

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Paul Simpson	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Please do not allow Classical Academy to have discriminatory admission policies.
James Seever	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Classical Academy campuses are not enrolling students in a manner representative of the communities' population.
Gordon Walker	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Please require them to serve the same population as the public schools. It is so sad they are trying to cherry pick and still expecting us taxpayers to fund their discriminatory practices. Do better!
Lisa Magaña	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	I am extremely disappointed that the enrollment does not reflect the community. This seems highly discriminative again low SEL and minority students.
Debra Ihrig	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Toki Hughes	Agenda 1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Classical Academy has unfair admission practices that do not reflect the student population in the area.
Reves Childress	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Lorraine Castanon	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Cynthia Patterson	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Larry White	18	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Kyle Phillips	Agenda 1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Jonathan Schoon	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Janet Isherwood	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The discrepancy between the admissions and greater community this school needs to be addressed.
Beatriz Aldana	1B. Classical Academy (Vista, CA)	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Anabel De La Parra	1-b	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	As an educator in North County San Diego, it is imperative that Classical Academy begin to serve students from all backgrounds in their schools! They should not be allowed to continue to pick and choose which students that they serve. The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Andrea Boggia	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Suzanne Brunn	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	As a public school teacher in North County, I think it is discriminatory for Classical to be able to select students for admissions in a way that does not reflect the districts population.
Sonya Shaffer	Agenda 1B- Charter School: Classical Academy	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Beatriz Aldana	1B Classical Academy (Vista, CA)	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes.
Pamela Hernandez	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Beatriz Aldana	1B Classical Academy (Vista, CA)	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools.

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Lorena Reynoso	Agenda Item 1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Erin Clark	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Beatriz Aldana	1B Classical Academy (Vista, CA)	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Kelly Vega	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Classical Academies have discriminatory practices. They seem to be prejudiced against people of color.
Alana Thomas	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white.
Vidhya Kumar	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes.
Ann Wade	Classical Academy	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	Classical Academy has very, very discriminatory admissions - few black, brown, or any other kids of color. Very few with English language concerns. Do they have ANY kids on IEPs? It is terrible to only have rich, white, EO students and even consider that this represents the community! This is basic segregation and hurts those kids enrolled and those they reject as 'not good enough' which should have been eliminated decades ago when Separate but Equal was dumped in the trash as inherently UNEQUAL!

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Cindy Krier	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	I have long witnessed the discriminatory enrollment outcomes at many of the charter schools in San Diego County, especially The Classical Academies chain. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different from Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. How is this being allowed?
Lorrin Hernandez	1B	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	This charter was renewed in February of 2021, and they were given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan on how address the discrimination. Under this timeline, they do not have to change how they recruit and enroll students until 2023, which means it will only impact the 2023-2024 school year. That is more than half way through the 5 year renewal.
Kisha Borden	Item 1b - Public Comment for Closed Session Item Only (Classical Academy Vista)	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	I am commenting on the Closed Session item, which I assume is related to the Classical Academy Vista's discriminatory enrollment since Board Member Robinson requested this be placed on the next agenda at the last Board meeting, and it is not found elsewhere on the agenda. The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista's enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. The Board took unanimous action to address this issue when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. The MOU written by the staff of SDCOE did not include the clear action required by the Board - this is inexcusable. When the staff made a decision not to implement the Board's actions, they dismissed the authority of both the Board and the voters. The Board members were elected to take these kinds of important and necessary actions to root out discrimination, and the staff undermined the interest of the public in ignoring Board action. The state legislature strengthened laws relating to discrimination in the charter sector, and this Board took important action to help prevent discrimination. It is the responsibility of the staff of SDCOE to ensure that this critical work of the Board is implemented. If the charter school opposes the action of the board, they have the right to appeal to the State Board, but the staff should not block the Board from taking action within their authority.
Deborah Seever	1B - Classical Academy Vista Discriminatory Enrollment	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	It has long been known that Classical Academies in North County have discriminatory enrollment policies. In Vista specifically, Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. California Education Code give the Board the authority to deny enrollment preferences for charter schools. I encourage the board to use this authority to address the ongoing discrimination.

Name/Nombre:	Please note the agenda item number and topic: Anotar el número de artículo de la agenda y el tema:	Do you oppose or approve of this agenda item? ¿Se opone o está a favor de este punto de la agenda?	Would you like to address the Board through live public-comment? If so, please check the "Live Comment" choice below. If you prefer to address the Board through written public-comment, please chec...	Please add your comment here/ Favor de agregar su comentario aquí:
Clare Crawford	1b - Public Comment for Closed Session Items Only	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	<p>I am commenting on this item assuming that this relates to Board Member Robinson’s request to address the Classical Academy MOU at this meeting, since the issue is not elsewhere on the agenda. The Classical Academies chain in North County has had discriminatory enrollment outcomes at all of their schools for the years and years that the chain has existed. Classical Academy Vista’s enrollment is dramatically different than Vista Unified, where it is located: Vista Unified is 70% socioeconomically disadvantaged students, while Classical is only 22%. Vista Unified is 17% English Learners, while Classical is less than half a percent (0.4%) English Learner. Classical Academy is only 15% Latinx and over 70% white in a district that is 66% Latinx and only 23% white. California Education Code protect students and potential students of charter schools from discrimination. Section 47605(e)(2)(B)(iii) makes clear that a charter school’s admissions preferences shall not result in limiting enrollment access for pupils based on ethnicity, or for students who are economically disadvantaged, English learners, homeless or foster youth. It was encouraging to see this Board take decisive action to ensure compliance with the law when they required the school to eliminate their enrollment preferences for siblings and children of staff. These kinds of legacy admissions perpetuate discriminatory outcomes. California Education Code section 47605(e) gives the Board this authority, stating that “Preferences, including, but not limited to, siblings of pupils admitted or attending the charter school and children of the charter school’s teachers, staff, and founders identified in the initial charter, may also be permitted by the chartering authority on an individual charter school basis.” In excluding the action required by the Board from the MOU, the staff of SDCOE dismissed the authority of both the Board and the voters who elected them. The conditions created by the staff in the MOU also has a very slow timeline to require any action from CAV. While the renewal was decided on in February of 2021, the school was given until July of 2022, a year and a half, just to write a plan to address the discrimination. Further, the impact of any required changes would not be seen until the 2023-2024 school year. It is hard to understand how this MOU demonstrates a serious attempt to address the issues that the Board unanimously took action to address.</p>
Satomi Rash-Zeigler	1b	Oppose/Opone	Written Comment/Comentario escrito	<p>On behalf of the over 200,000 working families represented by the San Diego and Imperial Counties Labor Council, we are outraged at the actions of the staff. The MOU written by the staff of SDCOE did not include the clear action required by the Board – this act of defiance is inexcusable. When the staff decided not to implement the Board’s actions, they not only dismissed the authority of both the Board and the voters who elected them but also blatantly undermined the interest of the public. These actions directly harm our students and communities by failing to address the discrimination issues within the Classical Academies chain. The Board has a responsibility to address and take corrective action against discrimination in our schools and the staff is essentially preventing the Board from fulfilling their obligations. This is unacceptable and we are calling for corrective action to be taken immediately.</p>