

<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>POOR Score of 0</b>	<b>AVERAGE Score of 1</b>	<b>GOOD Score of 2</b>	<b>EXCELLENT Score of 3</b>
Evidence of successful progress towards meeting goals with prior SRS funding.	No evidence of successful progress towards goals.	Progress towards goals reported but not evidence based.	Evidence based progress demonstrates a portion of goals met.	Evidence based progress demonstrates all goals met and/or goals not met are substantiated by factors beyond their control.
Impact to Students/Community	Grant project has no real impact on ECS students/families in the community.	Potential for impact on ECS students/families in community.	Grant project has a direct impact on ECS student/families in community. Needs further clarity and/or planning.	Grant project has a strong impact on ECS students and their families. Making a difference, meeting goals,
# of people served In relation to the entire number of people served from the field of grant applicants	0-25%	25-50%	50-75%	75-100%
Relationship to ECS strategic vision/goals relation to County	No relationship between grant project and ECS strategic vision, district requirement, or need.	Grant project is related to ECS strategic vision, district requirement or need.	Grant project elements align with either ECS strategic vision/goals, district requirement or need, or its greater community, but not both.	Grant project outcomes or activities align with both ECS organization vision/goals, district requirement or need and that or the greater community.
Feasibility	Insufficient information about personnel, project activities timeline, or budget expenditures to gauge feasibility. No measurable outcomes.	Project information on personnel, timeline, or budget expose weaknesses in plan design. Outcomes unlikely to be achieved in project's current form.	Deficiencies or overestimates exist in personnel, timeline, or budget. Measurable outcomes appear achievable despite gaps.	Personnel, project timeline, budget are all congruent with project description and measurable outcomes in current community climate.
Sustainability	No meaningful plans for future beyond funding term.	Plans for future are stated as assumptions without clear supporting evidence.	Project is temporary, designed to end when SRS funds end. Some evidence on effort to secure funds beyond grant period.	Evidence presented that project or its impact can be sustained locally beyond grant period.