

RFP #21-002 CM@ Risk
Evaluation Criteria

Firm	TECHNICAL CRITERIA					TOTALS
	Relevant Experience and Quality of Contractor	Relevant Experience and Quality of Proposed Contractor Team	Demonstrated Approach to Project Pre-Construction Design Phase and Scope of Services	Preconstruction Phase Services Fees	Construction Phase Service Fee Plus Cost of Performance and Payment Bonds	
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	13.0	12.8	10.8	2.0	9.0	47.6
Balfour Beatty	15.4	15.8	11.4	5.0	12.0	59.6
CPPI	13.4	12.2	8.8	2.0	7.0	43.4
Contract Construction	22.2	21.4	15.4	2.0	8.0	69.0
Edcon	20.4	20.0	16.2	4.0	7.0	67.6
Edifice	16.0	15.2	8.6	4.0	8.0	51.8
Harper	16.2	16.6	9.6	5.0	8.2	55.6
H G Reynolds	22.4	21.0	15.4	3.0	7.0	68.8
JE Dunn	23.0	22.0	16.2	3.0	9.0	73.2
M.B. Kahn	24.2	23.8	17.4	10.0	9.0	73.2
Mashburn	15.4	14.6	10.0	5.0	9.0	54.0
Poettker Southeast	11.8	11.8	9.0	3.0	9.0	44.6
Rodgers	12.6	12.8	8.8	2.0	9.0	44.6
Roy Anderson	11.6	11.2	8.8	5.0	7.0	45.2
SAMET	11.4	10.8	11.0	6.0	9.0	48.2
Thompson Turner	24.2	24.4	17.6	3.0	8.0	77.2
Whiting Turner	14.0	13.6	13.4	6.0	20.0	67.0
Vannoy	13.2	11.8	9.2	4.0	10.0	48.2

Combined

Evaluation Team:

5 Number of Evaluators

Evaluator 1

Evaluator 4

Evaluator 2

Evaluator 5

Evaluator 3

Evaluator 6

8/27/2020
Date

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Relevant Experience and Quality of Contractor	Relevant Experience and Quality of Proposed Contractor Team	Demonstrated Approach to Project Pre-Construction Design Phase and Scope of Services	Preconstruction Phase Services Fees	Construction Phase Service Fee Plus Cost of Performance and Payment Bonds	TOTALS
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	10.0	10.0	15.0	2.0	9.0	46.0
Balfour Beatty	23.0	23.0	15.0	5.0	12.0	78.0
CPPI	20.0	20.0	12.0	2.0	7.0	61.0
Contract Construction	23.0	25.0	18.0	2.0	8.0	76.0
Edcon	24.0	23.0	23.0	4.0	7.0	81.0
Edifice	20.0	18.0	7.0	4.0	8.0	57.0
Harper	18.0	20.0	7.0	5.0	8.0	58.0
H G Reynolds	20.0	20.0	16.0	3.0	7.0	66.0
JE Dunn	24.0	25.0	18.0	3.0	9.0	79.0
M.B. Kahn	24.0	24.0	18.0	10.0	9.0	85.0
Mashburn	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	44.0
Poettker Southeast	10.0	10.0	10.0	3.0	9.0	42.0
Rodgers	15.0	15.0	12.0	2.0	9.0	53.0
Roy Anderson	15.0	15.0	15.0	5.0	7.0	57.0
SAMET	10.0	10.0	10.0	6.0	9.0	45.0
Thompson Turner	25.0	25.0	20.0	3.0	8.0	81.0
Whiting Turner	10.0	10.0	15.0	6.0	20.0	61.0
Vannoy	10.0	10.0	15.0	4.0	10.0	49.0

Evaluation Team: _____ 5 Number of Evaluators

Celeste LaVan _____ 7/8/2020

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	References	Best Interest of the School District	Special Experience, technical capabilities, professional competence and qualifications of the Offeror and the proposed personnel assigned to the project	Clearly demonstrated understanding of the work to be performed and completeness and reasonableness of the proposing Offeror's plan for providing the services required and the ability to comply with reporting and management of the services	Cost of Proposal	TOTALS
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	19.0	19.0	15.0	2.0	9.0	64.0
Balfour Beatty	18.0	18.0	13.0	5.0	12.0	66.0
CPPI	12.0	12.0	12.0	2.0	7.0	45.0
Contract Construction	22.0	22.0	17.0	2.0	8.0	71.0
Edcon	22.0	22.0	17.0	4.0	7.0	72.0
Edifice	19.0	19.0	12.0	4.0	8.0	62.0
Harper	20.0	20.0	12.0	5.0	9.0	66.0
H G Reynolds	24.0	24.0	19.0	3.0	7.0	77.0
JE Dunn	21.0	21.0	15.0	3.0	9.0	69.0
M.B. Kahn	25.0	25.0	20.0	10.0	9.0	89.0
Mashburn	18.0	18.0	14.0	5.0	9.0	64.0
Poettker Southeast	19.0	19.0	16.0	3.0	9.0	66.0
Rodgers	18.0	19.0	15.0	2.0	9.0	63.0
Roy Anderson	16.0	16.0	14.0	5.0	7.0	58.0
SAMET	16.0	16.0	14.0	6.0	9.0	61.0
Thompson Turner	24.0	25.0	19.0	3.0	8.0	79.0
Whiting Turner	17.0	18.0	17.0	6.0	20.0	78.0
Vannoy	19.0	19.0	12.0	4.0	10.0	64.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5 Number of Evaluators

Alexander Marshall _____

7/8/2020

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Relevant Experience and Quality of Contractor	Relevant Experience and Quality of Proposed Contractor Team	Demonstrated Approach to Project Pre-Construction Design Phase and Scope of Services	Preconstruction Phase Services Fees	Construction Phase Service Fee Plus Cost of Performance and Payment Bonds	TOTALS
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	10.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	9.0	41.0
Balfour Beatty	5.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	12.0	42.0
CPPI	15.0	10.0	10.0	2.0	7.0	44.0
Contract Construction	25.0	20.0	15.0	2.0	8.0	70.0
Edcon	20.0	20.0	15.0	4.0	7.0	66.0
Edifice	10.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	8.0	42.0
Harper	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	43.0
H G Reynolds	25.0	20.0	15.0	3.0	7.0	70.0
JE Dunn	25.0	20.0	15.0	3.0	9.0	72.0
M.B. Kahn	25.0	25.0	20.0	10.0	9.0	89.0
Mashburn	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	44.0
Poettker Southeast	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	9.0	27.0
Rodgers	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	9.0	26.0
Roy Anderson	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	7.0	27.0
SAMET	5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	9.0	30.0
Thompson Turner	25.0	25.0	15.0	3.0	8.0	76.0
Whiting Turner	5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0	20.0	41.0
Vannoy	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	29.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5

Number of Evaluators

Chad Cox _____

8/28/2020

Firm	TECHNICAL CRITERIA					TOTALS
	Relevant Experience and Quality of Contractor	Relevant Experience and Quality of Proposed Contractor Team	Demonstrated Approach to Project Pre-Construction Design Phase and Scope of Services	Preconstruction Phase Services Fees	Construction Phase Service Fee Plus Cost of Performance and Payment Bonds	
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	10.0	10.0	5.0	2.0	9.0	36.0
Balfour Beatty	9.0	8.0	10.0	5.0	12.0	44.0
CPPI	5.0	5.0	3.0	2.0	7.0	22.0
Contract Construction	23.0	24.0	18.0	2.0	8.0	75.0
Edcon	18.0	18.0	18.0	4.0	7.0	65.0
Edifice	12.0	8.0	5.0	4.0	8.0	37.0
Harper	10.0	10.0	4.0	5.0	8.0	37.0
H G Reynolds	24.0	24.0	18.0	3.0	7.0	76.0
JE Dunn	22.0	23.0	18.0	3.0	9.0	75.0
M.B. Kahn	24.0	24.0	15.0	10.0	9.0	82.0
Mashburn	20.0	15.0	5.0	5.0	9.0	54.0
Poettker Southeast	10.0	10.0	5.0	3.0	9.0	37.0
Rodgers	8.0	8.0	3.0	2.0	9.0	30.0
Roy Anderson	5.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	7.0	24.0
SAMET	8.0	8.0	19.0	6.0	9.0	50.0
Thompson Turner	24.0	24.0	19.0	3.0	8.0	78.0
Whiting Turner	15.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	20.0	71.0
Vannoy	10.0	10.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	39.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5 Number of Evaluators

Louie Ackerman

8/27/2020

TECHNICAL CRITERIA						
Firm	Relevant Experience and Quality of Contractor	Relevant Experience and Quality of Proposed Contractor Team	Demonstrated Approach to Project Pre-Construction Design Phase and Scope of Services	Preconstruction Phase Services Fees	Construction Phase Service Fee Plus Cost of Performance and Payment Bonds	TOTALS
Weighting =	25%	25%	20%	10%	20%	
Ajax Building	16.0	15.0	9.0	2.0	9.0	51.0
Balfour Beatty	22.0	20.0	9.0	5.0	12.0	68.0
CPPI	15.0	14.0	7.0	2.0	7.0	45.0
Contract Construction	18.0	16.0	9.0	2.0	8.0	53.0
Edcon	18.0	17.0	8.0	4.0	7.0	54.0
Edifice	19.0	21.0	9.0	4.0	8.0	61.0
Harper	23.0	23.0	15.0	5.0	8.0	74.0
H G Reynolds	19.0	17.0	9.0	3.0	7.0	55.0
JE Dunn	23.0	21.0	15.0	3.0	9.0	71.0
M.B. Kahn	23.0	21.0	14.0	10.0	9.0	77.0
Mashburn	19.0	20.0	11.0	5.0	9.0	64.0
Poettker Southeast	15.0	15.0	9.0	3.0	9.0	51.0
Rodgers	17.0	17.0	9.0	2.0	9.0	54.0
Roy Anderson	17.0	15.0	8.0	5.0	7.0	52.0
SAMET	18.0	15.0	7.0	6.0	9.0	55.0
Thompson Turner	23.0	23.0	15.0	3.0	8.0	72.0
Whiting Turner	23.0	20.0	15.0	6.0	20.0	84.0
Vannoy	22.0	15.0	9.0	4.0	10.0	60.0

Evaluation Team: _____

5

Number of Evaluators

Jeff Buckalew _____

8/27/2020 _____