

RFP #21-004 EVALUATION CRITERIA
In-Bus Digital Recording System

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting =	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	7.2	8.6	9.0	9.2	87.0
Fortress	60.0	9.2	7.6	8.8	7.0	92.6
REI	57.0	7.2	8.6	8.4	9.2	90.4
Safety Vision	56.0	6.6	6.0	8.2	9.4	86.2

Combined

Evaluation Team: _____

5 Number of Evaluators

_____ Evaluator 1

_____ Evaluator 4

_____ Evaluator 2

_____ Evaluator 5

_____ Evaluator 3

_____ Evaluator 6

9/30/2020
Date

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting = _____	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	7.0	8.0	9.0	10.0	87.0
Fortress	60.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	7.0	93.0
REI	57.0	7.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	91.0
Safety Vision	56.0	6.0	7.0	9.0	10.0	88.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5 Number of Evaluators

Evaluator 2

9/30/2020
Date

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting =	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	7.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	88.0
Fortress	60.0	10.0	7.0	9.0	6.0	92.0
REI	57.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	96.0
Safety Vision	56.0	7.0	9.0	10.0	10.0	92.0

Evaluation Team:

5 Number of Evaluators

[Redacted]
Evaluator 3


9/30/2020
Date

RFP #21-004 EVALUATION CRITERIA

	TECHNICAL CRITERIA					
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting =	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	7.0	7.0	7.0	8.0	82.0
Fortress	60.0	9.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	95.0
REI	57.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	81.0
Safety Vision	56.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	79.0

Evaluation Team: _____


5 Number of Evaluators

 _____
Evaluator 1

9/30/2020
Date

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting = _____	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	8.0	89.0
Fortress	60.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	7.0	95.0
REI	57.0	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	95.0
Safety Vision	56.0	8.0	6.0	10.0	10.0	90.0

Evaluation Team: _____ 5 _____ Number of Evaluators

 _____
Evaluators

9/30/2020
Date

	TECHNICAL CRITERIA					
Firm	Cost	Operation costs that the District would incur if the bid is accepted	Quality of the product or service, or it technical competency	Reliability of delivery and implementation schedules	Vendor financial stability	TOTALS
Weighting =	60%	10%	10%	10%	10%	100%
Angel Trax	53.0	7.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	89.0
Fortress	60.0	9.0	6.0	7.0	6.0	88.0
REI	57.0	7.0	8.0	7.0	10.0	89.0
Safety Vision	56.0	7.0	3.0	6.0	10.0	82.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5 **Number of Evaluators**

Evaluator 5

9/9/2020
Date