

# Student Learning Objective (2017-18)

Teacher Name: Anthony Heiter	Contract Level: ☐ Induction ☒ Ar	unual Continuina	
School Name: Hilton Head IB	ADEPT Process:	inual   Continuing	
Principal/Evaluator: Sarah Owen		tion II	
Timespan Evaluator. Sarah Owen	☐ Formal/Summative ☐ Renew		
Grade Level(s)/Subject:5/Math,	Collaborative SLO Team Members (i		
Science	enter text.	applicable). Click of tap fiere to	
Content Area for SLO (APS 6):	SLO Type:	SLO Approach:	
Basic addition facts for written	☐ Individual	⊠ Class	
fluency	☐ Collaborative (APS 10)	□ Course	
Choose the one that applies:	☐ This SLO serves as the	☐ This SLO serves as one of	
	Professional Growth and	multiple goals of the Professional	
	Development Plan	Growth and Development Plan	
SLO Objective Statement: (Learning G	oal). Students will be able to demonstrate wri	tten fluency of basic addition facts (0-10)	
in their elementary classroom level and b	eyond. Focus on basic fact fluency was chos-	en because the number sense and base ten	
domain in mathematics is trending as a pos	ssible weakness on the Measurement of Acade	emic Progress, MAP. Math fact fluency is	
the ability to accurately and quickly recall	basic addition, subtraction, multiplication, an	nd division. Students who possess fluency	
can recall facts with automaticity, which r	neans they typically think no longer than two	seconds before responding with the correct	
nerforming a mental algorithm Students v	automaticity is evident when a student solve who recall their basic facts accurately and qui	s a problem faster through recall than	
available to learn more complex tasks or o	omplete advanced problems when they respo	nd because it takes less cognitive effort	
The ability to quickly recall math facts les	sens students' anxiety and increases their con	ifidence to engage in more challenging	
math tasks. Engagement in these challeng	ing tasks is likely to lead to increased skill de	velopment. The assessment data, as well	
as, teacher instruction in the classroom co	oupled with math fact strategies and comput	er based resources with "hands on"	
	idents to meet the South Carolina College ar		
and Mathematical Process Standards. Additionally noted is that students will be able to demonstrate this math fluency when			
spoken to in verbal or written response in Spanish and English as a result of the duplicity of Spanish and English instruction given within the current context of the dual language program offered at Hilton Head Island Elementary School to this particular first			
grade class.	guage program offered at Hilton Head Island	Elementary School to this particular first	
Assessment Window Dates:	Pre-Assessment Date: September	Post-Assessment Date: May 2018	
(APS 3) Click or tap here to enter text.	2017	1 ost 11ssessment Dute. Way 2010	
SLO Interval of Instruction (APS 5):	Beginning Instruction Date: August	<b>Ending Instruction Date: May 2018</b>	
⊠ Year	15, 2017	g	
☐ Semester			
☐ Quarter			
Conferences	Dates	Teacher and Administrator	
		Signatures	
Preliminary Conference	9 22 17	Teacher:	
N. 1	1-22-11	Administrator Charles	
Mid-course Conference	12 / 17	Teacher:	
G	16-6-17	Administrator:	
Summative Conference	5/7/10	Teacher:	
SLO Rating		Administrator: ferallycz	
DIO Nating	Percentage of	Aftainment	
		y Scoring Rubric) - "	
Exemplary	86% - 100% of students met or exceeded		
Proficient	70% - 85% of students met or exceeded their growth goal		
T I OHOLOHO	40% - 69% of students met or exceeded their growth goal		
Needs Improvement			
		their growth goal	
Needs Improvement Unsatisfactory	40% - 69% of students met or exceeded Fewer than 40% of students met or exce	their growth goal ceded their growth goal	
Needs Improvement	40% - 69% of students met or exceeded	their growth goal ceded their growth goal onal practice, goal setting, or your	



# Student Learning Objective (2016-17)

Teacher Name: Anthony Heiter	Contract Level:   Induction   A	nual 🛛 Continuing		
School Name: Hilton Head Island	ADEPT Process:			
Elementary - IB	☐ Induction I ☐ Induc	ction II		
Principal/Evaluator:Click or tap	☐ Formal/Summative ☐ Renev	wal 🛛 SLO		
here to enter text.				
Grade Level(s)/Subject: first grade	Collaborative SLO Team Members (	if applicable): Click or tap here to		
	enter text.	,		
Content Area for SLO (APS 6):	SLO Type:	SLO Approach:		
ELA	☐ Individual	⊠ Class		
	☐ Collaborative (APS 10)	□ Course		
Choose the one that applies:	☐ This SLO serves as the	☐ This SLO serves as <i>one</i> of		
	Professional Growth and	multiple goals of the Professional		
	Development Plan	Growth and Development Plan		
SLO Objective Statement: (Learnin	g Goal) – All students will write an opinion	niece that will include evidence of		
growth as outlined in the opinion writin	g rubric. The goal for all students will be o	ne year of growth.		
2016-2017 Schoolw	ide Student Learning Objective Rationale	:: Writing Instruction		
the need to making this live wistle to	ctive focusing on writing instruction is ba	ised on 2015 SCCCR ELA standards and		
results we see the need to feet a see	monitor teaching and learning. Based on	previous years' SCREADY Writing		
requires targeted instruction as that	riting instruction as it is utilized across al	subject areas. Writing is a skill that		
everyone transport understanding and the	ach student can progress along the writin	g continuum and use writing to		
assessments that are embedded in any	instruction is informed. Lucy Calkins Uni	ts of Study incorporate performance		
more evidence based teaching with	riculum to improve instruction. Teachers	may work collaboratively to engage in		
more evidence-based teaching with a goal of creating a culture of "continual improvement, self-assessment, and				
shared study (Calkins, Writing Pathways, p. 26).				
Our focus is instructing the writer and on-demand writing pieces are at the heart of this discovery. There are Writing				
Learning Progressions for narrative, opinion, and information writing. Each describes progress in structure,				
development, and language conventions. These commonalities allow for the transfer of skills taught in narrative, information, and opinion writing.				
We expect the teaching and learning of writing to improve with the use of a writing workshop model following the				
Calkins' Writing Unit of Study and Bubic	whiting to improve with the use of a wri	ting workshop model following the		
student checklists writing rubrics and	con Atlas ELA curriculum maps. Students	and teachers will use mentor texts,		
These resources will aid us in analyzing	writing learning progressions to guide in	struction and writing conferences.		
These resources will aid us in analyzing student writing and creating better writers. We will accelerate student				
learning because we are focusing on the writer's needs and strengths. Writing instruction will be differentiated to meet the needs of the writer and grade level standards.				
meet the needs of the writer and grade	rievei standards.			
Assessment Window Dates:	Pre-Assessment Date: 8/16	D. J. A. J. D. J. T.		
(APS 3) Click or tap here to enter text.	110-Assessment Date: 0/10	Post-Assessment Date: 5/5/17		
SLO Interval of Instruction (APS 5):	<b>Beginning Instruction Date: 8/16</b>	Ending Instanction D. A. S. E. I.		
⊠ Year	beginning that action Date: 0/10	Ending Instruction Date: 5/5/17		
☐ Semester				
☐ Quarter				
Conferences	Dates Dates	Teacher and Administrator		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		Signatures		
Preliminary Conference	0( )	Teacher: Children Ab. A.		
	412314	Administrator: A TIL		
Mid-course Conference	12/6//6	Teacher: Colon & Marie		
G	1 1 0/1 0	Administratory 1717 By		
Summative Conference	511117	Teacher:		
	011.1	Administrator: MB		

ABOVE 1 YEAR	1 YEAR GROWTH	½ YEAR GROWTH
GROWTH		
9 – 38%	13 - 54%	2 - 8%

### Reflection – SLO Writing- Area Targeted- Development.

I couldn't help but reflect on the following things as I looked and went back over the data that indicated student growth from the "development section" of the Lucy Calkins Opinion writing rubric from August until May. Here are a few observations that I made...

- 1. It would be prudent for me to look closer at students who started out at a higher score in the initial process of writing. Then, with a view to adjust my practices for teaching those particular students, I can help them be even better writers.
  - A few of my students who started out at a first grade level only showed less than a year's growth. Growth is always good, however, next time, I can check mid-year and maybe show those particular students additional writing tips and tricks that would have most likely helped them get even better within this particular writing assignment AND future writing.
- 2. All in all, and as a credit to my students and their receptivity to instruction, I was happy to see them do better and improve their writing skills. The difference of time spent practicing and individual one on one follow up teaching and conferring, can make a significant change for the better in the lives and ELA skills of these young writers.
- 3. Finally, I noted that sometimes students who did not meet expectations had a tendency to not budge much or stay within a few points of their MAP scores (I had not made this correlation before). This is something that I had not previously considered in observing and taking into account, how this data coupled with MAP can be related and connected in some ways.



### SAFE-T Summary (ET3)-CEIVED

Teacher Anthony Heiter Contract level Annual

District Beaufort County School District School Hilton Head Sland Elementary

Grade level(s)/Subject area(s) First Grade / Spanish Immersion Academic Xeas 012015116

			BUSD HOMAI	4 KEOUGROLO
ADEPT Performance Standards (APSs) for Classroom-Based Teachers		Consensus Judgment		
Domain	Key Elements in the Domain (Preliminary)	Key Elements in the Domain (Final)	Preliminary	Final
Domain 1:	*11	*11	⊠Exemplary [11]	⊠Exemplary [11]
Planning	Total: <u>11</u>	Total: <u>11</u>	□Proficient [10]	□Proficient [10]
APS 1 APS 2	⊠ Met (≥ 10)	⊠ Met (≥ 10)	□Needs Improvement [4-9]	□Needs Improvement [4-9]
APS 3	<b>□ Not Met</b> (≤ 9)	<b>□ Not Met</b> (≤ 9)	☐Unsatisfactory [1-3]	□Unsatisfactory [1-3]
Domain 2:	*12	*12	⊠Exemplary [12]	⊠Exemplary [12]
Instruction APS 4	Total: <u>12</u>	Total: <u>12</u>	□Proficient [11]	□Proficient [11]
APS 5	⊠ Met ( <u>≥</u> 11)	⊠ Met (≥ 11)	□Needs Improvement[4-10]	□Needs Improvement [4-10]
APS 6 APS 7	☐ <b>Not Met</b> (<10)	☐ <b>Not Met</b> ( <u>&lt;</u> 10)	☐Unsatisfactory [1-3]	☐Unsatisfactory [1-3]
Domain 3:	*6	*6	⊠Exemplary [6]	⊠Exemplary [6]
Environment	Total: <u>6</u>	Total: <u>3</u> 6	□Proficient [5]	□Proficient [5]
APS 8		⊠ Met (≥ 5)	□Needs Improvement [3-4]	□Needs Improvement [3-4]
APS 9	☐ Not Met (< 4)	<b>☐ Not Met</b> (≤ 4)	☐Unsatisfactory [1-2]	□Unsatisfactory [1-2]
	*5	*5	⊠Exemplary [5]	⊠Exemplary [5]
Domain 4: Professionalism	Total:5	Total:5	□Proficient [4]	□Proficient [4]
APS 10	⊠ Met ( <u>≥ 4)</u>	⊠ Met ( <u>≥ 4)</u>	☐Needs Improvement [3]	□Needs Improvement [3]
9. 1994-1949-19-19	□ Not Met (≤ 3)	☐ Not Met (≤ 3)	□Unsatisfactory [ <mark>1-2</mark> ]	☐Unsatisfactory [1-2]
An overall judgr	ofessional Practice ment of <i>met</i> indicates ecriterion level in all f	that the teacher	Overall Preliminary Professional Practice	Overall Final Professional Practice
Preliminary		Final	⊠Exemplary	⊠Exemplary
				□Proficient
⊠ Met		⊠ Met		☐Needs Improvement
☐ Not Met		│	☐Unsatisfactory	☐Unsatisfactory
			_	
<b><u>Evaluators</u>:</b> By s	signing below, I verify		s properly implemented, (2) I was a ent with the above judgments.	tull participant in the process, and
Preliminary(Evaluators' signatures and date)  Final (Evaluators' signatures and date)  Junet 3-27-16				
			Ribecca Ste	Mgel 3-22-16
		<	5/1/1	3-22-16
			Jon M	VIE JAK 10



SAFF-T Summary (FT3)

	(= 10)		
Student Growth (SLO and/or VAM) *Mid-Course Conference = Year long SLOs	Preliminary *Mid-Course Conference = Year- long SLOs	Final	
SLO Rating Percentage of Attainment  Exemplary: 86% - 100% of students met or exceeded their growth goal 2[12 of 21]  Proficient: 70% - 85% of students met or exceeded their growth goal of]  Needs Improvement: 40% - 69% of students met or exceeded their growth goal [ of]  Unsatisfactory: Fewer than 40% of students met or exceeded their growth goal [ of]	☐Exemplary ☐Proficient ☐Needs Improvement ☐Unsatisfactory ☐Mid-Course Conference	⊠Exemplary □Proficient □Needs Improvement □Unsatisfactory	
The signatures below verify that the educator has received the results of the above SLO judgment.			
Preliminary  Teacher's Signature and Date  Administrator's Signature and Date	Teacher's Signature and Date  Administrator's Signature and Date	3-22-16	
Overall Judgment			
Overall Judgment The overall judgment is determined by the calculated overall professional performance (PP) rating and the calculated student growth (SG) rating.  (See the Judgment Decision Rules below) Preliminary: *Not Applicable = Year-long SLOs	☐Exemplary ☐Proficient ☐Needs Improvement ☐Unsatisfactory ☑Not Applicable		
SCDE Judgment Reporting Conversion An overall judgment of met indicates that the teacher achieves the criterion level in all four domains and an SLO overall of 3 or 4.  Preliminary: *Not Applicable = Year-long SLOs	☐ Met ☐ Not Met ☑ Not Applicable	⊠ Met □ Not Met	

#### Judgment Decision Rules:

#### **Professional Practice (Observations)**

- 1. A rating of "Unsatisfactory" in any of the four domains will result in an overall Professional Practice rating of "Unsatisfactory."
- 2. Any rating of "Needs Improvement" without an "Unsatisfactory" will result in a "Needs Improvement" rating on Professional Practice.
- 3. No ratings of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement," but less than 2 "Exemplary," ratings will result in a "Proficient" rating on Professional Practice.
- At least two "Exemplary" ratings and no ratings of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement" will result in an "Exemplary" rating on Professional Practice.

#### Student Growth (SLOs)

- 5. Any rating of "Unsatisfactory" for Student Growth will result in a summative rating no higher than "Needs Improvement."
- 6. A summative rating of "Exemplary" requires a rating of "Exemplary" in both Professional Practice and Student Growth.

Teacher: By signing below, I verify that I have received the results of this summative evaluation. My signature does not necessarily imply that I agree with these results.

**Preliminary**(*Teacher's signature and date*) **Final** (Teacher's signature and date)

<u>Teacher</u>: By signing below, I verify that I have received the results of this formal evaluation. My signature does not necessarily imply that I agree with these results.

Preliminary (Teacher's signature and date)

Final (Teacher's signature and date)

SEE PAGE 2 DOE

Construction of the constr			
Domain 1: Planning	APS 1: Long-Range Planning		
APS 1.A The teacher obtains student information, analyzes this information to determine the learning needs of all students, and uses this information to guide instructional planning.			
The teacher			
<ul> <li>identifies appropriate student information;</li> </ul>			
	f the student information to student learning; and		
shows insight into the use of the student info	rmation to guide planning.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.A	Final Rating for APS 1.A		
⊠Met	X Met (Based on preliminary LRP)		
□Not Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on new/revised LRP)		
	□Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP)		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.A Rating  Rationale for Final APS 1.A Rating			
The teacher included appropriate and comprehensive information about the students in his class (e.g., demographics, special services, medical alerts, reading levels, and student achievement). The teacher is reflective on the needs of his students when planning for instruction.	The teacher's long-range plan was updated as required. Fall math MAP data, learning styles, and student interests were collected and analyzed to guide planning.		
APS 1.B The teacher establishes appropriate standevelopmental goals for all students.	dards-based long-range learning and		
The teacher			
<ul> <li>identifies long-range goals that are accurate a</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>provides a sound explanation to support conclusions regarding the most important goals for all students to achieve.</li> </ul>			
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.B	Final Rating for APS 1.B		
⊠Met	X Met (Based on preliminary LRP)		
□Not Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on new/revised LRP)		
	□Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP)		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 1.B Rating		
The teacher's long-range goals were thorough, accurate,	The teacher established appropriate long-range and		
and appropriate for his grade level and content areas.	developmental goals for his students. Goals listed were		

The goals mirrored curriculum standards, PYP planners, current and addressed changes in curriculum to curriculum maps, and are data driven based on MAP accommodate the PYP units of instruction. scores. APS 1.C The teacher identifies and sequences instructional units in a manner that facilitates accomplishment of the long-range goals. The teacher identifies units that are appropriate to the context; and presents a sound explanation for the unit sequence and timeline. **Preliminary Rating for APS 1.C** Final Rating for APS 1.C ⊠Met **X Met** (Based on preliminary LRP) □Not Met ☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised LRP) □**Not Met** (Based on new/revised LRP) **Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.C Rating** Rationale for Final APS 1.C Rating The teacher included units that were in an appropriate The teacher identified and sequenced instructional units sequence and timeline. PYP units and goals were in a manner that facilitated the accomplishment of his infused with English Language Arts. long-range goals in mathematics. APS 1.D The teacher develops appropriate processes for evaluating and recording students' progress and achievement. The teacher establishes appropriate course assessments, evaluation criteria for the course, and method(s) of reporting overall progress and achievement; describes/maintains an appropriate record-keeping system; presents solid evidence for determining the appropriateness of the assessments in terms of measuring student progress and achievement; and presents a sound explanation of the methods for communicating the assessment information to students and their parents. **Preliminary Rating for APS 1.D** Final Rating for APS 1.D ⊠Met X Met (Based on preliminary LRP) □Not Met ☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised LRP) □ Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP) Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.D Rating **Rationale for Final APS 1.D Rating** The teacher developed an appropriate process for The teacher developed appropriate processes for evaluating and recording student progress; and for evaluating, recording, and communicating progress for communicating this information to parents. A variety of both students and parents. assessment tools are implemented.

#### APS 1.E The teacher plans appropriate procedures for managing the classroom.

#### The teacher

- presents an appropriate description of the expectations for student behavior during instruction and during non-instructional routines; and
- presents a sound explanation of the most important considerations for maximizing instructional time.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 1.E**

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.E Rating

The teacher's classroom-management plan included the essential agreements for both the school and the classroom (e.g., Classroom EA: Be Fair, Share, Be Kind, Listen to Each Other, and Follow Directions) for both instructional and non-instructional time in order to maximize student learning.

# Long-Range Plan (LRP) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period

☐ A new/revised LRP is <u>not</u> required.

⊠ A new/revised LRP is required.

#### **Comments**

The teacher's long-range plan was detail-oriented, thorough, comprehensive, and reflective in nature. It is evident that the teacher uses this information to guide instructional practices in his classroom.

#### Final Rating for APS 1.E

**X Met** (Based on preliminary LRP)

☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised LRP)

□ **Not Met** (Based on new/revised LRP)

#### Rationale for Final APS 1.E Rating

The teacher planned appropriate procedures for managing the classroom to maximize instruction. Consistent instructional and non-instructional expectations and procedures were reinforced through the implementation of the school PBIS plan and classroom essential agreements.

#### **Domain 1: Planning**

#### **APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction**

# APS 2.A The teacher develops unit objectives that facilitate student achievement of appropriate academic standards and long-range learning and developmental goals.

The teacher

- identifies appropriate unit objectives; and
- gives a sound explanation of the relevance of these objectives to student learning needs and interests.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 2.A

**⊠**Met

□Not Met

#### Final Rating for APS 2.A

X Met (Based on preliminary UWS)

☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised UWS)

4

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.A Rating

The teacher developed unit objectives that facilitated student achievement toward long-range learning and developmental goals. The objectives covered the gradelevel standards, the district/school curriculum, and correlated with the PYP unit.

□ Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)

#### Rationale for Final APS 2.A Rating

The teacher developed unit objectives that facilitated student achievement toward long-range learning and developmental goals. The objectives and sequence covered the grade-level standards and the district/school curriculum. The teacher collaborated with the first grade team and PYP coordinator to plan for instruction. Lesson objectives were modified to meet the needs of his students.

# APS 2.B The teacher develops instructional plans that include content, strategies, materials, and resources that are appropriate for the particular students.

The teacher

- presents an appropriate, logically sequenced instructional plan for the unit; and
- provides a sound explanation of factors that must be taken into consideration in balancing grade-level standards/ expectations and individual students' needs, abilities, and developmental levels.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 2.B

**⊠Met** 

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.B Rating

The teacher's plans for the unit followed the sequence of instruction outlined in the district's curriculum maps using the Lucy Calkins Writing Curriculum and made connections to the PYP unit of study.

#### Final Rating for APS 2.B

**X Met** (Based on preliminary UWS)

☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised UWS)

□ Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)

#### Rationale for Final APS 2.B Rating

The teacher's lessons were logically sequenced and took into consideration the grade level standards and expectations. Student MAP data was utilized to plan for instruction and group students based on academic needs.

# APS 2.C The teacher routinely uses student performance data to guide short-range planning of instruction.

The teacher

- makes appropriate determinations regarding the need to make adjustments to the instructional plans; and
- presents a solid rationale for making these determinations.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 2.C

**⊠Met** 

□Not Met

#### Final Rating for APS 2.C

**X Met** (Based on preliminary UWS)

☐ **Met** (Based on new/revised UWS)

□ Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)

#### **Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.C Rating**

The teacher described how he adjusts lesson plans and units of instruction based on student needs and class

#### Rationale for Final APS 2.C Rating

The teacher made appropriate adjustments to his lesson plan. Pre-assessments were administered to guide instruction.

performance. His rationale is based on daily observation and individual student writing conferences.

#### Domain 1: Planning

#### APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data

#### APS 3.A The teacher develops/selects and administers a variety of appropriate assessments.

The teacher

- develops and/or selects appropriate key unit assessments; and
- presents sound evidence that these assessments are valid and reliable for all students.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 3.A

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.A Rating

The teacher used and created multiple types of formative and summative assessments in the writing lessons (e.g., pre-assessment, writing prompts, elements activity, find the missing story element worksheet, cross-curricular social studies writing prompt, and a writing booklet with lines and illustrations.) He also used data and student conferences to reflect on how appropriate these assessments were for all of his students.

#### Final Rating for APS 3.A

☐ **Met** (Based on preliminary UWS)

X Met (Based on new/revised UWS)

□ **Not Met** (Based on new/revised UWS)

#### Rationale for Final APS 3.A Rating

The teacher used a variety of appropriate assessments that range from pre-assessments, formatives, to summative assessments.

# APS 3.B At appropriate intervals, the teacher gathers and accurately analyzes student performance data and uses this information to guide instructional planning.

The teacher

- provides an appropriate and accurate analysis of student performance, and
- displays sound reasoning in describing the way(s) in which this information was helpful in determining individual students' strengths and weaknesses as well as aspects of instruction that need to be modified.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 3.B**

⊠ Met

□Not Met

### Final Rating for APS 3.B

☐ **Met** (Based on preliminary UWS)

X Met (Based on new/revised UWS)

□**Not Met** (Based on new/revised UWS)

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.B Rating

The teacher provided an analysis of data in both graph and written form. His reflection of pre and post data increased his understanding of each child's learning needs.

#### Rationale for Final APS 3.B Rating

The teacher consistently analyzed student performance to determine his students' strengths and weaknesses, and he compensated for these strengths and weaknesses in his small-group instruction. Student conferences were

also utilized in addition to formative and summative assessments to gauge student performance and growth. APS 3.C The teacher uses assessment data to assign grades (or other indicators) that accurately reflect student progress and achievement. The teacher uses appropriate methods for determining student grades (or other performance indicators) for the appropriately and accurately summarizes overall student performance for the unit, and provides a well-thought-out summary of the overall "success" of the unit, based on overall student performance. Preliminary Rating for APS 3.C Final Rating for APS 3.C ⊠ Met ☐ **Met** (Based on preliminary UWS) X Met (Based on new/revised UWS) □Not Met □ **Not Met** (Based on new/revised UWS) **Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.C Rating Rationale for Final APS 3.C Rating** The teacher used appropriate methods for determining The teacher used assessments to reflect on what the student grades, accurately summarized overall student students learned. The teacher summarized student performance, and provided a summary of the overall growth for each of the learning goals and concluded that success of the unit. The assessments were used to group the unit successful because all students met their goals. students and to provide targeted areas of assistance as needed. Unit Work Sample (UWS) Requirement for the **Final Evaluation Period** ☐ A new/revised UWS is not required. ⊠A new/revised UWS is required. **Comments** Work samples were comprehensive.

#### **Domain 2: Instruction**

**APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners** 

APS 4.A The teacher establishes, communicate, and maintains high expectations for student achievement.

The teacher

• establishes appropriately high expectations for student achievement; and

• effectively communicates to the students (a) what they are expected to learn (i.e., to know and be able to do) and (b) the overall purpose and relevance (i.e., why they are expected to know and/or be able to do it).

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 4.A**

**⊠**Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.A Rating

The teacher effectively communicated to the students that they were expected to count the apples in a tree, and then to identify and count the missing parts. In writing, the students understood the purpose and relevance of including characters, setting, a beginning, middle, and end into their writing.

#### Final Rating for APS 4.A

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 4.A Rating

The teacher communicated to the students that they were expected to learn how to compare and contrast so that they would become better readers. For example, he stated, "How can we use it (comparing and contrasting) to understand our reading?"

In mathematics, the teacher conveyed the purpose and relevance through the learning objective (To learn that the two digits of a two-digit number represent amounts of tens and ones). Students demonstrated their understanding through a classroom discussion with the teacher and during both the guided and partner practice.

# APS 4.B The teacher establishes, communicates, and maintains high expectations for student participation.

The teacher

- establishes appropriate expectations for student participation; and
- effectively communicates to the students the expectations (a) for student participation during the lesson and (b) for accomplishing related assignments and tasks.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 4.B**

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.B Rating

During the morning calendar lesson, the students were expected to identify the months and days on a calendar, and then to count the number of days in a month. The students were expected to recite the days of the week and the months of the year in Spanish.

During writing, the teacher incorporated multiple strategies to increase student participation while holding students to high expectations. Name Sticks and reinforcing participation through positive reinforcement increased student participation.

#### Final Rating for APS 4.B

X Met

□Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 4.B Rating**

The teacher used questioning to determine if his students knew the definitions of compare and contrast prior to demonstrating compare and contrast using two different types of magic boxes as an example. The students were expected to say the definitions out loud and then start a compare and contrast chart as a whole group. The students finished the compare and contrast chart on their own.

In mathematics, the students were expected to participate in a Spanish EnVision Math topic visual interactive learning video while learning Spanish and English math vocabulary terms, complete an EnVision Math worksheet whole group, work with a partner for a

hands on activity, and practice counting in Spanish with a movement video.

To convey expectations, the teacher incorporated: positive praise, redirecting behavior, directions in Spanish, having students move their clip for not following expectations/essential agreements, and restating the directions multiple times for students.

# APS 4.C The teacher helps students assume responsibility for their own participation and learning. The teacher

- helps the students take ownership of the learning and become active agents in the learning process (e.g., by making the learning relevant to the students, using scaffolding, providing opportunities for students to engage in self- assessment, reflection, and higher level skills); and
- assists the students in developing strategies to compensate for their weaknesses when it is necessary.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 4.C

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.C Rating

The teacher helped the students take ownership of the learning by referring to the boy in the EnVision Math Chart as "me." He also scaffolded the part-part-whole problems for his lower-ability kids by asking them to count the number of apples on the ground to find the answer to a subtraction problem.

The texts and writing prompt topic used during writing were relevant to the students and provided background knowledge for the students.

#### Final Rating for APS 4.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 4.C Rating**

The teacher helped students take ownership of their learning by asking for volunteers to put some of their own items in one of the magic boxes. The items disappeared, and then reappeared only after the students were able to recite the definitions of compare and contrast over the box. The definitions were the magic words.

Probing questions were asked throughout the mathematics lesson and students were asked to explain their reasoning.

#### **Domain 2: Instruction**

# **APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies** to Facilitate Learning

#### APS 5.A The teacher uses appropriate instructional strategies.

The teacher uses instructional strategies that are

- appropriate for the content; and
- appropriate for the students.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 5.A

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Final Rating for APS 5.A

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.A Rating

The teacher followed the EnVision Math curriculum for first grade. Content was based on the PYP unit of study, Lucy Calkins, and ELA and math standards for first grade.

#### **Rationale for Final APS 5.A Rating**

The instructional strategies were appropriate for the students and consisted of direct instruction, guided practice, partner work, independent practice, use of visual videos, building and breaking down key vocabulary, manipulatives, and movement. Magic boxes were used as part of the whole-group lesson on comparing and contrasting. The children were captivated and on-task throughout the entire lesson.

#### APS 5.B The teacher uses a variety of instructional strategies.

The teacher uses a variety of instructional strategies (that is, the teacher does not always rely on the same strategy for every lesson) to

- convey information; and
- involve and engage the students.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 5.B**

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.B Rating

The teacher used whole-group guided response on the Promethean board to explain the concept of part-part-whole problems. The same concept was reinforced with center activities that included counting manipulatives, dice, and Sushi Math on their student IPads.

The teacher implemented direct instruction, individual conferencing with students, building on prior learning from previous community helper event, and use visual story board.

The teacher used a real snake to emphasize beginning, middle, and end of the writing activity.

#### Final Rating for APS 5.B

X Met

□Not Met

### **Rationale for Final APS 5.B Rating**

The teacher involved and engaged the students by comparing and contrasting two different types of magic boxes. He made some of the students' personal items disappear, and then reappear only after the students were able to recite the definitions of compare and contrast over the magic box. At the end, the teacher noticed one more item in the box that wasn't there before... It was a (fake) dead rat... the children shrieked and laughed... very funny...

To reinforce the concept being taught, the teacher incorporated visual videos and movement for engagement as well as the use of popsicle sticks as manipulatives for hands on learning to enable students to practice the concept further with a peer.

#### APS 5.C The teacher uses instructional strategies effectively.

The teacher's effective use of instructional strategies results in

- meaningful student learning; and
- opportunities for all students to be engaged in the learning and to experience success.

### Preliminary Rating for APS 5.C

⊠ Met

#### Final Rating for APS 5.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.C Rating

The teacher used magic to engage students in the learning process. After distributing six different colored fluffy balls to the students, he collected the one by one asking the students to tell them the total number of balls he had in his hand. After collecting all six, he opened his hand and there was only one, giant, multi-colored ball.

The instructional strategies employed during the writing lesson were meaningful and engaging to the students. Direct instruction provided the students the opportunity to gain content knowledge through repetition. Individual conferencing with students provided time for the teacher to work on the student's individual areas of needs. Building on prior learning from previous community helper event helped engage the students and provided background knowledge on the topic. The story board also helped to reinforce the concept with the students visually and engaged the students because the board "came to life" with teacher movement.

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 5.C Rating

The teacher was a master at engaging the students in learning. He started the lesson with definitions and relevancy for learning; he practiced the definitions using the magic boxes; he extended the lesson to a compare and contrast "T" chart on the board; and finally, he extended the lesson using a read aloud on the three little pigs and created a Venn Diagram on the board comparing and contrasting two different characters. The lesson was then retaught in small groups.

Students were engaged, had an opportunity to apply the new skill they learned with a peer, and all students had an opportunity to experience success with the lesson during the whole group direct instruction, guided instruction, partner practice, and independent practice.

#### **Domain 2: Instruction**

#### **APS 6: Providing Content for Learners**

#### APS 6.A The teacher demonstrates a thorough command of the discipline that he or she teaches.

The teacher

- provides content that is accurate and current;
- identifies and explains/demonstrates conceptual relationships and/or procedural steps; and
- recognizes and corrects content errors when they occur.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 6.A

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.A Rating

All of the content that was covered was accurate, current, and error-free. The teacher had a thorough knowledge and understanding of the content.

#### Final Rating for APS 6.A

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 6.A Rating

The content was accurate, current, and free of errors. Throughout the lessons the teacher had a thorough knowledge and understanding of the content.

#### APS 6.B The teacher provides appropriate content.

The teacher

provides content that is appropriate to the learning;

- provides content that is appropriate to the learners; and
- when possible, provides content that expands students' perspectives.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 6.B

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.B Rating

The content was developmentally appropriate for the students. The content was expanded from simple counting to more advanced part-part-whole problems and subtraction problems with a written number sentence. It was also expanded by having the students complete and recite the answers in Spanish.

The content of the writing lesson was appropriate for the students and each student individually reflected on their own writing to determine if their writing was ready for publication, while conferencing individually with the teacher.

#### Final Rating for APS 6.B

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 6.B Rating

The content was expanded from citing simple definitions of compare and contrast to using guided practice to compare and contrast two different types of magic boxes to independent practice using a read aloud.

The content of the mathematics lesson focused on how a two-digit number is represented in amounts of tens and ones. Throughout the lesson the teacher built upon the student's background knowledge of number words from 0-10 in Spanish to help them break apart and read larger numbers in Spanish.

#### APS 6.C The teacher structures the content to promote meaningful learning.

#### The teacher

- organizes the content in a logical sequence;
- makes the content relevant, meaningful, and applicable to the students;
- promotes higher level of knowledge and cognitive processing; and
- clarifies the content when students exhibit difficulties.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 6.C

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.C Rating

The teacher presented content in a logical sequence. First, all of the apples were counted to find the total. Then, this was followed by counting apples that fell from a tree and combining them with the number of apples remaining in the tree. Finally, this was followed by a part-part-whole chart and a number sentence.

The teacher went beyond the simple whole group presentation of factual knowledge during the instruction to include a story board that "comes to life," the teacher used appropriate examples to make the content relevant by relating to two books the students read in class, and

#### Final Rating for APS 6.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 6.C Rating**

The compare and contrast lesson and mathematics lesson followed a logical sequence. First relevancy was established and vocabulary with definitions were introduced. This was followed by a demonstration, direct instruction, guided practice, partner practice, and independent practice.

The teacher utilized an 'air machine' to select students for recall of math activity. Students were engaged and captivated by the wind machine.

provided the students with meaningful examples through the use of pictures to aid in writing.	
Song, dance, and movement were utilized.	
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning
APS 7.A The teacher continually monitors studen informal and formal assessment strategies.	t learning during instruction by using a variety of
The teacher maintains a constant awareness of studer	nt learning by
<ul> <li>engaging the students in activities such as disquizzes;</li> </ul>	scussions, projects, performances, assignments, and
<ul> <li>using effective questioning techniques; and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>observing/listening to and analyzing students inquiries, approaches to the task, performance</li> </ul>	s' verbal and nonverbal responses and reactions, ses, and final products.
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.A	Final Rating for APS 7.A
⊠Met	X Met
□Not Met	□Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 7.A Rating
The teacher used effective questioning techniques to maintain constant awareness of student learning. He distributed different colored fluffy-balls to the students and was constantly asking them to add the different colored balls together to find the total. Students with wrong answers were nicely corrected and set back on	To check for understanding, the teacher used questioning techniques to determine if the students were ready to move to the next level on the compare and contrast lesson continuum and to advance through the mathematics lesson. Teacher observation during partner practice and one-on-one support was provided.
the right track.	Informal assessment, such as aforementioned wind machine, helped teacher gage students' level of
The teacher maintains a constant awareness of student learning by checking for understanding throughout the lesson and by conferencing individually with students.	understanding while incorporating a fun experience.
APS 7.B The teacher enhances student learning by assessments to guide instruction.	y using information from informal and formal
The teacher	
	eed to make adjustments during the lesson; and
effectively implements any needed adjustme.	-
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.B	Final Rating for APS 7.B
⊠Met	X Met
□Not Met	□Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 7.B Rating

The teacher noticed that a few of the students had trouble finding the missing part of the part-part-whole chart so he returned to the apple tree and asked the students to count the number of apples on the ground.

Adjustments made during the lesson were to redirect student behavior to be on task.

Based on student difficulty with spelling, the teacher adjusted the compare and contrast lesson by allowing some students to draw a symbol of a brick instead of actually writing the word "brick" in their notebooks to help students connect the word to the picture.

Throughout the mathematics lesson, the teacher made adjustments to redirect student behavior to be on task, to help students break down Spanish vocabulary, to provide appropriate response time when students had difficulty answering questions, to rephrase questions, and to prompt students.

# APS 7.C The teacher enhances student learning by providing appropriate instructional feedback to students.

The teacher

- provides feedback to students throughout the lesson;
- provides feedback to students on all significant student work; and
- provides feedback that is accurate, constructive, substantive, specific, and timely.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 7.C**

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.C Rating

The teacher remained positive throughout the lesson. Students with wrong answers during the calendar and EnVision Math lesson were nicely redirected in the right direction. Students felt free to answer the teacher's questions even if they were not sure that their answer was correct.

Individualized feedback was given when the teacher was conferencing with individual students to aid in their writing.

#### Final Rating for APS 7.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 7.C Rating**

The teacher was encouraging and positive about student learning during the compare and contrast lesson. For example, some of the students repeated what other students already had written on the Magic Boxes T Chart. When this happened, the teacher praised them for trying and encouraged them to come up with something new.

Overall, the students received timely oral feedback throughout the lessons and the teacher helped to correct student misunderstandings during instruction and practice.

#### **Domain 3: Environment**

# **APS 8: Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning**

APS 8.A The teacher creates and maintains the physical environment of his or her classroom as a safe place that is conducive to learning.

The teacher creates and maintains a physical environment that

- is safe; and
- is conducive to learning.

### **Preliminary Rating for APS 8.A**

**⊠Met** 

Final Rating for APS 8.A

X Met

14

#### □Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.A Rating

The teacher created a safe place for learning. Above each of the group tables was a banner with the name of the group that the students came up with themselves (e.g., Ice Cream Fairies, Ninja Sponge Coyotes, etc.). There was also a working fireplace in the corner with inviting book baskets, and all of the various centers were clean and well-stocked with needed materials.

Student desks are grouped together in groups. There is space on the floor with a carpet for whole group instruction as well as space around the classroom for students to work in centers.

#### □Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 8.A Rating

The classroom was safe and conducive to learning. The children felt free to take risks and contribute to the whole-group lesson and demonstration.

When the mathematics lesson started, the students were sitting on the carpet in front of the board and then they transitioned to desks which were situated in groups. The arrangement allowed all students to see, hear, and participate during instruction.

Students were also observed on the carpet during morning Spanish routines. All students were engaged and prepared to start the school day with a positive and energetic experience.

#### APS 8.B The teacher creates and maintains a positive affective climate in his or her classroom.

#### The teacher

- displays confidence and enthusiasm; and
- maintains positive and respectful relationships with and among the students.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 8.B**

⊠Met.

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.B Rating

The teacher created a safe place for learning. During the morning routine, a girl became sick. Although he was in the middle of an observation, the teacher took the time to make sure the little girl was okay by attending to her needs and filling out a nurse form for her.

The teacher established a positive learning environment for the students. He made learning relevant through pictures of an event that was fun for the students and engaging through the story board that "comes to life" through his movement. The teacher was structured using a clip system and positive reinforcement.

#### **Final Rating for APS 8.B**

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 8.B Rating

The teacher displayed a positive and caring attitude with his students.

The teacher also was enthusiastic throughout his lesson and when transitioning/passing out materials. To liven the mood, the teacher would use funny voices with the students, which would make the students smile and laugh.

The teacher also incorporated music during independent practice of the mathematics lesson.

#### APS 8.C The teacher creates and maintains a culture of learning in his or her classroom.

#### The teacher

- creates a culture of learning by facilitating inquisitive, motivation to learn, cooperation, and teamwork, and by being an active learner; and
- works to ensure that every student is a "learner."

### **Preliminary Rating for APS 8.C**

**⊠**Met

#### Final Rating for APS 8.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.C Rating

The teacher created an environment in which all of the students were eager to learn. He used magic by turning six independent colored fluffy balls into one multicolored ball. All of the students were engaged and active in learning.

The teacher made sure that students felt they had a sense of belonging in the classroom by talking to them on their level- eye to eye. □Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 8.C Rating**

The teacher created an environment in which all of his students were eager to learn. For example, he used magic boxes to engage the students, and then turned this enthusiasm toward contributing to the lesson. The students felt free to take risks and participate.

In addition, the teacher incorporated teamwork through a hands on manipulative activity with popsicle sticks.

#### Domain 3: Environment

#### APS 9: Managing the Classroom

#### APS 9.A The teacher manages student behavior appropriately.

The teacher

- establishes and communicates appropriate behavioral rules and consequences;
- maintains a constant awareness of events and activities in the classroom;
- uses effective preventive discipline techniques; and
- handles inappropriate behaviors in an effective and timely manner.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 9.A**

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.A Rating

The teacher told a few students who were off-task to move their clips to a lower level. The students complied without complaining and without further interrupting the lesson. When they returned to the group, they remained on task and were held to high expectations.

The teacher had clear classroom expectations for the students and they were embedded through the classroom essential agreements. The students demonstrated an understanding by restating some of the classroom expectations and by following the directions after they were reiterated.

Otter Cards were issued for students displaying positive behavior.

#### Final Rating for APS 9.A

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 9.A Rating

During the compare and contrast lesson, one student was asked to move her laundry clip up for demonstrating good and another was asked to move his laundry clip down for bad behavior. The students were on-task and held to high expectations throughout the lesson.

In the mathematics lesson as well, students had to move their clip for not following expectations/essential agreements.

Classroom essential agreements are posted in the classroom and students are familiar with the clip system used for classroom management. Expectations are listed on the clip system chart which gives examples of "what I am doing, ways to fix my behavior, and consequences."

When the teacher needed to reiterate the expectations to the students, they were able to transition back to the expected behavior.

#### APS 9.B The teacher makes maximal use of instructional time.

#### The teacher

- ensures that the students are engaged in meaningful academic learning throughout the instructional period; and
- organizes the classroom in a manner that promotes a smooth flow of activity.

#### **Preliminary Rating for APS 9.B**

⊠Met.

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.B Rating

The teacher made maximum use of instructional time. After the bell rang for center activities to change, the teacher said, "Freeze... Think... Move." The children quickly followed instructions. There was no loss on instructional time moving from one center to another.

The teacher had the students have a working snack while they were independently writing since they have an early lunchtime. Students transitioned from writing to end of the day routines quickly.

#### Final Rating for APS 9.B

X Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Final APS 9.B Rating

There was no loss of instructional time during the comparing and contrast lesson. Transitions were smooth. The teacher assigned three students to hand out iPads at student desks prior to the final transition to small groups.

When cleaning up the partner mathematics activity, the teacher played a Spanish counting video to focus on the next task while he was collecting materials.

#### APS 9.C The teacher manages essential non-instructional routines in an efficient manner.

#### The teacher

- promotes the smooth flow of non-instructional routines; and
- manages transitions between activities or classes in an efficient and orderly manner.

#### Preliminary Rating for APS 9.C

⊠Met

□Not Met

#### Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.C Rating

The teacher had a system for non-instructional routines. During the EnVision, whole-group math lesson, a girl quietly stood up and went and got a tissue to blow her nose. Then, she returned to the lesson. She did not disrupt any of the other students.

The teacher communicated both in English and Spanish the directions for non-instructional routines and transitions. The students followed the procedures with reminders.

#### Final Rating for APS 9.C

X Met

□Not Met

#### **Rationale for Final APS 9.C Rating**

Non-instructional routines were efficient. During the compare and contrast lesson, a specialist teacher came to get a student in the middle of a magic box lesson. The specialist teacher let the student stay to see the end of the magic trick. The lesson continued without disruption.

The teacher communicated both in English and Spanish the directions for non-instructional routines when transitioning to and from the carpet and while students were working with their partners. The students were able to follow the procedures given with teacher reminders.

#### Domain 4: Professionalism **APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities** APS 10.A The teacher is an advocate for the students. The teacher works effectively with colleagues to help determine and meet individual student needs; and establishes appropriate professional relationships with others outside of the school to support the well-being of students. Final Rating for APS 10.A Preliminary Rating for APS 10.A X Met ⊠Met □Not Met □Not Met **Rationale for Final APS 10.A Rating** Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.A Rating The teacher is an advocate for students. He displays a The teacher is a team player, shows leadership qualities, positive attitude and works hard to meet their individual and works extremely well with others. He is positive and helpful. He is a role model to others. needs. His collaboration with teammates assists in meeting individual student needs. APS 10.B The teacher works to achieve organizational goals in order to make the entire school a positive and productive learning environment for the students. The teacher is an active contributor to school initiatives; and supports school-related organizations and activities. Final Rating for APS 10.B Preliminary Rating for APS 10.B X Met ⊠Met □Not Met □Not Met Rationale for Final APS 10.B Rating Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.B Rating The teacher attends all grade level, content area, and The teacher attends all grade-level, content-area, and school-wide meetings. He is punctual and positive. He school-wide meetings; he likewise meets with immersion teachers to ensure collaboration is vertically continues to learn for the betterment of his students. aligned as well. APS 10.C The teacher is an effective communicator. The teacher uses clear and correct oral and written language; and communicates effectively and regularly with parents. Final Rating for APS 10.C **Preliminary Rating for APS 10.C** X Met ⊠ Met □Not Met □Not Met Rationale for Final APS 10.C Rating **Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.C Rating**

The teacher continues using clear oral and written The teacher uses clear and correct communication in language with all stakeholders. both oral and written form. APS 10.D The teacher exhibits professional demeanor and behavior. The teacher maintains all required professional credentials; adheres to all Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators and maintains ethical standards: and demonstrates self-management skills (e.g., responsibility, initiative, time management, appearance) and a high quality of work (e.g., completing required tasks in an accurate, timely, and effective manner). Final Rating for APS 10.D **Preliminary Rating for APS 10.D** X Met ⊠Met □Not Met □Not Met Rationale for Final APS 10.D Rating **Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.D Rating** The teacher is a professional and demonstrates initiative, The teacher is a professional. He is a team player, a time-management, and high-quality work. Multiple learner himself, and is extremely dedicated to improving implementations have been required this school year. the academic achievement of his students. Teacher has adhered to each of them. APS 10.E The teacher is an active learner. The teacher accurately identifies his or her own professional strengths and weaknesses; sets appropriate professional development goals; and regularly seeks out, participates in, and contributes to activities that promote collaboration and that support his or her continued professional growth. Final Rating for APS 10.E Preliminary Rating for APS 10.E ☐ Met (Based on preliminary Professional ⊠ Met Self-Assessment) □Not Met X Met (Based on new/revised Professional Self-Assessment) □Not Met Rationale for Final APS 10.E Rating **Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.E Rating** The teacher is reflective. This is evidenced by his unit Teacher has completed his IB PYP Category 1 samples, his responses to team observations, and his training, understanding RTI, NWEA data review, daily interactions with colleagues and administration. It math workshops and vertical content discussions. is evident that he thinks about what he is going to teach,

Professional Self-Assessment (PSA)

Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period

⊠ A new/revised PSA is not required.

and how he can make it better after the lesson is over.

He differentiates according to his students' needs and

most importantly treats his students with respect.

☐ A new/revised PSA <u>is</u> required.

### Comments

Teacher was able to articulate well his strengths and challenges as an educator.

Additional Comments and Areas for Improvement (Optional)		
Preliminary Evaluation Period	Final Evaluation Period	
Mr. Heiter has been a welcome addition to our Spanish Immersion Program embracing a new model concept as a 'stand-alone' teacher for both English and Spanish instruction.	Mr. Heiter is a master teacher. He is a role model for other teachers as he puts a lot of thought and time into what he does every day for his students, parents, and colleagues. Students are excited to be in his class and they continue to grow academically.	



Teacher Anth	ony Heiter		Contract level Annual	
District Beau	ufort County Sch	ool District	School Hilton Head Island	d Elementary
Grade level(s)/S	subject area(s)	First Grade / Spa	anish Immersion Academ	ic year <u>2015-16</u>
	ormance Standa sroom-Based T	하면이 [1] 귀나라 시에 발생되었다. [1일 전 시간 [1일 시간 이 시간 12] 수 없는 이 그 있다.	Consensus	Judgment
Domain	Key Elements in the Domain (Preliminary)	Key Elements in the Domain (Final)	Preliminary	Final
Domain 1: Planning APS 1 APS 2 APS 3	*11 Total: 11  Met (≥ 10)  Not Met (≤ 9)	*11 Total:	⊠Exemplary [11]  □Proficient [10]  □Needs Improvement [4-9]  □Unsatisfactory [1-3]	□Exemplary [11] □Proficient [10] □Needs Improvement [4-9] □Unsatisfactory [1-3]
Domain 2: Instruction APS 4 APS 5 APS 6 APS 7	*12 Total:12	*12 Total:  Met (≥ 11)  Not Met (≤10)	⊠Exemplary [12]  □Proficient [11]  □Needs Improvement[4-10]  □Unsatisfactory [1-3]	□Exemplary [12] □Proficient [11] □Needs Improvement [4-10] □Unsatisfactory [1-3]
Domain 3: Environment APS 8 APS 9	*6 Total:6   Met (> 5)  Not Met (< 4)	*6 Total:  Met (≥ 5)  Not Met (≤ 4)	<ul><li>☑Exemplary [6]</li><li>☐Proficient [5]</li><li>☐Needs Improvement [3-4]</li><li>☐Unsatisfactory [1-2]</li></ul>	□Exemplary [6] □Proficient [5] □Needs Improvement [3-4] □Unsatisfactory [1-2]
Domain 4: Professionalism APS 10	*5	*5 Total:  Met (≥ 4)  Not Met (≤ 3)	<ul><li>☑Exemplary [5]</li><li>☐Proficient [4]</li><li>☐Needs Improvement [3]</li><li>☐Unsatisfactory [1-2]</li></ul>	□Exemplary [5] □Proficient [4] □Needs Improvement [3] □Unsatisfactory [1-2]
Overall Professional Practice Judgment An overall judgment of <i>met</i> indicates that the teacher achieves the criterion level in all four domains		Overall Preliminary Professional Practice	Overall Final Professional Practice	
Preliminary  ⊠ Met  ☐ Not Met		Final  Met  Not Met	⊠Exemplary  □Proficient  □Needs Improvement  □Unsatisfactory	□Exemplary □Proficient □Needs Improvement □Unsatisfactory
Evaluators: By signing below, I verify that (1) SAFE-T was properly implemented, (2) I was a full participant in the process, and (3) I am in agreement with the above judgments.  Preliminary (Evaluators' signatures and date)  Final (Evaluators' signatures and date)    12 - 1 - 15				
, , , ,	00000	,		



COLUMN TO	SAFE-T S	Summary (ET3)	
	Student Growth (SLO and/or VAM) Course Conference = Year long SLOs	Preliminary *Mid-Course Conference = Year- long SLOs	Final
exceed Profice exceed Meeds met on Unsat met on	Parting Percentage of Attainment plary: 86% - 100% of students met or ded their growth goal [19 of 21] ient: 70% - 85% of students met or ded their growth goal [ of] is Improvement: 40% - 69% of students exceeded their growth goal [ of] isfactory: Fewer than 40% of students exceeded their growth goal [ of]	<ul> <li>☑Exemplary</li> <li>☐Proficient</li> <li>☐Needs Improvement</li> <li>☐Unsatisfactory</li> <li>☐Mid-Course Conference</li> </ul>	□Exemplary □Proficient □Needs Improvement □Unsatisfactory
Tł	ne signatures below verify that the educato		
$(\mathcal{A})$	Preliminary  Signature and Date  rator's Signature and Date	Teacher's Signature and Date  Administrator's Signature and Date	nal
Overall Judgment			
The over calcular rating a	I Judgment erall judgment is determined by the ted overall professional performance (PP) and the calculated student growth (SG) rating. e Judgment Decision Rules below) inary: *Not Applicable = Year-long SLOs	□Exemplary □Proficient □Needs Improvement □Unsatisfactory ⊠Not Applicable	□Exemplary □Proficient □Needs Improvement □Unsatisfactory
An over teacher domain	Judgment Reporting Conversion erall judgment of met indicates that the achieves the criterion level in all four as and an SLO overall of 3 or 4. inary: *Not Applicable = Year-long SLOs	☐ Met ☐ Not Met ☑ Not Applicable	☐ Met ☐ Not Met
Judgment Decision Rules: Professional Practice (Observations)			
<ol> <li>A rating of "Unsatisfactory" in any of the four domains will result in an overall <i>Professional Practice rating</i> of "Unsatisfactory."</li> <li>Any rating of "Needs Improvement" without an "Unsatisfactory" will result in a "Needs Improvement" rating on <i>Professional Practice</i>.</li> </ol>			
	<ol> <li>No ratings of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement," but less than 2 "Exemplary," ratings will result in a "Proficient" rating on Professional Practice.</li> <li>At least two "Exemplary" ratings and no ratings of "Unsatisfactory" or "Needs Improvement" will result in an "Exemplary"</li> </ol>		
	rating on Professional Practice.		
	t Growth (SLOs)	will regult in a <i>aummetica retire</i>	ighor thon "Nigada Issues to
	Any rating of "Unsatisfactory" for Student Growth v A <i>summative rating</i> of "Exemplary" requires a ratin		

<u>Teacher</u>: By signing below, I verify that I have received the results of this summative evaluation. My signature does not necessarily imply that I agree with these results.

Preliminary (Teacher's signature and date)

Final (Teacher's signature and date)

Domain 1: Planning	APS 1: Long-Range Planning		
	APS 1.A The teacher obtains student information, analyzes this information to determine the learning needs of all students, and uses this information to guide instructional planning.		
<ul> <li>identifies appropriate student information;</li> </ul>	f the student information to student learning; and		
shows insight into the use of the student info	_		
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.A			
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.A Rating The teacher included appropriate and comprehensive information about the students in his class (e.g., demographics, special services, medical alerts, reading levels, and student achievement). The teacher is reflective on the needs of his students when planning for instruction.	Rationale for Final APS 1.A Rating		
APS 1.B The teacher establishes appropriate standards-based long-range learning and developmental goals for all students.  The teacher			
<ul> <li>identifies long-range goals that are accurate and appropriate; and</li> </ul>			
provides a sound explanation to support conclusions regarding the most important goals for all students to achieve.			
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.B	Final Rating for APS 1.B		
Met	☐ Met (Based on preliminary LRP)		
☐ Not Met	☐ Met (Based on new/revised LRP) ☐ Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP)		
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 1.B Rating		
The teacher's long-range goals were thorough, accurate, and appropriate for his grade level and content areas. The goals mirrored curriculum standards, PYP planners, curriculum maps, and are data driven based on MAP scores.			
	:		

a summer to be a long of the state of the same

Updated June 2009

Domain 1: Planning	APS 1: Long-Range Planning	
APS 1.C The teacher identifies and sequences instructional units in a manner that facilitates accomplishment of the long-range goals.		
The teacher		
<ul> <li>identifies units that are appropriate to the con</li> </ul>	ntext; and	
<ul><li>presents a sound explanation for the unit seq</li></ul>	uence and timeline.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.C	Final Rating for APS 1.C  Met (Based on preliminary LRP)  Met (Based on new/revised LRP)	
D di la D ii i i inga go ii	Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.C Rating  The teacher included units that were in an appropriate sequence and timeline. PYP units and goals were infused with English Language Arts.  Rationale for Final APS 1.C Rating		
APS 1.D The teacher develops appropriate processes for evaluating and recording students' progress and achievement.  The teacher		
APS 1.E The teacher plans appropriate procedures for managing the classroom.  The teacher  presents an appropriate description of the expectations for student behavior during instruction and during non-instructional routines; and  presents a sound explanation of the most important considerations for maximizing instructional time		

Updated June 2009

Domain 1: Planning	APS 1: Long-Range Planning
Preliminary Rating for APS 1.E  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 1.E Rating  The teacher's classroom-management plan included the essential agreements for both the school and the classroom (e.g., Classroom EA: Be Fair, Share, Be Kind, Listen to Each Other, and Follow Directions) for both instructional and non-instructional time in order to maximize student learning.	Final Rating for APS 1.E  Met (Based on preliminary LRP)  Met (Based on new/revised LRP)  Not Met (Based on new/revised LRP)  Rationale for Final APS 1.E Rating
Long-Range Plan (LRP) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period  A new/revised LRP is not required.  A new/revised LRP is required.  Comments  The teacher's long-range plan was detail-oriented, thorough, comprehensive, and reflective in nature. It is evident that the teacher uses this information to guide instructional practices in his classroom.	
Domain 1: Planning	APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction
APS 2.A The teacher develops unit objectives tha academic standards and long-range learning and The teacher  identifies appropriate unit objectives; and	t facilitate student achievement of appropriate
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.A Rating The teacher developed unit objectives that facilitated student achievement toward long-range learning and	Final Rating for APS 2.A  Met (Based on preliminary UWS)  Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Rationale for Final APS 2.A Rating
developmental goals. The objectives covered the grade-level standards, the district/school curriculum, and correlated with the PYP unit.	1

Domain 1: Planning	APS 2: Short-Range Planning of Instruction	
APS 2.B The teacher develops instructional plans that include content, strategies, materials, and resources that are appropriate for the particular students.  The teacher		
<ul> <li>presents an appropriate, logically sequenced instructional plan for the unit; and</li> </ul>		
provides a sound explanation of factors that must be taken into consideration in balancing grade-level standards/ expectations and individual students' needs, abilities, and developmental levels.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.B	Final Rating for APS 2.B	
⊠ Met	Met (Based on preliminary UWS)	
☐ Not Met	Met (Based on new/revised UWS)	
	☐ Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 2.B Rating	
The teacher's plans for the unit followed the sequence of instruction outlined in the district's curriculum maps using the Lucy Calkins Writing Curriculum and made connections to the PYP unit of study.		
APS 2.C The teacher routinely uses student performance data to guide short-range planning of instruction.		
The teacher		
<ul> <li>makes appropriate determinations regarding the need to make adjustments to the instructional plans; and</li> </ul>		
presents a solid rationale for making these determinations.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 2.C	Final Rating for APS 2.C	
⊠ Met	Met (Based on preliminary UWS)	
Not Met	☐ <b>Met</b> (Based on new/revised UWS)	
	☐ <b>Not Met</b> (Based on new/revised UWS)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 2.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 2.C Rating	
The teacher described how he adjusts lesson plans and units of instruction based on student needs and class		
performance. His rationale is based on daily observation and individual student writing conferences.		
Domain 1: Planning	APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data	

### APS 3.A The teacher develops/selects and administers a variety of appropriate assessments.

The teacher

- develops and/or selects appropriate key unit assessments; and
- presents sound evidence that these assessments are valid and reliable for all students.

Domain 1: Planning	<b>APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data</b>	
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.A Rating  The teacher used and created multiple types of formative and summative assessments in the writing lessons (e.g., pre-assessment, writing prompts, elements activity, find the missing story element worksheet, cross-curricula social studies writing prompt, and a writing booklet with lines and illustrations.) He also used data and student conferences to reflect on how appropriate these assessments were for all of his students.	Final Rating for APS 3.A  Met (Based on preliminary UWS)  Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Rationale for Final APS 3.A Rating	
APS 3.B At appropriate intervals, the teacher gathers and accurately analyzes student performance data and uses this information to guide instructional planning.  The teacher  provides an appropriate and accurate analysis of student performance, and displays sound reasoning in describing the way(s) in which this information was helpful in determining individual students' strengths and weaknesses as well as aspects of instruction that need to be modified.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.B  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 3.B  Met (Based on preliminary UWS)  Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.B Rating The teacher provided an analysis of data in both graph and written form. His reflection of pre and post data increased his understanding of each child's learning needs.	Rationale for Final APS 3.B Rating	
APS 3.C The teacher uses assessment data to assign grades (or other indicators) that accurately reflect student progress and achievement.  The teacher  uses appropriate methods for determining student grades (or other performance indicators) for the unit,  appropriately and accurately summarizes overall student performance for the unit, and  provides a well-thought-out summary of the overall "success" of the unit, based on overall student performance.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 3.C  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 3.C  Met (Based on preliminary UWS)  Met (Based on new/revised UWS)  Not Met (Based on new/revised UWS)	

Domain 1: Planning	APS 3: Planning Assessments and Using Data
Rationale for Preliminary APS 3.C Rating  The teacher used appropriate methods for determining student grades, accurately summarized overall student performance, and provided a summary of the overall success of the unit. The assessments were used to group students and to provide targeted areas of assistance as needed.	Rationale for Final APS 3.C Rating
Unit Work Sample (UWS) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period  A new/revised UWS is not required.  A new/revised UWS is required.  Comments  Work samples were comprehensive.	
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.A Rating  The teacher effectively communicated to the students that they were expected to count the apples in a tree, and then to identify and count the missing parts. In writing, the students understood the purpose and relevance of including characters, setting, a beginning, middle, and end into their writing.	Final Rating for APS 4.A  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 4.A Rating

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners	
APS 4.B The teacher establishes, communicates, and maintains high expectations for student participation.		
The teacher		
<ul> <li>establishes appropriate expectations for student participation; and</li> <li>effectively communicates to the students the expectations (a) for student participation during the lesson and (b) for accomplishing related assignments and tasks.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.B	Final Rating for APS 4.B	
<b>⊠</b> Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 4.B Rating	
During the morning calendar lesson, the students were expected to identify the months and days on a calendar, and then to count the number of days in a month. The students were expected to recite the days of the week and the months of the year in Spanish.  During writing, the teacher incorporated multiple strategies to increase student participation while holding students to high expectations. Name Sticks and reinforcing participation through positive reinforcement increased student participation.		
APS 4.C The teacher helps students assume responsibility for their own participation and learning.  The teacher		
<ul> <li>helps the students take ownership of the learning and become active agents in the learning process (e.g., by making the learning relevant to the students, using scaffolding, providing opportunities for students to engage in self- assessment, reflection, and higher level skills); and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>assists the students in developing strategies to compensate for their weaknesses when it is necessary.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 4.C	Final Rating for APS 4.C	
⊠ Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 4: Establishing and Maintaining High Expectations for Learners
Rationale for Preliminary APS 4.C Rating  The teacher helped the students take ownership of the learning by referring to the boy in the EnVision Math Chart as "me." He also scaffolded the part-part-whole problems for his lower-ability kids by asking them to count the number of apples on the ground to find the answer to a subtraction problem.  The texts and writing prompt topic used during writing were relevant to the students and provided background knowledge for the students.	Rationale for Final APS 4.C Rating
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning
APS 5.A The teacher uses appropriate instruction The teacher uses instructional strategies that are appropriate for the content; and	nal strategies.
appropriate for the students.  Preliminary Rating for APS 5.A	Final Rating for APS 5.A  Met  Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.A Rating The teacher followed the EnVision Math curriculum for first grade. Content was based on the PYP unit of study, Lucy Calkins, and ELA and math standards for first grade.	Rationale for Final APS 5.A Rating
APS 5.B The teacher uses a variety of instructional strategies of strategy for every lesson) to  convey information; and involve and engage the students.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 5.B  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 5.B  Met  Not Met

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 5: Using Instructional Strategies to Facilitate Learning
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 5.B Rating
The teacher used whole-group guided response on the Promethean board to explain the concept of part-part-whole problems. The same concept was reinforced with center activities that included counting manipulatives, dice, and Sushi Math on their student IPads.	
The teacher implemented direct instruction, individual conferencing with students, building on prior learning from previous community helper event, and use visual story board.	
The teacher used a real snake to emphasize beginning, middle, and end of the writing activity.	
APS 5.C The teacher uses instructional strategies	effectively.
The teacher's effective use of instructional strategies  meaningful student learning; and opportunities for all students to be engaged in	
Preliminary Rating for APS 5.C	Final Rating for APS 5.C
⊠ Met	Met
Not Met	☐ Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 5.C Rating	Rationale for Final APS 5.C Rating
The teacher used magic to engage students in the learning process. After distributing six different colored fluffy balls to the students, he collected the one by one asking the students to tell them the total number of balls he had in his hand. After collecting all six, he opened his hand and there was only one, giant, multi-colored ball.	
The instructional strategies employed during the writing lesson were meaningful and engaging to the students. Direct instruction provided the students the opportunity to gain content knowledge through repetition. Individual conferencing with students provided time for the teacher to work on the student's individual areas of needs. Building on prior learning from previous community helper event helped engage the students and provided background knowledge on the topic. The story board also helped to reinforce the concept with the students visually and engaged the students because the board "came to life" with teacher movement.	

Updated June 2009

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 6: Providing Content for Learners	
APS 6.A The teacher demonstrates a thorough co	mmand of the discipline that he or she teaches.	
The teacher		
<ul> <li>provides content that is accurate and current;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>identifies and explains/demonstrates conceptual relationships and/or procedural steps; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>recognizes and corrects content errors when they occur.</li> </ul>		
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.A	Final Rating for APS 6.A	
Met	Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 6.A Rating	
All of the content that was covered was accurate,		
current, and error-free. The teacher had a thorough knowledge and understanding of the content.		
APS 6.B The teacher provides appropriate content	nt.	
The teacher		
<ul> <li>provides content that is appropriate to the lea</li> </ul>	urning;	
<ul> <li>provides content that is appropriate to the lea</li> </ul>	rners; and	
<ul> <li>when possible, provides content that expands</li> </ul>	s students' perspectives.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.B	Final Rating for APS 6.B	
⊠ Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 6.B Rating	
The content was developmentally appropriate for the students. The content was expanded from simple		
counting to more advanced part-part-whole problems and subtraction problems with a written number		
sentence. It was also expanded by having the students		
complete and recite the answers in Spanish.		
The content of the writing lesson was appropriate for the students and each student individually reflected on their own writing to determine if their writing was ready for		
publication, while conferencing individually with the teacher.		
ADS C. The teacher structure the content to make the content to th		
APS 6.C The teacher structures the content to promote meaningful learning.		
The teacher	90.8	
The teacher  organizes the content in a logical sequence:		
The teacher  organizes the content in a logical sequence;  makes the content relevant, meaningful, and	applicable to the students:	

Updated June 2009

clarifies the content when students exhibit difficulties.

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 6: Providing Content for Learners
Preliminary Rating for APS 6.C	Final Rating for APS 6.C
⊠ Met	☐ Met
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 6.C Rating The teacher presented content in a logical sequence. First, all of the apples were counted to find the total. Then, this was followed by counting apples that fell	Rationale for Final APS 6.C Rating
from a tree and combining them with the number of apples remaining in the tree. Finally, this was followed by a part-part-whole chart and a number sentence.	
The teacher went beyond the simple whole group presentation of factual knowledge during the instruction to include a story board that "comes to life," the teacher used appropriate examples to make the content relevant	
by relating to two books the students read in class, and provided the students with meaningful examples through the use of pictures to aid in writing.	
Song, dance, and movement were utilized.	
Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning
APS 7.A The teacher continually monitors studentinformal and formal assessment strategies.	at learning during instruction by using a variety of
The teacher maintains a constant awareness of stude	nt learning by
<ul> <li>engaging the students in activities such as di quizzes;</li> </ul>	scussions, projects, performances, assignments, and
<ul> <li>using effective questioning techniques; and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>observing/listening to and analyzing student inquiries, approaches to the task, performand</li> </ul>	s' verbal and nonverbal responses and reactions, ces, and final products.
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.A	Final Rating for APS 7.A
⊠ Met	☐ Met
□ Not Met	□ Not Met

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.A Rating The teacher used effective questioning techniques to maintain constant awareness of student learning. He distributed different colored fluffy-balls to the students and was constantly asking them to add the different colored balls together to find the total. Students with wrong answers were nicely corrected and set back on the right track.	Rationale for Final APS 7.A Rating
The teacher maintains a constant awareness of student learning by checking for understanding throughout the lesson and by conferencing individually with students.	
APS 7.B The teacher enhances student learning by assessments to guide instruction.	y using information from informal and formal
The teacher	
<ul> <li>makes appropriate decisions regarding the ne</li> </ul>	eed to make adjustments during the lesson; and
<ul> <li>effectively implements any needed adjustments.</li> </ul>	
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.B	Final Rating for APS 7.B
Not Met	☐ Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.B Rating The teacher noticed that a few of the students had trouble finding the missing part of the part-part-whole chart so he returned to the apple tree and asked the students to count the number of apples on the ground.  Adjustments made during the lesson were to redirect	Rationale for Final APS 7.B Rating
student behavior to be on task.	
APS 7.C The teacher enhances student learning by providing appropriate instructional feedback to students.  The teacher  provides feedback to students throughout the lesson;  provides feedback to students on all significant student work; and  provides feedback that is accurate, constructive, substantive, specific, and timely.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 7.C	Final Rating for APS 7.C
Not Met	☐ Not Met

Updated June 2009

Domain 2: Instruction	APS 7: Monitoring, Assessing, and Enhancing Learning
Rationale for Preliminary APS 7.C Rating  The teacher remained positive throughout the lesson. Students with wrong answers during the calendar and EnVision Math lesson were nicely redirected in the right direction. Students felt free to answer the teacher's questions even if they were not sure that their answer was correct.  Individualized feedback was given when the teacher was conferencing with individual students to aid in their writing.	Rationale for Final APS 7.C Rating
Domain 3: Environment	APS 8: Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning
APS 8.A The teacher creates and maintains the phase place that is conducive to learning.  The teacher creates and maintains a physical environment is safe; and  is conducive to learning.	ment that
Preliminary Rating for APS 8.A	Final Rating for APS 8.A  Met  Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.A Rating The teacher created a safe place for learning. Above each of the group tables was a banner with the name of the group that the students came up with themselves (e.g., Ice Cream Fairies, Ninja Sponge Coyotes, etc.). There was also a working fireplace in the corner with inviting book baskets, and all of the various centers were clean and well-stocked with needed materials.	Rationale for Final APS 8.A Rating
Student desks are grouped together in groups. There is space on the floor with a carpet for whole group instruction as well as space around the classroom for students to work in centers.	
APS 8.B The teacher creates and maintains a pos	sitive affective climate in his or her classroom.
<ul> <li>displays confidence and enthusiasm; and</li> <li>maintains positive and respectful relationshi</li> </ul>	ps with and among the students.
Preliminary Rating for APS 8.B  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 8.B  Met  Not Met

Domain 3: Environment	APS 8: Maintaining an Environment That Promotes Learning	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 8.B Rating	
The teacher created a safe place for learning. During the morning routine, a girl became sick. Although he was in the middle of an observation, the teacher took the time to make sure the little girl was okay by attending to her needs and filling out a nurse form for her.		
The teacher established a positive learning environment for the students. He made learning relevant through pictures of an event that was fun for the students and engaging through the story board that "comes to life" through his movement. The teacher was structured using a clip system and positive reinforcement.		
APS 8.C The teacher creates and maintains a culture of learning in his or her classroom.  The teacher		
<ul> <li>creates a culture of learning by facilitating inquisitive, motivation to learn, cooperation, and teamwork, and by being an active learner; and</li> </ul>		
works to ensure that every student is a "learner."		
Preliminary Rating for APS 8.C	Final Rating for APS 8.C	
⊠ Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 8.C Rating The teacher created an environment in which all of the students were eager to learn. He used magic by turning six independent colored fluffy balls into one multicolored ball. All of the students were engaged and active in learning.  The teacher made sure that students felt they had a sense of belonging in the classroom by talking to them on their level- eye to eye.	Rationale for Final APS 8.C Rating	

#### Domain 3: Environment

#### **APS 9: Managing the Classroom**

#### APS 9.A The teacher manages student behavior appropriately.

The teacher

- establishes and communicates appropriate behavioral rules and consequences;
- maintains a constant awareness of events and activities in the classroom;
- uses effective preventive discipline techniques; and
- handles inappropriate behaviors in an effective and timely manner.

Domain 3: Environment	APS 9: Managing the Classroom	
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.A	Final Rating for APS 9.A	
<b>⊠</b> Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.A Rating	Rationale for Final APS 9.A Rating	
The teacher told a few students who were off-task to move their clips to a lower level. The students complied without complaining and without further interrupting the lesson. When they returned to the group, they remained on task and were held to high expectations.		
The teacher had clear classroom expectations for the students and they were embedded through the classroom essential agreements. The students demonstrated an understanding by restating some of the classroom expectations and by following the directions after they were reiterated.		
Otter Cards were issued for students displaying positive behavior.		
APS 9.B The teacher makes maximal use of instr	uctional time.	
The teacher		
<ul> <li>ensures that the students are engaged in meaningful academic learning throughout the instructional period; and</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>organizes the classroom in a manner that pro</li></ul>	motes a smooth flow of activity.	
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.B	Final Rating for APS 9.B	
⊠ Met	☐ Met	
☐ Not Met	☐ Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.B Rating	Rationale for Final APS 9.B Rating	
The teacher made maximum use of instructional time. After the bell rang for center activities to change, the teacher said, "Freeze Think Move." The children quickly followed instructions. There was no loss on instructional time moving from one center to another.		
The teacher had the students have a working snack while they were independently writing since they have an early lunchtime. Students transitioned from writing to end of the day routines quickly.		
	wational routings in an officient manner	

#### APS 9.C The teacher manages essential non-instructional routines in an efficient manner.

The teacher

- promotes the smooth flow of non-instructional routines; and
- manages transitions between activities or classes in an efficient and orderly manner.

Updated June 2009

Domain 3: Environment	APS 9: Managing the Classroom	
Preliminary Rating for APS 9.C  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 9.C  Met  Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 9.C Rating The teacher had a system for non-instructional routines. During the EnVision, whole-group math lesson, a girl quietly stood up and went and got a tissue to blow her nose. Then, she returned to the lesson. She did not disrupt any of the other students.	Rationale for Final APS 9.C Rating	
The teacher communicated both in English and Spanish the directions for non-instructional routines and transitions. The students followed the procedures with reminders.		
Domain 4: Professionalism	APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities	
	termine and meet individual student needs; and ships with others outside of the school to support the	
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.A  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 10.A  Met  Not Met	
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.A Rating The teacher is a team player, shows leadership qualities, and works extremely well with others. He is positive and helpful. He is a role model to others.	Rationale for Final APS 10.A Rating	
APS 10.B The teacher works to achieve organizational goals in order to make the entire school a positive and productive learning environment for the students.  The teacher  is an active contributor to school initiatives; and		
supports school-related organizations and activities.		
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.B  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 10.B  Met  Not Met	

Domain 4: Professionalism	APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.B Rating The teacher attends all grade-level, content-area, and school-wide meetings. He is punctual and positive. He continues to learn for the betterment of his students.	Rationale for Final APS 10.B Rating
APS 10.C The teacher is an effective communicate.  The teacher  uses clear and correct oral and written languate communicates effectively and regularly with.  Preliminary Rating for APS 10.C  Met  Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.C Rating  The teacher uses clear and correct communication in both oral and written form.	ge; and
APS 10.D The teacher exhibits professional deme The teacher  maintains all required professional credential adheres to all Standards of Conduct for South standards; and demonstrates self-management skills (e.g., reappearance) and a high quality of work (e.g., and effective manner).  Preliminary Rating for APS 10.D  Met	ls; h Carolina Educators and maintains ethical
Not Met  Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.D Rating The teacher is a professional. He is a team player, a learner himself, and is extremely dedicated to improving the academic achievement of his students.	☐ Not Met  Rationale for Final APS 10.D Rating
APS 10.E The teacher is an active learner.  The teacher  accurately identifies his or her own professions sets appropriate professional development gregularly seeks out, participates in, and cont that support his or her continued professional	oals; and ributes to activities that promote collaboration and

Updated June 2009

Domain 4: Professionalism	APS 10: Fulfilling Professional Responsibilities
Preliminary Rating for APS 10.E  Met  Not Met	Final Rating for APS 10.E  Met (Based on preliminary Professional Self-Assessment)  Met (Based on new/revised Professional Self-Assessment)  Not Met
Rationale for Preliminary APS 10.E Rating Teacher has completed his IB PYP category 1training, understanding RTI, NWEA data review, math workshops and vertical content discussions.	Rationale for Final APS 10.E Rating
Professional Self-Assessment (PSA) Requirement for the Final Evaluation Period  A new/revised PSA is not required.  A new/revised PSA is required.  Comments  Teacher was able to articulate well his strengths and challenges as an educator.	

Additional Comments and Areas for Improvement (Optional)		
Preliminary Evaluation Period	Final Evaluation Period	
Mr. Heiter has been a welcome addition to our Spanish Immersion Program embracing a new model concept as a 'stand-alone' teacher for both English and Spanish instruction.		

# SLO Summative Conference Review Template

Teacher:	Anthony Heiter		Contract Level:	Annual.
School: _	Hilton Head Island Elementary	_ Position/Grade: _	Classroom Teacl	ner Grade 1

Select one \*

SLO Type: \*Individual SLO

\*Team SLO

SLO Approach:

\*Course

\* Class

\*Targeted

\*Tiered

SLO Approach: "Course	" Class " Targe	red ** I lered
Teacher Progress	Preliminary Evaluation Cycle	Final Evaluation Cycle
Teacher learning through setting and monitoring SLOs		Teacher learned how to improve the use of writer's workshop materials including the planning involved to implement the Lucy Calkins system for more effective implementation.
Teacher professional practice growth through setting and monitoring SLOs		The process of team collaboration allowed for likeminded colleague input in how to best carry out the scoring and planning for future instruction, this provided a priceless opportunity to gain strength as an educator.
Teacher insight regarding student progress relevant to target learning goals  Supportive data:		Educator found it refreshing to note that this kind of growth could be documented and that with proper direction, student writing can significantly improve and be a skill students desire to achieve.  Students can reach a level of proficiency and actually see the joy involved in the process of
		writing. Finally, it was noted how students have tremendous ways of expressing themselves through writing generated by their own interests on self-selected ideas and topics.
		Based on informal assessments, teacher noted that students would have enjoyed the continuation of 45 minute writing block.
SLO implementation successes:		Students looked forward to the opportunity to write and were
Contributors to success:		

# SLO Summative Conference Review Template

able to build stamina for lo periods of time.  All students showed signific improvement in writing skit due to the writer's workshow method implemented at Hill students showed significant improvement in writing skit due to the writer's workshow method implemented at Hill students involved implemented at Hill students involved between the writing light design and students involved between the writing assignment/assessments in for a certain level of challes.	cant lls op HIES.
improvement in writing ski due to the writer's workshow method implemented at Hi  SLO implementation challenges:  The long period of time involved between the writing ski due to the w	lls op HIES. ng
SLO implementation challenges:  Identified obstacles:  The long period of time involved between the writi assignment/assessments makes and the state of the state	ng
involved between the writi Identified obstacles: assignment/assessments m	_
	nade
and inconvenience. Putting the Rubicon plan system and the Writer's workshop on the same tim lines for implementation or slightly more difficult. Lengthy time required fo writing, scoring, organizing team planning and for reco	ning e an be
Observation comments, if keeping.	
applicable (i.e. Induction, Certification Renewal) *attach observation instrument	
SLO Final Rating Exemplary Exemplary 100%	
Proficient Proficient	_
Needs Improvement Needs Improvement	
Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory	
Teacher Reflection: in lieu of rating due to lack of students*	
Approved for Renewal Credit Yes:X No:	_
Goals for next year's Professional Growth & Development Plan (PGDP)  Development Plan (PGDP)  Continue to develop a gr proficiency and speedier grading in a team effort. By becoming more famili with the rubric, spend less sorting and organizing data	ar time
collecting and presenting information.  Spend more time working with team members in collaborative sessions in Writer's Workshop (Visit of classrooms to see how this be carried out in other classroom settings).	ther

January 2016 Submit to HR Page 46

SLO Summative Conference Review Template

Administrator Comments		21 out of 21 students met or
		exceeded their target growth
*Teacher may also submit a writter	n <b>reflection</b> , if desired – to be atta	ched to and submitted with this template.
Teacher signature:	RMex	Date: 3 - 22-/6
Administrator signature:	I lela	Date: 3-22-/6