</td> <td>15.34</td> </tr> </table> <p>Achievement Test Cut Score <input type="text" value="74"/> <small>mean 100, SD 15, rounded to the nearest integer</small></p> <p>Significant Discrepancy <input type="text" value="YES"/></p> <p><small>State and federal special education rules and regulations require eligibility teams, which include parents, to consider a comprehensive evaluation before determining eligibility for special education and related services. Determining if the difference between a student's intelligence and achievement scores represents a severe discrepancy is one component of a comprehensive evaluation often considered when deciding if a student has a specific learning disability.</small></p>	Estimated correlation between tests	0.68	Enter Achievement Score	73	Enter Ability score	85	Expected Achievement	90	Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)	11.015	Difference Required at .05 level	15.34
Estimated correlation between tests	0.68												
Enter Achievement Score	73												
Enter Ability score	85												
Expected Achievement	90												
Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)	11.015												
Difference Required at .05 level	15.34												
<p>Special Instructions</p>	<p>Using SLD Discrepancy Calculation Excel file, enter Achievement score in the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN and the student's Intellectual Ability score. The program will determine if the difference between Achievement and Ability constitutes a significant discrepancy.</p>												

OPEN CLASSROOM CHARTER (OC) SPECIAL EDUCATION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES MANUAL

Combination SLD Eligibility Method

October, 2021

ADA Compliant: September 2020

Table of Contents

I.	GENERAL PROVISIONS	7
I.A.	PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.1.; Rules I.A.)	7
I.B.	DEFINITIONS (34 CFR § 300.4–300.45; Rules I.E.1–53.)	7
I.C.	FULL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GOAL (34 CFR § 300.109; Rules IX.A.2.d.(2)(c)).....	7
I.D.	METHODS OF ENSURING SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.154; Rules IX.A.2.d.(2)(m))	8
II.	IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND EVALUATION	9
II.A.	CHILD FIND SYSTEM (34 CFR §§ 300.109, 300.111; Rules II.A.).....	9
II.B.	REFERRAL (34 CFR § 300.301; Rules II.B.)	10
II.C.	PARENTAL CONSENT (34 CFR § 300.300; Rules II.C.).....	10
II.D.	INITIAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.301; Rules II.D.)	13
II.E.	SCREENING FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.302; Rules II.E.).....	14
II.F.	EVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.304; Rules II.F.)	14
II.G.	REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.303; Rules II.G.).....	16
II.H.	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR INITIAL EVALUATIONS AND REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.305; Rules II.H.).....	16
II.I.	DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.306; Rules II.I.)	18
II.J.	CATEGORICAL DEFINITIONS, CRITERIA, AND ASSESSMENTS (34 CFR § 300.8; Rules II.J.)	19
III.	IEP DEVELOPMENT AND SERVICE DELIVERY	24
III.A.	INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (Rules III.A.).....	24
III.B.	WHEN IEPs MUST BE IN EFFECT (34 CFR § 300.323; Rules III.B.)	24
III.C.	TRANSFER STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.323; Rules III.C.)	24
III.D.	LEA RESPONSIBILITY FOR IEP MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.323(c)(1)); Rules III.D.).....	25
III.E.	IEP TEAM MEMBERSHIP (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules III.E.).....	25
III.F.	IEP TEAM ATTENDANCE (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules III.F.).....	26
III.G.	PARENT PARTICIPATION (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules III.G.).....	27
III.H.	NOTICE OF MEETING (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules III.H.)	28
III.I.	DEVELOPMENT, REVIEW, AND REVISION OF THE IEP (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules III.I.) ..	29
III.J.	DEFINITION OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (34 CFR § 300.320; Rules III.J.)	32
III.K.	IEP AND SERVICES FOR PRESCHOOL STUDENTS AGES THREE THROUGH FIVE (Rules III.K.)	34

III.L. PHYSICAL EDUCATION (34 CFR § 300.108; Rules III.L.).....	34
III.M. ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY (34 CFR § 300.105; R277-495; Rules III.M.).....	35
III.N. EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.106; R277-751; Rules III.N.) .	35
III.O. LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE) (34 CFR § 300.114; Rules III.O.).....	36
III.P. CONTINUUM OF ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.115; Rules III.P.).....	36
III.Q. PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.116; Rules III.Q.).....	37
III.R. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT IN PLACEMENT DECISIONS (34 CFR §§ 300.327, 300.50; Rules III.R.)	37
III.S. NONACADEMIC SETTINGS AND EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES (34 CFR § 300.117; UCA 53G-6-709; Rules III.S.).....	38
III.T. NONACADEMIC SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.107; Rules III.T.).....	38
IV. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS: DUE PROCESS PROCEDURES FOR PARENT(S) AND STUDENTS (IDEA SUBPART E).....	39
IV.A. PARENTAL OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE RECORDS AND PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.501; Rules IV.A.).....	39
IV.B. INDEPENDENT EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.502; Rules IV.B.).....	40
IV.C. PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.503; Rules IV.C.).....	41
IV.D. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.504; Rules IV.D.).....	42
IV.E. STATE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.151–153; UCA 53E-7-208; Rules IV.E.)	43
IV.F. MEDIATION (34 CFR § 300.506; Rules IV.F.).....	43
IV.G. FILING A DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.507; UCA 53E-7-208; Rules IV.G) .	43
IV.H. DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.508; Rules IV.H.)	43
IV.I. MODEL FORMS (34 CFR § 300.509; Rules IV.I.)	43
IV.J. RESOLUTION PROCESS (34 CFR § 300.510; Rules IV.J.)	43
IV.K. IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS HEARING (34 CFR § 300.511; Rules IV.K.).....	44
IV.L. HEARING RIGHTS (34 CFR § 300.512; Rules IV.L.).....	44
IV.M. HEARING DECISIONS (34 CFR § 300.513; Rules IV.M.)	44
IV.N. FINALITY OF DECISION (34 CFR § 300.514; Rules IV.N.)	44
IV.O. STATE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS (34 CFR § 300.537; Rules IV.O.).....	44
IV.P. TIMELINES AND CONVENIENCE OF HEARINGS (34 CFR § 300.515; UBSE-SER IV.P.).....	44
IV.Q. CIVIL ACTION (34 CFR § 300.516; Rules IV.Q.).....	44
IV.R. ATTORNEYS’ FEES (34 CFR § 300.517; UCA 53E-7-208(4)(b); Rules IV.R.).....	44

IV.S.	STUDENT’S STATUS DURING PROCEEDINGS (34 CFR § 300.518; Rules IV.S.)	44
IV.T.	SURROGATE PARENTS (34 CFR § 300.519; Rules IV.T.)	44
IV.U.	TRANSFER OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AT AGE OF MAJORITY (34 CFR § 300.520; Rules IV.U.).....	45
IV.V.	CONFIDENTIALITY (34 CFR § 300.610; R277-487; Rules IV.V.)	46
V.	DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.530)	52
V.A.	DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (Rules V.A.).....	52
V.B.	AUTHORITY OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL (34 CFR § 300.530(a–c); Rules V.B.).....	52
V.C.	SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.530(d); Rules V.C.)	52
V.D.	CHANGE OF PLACEMENT DUE TO DISCIPLINARY REMOVALS (34 CFR § 300.536; Rules V.D.).....	53
V.E.	MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION (34 CFR § 300.530(e); Rules V.E.).....	53
V.F.	PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.530; Rules V.F.)	55
V.G.	DETERMINATION OF SETTING (34 CFR § 300.531; Rules V.G.).....	55
V.H.	APPEALS BY PARENT OR LEA (34 CFR § 300.532; Rules V.H.).....	55
V.I.	PLACEMENT DURING APPEALS (34 CFR § 300.533; Rules V.I.).....	56
V.J.	PROTECTIONS FOR STUDENTS NOT DETERMINED ELIGIBLE FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.534; Rules V.J.)	56
V.K.	REFERRAL TO AND ACTION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES (34 CFR § 300.535; Rules V.K.)	57
VI.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN OTHER SETTINGS	59
VI.A.	PRIVATE SCHOOL PLACEMENTS BY LEAs (34 CFR § 300.325; Rules VI.A.).....	59
VI.B.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS NOT AT ISSUE (UNILATERAL PLACEMENT) (34 CFR § 300.130; Rules VI.B.).....	59
VI.C.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS AT ISSUE (34 CFR § 300.148; Rules VI.C.)	68
VI.D.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN HOME SCHOOL. (Rules VI.D.)	69
VI.E.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN ADULT EDUCATION (Rules VI.E.)	70
VI.F.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN VIRTUAL SETTINGS (Rules VI.F.)	70
VI.G.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CONVICTED AS ADULTS AND INCARCERATED IN ADULT PRISONS (34C CFR § 300.324; Rules VI.J.).....	71
VI.H.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE ALSO IN STATE CUSTODY/CARE (UCA 62A-4a- 701; R277-709; Rules VI.K.).....	71
VI.I.	STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO RESIDE IN NURSING HOMES (Rules VI.L.).....	72

VII.	TRANSITIONS (34 CFR § 300.1; Rules VII.)	73
VII.A.	TRANSITION FROM PART C TO PART B OF the IDEA (Rules VII.A.)	73
VII.B.	TRANSITION SERVICES—SCHOOL TO POST-SCHOOL (Rules VII.B.)	73
VII.C.	GRADUATION (UCA 53E-7-202; R277-705; Rules VIII.C.).....	77
VII.D.	TERMINATION OF SERVICES UPON REACHING AGE 22 (R277-419-2(25)(b); Rules VII.D.).....	78
VIII.	RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE UTAH STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION	79
VIII.A.	GENERAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY	79
VIII.B.	STATE ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.110; USBE VIII.B.3.)	79
VIII.C.	USBE PROGRAM MONITORING (USBE VIII.D.2-3.)	79
VIII.D.	PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS (34 CFR § 300.156; Rules VIII.K.3-5.).....	79
VIII.E.	REPORTING ON SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATES (34 CFR § 300.170; Rules VIII.M.).....	80
VIII.F.	PROHIBITION ON MANDATORY MEDICATION (34 CFR § 300.174; Rules VIII.X.)	81
IX.	LEA ELIGIBILITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES.....	82
IX.A.	LEA ELIGIBILITY FOR IDEA PART B FUNDS (34 CFR §§ 300.211–212, 220)	82
IX.B.	USE OF PART B FEDERAL FUNDS BY THE LEA (34 CFR §§ 300.200–206, 208)	83
IX.C.	CHARTER SCHOOLS AND THEIR STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.209; Rules IX.C.)	87
IX.D.	COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (CEIS) (34 CFR § 300.226; Rules IX.D.)	87
IX.E.	PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT (34 CFR § 300.207; Rules IX.E.)	88
IX.F.	FUNDED PREVALENCE OF DISABLING CONDITIONS (UCA 53F-2-307; Rules IX.F.).....	88
IX.G.	LEA PROVISION OF FAPE (34 CFR § 300.101; Rules IX.G.)	89
IX.H.	ROUTINE CHECKING OF HEARING AIDS AND EXTERNAL COMPONENTS OF SURGICALLY IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICES (34 CFR § 300.113; Rules IX.H.)	89
IX.I.	EDUCATOR LICENSE REQUIREMENTS (R277-504; R277-506; R277-520; Rules IX.I.)	89
IX.J.	PURCHASE OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS (34 CFR § 300.210; Rules IX.J.)	90
IX.K.	SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE USDB CLASS SPACE (UCA 53E-8-410; Rules IX.K.)	90
X.	SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDING (Rules X.)	91
X.A.	STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS GENERALLY (Rules X.A.).....	91
X.B.	ALLOCATION OF STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS FOR PROGRAMS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (UCA 53F-2-307; R277-479; Rules X.B.3.)	91
X.C.	SPECIAL EDUCATION ADD-ON ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1205) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); Rules X.C.)	91

X.D. SPECIAL EDUCATION SELF-CONTAINED ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1210) (UCA 53F-2-307(3); Rules X.E.)	92
X.E. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION IMPACT AID ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1225) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); Rules X.I.)	92
X.F. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1220) (UCA 53F-2-308(2); Rules X.K.)	92
X.G. STATE EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR STIPEND FOR SPECIAL EDUCATORS (EYSE) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1278) (UCA 53F-2-310; Rules X.M.).....	92
X.H. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION INTENSIVE SERVICES ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1230) (UCA 53F-2-309(1); Rules X.O.)	92
X.I. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS ALLOWABLE USE (Rules X.P.).....	93
X.J. ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR FEDERAL (IDEA) SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS (Rules X.R.1.;4-7.).....	93
XI. APPENDIX A – Referral, Evaluation and Eligibility of English Language Learners (“MLL”)..	96
XI.A. Child Find Procedures for English Language Learners.....	96
XI.B. Initial Evaluation of MLL.....	96
XI.C. Evaluation procedures for MLL students.....	98
XI.D. Eligibility procedures for MLL students.....	100
XI.E. IEP development for MLL students.....	101
XI.F. Placement for MLL students	102
XII. APPENDIX B – EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY (“SLD”)	103
XII.A. Specific Learning Disabilities (SLD)	103
XII.B. Identification of an SLD.....	104
XII.C. SLD Problem-Solving Eligibility Rubric Instructions	105
XII.D. Guide.....	106

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

I.A. PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.1.; RULES I.A.)

The primary purposes of this local education agency (LEA) policies and procedures manual, consistent with Utah Code Annotated (UCA) 53E-7-2 and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act (IDEA), Public Law 108-446, as amended; are:

1. To ensure that all students with disabilities ages 3 through 21 in Utah, including students with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled from school and students who have not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma, have available to them a free appropriate public education (FAPE) that emphasizes special education and related services, as specified on an Individualized Education Program (IEP) designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment, and independent living;
2. To ensure that the rights of students with disabilities and their parent(s) are protected;
3. To ensure that State standards are implemented for the provision of a FAPE to students with disabilities, as defined in Utah State Board of Education Special Education Rules (Rules); and
4. To assess and ensure the effectiveness of efforts to educate students with disabilities.

I.B. DEFINITIONS (34 CFR § 300.4–300.45; RULES I.E.1–53.)

1. OC has adopted applicable definitions as found in Rules I.E.1-53.

I.C. FULL EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITY GOAL (34 CFR § 300.109; RULES IX.A.2.D.(2)(C))

OC provides a free appropriate public education (FAPE) to all eligible students with disabilities in conformity with the requirements of the Rules and the IDEA. OC hereby affirms the goal of providing a full educational opportunity to all students with disabilities determined eligible for special education and related services, of the ages served by OC, in accordance with all of the timeline requirements of the IDEA.

OC follows all necessary requirements in the development and delivery of an individualized education program (IEP) for eligible students. Placement in the least restrictive environment (LRE) will be implemented to the maximum extent appropriate for students with special needs. OC provides a continuum of placements to address the needs of students with disabilities to ensure those students receive special education and related services appropriate to their needs.

I.D. METHODS OF ENSURING SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.154; RULES IX.A.2.D.(2)(M))

OC ensures each eligible student with disabilities enrolled in the school receives the services included in the IEP through a systematic process of IEP internal file reviews and monitoring of service delivery by OC personnel.

II. IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND EVALUATION

II.A. CHILD FIND SYSTEM (34 CFR §§ 300.109, 300.111; RULES II.A.)

1. OC, in accordance with the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and with the Rules, has developed policies and procedures to ensure that all students with disabilities residing within the jurisdiction of the LEA, including students with disabilities birth through 21 years of age, regardless of the severity of their disability, and who are in need of special education and related services, are identified, located, and evaluated. These policies and procedures include a practical method for determining which students are currently receiving needed special education and related services and provide a process to reevaluate those who are found eligible within the three-year timeframe.
2. The requirements of this section apply to:
 - a. Highly mobile students with disabilities (such as students who are migrant and homeless) (34 CFR § 300.111(c)(2); Rules II.A.2.a.).
 - b. Students who have been suspended or expelled from school (34 CFR § 300.101(a); Rules II.A.2.b.).
 - c. Students who have not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(iii); Rules II.A.2.c.).
 - d. Students who are suspected of being a student with a disability under the IDEA and the Rules and who are in need of special education and related services, even though they are advancing from grade to grade (34 CFR § 300.111(c)(1)). The determination that a student is a “student with a disability” under the Rules must be made on an individual basis, by a team made up of the parent or adult student and school personnel determined by the student’s LEA (Rules II.A.2.d.).
 - e. Home schooled students and students enrolled in private schools within the school district’s boundaries (Rules II.A.2.e.).
 - f. Students in State custody/care (Rules II.A.2.f.).
 - g. Students in nursing homes (Rules II.A.2.g.).
3. Charter schools are responsible for child find for students enrolled in their own schools and have no responsibility for child find for private school students. Charter schools may not refer enrolled students to the local school district for child find (Rules II.A.3.).
4. Major components of the child find system include:
 - a. LEA implementation, coordination, and tracking of child find activities and students identified (34 CFR § 300.131; Rules II.A.4.a.).
 - b. USBE staff provision of ongoing technical assistance to LEAs, private schools, and other State agencies in implementing the child find system (Rules II.A.4.b.).

- c. Implementation of the statewide data collection system for reporting student information, including Federal student count (34 CFR §§ 300.132, 300.640–641) and the data requirements found in Rules VI.B.3, which includes that:
 - (1) Each school district must maintain in its records, and provide to the USBE staff annually, the following information related to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students:
 - (a) The number of students evaluated and reevaluated within three years;
 - (b) The number of students determined to be students with disabilities; and
 - (c) The number of students served.
- d. School district collaboration and coordination with State and Local Department of Health, which has responsibility for providing early intervention services for infants and toddlers with disabilities, ages birth through two, under Part C of the IDEA (Interagency Agreement).

The collection and use of data to meet the requirements of this section are subject to the confidentiality of information provisions under the Rules and R277-487.

II.B. REFERRAL (34 CFR § 300.301; RULES II.B.)

- 1. Consistent with the consent requirements in Rules II.C., either a parent or the adult student or an LEA may initiate a request for an initial evaluation to determine if a student is a student with a disability under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules. Upon receipt of a request for an evaluation, OC must respond within a reasonable timeframe. The response may not be delayed due to OC's Response to Intervention process.
- 2. OC shall provide an initial special education assessment for students who enter the custody of the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) upon request by that division, and the LEA obtains appropriate parental consent for the evaluation for students whose school records indicate they may have disabilities requiring special education services.

II.C. PARENTAL CONSENT (34 CFR § 300.300; RULES II.C.)

- 1. Parental consent for initial evaluation.
 - a. When proposing to conduct an initial evaluation to determine if a student qualifies as a student with a disability under the Rules, OC must, after providing prior written notice to the parent or adult student, obtain informed consent, consistent with Rules I.E.9., from the parent of the student or the adult student before conducting the evaluation.
 - (1) Parental or adult student consent for initial evaluation must not be construed as consent for initial provision of special education and related services.
 - (2) OC must make reasonable efforts to obtain informed consent from the parent or adult student for an initial evaluation to determine whether the student is a student with a disability.

- (3) When conducting psychological evaluations, OC must implement the parental or adult student consent requirements of UCA 53E-9-203 (Student Privacy and Data Protection).
 - b. For initial evaluations only, if the student is a ward of the State and is not residing with the student's parent(s), OC is not required to obtain informed consent from the parent for an initial evaluation to determine whether the student is a student with a disability if:
 - (1) Despite reasonable efforts to do so, OC cannot discover the whereabouts of the parent(s) of the student;
 - (2) The rights of the parent(s) of the student have been terminated in accordance with State law; or
 - (3) The rights of the parent(s) to make educational decisions have been subrogated by a judge in accordance with State law and consent for an initial evaluation has been given by an individual appointed by the judge to represent the student.
 - c. If the parent(s) of a student or an adult student enrolled in public school or seeking to be enrolled in public school does not provide consent for initial evaluation, or fails to respond to a request to provide consent, OC may, but is not required to, pursue the initial evaluation of the student by utilizing the procedural safeguards or the due process procedures in Section IV of the Rules.
 - (1) OC does not violate its obligation under the child find provisions of the Rules if it declines to pursue the evaluation by utilizing the procedural safeguards or the due process procedures.
2. Parental consent for services.
- a. OC is responsible for making a FAPE available to a student with a disability and must obtain informed consent from the parent(s) of the student or adult student before the initial provision of special education and related services to the student.
 - b. OC must make reasonable efforts to obtain informed consent from the parent(s) or adult student for the initial provision of special education and related services to the eligible student with disabilities.
 - c. If the parent(s) of a student or adult student fails to respond to a request for, or refuses to consent to, the initial provision of special education and related services, OC:
 - (1) May not use the procedures in Section IV of the Rules, including the mediation procedures or the due process procedures, in order to obtain agreement or a ruling that the services may be provided to the student;
 - (2) Will not be considered to be in violation of the requirement to make available a FAPE to the student for the failure to provide the student with the special education and related services for which OC requests consent; and

- (3) Is not required to convene an IEP Team meeting or develop an IEP for the student for the special education and related services for which OC requests such consent.
 - d. If, at any time subsequent to the initial provision of special education and related services, the parent(s) of a student or adult student revokes consent in writing for the continued provision of special education and related services, OC:
 - (1) May not continue to provide special education and related services to the student but must provide prior written notice in accordance with Rules IV.D. before ceasing the provision of special education and related services;
 - (2) May not use the procedures in Rules IV., including the mediation procedures or the due process procedures, in order to obtain agreement or a ruling that the services may be provided to the student;
 - (3) Will not be considered to be in violation of the requirement to make available a FAPE to the student for the failure to provide the student with the special education and related services for which OC requests consent; and
 - (4) Is not required to convene an IEP Team meeting or develop an IEP for the student for the special education and related services for which OC requests such consent (34 CFR § 300.300; Rules II.C.2.d.(4)).
3. Parental consent for reevaluations.
 - a. OC must obtain informed parental or adult student consent prior to conducting any reevaluation of a student with a disability.
 - b. If the parent or adult student refuses to consent to the reevaluation, OC may, but is not required to, pursue the reevaluation by using the dispute resolution procedures provided in the procedural safeguards, and including mediation or due process procedures.
 - c. OC does not violate its obligation under child find if it declines to pursue the reevaluation.
 - d. The informed parental or adult student consent need not be obtained if OC can demonstrate that:
 - (1) It made reasonable efforts to obtain such consent; and
 - (2) The student's parent or the adult student has failed to respond.
4. Other consent requirements.
 - a. Parental or adult student consent is not required before:
 - (1) Reviewing existing data as part of an evaluation or a reevaluation; or

- (2) Administering a test or other evaluation that is administered to all students unless consent is required for all students before administration of that test or evaluation.
- b. OC may not use a parent's or adult student's refusal to consent to one service or activity under Rules II.C.1., II.C.2., or II.C.3., to deny the parent or student any other service, benefit, or activity of OC, except as required by this part.
- c. To meet the reasonable efforts requirement in Rules II.C.1.a.2., II.C.1.b.1., II.C.2.b., and II.C.3.d.1., OC must document its attempts to obtain parental or adult student consent using the procedures in Rules III.G.3.
- d. Unless parent(s) or the adult student revoke consent for special education and related services or refuse consent for initial placement, disagreements regarding the provision of IEP services should be resolved by the IEP Team and result in a completed IEP which includes all components necessary for the provision of a FAPE.

II.D. INITIAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.301; RULES II.D.)

- 1. OC must conduct a full and individual initial evaluation to determine whether a student is a "student with a disability" under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, and to determine the educational needs of the student.
- 2. The initial evaluation:
 - a. Must be conducted within 45 school days of receiving parental or adult student consent for the evaluation, unless:
 - (1) The initial evaluation is requested by the Division of Child and Family Services (DCFS) and OC obtains appropriate consent for the evaluation, in which case OC shall provide an initial special education evaluation to an individual who enters DCFS custody if DCFS suspects the individual may be an eligible student within 30 days after the day on which DCFS makes the request (53E-7-207).
 - (a) OC may refuse to conduct an evaluation described in II.D.2.a.(1) if OC reviews the relevant data regarding the individual and, within 10 days after the day on which OC received the request described in II.D.2.a.(1), gives the DCFS prior written notice of refusal to evaluate.
 - b. Must consist of procedures to determine:
 - (1) If the student is a student with a disability; and
 - (2) The educational needs of the student.
- 3. The timeframe shall not apply to OC if:
 - a. The parent of a student repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the student for the evaluation; or
 - b. The adult student repeatedly fails or refuses to participate in evaluation activities; or

- c. A student enrolls in OC after the relevant timeframe has begun, and prior to a determination by the student's previous LEA as to whether the student is a student with a disability.
- d. The exception in Rule II.D.3.c. applies only if OC is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation, and the parent or adult student and OC agree to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.

II.E. SCREENING FOR INSTRUCTIONAL PURPOSES (34 CFR § 300.302; RULES II.E.)

The screening of a student by a teacher or specialist to determine appropriate instructional strategies for curriculum implementation shall not be considered to be an evaluation for eligibility for special education and related services. Results of screenings should be considered by OC for child find purposes.

II.F. EVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.304; RULES II.F.)

OC has established and implemented the following procedures that meet the evaluation requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules as follows:

1. In conducting the evaluation, OC must:
 - a. Use a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the student, including information provided by a parent or adult student, that may assist in determining:
 - (1) Whether the student is a student with a disability; and
 - (2) The content of the student's IEP, including information related to enabling the student to be involved in and progress in the general education curriculum;
 - b. Not use any single procedure as the sole criterion for determining whether a student is a student with a disability and for determining an appropriate educational program for the student; and
 - c. Use technically sound instruments that may assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical or developmental factors. OC must consider the publication date and continued validity of assessments in use when new editions are published.
 - d. OC must ensure that assessments and other evaluation materials used to assess a student:
 - (1) Are selected and administered so as not to be discriminatory on a racial or cultural basis;
 - (2) Are provided and administered in the student's native language or other mode of communication, and in the form most likely to yield accurate information on what the student knows and can do academically, developmentally, and functionally, unless it is clearly not feasible to so provide or administer;

- (3) Are selected to assess the specific areas of concern identified by the assessment/evaluation team, including the parent(s) or adult student;
 - (4) Are used for the purposes for which the assessments or measures are valid and reliable;
 - (5) Are selected and administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel based upon the specific assessment's requirements; and
 - (6) Are administered and interpreted in accordance with any instructions and administrator requirements provided by the producer of the assessments and the Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing (AERA, APA, NCME, 2014).
 - (a) OC must ensure and document that all evaluators meet the assessment publishers' administrator/interpreter/user requirements, (e.g., appropriate degree, higher education coursework in tests and measures, and supervised clinical experiences/practica).
 - (b) OC shall provide documentation to USBE staff upon request.
- e. OC must ensure that:
- (1) Assessments and other evaluation materials include those tailored to assess specific areas of educational need and not merely those that are designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient.
 - (2) Assessments are selected and administered so as best to ensure that if an assessment is administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the assessment results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level or whatever other factors the test purports to measure, rather than reflecting the student's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills (unless those skills are the factors that the test purports to measure).
 - (3) The administration of psychological testing and the evaluation or assessment of personal characteristics, such as intelligence (e.g., cognitive, IQ), personality, abilities, interests, aptitudes, and neuropsychological functioning are only administered and interpreted by personnel who have been trained and fully meet the administrator/interpreter/user qualifications of the test publisher (e.g., appropriate degree, higher education coursework in tests and measures, and supervised clinical experiences/practica).
 - (4) The student is assessed in all areas related to the suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health, vision, hearing, social and emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, and motor abilities.
 - (5) Assessments of students with disabilities who transfer from another LEA to OC in the same school year are coordinated with those students' prior and subsequent

schools, as necessary and as expeditiously as possible, to ensure prompt completion of full evaluations.

(6) In evaluating each student with a disability, the evaluation is sufficiently comprehensive to identify all the student's special education and related services needs, whether or not commonly linked to the disability category in which the student has been classified.

(7) Assessment tools and strategies provide relevant information that directly assists persons in determining the educational needs of the student are provided.

2. The Utah Schools for the Deaf and the Blind (USDB) is available to LEAs for assessments of students with visual impairment and hearing loss, as well as professional learning on appropriate administration of assessments, and procedures to ensure appropriate interpretation of assessments (R277-800-7). The Utah Department of Health shall provide diagnostic and evaluation services, which are required by State or Federal law but are not typically otherwise provided by school districts and charter schools, to students with disabilities.

II.G. REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.303; RULES II.G.)

1. OC must ensure that a reevaluation of each student with a disability is conducted:
 - a. If OC determines the educational or related services needs, including improved academic achievement and functional performance, of the student warrant a reevaluation; or
 - b. If the student's parents or adult student or teacher requests a reevaluation.
2. A reevaluation:
 - a. May occur not more than once a year, unless the parent(s) or adult student and OC agree otherwise; and
 - b. Must occur at least once every three years, unless the parent(s) or adult student and OC agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary as there are data available to continue eligibility and determine the educational needs of the student. When the parent(s) or adult student and LEA agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary, the team must document data reviewed and used in an evaluation report and complete an eligibility determination.

II.H. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR INITIAL EVALUATIONS AND REEVALUATION PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.305; RULES II.H.)

1. As part of any initial evaluation (if appropriate) and as part of any reevaluation, the IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, must:
 - a. Review existing evaluation data on the student, including:
 - (1) Evaluations and information provided by the parent(s) of the student or the adult student;

- (2) Current classroom-based, local, or State assessments, and classroom-based observations; and
 - (3) Observations by teachers and related services providers; and
 - b. On the basis of that review, and input from the student's parent(s) or the adult student, identify what additional data, if any, are needed to determine:
 - (1) Whether the student is a student with a disability and the educational needs of the student; or, in the case of a reevaluation of a student, whether the student continues to have such a disability, and the educational needs of the student;
 - (2) The present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs of the student;
 - (3) Whether the student needs special education and related services; or, in the case of a reevaluation of a student, whether the student continues to need special education and related services; and
 - (4) Whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are needed to enable the student to meet the measurable annual goals set out in the IEP of the student and to participate, as appropriate, in the general education curriculum.
2. The IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, may conduct its review of existing data without a meeting.
3. OC must administer such assessments and other evaluation measures as may be needed to produce the data needed to determine continuing eligibility.
4. If the IEP Team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, determine that no additional data are needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability and to determine the student's educational needs, OC must notify the student's parent(s) or adult student of:
 - a. That determination and the reason(s) for the determination; and
 - b. The right of the parent(s) or adult student to request an assessment to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability, and to determine the student's educational needs.
5. OC is not required to conduct the assessment for reevaluation described in Rules II.H.4.b. unless requested to do so by the student's parent(s) or the adult student.
6. Evaluations before change in eligibility.
 - a. OC must evaluate a student with a disability before determining that the student is no longer a student with a disability.
 - b. The evaluation is not required before the termination of a student's eligibility due to graduation from secondary school with a regular diploma, or due to exceeding the age eligibility for a FAPE under State law (i.e., age 22).

- c. For a student whose eligibility terminates due to graduation from secondary school with a regular high school diploma or due to exceeding the age eligibility for a FAPE under State law, OC must provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance, which shall include recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals.

II.I. DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.306; RULES II.I.)

1. Upon completion of the administration of assessments and other evaluation measures, a group of qualified professionals and the parents of the student or the adult student determine eligibility under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, including:
 - a. Whether that student is a student with a disability, and
 - b. The educational needs of the student.
2. OC shall provide the parent(s) or adult student with a copy of the evaluation report and the documentation of determination of eligibility.
3. A student must not be determined to be a student with a disability:
 - a. If the determinant factor for that determination is:
 - (1) Lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction (phonemic awareness, alphabetic principle, vocabulary, comprehension, and fluency);
 - (2) Lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics; or
 - (3) Limited English proficiency; and
 - (4) If the student does not otherwise meet the eligibility criteria.
4. Procedures for determining eligibility and educational need.
 - a. In interpreting evaluation data for the purpose of determining if a student is a student with a disability and the educational needs of the student, OC must:
 - (1) Draw upon information from a variety of sources, such as aptitude and achievement tests, parent or adult student input, teacher recommendations, physical condition, social or cultural background, and adaptive behavior; and
 - (2) Ensure information obtained from all these sources is documented and carefully considered.
 - b. If a determination is made that a student has a disability and needs special education and related services, an IEP must be developed for the student within 30 calendar days.

II.J. CATEGORICAL DEFINITIONS, CRITERIA, AND ASSESSMENTS (34 CFR § 300.8; RULES II.J.)

OC has adopted the criteria and evaluation procedures, by category, for determining eligibility for a student with disabilities under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules II.J.1-13. Including:

1. Specific Learning Disabilities (Rules II.J.10.).

a. Definition (34 CFR § 300.8(C)(10); Rules II.J.10.a.).

Specific Learning Disabilities means a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia, that affects a student's educational performance.

Specific learning disabilities does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; of intellectual disability; of emotional disturbance; or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

b. Procedures for Identifying Students with Specific Learning Disabilities (34 CFR § 300.307; Rules II.J.10.b.).

(1) OC has adopted the following method for determining a student's eligibility under the specific learning disability category:

(a) A Combination method of:

- (i) A process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention called the Response to Intervention (RtI) method which shows the student does not make sufficient progress to meet age or State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the areas identified in Rule II.J.10.b.(3)(a); and
- (ii) A discrepancy analysis which identifies that the student's scores demonstrate that a severe discrepancy exists between the student's intellectual ability and academic achievement in one or more of the areas identified in Rules II.J.10.b.(3)(a) which indicates the student exhibits a disability using a combination of both the RtI and a discrepancy analysis (the team must refer to the *USBE Specific Learning Disability Eligibility Guidelines* when using this method).

(2) Additional team members (34 CFR § 300.308; Rules II.J.10.b.2.)

The determination of whether a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is a student with a disability must be made by the student's parent(s) or adult student and a team of qualified professionals, which must include:

- (a) The student's regular teacher; or

- (b) If the student does not have a regular teacher, a regular classroom teacher qualified to teach a student of his/her age; or
- (c) For a student of less than school age; an individual qualified by the USBE to teach a student of his/her age; and
- (d) At least one person qualified to conduct individual diagnostic examinations of students and interpret the results of those assessments (as per the publisher's assessment administration criteria), such as a school psychologist, speech-language pathologist, reading teacher or reading specialist, or special education teacher.

(3) Determining the existence of a specific learning disability (34 CFR § 300.309; Rules II.J.10.b.3.)

The team described may determine that a student has a specific learning disability if:

- (a) The student does not achieve adequately for the student's age or to meet State-approved grade-level standards in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's age or State-approved grade-level standards:

- (i) Oral expression;
- (ii) Listening comprehension;
- (iii) Written expression;
- (iv) Basic reading skills;
- (v) Reading fluency skills;
- (vi) Reading comprehension;
- (vii) Mathematics calculation;
- (viii) Mathematics problem solving.

- (b) The group determines that its findings are not primarily the result of:

- (i) A visual, hearing, or motor disability;
- (ii) Intellectual disability;
- (iii) Emotional disturbance;
- (iv) Cultural factors;
- (v) Environmental or economic disadvantage; or
- (vi) Limited English proficiency.

- (4) The specific learning disability must adversely affect the student's educational performance.

- (5) The student with the specific learning disability must need special education and related services (34 CFR § 300.8(a); Rules II.J.10.b.5.)
- (6) The team must determine that the specific learning disability is the student's primary disability.
- c. Evaluation (34 CFR § 300.309(b)–(c); Rules II.J.10.c.)
 - (1) An evaluation must include a variety of assessment tools and strategies and cannot rely on any single procedure as the sole criterion.
 - (2) To ensure underachievement in a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is not due to lack of appropriate instruction in reading or math, the group must consider, as part of the evaluation:
 - (a) Data that demonstrate that prior to, or as a part of, the referral process, the student was provided appropriate instruction in regular education settings, delivered by qualified personnel; and
 - (b) Data-based documentation of repeated assessments of achievement at reasonable intervals, reflecting formal assessment of student progress during instruction, which was provided to the student's parent(s) or the adult student.
 - (3) OC must promptly request parental consent or consent of the adult student to evaluate the student to determine if the student needs special education and related services, and must adhere to the 45-school-day evaluation timeframe, unless extended by mutual written agreement of the student's parent(s) or adult student and a group of qualified professionals:
 - (a) If, prior to a referral, a student has not made adequate progress after an appropriate period of time as determined by OC when provided appropriate instruction, and
 - (b) Whenever a student is referred for an evaluation.
 - (4) Observation (34 CFR § 300.310(a)–(c); Rules II.J.10.b.4.)

OC must ensure the student is observed in the student's learning environment (including the regular classroom setting) to document the student's academic performance and behavior in the areas of concern.

 - (a) The team must decide to:
 - (i) Use information from an observation in routine classroom instruction and monitoring of the student's performance that was done before the student was referred for an evaluation; or
 - (ii) Have at least one member of the team conduct an observation of the student's academic performance in the regular classroom after the

student has been referred for an evaluation and parental consent or consent of the adult student is obtained.

- (b) If the student is a home-schooled student, OC may determine how to conduct the observation and who will conduct it.
 - (c) In the case of a student of less than school age or who is out of school, a group member must observe the student in an environment appropriate for a student of that age.
- (5) Specific documentation for the eligibility determination (34 CFR § 300.311; Rules II.J.10.b.5.)

The team's documentation of the determination of eligibility with a specific learning disability must contain a statement of:

- (a) Whether the student has a specific learning disability;
- (b) The basis for making the determination;
- (c) The relevant behavior, if any, noted during the observation of the student and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic functioning;
- (d) The educationally relevant medical findings, if any; and
- (e) Whether the student meets the criteria below.
- (f) Combination (RtI and discrepancy analysis). Does not make sufficient progress to meet State-approved age- or grade-level standards when using a process based on the student's response to scientific evidence-based interventions and obtains scores that demonstrate that a severe discrepancy exists between the student's academic achievement and intellectual ability in one or more of the areas of specific learning disability.
 - (i) OC has a process that assesses a student's response to scientific, research-based intervention as part of determining if the student has a specific learning disability. This process must include:
 - (ii) High quality research-based instruction delivered by qualified staff in the general education setting; and
 - (iii) Assessment of student performance that specifically includes universal screening and progress-monitoring; and
 - (iv) Multiple tiers of evidence-based interventions to address individual student difficulties; and
 - (v) Documentation of systematic and regular parent, adult student, and/or family involvement and communication as well as notification about:

- (A) The State’s policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance data that would be collected and the general education services that would be provided (the team must refer to the USBE Specific Learning Disability Eligibility Guidelines when using this method);
 - (B) Strategies for increasing the student’s rate of learning; and
 - (C) The parent’s(s’) or the adult student’s right to request an evaluation; and
- (vi) System supports (e.g., leadership, problem-solving, data management systems, coaching and collaboration, professional learning, and measures of fidelity) in place to ensure effective implementation; or
 - (vii) The instructional strategies used and the student-centered data collected.
 - (viii) The team must document that the student’s performance on a standardized, norm-referenced, individually administered achievement measure in the area of the suspected disability, and
 - (ix) That the student scored above the intellectual disability range on a standardized, norm-referenced, individually administered measure of intellectual ability, and
 - (A) The comparison of the standard scores on the tests of achievement and intellectual ability using an LEA board-approved and USBE-reviewed discrepancy analysis. The team must document consideration of the discrepancy analysis and the team’s determination of whether or not it represents a severe discrepancy.
- (6) The determination of the team concerning the effects of a visual, hearing, or motor disability; intellectual disability; emotional disturbance; cultural factors; environmental or economic disadvantage; or limited English proficiency on the student’s achievement level;
- (7) The requirements of Rules II.D.–H. must be met.
- (8) Each team member must certify in writing whether the report reflects the member’s conclusion (34 CFR § 300.311(b)). If it does not reflect the member’s conclusion, the team member must submit a separate statement presenting the member’s conclusions.

III. IEP DEVELOPMENT AND SERVICE DELIVERY

III.A. INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (RULES III.A.)

OC implements the following policies and procedures to address the IEP requirements of Rules III.A-T., including the least restrictive environment (LRE) requirements, consistent with Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, as well as R277-750, R277-800, and the USBE/USDB Interagency Agreement.

III.B. WHEN IEPS MUST BE IN EFFECT (34 CFR § 300.323; RULES III.B.)

1. At the beginning of each school year, OC must have an IEP in effect for each student with a disability within its jurisdiction.
2. OC must ensure that:
 - a. A meeting to develop an IEP for a student is conducted within 30 calendar days of a determination that the student needs special education and related services; and
 - b. As soon as possible following development of the IEP, special education and related services are made available to the student in accordance with the student's IEP.
 - c. OC must ensure the student's IEP is:
 - (1) Accessible to each regular education teacher, special education teacher, related service provider, and any other service provider who is responsible for its implementation; and
 - (2) Each teacher and provider is informed of:
 - (a) His/her specific responsibilities related to implementing the student's IEP; and
 - (b) The specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided for the student in accordance with the IEP.
3. Documentation of IEP implementation shall be provided to USBE upon request.

III.C. TRANSFER STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.323; RULES III.C.)

1. Transfers within Utah.
 - a. In the case of a student with a disability with a current IEP who transfers from one LEA to another within the State within the same school year and enrolls in a new school, OC, in consultation with the parent(s) or adult student, must provide a FAPE to the student, including services comparable to those described in the previously held IEP, until such time as OC:
 - (1) Adopts the previously held IEP, or
 - (2) Develops, adopts, and implements a new IEP that is consistent with Federal regulations and the Rules.

- b. The requirements of 34 CFR § 300.323 also apply for students transferring from an LEA placement to a local juvenile or adult correctional facility or temporary State placement for observation and assessment.

2. Transfers from out of State.

- a. In the case of a student with a disability with a current IEP who transfers LEAs within the same school year, who enrolls in a new school, and who has an IEP that was in effect in another State, OC, in consultation with the parent(s) or adult student, must provide the student with a FAPE, including services comparable to those described in the previously held IEP, until OC:

- (1) Conducts an evaluation, if determined to be necessary by OC; and

- (2) Develops a new IEP, if appropriate, that is consistent with Federal and State law.

- b. The evaluation for eligibility that may be conducted by OC is considered an initial evaluation, not a reevaluation (71 FR 4668-82).

3. To facilitate the transition for a student described above:

- a. OC must take reasonable steps to promptly obtain the student's records, including the IEP and supporting documents and any other records relating to the provision of special education or related services to the student, from the previous LEA in which the student was enrolled; and

- b. The previous LEA in which the student was enrolled must take reasonable steps to promptly respond to the request from OC.

- c. OC must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.

4. Experiencing difficulty in obtaining the IEP from the previous LEA does not relieve OC of its obligation to have a current IEP in place for an eligible student.

III.D. LEA RESPONSIBILITY FOR IEP MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.323(C)(1)); RULES III.D.)

- 1. OC is responsible for initiating and conducting meetings for the purpose of developing, reviewing, and revising the IEP of a student with a disability ages 3 through 21, consistent with the Rules.
- 2. A meeting to develop an IEP for an eligible student must be conducted within 30 calendar days of a determination that a student needs special education and related services.

III.E. IEP TEAM MEMBERSHIP (34 CFR § 300.321; RULES III.E.)

OC must ensure the IEP Team for each student with a disability includes:

- 1. The parent(s) of the student or the adult student;
- 2. Not less than one regular education teacher of the student (if the student is, or may be, participating in the regular education environment);

3. Not less than one special education teacher of the student, or where appropriate, not less than one special education provider of the student;
4. A representative of OC who:
 - a. Is qualified to provide, or supervise the provision of, specially designed instruction to meet the unique needs of students with disabilities;
 - b. Is knowledgeable about the general education curriculum; and
 - c. Is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of OC.
 - d. OC may designate a OC member of the IEP Team to also serve as OC representative, if the above criteria are satisfied.
5. A representative of USDB and the LEA of residence when the student's placement is at USDB, when the IEP Team is considering placement at USDB, or when the student receives 180 minutes or more of special education and/or related services from USDB.
6. An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results, who may be a member of the team described in this section;
7. At the discretion of the parent(s) or adult student or OC, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the student, including related services personnel as appropriate; and
8. Whenever appropriate, the student with a disability.
9. The determination of knowledge or special expertise of any individual described in Rule III.E.6. above must be made by the party (parent(s) or adult student or OC) who invited the individual to be a member of the IEP Team.
10. If a purpose of the IEP Team meeting is consideration of the postsecondary goals for the student and the transition services needed to assist the student in reaching those goals, OC must invite the student with a disability to attend the student's IEP meeting. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, OC must take other steps to ensure the student's preferences and interests are considered.
11. To the extent appropriate, with the written consent of the parent(s) or adult student, OC must invite a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.
12. Signatures on an IEP denote participation of IEP Team members in the development of the IEP.

III.F. IEP TEAM ATTENDANCE (34 CFR § 300.321; RULES III.F.)

1. A required member of the IEP Team is not required to attend a particular IEP Team meeting, in whole or in part, if the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and OC agree, in writing, that the attendance of the member is not necessary because the member's area of the curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed in the meeting.

2. A required member of the IEP Team may be excused from attending an IEP meeting, in whole or in part, when the meeting involves a modification to or discussion of the member's area of the curriculum or related services, if:
 - a. The parent(s) or adult student, in writing, and OC consent to the excusal; and
 - b. The member submits, in writing, to the parent(s) or adult student and the IEP Team, input into the development of the IEP prior to the meeting.

III.G. PARENT PARTICIPATION (34 CFR § 300.322; RULES III.G.)

1. OC must take steps to ensure that one or both of the parents of a student with a disability or the adult student are present at each IEP meeting or are afforded the opportunity to participate, including:
 - a. Notifying parent(s) or adult student of the meeting early enough to ensure they will have an opportunity to attend; and
 - b. Scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed-on time and place.
2. If the parent(s) or adult student cannot attend, OC must use other methods to ensure participation of the parent(s) or the adult student, including individual or conference telephone calls. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or the adult student and OC may agree to use alternative means of meeting participation, such as video conferences and conference calls (34 CFR § 300.328).
3. A meeting may be conducted without a parent or the adult student in attendance if OC is unable to convince the parent(s) or the adult student they should attend. In this case, OC must keep a record of its attempts to arrange a mutually agreed-on time and place, such as:
 - a. Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted and the results of those calls;
 - b. Copies of correspondence sent to the parent(s) or adult student and any responses received; and
 - c. Detailed records of visits made to the parent's(s') or adult student's home or place of employment and the results of those visits.
4. OC must take whatever action is necessary to ensure the parent(s) or adult student understands the proceedings of the IEP Team meeting, including arranging for an interpreter for parent(s) or adult student with deafness or whose native language is other than English.
 - a. Under UCA 35A-13-604, an individual is required to be certified as an interpreter if that individual provides interpreter services for deaf and hard of hearing individuals.
 - b. An individual providing interpreting services other than those for deaf and hard of hearing individuals shall be trained.

5. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student are participants along with school personnel in developing, reviewing, and revising the IEP for their student. This is an active role in which the parent(s) or adult student:
 - a. Provide critical information regarding the strengths of the student and express their concerns for enhancing the education of the student;
 - b. Participate in the discussion of the student's need for special education and related services, and supplementary aids and services; and
 - c. Join with other participants in deciding how the student will be involved and progress in the general curriculum, how the student will participate in State- and LEA-wide assessments, and what services OC will provide to the student and in what setting.
6. OC must give the parent(s) or adult student a copy of the student's IEP at no cost to the parent(s) or adult student.

III.H. NOTICE OF MEETING (34 CFR § 300.322; RULES III.H.)

1. The notice of meeting required to be provided to the parent(s) or adult student must:
 - a. Indicate the purpose, time, and location of the meeting and who will be in attendance; and
 - b. Inform the parent(s) or adult student of the provision for participation of other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise about the student on the IEP Team.
 - c. Inform the parent(s) that, at their request, the Part C service coordinator, or other representatives of the Part C system, may be invited to participate at the initial IEP team meeting for a student previously served under Part C of the IDEA.
2. For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, the IEP notice of meeting also must:
 - a. Indicate that a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the postsecondary goals and transition services for the student; and
 - b. Indicate that OC will invite the student; and
 - c. Identify any other agency that will be invited, with parental or adult student consent, to send a representative.
3. When conducting IEP Team meetings and placement meetings and carrying out administrative matters, the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and OC may agree to use alternative means of meeting participation such as video conferences and conference calls (34 CFR § 300.328).

III.I. DEVELOPMENT, REVIEW, AND REVISION OF THE IEP (34 CFR § 300.324; RULES III.I.)

1. Development, review, and revision of the IEP.
 - a. In developing each student's IEP, the IEP Team must consider:
 - (1) The strengths of the student;
 - (2) The concerns of the parent(s) or adult student for enhancing the education of the student;
 - (3) The results of the initial or most recent evaluation of the student, and
 - (4) The academic, developmental, and functional needs of the student.
 - b. The IEP Team, in conducting a meeting to develop, review and, if appropriate, revise a student's IEP, must consider the following special factors:
 - (1) In the case of a student with limited English proficiency (LEP), consider the language needs of the student as those needs relate to the student's IEP;
 - (2) In the case of a student who is blind or visually impaired, provide for instruction in braille and the use of braille unless the IEP Team determines, after an evaluation of the student's reading and writing skills, needs, and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the student's future needs for instruction in braille or the use of braille), that instruction in braille or the use of braille is not appropriate for the student;
 - (a) Prior to determining whether a blind student should use braille as the primary reading mode, the student's IEP Team must be provided (through pertinent literature or discussions with competent braille users and educators, or both) with detailed information about the use and efficiency of braille as a reading medium, in order to make an informed choice as to the student's primary reading.
 - (3) Consider the communication needs of the student and, in the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, consider the student's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communication with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode;
 - (4) Consider whether the student needs assistive technology devices and services in school and on a case-by-case basis, in a student's home or other setting; and
 - (5) In the case of a student whose behavior impedes the student's learning or that of others, consider the use of positive behavior interventions and supports, and other strategies, to address that behavior.

- (a) When making decisions on behavior interventions, the IEP Team must refer to the USBE Least Restrictive Behavior Interventions (LRBI) Technical Assistance (TA) Manual for information on research-based intervention procedures.
 - (i) Emergency safety interventions may only be included in an IEP as a planned intervention when the IEP Team agrees that less restrictive means which meet circumstances in R277-608 have been attempted, a functional behavior assessment (FBA) has been conducted, and a positive behavior intervention plan based on data analysis has been developed and implemented (R277-609).
 - (b) The purpose of the LRBI TA Manual related to the use of positive behavior supports and behavior interventions in schools is to:
 - (i) Protect the safety and well-being of all students;
 - (ii) Provide protection for students, teachers, other school personnel, and LEAs; and
 - (iii) Ensure parent(s) or adult students are involved in the consideration and selection of behavior interventions to be used.
 - (c) When an emergency situation occurs that requires the immediate use of an emergency safety intervention to protect the student or others from harm, the staff shall comply with requirements in R277-609 with regards to time limitations and parental or adult student notification.
 - (d) As appropriate, the student should receive a FBA and behavior intervention services and modifications that are designed to address the behavior (34 CFR § 300.530(d)(1)(ii)).
- c. If, in considering the special factors described above, the IEP Team determines a student needs a particular device or services for educational purposes (including an intervention, accommodation, or other program modification) in order for the student to receive a FAPE, the IEP Team must include a statement to that effect in the student's IEP.
 - d. A regular education teacher of a student with a disability, as a member of the IEP Team, must, to the extent appropriate, participate in the development of the IEP of the student, including the determination of:
 - (1) Appropriate positive behavior interventions and supports and other strategies for the student; and
 - (2) Supplementary aids and services, program modifications, and support for school personnel consistent with the IEP.
2. Changes to the IEP.

- a. In making changes to a student's IEP after the annual IEP Team meeting for a school year, the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student and OC may agree not to convene an IEP meeting for the purposes of making those changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP.
 - b. Upon request, the parent(s) or adult student must be provided with a revised copy of the IEP with the amendments incorporated.
 - c. If changes are made to the student's IEP through the amendment process, OC must ensure the student's IEP Team is informed of those changes.
3. To the extent possible, OC must encourage the consolidation of reevaluation meetings and other IEP Team meetings for the student (34 CFR § 300.324(a)(5)).
 4. Review and revision of the IEP.

OC must ensure the IEP Team:

- a. Reviews the student's IEP periodically, but not less than annually, to determine whether the annual goals for the student are being achieved; and
- b. Revises the IEP, as appropriate, to address:
 - (1) Any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals in the IEP and in the general education curriculum, if appropriate;
 - (2) The results of any reevaluation;
 - (3) Information about the student provided to, or by, the parent(s) or adult student;
 - (4) The student's anticipated needs; or
 - (5) Other matters.
- c. In conducting a review of the student's IEP, the IEP Team must consider the special factors in Rules III.I.1.b.
- d. A regular education teacher of the student, as a member of the IEP Team, must participate in the review and revision of the IEP of the student, if the student is or may be participating in the general education classroom.
- e. If a participating agency, other than OC, fails to provide the transition services described in the IEP, OC must reconvene the IEP Team to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student set out in the IEP.
- f. Nothing in this part relieves any participating agency, including a State vocational rehabilitation agency, of the responsibility to provide or pay for any transition service that the agency would otherwise provide to students with disabilities who meet the eligibility criteria of that agency.

III.J. DEFINITION OF THE INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM (34 CFR § 300.320; RULES III.J.)

1. The term individualized education program (IEP) means a written statement for each student with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in a meeting.
2. The IEP must include:
 - a. A statement of the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance (PLAAFP), including:
 - (1) How the student's disability affects the student's involvement and progress in the general education curriculum (i.e., the same grade-level curriculum as for non-disabled students); or
 - (2) For preschool students, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities; and
 - (3) For students who are blind, the results obtained from a braille-related or braille skills assessment;
 - b. A statement of measurable annual goals, including academic and functional goals designed to:
 - (1) Meet the student's needs that result from the student's disability to enable the student to be involved in and make progress in the grade-level general education curriculum; and
 - (2) Meet each of the student's other educational needs that result from the student's disability;
 - c. For eligible students with significant cognitive disabilities who will participate in grade-level alternate achievement standards (i.e., Essential Elements):
 - (1) Notification to the parent(s) or adult student that the student's academic achievement will be measured through an assessment of the grade-level Utah alternate achievement standards and how participation in such alternate achievement assessments may delay or otherwise affect the student from completing the requirements for a regular high school diploma; and
 - (2) A description of benchmarks or short-term objectives for each annual goal;
 - d. A description of:
 - (1) How the student's progress toward meeting the annual IEP goals will be measured; and
 - (2) When periodic reports to the parent(s) or adult student on the progress the student is making toward meeting the annual IEP goals (such as through the use of quarterly or other periodic reports, concurrent with the issuance of report cards) will be provided;

- e. A statement of the special education and related services and supplementary aids and services (including assistive technology), based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided to the student, or on behalf of the student, and a statement of the program modifications or supports for school personnel that will be provided to enable the student:
 - (1) To advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals;
 - (2) To be involved in and make progress in the grade-level general education curriculum, and to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities; and
 - (3) To be educated and participate with other similar-aged students with disabilities and non-disabled students in the activities described in this section;
- f. An explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with similar-aged non-disabled students in the regular education environment and in the activities described in this section;
- g. A statement of:
 - (1) Any individual appropriate accommodations that are necessary to measure the academic achievement and functional performance of the student on all grade-level State- and LEA-wide assessments; and
 - (2) If the IEP Team determines the student must take an alternate assessment instead of a particular regular State- or LEA-wide assessment of student achievement, a statement of why:
 - (a) The student cannot participate in the regular assessment; and
 - (b) The particular alternate assessment selected is appropriate for the student; and
- h. All students, including students with disabilities, participate in statewide assessments. OC reports the results of statewide assessments on the website. If more than one percent of students with significant cognitive disabilities participate in an alternate assessment, OC will submit justification to the USBE on the need to exceed the cap.
- i. The projected date for the beginning of the services and modifications, and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration of those services and modifications.
- j. A statement of school to post-school transition services.

For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, and updated annually thereafter, the IEP must include:

- (1) Appropriate measurable postsecondary goals based upon age-appropriate transition assessments related to training or education, employment, and, where appropriate, independent living skills; and

(2) The transition services (including courses of study) needed to assist the student in reaching those goals.

k. Transfer of rights at age of majority.

Beginning not later than one year before the student reaches the age of majority (age 18 in Utah), the IEP must include a statement that the student has been informed of the student's rights under Part B of the IDEA that will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority. The transfer of rights also occurs upon notification to OC that a student has married or become emancipated before age 18.

l. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require that additional information be included in a student's IEP beyond what is explicitly required in Section 614 of Part B of the IDEA, or require the IEP Team to include information under one component of a student's IEP that is already contained under another component of the student's IEP.

m. IEP Teams should discuss and address, if appropriate, student participation in not only the grade-level Utah Core Standards, but other general education activities and courses (e.g., health and maturation, suicide prevention), as well as the Statewide Online Education Program (SOEP) or other online, distance, blended, or competency-based courses, as well as courses taken through Career and Technical Education (CTE) programs and concurrent enrollment. Students with disabilities may require special education and related services and accommodations for equitable participation, in conjunction with Part B of the IDEA, the Rules, R277-418, R277-713, and R277-726.

III.K. IEP AND SERVICES FOR PRESCHOOL STUDENTS AGES THREE THROUGH FIVE (RULES III.K.)

See Section [VII. Transitions](#) below.

III.L. PHYSICAL EDUCATION (34 CFR § 300.108; RULES III.L.)

1. Physical education services, specially designed if necessary, are made available to every student with a disability receiving a FAPE,
2. Each student with a disability must be afforded the opportunity to participate in the regular physical education program available to nondisabled students unless:
 - a. The student is enrolled full time in a separate facility; or
 - b. The student needs specially designed physical education, as prescribed in the student's IEP.
3. OC is responsible for specially designed physical education (e.g., adapted PE) if it is prescribed in a student's IEP, by providing the services directly or by making arrangements for those services to be provided through other public or private programs.

4. OC is responsible for the education of a student with a disability who is enrolled in a separate facility and must ensure the student receives appropriate physical education services.

III.M. ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY (34 CFR § 300.105; R277-495; RULES III.M.)

1. OC must ensure assistive technology devices or assistive technology services, or both, are made available to a student with a disability if required as a part of the student's:
 - a. Special education,
 - b. Related services, or
 - c. Supplementary aids and services.
2. On a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a student's home or in other settings is required if the student's IEP Team determines the student needs access to those devices in order to receive a FAPE.

III.N. EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.106; R277-751; RULES III.N.)

1. Extended school year services mean special education and related services that:
 - a. Are provided to an eligible student with a disability:
 - (1) Beyond the normal school year of OC;
 - (2) In accordance with the student's IEP; and
 - (3) At no cost to the parent(s) of the student or adult student; and
 - b. Meet the standards of the USBE in R277-751.
2. OC shall ensure:
 - a. ESY services are available as necessary to provide a FAPE, consistent with the Rules and considered for each individual student with a disability during an IEP, based upon a review of multiple data sources and factors.
 - b. ESY student programs are provided in the least restrictive environment.
 - c. ESY teachers and paraeducators meet USBE and IDEA requirements.
3. ESY services must be provided only if a student's IEP Team determines, on an individual basis, the services are necessary for the provision of a FAPE to the student. The annual IEP shall reflect the IEP Team's decision regarding the need for ESY services.
 - a. Parent(s) or the adult student shall be provided with prior written notice of proposal or refusal to provide ESY services.
 - b. If the student is determined eligible for ESY services, the IEP Team shall determine the appropriate ESY program, based on the student's individual needs.

- c. ESY eligibility decisions and prior written notice of ESY programs shall be provided to parent(s) or adult student in sufficient time to permit accessing dispute resolution options of the procedural safeguards, in the event of a dispute.
- 4. In implementing the requirements of this section, OC may not:
 - a. Limit ESY services to particular categories of disability, age, or grade level;
 - b. Unilaterally limit the type, amount, or duration of those services; or
 - c. Limit data consideration by IEP Teams to only an analysis of regression and recoupment.

III.O. LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (LRE) (34 CFR § 300.114; RULES III.O.)

- 1. OC must ensure:
 - a. To the maximum extent appropriate, students with disabilities, including students in public or private institutions or other care facilities (e.g., nursing homes), are educated with similar-aged students who are nondisabled; and
 - b. Special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of students with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily. In the case of a student who is deaf or hard of hearing, consideration of a special class or school may be the least restrictive environment in that it provides opportunities for direct communication and instruction in the student's language and communication mode with professional personnel and peers.
 - c. LRE provisions apply to transition programs and placement.

III.P. CONTINUUM OF ALTERNATIVE PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.115; RULES III.P.)

- 1. OC must ensure a continuum of alternative placements is available to meet the needs of students with disabilities for special education and related services.
- 2. The continuum required:
 - a. Includes the following alternative placements for instruction:
 - (1) Regular classes,
 - (2) Special classes,
 - (3) Special schools,
 - (4) Home instruction, and
 - (5) Instruction in hospitals and institutions; and
 - b. Makes provision for supplementary services (such as resource room or itinerant instruction) to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement.

III.Q. PLACEMENTS (34 CFR § 300.116; RULES III.Q.)

1. In determining the educational placement of a student with a disability, including a transition-aged student with a disability, OC must ensure:
 - a. The placement decision:
 - (1) Is made by a group of persons, including the parent(s) or adult student and other persons knowledgeable about the student, the meaning of the evaluation data, and the placement options; and
 - (2) Is made in conformity with the LRE provisions above.
 - b. The student's placement:
 - (1) Is determined at least annually;
 - (2) Is based on the student's IEP; and
 - (3) Is as close as possible to the student's home;
 - c. Unless the IEP of a student with a disability requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that the student would attend if non-disabled;
 - d. In selecting the LRE, consideration is given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services the student needs; and
 - e. A student with a disability is not removed from education in age-appropriate regular classrooms solely because of needed modifications in the general education curriculum.

III.R. PARENTAL INVOLVEMENT IN PLACEMENT DECISIONS (34 CFR §§ 300.327, 300.50; RULES III.R.)

1. OC must ensure the parent(s) of each student with a disability or adult student are members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of the parent's student or the adult student (Rules IV.B).
2. In implementing this requirement, OC shall use procedures for parent or adult student involvement in placement decisions consistent with those used for parent participation in IEP meetings.
3. If neither parent or the adult student can participate in a meeting in which a decision is to be made relating to the educational placement of the student, OC shall use other methods to ensure their participation, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.
4. A group may make a placement decision without the involvement of the parent(s) or adult student if OC is unable to obtain either parent's or adult student's participation in the decision. In this case, OC must have a record of its attempts to ensure their involvement.

III.S. NONACADEMIC SETTINGS AND EXTRACURRICULAR ACTIVITIES (34 CFR § 300.117; UCA 53G-6-709; RULES III.S.)

1. In providing or arranging for the provision of nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities, including meals, recess periods, and the services and activities in Rules III.V, OC must ensure each student with a disability participates with non-disabled students in the extracurricular services and activities to the maximum extent appropriate to the needs of that student.
2. OC must ensure each student with a disability has the supplementary aids and services determined by the student's IEP Team to be appropriate and necessary for the student to participate in nonacademic settings.
3. A student with a disability (under the age of 22 who has not graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma, whose IEP Team recommends participation) may not be denied the opportunity of participating in public school programs or extracurricular activities solely because of the student's age, unless the participation threatens the health or safety of the student. OC, in cooperation with the Utah Department of Health, shall establish criteria used to determine the health and safety factor (UCA 53G-6-709).

III.T. NONACADEMIC SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.107; RULES III.T.)

1. OC must take steps, including the provision of supplementary aids and services determined appropriate and necessary by the student's IEP Team, to provide nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities in the manner necessary to afford students with disabilities an equal opportunity for participation in those services and activities.
2. Nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities may include counseling services, athletics, transportation, health services, recreational activities, special interest groups or clubs sponsored by OC, referrals to agencies that provide assistance to individuals with disabilities, and employment of students, including both employment by OC and assistance in making outside employment available.

IV. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS: DUE PROCESS PROCEDURES FOR PARENT(S) AND STUDENTS (IDEA SUBPART E)

IV.A. PARENTAL OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE RECORDS AND PARTICIPATE IN MEETINGS (34 CFR § 300.501; RULES IV.A.)

1. Opportunity to examine records.
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be afforded, in accordance with the Rules, an opportunity to inspect and review all education records with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
2. Parent participation in meetings.
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be afforded an opportunity to participate in meetings with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
 - b. OC must provide notice, consistent with the Rules, to ensure parents of students with disabilities or adult students have the opportunity to participate in meetings.
 - c. A meeting does not include informal or unscheduled conversations involving OC personnel and conversations on issues such as teaching methodology, lesson plans, or coordination of service provision. A meeting also does not include preparatory activities that OC personnel engage in to develop a proposal or a response to a parent or adult student proposal that will be discussed at a later meeting.
3. Parent involvement in placement decisions.
 - a. OC must ensure that a parent of each student with a disability or adult student is a member of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of the parent's student (34 CFR § 300.327), including notifying the parent(s) or adult student of the meeting early enough to ensure that they will have an opportunity to attend and scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed-on time and place (34 CFR § 300.322(a)).
 - b. The notice of meeting must indicate the purpose(s), time, and location of the meeting, who will be in attendance, and inform the parents or adult student of their right to bring other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise about the student (34 CFR § 300.322(b)).
 - c. If neither parent or the adult student can participate in a meeting in which a decision is to be made relating to the educational placement of the student, OC must use other methods to ensure their participation, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.

- d. A placement decision may be made by a group without the involvement of a parent or adult student if OC is unable to obtain the parent's(s') or adult student's participation in the decision. In this case, the LEA must have a record of its attempt to ensure their involvement.

IV.B. INDEPENDENT EDUCATIONAL EVALUATION (34 CFR § 300.502; RULES IV.B.)

1. Definitions.
 - a. *Independent educational evaluation* (IEE) means an evaluation conducted by a qualified examiner who is not employed by the LEA responsible for the education of the student in question.
 - b. *Public expense* means that the LEA either pays for the full cost of the evaluation or ensures that the evaluation is otherwise provided at no cost to the parent or adult student.
2. OC has established and implemented the following policies and procedures related to independent educational evaluation that meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules.
3. The following requirements must be addressed:
 - a. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student have the right to obtain an IEE of the student at public expense if they disagree with an evaluation obtained by OC.
 - b. OC must provide to the parent(s) or adult student, upon request for an IEE, information about where an IEE may be obtained and OC criteria applicable for IEEs.
 - c. If the parent(s) or adult student requests an IEE at public expense, OC must, without unnecessary delay, either file a due process complaint to request a hearing to show that its evaluation is appropriate, or ensure an IEE is provided at public expense, unless OC demonstrates in a hearing that the evaluation obtained by the parent(s) or adult student did not meet OC criteria. If OC files a due process complaint notice to request a hearing and the final decision is that OC's evaluation is appropriate, the parent(s) or adult student still has the right to an IEE, but not at public expense. If the parent(s) or adult student requests an IEE, OC may ask for the parent's(s') or adult student's reason why they object to the public evaluation. However, the explanation by the parent(s) or adult student may not be required and OC may not unreasonably delay either providing the IEE at public expense or requesting a due process hearing to defend the public evaluation.
 - d. The parent(s) or adult student is entitled to only one IEE at public expense each time OC conducts an evaluation with which the parent(s) or adult student disagrees.
 - e. If the parent(s) or adult student obtains an IEE at public expense or shares with OC an evaluation obtained at private expense, the results of the evaluation must be considered by OC, if it meets OC criteria, in any decision made with respect to the

provision of a FAPE to the student and may be presented by any party as evidence at a hearing on a due process complaint regarding that student.

- f. If a hearing officer requests an IEE as part of a hearing on a due process complaint, the cost of the evaluation must be at public expense.
 - g. If an IEE is at public expense, the criteria under which the evaluation is obtained, including the location of the evaluation and the qualifications of the examiner, must be the same as the criteria that OC uses when it initiates an evaluation, to the extent those criteria are consistent with the parent's(s') or adult student's right to an IEE.
 - h. Except for the criteria described above, OC may not impose additional conditions or timelines related to obtaining an IEE at public expense.
4. An IEE conducted at OC's expense becomes the property of OC, in its entirety.

IV.C. PRIOR WRITTEN NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.503; RULES IV.C.)

- 1. Prior written notice must be given to the parents of a student with a disability or adult student a reasonable time before OC:
 - a. Proposes to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the student or the provision of a FAPE to the student; or
 - b. Refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the student or the provision of a FAPE to the student.
- 2. The notice required must include:
 - a. A description of the action proposed or refused by OC;
 - b. An explanation of why OC proposes or refuses to take the action;
 - c. A description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record, or report OC used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;
 - d. A statement that the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student have protection under the procedural safeguards of Part B of the IDEA and, if this notice is not an initial referral for evaluation, the means by which a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained;
 - e. Sources for the parent(s) or adult student to contact to obtain assistance in understanding the provisions of Part B of the IDEA;
 - f. A description of other options the IEP Team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected; and
 - g. A description of other factors relevant to OC's proposal or refusal.
- 3. The notice must be:
 - a. Written in language understandable to the general public; and

- b. Provided in the native language of the parent(s) or adult student or other mode of communication used by the parent(s) or adult student, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so.
 - (1) If the native language or other mode of communication of the parent(s) or adult student is not a written language, OC must take steps to ensure:
 - (a) The notice is translated orally or by other means to the parent(s) or adult student in his/her native language or other mode of communication;
 - (b) The parent(s) or adult student understands the content of the notice; and
 - (c) There is written evidence that the requirements have been met.

IV.D. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.504; RULES IV.D.)

1. A copy of the procedural safeguards available to the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student must be given to the parent(s) or adult student only one time a year, except that a copy also must be given to the parent(s) or adult student:
 - a. Upon initial referral or parental or adult student request for evaluation;
 - b. Upon receipt of the first State complaint or a due process complaint in that school year; and
 - c. Upon request by the parent(s) or adult student.
2. An LEA may place a current copy of the procedural safeguards notice on its website if a website exists.
3. The procedural safeguards notice must include a full explanation of all the procedural safeguards relating to:
 - a. Independent educational evaluations;
 - b. Prior written notice;
 - c. Parental or adult student consent;
 - d. Access to educational records;
 - e. The opportunity to present and resolve complaints through the State IEP facilitation, mediation, due process complaint or State complaint procedures, including the time period in which to file a complaint;
 - f. The opportunity for OC to resolve the complaint, and the difference between the due process complaint and the State complaint procedures, including the jurisdiction of each procedure, what issues may be raised, filing and decisional timelines, and relevant procedures;
 - g. The availability of IEP facilitation and mediation;
 - h. The student's placement during pendency of hearings on due process complaints;

- i. Procedures for students who are subject to placement in an interim alternative educational setting (IAES);
 - j. Requirements for unilateral placement by parent(s) of students or by adult students in private schools at public expense;
 - k. Hearings on due process complaints, including requirements for disclosure of evaluation results and recommendations;
 - l. State-level appeals;
 - m. Civil actions, including the time period in which to file those actions; and
 - n. Attorneys' fees.
4. The notice required must be in language understandable to the parent(s) or adult student.
 5. A parent of a student with a disability or adult student may elect to receive notices by an electronic mail communication, if OC makes that option available (34 CFR § 300.505).
 - a. Dispute resolution options remain available.

IV.E. STATE COMPLAINT PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.151–153; UCA 53E-7-208; RULES IV.E.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.E. regarding State Complaint Procedures.

IV.F. MEDIATION (34 CFR § 300.506; RULES IV.F.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.F. regarding Mediation.

IV.G. FILING A DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.507; UCA 53E-7-208; RULES IV.G)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.G. regarding filing a due process complaint.

IV.H. DUE PROCESS COMPLAINT (34 CFR § 300.508; RULES IV.H.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.H. regarding due process complaints.

IV.I. MODEL FORMS (34 CFR § 300.509; RULES IV.I.)

The USBE staff have developed model forms to assist parent(s) or adult students in filing a State complaint, a due process hearing complaint, and requesting mediation. These forms are available on the USBE Special Education Services webpage. Parties are not required to use the State's model forms. Parents or adult students, public agencies, and other parties may use the appropriate State model form or another form or other document, so long as the form or document that is used meets, as appropriate, the content requirements for filing a due process complaint or the requirements for filing a State complaint.

IV.J. RESOLUTION PROCESS (34 CFR § 300.510; RULES IV.J.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.J. regarding the resolution process.

IV.K. IMPARTIAL DUE PROCESS HEARING (34 CFR § 300.511; RULES IV.K.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.K. regarding impartial due process hearings.

IV.L. HEARING RIGHTS (34 CFR § 300.512; RULES IV.L.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.L. regarding hearing rights.

IV.M. HEARING DECISIONS (34 CFR § 300.513; RULES IV.M.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.M. regarding hearing decisions.

IV.N. FINALITY OF DECISION (34 CFR § 300.514; RULES IV.N.)

OC acknowledges that a decision made in a hearing conducted is final, unless a party to the hearing appeals the decision to a civil action.

IV.O. STATE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS (34 CFR § 300.537; RULES IV.O.)

OC acknowledges the state enforcement mechanisms found in Rules IV.O.

IV.P. TIMELINES AND CONVENIENCE OF HEARINGS (34 CFR § 300.515; UBSE-SER IV.P.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.P. regarding timelines and convenience of hearings.

IV.Q. CIVIL ACTION (34 CFR § 300.516; RULES IV.Q.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.Q. regarding civil action.

IV.R. ATTORNEYS' FEES (34 CFR § 300.517; UCA 53E-7-208(4)(B); RULES IV.R.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.R. regarding attorneys' fees.

IV.S. STUDENT'S STATUS DURING PROCEEDINGS (34 CFR § 300.518; RULES IV.S.)

OC follows all requirements found in Rules IV.S. regarding student's status during proceedings.

IV.T. SURROGATE PARENTS (34 CFR § 300.519; RULES IV.T.)

1. OC ensures the rights of a student are protected when:
 - a. No parent can be identified for a student under the age of majority;
 - b. OC, after reasonable efforts, cannot locate a parent for a student under the age of majority;
 - c. The student is a ward of the state under the laws of that state; or
 - d. The student is an unaccompanied homeless youth under the age of majority.
2. The duties of OC include the assignment of an individual to act as a surrogate for the parent(s) for a student under the age of majority. This must include a method for

determining whether a student under the age of majority needs a surrogate parent and for assigning a surrogate parent to the student.

3. In the case of a student who is a ward of the State, the surrogate parent alternatively may be appointed by the judge overseeing the student's case, provided that the surrogate meets the requirements.
4. OC may select a surrogate parent in any way permitted under State law.
5. OC must ensure that a person selected as a surrogate parent:
 - a. Is not an employee of the USBE, OC, or any other agency that is involved in the education or care of the student;
 - b. Has no personal or professional interest that conflicts with the interest of the student he/she represents; and
 - c. Has knowledge and skills that ensure adequate representation of the student.
6. A person otherwise qualified to be a surrogate parent is not an employee of OC solely because the person is paid by OC to serve as a surrogate parent.
7. In the case of a student who is an unaccompanied homeless youth, appropriate staff of emergency shelters, transitional shelters, independent living programs, and street outreach programs may be appointed as temporary surrogates until a surrogate can be appointed who meets all of the requirements.
8. The surrogate parent may represent the student in all matters relating to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of the student, and the provision of a FAPE to the student.
9. The USBE and OC staff must make reasonable efforts to ensure the assignment of a surrogate parent not more than 30 calendar days after OC determines the student needs a surrogate.

IV.U. TRANSFER OF PARENTAL RIGHTS AT AGE OF MAJORITY (34 CFR § 300.520; RULES IV.U.)

1. When a student with a disability reaches the age of majority under State law (i.e., age 18) that applies to all students, except for a student with a disability who has been determined to be incompetent under State law, or the student with a disability marries or becomes emancipated:
 - a. OC must provide any notice required by Part B of the IDEA to both the individual and the parent(s); and
 - b. All other rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student;
 - c. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to students who are incarcerated in an adult or juvenile State or local correctional institution; and

- d. Whenever a state transfers rights, OC must notify the individual and the parent(s) of the transfer of rights within a reasonable time frame.

IV.V. CONFIDENTIALITY (34 CFR § 300.610; R277-487; RULES IV.V.)

OC takes appropriate steps to ensure the protection of the confidentiality of any personally identifiable data, information, and records collected or maintained by the LEAs pursuant to Part B of the IDEA and R277-487.

1. Definitions (34 CFR § 300.611).

As used in these procedural safeguards:

- a. *Destruction* means physical destruction or removal of personal identifiers from information so that the information is no longer personally identifiable.
- b. *Education records* means the type of records covered under the definition of “education records” in 34 CFR § 99, implementing regulations for the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, 20 USC § 1232g (FERPA).
- c. *Participating agency* means any agency or institution that collects, maintains, or uses personally identifiable information (PII), or from which information is obtained, under Part B of the IDEA.

2. Notice to parent(s) or adult student (34 CFR § 300.612).

- a. OC must give notice that is adequate to fully inform parent(s) or adult students, including:
 - (1) A description of the extent that the notice is given in the native languages of the various population groups in the State;
 - (2) A description of the students on whom PII is maintained, the types of information sought, the methods OC intends to use in gathering the information (including the sources from whom information is gathered), and the uses to be made of the information;
 - (3) A summary of the policies and procedures OC must follow regarding storage, disclosure to third parties, retention, and destruction of PII; and
 - (4) A description of all of the rights of parents and students regarding this information, including the rights under FERPA.

3. Before any major identification, location, or evaluation activity, the notice must be published or announced in newspapers or other media, or both, with circulation adequate to notify parents or adult students throughout OC of the activity.

4. Access rights (34 CFR § 300.613).

- a. OC must permit parents or adult students to inspect and review any education records relating to their student or themselves that are collected, maintained, or used by the LEA. OC must comply with a request without unnecessary delay and

before any meeting regarding an IEP, or any hearing or resolution session, and in no case more than 45 calendar days after the request has been made.

- b. The right to inspect and review education records under this section includes:
 - (1) The right to a response from OC to reasonable requests for explanations and interpretations of the records;
 - (2) The right to request that OC provide copies of the records containing the information if failure to provide those copies would effectively prevent the parent or adult student from exercising the right to inspect and review the records; and
 - (3) The right to have a representative of the parent or adult student inspect and review the records.
- c. OC may presume that the parent(s) or adult student has authority to inspect and review records relating to his/her student unless OC has been advised that the parent(s) does not have the authority under applicable State law governing such matters as guardianship, separation, and divorce.

5. Record of access (34 CFR § 300.614).

OC must keep a record of parties obtaining access to education records collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA and the Rules (except access by parents or adult students and authorized employees of the LEA), including the name of the party, the date access was given, and the purpose for which the party is authorized to use the records.

6. Records on more than one student (34 CFR § 300.615).

If any education record includes information on more than one student, the parent(s) of those students or the adult students have the right to inspect and review only the information relating to their student or themselves or to be informed of that specific information.

7. List of types and locations of information (34 CFR § 300.616).

On request, OC must provide parents or adult students with a list of the types and locations of education records collected, maintained, or used by the LEA.

8. Fees (34 CFR § 300.617).

- a. OC may charge a fee for copies of records that are made for parent(s) or adult students under Part B of the IDEA if the fee does not effectively prevent the parent(s) or adult students from exercising their right to inspect and review those records.
- b. The USBE staff and an LEA may not charge a fee to search for or to retrieve information under Part B of the IDEA.

9. Amendment of records at parent's(s') request (34 CFR § 300.618).

- a. A parent or adult student who believes that information in the education records collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA or Rules is inaccurate or misleading or violates the privacy or other rights of the student may request OC to amend the information.
- b. OC must decide whether to amend the information in accordance with the request within a reasonable period of time of receipt of the request.
- c. If OC decides to refuse to amend the information in accordance with the request, it must inform the parent or adult student of the refusal and advise the parent(s) or adult student of the right to a hearing on the matter.

10. Opportunity for a hearing (34 CFR § 300.619).

OC must, on request, provide an opportunity for a hearing to challenge information in education records to ensure that it is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student. This hearing is not an IDEA due process complaint/hearing.

11. Result of hearing (34 CFR § 300.620).

- a. If, as a result of the hearing, OC decides that the information is inaccurate, misleading or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student, it must amend the information accordingly and so inform the parent(s) or adult student in writing.
- b. If, as a result of the hearing, OC decides that the information is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the student, it must inform the parent(s) or adult student of the right to place in the records it maintains on the student a statement commenting on the information or setting forth any reasons for disagreeing with the decision of the LEA.
- c. Any explanation placed in the records of the student under this section must:
 - (1) Be maintained by the LEA as part of the records of the student as long as the record or contested portion is maintained by the LEA; and
 - (2) If the records of the student or the contested portion are disclosed by the LEA to any party, the explanation must also be disclosed to the party.

12. Hearing procedures (34 CFR § 300.621).

A hearing that challenges education records must be conducted according to the procedures under 34 CFR § 99.22 as described below. At a minimum, OC's hearing procedures must adhere to the following requirements:

- a. The hearing shall be held within a reasonable period of time after the LEA receives the request, and the parent(s) of the student or adult student shall be given notice of the date, place, and time reasonably in advance of the hearing.

- b. The hearing may be conducted by any party, including an official of the LEA, who does not have a direct interest in the outcome of the hearing.
- c. The parent(s) of the student or adult student shall be afforded a full and fair opportunity to present evidence relevant to the issues raised and may be assisted or be represented by individuals of his/her choice at his/her own expense, including an attorney.
- d. The LEA shall make its decision in writing within a reasonable period of time after the conclusion of the hearing.
- e. The decision of the LEA shall be based solely upon the evidence presented at the hearing and shall include a summary of the evidence and the reasons for the decision.

13. Consent (34 CFR § 300.622).

- a. Except as to disclosures addressed in referral to and action by law enforcement and judicial authorities, for which parental consent is not required by 34 CFR § 99, parental or adult student consent must be obtained before PII is:
 - (1) Disclosed to anyone other than officials of participating agencies collecting or using the information under Part B of the IDEA or the Rules, or
 - (2) Used for any purpose other than meeting a requirement of Part B of the IDEA or the Rules.
- b. OC may not release information from education records to participating agencies without parental or adult student consent unless authorized to do so by 34 CFR §§ 99.31 and 99.34 (FERPA):
 - (1) Regulation 34 CFR § 99.31 allows an LEA to disclose PII from the education records of a student without the written consent of the parent(s) of the student or adult student, if the disclosure is:
 - (a) To other school officials, including teachers within the LEA who have been determined by the LEA to have legitimate educational interests.
 - (b) To officials of another school or school site in which the student seeks or intends to enroll, subject to the requirements set forth in 34 CFR § 99.34 below.
 - (2) Regulation 34 CFR § 99.34 requires that an LEA transferring the education records of a student pursuant to 34 CFR § 99.34 above shall make a reasonable attempt to notify the parent of the student or adult student of the transfer of records at the last known address of the parent or adult student, except that the LEA does not have to provide any further notice of the transfer of records when:
 - (a) The transfer is initiated by the parent(s) or adult student at the sending LEA.

- (b) OC includes in its annual notice of procedural safeguards, that it is the policy of the LEA to forward education records on request to a school in which a student seeks or intends to enroll.
- (c) OC transferring the records must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.
- c. OC, upon receiving PII from another educational agency or institution, may make further disclosure of the information on behalf of the LEA without the prior written consent of the parent(s) or adult student if the conditions of 34 CFR §§ 99.31 and 99.34 noted above are met, and if the educational agency informs the party to whom disclosure is made of these requirements.
- d. If the parent(s) or adult student refuses consent for the release of PII to a third party, then that party may proceed with statutory procedures in an effort to obtain the desired information.

Note: As authorized in 34 CFR § 99.31 (FERPA), OC includes in the annual procedural safeguards notice that it is their policy to forward educational records of a student with disabilities without parental or adult student consent or notice to officials of another school or school district in which a student seeks or intends to enroll.

14. Safeguards (34 CFR § 300.623).

- a. OC must protect the confidentiality of PII at collection, storage, disclosure, and destruction stages.
- b. One official at each LEA must assume responsibility for ensuring the confidentiality of any PII.
- c. All persons at OC collecting or using PII must receive training or instruction regarding the State's policies and procedures in Rules IV.V. and 34 CFR § 99.
- d. OC must maintain, for public inspection, a current listing of the names and positions of those employees within the LEA who may have access to PII on students with disabilities.

15. Destruction of information (34 CFR § 300.624).

- a. OC must inform parents or adult student when PII collected, maintained, or used under Part B of the IDEA and Rules is no longer needed to provide educational services to the student.
- b. The information no longer needed must be destroyed at the request of the parent(s) or adult student. However, a permanent record of a student's name, address, phone number, his/her grades, attendance record, classes attended, grade level completed, and year completed may be maintained without time limitation.
- c. Each student's records may be considered "no longer needed to provide educational services" and may be destroyed three years after the student graduates or three

years after the student turns 22 under IDEA. Medicaid requires that records be maintained for at least five years after the provision of services.

16. Students' rights (34 CFR § 300.625).

- a. The rights of privacy afforded to parent(s) are transferred to the student who reaches the age of 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated.
- b. Under the regulations for FERPA at 34 CFR § 99.5(a), the rights of parent(s) regarding education records are transferred to the student at age 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated.
- c. Because the rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA are transferred to a student who reaches the age of 18, providing the student has not been declared incompetent by a court order or the student has married or become emancipated, the rights regarding educational records must also be transferred to the student. However, OC must provide any notice required under Section 615 of Part B of the IDEA to the student and the parent(s).

17. Enforcement (34 CFR § 300.626).

The confidentiality requirements of Part B of the IDEA are reviewed and approved as part of OC eligibility process.

18. U.S. Department of Education use of PII (34 CFR § 300.627).

If the U.S. Department of Education or its authorized representatives collect any PII regarding students with disabilities that is not subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 USC § 552a, the Secretary of Education (Secretary hereafter) applies the applicable Federal statute, and the regulations implementing those provisions in 34 CFR § 5b.

V. DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES (34 CFR § 300.530)

V.A. DISCIPLINE PROCEDURES FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (RULES V.A.)

Consistent with the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules, OC shall establish, maintain, and implement the following policies and procedures for disciplining students with disabilities.

V.B. AUTHORITY OF SCHOOL PERSONNEL (34 CFR § 300.530(A–C); RULES V.B.)

1. School personnel may consider any unique circumstances on a case-by-case basis when determining whether a change in placement, consistent with the other requirements of this section, is appropriate for a student with a disability who violates a code of student conduct.
2. School personnel may remove a student with a disability who violates a code of student conduct from his/her current placement to an appropriate interim alternative educational setting (IAES), another setting, or suspension, for not more than ten consecutive school days (to the extent those alternatives are applied to students without disabilities), and for additional removals of not more than ten consecutive school days in that same school year for separate incidents of misconduct, as long as those removals do not constitute a change of placement.
3. After a student with a disability has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days in the same school year, during any subsequent days of removal OC must provide services to the extent required.
4. For disciplinary changes in placement that would exceed ten consecutive school days, if the behavior that gave rise to the violation of the school code is determined not to be a manifestation of the student's disability, school personnel may apply the relevant disciplinary procedures to students with disabilities in the same manner and for the same duration as the procedures would be applied to students without disabilities, except after the tenth day of removal that constitutes a change in placement, the LEA must provide services to the student.

V.C. SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.530(D); RULES V.C.)

1. A student with a disability who is removed from the student's current placement must:
 - a. Continue to receive educational services so as to enable the student to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the student's IEP; and
 - b. Receive, as appropriate, a functional behavior assessment, and behavior intervention services and modifications that are designed to address the behavior violation so that it does not recur.
2. The services may be provided in an IAES.

3. OC is only required to provide services during periods of removal to a student with a disability who has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days or less in that school year if it also provides services to a student without disabilities who is similarly removed.
4. After a student with a disability has been removed from his/her current placement for ten school days in the same school year, if the current removal is for not more than ten consecutive school days and is not a change of placement, school personnel, in consultation with at least one of the student's teachers, determine the extent to which services are needed, so as to enable the student to continue to participate in the general education curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals set out in the student's IEP.
5. If the removal is a change of placement, the student's IEP Team determines appropriate services to be provided during the removal.

V.D. CHANGE OF PLACEMENT DUE TO DISCIPLINARY REMOVALS (34 CFR § 300.536; RULES V.D.)

1. For purposes of removals of a student with a disability from the student's current educational placement, a change of placement occurs if:
 - a. The removal is for more than ten consecutive school days; or
 - b. The student has been subjected to a series of removals that constitute a pattern:
 - (1) Because the series of removals total more than ten school days in a school year;
 - (2) Because the student's behavior is substantially similar to the student's behavior in previous incidents that resulted in the series of removals; and
 - (3) Because of such additional factors as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student has been removed, and the proximity of the removals to one another.
2. OC determines on a case-by-case basis whether a pattern of removals constitutes a change of placement. This determination is subject to review through due process and judicial proceedings.

V.E. MANIFESTATION DETERMINATION (34 CFR § 300.530(E); RULES V.E.)

1. Within ten school days of any decision to change the placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, OC, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team (as determined by the parent(s) or adult student and the LEA) must review all relevant information in the student's file, including the student's IEP, any teacher observations, and any relevant information provided by the parent(s) or adult student to determine:
 - a. If the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to, the student's disability; or

- b. If the conduct in question was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP.
2. The conduct must be determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability if OC, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team determine that the misconduct was caused by or had a direct and substantial relationship to the student's disability, or was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP.
3. If OC, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the student's IEP Team determine that the misconduct was the direct result of the LEA's failure to implement the IEP, the LEA must take immediate steps to remedy those deficiencies.
4. If OC, the parent(s) or adult student, and relevant members of the IEP Team make the determination that the conduct was a manifestation of the student's disability, the IEP Team must either:
 - a. Conduct a functional behavior assessment (FBA), unless OC had conducted a FBA before the behavior that resulted in the change of placement occurred, and implement a behavior intervention plan (BIP) for the student; or
 - b. If a BIP has already been developed, review the BIP, and modify it, as necessary, to address the behavior; and
 - c. Unless the misconduct falls under the definition of special circumstances in Rules V.E.5, return the student to the placement from which the student was removed, unless the parent or adult student and the LEA agree to a change of placement as part of the modification of the behavior intervention plan.
5. Special circumstances.

School personnel may remove a student to an IAES for not more than 45 school days without regard to whether the behavior is determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability, if the student:

 - a. Carries a weapon to or possesses a weapon at school, on school premises, or to or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA;
 - b. Knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs, or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance, while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA, or
 - c. Has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an LEA.
6. Definitions.

For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

- a. *Controlled substance* means a drug or other substance that cannot be distributed without a prescription, identified under schedules I, II, III, IV, or V in section 202(c) of the Controlled Substances Act (21 USC § 812(c)).
- b. *Illegal drug* means a controlled substance but does not include a drug controlled, possessed, or used under the supervision of a licensed health-care professional or one legally possessed or used under the Controlled Substances Act or under any other provision of Federal law (21 USC § 812).
- c. *Serious bodily injury* means bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death, extreme physical pain, protracted and obvious disfigurement, or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty (18 USC § 1365). Serious bodily injury does not include a cut, abrasion, bruise, burn, disfigurement, physical pain, illness, or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ or mental faculty that is temporary (20 USC § 1365).
- d. *Weapon* means a weapon, device, instrument, material, or substance, animate or inanimate, that is used for or is readily capable of, causing death or serious bodily injury, except that such term does not include a pocket knife with a blade of less than 2.5 inches (18 USC § 930).

V.F. PROCEDURAL SAFEGUARDS NOTICE (34 CFR § 300.530; RULES V.F.)

On the date on which the decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, OC must notify the parent(s) or adult student of that decision, and provide the parent(s) or adult student the procedural safeguards notice.

V.G. DETERMINATION OF SETTING (34 CFR § 300.531; RULES V.G.)

The student's IEP Team determines the IAES for services if the behavior that gives rise to the removal is not a manifestation of the student's disability, the removal constitutes a change of placement, or the behavior falls under the special circumstances in USBE V.E.5.

V.H. APPEALS BY PARENT OR LEA (34 CFR § 300.532; RULES V.H.)

1. The parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student who disagrees with any decision regarding placement or the manifestation determination, or an LEA that believes that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or others, may appeal the decision by filing a due process hearing complaint.
2. Authority of hearing officer.
 - a. A due process hearing officer hears and makes a determination regarding an appeal.
 - b. In making the determination, the hearing officer may:
 - (1) Return the student with a disability to the placement from which the student was removed if the hearing officer determines that the removal was a violation

of the discipline procedures under Part B of the IDEA or the Rules or that the student's behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability; or

- (2) Order a change of placement of the student with a disability to an appropriate IAES for not more than 45 school days if the hearing officer determines that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.
 - c. The appeal procedures may be repeated if OC believes that returning the student to the original placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.
3. Expedited due process hearing.
- a. Whenever a hearing is requested, the parent(s) or adult student or OC must have an opportunity for an impartial due process hearing.
 - b. OC is responsible for arranging the expedited due process hearing with the State Director of Special Education, which must occur within 20 school days of the date the complaint requesting the hearing is filed. The hearing officer must make a determination within ten school days after the hearing.
 - c. Unless the parent(s) or adult student and OC agree in writing to waive the resolution meeting, or agree to use mediation:
 - (1) A resolution meeting must occur within seven calendar days of receiving notice of the due process complaint; and
 - (2) The due process hearing may proceed unless the matter has been resolved to the satisfaction of both parties within 15 calendar days of the receipt of the due process complaint.
 - d. The decisions on expedited due process hearings are final, unless meeting the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.514(b) or 34 CFR § 300.516.

V.I. PLACEMENT DURING APPEALS (34 CFR § 300.533; RULES V.I.)

When an appeal through a due process complaint has been made by either the parent or adult student or OC, the student must remain in the IAES pending the decision of the hearing officer or until the expiration of the time period specified, whichever occurs first, unless the parent(s) or adult student and the SEA or LEA agree otherwise.

V.J. PROTECTIONS FOR STUDENTS NOT DETERMINED ELIGIBLE FOR SPECIAL EDUCATION AND RELATED SERVICES (34 CFR § 300.534; RULES V.J.)

1. A student who has not been determined to be eligible for special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA, and who has engaged in behavior that violated a code of student conduct, may assert any of the protections provided for in this part if OC had knowledge that the student was a student with a disability before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred.

2. OC must be deemed to have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability if, before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred:
 - a. The parent(s) of the student or adult student expressed concern in writing to supervisory or administrative personnel of OC, or a teacher of the student, that the student is in need of special education and related services;
 - b. The parent(s) of the student or adult student requested an evaluation of the student; or
 - c. The teacher of the student, or other personnel of OC, expressed specific concerns about a pattern of behavior demonstrated by the student directly to the director of special education of the LEA or to other supervisory personnel of the LEA.
3. OC would not be deemed to have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability if:
 - a. The parent(s) of the student or the adult student:
 - (1) Has not allowed an evaluation of the student; or
 - (2) Has refused services under this part; or
 - b. The student has been evaluated in accordance with and determined to not be a student with a disability under Part B of the IDEA.
4. If OC does not have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability prior to taking disciplinary measures against the student, the student may be subjected to the disciplinary measures applied to students without disabilities who engage in comparable behaviors.
 - a. If a request is made for an evaluation of a student during the time period in which the student is subjected to disciplinary measures, the evaluation must be conducted in an expedited manner.
 - (1) Until the evaluation is completed, the student remains in the educational placement determined by school authorities, which can include suspension or expulsion without educational services.
 - (2) If the student is determined to be a student with a disability, taking into consideration information from the evaluation conducted by OC and information provided by the parent(s) or adult student, the LEA must provide special education and related services.

V.K. REFERRAL TO AND ACTION BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AND JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES (34 CFR § 300.535; RULES V.K.)

1. Nothing in Part B of the IDEA prohibits OC from reporting a crime committed by a student with a disability to appropriate authorities or prevents State law enforcement and judicial authorities from exercising their responsibilities with regard to the application of Federal and State law to crimes committed by a student with a disability.

2. Transmittal of records.

- a. If OC reports a crime committed by a student with a disability, it must ensure that copies of the special education and disciplinary records of the student are transmitted for consideration by the appropriate authorities to whom the LEA reports the crime.
- b. If OC reports a crime under this section, it may transmit copies of the student's special education and disciplinary records only to the extent that the transmission is permitted by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).

VI. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES IN OTHER SETTINGS

VI.A. PRIVATE SCHOOL PLACEMENTS BY LEAS (34 CFR § 300.325; RULES VI.A.)

1. Developing IEPs.
 - a. Before OC places a student with a disability in, or refers a student to, a private school or facility, OC must initiate and conduct a meeting to develop an IEP for the student in accordance with Part B of the IDEA and the Rules.
 - b. OC must ensure that a representative of the private school or facility attends the meeting. If the representative cannot attend, the LEA must use other methods to ensure participation by the private school or facility, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.
2. Reviewing and revising IEPs.
 - a. After a student with a disability is placed in a private school or facility, any meetings to review and revise the student's IEP may be initiated and conducted by the private school or facility at the discretion of OC.
 - b. If the private school or facility initiates and conducts these meetings, OC must ensure that the parent(s) or adult student and an LEA representative:
 - (1) Are involved in any decisions about the student's IEP; and
 - (2) Agree to any proposed changes in the IEP before those changes are implemented.
3. Even if a private school or facility implements a student's IEP, responsibility for compliance with this part remains with OC and the USBE.
4. Residential placement (34 CFR § 300.104).

If placement in a public or private residential program is necessary to provide special education and related services to a student with a disability, the program, including non-medical care and room and board, must be at no cost to the parent(s) of the student or adult student.

VI.B. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS NOT AT ISSUE (UNILATERAL PLACEMENT) (34 CFR § 300.130; RULES VI.B.)

Parentally placed private school students with disabilities means students with disabilities enrolled by their parent(s) or an adult student in private, including religious, schools or facilities that meet the definition of elementary school or secondary school in Part B of the IDEA.

1. Definitions.

- a. *Elementary school* means a nonprofit institutional day or residential school, including a public elementary charter school, that provides elementary education, as determined under State law (34 CFR § 300.13).
 - b. *Secondary school* means a nonprofit institutional day or residential school, including a public secondary charter school, that provides secondary education, as determined under State law, except that it does not include any education beyond grade 12 (34 CFR § 300.36). Grades nine and above must be accredited, in accordance with USBE Rule.
2. Child find for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities (34 CFR § 300.131).
- a. OC must locate, identify, and evaluate all students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parent(s), or adult students, in private (either for-profit or nonprofit), including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in the area served by OC.
 - b. OC'S child find process must be designed to ensure:
 - (1) The equitable participation of parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students; and
 - (2) An accurate count of those students in nonprofit private schools.
 - c. OC must undertake activities similar to the activities undertaken for OC's public school students.
 - d. The cost of carrying out the child find requirements in this section, including individual evaluations, may not be considered in determining if OC has met its obligation under spending a proportionate share of funds under Part B of the IDEA to provide services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students.
 - e. The child find process must be completed in a time period comparable to that for students attending public schools in OC.
 - f. If private (for-profit and nonprofit), including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools are located in OC, OC must, in carrying out the child find requirements in this section, include parentally placed or adult student private school students who reside in a state other than the state in which the private schools that they attend are located.
3. Basic requirements for provision of services for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities (34 CFR § 300.132).
- a. To the extent consistent with the number and location of students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parent(s), or an adult student, in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in the area served by OC, provision is made for the participation of those students in the

- program assisted or carried out under Part B of the IDEA by providing them with special education and related services, including direct services.
- b. OC must develop and implement a services plan for each nonprofit private school student with a disability who has been designated by OC in which the private school is located to receive special education and related services.
 - c. OC must maintain in its records, and provide to the USBE staff annually, the following information related to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students, including that required under Rules II.A.(4)(c):
 - (1) The number of students evaluated and reevaluated within three years;
 - (2) The number of students determined to be students with disabilities; and
 - (3) The number of students served.
4. Expenditures (34 CFR § 300.133).
- a. OC must spend the following on providing special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities:
 - (1) For students ages 3 through 21, an amount that is the same proportion of the OC's total subgrant under Section 611(f) of Part B of the IDEA as the number of private school students with disabilities ages 3 through 21 who are enrolled by their parent(s), or an adult student, in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools and secondary schools located in OC, is to the total number of students with disabilities in its jurisdiction ages 3 through 21.
 - (2) For students ages three through five, an amount that is the same proportion of OC's total subgrant under Section 619(g) of the Part B of the IDEA as the number of parentally placed private school students with disabilities ages three through five who are enrolled by their parent(s) in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools located in OC, is to the total number of students with disabilities in OC ages three through five.
 - (a) Students ages three through five are considered to be parentally placed private school students with disabilities enrolled by their parent(s) in nonprofit private, including religious, elementary schools, if they are enrolled in a private preschool that is part of a private elementary school.
 - (3) If OC has not expended for equitable services all of the required funds by the end of the fiscal year for which Congress appropriated the funds, OC must obligate the remaining funds for special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities during a carryover period of one additional year.
 - b. In calculating the proportionate amount of Federal funds to be provided for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities,

OC, after timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of private schools, must conduct a thorough and complete child find process to determine the number of parentally placed, or adult student, students with disabilities attending nonprofit private schools located in OC.

- c. Annual count of the number of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities.

(1) OC must:

- (a) After timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities, determine the number of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities attending nonprofit private schools located in OC; and
- (b) Ensure that the count is conducted on any date between October 1 and December 1, inclusive, of each year.

(2) The count must be used to determine the amount that OC must spend on providing special education and related services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities in the next subsequent fiscal year.

- d. State and local funds may supplement and in no case supplant the proportionate amount of Federal funds required to be expended for parentally placed adult student private school students with disabilities.

5. Consultation (34 CFR § 300.134).

To ensure timely and meaningful consultation, OC must consult with nonprofit private school representatives and representatives of parent(s) of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities during the design and development of special education and related services for the students regarding the following:

- a. The child find process, including:
 - (1) How parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students suspected of having a disability can participate equitably; and
 - (2) How the parent(s) or adult student, teachers, and nonprofit private school officials will be informed of the process.
- b. The determination of the proportionate share of Federal funds available to serve parentally or adult student placed nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including the determination of how the proportionate share of those funds was calculated.
- c. The consultation process among OC, nonprofit private school officials, and representatives of parent(s), or the adult student, of parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities, including how the process will operate throughout the school year to ensure that parentally placed, or adult

student, students with disabilities identified through the child find process can meaningfully participate in special education and related services.

- d. How, where, and by whom special education and related services will be provided for parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including a discussion of:
 - (1) The types of services, including direct services and alternate service delivery mechanisms; and
 - (2) How special education and related services will be apportioned if funds are insufficient to serve all parentally placed or adult student private school students; and
 - (3) How and when those decisions will be made;
 - e. How, if OC disagrees with the views of the nonprofit private school officials on the provision of services or the types of services (whether provided directly or through a contract), OC will provide to the nonprofit private school officials a written explanation of the reasons why the school district chose not to provide services directly or through a contract.
6. Written affirmation (34 CFR § 300.135).
- a. When timely and meaningful consultation has occurred, OC must obtain a written affirmation signed by the representatives of participating nonprofit private schools.
 - b. If the representatives do not provide the affirmation within a reasonable period of time, OC must forward the documentation of the consultation process to the State Director of Special Education.
7. Compliance (34 CFR § 300.136).
- a. A nonprofit private school official has the right to submit a complaint to the State Director of Special Education that OC:
 - (1) Did not engage in consultation that was meaningful and timely; or
 - (2) Did not give due consideration to the views of the nonprofit private school official.
 - b. Procedure.
 - (1) If the nonprofit private school official wishes to submit a complaint, the official must provide to the State Director of Special Education the basis of the noncompliance by OC with the applicable nonprofit private school provisions in this part; and
 - (2) OC must forward the appropriate documentation to the State Director of Special Education.

- (3) If the nonprofit private school official is dissatisfied with the decision of the State Director of Special Education, the official may submit a complaint to the Secretary by providing the information on noncompliance described above; and the State Director of Special Education must forward the appropriate documentation to the Secretary.
8. Equitable services determined (34 CFR § 300.137).
- a. No parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school student with a disability has an individual right to receive some or all of the special education and related services that the student would receive if enrolled in a public school.
 - b. Decisions about the services that will be provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities by OC must be made in accordance with the consultation and proportionate share requirements.
 - c. OC must make the final decisions with respect to the services to be provided to eligible parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities.
 - d. If a student with a disability is enrolled in a nonprofit religious or other private school by the student's parent(s) or adult student and will receive special education or related services from OC, OC must:
 - (1) Initiate and conduct meetings to develop, review, and revise a services plan for the student; and
 - (2) Ensure that a representative of the religious or other nonprofit private school attends each meeting. If the representative cannot attend, OC shall use other methods to ensure participation by the religious or other nonprofit private school, including individual or conference telephone calls.
9. Equitable services provided (34 CFR § 300.138).
- a. The services provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities must be provided by personnel meeting the same standards as personnel providing services in the public schools, except that private elementary school and secondary school teachers who are providing equitable services to parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities do not have to meet the USBE and IDEA special education teacher requirements.
 - b. Parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities may receive a different amount of services than students with disabilities in public schools.
 - c. Services provided in accordance with a services plan.
 - (1) Each parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school student with a disability who has been designated to receive services must have a services plan

that describes the specific special education and related services that OC will provide to the student in light of the services that OC has determined it will make available to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities.

(2) The services plan must, to the extent appropriate:

(a) Meet the same content requirements as the IEP, including access and progress in the general curriculum, or for a student ages three through five, including access and progress in age-appropriate activities, with respect to the services provided; and

(b) Be developed, reviewed, and revised consistent with the IEP provisions in Rule III.I.

d. Provision of equitable services.

(1) Services must be provided:

(a) By employees of OC; or

(b) Through contract by the school district with an individual, association, agency, organization, or other entity.

(2) Special education and related services provided to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities, including materials and equipment, must be secular, neutral, and non-ideological.

10. Location of services and transportation (34 CFR § 300.139).

a. Services to parentally placed or adult student nonprofit private school students with disabilities may be provided on the premises of private, including religious, schools, to the extent consistent with law.

b. Transportation.

(1) If necessary for the student to benefit from or participate in the services provided under this part, a parentally or adult student placed nonprofit private school student with a disability must be provided transportation:

(a) From the student's school or the student's home to a site other than the private school; and

(b) From the service site to the private school, or to the student's home, depending on the timing of the services.

(2) School districts are not required to provide transportation from the student's home to the private school.

(3) The cost of the transportation may be included in calculating whether the school district has met the requirements for proportionate share spending.

11. Due process complaints and State complaints (34 CFR § 300.140).

- a. Due process not applicable, except for child find.
 - (1) Except as provided in Rule VI.B.11.b, the procedures for State complaints and due process hearing requests do not apply to complaints that a school district has failed to meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA and Rules, including the provision of services indicated on the student's services plan.
- b. Child find complaints to be filed with the school district in which the private school is located.
 - (1) The procedures for State complaints and due process hearing requests apply to complaints that a school district has failed to meet the child find requirements in Part B of the IDEA and Rules.
 - (2) Any due process complaint regarding the child find requirements as described in Rule VI.B.11.b.(1) must be filed with the school district in which the private school is located, and a copy must be forwarded to the State Director of Special Education.

12. State complaints.

- a. Any complaint that a school district has failed to meet the requirements for provision of services, expenditures, consultation, written affirmation, determination of equitable services, location of services, due process and State complaints, funds not to benefit a private school, use of personnel, separate classes prohibited, and use of property, equipment, and supplies must be filed in accordance with the State complaint procedures described in Rule IV.G.
- b. A complaint filed by a nonprofit private school official under the meaningful and timely consultation, or due consideration to views of private school official requirements, must be filed with the State Director of Special Education in accordance with the procedures in Rule VI.B.7.b.

13. Requirement that funds not benefit a private school (34 CFR § 300.141).

- a. An LEA may not use funds provided under Section 611 or 619 of the IDEA to finance the existing level of instruction in a private school or to otherwise benefit the private school.
- b. The school district must use funds provided under Part B of the IDEA to meet the special education and related services needs of parentally or adult student placed private school students with disabilities, but not for meeting:
 - (1) The needs of a private school; or
 - (2) The general needs of the students enrolled in the private school.

14. Use of personnel (34 CFR § 300.142).

- a. A school district may use funds available under sections 611 and 619 of the IDEA to make public school personnel available in other than public facilities:

- (1) To the extent necessary to provide services contained in service plans for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities; and
 - (2) If those services are not normally provided by the private school.
 - b. A school district may use funds available under sections 611 and 619 of the IDEA to pay for the services of an employee of a private school to provide services contained in service plans for parentally placed or adult student private school students with disabilities if:
 - (1) The employee performs the services outside of his/her regular hours of duty; and
 - (2) The employee performs the services under public supervision and control.
15. Separate classes prohibited (34 CFR § 300.143).
- An LEA may not use funds available under Section 611 or 619 of the IDEA for classes that are organized separately on the basis of school enrollment or religion of the students if:
- a. The classes are at the same site; and
 - b. The classes include students enrolled in public schools and students enrolled in private schools.
16. Property, equipment, and supplies (34 CFR § 300.144).
- a. A school district must control and administer the funds used to provide special education and related services, and hold title to and administer materials, equipment, and property purchased with those funds for the uses and purposes provided in the IDEA.
 - b. The school district may place equipment and supplies in a private school for the period of time needed for the Part B program.
 - c. The school district must ensure that the equipment and supplies placed in a private school:
 - (1) Are used only for Part B purposes; and
 - (2) Can be removed from the private school without remodeling the private school facility.
 - d. The school district must remove equipment and supplies from a private school if:
 - (1) The equipment and supplies are no longer needed for Part B purposes; or
 - (2) Removal is necessary to avoid unauthorized use of the equipment and supplies for other than Part B purposes.
 - e. No funds under Part B of the IDEA may be used for repairs, minor remodeling, or construction of private school facilities.

VI.C. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED BY THEIR PARENT(S) IN PRIVATE SCHOOLS WHEN FAPE IS AT ISSUE (34 CFR § 300.148; RULES VI.C.)

1. OC is not required to pay for the cost of education, including special education and related services, of a student with a disability at a private school or facility if that LEA made a FAPE available to the student and the parent(s) or adult student elected to place the student in a private school or facility. However, OC must include that student in the population whose needs are addressed consistent with the Rules VI.B. (District responsibilities include, but are not limited to child find, expenditures of school district special education funds, consultation with private school representatives during the design and development of special education and related services and written affirmations of the same, provision of services within a services plan, and many other nuanced responsibilities.)
2. Disagreements between the parent(s) or adult student and an LEA regarding the availability of a program appropriate for the student, and the question of financial reimbursement, are subject to the State complaint and due process procedures in the Rules IV.G.–R.
3. If the parent(s) of a student with a disability or adult student, who previously received special education and related services under the authority of OC, enroll the student in a private preschool, elementary school, or secondary school without the consent of or referral by the LEA, a court or a hearing officer may require the LEA to reimburse the parent(s) or adult student for the cost of that enrollment if the court or hearing officer finds that the LEA had not made a FAPE available to the student in a timely manner prior to that enrollment and that the private placement is appropriate. A parental placement may be found to be appropriate by a hearing officer or a court even if it does not meet the State standards that apply to education provided by the USBE and LEAs.
4. The cost of reimbursement may be reduced or denied if:
 - a. At the most recent IEP Team meeting that the parent(s) or adult student attended prior to removal of the student from the public school, the parent(s) or adult student did not inform the IEP Team that they were rejecting the placement proposed by the LEA to provide a FAPE to the student, including stating their concerns and their intent to enroll their student in a private school at public expense; or
 - b. At least ten business days (including any holidays that occur on a business day) prior to the removal of the student from the public school, the parent(s) or adult student did not give written notice to the LEA of the information described in the Rules VI.C.4.a;
 - c. Prior to the parent's(s') or adult student's removal of the student from the public school, the LEA informed the parent(s) or adult student, through the prior written notice requirements, of its intent to evaluate the student (including a statement of

- the purpose of the evaluation that was appropriate and reasonable), but the parent(s) or adult student did not make the student available for the evaluation; or
- d. Upon a judicial finding of unreasonableness with respect to actions taken by the parent(s) or adult student.
5. Notwithstanding the requirements for the parent(s) or adult student to provide notice to OC prior to removal of the student, the cost of reimbursement:
 - a. Must not be reduced or denied for failure to provide the notice if:
 - (1) The school prevented the parent(s) or adult student from providing the notice;
 - (2) The parent(s) or adult student had not received prior written notice of the notice requirement in Rules VI.C.4.a–c; or
 - (3) Compliance with the notice requirements in Rules VI.C.4.a.–c. would likely result in physical harm to the student; and
 - b. May, in the discretion of the court or a hearing officer, not be reduced or denied for failure to provide this notice if:
 - (1) The parent(s) or adult student are not literate or cannot write in English; or
 - (2) Compliance with Rule VI.C.4.a.–c. would likely result in serious emotional harm to the student.

VI.D. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN HOME SCHOOL. (RULES VI.D.)

1. OC is responsible for location, identification, and evaluation for eligibility for home schooled students in its boundaries.
2. A home-schooled student shall meet the eligibility criteria for students with disabilities in conformity with the Rules II.C.–H., including proper documentation, using comparable procedures to those required for identifying an eligible public school student.
3. If a parent of a student or adult student who is home schooled or placed in a private school by the parent(s) at their own expense does not provide consent for the initial evaluation or the reevaluation, or the parent or adult student fails to respond to a request to provide consent (34 CFR § 300.300):
 - a. OC may not use the dispute resolution procedures provided in the procedural safeguards, including mediation or due process procedures; and
 - b. OC is not required to consider the student as eligible for services.
4. Students enrolled in home school full time.

No student with a disability who is home schooled full time has an individual right to receive any of the special education and related services that the student would receive if enrolled in a public school.

5. OC must make the final decision with respect to the services, if any, to be provided to eligible home-schooled students with disabilities.
6. OC may develop a services plan for home schooled students with disabilities who are eligible for special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules. The services plan shall describe the special education and related services, if any, that the LEA will provide to the student, and must, to the extent appropriate:
 - a. Meet the IEP content requirements with respect to the services provided; and
 - b. Be developed, reviewed, and revised consistent with the IEP provisions in Rules III.I.
7. OC shall determine where and when any services specified in the services plan will be provided.
8. Dual enrollment (R277-438 and UCA 53G-6-702).
 - a. A student with a disability who is simultaneously enrolled in both home school or private school and a public school is considered a dual enrollment student.
 - b. A student with a disability seeking dual enrollment is entitled to special education and related services, under an IEP, for the time, or for the number of courses, the student is enrolled in the public school, based on the decision of the student's IEP Team. The IEP Team must consider the amount of time and courses needed for the provision of FAPE.
9. Home schools do not meet the definition of private schools (R277-438).

VI.E. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN ADULT EDUCATION (RULES VI.E.)

1. Students with disabilities enrolled in Adult Education remain entitled to special education and related services until determined no longer meeting eligibility criteria, graduate with a regular high school diploma, or reach maximum age (i.e., age 22).
2. The responsibility for FAPE for students with disabilities enrolled in Adult Education classes remains with the school district of residence

VI.F. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES ENROLLED IN VIRTUAL SETTINGS (RULES VI.F.)

1. Students with disabilities enrolled in public education virtual settings remain entitled to special education and related services until determined no longer meeting eligibility criteria, graduate with a regular high school diploma, or reach maximum age.
2. The responsibility for FAPE for students with disabilities enrolled in public education virtual settings remains with the LEA of enrollment, unless Board Rule specifies otherwise.

VI.G. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CONVICTED AS ADULTS AND INCARCERATED IN ADULT PRISONS (34C CFR § 300.324; RULES VI.J.)

1. The obligation to make FAPE available, including special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules, to all students with disabilities applies to students ages 18 through 21 who are incarcerated in adult prisons, with the following exceptions:
 - a. The requirements relating to participation of students with disabilities in State- and district-wide assessments.
 - b. The requirements in 34 CFR § 300.320(b) relating to transition planning and transition services with respect to students whose eligibility under Part B of the IDEA will end, because of their age, before they will be eligible to be released from prison based on consideration of their sentence and eligibility for early release.
2. The IEP Team of a student with a disability who is convicted as an adult under State law and incarcerated in an adult prison may modify the student's IEP or placement if the State has demonstrated a bona fide security or compelling penological interest that cannot otherwise be accommodated. The IEP and LRE requirements do not apply with respect to such modifications.
3. Custodial status alone does not qualify an individual for services under the IDEA (R277-709-5(2)).
4. Responsibility for the provision of FAPE resides with the school district in which the prison facility is located.

VI.H. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE ALSO IN STATE CUSTODY/CARE (UCA 62A-4A-701; R277-709; RULES VI.K.)

1. The obligation to make FAPE available in the LRE, including special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA and these Rules, applies to all students with disabilities in state custody/care.
2. All requirements of these Rules apply to students with disabilities in State custody/care, including child find, LRE, and continuum of alternative placements.
3. Special education programs provided through youth in custody programs shall be monitored, through regular site monitoring visits and monthly desk monitoring on an annual basis, as directed by USBE (R277-709).
4. The USBE will develop and implement a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with other State agencies responsible for placing students in State custody/care across LEAs or in private facilities. The MOU will address, at a minimum, payment for education and special education services, timelines for placement, and notification of LEAs of changes in placement, and assign responsibility for FAPE.

5. LEAs must develop and implement a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), policies, and procedures to address the process and timelines for interstate and intrastate transfers of students with disabilities in State custody/care, including the transfer of special education files, including the IEP, and the implementation of the IEP and provision of FAPE in the LRE, even in temporary placements.
 - a. The LEA transferring the records must keep a copy of the records for three years after the transfer.

VI.I. STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO RESIDE IN NURSING HOMES (RULES VI.L)

1. Students with disabilities residing in nursing homes and their parent(s) or adult students have the same rights under IDEA as all other IDEA-eligible students with disabilities.

VII. TRANSITIONS (34 CFR § 300.1; RULES VII.)

VII.A. TRANSITION FROM PART C TO PART B OF THE IDEA (RULES VII.A.)

1. At the beginning of each school year, each LEA must have an IEP in effect for each student with a disability ages three through five within its jurisdiction (34 CFR § 300.323).
2. The USBE and LEA must have in effect policies and procedures to ensure that (34 CFR § 300.124):
 - a. Students participating in early intervention programs assisted under Part C of the IDEA, and who will participate in preschool programs assisted under Part B of the IDEA, experience a smooth and effective transition to those preschool programs;
 - b. By the eligible student's third birthday, an IEP has been developed and is being implemented for the student;
 - c. If a student's third birthday occurs after the end of the school year, the student's IEP Team shall determine the date in the next school year when services under the IEP will begin, except that the IEP Team may determine that extended school year services are needed outside the school year; and
 - d. Each affected LEA will participate in transition planning conferences arranged by the designated lead agency for Part C.
3. In developing the IEP for a student with a disability ages three through five or, at the discretion of OC, a two-year-old student with a disability who will turn age three during the school year, the IEP Team must consider the contents of an IFSP that contains the natural environments statement and an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills (34 CFR § 300.323).
4. In the case of a student who was previously served under Part C of the IDEA, an invitation to the initial IEP meeting must, at the request of the parent, be sent to the Part C service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system to assist with the smooth transition of services (34 CFR § 300.321).

VII.B. TRANSITION SERVICES—SCHOOL TO POST-SCHOOL (RULES VII.B.)

1. Purpose (34 CFR § 300.1; Rules VII.B.1.)

To ensure that all students with disabilities have available to them a free appropriate public education that emphasizes special education and related services designed to meet their unique needs and prepare them for further education, employment, and independent living.
2. Definition (34 CFR § 300.43; Rules VII.B.2.)
 - a. *Transition services* means a coordinated set of activities for a student with a disability that:

- (1) Is designed to be within a results-oriented process that is focused on improving the academic and functional achievement of the student with a disability, to facilitate the student's movement from school to post-school activities, including postsecondary education, vocational education, integrated employment (including supported employment), continuing and adult education, adult services, independent living, or community participation;
 - (2) Is based on the individual student's needs, taking into account the student's strengths, preferences, and interests, and includes:
 - (a) Instruction;
 - (b) Related services;
 - (c) Community experiences;
 - (d) The development of employment and other post-school adult living objectives; and
 - (e) If appropriate, acquisition of daily living skills and provision of a functional vocational evaluation.
- b. Transition services for students with disabilities may be special education, if provided as specially designed instruction, or a related service, if required to assist a student with a disability to benefit from special education.
3. Parent or adult student participation (34 CFR § 300.322; Rules VII.B.3.)
- For a student with a disability age 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, the notice of meeting must indicate:
- a. That a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the postsecondary goals and transition services for the student;
 - b. That OC will invite the student; and
 - c. Identify any other agency that will be invited, with the consent of the parent(s) or adult student, to send a representative.
4. IEP Team (34 CFR § 300.321; Rules VII.B.4.)
- For an IEP Team meeting that includes as a purpose the development of a transition plan:
- a. OC must invite the student with a disability to attend the student's IEP meeting if a purpose of the meeting will be the consideration of the post-secondary goals for the student and the transition services needed to assist the student in reaching those goals.
 - b. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, OC must take other steps to ensure that the student's preferences and interests are considered.

- c. To the extent appropriate, with the consent of the parent(s) or adult student, OC must invite a representative of any participating agency that is likely to be responsible for providing or paying for transition services.
5. Definition of IEP (34 CFR § 300.320(b); Rules VII.B.5.)
- a. Transition services. For a student with a disability, ages 14 and older, or younger if determined appropriate by the IEP Team, and updated annually thereafter, the IEP must include:
 - (1) Realistic and reasonable measurable postsecondary goals based upon annual age-appropriate transition assessments related to training or education, employment, and, where appropriate, independent living skills;
 - (2) Transition services, including multi-year courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to reach the post-secondary goals identified on the IEP;
 - (3) Evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed. If the student does not attend the IEP meeting, the IEP Team must take other steps to ensure the student's preferences and interests are considered;
 - (4) If appropriate, evidence that a representative of any participating agency that might be providing or paying for any transition services was invited to the IEP Team meeting with written consent of the parent or adult student prior to the meeting; and
 - (5) Any modifications to graduation requirements, as permitted under R277-700.
 - b. Students with disabilities must have access to school counselors for the purpose of planning and must be actively invited and included (when appropriate) in school activities which address course planning (including online courses), graduation, and post-secondary education and employment (i.e., college week, scholarship opportunities, ACT, and concurrent enrollment).
6. Transfer of rights at age of majority (34 CFR §§ 300.320(c), 300.520; Rules VII.B.6.)
- a. Not later than the student's 17th birthday, the IEP must include a dated statement, signed by the student, parent, and an LEA Representative, that the student and the student's parent(s) have been informed of parent's rights under Part B of the IDEA that will transfer to the student on reaching the age of majority (i.e., age 18), except for a student with a disability who has been determined to be incompetent by a court.
 - b. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student on his/her 18th birthday unless the IEP Team determines that:
 - (1) The parent has obtained legal guardianship, power of attorney, or conservatorship; or

- (2) The student has married or become emancipated (in which case the rights transfer at that time).
 - c. All rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to students who are incarcerated in an adult or juvenile State or local correctional institution.
7. Termination of eligibility as a change of placement (34 CFR § 300.305; Rules VII.B.7.)
- a. An evaluation is not required before the termination of a student's eligibility under this part due to graduation from secondary school with a regular high school diploma, or due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE under Utah law.
 - b. For a student whose eligibility terminates due to graduation from secondary school with a regular diploma, or due to exceeding the age of eligibility for FAPE under Utah law, an LEA must provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance which shall include a statement of the student's post-secondary goals, recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals, and a statement of when and how accommodations were used for instruction and assessment.
 - c. Receipt of a general educational development (GED) credential does not end eligibility for FAPE.
8. Failure to meet transition objectives (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules VII.B.8.)
- a. If a participating agency, other than OC, fails to provide the transition services described in the IEP, the LEA must reconvene the IEP Team to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student set out in the IEP.
 - b. Nothing relieves any participating agency, including a State vocational rehabilitation agency, of the responsibility to provide or pay for any transition service that the agency would otherwise provide to students with disabilities who meet the eligibility criteria of that LEA (34 CFR § 300.324).
 - c. If any public agency other than an educational agency is otherwise obligated under Federal or State law, or assigned responsibility under State policy or an interagency agreement, to provide or pay for any services that are also considered special education or related services such as, but not limited to, services relating to assistive technology devices, assistive technology services, related services, supplementary aids and services, and transition services, that are necessary for ensuring a FAPE to students with disabilities within the State, the public agency must fulfill that obligation or responsibility, either directly or through contract or other arrangement or as provided in an interagency agreement.
9. Students with disabilities in adult prisons (34 CFR § 300.324; Rules VII.B.9.)
- a. The requirements relating to transition planning and transition services do not apply with respect to those students whose eligibility under Part B of the IDEA will end,

because of their age, before they will be eligible to be released from prison based on consideration of their sentence and eligibility for early release.

- b. The obligation to make FAPE available to all students with disabilities does not apply with respect to students ages 18 through 21 to the extent that State law does not require that special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA be provided to students with disabilities who, in the last educational placement prior to their incarceration in an adult correctional facility (34 CFR § 300.102):
 - (1) Were not actually identified as being a student with a disability; and
 - (2) Did not have an IEP under Part B of the IDEA.
- c. The exception does not apply to students with disabilities ages 18 through 21 who:
 - (1) Had been identified as a student with a disability and had received services in accordance with an IEP, but who left school prior to their incarceration; or
 - (2) Did not have an IEP in their last educational setting, but who had actually been identified as a student with a disability.

VII.C. GRADUATION (UCA 53E-7-202; R277-705; RULES VIII.C.)

- 1. The obligation of OC to make FAPE available to all students with disabilities does not apply to students with disabilities who have graduated from high school with a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(i)).
 - a. The exception above does not apply to students that have graduated from high school but have not been awarded a regular high school diploma (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(ii)).
 - b. OC may not withhold a regular high school diploma from a student who has met State or LEA graduation requirements.
 - c. Graduation from high school with a regular high school diploma constitutes a change in placement, requiring prior written notice that must contain all the requirements in Rule IV.D, including being given a reasonable time before OC proposed to terminate the student's eligibility under the IDEA by issuing the student a diploma (34 CFR § 300.503).
 - d. The term "regular high school diploma" does not include an alternative degree that is not fully aligned with the State's academic standards, such as a certificate or a GED (34 CFR § 300.102(a)(3)(iv)).
- 2. A student with a disability served by a special education program shall satisfy high school completion or graduation criteria, consistent with State and federal law and the student's IEP. OC may modify graduation requirements consistent with the student's IEP (R277-700-6(25)). OC may award a student a certificate of completion consistent with state and federal law and the student's IEP.

3. The IEP Team must refer to the USBE Special Education Graduation Guidelines for additional information regarding modifying graduation requirements and IEP substitutions.

VII.D. TERMINATION OF SERVICES UPON REACHING AGE 22 (R277-419-2(25)(B); RULES VII.D.)

1. If a student with a disability turns 22 any time after July 1, LEAs must continue to provide FAPE until the end of that school year.

VIII. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE UTAH STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

In addition to the requirements listed below, OC provides data as required for State and Federal reports and other State functions as listed in Rules VIII.

VIII.A. GENERAL SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

1. LEA Special Education Program Funding (Rules VIII.A.3.)
 - a. OC shall provide, either singly or in cooperation with other school districts or public institutions, a free appropriate public education program for all students with disabilities who are enrolled in OC. The program shall include necessary special facilities, instruction, and education-related services. The costs of OC's program, or share of a joint program, shall be paid from LEA funds.
 - b. OC shall receive funds under UCA Title 53F, Chapter 2, State Funding--Minimum School Program, and other applicable laws to provide special education services in accordance with the Rules.
 - c. OC may, singly or in cooperation with other public entities, provide education and training for persons with disabilities who are younger than 3 or older than 22. The cost of such a program may be paid from fees, contributions, and other funds received by LEA for support of the program but may not be paid from public education funds.
2. The requirements of Part B of the IDEA and the Rules are binding on each LEA and other public agency that has direct or delegated authority to provide special education and related services in the State of Utah.

VIII.B. STATE ELIGIBILITY (34 CFR § 300.110; USBE VIII.B.3.)

1. Program Options.
 - a. OC takes steps to ensure that its students with disabilities have available to them the variety of educational programs and services available to nondisabled students in the area served by the agency, including art, music, industrial arts, consumer and homemaking education, and vocational education.

VIII.C. USBE PROGRAM MONITORING (USBE VIII.D.2-3.)

1. OC is involved in the Utah's Program Improvement Planning System (UPIPS) monitoring system, as required under Part B of the IDEA, R277-709, and R277-114-3. OC shall complete the required activities according to the timeline provided by the USBE staff.
2. Results of the monitoring process are publicly available, upon request.

VIII.D. PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS (34 CFR § 300.156; RULES VIII.K.3-5.)

1. Qualifications for special education teachers (R277-504).

The USBE and IDEA established qualifications for each person employed as a public school special education teacher in the State who teaches in an elementary school, middle school, or secondary school.

2. Related services personnel and paraeducators (R277-506 and R277-524).

The qualifications include qualifications for related services personnel and paraeducators that:

- a. Are consistent with any State-approved or State-recognized certification, licensing, registration, or other comparable requirements that apply to the professional discipline in which those personnel are providing special education or related services; and
- b. Ensure that related services personnel who deliver services in their discipline or profession:
 - (1) Meet the requirements; and
 - (2) Have not had certification or licensure requirements waived on an emergency, temporary, or provisional basis; and
- c. Allow paraeducators and assistants who are appropriately trained and supervised, in accordance with State law, regulation, or written policy, in meeting the requirements of this part, to be used to assist in the provision of special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA to students with disabilities.
- d. Interpreters for the Deaf.

Under UCA 35A-13-604, an individual is required to be certified as an interpreter if that individual provides interpreter services for deaf and hard of hearing students.

3. Notwithstanding any other individual right of action that a parent, adult student, or student may maintain under this part, nothing in this part shall be construed to create a right of action on behalf of an individual student or a class of students for the failure of a particular SEA, LEA, or other public agency employee to be highly qualified, or to prevent a parent or adult student from filing a State complaint about staff qualifications with the State Director of Special Education.

VIII.E. REPORTING ON SUSPENSION AND EXPULSION RATES (34 CFR § 300.170; RULES VIII.M.)

1. Through daily uploads, OC shall report to the USBE staff, through the UTREx reporting system, on the rates of long-term suspensions and expulsions of students with disabilities and nondisabled students, including data disaggregated by race and ethnicity. The USBE staff shall examine these data to determine if significant discrepancies are occurring:
 - a. Between nondisabled students and students with disabilities within OC.

2. If discrepancies are occurring, the USBE staff shall review and, if appropriate, require revisions in both USBE and LEA policies, procedures, and practices to ensure compliance with Part B of the IDEA.
3. Policies, procedures, and practices to be reviewed and, if appropriate, revised, include:
 - a. The development and implementation of IEPs;
 - b. The use of positive behavior interventions and supports; and
 - c. Procedural safeguards.

VIII.F. PROHIBITION ON MANDATORY MEDICATION (34 CFR § 300.174; RULES VIII.X.)

1. The USBE prohibits State and OC personnel from requiring parents or adult students to obtain a prescription for substances identified under schedules I, II, III, IV, or V in section 202(c) of the Controlled Substances Act for a student as a condition of attending school, receiving an evaluation, or receiving services under Part B of the IDEA (21 USC § 812(c)).
2. Nothing in Rules VIII.X.1. shall be construed to create a Federal prohibition against teachers and other school personnel consulting or sharing classroom-based observations with parent(s) or adult student regarding a student's academic and functional performance, or behavior in the classroom or school, or regarding the need for evaluation for special education or related services related to child find.

IX. LEA ELIGIBILITY AND RESPONSIBILITIES

IX.A. LEA ELIGIBILITY FOR IDEA PART B FUNDS (34 CFR §§ 300.211–212, 220)

Federal special education funding is made available through a grant to the state from the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). These funds are restricted and may only be used to provide services and program for students who qualify under Part B of the IDEA. Funds are available for students who are 3–5 (section 619 Preschool) and for students age 3–21 (section 611 School-Age). Some funds are retained at the state level for administration and for state level activities. The remaining funds are distributed to Utah Local Education Agencies (LEAs) by formula.

1. Annually, the USBE staff shall notify OC of the availability of Federal funds under Part B of the IDEA. In order to receive IDEA Part B flow-through funds, OC must have in effect a USBE-approved special education program (Rules X.B.2.), including policies and procedures that are consistent with the Rules.
2. OC must have a USBE-approved special education program (UCA 53F-2-307; (Rules IX.A.2). OC's program is approved by the state board when OC's special education policies and procedures are approved by the USBE special education staff and then by OC's local board in a public meeting. OC must submit documentation of the local board's approval to the USBE special education staff. The USBE approval of OC's policies and procedures includes the approval of any supporting documentation necessary to ensure their implementation. All required minimum components of Rules A.2.a-e are addressed in this policies and procedures manual.
3. As part of establishing eligibility for Part B funds, OC must have revised policies and procedures in alignment with the IDEA 2004 final regulations and current Rules within one year of the final USBE approval of Rules.
4. Policies and procedures submitted by OC in accordance with this section, and approved by the USBE staff, remain in effect until any of the following occur (34 CFR § 300.220):
 - a. The LEA submits modifications to the USBE staff that the SEA or LEA determines are necessary;
 - (1) The provisions of the Rules apply to any modifications in an LEA's policies and procedures in the same manner and to the same extent as the LEA's original policies and procedures.
 - b. The USBE staff gives the LEA notice of a new interpretation of the IDEA by Federal or State courts, or a change in Federal statute; or
 - c. There is an official finding of noncompliance with Federal or State law or regulations that requires a change in the LEA's policy and procedures.
5. OC must have on file with the USBE staff, information to demonstrate that it will make available to parents of students with disabilities or adult students and to the general

public all documents relating to the eligibility of the LEA under Part B of the IDEA (34 CFR § 300.212).

6. OC creates annual improvement goals based on the State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR) Indicators to improve outcomes for students with disabilities (Rules IX.A.2.d(2)(r)).
7. OC collects and provides additional information which the USBE may require in order to meet Federal reporting requirements, including suspension and expulsion rates, LRE environments, disproportionality data, personnel information, and others (Rules IX.A.2.e).

IX.B. USE OF PART B FEDERAL FUNDS BY THE LEA (34 CFR §§ 300.200–206, 208)

1. OC submits a plan that provides assurances to the USBE that OC meets each of the conditions in Rules IX.B. (34 CFR § 300.200).
2. OC has in effect policies, procedures, and programs that are consistent with the State policies and procedures established in the Rules (34 CFR § 300.201).
3. Use of amounts (34 CFR § 300.202).

OC has on file with the USBE staff, information to demonstrate that amounts provided to the LEA under Part B of the IDEA:

- a. Will be expended in accordance with the applicable provision of the Rules.
 - b. Will be used only to pay the excess costs of providing special education and related services to students with disabilities consistent with the Rules; and
 - c. Will be used to supplement State, local, and other Federal funds and not to supplant those funds.
4. The excess cost requirement prevents OC from using funds provided under Part B of the IDEA to pay for all of the costs directly attributable to the education of a student with a disability.
 5. OC meets the excess cost requirement if it has spent at least a minimum average amount for the education of its students with disabilities before funds under Part B of the IDEA are used.
 6. Maintenance of effort (34 CFR § 300.203).

- a. Eligibility standard.

(1) For purposes of establishing OC's eligibility for an award for a fiscal year, the USBE must determine that OC budgets for the education of students with disabilities for at least the same amount, from at least one of the following sources, as OC spent for that purpose from the same source for the most recent fiscal year for which information is available:

- (a) Local funds only;

- (b) The combination of State and local funds;
 - (c) Local funds only on a per capita basis; or
 - (d) The combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis.
- (2) When determining the amount of funds that OC must budget to meet the requirement in paragraph Rules IX.B.6.a.(1), OC may take into consideration, to the extent the information is available, the exceptions and adjustment provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205 that OC:
- (a) Took in the intervening year or years between the most recent fiscal year for which information is available and the fiscal year for which OC is budgeting; and
 - (b) Reasonably expects to take in the fiscal year for which OC is budgeting.
- (3) Expenditures made from funds provided by the Federal government for which the SEA is required to account to the Federal government or for which OC is required to account to the Federal government directly or through the USBE may not be considered in determining whether OC meets the standard in Rules IX.B.6.a.(1).
- b. Compliance standard.
- (1) Except as provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205, funds provided to OC under Part B of the IDEA will not be used to reduce the level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities made by the LEA from local funds below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year.
- (2) OC meets this standard if it does not reduce the level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities made by OC from at least one of the following sources below the level of those expenditures from the same source for the preceding fiscal year, except as provided in 34 CFR §§ 300.204 and 300.205:
- (a) Local funds only;
 - (b) The combination of State and local funds;
 - (c) Local funds only on a per capita basis; or
 - (d) The combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis.
- (3) Expenditures made from funds provided by the Federal government for which the USBE is required to account to the Federal government or for which OC is required to account to the Federal government directly or through the USBE may not be considered in determining whether OC meets the standard of Rules IX.B.6.b.(1) and IX.B.6.b.(2).
- c. Subsequent years.

- (1) If, in the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2013 or July 1, 2014, OC fails to meet the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.203 in effect at that time, the level of expenditures required of OC for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required in the absence of that failure, not OC's reduced level of expenditures.
 - (2) If, in any fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2015, OC fails to meet the requirement of Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(a) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(c) and OC is relying on local funds only, or local funds only on a per capita basis, to meet the requirements of the Rules IX.B.6.a or IX.B.6.b, the level of expenditures required of OC for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required under Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(a) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(c) in the absence of that failure, not OC's reduced level of expenditures.
 - (3) If, in any fiscal year beginning on or after July 1, 2015, OC fails to meet the requirement of Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(b) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(d) and OC is relying on the combination of State and local funds, or the combination of State and local funds on a per capita basis, to meet the requirements of Rules IX.B.6.a or IX.B.6.b, the level of expenditures required of OC for the fiscal year subsequent to the year of the failure is the amount that would have been required under Rules IX.B.6.b.(2)(b) or IX.B.6.b.(2)(d) in the absence of that failure, not OC's reduced level of expenditures.
- d. Consequence of failure to maintain effort.
- (1) If OC fails to maintain its level of expenditures for the education of students with disabilities in accordance with Rules IX.B.6.b, the USBE is liable in a recovery action under section 452 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 USC § 1234a) to return to the Department, using non-Federal funds, an amount equal to the amount by which OC failed to maintain its level of expenditures in accordance with Rules IX.B.6.b. in that fiscal year, or the amount of OC's Part B subgrant in that fiscal year, whichever is lower.
 - (2) If the USBE is required to return funds to the Department because of OC's failure to meet the Maintenance of Effort requirement, the USBE shall reduce the amount provided to OC's Minimum School Program (MSP) Basic Program on a 1/12 basis.
7. Exception to maintenance of effort (34 CFR § 300.204).
- OC may reduce the level of expenditures by OC under Part B of the IDEA below the level of those expenditures for the preceding fiscal year if the reduction is attributable to any of the following:
- a. The voluntary departure, by retirement or otherwise, or departure for just cause, of special education or related services personnel.
 - b. A decrease in the enrollment of students with disabilities.

- c. The termination of the obligation of OC, consistent with this part, to provide a program of special education to a particular student with a disability that is an exceptionally costly program, as determined by the USBE staff, because the student:
 - (1) Has left the jurisdiction of OC;
 - (2) Has reached the age at which the obligation of OC to provide a FAPE to the student has terminated; or
 - (3) No longer needs the program of special education.
 - d. The termination of costly expenditures for long-term purchases, such as the acquisition of equipment or the construction of school facilities.
 - e. The assumption of cost by the high-cost (i.e., Intensive Services fund) fund operated by the USBE staff.
8. Adjustment to local fiscal efforts in certain fiscal years (34 CFR § 300.205).
- a. For any fiscal year for which the allocation received by OC under Part B of the IDEA exceeds the amount the LEA received for the previous fiscal year, OC may reduce the level of expenditures otherwise required by maintenance of efforts requirements by not more than 50 percent of the amount of that excess.
 - b. Use of amounts to carry out activities under ESEA/ESSA.

If OC exercises the authority to reduce the level of expenditures due to an increase in Part B funds, OC must use an amount of local funds equal to the reduction in expenditures to carry out activities that could be supported with funds under the ESEA/ESSA, regardless of whether OC is using funds under the ESEA/ESSA for those activities.
 - c. The USBE staff must prohibit OC from reducing the level of expenditures for a fiscal year, if the USBE staff determines that:
 - (1) OC is unable to establish and maintain programs of FAPE that meet the requirements of Part B of the IDEA, or
 - (2) The USBE staff has taken action against OC under Section 616 of the IDEA and subpart F of the regulations (Monitoring, Technical Assistance, and Enforcement).
 - d. The amount of funds expended by OC for mandatory or voluntary Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS) shall count toward the maximum amount of expenditures that OC may reduce under the requirements of this section.
9. If the USBE staff determines that OC is not meeting the requirements of the Rules, the USBE staff may prohibit OC from treating funds received under Part B of the IDEA as local funds under this section for any fiscal year, but only if it is authorized to do so by the State constitution or State statute.
10. School-wide programs under Title I of the ESEA/ESSA (34 CFR § 300.206).

- a. OC may use funds received under Part B of the IDEA for any fiscal year to carry out a school-wide program under section 1114 of the ESEA/ESSA, except that the amount used in any school-wide program may not exceed the amount received by the LEA under Part B of the IDEA for that fiscal year:
 - (1) Divided by the number of students with disabilities in the jurisdiction of the LEA; and
 - (2) Multiplied by the number of students with disabilities participating in the school-wide program.
- b. The funds described in this section must be considered as Federal Part B funds for purposes of the calculations required for excess costs and supplanting.
- c. The funds may be used without regard to the requirements of 34 CFR § 300.202(a)(1) of the IDEA.
- d. All other requirements of Part B of the IDEA must be met by OC using Part B funds for school-wide programs under section 1114 of the ESEA/ESSA, including ensuring that students with disabilities in school-wide program schools:
 - (1) Receive services in accordance with a properly developed IEP; and
 - (2) Are afforded all the rights and services guaranteed to students with disabilities under Part B of the IDEA.

IX.C. CHARTER SCHOOLS AND THEIR STUDENTS (34 CFR § 300.209; RULES IX.C.)

- 1. Nothing in the Rules prohibit school districts and charter schools from developing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to address student specific needs and/or placements.

IX.D. COORDINATED EARLY INTERVENING SERVICES (CEIS) (34 CFR § 300.226; RULES IX.D.)

- 1. OC may not use more than 15 percent of the amount OC receives under Part B of the IDEA for any fiscal year, less any amount reduced by the LEA pursuant to maintenance of effort, if any, in combination with other amounts (which may include amounts other than education funds), to develop and implement coordinated early intervening services (CEIS), which may include interagency financing structures, for students in kindergarten through grade 12 (with a particular emphasis on students in kindergarten through grade three) who are not currently identified as needing special education or related services, but who need additional academic and behavioral support to succeed in a general education environment.
- 2. In implementing CEIS, OC may carry out activities that include:
 - a. Professional learning (which may be provided by entities other than LEAs) for teachers and other school staff to enable such personnel to deliver scientifically based academic and behavior interventions, including scientifically based literacy

- instruction, and, where appropriate, instruction on the use of adaptive and instructional software; and
- b. Providing educational and behavioral evaluations, services, and supports, including scientifically based literacy instruction.
3. CEIS may not be used to limit or create a right to FAPE under Part B of the IDEA or to delay appropriate evaluation of a student suspected of having a disability.
 4. OC must annually report to the USBE staff on:
 - a. The number of students served under this section who received early intervening services; and
 - b. The number of students served under this section who received early intervening services and subsequently receive special education and related services under Part B of the IDEA during the preceding two-year period.
 5. Funds made available to carry out this section may be used to carry out coordinated early intervening services aligned with activities funded by, and carried out under, the ESEA/ESSA if those funds are used to supplement, and not supplant, funds made available under the ESEA/ESSA for the activities and services assisted under this section. LEAs should refer to the USBE CEIS Technical Assistance for additional information.

IX.E. PERSONNEL DEVELOPMENT (34 CFR § 300.207; RULES IX.E.)

1. OC must ensure that all personnel necessary to carry out Part B of the IDEA are appropriately and adequately prepared, subject to the requirements related to personnel qualifications and section 2122 of the ESEA/ESSA, as well as 34 CFR § 300.156; R277-504, R277-506, R277-520, and R277-524.
2. Paraeducators, when used to carry out Part B of the IDEA, must be appropriately trained and supervised, and utilized in accordance with USBE Paraeducator Standards.
 - a. OC shall provide documentation of paraeducator training and supervision to USBE staff upon request.

IX.F. FUNDED PREVALENCE OF DISABLING CONDITIONS (UCA 53F-2-307; RULES IX.F.)

1. The USBE shall limit a school district's allocation of State special education monies to 12.18 percent of the school district's average daily membership (ADM).
2. Students three and four years of age and those students turning five after September 1 who are classified as developmentally delayed are not included in the school district's 12.18 percent ADM maximum.
3. Public charter schools are not subject to the prevalence funding limits in this section because a charter school's boundaries are not defined.

IX.G. LEA PROVISION OF FAPE (34 CFR § 300.101; RULES IX.G.)

1. OC will oversee the caseload of each special educator (including psychologists, social workers, speech-language pathologists, occupational therapists, physical therapists, adapted PE specialists, and any other related servers) to ensure that a free appropriate public education is available to all eligible students with disabilities.

IX.H. ROUTINE CHECKING OF HEARING AIDS AND EXTERNAL COMPONENTS OF SURGICALLY IMPLANTED MEDICAL DEVICES (34 CFR § 300.113; RULES IX.H.)

1. Hearing aids. OC must ensure that hearing aids worn in school by students with hearing loss, including deafness, are functioning properly.
2. External components of surgically implanted medical devices.
 - a. Subject to Rules IX.H.2.b., each public agency must ensure that the external components of surgically implanted medical devices are functioning properly.
 - b. For a student with a surgically implanted medical device who is receiving special education and related services, OC is not responsible for the post-surgical maintenance, programming, or replacement of the medical device that has been surgically implanted (or of an external component of the surgically implanted medical device).

IX.I. EDUCATOR LICENSE REQUIREMENTS (R277-504; R277-506; R277-520; RULES IX.I.)

Professionals providing services to students with disabilities must hold a Utah Professional Educator License or Endorsement in the area in which they provide services. This includes special education teachers, speech/language pathologists, school psychologists, school social workers, and other professionals. Physical and occupational therapists must hold appropriate Utah licensure. The OC administration shall be responsible for the evaluation of the appropriateness of licenses and endorsements when assigning staff members. OC refers to the USBE Teaching, Leadership, and Paraeducator Standards.

1. *Preschool Special Education (Birth–Age 5) License* area of concentration means a teaching license required for teaching preschool students with disabilities (R277-501-2(11)).
2. *Special Education (K–12) License* area of concentration means the license required for teaching students with disabilities in kindergarten through grade 12. Special Education areas of concentration carry endorsements in at least one of the following areas (R277-504-2(13)(a)):
 - a. Mild/Moderate Disabilities,
 - b. Severe Disabilities,
 - c. Deaf and Hard of Hearing,

- d. Blind and Visually Impaired, and
 - e. Deafblind.
3. Teachers providing services to the single category of Speech Language Impairment must hold the appropriate license, endorsement, or area of concentration in the category of Speech Language Impairment (R277-506).
 4. Teachers assigned to teach academic subjects in elementary and secondary special education programs must, in addition to their special education license, meet the standards for personnel under the USBE and the ESEA/ESSA.
 5. School social workers and school psychologists providing services to students with disabilities must be licensed by the USBE (R277-506).
 6. Teachers serving preschool-aged students with disabilities must hold the Special Education (Birth–Age 5) educator license (R277-504).
 7. Individuals providing psychological evaluation services for students with disabilities must hold a Utah education license for school psychologists or State licensure and meet the assessment publisher’s criteria for administration (R277-506).
- IX.J. PURCHASE OF INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS IN ACCESSIBLE FORMATS (34 CFR § 300.210; RULES IX.J.)**
1. OC chooses to coordinate with the National Instructional Materials Access Center (NIMAC), when purchasing print instructional materials, and must acquire those instructional materials in the same manner, and subject to the same conditions as the USBE under Rules VIII.W.
 2. Nothing in this section relieves OC of its responsibility to ensure that students with disabilities who need instructional materials in accessible formats but are not included under the definition of blind or other persons with print disabilities or who need materials that cannot be produced from NIMAS files, receive those instructional materials in a timely manner.
 3. For all purposes of this section, the USBE defines timely manner as follows: the USBE and LEAs must take reasonable steps to provide instructional materials in accessible formats to students with disabilities who need those instructional materials at the same time as other students receive instructional materials.
- IX.K. SCHOOL DISTRICTS TO PROVIDE USDB CLASS SPACE (UCA 53E-8-410; RULES IX.K.)**
1. If OC has students who reside within OC's boundaries and are served by the Utah Schools for the Deaf and the Blind (USDB), OC shall make a good faith effort to provide the USDB with space required for programs offered by the USDB.

X. SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDING (RULES X.)

As the State Education Agency (SEA), the USBE has a responsibility under both Federal and State law to monitor implementation of the IDEA by LEAs through a system of general supervision that improves educational results and functional outcomes and ensures that public agencies meet program requirements. The special education program that is funded both from federal and state funds and it is critical to understand the similarities and differences of these funding sources.

“Federal special education funds” means funds paid to the State under IDEA Part B for the purposes of special education.

“State special education funds” means state funds appropriated to public education for the purposes of special education.

Federal special education funds are calculated, allocated, and classified differently than state special education funds. Rules X outline the regulations, restrictions, and allowable costs and activities applicable to each funding source; some requirements are the same for both funding sources and some provisions apply only to one or the other.

X.A. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS GENERALLY (RULES X.A.)

1. State special education funds may be spent only for direct costs, as outlined in the Rules. Direct costs are those elements of cost which can be easily, obviously, and conveniently identified with specific special education activities or programs, as distinguished from those costs incurred for several different activities or programs and whose elements are not readily identifiable with specific special education activities.
2. State special education funds are appropriated to the Minimum School Program (MSP) and provide restricted (categorical) monies that must be spent for the education of students with disabilities.

X.B. ALLOCATION OF STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS FOR PROGRAMS FOR STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (UCA 53F-2-307; R277-479; RULES X.B.3.)

1. OC must be current with the Utah Program Improvement Planning System (UPIPS) monitoring requirements, including correction of noncompliance within one year of notification, annual Corrective Action Plan (CAP) and Program Improvement Plan (PIP) reports, and desk audit submissions to be eligible for State special education funds.

X.C. SPECIAL EDUCATION ADD-ON ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1205) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); RULES X.C.)

1. OC will use Special Education add-on funds in accordance with Rules X.B. and to cover the direct costs of providing special education to students with disabilities.

X.D. SPECIAL EDUCATION SELF-CONTAINED ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1210) (UCA 53F-2-307(3); RULES X.E.)

1. "Self-contained" means a public-school student with an IEP or a youth in custody/care (YIC) who receives 180 minutes or more of special education or YIC services during a typical school day per R277-419-2(35).
2. OC will use Special Education Self-Contained funds only for direct costs attributable to the cost of the special education of students with disabilities whose placement is a special class or self-contained environment.

X.E. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION IMPACT AID ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1225) (UCA 53F-2-307(1); RULES X.I.)

1. OC will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of administering the special education program as follows:
 - a. Costs for students in state custody (prisons, detention facilities, and the state hospital)
 - b. Additional costs attributable for services to students with low-incidence disabilities

X.F. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR (ESY) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1220) (UCA 53F-2-308(2); RULES X.K.)

1. OC will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of extended year services (ESY) provided to students with disabilities, determined by the student's IEP team to require ESY in order to receive a FAPE and in accordance with R277-751.

X.G. STATE EXTENDED SCHOOL YEAR STIPEND FOR SPECIAL EDUCATORS (EYSE) ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1278) (UCA 53F-2-310; RULES X.M.)

1. OC will use state special education funds for salaries and allowable benefits of Special Education Teachers, or Speech Language Pathologists who provide eligible services under R277-525-2.
2. A special educator receiving a stipend shall: (a) work an additional day beyond the number of days contracted with the special educator's school district or school for each daily stipend; (b) schedule the additional days of work before or after the school year; and (c) use the additional days of work to perform duties related to the IEP process, including: administering student assessments, conducting IEP meetings, writing IEP's, conferring with parent(s) or adult students, and preparing and maintaining records.

X.H. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION INTENSIVE SERVICES ALLOWABLE USE (FUND 1230) (UCA 53F-2-309(1); RULES X.O.)

1. OC will use state special education funds for direct costs attributable to the cost of implementing IEPs for students with disabilities.

2. Cost of services to a student with a disability must be in excess of three times the annual average per pupil expenditure (APPE) as calculated by USBE Financial Operations.
3. Costs must meet the eligibility requirements outlined in R277-752.

X.I. STATE SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS ALLOWABLE USE (RULES X.P.)

1. State special education funds may be spent only for direct costs, as outlined in Rules X.B. Direct costs are those elements of cost which can be easily, obviously, and conveniently identified with specific special education activities or programs, as distinguished from those costs incurred for several different activities or programs and whose elements are not readily identifiable with specific special education activities. (Rules X.A.1.).
2. OC will use state special education funds for the costs of providing for specially designed instruction, related services, and supplementary aids and services provided in a regular class or other education-related setting to a student with a disability in accordance with the IEP of the student.
3. OC will use state special education funds for the costs of providing inclusive special education preschool services.
4. OC will use state special education funds for the costs of including peer models in IEP services that require a peer model.
5. OC will use state special education funds for the costs of providing co-teaching, in which both a licensed general educator and licensed special education teacher plan and provide specially designed instruction.
6. OC follows the allowable use of state special education funds as listed in Rules X.P.6.

X.J. ALLOWABLE COSTS FOR FEDERAL (IDEA) SPECIAL EDUCATION FUNDS (RULES X.R.1.; 4-7.)

1. Funds paid to the State under IDEA Part B for the purposes of special education ("Federal special education funds") are calculated, allocated, and classified differently than State special education funds.
2. OC will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing for specially designed instruction, related services, and supplementary aids and services provided in a regular class or other education-related setting to a student with a disability in accordance with the IEP of the student.
3. OC will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing inclusive special education preschool services.
4. OC will use Federal special education funds for the costs of including peer models in IEP services that require a peer model.

5. OC will use Federal special education funds for the costs of providing co-teaching, in which both a licensed general educator and licensed special education teacher plan and provide specially designed instruction.
6. OC follows the allowable use of Federal special education funds, as listed in Rules X.R.8.

XI. APPENDIX A – REFERRAL, EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY OF MULTI LANGUAGE LEARNERS (“MLL”)

XI.A. CHILD FIND PROCEDURES FOR MULTI LANGUAGE LEARNERS

1. A student is considered a Multi Language Learner (“MLL”) if during the registration process a parent or guardian indicates that another language other than English is spoken in the home. The student is automatically added to the Primary Home Language Other than English (“PHLOTE”) list and begins the process of having the English language fluency measured by the WIDA test and, if eligible, receives English Language Development (“ELD”) services.

XI.B INITIAL EVALUATION OF MLL

1. If school personnel or parent suspects that an MLL student may have a disability, the concerns regarding the student should be presented to the SSC, just as with other students suspected of having a disability.
2. The procedures outlined in the sections II.D. of this Manual must be followed. However, due to the complexity and nuance of determining how language acquisition and possible disability interact in the planning of interventions, evaluation and eligibility determination, the following additional steps must also be taken.
 - a. The amount of time that a student has participated in ELD services must be considered in determining possible interventions.
 - i. Students who are MLL and who have had less than one school year of Alternative Language Services (“ALS”), or who are entering school with no previous educational experience should be referred for a special education evaluation only after the following criteria have been considered:
 - a.) objective data supports the possibility of a disability that impacts speech-language development (e.g. severe/profound disabilities, cleft lip/palate, hearing impairment, orthopedic impairment, traumatic brain injury);

- b.) educators can rule out the potential influence of limited English, culture, economics, and/or environmental issues as the basis for the referral;
 - c.) interventions, instructional strategies, and program options specific to the student’s education needs have been provided within a response to intervention model, for a sufficient period of time, and the MLL has been unsuccessful in demonstrating growth;
 - d.) a peer analysis has been completed, indicating that the MLL is indeed exhibiting atypical performance compared to his/her MLL peer group; and
 - e.) parents are able to provide information that indicates that the child was delayed/disordered in acquisition of their first language.
3. If a student is a MLL and is receiving ALS, including daily ELD, the following must occur and be considered:
- a. The most recent version of the ALS packet (ALS Summary Form and completed Parent Interview form, January 2016) must be completed by the student’s teacher and ALS Coordinator/ELD provider for any student who is an MLL. This form must be completed before an evaluation can be considered, but it is recommended that it be used by the Student Services Committee (SSC) throughout the process to guide and document the process. If a student is found eligible for special education services and/or related services, the form becomes part of the pre-referral documentation. If the student is determined to be ineligible for special education services and/or related services, copies of the form should be in the student’s DNQ folder and the ALS section of their cumulative folder.
 - b. The length of time that an MLL student has received ALS (newcomers, ESL classes, English Language Development, bi-lingual classes) and the student’s language level must be considered by the SSC prior to referring the student for a special education evaluation. Data of student progress in ALS should be documented.
4. The ALS coordinator or the student’s ELD teacher must be a member of the student services team when an MLL student is being presented. Their attendance should be documented in the SSC minutes. A school psychologist must be involved in every MLL referral to determine eligibility for special education services. In addition, the speech language pathologist must be an

additional member of the evaluation team if the team suspects that the student may have a language disability in both L1 and L2.

5. Information and data regarding the student's progress in English Language acquisition must also be presented, documented and considered as the committee determines appropriate interventions in the area of concern indicated by the teacher.
6. The parent interview may be used at any time during the pre-referral or referral process. An interpreter should be used when appropriate to allow for full understanding of a student's level of functional and development in their first language. Specific questions on the interview allow for school teams to have a deeper understanding of the impact of language and culture on a student. The information gathered during the interview will also provide to data to support evidence of a possible disability in the native language.
7. Schools shall use research based interventions to target the area of concern for the MLL student. Language acquisition must be considered when planning and implementing interventions. Interventions that are heavily language based and could possibly yield data that describes a student's language acquisition and not a possible disability are inappropriate and should not be considered.
8. The SSC is responsible for determining and documenting when interventions have not been successful and the student's difficulties in the area of concern are not due to issues related to English language acquisition. The LEA, ALS coordinator/ELD teacher and school psychologist must participate in the decision and confirm that all documentation, progress monitoring, the ALS Summary form, parent interview, and At-Risk Documentation form are completed with proper signatures.

XI.B EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. Procedures in section II.C. of this Manual should be followed to obtain written consent for evaluation. The purpose for the evaluation and possible outcomes must be presented to parent(s) and or guardian(s) in an understandable language through translated documents or by an interpreter.
2. When an MLL student is referred for an evaluation to determine eligibility for special education services, the following steps will be followed:

- a. WIDA: If student is an MLL, the WIDA is administered and oral language, literacy, comprehension, and overall scale scores are reported in the ALS form; and
 - b. ALS FORM: If the overall WIDA score is 4 or below, the form will indicate that referred student will undergo standardized language proficiency testing in his native to the extent it is feasible to do so.
3. Spanish-Speaking MLLs.
- a. The Woodcock-Munoz Language Proficiency Testing – Revised will be administered and scores will be obtained for oral language, reading, and writing in both English and Spanish.
 - i. If student is fluent in English (Broad English Ability CALP score of 4 or higher), the IEP Team may recommend to conduct cognitive and achievement evaluation in English only.
 - ii. If student is fluent in Spanish (Broad Spanish Ability score of 4 or higher), the IEP Team may recommend conducting cognitive and achievement evaluation in Spanish using standardized measures such as the Bateria III Pruebas de Habilidades Cognitivas and Pruebas de Aprovechamiento
 - iii. Dual immersion, consideration must be given for students who may be participating in dual immersion programs and receiving instruction in two languages.
 - iv. If a student’s Munoz score does not measure as fluent in either English or Spanish, the IEP Team may recommend conducting a nonverbal assessment of cognitive abilities. Achievement testing will be conducted in English using standardized measures such as the WJ-IV Tests of Achievement if student has consistently been educated in English; however, results obtained will need to be interpreted cautiously and in conjunction with other data including but not limited to:
 - a.) response to intervention;
 - b.) educational history;
 - c.) benchmark data;
 - d.) interim scores; and
 - e.) parent interview.
4. MLL students who speak languages other than Spanish.

- a. If a student does not receive a score of 4 on the WIDA and does not speak Spanish, one of two courses of action will be used to ascertain their level of fluency in their first language:
 - i. the Bilingual Verbal Ability Test (BVAT) is available in 17 languages plus English. It measures the language fluency in those 17 languages;
 - ii. the use of interpreters for a structured interview and the Qualitative Examination of Language Proficiency Form to determine fluency levels for MLL students in their first language.
 - b. If a student is determined to be fluent in English, they will be evaluated in English in accordance with the criteria set forth in 3.a.i.
 - c. If a student is determined to be fluent in their first language and testing in that language is feasible, they will be evaluated in that language in accordance with the criteria set forth in 3.a.ii.
 - d. If a student is determined to not be fluent in either their first language or in English or it is not feasible for the district to test them in their first language, the IEP Team may recommend conducting a nonverbal assessment of cognitive abilities. Achievement testing will be conducted in English using standardized measures such as the WJ-IV Tests of Achievement if the student has consistently been educated in English. The results will need to be interpreted with the same cautions as outlined in 3.a. iv.
5. Qualifications of staff to administer tests.
- a. All standardized testing will be administered according to the guidelines put forth and approved by the psychometrics who have published the test to protect reliability and validity of results.
 - b. All staff testing students using standardized measures will be trained and supervised by a school psychologist.
 - c. Bilingual testers will be used whenever feasible and must also meet the requirements set forth by the test publishers.
 - d. All staff involved with testing MLL students will be required to participate yearly in training regarding sensitivity to language and culture to allow for the best possible results from MLL students.

XI.C. ELIGIBILITY PROCEDURES FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. After testing has been concluded, the IEP Team will meet and determine whether or not the student is eligible for services, and in what category, as outlined in the USBE SER and IDEA.
2. The IEP Team should include all of the members as outlined in section III.E. of this manual. The ELD teacher/ALS coordinator who has knowledge of the student's language and culture and its impact on the evaluation should also be part of the IEP Team. A school psychologist will also be present to discuss the validity and reliability determinations on the report. If the team is aware of additional persons who may be able to speak to the impact of the student's language and culture, they can be invited to participate in the meeting.
3. The team will summarize all evaluation information on the Team Evaluation Summary Report and Written Prior Notice of Eligibility Determination form. The form used in the pre-referral process also becomes part of the information used to determine eligibility. In the evaluation summary, the following will be reported:
 - a. the linguistic and cultural background of the student and an analysis of the impact on the student's learning including, the student's WIDA score, ELD progress, the parent interview and language fluency in their first language and English;
 - b. how the above impacted the evaluation plan for the student and what measures were used and why and whether or not anything in the evaluation was altered to the extent that validity of results could be impacted;
 - c. any statements regarding the caution that should be used in the interpretation of results; and
 - d. other forms of data that support the interpretation of the result of the evaluation including curriculum based measures, RTI data, behavioral and academic observations.

XI.D. IEP DEVELOPMENT FOR MLL STUDENTS

1. IEP development for MLL students should follow the same guidelines as outlined in the USBE SER and in section III.I. of this Manual.
2. In addition to those guidelines, the following should also be in place:
 - a. The ALS coordinator/ELD teacher will be part of the IEP Team.

- b. In the Special Factors section of the IEP, the team should check the box indicating that the student has “special language needs because he/she is a Limited English Proficient student” and, after consideration of those needs during the IEP meeting, check the box that those needs will be addressed in the IEP. This documents that the team is aware and has considered the student’s language needs in the development of the IEP.
3. In the PLAAFP statement, the teacher will indicate that the student is an MLL student, list their WIDA score and state where the student will receive the ELD services, either within the special education setting or in the general education ELD setting. Every effort will be made for students to access the general education ELD services, however, individual IEP Teams will determine where the student would best access those services based on the documented nature of the student’s disability. All MLL students with disabilities will receive both ELD services and all special education and related aids and services they are eligible for. Student with disabilities’ ELD services will be provided by a properly credentialed ESL endorsed teacher regardless of where they are given.
4. A student’s language and culture must be considered when developing IEP goals and services to address a student’s disability.

XI.E. PLACEMENT FOR MLL STUDENTS

OC follows all guidelines for student placement as outlined in the USBE SER section III.R.

In addition to the guidelines in the USBE SER the following must be considered when IEP Teams are making placement decisions regarding MLL students.

1. All placement decisions will be made based on a variety of data, including the level of direct and related services required to meet the needs of the student, existing records, results of pre referral interventions, progress monitoring of current interventions and services, curriculum based measures, formal and informal measures, observations, and work samples.
2. IEP Teams will ensure that the analysis of the data collected to determine placement considers the impact of the student language proficiency and culture on the data being considered.

XII.B. APPENDIX B – EVALUATION AND ELIGIBILITY FOR SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY (“SLD”)

The Purpose of this section is to provide specific guidelines and procedures for determining the probability that a student has a specific learning disability using the SLD problem solving rubric. Teams who are referring MLL students for a possible SLD must also follow the procedures outlined in appendix one.

XII.A. SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITIES (SLD)

1. An SLD is a disorder in one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest itself in the imperfect ability to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematical calculations, including conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain injury, minimal brain dysfunction, dyslexia, and developmental aphasia, that affects a student’s educational performance.
2. SLD does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of visual, hearing, or motor disabilities; of intellectual disability; of emotional disturbance; or of environmental, cultural, or economic disadvantage.

XII.B. IDENTIFICATION OF AN SLD

1. If a teacher suspects that a student may have an SLD they should immediately secure an appointment to present the student at the school’s SSC.
2. OC SSC teams will adhere to USBE SER II.10 (a-c) for referral, initial evaluation and determining eligibility for SLD.
3. Schools shall utilize research-based interventions to address individual student difficulties. This involves the expectation that multi-tiered instruction and research-based supplemental and intensive interventions will be attempted on the targeted deficit skill prior to referral for a special education evaluation if the student is suspected of having an SLD.
4. Progress monitoring data shall be collected during the intervention period and shall indicate the intervention, note the frequency of the intervention (i.e. fidelity, consistency, intensity), and be graphed to show the student’s response to the intervention. SSCs are responsible for determining if the student has responded to the intervention, needs additional interventions or programs, or should be referred for a special education evaluation based on progress monitoring data.




5. When the school team has determined that a referral for evaluation for SLD is appropriate and the evaluation has begun, the special education resource teacher will collect data in the areas specified by the SLD problem-solving eligibility rubric.
6. The OC problem-solving rubric for SLD must be completed with a school psychologist.
 - a. The instructions for completing the rubric are outlined on the pages that follow.

XII.C. SLD PROBLEM-SOLVING ELIGIBILITY RUBRIC INSTRUCTIONS

1. The OC SLD Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric contains nine (9) items which will help teams determine whether a student meets criteria for a classification of SLD.
 - a. Data provided for the different items will inform the team whether the likelihood or probability of an SLD is **low, mild, moderate, or strong**.
 - b. The more items which receive ratings in the strong or moderate category, the more likely a student presents with an SLD.
 - c. Each item is discussed below and includes rationale, data required, and examples.
2. PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN for the student should be stated at the top of the Rubric.
 - a. It is critically important to keep this as your main focus, as many line items on the Rubric will depend on the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. For example, if the Rubric notes that the primary concern is in Basic Reading, then all the data need to address Basic Reading (not Reading Comprehension or Math Calculation).
 - b. Additional eligibility rubrics may be filled out for each different PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN, if needed.
 - a.) Doing so is not required in order to provide services in all areas of concern as addressed during the IEP process. Data for other areas of concern should be attached to the IEP if services in those areas are going to be provided.

XII.D. GUIDE

1. Benchmark Data																																																																																																																																										
Rationale	We collect benchmark data via DIBELS and Interim assessments for Language Arts and Math. Benchmark data is collected for the purpose of communicating expectations of learning, planning instruction, monitoring and evaluating learning, and predicting future performance.																																																																																																																																									
Data required	<p>Most recent DIBELS Composite or LA or Math Interim scores.</p> <p>Remember to select the benchmark data that best matches the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN (e.g., LA Interim score for Reading Comprehension, DIBELS for Basic Reading, etc.). This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”).</p>																																																																																																																																									
Examples	<p>Review the individual student data.</p> <p>DIBELS Composite Score: Green = At or Above Standard typically suggests that there is low probability of an SLD. High Yellow (use your professional judgment based on benchmark cut off scores) = Approaching grade level standard. The probability of an SLD is still mild. Low Yellow (use your professional judgment based on benchmark cut scores) = Below grade level benchmark. The probability of an SLD is moderate. Red = Well below grade level. The probability of an SLD is strong.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">ent ID</th> <th colspan="4">NWF</th> <th colspan="4">DORF</th> <th colspan="5">COMPOSITE</th> </tr> <tr> <th>CLS</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>WWR</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Words Correct</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>DORF Accuracy</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Retell</th> <th>Need for Support</th> <th>Retell Quality</th> <th>Score</th> <th>Need for Support</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td>58</td> <td></td> <td>13</td> <td></td> <td>47</td> <td></td> <td>90</td> <td></td> <td>15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>155</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>30</td> <td>42</td> <td>Intensive</td> <td>5</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>54</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>17</td> <td>Intensive</td> </tr> <tr> <td>60</td> <td>60</td> <td>Core</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>29</td> <td>1</td> <td>83</td> <td>2</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>116</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>66</td> <td>57</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>13</td> <td>3</td> <td>34</td> <td>2</td> <td>89</td> <td>2</td> <td>29</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>135</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>01</td> <td>56</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>18</td> <td>3</td> <td>35</td> <td>2</td> <td>88</td> <td>2</td> <td>19</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>140</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>33</td> <td>33</td> <td>Intensive</td> <td>10</td> <td>2</td> <td>51</td> <td>3</td> <td>91</td> <td>3</td> <td>15</td> <td>3</td> <td>2</td> <td>152</td> <td>Strategic</td> </tr> <tr> <td>87</td> <td>55</td> <td>Strategic</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>48</td> <td>3</td> <td>96</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>159</td> <td>Core</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>OR</p> <p>INTERIM SCORES: Green/Light Green = typically suggests that the probability of SLD is low Yellow = indicates that the probability of SLD is mild Orange = probability of SLD is likely moderate Red = probability of SLD is likely strong</p>													ent ID	NWF				DORF				COMPOSITE					CLS	Need for Support	WWR	Need for Support	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Retell	Need for Support	Retell Quality	Score	Need for Support		58		13		47		90		15			155		30	42	Intensive	5	1	7	1	54	1	0	2	1	17	Intensive	60	60	Core	15	3	29	1	83	2	15	3	3	116	Strategic	66	57	Strategic	13	3	34	2	89	2	29	3	4	135	Strategic	01	56	Strategic	18	3	35	2	88	2	19	3	1	140	Strategic	33	33	Intensive	10	2	51	3	91	3	15	3	2	152	Strategic	87	55	Strategic	9	2	48	3	96	3	9	2	1	159	Core
ent ID	NWF				DORF				COMPOSITE																																																																																																																																	
	CLS	Need for Support	WWR	Need for Support	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Retell	Need for Support	Retell Quality	Score	Need for Support																																																																																																																													
	58		13		47		90		15			155																																																																																																																														
30	42	Intensive	5	1	7	1	54	1	0	2	1	17	Intensive																																																																																																																													
60	60	Core	15	3	29	1	83	2	15	3	3	116	Strategic																																																																																																																													
66	57	Strategic	13	3	34	2	89	2	29	3	4	135	Strategic																																																																																																																													
01	56	Strategic	18	3	35	2	88	2	19	3	1	140	Strategic																																																																																																																													
33	33	Intensive	10	2	51	3	91	3	15	3	2	152	Strategic																																																																																																																													
87	55	Strategic	9	2	48	3	96	3	9	2	1	159	Core																																																																																																																													

Assessment	Date Taken	Correct / Possible	Performance Band	Percent Correct
SLCSD Interim 3 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Apr 7, 2015 12:04:00	16 / 23	Below Basic	69.57% 
SLCSD Interim 2 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Feb 27, 2015 12:02:00	12 / 18	Approaching Proficient	66.67% 
SLCSD Interim 1 2014-2015 MA Grade 1	Nov 3, 2014 12:11:00	3 / 14	Below Proficient	21.43% 

2. Benchmark/ Screener Class Peer Comparison	
Rationale	For purposes of determining if a student meets criteria for an SLD, it is important to rule out if lack of appropriate instruction is a primary factor . Collecting information on the academic performance of classroom peers informs us about appropriate classroom instruction.

<p>Data required</p>	<p>Obtain classroom benchmark data using either DIBELS or Interim Scores for LA or Math (via Illuminate). Remember to use the same benchmark data as in Item 1. This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”).</p>
<p>Examples</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If only 0-24% of peers are at-or-above benchmark this suggests that most class peers struggle, and we cannot confidently rule out lack of appropriate instruction, therefore, the probability of SLD is low. • If 25-55% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, many class peers are struggling, suggesting that lack of appropriate instruction may still be a factor and hence, the probability of SLD is mild. • If 56-74% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, only some class peers struggle, making lack of appropriate instruction less defensible, therefore, the probability of SLD becomes moderate. • If 75-100% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, very few class peers struggle, lack of appropriate instruction becomes very unlikely, and therefore, the probability of SLD is strong.
<p>Special Instructions</p>	<p>Instructions for determining the % for Class Peer comparison. Review the whole class scores to determine the Class peer comparison:</p> <p>If DIBELS is used in Item 1: Review the class report of DIBELS. To find the Class Peer Comparison: count the number of students in the Green and divide by the total number of students represented in the class DIBELS benchmark. For instance, if 18/23 students fall in the Green, this indicates that 78% of peers are at-or-above grade level benchmark, which in the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric suggests a strong probability of SLD for the referred student.</p>

ID#	CLS	NMF			DORF			COMPOSITE			
		Need for Support	WWR	Words Correct	Need for Support	DORF Accuracy	Need for Support	Rank	Need for Support	Rank Quality	Score
120	58	Interim	13	47	3	30	15	0	1	158	Interim
180	42	Core	5	7	1	24	0	2	1	118	Strategic
188	60	Core	15	29	1	32	2	15	3	125	Strategic
101	57	Strategic	12	34	2	39	2	29	3	140	Strategic
122	56	Strategic	18	35	2	35	2	19	3	152	Strategic
187	33	Interim	10	51	3	31	3	15	3	159	Core
129	55	Strategic	9	45	3	38	3	9	2	165	Core
177	57	Strategic	19	35	2	35	3	19	3	170	Core
198	42	Interim	14	55	3	25	3	25	3	170	Core
185	52	Core	26	41	2	31	3	35	3	196	Core
124	71	Core	25	53	3	38	3	21	3	205	Core
180	57	Core	24	54	3	38	3	12	2	220	Core
112	94	Core	33	55	3	38	3	0	2	228	Core
104	102	Core	31	71	3	38	3	20	3	247	Core
127	98	Core	33	76	3	100	3	30	3	260	Core
115	108	Core	37	81	3	100	3	25	3	267	Core
140	122	Core	36	92	3	97	3	45	3	267	Core
175	120	Core	47	85	3	99	3	39	3	267	Core
148	110	Core	36	82	3	99	3	5	2	268	Core
192	123	Core	40	102	3	99	3	45	3	268	Core
112	140	Core	50	94	3	99	3	32	3	269	Core
112	143	Core	50	94	3	100	3	30	3	269	Core
117	142	Core	49	104	3	98	3	35	3	281	Core
195	140	Core	45	144	3	100	3	52	3	245	Core

In the DIBELS benchmark example to the left, 18 students are “green” and have met benchmark criteria.

So 18 out of 23 students, or 78% of students, are “at or above benchmark” indicating that the likelihood of SLD for the referred student is **strong**.


If Math or LA Interims are used in Item 1: Refer to Assessments in Illuminate. Pick the most current Interim, filter the data to the classroom teacher. **Be careful to report the % Mastered and not the Average % Correct (average score for the class).**

SLCSD Interim 4 2014-2015 MA Grade 3

Overall Performance

Created by Assessments, Department Of Shared
 Scope: Interim
 Grade Levels: 3
 Subject Area: Mathematics
 # Questions: 28

Custom Reports Item bank Online Testing Shared Portal Amplify ALS
 Curriculum Associate Edusoft Versions Data Director



Summary





Avg. % Correct
34.0%

Students
20

% Not Mastered
95%

% Mastered
5%



3. Progress Monitoring Data				
Rationale	Progress Monitoring is a practice which helps teachers use student performance data to evaluate effectiveness of teaching and interventions.			
Data	Progress Monitoring Data presented and documented in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation (“Mint Form”) need to address the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. Keep in mind the consistency of the data points, the overall trend, and the rate of growth.			
Examples of Growth Rates	Low probability 	Mild probability 	Moderate probability 	Strong probability 

4. Intervention Tier Level to Attain Progress	
Rationale	A multi-tiered approach is used to effectively differentiate instruction for all students. Students who receive intensive, research based, and targeted interventions may or not may not respond which has implications for the identification of an SLD.
Data required	Using the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form (“Mint Form”), identify the most current targeted intervention addressing the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. What Tier and for what amount of supplemental time has the student received intervention? Who provided the intervention?
Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tier I (CORE instruction only) = If student only requires CORE instruction the probability of SLD is low. • Tier II (60 minutes weekly across multiple sessions) = If student required Tier II intervention, but received relatively intensive intervention, the probability of SLD is mild. • Tier III (90 minutes or more weekly across multiple sessions) = If student received intensive intervention in what would constitute Tier III and did not make adequate progress, the probability of a SLD is strong.

5. SAGE data or District End of Level Assessment	
Rationale	Summative assessments such as SAGE are used to evaluate student learning at the end of an instructional year. These measures are used to evaluate student growth and compare against district-wide standards.
Data required	Note the student's proficiency level on the most recent SAGE (grade 3 and up) or District End of Level assessment (K-2). This information should be available in the Initial Existing Data Review Documentation form ("Mint Form").
Example	Student received a Proficient (3) score on his Language Arts SAGE. Language Arts was selected because the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is Basic Reading. Being proficient in language arts suggests that the probability of SLD is low .

6. Achievement Standardized Test Score	
Rationale	Standardized Achievement tests allow us to compare a student's performance with same age peers in the areas of Reading, Math and Writing.
Data required	Review the Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Score Report. Write down on the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric the Standard Score that addresses the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN under the appropriate column.
Special Instructions	The WJ-IV Achievement Cluster score must match the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN. For example: Use BASIC READING SKILLS cluster score= Basic reading concerns READING COMPREHENSION cluster score= Reading comprehension concerns MATH CALCULATION SKILLS cluster score= Basic math concerns MATH PROBLEM SOLVING cluster score =Math application or reasoning concerns
Example	Teacher reported that student has difficulty with sight words and putting sounds together to make simple VC words. This means that the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is in basic reading, therefore, you should use the BASIC READING cluster score from the WJ-IV Achievement.
Special Instructions	If PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is only in the area of Reading Fluency, contact your school psychologist or consultant.

7. Relative Proficiency Index (RPI)
--

Rationale	RPI describes a student's mastery of age or grade-level academic material. RPI is a more sensitive measure of student academic progress than Standard Scores. For example, an RPI of 45/90 indicates that a student is 45% proficient with age-level reading tasks when compared to the expectation of 90% success or proficiency in the same domain.
Data required	Review the Woodcock Johnson IV Tests of Achievement Score Report. Find the RPI score. Mark on the Problem Solving Eligibility Rubric the RPI score that addresses the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN under the appropriate column.
Examples	Student RPI score for Basic Reading is 28/90. The Rubric indicates that such a score is suggestive of moderate probability of SLD.
Special Instructions	If PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN is only in the area of Reading Fluency, contact your school psychologist or consultant.

8. Pattern of Cognitive Strengths and Weaknesses

Rationale	The federal definition of an SLD includes a disorder in "one or more of the basic psychological processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written." A process called Pattern of Strengths and Weaknesses (PSW) will be used to identify a student's cognitive profile and how it relates to the Primary Area of Concern.																																								
Data required	Pattern of Strengths and Weakness Matrix (will be provided to you) Cognitive and Achievement scores																																								
Examples	<p style="text-align: center;">PATTERN OF STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES (PSW) MATRIX Cattell- Horn-Carroll (CHC) Classification of Cognitive Ability Tests Academic Areas Impacted by CHC Factors</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>CHC Factor</th> <th>Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge</th> <th>Gf Fluid Reasoning</th> <th>Glr Long-Term Retrieval</th> <th>Gsm Short-Term Memory</th> <th>Gv Visual Processing</th> <th>Gs Processing Speed</th> <th>Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>ACH Area</td> <td>Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Rndg Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Calc</td> <td>Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression</td> <td>Math P Solving</td> <td>Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Math Calc W Expression</td> <td>Basic Rndg</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WJ-IV</td> <td>COMP/ KNOWLEDGE</td> <td>FLUID REASONING W SS=76</td> <td>LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL</td> <td>SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY</td> <td>VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90</td> <td>COG PROCESSING SPEED</td> <td>AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WNV</td> <td>--</td> <td>Matrices</td> <td>--</td> <td>Recognition</td> <td>Obj Assembly</td> <td>Coding</td> <td>--</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WISC-V</td> <td>VERBAL COMPREHENSION</td> <td>FLUID REASONING</td> <td>--</td> <td>WORKING MEMORY</td> <td>VISUAL SPATIAL</td> <td>PROCESSING SPEED</td> <td>--</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Name: <u>Jane Jones</u> PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN: <u>Basic math facts, math calculation</u></p> <p>Normative Strength= SS=90 or above, T-score=43 or above Normative Weakness=SS=80 or below, T-score=37 or below (If no normative weaknesses are found, contact your school psychologist for identification of possible relative weaknesses.)</p> <p>Identify 2 Cognitive Strengths: 1. <u>Aud. Processing SS = 104</u> 2. <u>Visual-Spatial Thinking SS = 90</u></p> <p>Identify 1 Cognitive Weakness: <u>Fluid Reasoning SS = 76</u></p> <p>Identify 1 Academic Weakness: <u>Math Calculation Skills SS = 73</u></p> <p>Is the Cognitive Weakness associated to the Academic Weakness? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Is your identified Academic Weakness the same as your PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> If yes, mark Item 7 on SLD Eligibility Rubric If not, you may want to complete another SLD Eligibility Rubric based on the Academic Weakness you identified.</p> <p>AH/2015</p>	CHC Factor	Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge	Gf Fluid Reasoning	Glr Long-Term Retrieval	Gsm Short-Term Memory	Gv Visual Processing	Gs Processing Speed	Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness	ACH Area	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math P Solving W Expression	Rndg Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Calc	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression	Math P Solving	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Math Calc W Expression	Basic Rndg	WJ-IV	COMP/ KNOWLEDGE	FLUID REASONING W SS=76	LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL	SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90	COG PROCESSING SPEED	AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104	WNV	--	Matrices	--	Recognition	Obj Assembly	Coding	--	WISC-V	VERBAL COMPREHENSION	FLUID REASONING	--	WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL SPATIAL	PROCESSING SPEED	--
CHC Factor	Ge Language, Comp, Knowledge	Gf Fluid Reasoning	Glr Long-Term Retrieval	Gsm Short-Term Memory	Gv Visual Processing	Gs Processing Speed	Ga Auditory Processing/ Phonological Awareness																																		
ACH Area	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math P Solving W Expression	Rndg Comp W Math Calc 73 Math P Solving W Expression	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Calc	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Rndg Comp Math Cal Math P Solving W Expression	Math P Solving	Basic Rndg Rndg Fluency Math Calc W Expression	Basic Rndg																																		
WJ-IV	COMP/ KNOWLEDGE	FLUID REASONING W SS=76	LONG-TERM RETRIEVAL	SHORT-TERM WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL PROCESSING SS=90	COG PROCESSING SPEED	AUDITORY PROCESSING SS=104																																		
WNV	--	Matrices	--	Recognition	Obj Assembly	Coding	--																																		
WISC-V	VERBAL COMPREHENSION	FLUID REASONING	--	WORKING MEMORY	VISUAL SPATIAL	PROCESSING SPEED	--																																		

Special Instructions	<p>Fill out the attached Worksheet titled "Pattern of Strengths and Weakness (PSW)."</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify 2 Cognitive Strengths based on the cognitive measure 2. Identify 1 Cognitive Weakness 3. Look for a match between the Cognitive Weakness and the PRIMARY AREA OF ACADEMIC CONCERN. <p>*Consult your school psychologist or consultant with questions/concerns.</p>
----------------------	---

9. Discrepancy	
Rationale	In a true SLD the student's academic performance is substantially or significantly below what would be expected given his obtained intellectual ability score.
Data required	SLD Discrepancy Calculation Formula

<p>Examples</p>	<p>Student Name: _____ Date: _____</p> <p>Ability Test: WJ IV GIA Achievement Test: WJ IV - Basic Reading Skills</p> <p>Achievement Area:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basic Reading Skill <input type="checkbox"/> Written Expression <input type="checkbox"/> Reading Comprehension <input type="checkbox"/> Oral Expression <input type="checkbox"/> Math Calculation Skills <input type="checkbox"/> Listening Comprehension <input type="checkbox"/> Math Problem Solving <input type="checkbox"/> Reading Fluency</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Estimated correlation between tests</td> <td>0.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enter Achievement Score</td> <td>73</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Enter Ability score</td> <td>85</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Expected Achievement</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)</td> <td>11.015</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Difference Required at .05 level</td> <td>15.34</td> </tr> </table> <p>Achievement Test Cut Score <input type="text" value="74"/> <small>mean 100, SD 15, rounded to the nearest integer</small></p> <p>Significant Discrepancy <input type="text" value="YES"/></p> <p><small>State and federal special education rules and regulations require eligibility teams, which include parents, to consider a comprehensive evaluation before determining eligibility for special education and related services. Determining if the difference between a student's intelligence and achievement scores represents a severe discrepancy is one component of a comprehensive evaluation often considered when deciding if a student has a specific learning disability.</small></p>	Estimated correlation between tests	0.68	Enter Achievement Score	73	Enter Ability score	85	Expected Achievement	90	Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)	11.015	Difference Required at .05 level	15.34
Estimated correlation between tests	0.68												
Enter Achievement Score	73												
Enter Ability score	85												
Expected Achievement	90												
Standard Error of the Estimate (SEe)	11.015												
Difference Required at .05 level	15.34												
<p>Special Instructions</p>	<p>Using SLD Discrepancy Calculation Excel file, enter Achievement score in the PRIMARY AREA OF CONCERN and the student's Intellectual Ability score. The program will determine if the difference between Achievement and Ability constitutes a significant discrepancy.</p>												