

# **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name: South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School CDS Code: 37-68395-6040505

School Year: 2024-25

LEA contact information:

Dr. Pilar Vargas

Principal

619-628-5600

School districts receive funding from different sources: state funds under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), other state funds, local funds, and federal funds. LCFF funds include a base level of funding for all LEAs and extra funding - called "supplemental and concentration" grants - to LEAs based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learners, and low-income students).

Budget Overview for the 2024-25 School Year



Charter School expects to receive in the coming year from all sources.

The text description for the above chart is as follows: The total revenue projected for South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School is \$10,079,163, of which \$8,742,133 is Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF), \$1,185,420 is other state funds, \$60,000 is local funds, and \$91,610 is federal funds. Of the \$8,742,133 in LCFF Funds, \$1,252,172 is generated based on the enrollment of high needs students (foster youth, English learner, and low-income students).

## **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

The LCFF gives school districts more flexibility in deciding how to use state funds. In exchange, school districts must work with parents, educators, students, and the community to develop a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) that shows how they will use these funds to serve students.



This chart provides a quick summary of how much South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School plans to spend for 2024-25. It shows how much of the total is tied to planned actions and services in the LCAP.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School plans to spend \$8,395,474 for the 2024-25 school year. Of that amount, \$8395474 is tied to actions/services in the LCAP and \$0 is not included in the LCAP. The budgeted expenditures that are not included in the LCAP will be used for the following:

#### Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in the LCAP for the 2024-25 School Year

In 2024-25, South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School is projecting it will receive \$1,252,172 based on the enrollment of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School must describe how it intends to increase or improve services for high needs students in the LCAP. South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School plans to spend \$0 towards meeting this requirement, as described in the LCAP.

## **LCFF Budget Overview for Parents**

### Update on Increased or Improved Services for High Needs Students in 2023-24



This chart compares what South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School budgeted last year in the LCAP for actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students with what South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School estimates it has spent on actions and services that contribute to increasing or improving services for high needs students in the current year.

The text description of the above chart is as follows: In 2023-24, South Bay Union School District -Imperial Beach Charter School's LCAP budgeted \$189,157 for planned actions to increase or improve services for high needs students. South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach Charter School actually spent \$23,729 for actions to increase or improve services for high needs students in 2023-24.



# 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name                                | Contact Name and Title        | Email and Phone                    |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach<br>Charter School | Dr. Pilar Vargas<br>Principal | pvargas2@sbusd.org<br>619-628-5600 |
|  |                               |                                    |
|  |                               |                                    |

### **Goals and Actions**

#### Goal

| Goal # | Description  |
|--------|--|
| 1      | Ensuring Academic Excellence: Provide a differentiated, responsive and well-rounded academic experience based on instructional practices that make a significant difference in the lives of all students. Create student centered and responsive learning environments for all students focused on the development of literacy, language, and a well-rounded educational experience. Ensure excellence and equitable learning results for all students, including access to rigorous and responsive curricula, responsive instructional practices, and engaging learning environments. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric  | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24   |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|
|   |  |  |   |  |   |
| California School<br>Dashboard ELA<br>Indicator | Overall: 1.9 points<br>above standard.<br>Student Groups:<br>English Learners:<br>Current ELs are 71.6<br>points below standard;<br>reclassified ELs are<br>5.3 points above<br>standard; EOs are<br>11.7 points above<br>standard.<br>A decline of 10.7<br>points among ELs.<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>15.2 points below<br>standard; a 4.2 point<br>decline. | The Dashboard no<br>longer reports results<br>in "distance from<br>standard" - the new<br>baseline for student<br>groups will be "% of<br>students meeting or<br>exceeding standard"<br>in English Language<br>Arts.<br>English Language<br>Fluency:<br>41% of students who<br>are Initial English<br>Fluent or English<br>Only;<br>50% of students who<br>are Reclassified<br>Fluent English<br>Proficient (grades 6- | Overall: 37.3 points<br>from standard,<br>designated as 'Low'<br>Student Groups:<br>English Learners:<br>Current EL students<br>show that 43.6% are<br>making progress<br>towards English<br>language proficiency.<br>54.3 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged: 45.8<br>points from standard,<br>designated as 'Low' | Overall: The updated<br>scores in the CA<br>Dashboard are from<br>the 2023 CAASPP<br>tests. 41.3 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as "Low"<br>Student Groups:<br>English Learners: 65.5<br>below standard,<br>declined 11.2 points.<br>This is a decrease in<br>the number of<br>students who are<br>approaching language<br>proficiency in English.<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged: 53.7, | Overall, students will<br>increase their points<br>from standard by 7.7<br>points to move from<br>'Low' to 'Medium'<br>English Learners will<br>increase their<br>progress toward<br>language proficiency<br>by 1.4%<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>students will increase<br>their points from<br>standard by 5<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities |
|   |  | 8);  |   |  | will increase their   |

| Metric | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--------|---|--|---|--|--|
|        | Students with<br>Disabilities<br>70.7 points below<br>standard; an 8.2 point<br>decline.<br>Homeless Students<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy.<br>Foster Youth<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy. | <ul> <li>3% of students<br/>English Learners<br/>enrolled 12 months or<br/>more (grades 3-7);<br/>38% of English Only<br/>students;24% of Ever<br/>English Learner<br/>(grades 3-8)</li> <li>Socio-Economically<br/>Disadvantaged: 28%<br/>of students met or<br/>exceeded standards</li> <li>Students with<br/>Reported Disabilities:<br/>16%</li> <li>Homeless Students<br/>**Less than 10<br/>students; data not<br/>displayed for privacy.</li> <li>Foster Youth<br/>**Less than 10<br/>students; data not<br/>displayed for privacy.</li> <li>Hispanic/Latino<br/>Students:<br/>30%</li> <li>White Students:<br/>40%</li> </ul> | Students with<br>Reported Disabilities:<br>96.3 points from<br>standards, designated<br>as 'Very Low'<br>Homeless Students:<br>*65.5 points from<br>standard. No<br>performance level<br>provided<br>Foster Students:<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students:<br>49.7 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>White Students: 22.5<br>points from standard,<br>designated as 'Low'<br>Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>*4.3 from standard.<br>No performance level<br>provided | decline 7.9 points,<br>designated as 'Low'<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities:<br>77.8 increased 21.2<br>points, designated<br>'Low'<br>Homeless Students:<br>*69.4 from standard.<br>No performance level<br>provided<br>Foster Students:<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students:<br>55 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>White Students:<br>27.7 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>White Students:<br>27.7 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>*20.5 from standard.<br>No performance level<br>provided | points from standard<br>by 10<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students will increase<br>their points from<br>standard by 5<br>White students will<br>increase their points<br>from standard by 5 |

| Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24   |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|
|  |   | Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>42%   |  |   |   |
| California School<br>Dashboard Math<br>Indicator | Overall:<br>23.9 points below<br>standard; indicating a<br>-1 points maintained<br>Student Groups:  | The Dashboard no<br>longer reports results<br>in "distance from<br>standard" - the new<br>baseline for student<br>groups will be "% of<br>students meeting or | Overall:<br>71.8 points away from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>Student Groups:   | Overall:The scores<br>were 70.1 away from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low' and<br>maintaining from the<br>previous year  | Overall, students will<br>increase their points<br>from standard by 30<br>points<br>English Learners will<br>increase their points  |
|  | English Learners<br>50.7 points below<br>standard; indicating a<br>.8 points maintained<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>39.5 points below<br>standard; indicating a<br>-0.5 points maintained<br>Students with<br>Disabilities<br>80.8 points below<br>standards; a ++ 4.1<br>point increase. | exceeding standard"<br>in Math.<br>English Language<br>Fluency:<br>21% of 396 students<br>who are Initial English<br>Fluent or English<br>Only;               | English Learners:<br>8.3 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged: 80.4<br>points from standard,<br>designated as 'Low'<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities:<br>127.2 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Very Low'<br>Homeless Students: | Student Groups:<br>English Learners:<br>Declined 3.6 points<br>from last year,<br>designated as 'Low'<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>85.5 points from<br>standard, declined 5.2<br>points, designated<br>'Low'<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities:<br>97.6 points from | from standard by 10<br>Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>students will increase<br>their points from<br>standard by 10<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities<br>will increase their<br>points from standard<br>by 10<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students will increase<br>their points from |
|  | Homeless Students<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy.<br>Foster Youth   | more (grades 3-7);<br>22% of 326 English<br>Only students; 12% of<br>157 Ever English<br>Learner (grades 3-8)   | *95.6 points from<br>standard. No<br>performance level<br>provided<br>Foster Students:   | standard, increased<br>34.8 points,<br>designated 'Low'<br>Homeless Students:<br>*84.6 points from<br>standard, increased   | standard by 10<br>White students will<br>increase their points<br>from standard by 10   |

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24                                |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
|  | **Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy. | Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged: 15%<br>of 218 students met or<br>exceeded standards<br>Students with<br>Reported Disabilities:<br>16% of 63 students<br>(grades 3-8)<br>Homeless Students<br>**Less than 10<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy.<br>Foster Youth<br>**Less than 10<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy.<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students:<br>30% of 327 students<br>White Students:<br>40% of 115 students<br>Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>42% of 24 students<br>(grades 4, 5, 7 and 8) | Hispanic/Latino<br>Students:<br>82.9 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>White Students:<br>60.3 points from<br>standard, designated<br>as 'Low'<br>Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>*38.4 from standard.<br>No performance level<br>provided | 11 points. No<br>performance level<br>provided<br>Foster Students:<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy<br>Hispanic/Latino<br>Students:<br>82.6 points from<br>standard, maintained,<br>designated 'Low'<br>White Students:<br>60.2 points from<br>standard, maintained,<br>designated 'Low'<br>Two or More Races<br>Students:<br>31.4 points from<br>standard, increased<br>6.9 points. No<br>performance level<br>provided |  |
| California School<br>Dashboard English<br>Learner Progress | Overall:<br>59.8% making<br>progress towards                   | The Dashboard notes<br>summary of progress  | Overall:<br>43.6% making<br>progress towards  | Overall:<br>49.6% making<br>progress towards   | Overall, English<br>Learners will increase<br>by 2% making |

| Metric | Baseline                             | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome                       | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24              |
|--------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
|        | English language<br>proficiency      | towards English<br>Language Proficiency.  | English language<br>proficiency      | English language<br>proficiency. Increased<br>6%, designated 'High'             | progress towards<br>language proficiency |
|        | Student Groups:                      | Level 4/Well-<br>Developed English  | Student Groups:                      | Student Groups:   |  |
|        | English Learners                     | Skills = 13% of 144   | English Learners                     | Student Oroups.   |  |
|        | 9.8 % English<br>Learners maintained | students (grades 2;<br>4th-7th)   | 1.8% English<br>Learners maintained  | English Learners:<br>44.5% progressed   |  |
|        | Level 4.                             | 401-701)  | Level 4.                             | one ELPI level  |  |
|        | 15.1 % English<br>Learners decreased | Level 3/Moderately  | 10% English Learners decreased one   | 2.5% maintained ELPI<br>Level 4   |  |
|        | one English Language                 | Developed English<br>Skills= 28% of 144   | English Language                     | 40.3% maintained  |  |
|        | Proficiency Level.                   | students (grades 2;<br>4th - 7th)   | Proficiency Level.                   | ELPI Level 1, 2, 3<br>12.6% decreased one                                       |  |
|        | Socio-Economically                   | 401 - 701)  | Socio-Economically                   | ELPI level  |  |
|        | Disadvantaged<br>N/A                 | Level 2/Somewhat<br>Developed English   | Disadvantaged<br>N/A                 |   |  |
|        |                                      | Skills= 30% of 144  |                                      | Socio-Economically  |  |
|        | Students with<br>Disabilities<br>N/A | students (grades 2;<br>4th - 7th)   | Students with<br>Disabilities<br>N/A | Disadvantaged:<br>N/A   |  |
|        |                                      | Level 1/ Beginning  |                                      | Students with   |  |
|        | Homeless Students **Less than 11     | Stage of Developed<br>English Skills = 29%  | Homeless Students<br>**Less than 11  | Disabilities:<br>N/A  |  |
|        | students; data not                   | of 144 students   | students; data not                   |   |  |
|        | displayed for privacy.               | (grades 2; 4th - 7th)   | displayed for privacy.               | Homeless Students:<br>**Less than 11  |  |
|        | Foster Youth                         |   | Foster Youth                         | students; data not  |  |
|        | **Less than 11<br>students; data not | Socio-Economically<br>Disadvantaged   | **Less than 11<br>students; data not | displayed for privacy.  |  |
|        | displayed for privacy.               | Students:<br>Level 4/Well-<br>Developed English<br>Skills 11% of 80<br>students (grades 3<br>and 4. All other | displayed for privacy.               | Foster Youth:<br>**Less than 11<br>students; data not<br>displayed for privacy. |  |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome | Year 3 Outcome | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--------|----------|--|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
|        |          | grades tested 10 or<br>fewer students. Data<br>not reported for<br>student privacy)<br>Level 3/Moderately<br>Developed English<br>Skills= 29% of 80<br>students (grades 3<br>and 4. All other<br>grades tested 10 or<br>fewer students. Data<br>not reported for<br>student privacy)<br>Level 2/Somewhat<br>Developed English<br>Skills= 34% of 80<br>students (grades 3<br>and 4. All other<br>grades tested 10 or<br>fewer students. Data<br>not reported for<br>student privacy)<br>Level 1/ Beginning<br>Stage of Developed<br>English Skills = 26%<br>of 80 students<br>(grades 3 and 4. All<br>other grades tested 10<br>or fewer students.<br>Data not reported for<br>student privacy) |                |                |                             |

| Metric  | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|
|   |   | Students with<br>Reported Disabilities<br>data not displayed for<br>student privacy; Less<br>than 10 students<br>tested.   |   |  |  |
|   |   | Homeless Students<br>data not displayed for<br>student privacy; Less<br>than 10 students<br>tested.  |   |  |  |
| Local Measure:<br>FASTbridge<br>Assessment -<br>Reading | Early Reading<br>an average of 43% of<br>kindergarten and 1st<br>grade students are at<br>or above benchmark<br>aReading<br>an average of 39.5%<br>of students in grades<br>K-8 are at or above<br>benchmark<br>AUTOreading<br>an average of 37.5%<br>of students in grades<br>2-8 are at or above<br>benchmark<br>CBM Reading<br>an average of 33.3%<br>of students in grades<br>2 and 3 are at or | FASTBridge<br>Assessments are<br>reported in percentiles<br>and growth scores.<br>Assessment<br>components were<br>optional for Fall and<br>Spring.<br>Early Reading<br>76% of Kinders<br>assessed made<br>modest or typical<br>growth<br>aReading<br>on average, students<br>in grades 2-6<br>improved 17 points. | Early Reading<br>an average of 41% of<br>kindergarten and 1st<br>grade students are at<br>or above benchmark<br>aReading<br>an average of 29% of<br>students in grades K-8<br>are at or above<br>benchmark<br>AUTOreading<br>an average of 23% of<br>students in grades 2-8<br>are at or above<br>benchmark<br>CBM Reading<br>an average of 21% of<br>students in grades 2<br>and 3 are at or above | FASTbridge is no<br>longer used. This is<br>Fountas & Pinnell<br>data<br>Early Reading<br>an average of 71% of<br>kindergarten and 1st<br>grade students are at<br>or above benchmark<br>Reading - all students<br>58.99% of students in<br>grades K-8 are at or<br>above benchmark<br>Reading - student 2-8<br>54.59% of students in<br>grades 2-8 are at or<br>above benchmark<br>Reading - students | Overall, an increase of<br>5% of all students will<br>be at or above<br>benchmark across all<br>student groups |

| Metric  | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |   | AUTOreading on<br>average, students in<br>grades 4 and 5<br>improved 12 points<br>CBM Reading<br>on average, students<br>in grades 2 and 3<br>improved 83 points  |  | 46.97% of students in<br>grades 2 and 3 are at<br>or above benchmark |  |
| Local Measure:<br>FASTbridge<br>Assessment - Math | Early Math<br>an average of 58% of<br>kindergarten and 1st<br>grade students are at<br>or above benchmark<br>aMath<br>an average of 46.5 %<br>students in grades 1-<br>8 are at or above<br>benchmark<br>CBM Math CAP<br>an average of 36%<br>students in grades 2-8<br>are at or above<br>benchmark. | FASTBridge<br>Assessments are<br>reported in percentiles<br>and growth scores.<br>Early Math<br>On average,<br>kindergartners<br>increased 38 points<br>indicating typical<br>growth<br>aMath<br>On average, students<br>in grades 2 -5<br>increased 9 points<br>with 2nd grade having<br>a 19 point increase.<br>All increases indicate<br>either modest or<br>typical growth<br>CBM Math CAP data<br>not available at this<br>time (5/2022) | Early Math<br>an average of 40.5%<br>of kindergarten and<br>1st grade students are<br>at or above<br>benchmark<br>aMath<br>an average of 30.4 %<br>students in grades 1-<br>8 are at or above<br>benchmark<br>CBM Math CAP<br>an average of 19%<br>students in grades 2-8<br>are at or above<br>benchmark. | FASTbridge is no<br>longer used                                      | Overall, an increase of<br>5% of all students will<br>be at or above<br>benchmark across all<br>student groups |

| Metric  | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |  |  |
| English Learner<br>Reclassification<br>Rate/Percentage  | 17 of IBCS's English<br>Learners 152 were<br>reclassified. (11%)   | 17 of IBCS's 150<br>English Learners were<br>reclassified in the 21-<br>22 school year. (11%)  | 14 of IBCS's English<br>Learners 110 were<br>reclassified. (12%)   | 10 of IBCS's English<br>Learners 179 have<br>been reclassified at<br>this point of the school<br>year. (5.5%)  | The percentage of<br>English Learners who<br>will reclassify will<br>increase to 14% |
| Site and Grade Level<br>Master Schedules<br>with English<br>Language<br>Development<br>Schedules for all<br>grade levels. | Each grade-level has<br>a collaborative<br>schedule that reflects<br>a broad course of<br>study as well as<br>scheduled time for<br>designated ELD,<br>which does not<br>preclude English<br>Learners from<br>accessing core<br>content or a broad<br>course of study. | Each grade-level has<br>a collaborative<br>schedule that reflects<br>a broad course of<br>study as well as<br>scheduled time for<br>designated ELD,<br>which does not<br>preclude English<br>Learners from<br>accessing core<br>content or a broad<br>course of study. | Each grade-level has<br>a collaborative<br>schedule that reflects<br>a broad course of<br>study as well as<br>scheduled time for<br>designated ELD,<br>which does not<br>preclude English<br>Learners from<br>accessing core<br>content or a broad<br>course of study. | Each grade-level has<br>a collaborative<br>schedule that reflects<br>a broad course of<br>study as well as<br>scheduled time for<br>designated ELD,<br>which does not<br>preclude English<br>Learners from<br>accessing core<br>content or a broad<br>course of study. | Maintain Baseline.   |
| Williams Compliance<br>Report for Access to<br>Standards Aligned<br>Instructional Materials                               | All students have<br>access to standards-<br>aligned instructional<br>materials in core<br>content areas.  | All students have<br>access to standards-<br>aligned instructional<br>materials in core<br>content areas.  | All students have<br>access to standards-<br>aligned instructional<br>materials in core<br>content areas.  | All students have<br>access to standards-<br>aligned instructional<br>materials in core<br>content areas.  | Maintain Baseline.   |
| CTC Review of<br>Teacher Credentialing  | 100% of all teachers<br>are appropriately<br>credentialed and<br>placed.   | Maintain Baseline.   |

# **Goal Analysis**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year. A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The full implementation of actions through the entire three year process was difficult as a result of the pandemic and distance learning. This severely impacted the gathering of data and implementation of the action steps for each goal. We had to adjust for an increase in salaries which mean that the support for implementing Project Based Learning had to be suspended to account for this increase.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

As a result of the changes in the budget to account for an increase in salaries for staff, we had to make adjustments in expenditures. This resulted in an increase in expenses and a shift in how the funds were going to be spent.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The support for students through our Learning Acceleration Tutor ended up being successful for our students i having them increase their reading and math scores. All students that were served by the Learning Acceleration Tutor improved in their scores and reading levels. This also led to an increase in scores from these groups. There is still work to be done as she was not able to address all grade levels equitably. Her support was focused on the grade levels that do state testing, but through this process we found that supporting lower grade students can also be helpful long-term in reaching our LCAP goals.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

What has been learned is that the focus of the Learning Acceleration Tutor should be equitably distributed between lower and upper grades. The focus for the academic success of students will also be shifted to a more sustainable action step that requires less funding, but is found to be impactful. This has led to the removal of Project Based Learning and instead focusing on Universal Design for Learning which supports the learning of all students and in improving their scores and academic achievement.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.

## **Goals and Actions**

#### Goal

| Goal # | Description   |
|--------|---|
|        | Advancing Equity and Inclusion:<br>Create a culture of equity and inclusion through the elimination of systemic barriers to individual success and the<br>development of culturally responsive practices. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24   |
|--|--|----------------|--|---|---|
|  |  |                |  |   |   |
| Center for Equitable<br>Leadership: Leading<br>Equitable Schools | No baseline existed in<br>the 20-21 school year. |                | In the 22-23 school<br>year street data was<br>collected from<br>students. Elementary<br>school students<br>wanted a better<br>response to bullying.<br>Middle school<br>students stated that<br>social media was a<br>problem, and handling<br>their emotions was a<br>struggle. They also<br>have heard<br>statements that were<br>anti trans, racist, and<br>wanted more support<br>in addressing this.<br>N=30 | In the first half of the<br>23-24 school year<br>street data was<br>collected from<br>students. Elementary<br>school students liked<br>school and wanted<br>more celebrations for<br>their hard work.<br>Middle school<br>students stated that<br>social media was a<br>problem, and handling<br>their emotions was a<br>struggle but they had<br>identified adults that<br>they trusted on<br>campus and knew<br>who to go and talk to if<br>they needed help.<br>Middle schoolers also<br>felt that some bullying<br>was flying under the | Increase the number<br>of students<br>participating in street<br>data collection with 10<br>students from each<br>grade level in<br>fishbowls, and an<br>additional 10 students<br>per grade level for<br>empathy interviews. |

| Metric                           | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
|                                  |  |   |   | radar and wanted a<br>better way to report<br>things anonymously.<br>N=52   |  |
| Teacher Reflection<br>During PLC | No baseline existed in the 20-21 school year   | Teachers' anecdotal<br>data indicate that<br>teachers use what<br>they know about<br>students interests,<br>language and culture<br>to engage them in<br>classroom instruction,<br>community and<br>learning. | Teachers' anecdotal<br>data indicate that they<br>use students'<br>background and<br>culture to support<br>them in their learning.<br>They identified that it<br>was important for<br>students to see<br>themselves in different<br>areas. More literature<br>and content that was<br>culturally relevant<br>would be helpful for<br>classroom instruction. | In the first half of the<br>23-24 school year,<br>teachers stated that<br>they wanted more<br>time specifically for<br>their Teacher PLC<br>time. The anecdotal<br>data indicates that<br>they use students'<br>background and<br>culture to support<br>them in their learning.<br>They stated that they<br>would like more ways<br>in which to incorporate<br>equity based lessons<br>and curriculum into<br>their daily routines.<br>They have requested<br>more culturally<br>relevant literature and<br>support in bringing it<br>into their classes. | Surveys will be<br>created and used<br>about culturally<br>relevant instruction<br>and materials for<br>certificated and<br>classified to quantify<br>understanding and<br>implementation of<br>incorporating student<br>backgrounds and<br>stories. |
| CHKS Data Survey                 | Feedback from<br>Education Partners in<br>the 20-21 school year<br>on the CHKS survey,<br>led to the District-wide<br>and School-wide<br>LCAP goals. | Low return rate on the 22-23 CHKS survey precluded data reported from adults.   | Student Results:<br>20% of 6th graders<br>responded, 33% of<br>5th graders, 21% of<br>4th graders, and 29%<br>of 3rd graders.   | *CHKS Data Survey<br>results have not<br>changed since it has<br>not been administered<br>so far this school year.<br>Student Results:  | Provide education<br>partners with multiple<br>means and incentives<br>to complete the CHKS<br>parent and staff<br>surveys to achieve a  |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|
|        |          |                | School<br>connectedness for 3rd<br>graders was 75%, 4th<br>graders 56%, 5th<br>graders 64%, 6th<br>graders 57%<br>Those who reported<br>feeling safe at school<br>were 85% for 3rd<br>graders, 60% for 4th<br>graders, 58% for 5th<br>graders, and 62% for<br>6th graders<br>The percentages of<br>students that believe<br>there is an adult who<br>cares about them at<br>school are 66% for<br>3rd graders, 58% for<br>4th graders, 59% for<br>5th graders, and 69%<br>for 6th graders<br>Those that believe<br>they have meaningful<br>participation in school<br>are 42% for 3rd<br>graders, 33% for 4th<br>graders, 35% for 5th<br>graders, and 34% for<br>6th graders<br>89% of the 125 7th<br>graders and 77% of | 20% of 6th graders<br>responded, 33% of<br>5th graders, 21% of<br>4th graders, and 29%<br>of 3rd graders.<br>School<br>connectedness for 3rd<br>graders was 75%, 4th<br>graders 56%, 5th<br>graders 64%, 6th<br>graders 57%<br>Those who reported<br>feeling safe at school<br>were 85% for 3rd<br>graders, 60% for 4th<br>graders, 58% for 5th<br>graders, and 62% for<br>6th graders<br>The percentages of<br>students that believe<br>there is an adult who<br>cares about them at<br>school are 66% for<br>3rd graders, 58% for<br>4th graders, 59% for<br>5th graders, and 69%<br>for 6th graders<br>Those that believe<br>they have meaningful<br>participation in school<br>are 42% for 3rd<br>graders, 33% for 4th | return rate of 50% or<br>more.<br>Increase school<br>connectedness, those<br>feeling safe at school,<br>those who believe<br>there is an adult who<br>cares about them, and<br>meaningful<br>participation in school<br>by 5%.<br>Decrease the<br>percentage of middle<br>school students who<br>have experienced<br>bullying or<br>harassment, those<br>who have experienced<br>social emotional<br>distress, chronic<br>sadness/hopelessnes<br>s by 5%.<br>Increase the<br>percentages of parent<br>responses to those<br>who feel their children<br>are safe at school,<br>and that their student<br>feels hopeful about<br>the future by 5%.<br>Increase staff<br>responses of |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--------|----------|----------------|---|--|--|
|        |          |                | the 114 8th graders<br>completed the student<br>survey.<br>(parent/guardian<br>permission not<br>needed to take survey<br>opt-out)<br>Middle School<br>Responses:<br>93% of 7th graders<br>responded, and 87%<br>of 8th graders<br>School<br>connectedness: 53%<br>of 7th graders and<br>54% of 8th graders<br>For those that have<br>experienced<br>harassment or<br>bullying, it is 29% for<br>7th graders and 37%<br>for 8th graders, with<br>24% of 7th graders<br>fearing being beaten<br>up and 25% of 8th<br>graders<br>In social and<br>emotional health, 26%<br>of 7th graders have<br>experience social<br>emotional distress,<br>with 30% of 8th | graders, 35% for 5th<br>graders, and 34% for<br>6th graders<br>89% of the 125 7th<br>graders and 77% of<br>the 114 8th graders<br>completed the student<br>survey.<br>(parent/guardian<br>permission not<br>needed to take survey<br>opt-out)<br>Middle School<br>Responses:<br>93% of 7th graders<br>responded, and 87%<br>of 8th graders<br>School<br>connectedness: 53%<br>of 7th graders and<br>54% of 8th graders<br>For those that have<br>experienced<br>harassment or<br>bullying, it is 29% for<br>7th graders and 37%<br>for 8th graders, with<br>24% of 7th graders<br>fearing being beaten<br>up and 25% of 8th<br>graders | meaningful student<br>participation, support<br>for social emotional<br>learning, and that<br>school is safe space<br>for learning by 5%.<br>Decrease the<br>percentages of staff<br>that believe<br>bullying/harassment is<br>a problem, and that<br>student depression is<br>a moderate/severe<br>problem by 5%. |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--------|----------|----------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
|        |          |                | <ul> <li>graders. Experienced chronic sadness/hopelessness s it is 31% for 7th graders, and 38% for 8th graders</li> <li>Parent/Guardian Responses:</li> <li>A total of 90 parents responded to the survey.</li> <li>28% of respondents reported that they feel their children are safe at school. 33% feel that school motivates students to learn.</li> <li>90% responded that their student felt hopeful about the future. With 87% saying their students are motivated to complete schoolwork.</li> <li>Staff Responses:</li> <li>41 staff members completed the survey</li> <li>30% of staff believe there is meaningful student participation,</li> </ul> | In social and<br>emotional health, 26%<br>of 7th graders have<br>experience social<br>emotional distress,<br>with 30% of 8th<br>graders. Experienced<br>chronic<br>sadness/hopelessnes<br>s it is 31% for 7th<br>graders, and 38% for<br>8th graders<br>Parent/Guardian<br>Responses:<br>A total of 90 parents<br>responded to the<br>survey.<br>28% of respondents<br>reported that they feel<br>their children are safe<br>at school. 33% feel<br>that school motivates<br>students to learn.<br>90% responded that<br>their student felt<br>hopeful about the<br>future. With 87%<br>saying their students<br>are motivated to<br>complete schoolwork.<br>Staff Responses: |                             |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--------|----------|----------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
|        |          |                | 33% believe that<br>parent participation is<br>promoted. 23%<br>believe that we have<br>enough support for<br>social emotional<br>learning. 26% believe<br>that the school is a<br>safe place for staff,<br>with 29% believing it<br>is a safe space for<br>students. 47% believe<br>that harassment or<br>bullying is a problem,<br>with 66% believing<br>that student<br>depression is a<br>moderate/severe<br>problem. | 41 staff members<br>completed the survey<br>30% of staff believe<br>there is meaningful<br>student participation,<br>33% believe that<br>parent participation is<br>promoted. 23%<br>believe that we have<br>enough support for<br>social emotional<br>learning. 26% believe<br>that the school is a<br>safe place for staff,<br>with 29% believing it<br>is a safe space for<br>students. 47% believe<br>that harassment or<br>bullying is a problem,<br>with 66% believing<br>that student<br>depression is a<br>moderate/severe<br>problem. |                             |

## **Goal Analysis**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year. A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

The Improvement for Equity by Design Fellowship allowed to create experts in equity and provide a path for us to be inclusive of all stakeholders. This supported the leadership of certificated staff on campus to lead this charge, and introduce it to the rest of the staff. This was also supported through the social emotional learning that was done with students, and Restorative Practices to try and improve suspension rates and feelings of connectedness to school.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures in this goal.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The Improvement for Equity by Design Fellowship was helpful in gaining insight into the needs of staff in order to create a plan that was supportive of what they felt they needed in order to be successful. The collection of student Street Data demonstrated a stronger connection with staff and inappropriate comments from others were not as big of an issue. However, there is still progress to be made to have more students connected to school and in feeling safe while on campus.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

With the ending of the Improvement for Equity by Design Fellowship, we have shifted the actions to be more focused on staff and how to support them in their own equity goals. We have also shifted the ways in which we are supporting students, staff, and families with the initiation of a community school. We will continue to focus on supporting Restorative Practices sitewide.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table. Table.

### **Goals and Actions**

#### Goal

| Go | oal # | Description  |
|----|-------|--|
|    | 3     | Welcoming and Safe Learning Environments:  |
|    |       | Create, ensure, and maintain learning environments that support and foster the social-emotional needs of students and foster a sense of belonging and connectedness. |

### Measuring and Reporting Results

| Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|
|  |   |   |   |  |  |
| California School<br>Dashboard:<br>Chronic Absentee<br>Indicator | Overall the Chronic<br>Absentee Rate<br>improved for IB<br>students, placing IB in<br>the Green tier with<br>9.8% of students<br>Chronically Absent; a<br>4.2% decline.<br>Student Group Data:<br>English Learner:<br>14.3%; a 2.3%<br>decline;<br>Hispanic Students:<br>13.1%; a 3.5%<br>decline;<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged: | The California School<br>Dashboard did not<br>report Chronic<br>Absentee data.<br>Local data measure<br>for attendance<br>indicate that 90% of<br>IBCS students attend<br>school regularly. | Overall the Chronic<br>Absentee Rate<br>increased significantly<br>to 39.1% with a<br>designation of 'Very<br>High'<br>Student Group Data:<br>English Learner:<br>46.3%, designated<br>'Very High'<br>Hispanic:<br>42.7%, designated<br>'Very High'<br>Two or More Races:<br>31.4%, designated<br>'Very High' | Overall the Chronic<br>Absentee Rate<br>increased significantly<br>to 34.7% with a<br>designation of<br>'Medium'. Declined<br>4.4%<br>Student Group Data:<br>English Learner:<br>38.8%, designated<br>'Medium', declined<br>7.6%<br>Hispanic:<br>37.3%, designated<br>'Medium', declined<br>5.4%<br>Two or More Races: | 1) 90% or higher<br>students will attend<br>school daily;<br>2) 9% or fewer<br>students will be<br>Chronically Absent. |

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | <ul> <li>11.9%; a 4.7% decline;</li> <li>Students with Disabilities: 11.1% a 13% decline.</li> <li>There are no performance data for:</li> <li>American Indian, Asian, African-American, Filipino, Foster Youth, Homeless or Pacific Islander student groups.</li> </ul> |  | Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantages:<br>44.9%, designated<br>'Very High'<br>Students with<br>Disabilities:<br>46.6%, designated<br>'Very High'<br>White:<br>34.3%, designated<br>'Very High'<br>There is no<br>performance data for:<br>American Indian,<br>Asian, African-<br>American, Filipino,<br>Foster Youth,<br>Homeless or Pacific<br>Islander student<br>groups. | <ul> <li>27.6%, designated<br/>'Low', decline 3.8%</li> <li>Socioeconomically<br/>Disadvantages:<br/>40.7%, designated<br/>'Medium', declined<br/>4.2%</li> <li>Students with<br/>Disabilities:<br/>40.5%, designated<br/>'Medium', declined<br/>6.1%</li> <li>White:<br/>31.7%, designated<br/>'Low', declined 2.6%</li> <li>There is no<br/>performance data for:<br/>American Indian,<br/>Asian, African-<br/>American, Filipino,<br/>Foster Youth,<br/>Homeless or Pacific<br/>Islander student<br/>groups.</li> </ul> |  |
| School Climate:<br>California School<br>Dashboard<br>Suspension/Expulsion<br>Indicator | Overall:<br>1.4% of students were<br>suspended at least<br>once; a .0.4%<br>increase.  | The California School<br>Dashboard did not<br>report<br>Suspension/Expulsion<br>data for the 21-22<br>school year. Local | Overall:<br>1.7% of students were<br>suspended at least<br>once  | Overall:<br>4.5% of students were<br>suspended at least<br>once, increased 2.8%  | Fewer than 1.7% of<br>students overall will<br>be suspended as an<br>indication that<br>students have<br>appropriate access to |

| Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
|        | <ul> <li>Student group data for students suspended at least once:</li> <li>English Learners: 1.5% students; a 1.1 increase.</li> <li>Hispanic: 1.8% students; a 1% increase:</li> <li>Socioeconomically Disadvantages: 1.3%; a 0.4% increase.</li> <li>There are no performance data for:</li> <li>African-American, Asian, Filipino, Foster Youth, Homeless and Pacific Islander student groups.</li> </ul> | data show 93 Tier IIs<br>documenting student<br>behaviors including:<br>fighting, harassment;<br>inappropriate<br>language;<br>disruption/defiance;alc<br>ohol/substance use or<br>possession.<br>14 students K-8 were<br>suspended by May<br>13, 2022 for the 21-22<br>school year. | Student group data for<br>students suspended<br>at least once:<br>English Learners:<br>2.2% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>Hispanic:<br>1.7% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>1.6% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>Students with<br>Disabilities:<br>2% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>White:<br>2.4% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>White:<br>2.4% of students,<br>designated 'Medium'<br>There is no<br>performance data for:<br>African-American,<br>Asian, Filipino, Foster<br>Youth, Homeless and<br>Pacific Islander<br>student groups. | Student group data for<br>students suspended<br>at least once:<br>English Learners:<br>4.3% of students,<br>designated 'Very Low',<br>increased 2.1%<br>Hispanic:<br>4.4% of students,<br>designated 'Very Low',<br>increased 2.7%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>5.6% of students,<br>designated 'Very Low',<br>increased 4%<br>Students with<br>Disabilities:<br>6.1% of students,<br>designated 'Very Low',<br>increased 4.1%<br>White:<br>4.2% of students,<br>designated 'Low',<br>increased 1.8%<br>There is no<br>performance data for:<br>African-American,<br>Asian, Filipino, Foster<br>Youth, Homeless and | 2023–24<br>the instructional<br>program and SEL<br>support in school.<br>English Learners:<br>fewer than 2.2% of<br>students will be<br>suspended<br>Hispanic: fewer than<br>1.7% of students will<br>be suspended<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged: fewer<br>than 1.6% of students<br>will be suspended<br>Students with<br>Disabilities: fewer than<br>2% will be suspended<br>White: fewer than<br>2.4% will be<br>suspended |

| Metric  | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome   | Desired Outcome for 2023–24   |
|---|--|---|--|--|---|
|   |  |   |  | Pacific Islander<br>student groups.  |   |
| Parent Involvement<br>and Family<br>Engagement:<br>California Healthy<br>Kids Survey for<br>Students, Families<br>and Staff | Student Results:<br>69% of 6th graders<br>surveyed about At<br>Home Learning<br>reported feeling "good<br>or happy" most or all<br>of the time;  | Student Results:<br>Less than 10 students<br>in grades 3-6<br>completed the 2022<br>CHKS survey. These<br>data/responses are<br>not reported due to                             | Student Results:<br>20% of 6th graders<br>responded, 33% of<br>5th graders, 21% of<br>4th graders, and 29%<br>of 3rd graders.  | *This data is still<br>unchanged as the<br>California Healthy<br>Kids Survey has not<br>been implemented so<br>far this school year.   | 1) Provide education<br>partners with multiple<br>means and incentives<br>to complete the CHKS<br>parent and staff<br>surveys to achieve a<br>return rate of 50% or<br>more;  |
|   | <ul> <li>15% reported feeling</li> <li>"sad" most or all of the time;</li> <li>8% reported being affected by cyberbullying;</li> </ul>   | student privacy.<br>(parent/guardian<br>permission needed to<br>take<br>survey -opt-in)<br>89% of the 125 7th<br>graders and 77% of<br>the 114 8th graders                      | The percentages of<br>students that believe<br>there is an adult who<br>cares about them at<br>school are 66% for<br>3rd graders, 58% for<br>4th graders, 59% for<br>5th graders, and 69%<br>for 6th graders | Student Results:<br>20% of 6th graders<br>responded, 33% of<br>5th graders, 21% of<br>4th graders, and 29%<br>of 3rd graders.<br>The percentages of                                    | <ul> <li>2) Improve the rate of students reporting feeling connected to school and that there is an adult who cares for them by 10% for each grade level.</li> <li>3) Increase positive experiences with</li> </ul> |
|   | <ul> <li>78% reported having caring peer relationships;</li> <li>52% reported that the teachers and grown ups at their school provided them with interesting activities to do while learning from</li> </ul> | completed the student<br>survey.<br>(parent/guardian<br>permission not<br>needed to take survey<br>opt-out)<br>20% of Hispanic 7th<br>graders and 26% of<br>Hispanic 8th grader | 89% of the 125 7th<br>graders and 77% of<br>the 114 8th graders<br>completed the student<br>survey.<br>(parent/guardian<br>permission not<br>needed to take survey   | students that believe<br>there is an adult who<br>cares about them at<br>school are 66% for<br>3rd graders, 58% for<br>4th graders, 59% for<br>5th graders, and 69%<br>for 6th graders | school and adults as<br>well as healthy<br>emotions by 10% in<br>7th & 8th grades.<br>4) Increase the<br>percentage of parents<br>who feel involved in<br>the school by 10%<br>5) Increase the<br>percentage of     |
|   | home.<br>Family Results:   | report meaningful<br>participation at school;<br>23% of White 7th<br>graders and 22% of<br>White 8th graders  | opt-out)<br>Middle School<br>Responses:  | 89% of the 125 7th<br>graders and 77% of<br>the 114 8th graders<br>completed the student<br>survey.  | families/parents/guard<br>ians and staff who feel<br>that students are safe   |

| Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome                               | Year 2 Outcome   | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24                                   |
|--------|--|--|--|---|---|
|        | 76% of parents<br>responded either<br>"strongly agree or | report meaningful participation at school;   | 93% of 7th graders<br>responded, and 87%<br>of 8th graders | (parent/guardian<br>permission not<br>needed to take survey | 6) Increase the<br>percentage of staff<br>who believe we have |
|        | agree" that the teachers: checked in                     | 48% of Hispanic 7th                          | School   | opt-out)  | enough social<br>emotional support by                         |
|        | personally; were   | graders and 30% of                           | connectedness: 53%   | Middle School   | 15%   |
|        | responsive to needs;                                     | Hispanic 8th graders                         | of 7th graders and   | Responses:  | 7) Increase middle  |
|        | provided adequate  | report experiencing                          | 54% of 8th graders   | 93% of 7th graders  | school connectedness  |
|        | instruction and  | bullying or                                  |  | responded, and 87%  | by 5% for each grade  |
|        | feedback; clear  | harassment at school;                        | 49% of middle school                                       | of 8th graders  | level   |
|        | expectations of work                                     | 66% of White 7th                             | students feel as   |   | 8)Increase the  |
|        | to be completed;   | graders and 35% of                           | though they have   | School  | percentage of middle  |
|        | 120/ "atrangly agree                                     | White 8th graders                            | caring adult   | connectedness: 53%  | school students that  |
|        | 42% "strongly agree<br>or agree" that                    | report experiencing<br>bullying or           | relationships in their lives. With 25% of 7th              | of 7th graders and 54% of 8th graders                       | feel they are provided meaningful                             |
|        | "learning from home"                                     | harassment at school;                        | and 8th graders feel                                       |   | participation in class  |
|        | required too much  | naraoomont at concor,                        | they are provided  | 49% of middle school  | by 10%.   |
|        | from parents;  | 40% of Hispanic 7th                          | meaningful   | students feel as  | 9) Increase the   |
|        |  | graders and 30% of                           | participation in class.                                    | though they have  | percentage of parents   |
|        | 37% "strongly agree                                      | Hispanic 8th graders                         |  | caring adult  | who feel involved in  |
|        | or agree" that   | report experiencing                          | Parent/Guardian  | relationships in their                                      | school and those that   |
|        | "learning from home"                                     | cyberbullying in the                         | Responses:   | lives. With 25% of 7th                                      | feel it is promoted by  |
|        | required too much  | last 12 months; 52%                          | A total of 00 memorie                                      | and 8th graders feel  | 5%.   |
|        | from students;   | of White 7th graders and 35% of White 8th    | A total of 90 parents responded to the                     | they are provided meaningful                                | 10) Increase the  |
|        | 30% "strongly agree                                      | graders report                               | survey.  | participation in class.                                     | percentage of parents who feel that their                     |
|        | or agree" that   | experiencing                                 | Survey.  |   | children are safe at  |
|        | "learning from home"                                     | cyberbullying in the                         | 52% of parents feel  | Parent/Guardian   | school, and that the  |
|        | required too little from                                 | last 12 months.                              | involved in the school,                                    | Responses:  | school motivates them   |
|        | students;  |  | while only 28% feel  |   | to learn by 10%   |
|        |  | 5% of Hispanic 7th                           | that it is promoted.                                       | A total of 90 parents                                       | 11) Increase the  |
|        | 63% of parents   | graders and 3% of                            |  | responded to the  | percentage of   |
|        | responded "strongly                                      | Hispanic 8th graders                         | 28% of respondents   | survey.   | parents/guardians that  |
|        | agree or agree" that                                     | report current alcohol                       | reported that they feel<br>their children are safe         | 52% of paranta fact   | the school  |
|        | school-parent communication                              | and drug use; 6% of<br>White 7th graders and |  | 52% of parents feel involved in the school,                 | encourages them to<br>be active members,                      |

| Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24  |
|--------|--|---|---|---|--|
|        | provided: advice on<br>the child's social-<br>emotional needs;<br>advice and resources<br>to support their child's<br>learning and kept<br>them informed on<br>remote learning;<br>11% of families<br>reported being " quite<br>concerned or<br>extremely concerned"<br>about childcare or<br>supervision during<br>distance learning;<br>33% reported being<br>"quite concerned or<br>extremely concerned"<br>about their child falling<br>behind academically.<br>There are no staff<br>responses to report. | 0% of White 8th<br>graders report current<br>alcohol and drug use.<br>41% of Hispanic 7th<br>graders and 25% of<br>Hispanic 8th graders<br>report experiencing<br>chronic sadness and<br>hopelessness in the<br>past 12 months; 45%<br>of White 7th graders<br>and 35% of White 8th<br>graders report<br>experiencing chronic<br>sadness and<br>hopelessness in the<br>past 12 months;<br>53% of Hispanic 7th<br>graders and 49% of<br>Hispanic 8th graders<br>report feelings of<br>optimism; 59% of<br>White 7th graders and<br>29% of White 8th<br>graders report feelings<br>of optimism; | that school motivates<br>students to learn.<br>90% responded that<br>their student felt<br>hopeful about the<br>future. With 87%<br>saying their students<br>are motivated to<br>complete schoolwork.<br>81% of<br>parents/guardians<br>responded that the<br>school encourages<br>them to be an active<br>partner with the<br>school in educating<br>their child. 72%<br>responded that they<br>feel welcome to<br>participate at this<br>school. 80% of<br>respondents said that<br>the school keeps them<br>well-informed about<br>school activities<br>Staff Responses:<br>41 staff members<br>completed the survey<br>33% believe that<br>parent participation is<br>promoted. 23%<br>believe that we have | while only 28% feel<br>that it is promoted.<br>28% of respondents<br>reported that they feel<br>their children are safe<br>at school. 33% feel<br>that school motivates<br>students to learn.<br>90% responded that<br>their student felt<br>hopeful about the<br>future. With 87%<br>saying their students<br>are motivated to<br>complete schoolwork.<br>81% of<br>parents/guardians<br>responded that the<br>school encourages<br>them to be an active<br>partner with the<br>school in educating<br>their child. 72%<br>responded that they<br>feel welcome to<br>participate at this<br>school. 80% of<br>respondents said that<br>the school keeps them<br>well-informed about<br>school activities<br>Staff Responses: | and welcomed to<br>participate by 5%<br>12) Increase staff<br>responses by 10% for<br>those that state they<br>feel safe at school,<br>promotion of parent<br>participation, .<br>13) Decrease the<br>percentage of staff<br>that believe that<br>harassment and<br>bullying is a problem,<br>that student<br>depression is a<br>concern, and the<br>stated needs for<br>students in trauma by<br>10%. |

| Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome   | Year 2 Outcome | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24 |
|--------|----------|--|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
|        |          | graders and 50% of<br>White 8th graders<br>report being either<br>"satisfied" or "very<br>satisfied" with life;<br>62% of 7th grade<br>males and 54% of 7th<br>grade females report<br>feeling connected to<br>school; 61% of 8th<br>grade males and 50%<br>of 8th grade females<br>report feeling<br>connected to school;<br>61% of 7th grade<br>males and 52% of 7th<br>grade females report<br>having caring adults at<br>school; 55% of 8th<br>grade males and 57%<br>of 8th grade females<br>report having caring<br>adults at school;<br>61% of 7th grade<br>males and 51% of 7th<br>grade females report<br>school as very safe or<br>safe; 66% of 8th<br>grade males and 57%<br>of 8th grade females<br>report school as very<br>safe or safe; |                | 41 staff members<br>completed the survey<br>33% believe that<br>parent participation is<br>promoted. 23%<br>believe that we have<br>enough support for<br>social emotional<br>learning. 26% believe<br>that the school is a<br>safe place for staff,<br>with 29% believing it<br>is a safe space for<br>students. 47% believe<br>that harassment or<br>bullying is a problem,<br>with 66% believing<br>that student<br>depression is a<br>moderate/severe<br>problem. 84% want<br>more help in<br>supporting students<br>exposed to trauma. |                             |

| Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Year 3 Outcome  | Desired Outcome for 2023–24                |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
|  |   | Parent/Guardian<br>Responses:<br>Less than 10 parents<br>in grades 3-8<br>completed the 2022<br>CHKS survey. These<br>data/responses are<br>not reported in order<br>to maintain<br>parent/guardian<br>privacy.   |   |   |  |
| Basic:<br>Facilities Reporting<br>Tool (FIT Reports) | IB Charter received an<br>exemplary rating with<br>a FIT score of 99.46 | September 16, 2021,<br>the average ranking<br>for Imperial Beach<br>Elementary was<br>99.06%,<br>giving the school a<br>rating of "Exemplary"<br>as per the Facilities<br>Inspection Tool (FIT).<br>IBCS remains in<br>compliance with the<br>Williams' Act and<br>OSHA regulations.<br>The District work<br>order system is used<br>to submit requests<br>and track resolution of<br>work. | June 29, 2022, the<br>average ranking for<br>Imperial Beach<br>Elementary was<br>97.99%,<br>giving the school a<br>rating of "Good" as<br>per the Facilities<br>Inspection Tool (FIT).<br>IBCS remains in<br>compliance with the<br>Williams' Act and<br>OSHA regulations.<br>The District work<br>order system is used<br>to submit requests<br>and track resolution of<br>work. | June 29, 2022, the<br>average ranking for<br>Imperial Beach<br>Elementary was<br>97.99%,<br>giving the school a<br>rating of "Good" as<br>per the Facilities<br>Inspection Tool (FIT).<br>IBCS remains in<br>compliance with the<br>Williams' Act and<br>OSHA regulations.<br>The District work<br>order system is used<br>to submit requests<br>and track resolution of<br>work. | Maintain baseline of a<br>99.46 FIT score. |

# **Goal Analysis**

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year. A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

Our action steps were successful in decreasing chronic absenteeism and getting more students to school during the school year. We had an increase of attendance with the creation of specific celebrations, activities, and contests which enticed students and families to attend school regularly. We also increased student and family participation in the completion of surveys in order to garner more accurate data for our LCAP and the California Healthy Kids Survey.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There were no material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures for this LCAP.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

We were effective in improving attendance and in improving some of the social emotional indicators in the California Healthy Kids Survey. We found that more students felt connected to school. However, we still have to continue to support the social emotional learning of students as it is still statistically significant and needs to be addressed through the LCAP process.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

There are changes to some of the action steps in the future LCAP, that will address the social emotional needs of our older students as that is where the data shows the need for these supports.

A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table. Table.

### Instructions

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at <u>lcff@cde.ca.gov</u>.

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

## **Goals and Actions**

#### Goal(s)

#### **Description:**

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023-24 LCAP.

#### **Measuring and Reporting Results**

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Metric:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Baseline:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 1 Outcome:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 2 Outcome:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

#### Year 3 Outcome:

• When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

#### Desired Outcome for 2023–24:

• Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

| ·  |  |  |  |   | Desired Outcome                                |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome                                       | Year 2 Outcome                                       | Year 3 Outcome  | for Year 3                                     |
|  |  |  |  |   | (2023–24)                                      |
| Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste<br>verbatim from the<br>2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste<br>verbatim from the<br>2023–24 LCAP. | Copy and paste<br>verbatim from the<br>2023–24 LCAP. | Enter information<br>in this box when<br>completing the<br>2023–24 LCAP<br>Annual Update. | Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP. |

#### **Goal Analysis**

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

• Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

• Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education November 2023



# **Local Control and Accountability Plan**

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

| Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name                                | Contact Name and Title        | Email and Phone                    |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| South Bay Union School District - Imperial Beach<br>Charter School | Dr. Pilar Vargas<br>Principal | pvargas2@sbusd.org<br>619-628-5600 |
|  |                               |                                    |

# Plan Summary [2024-25]

## **General Information**

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten-12, as applicable to the LEA.

Imperial Beach Charter School, IBCS, is an "arm of the district" as a TK-8 charter school located in the South Bay Union School District in southern San Diego County. Imperial Beach Charter School (IBCS) is committed to the academic and social success of all students. Currently serving 789 students, IBCS offers all students access to the core curriculum, intervention, and STEM and VAPA instruction in an environment that values multiple perspectives, students' cultures, and their languages. The demographics of the school include: 57.1% socioeconomically disadvantaged, 22.1% as Emerging Multilingual Learners, and 17% as Students With Disabilities. These student groups are foundational in impacting and shaping the services and supports provided to students and families both in fiscal and equity-based resources.

Overall, according to the most recent California state accountability data, IBCS students scored 41.3 points below standard in English Language Arts; they scored 70.1 points below Math standards. Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged scored 85.2 points below standard in English Language Arts, and 85.5 points below standard in Math. Comparatively, students classified as Emerging Multilingual Learners scored 53.7 points below standard in English Language Arts and 92 points below standard in Mathematics. Our Emerging Multilingual Learners increased in their progress towards English proficiency by 6% to a total of 49.6% overall.

These data demonstrate an achievement gap in student groups with English Language Arts and Mathematics standards. Students who are identified as white scored 27.7 points below standard while Hispanic students scored 55 points below standard, Emerging Multilingual Learners scored 65.5 points below, and Students With Disabilities scored 77.8 points below standard in English. In Mathematics students who are identified as white scored 60.2 points below standard while Hispanic students scored 82.6 below standard, Emerging Multilingual Learners at 92 points below, and Students With Disabilities scored 97.6 below standard.

These data, along with input from educational partners, has informed and influenced this plan. LEA for the remainder of this plan is synonymous with IBCS.

(data derived from CAASPP assessment results reported in DataQuest and the California Schools Dashboard)

## **Reflections: Annual Performance**

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

In reviewing the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data, there have been some successes that we can celebrate. However, we still identify areas of need where students need support to increase their scores in ELA, Math, and the suspension rates from the 2022-2023 school year.

On the California School Dashboard, IBCS students scored 41.3 points below standard in English Language Arts; they scored 70.1 points below Math standards. Students who are socio-economically disadvantaged scored 85.2 points below standard in English Language Arts, and 85.5 points below standard in math. Comparatively, students classified as English Learners scored 53.7 points below standard in English Language Arts and 92 points below standard in mathematics. Our Emerging Multilingual Learners increased in their progress towards English proficiency by 6% to a total of 49.6% overall. Local data from Fountas and Pinnell, Do The Math intervention supports, and classroom level data measures including student work samples, indicate that students are still needing additional supports in ELA and math.

The suspension rate for IBCS overall is 4.5% on the CA School Dashboard. All student groups' suspension rates increased. When disaggregating the data our English Learners were suspended at a rate of 4.3%, Hispanic students at 4.4%, Two or More Races at 6.9%, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged at 5.6%, and Students with Disabilities at 6.1%.

These data demonstrate an achievement gap in student groups with English Language Arts and Mathematics standards. Students who are identified as white scored 27.7 points below standard while Hispanic students scored 55 points below standard, Emerging Multilingual Learners scored 65.5 points below, and Students With Disabilities scored 77.8 points below standard in English. In Mathematics students who are identified as white scored 60.2 points below standard while Hispanic students scored 82.6 below standard, Emerging Multilingual Learners at 92 points below, and Students With Disabilities scored 97.6 below standard.

## **Reflections: Technical Assistance**

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

In addition to local Dashboard data, all teachers 1st-8th administer a reading assessment three times per year to identify students' independent and instructional reading levels. This information is used to guide reading instruction and identify the need for possible interventions. Findings from the 2023-2024 Fountas and Pinnell data reveal that 57% of IBCS students demonstrated reading that met or exceeded the grade level expectations.

This data is cited to demonstrate that students at IBCS have increased in their performance in reading in comparison to last year where the data was at 35% at the same time of the school year. This leads us to presume that student achievement in the area of English Language Arts is improving but should be a focal point for instruction, intervention and resources based on CAASPP scores.

IBCS education partners across sectors have identified the need for explicit word study and phonics fundamentals. ELPAC data and a body of student work samples indicate that overall, English Language Learners are acquiring and developing language at the appropriate rate. Our English Language Learner Progress indicator increased 6% to a total of 49.6% making progress to English Proficiency which demonstrates that previous work has been successful in supporting their language acquisition.

IBCS continues to monitor the growth and achievement of students with disabilities through data collection, IEP meetings, annual assessments, and regular meetings with the special education department at IBCS. Students would benefit from collaborative planning; co-teaching; and a universally designed learning environment to support student achievement in the areas of ELA and math.

IBCS has been identified for ATSI. The site will work in collaboration with teachers, staff, and students to identify areas of need and strategies to support and promote academic achievement.

## **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

#### Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

#### Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.
#### Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Imperial Beach Charter School will be implementing Restorative Practices and social emotional lessons for behavioral supports schoolwide. The additional trainings for Restorative Practices will be done at the beginning of the school year in pre-service trainings for teachers and classified staff to support students and campus climate and culture, and also to decrease suspensions. This will be supported by providing out of contract pay to staff to participate and conduct student fishbowls, empathy interviews, and equity walks and then to disaggregate the data to create a plan to address student needs that are inclusive and equitable. A World Cafe protocol will be used to review the data and provide solutions to issues that are brought up during the disaggregation and analysis of the data. The principal, assistant principal, school psychologist, and counselors will be reviewing the data and will meet on a monthly basis to monitor progress and address the needs of specific students, in order to provide next steps. The plan on next steps for students will be shared with the staff once a trimester at a Staff Meeting where input can be gathered as an additional metric to include in data collection.

Through the work of the IBCS Equity Committee, they will analyze Tier I and Tier II data, and Street Data, to create a plan to address inequities on campus and improve school culture and climate. This will include training for Supervision Assistants & Instructional Assistants in Trauma Informed Care, Restorative Practices, and proactive strategies centered around equity and social justice. There will also be the implementation of Peaceful Playgrounds to support the work of the Equity Committee and create a positive culture in order to address the area where student incidents occur most frequently. The school counselors will be providing weekly lessons to students on social emotional learning, to support them in navigating relationships, changes in home or school life, and providing other solutions on how to deal with conflict. They will be using the Second Step curriculum to support this weekly work in classrooms. This will be recorded by the amount of Tier Is and Tier IIs monthly, as well as the In School Suspension Rate, suspension rate, Restorative Practices circle and mediation data, and exit reflections from re-entry meetings with counseling support. This data will be shared at the monthly meetings with the principal, assistant principal, school psychologist, and counselors and then disaggregated and shared at the trimester meetings with the rest of the staff.

To support our families, we will provide six parent workshops on how best to support their students at school, at home, and how to implement best practices for social emotional well-being at home. These supports will be provided in English and in Spanish to support our Emerging Multilingual Learner families so they gain access to the information. There will be an exit reflection for all families that participated in the workshops to determine how we must adapt to the needs of the participants. We will do a follow-up survey with the families a month after the workshop to determine the effectiveness of the workshop and if they continue to use what they learned. For our Students with Disabilities, we will work in conjunction with the IEP team to address the needs of the student through this process which will include the parent/guardian. This may lead to further IEP supports being provided through this process, which will be determined at the time of these meetings. The increase or changes in social emotional supports for our Students With Disabilities will be recorded as part of the data in how we are addressing student needs and comparing it to the incidents that occur on campus. For our students that are identified as Socioeconomically Disadvantaged we will work with our Family Liaison, school counselors, and Community School Supervisor to provide access to our families that require additional assistance. The number of families that we are able to serve with outside services will be recorded and added to the disaggregation of data in the monthly principal, assistant principal, school psychologist, and counselors meetings, and shared at the trimester Staff Meetings.

#### IBCS CA Dashboard: School in ATSI Status for:

Suspension Rate data in Red for the following demographics - English Learner, Hispanic, 2 or More Races, Students With Disabilities, and Socioeconomically Disadvantaged

# 

# **Engaging Educational Partners**

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

| Educational Partner(s) | Process for Engagement |
|------------------------|------------------------|
|                        |                        |

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Imperial Beach Charter School participated in a feedback protocol with both staff, colleagues and families. District-wide input protocols were used for the following: All Staff LCAP Input Session on February 7, 2024; IBCS Staff input on February 7, 2024; SSC on February 23, 2023 and ELAC on March 5,2024. Enough parents from each grade in elementary school (3rd - 6th) completed the California Healthy Kids Survey to include data in the plan; a significant number of middle school students completed the CHKS survey. Additionally, anecdotal feedback was gathered in small and whole group settings.

Across the District and including educational partners from IBCS, the protocol for the spring 2024 input included a reflection tool to identify successes, challenges, and suggestions moving forward. District-wide input protocols were used for the following:

- All staff LCAP Input Session on February 7, 2024
- District Leadership Team comprised of Principals, Directors, Coordinators, and Executive Team members on January 22, 2024; February 12, 2024; and April 8, 2024
- SSC on September 6, 2023,, October 12, 2023, November 2, 2023, December 7, 2023, January 11, 2024, February 22, 2024; March 14, 2024, April 18, 2024; and May 23, 2024
- Certificated bargaining unit on February 6, 2024
- ELAC on November 8, 2023 and February 6, 2024

Students, families, and staff participated in the California Healthy Kids Survey as a means of educational partner engagement and input during the month of March of 2024. Opportunities for public comment and written feedback were provided through the District website. The LCAP was presented at the June 20, 2024 Governing Board meeting for public hearing. The LCAP, LCAP Federal Addendum, and Local Indicators were presented to the Governing Board for approval and adoption at the June 20, 2024 public meeting.

# **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

| Goal # | Description  | Type of Goal |
|--------|--|--------------|
| 1      | Effective Organizational Systems   | Broad Goal   |
|        | We believe that all educational partners play an integral role in contributing to and living out the District Vision, Mission, and Values. It is only through a collective effort that we will be successful in creating a highly effective and successful organization. |              |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)

Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

The California School Dashboard (CA Dashboard) data indicates that chronic absenteeism is in the yellow indicator at 34.7% for all students. As a result of this data, our staff entered into gathering Street Data through Empathy Interviews where there were emerging themes that stated resources and supports for students and families were identified as a need. During these empathy interviews our families suggested that relationships with the school and its staff was paramount to student success, and they wanted more ways to engage with staff in a positive way. The Street Data gathered from staff during these listening sessions also stated that they wanted ways to navigate student and family needs in a way that was empathetic and holistic.

To ensure that we are including all stakeholders in the movement of this goal, a community school will be fostered and grown with connections to resources and programs to address the needs identified in the gathering of the data. This is to promote a holistic community response where the school site has strong community partnerships through inclusive committees and programs. (note: unless otherwise noted, baseline data is from the 2022-23 school year)

## **Measuring and Reporting Results**

| Metrio | # Metric                                 | Baseline                                | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome                                 | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|--------|--|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 1.1    | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>Chronic Absenteeism | Yellow Indicator<br>All students: 34.7% |                |                | All students:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric                              | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |                                     | Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners: 38.8%<br>Hispanic students:<br>37.3%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged: 40.7%<br>Students With<br>Disabilities: 40.5%<br>Orange Indicator<br>White: 31.7%<br>2 Or More Races:<br>27.6%  |                |                | Learners:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Hispanic students:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>decrease by 20%<br>White: decrease<br>by 20%<br>2 Or More Races:<br>decrease by 20%  |                                     |
| 1.2      | Street Data - Empathy<br>Interviews | Empathy Interview held<br>with families.<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Families would like<br>more resources to<br>support their families<br>with mental health and<br>their health overall.<br>Families would like to<br>have clear<br>understandings of how<br>to contact their teachers<br>and administration to be<br>able to communicate<br>with them about their<br>children. |                |                | There is a 10%<br>increase in mental<br>health resources<br>for families at the<br>school site with<br>connections to<br>community<br>resources -<br>connected with<br>South Bay<br>Community<br>Services, Imperial<br>Beach Parks &<br>Rec, San Ysidro<br>Health Clinic,<br>McAlister Institute, |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | Empathy Interviews<br>held with students.<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Students want more<br>positive interactions<br>with staff, they want to<br>have more trusted<br>adults on campus -<br>relationships with adults<br>on campus are<br>important to them.<br>Empathy interviews<br>held with staff.<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Staff wanted guidance<br>in how to support our<br>students with trauma<br>once they enter their<br>classroom.<br>They wanted time to be<br>able to connect with<br>each other (certificated<br>and classified), work<br>across grade levels to<br>support students in their<br>academics and<br>socioemotionally. |                |                | and the SBUSD<br>Resource Center.<br>Through initiatives<br>on campus,<br>students will<br>record a 10%<br>increase in their<br>trusted adults on<br>campus.<br>Through staff<br>initiatives, there<br>will be an increase<br>in teacher<br>responses by 50%<br>where they state<br>they understand<br>how to address<br>students with<br>trauma in their<br>classrooms.<br>There will be an<br>increase in 30% in<br>staff responses<br>stating that they<br>are able to<br>effectively connect<br>with their<br>colleagues. |                                     |

# Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title   | Description  | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|---|--|-------------|--------------|
| 1.1      | Parent/Family<br>Involvement &<br>Community Building                                    | Through our work with Community Schools, we will have a Steering<br>Committee which will direct our focus on how best to support the IBCS<br>community. This will be done with additional support by sending the<br>Steering Committee to conferences, workshops, and community school<br>events through the SDCOE (Community Schools Grant & Community<br>Engagement Initiative Grant). |             | No           |
| 1.2      | On-campus activities<br>working in<br>conjunction with PTA<br>and community<br>partners | Working with our community partners, we will create activities to include all families on campus during and after school hours. These will work together with our community school activities to gain parent/guardian input and support for school and community resources (Title I Parent Allocation).  | \$2,101.00  | No           |

| Action # | Title  | Description                                  | Total Funds    | Contributing |
|----------|--|--|----------------|--------------|
| 1.3      | Salaries, Supplies,<br>and Other Basic<br>Services | Salaries, Supplies, and Other Basic Services | \$8,226,812.00 | No           |

# 

# **Goals and Actions**

### Goal

| Goal # | Description  | Type of Goal |
|--------|--|--------------|
| 2      | Goal 2: District and School Climate, Culture, and Connectedness  | Broad Goal   |
|        | We will create and maintain equitable, welcoming, and safe learning environments that value diversity, language, individual culture, identity, interests, and the contributions of all educational partners, while also building the capacity and efficacy of all. |              |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)
Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)
Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement)
Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

#### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

California School Dashboard data (CA Dashboard) and local data indicate that all students have a high rate of suspension, which resulted in a decrease in positive school culture and climate. This also impacted students' access to academic instructional time. California Healthy Kids Survey data indicate that 49% of 7th grade students and 37% of 8th grade students feel connected at school. The survey also shows that 22% of 7th graders and 19% of 8th graders feel as if they have meaningful participation in school. Our elementary students responded with an average of 60% of students feeling connected at school, and with 65% feeling bored at school.

Our staff worked together during Staff Meetings to review issues around equity to address staff needs, and to analyze student responses. The team also conducted Street Data data collection events such as Empathy Interviews, an Equity Walk with families, and Student Fishbowls with every grade level, TK-8th in order to determine the needs of students and staff regarding safety, school connectedness, trusted adults on campus, and what they see as the traits of an ideal school. During the gathering of Street Data, our educational partners identified the need for mental health supports for students, families, and staff in order to provide more social and emotional support for all.

To ensure that students grow and are prepared for their future at school and in the community, the culture and climate of schools must be conducive to learning and promote a sense of connection and belonging. Through professional development in SEL for all school staff as well as increasing access to mental health support, we expect students to feel more connected to school. (note: unless otherwise noted, baseline data is from the 2022-23 school year)

# **Measuring and Reporting Results**

| Metric # | Metric                                | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 2.1      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>CAASPP/SBAC ELA  | Orange Indicator<br>All students: 41.3 points<br>below standard<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>65.5 points below<br>standard<br>Hispanic students:<br>55 points below<br>standard<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>53.7 points below<br>standard<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>77.8 points below<br>standard<br>White<br>27.7 points below<br>standard |                |                | All students:<br>increase by 20<br>points<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners: increase<br>by 20 points<br>Hispanic students:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>White: increase by 30 |                                     |
| 2.2      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>CAASPP/SBAC Math | Orange Indicator<br>All students: 70.1 points<br>below standard<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>92 points below<br>standard   |                |                | All students:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners: increase<br>by 40 points   |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric                                   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |  | Hispanic students:<br>82.6 points below<br>standard<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>85.5 points below<br>standard<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>97.6 points below<br>standard<br>White<br>60.2 points below<br>standard    |                |                | Hispanic students:<br>increase by 40<br>points<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>increase by 40<br>points<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>increase by 35<br>points<br>White: increase by<br>20 points                                   |                                     |
| 2.3      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>Chronic Absenteeism | Yellow Indicator<br>All students: 34.7%<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners: 38.8%<br>Hispanic students:<br>37.3%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged: 40.7%<br>Students With<br>Disabilities: 40.5%<br>Orange Indicator<br>White: 31.7% |                |                | All students:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Hispanic students:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>decrease by 20%<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>decrease by 20% |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric  | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|---|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |   | 2 Or More Races:<br>27.6%   |                |                | White: decrease<br>by 20%<br>2 Or More Races:<br>decrease by 20%   |                                     |
| 2.4      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>Suspension Rate                        | Red Indicator<br>All students: 4.5%<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners: 4.3%<br>Hispanic: 4.4%<br>2 Or More Races: 6.9%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged: 5.6%<br>Students With<br>Disabilities: 6.1%<br>Orange Indicator<br>White: 4.2% |                |                | All students:<br>decrease by 2%<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>decrease by 2%<br>Hispanic: decrease<br>by 2%<br>2 Or More Races:<br>decrease by 4%<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>decrease by 3%<br>Students WIth<br>Disabilities:<br>decrease by 4%<br>Orange Indicator<br>White: decrease<br>by 2% |                                     |
| 2.5      | 2023 California Healthy<br>Kids Survey - 7th & 8th<br>Grade | IB Middle School<br>Responses, 7th & 8th:   |                |                | Meaningful<br>Participation in<br>School   |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|---|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | Meaningful Participation<br>in School<br>• All 7th = 22%<br>• All 8th = 19%<br>Need School Motivation<br>• 7th IEP<br>students =<br>69%<br>• 7th Multilingual<br>Learners =<br>68%<br>• 7th Hispanic =<br>65%<br>• 7th White =<br>58%<br>• 8th IEP<br>students =<br>48%<br>• 8th Multilingual<br>Learners =<br>48%<br>• 8th Multilingual<br>Learners =<br>48%<br>• 8th Hispanic =<br>57%<br>• 8th White =<br>34%<br>Caring Adults in School<br>• All 7th = 47\%<br>• All 8th = 45\% |                |                | <ul> <li>All 7th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>All 8th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>Need School<br/>Motivation         <ul> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> </li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | <ul> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>53%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>47%</li> <li>7th White =<br/>58%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =<br/>58%</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>45%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>46%</li> <li>8th White =<br/>34%</li> <li>School Connectedness</li> <li>All 7th = 49%</li> <li>All 8th = 37%</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students =<br/>58%</li> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>51%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>51%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>51%</li> <li>7th White =<br/>44%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =<br/>44%</li> </ul> |                |                | <ul> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th White</li> </ul> Caring Adults in<br>School <ul> <li>All 7th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>All 8th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>All 8th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>increase<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | <ul> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>44%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>38%</li> <li>8th White =<br/>34%</li> <li>Absences (3 or More)</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students =<br/>25%</li> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>33%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>27%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =<br/>27%</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>27%</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>27%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>33%</li> <li>School As Safe or Very<br/>Safe:</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students =<br/>70%</li> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>32%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>46%</li> </ul> |                |                | increase<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 7th White<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th IEP<br>students<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | <ul> <li>7th White =<br/>42%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students = -</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>27%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>36%</li> <li>8th White =<br/>54%</li> <li>Experienced<br/>Harassment or Bullying:</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students =<br/>40%</li> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>28%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =<br/>34%</li> <li>7th White =<br/>39%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =<br/>30%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =<br/>30%</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =<br/>60%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>39%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =<br/>39%</li> <li>8th White =<br/>25%</li> </ul> |                |                | <ul> <li>All 7th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>All 8th =<br/>increase<br/>by 30%</li> <li>7th IEP<br/>students<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>White<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students<br/>=<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | Experienced<br>Cyberbullying:<br>• 7th IEP<br>students =<br>30%<br>• 7th Multilingual<br>Learners =<br>50%<br>• 7th White =<br>28%<br>• 8th IEP<br>students =<br>50%<br>• 8th Multilingual<br>Learners =<br>50%<br>• 8th Hispanic =<br>48%<br>• 8th White =<br>33% |                |                | Learners<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th White<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th White<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 7th IEP<br>students<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15%<br>• 7th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15% |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |        |          |                |                | decrease<br>by 15%<br>• 8th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15%<br>School As Safe or<br>Very Safe:<br>• 7th IEP<br>students<br>=<br>increase<br>by 10%%<br>• 7th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners<br>=<br>increase<br>by 30%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 30%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 30%<br>• 7th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 7th White<br>= |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |        |          |                |                | increase<br>by 20%<br>• 8th IEP<br>students<br>=<br>increase<br>by 40%<br>• 8th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners<br>=<br>increase<br>by 30%<br>• 8th<br>Hispanic<br>=<br>increase<br>by 25%<br>• 8th White<br>=<br>increase<br>by 25%<br>• 8th White<br>=<br>increase<br>by 15%<br>Experienced<br>Harassment or<br>Bullying:<br>• 7th IEP<br>students<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 7th<br>Multilingu<br>al<br>Learners |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |        |          |                |                | <ul> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 15%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 15%</li> <li>7th White</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 15%</li> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 40%</li> <li>8th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 40%</li> <li>8th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 30%</li> <li>8th White</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 30%</li> <li>8th White</li> <li>=<br/>decrease<br/>by 30%</li> </ul> |                                     |
|          |        |          |                |                | Cyberbullying:   |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |        |          |                |                | <ul> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 10%</li> <li>7th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 25%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th White</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th White</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 15%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 25%%</li> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 25%</li> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 25%</li> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 25%</li> <li>8th<br/>Hispanic</li> </ul> |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric   | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--|---|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |  |   |                |                | decrease<br>by 25%<br>• 8th White<br>=<br>decrease<br>by 15%  |                                     |
| 2.6      | 2023 California Healthy<br>Kids Survey: 3rd - 6th<br>Grade | IBCS Elementary<br>School Responses<br>5th & 6th only, not<br>enough responses for<br>3rd & 4th<br>Students who felt<br>School Connectedness:<br>• 5th = 64%<br>• 6th = 57%<br>School Boredom:<br>• 5th = 52%<br>• 6th = 79%<br>Caring Adults on<br>Campus:<br>• 5th = 59%<br>• 6th = 69%<br>Felt Safe At School:<br>• 5th = 58%<br>• 6th = 62% |                |                | IBCS Elementary<br>School Responses<br>Have an increase<br>of 50% of students<br>in 3rd & 4th grade<br>respond to have<br>accurate data.<br>Increase the<br>response rate in in<br>5th & 6th by 15%<br>Students who felt<br>School<br>Connectedness:<br>• 5th =<br>increase<br>by 5%<br>• 6th =<br>increase<br>by 5%<br>• 6th =<br>increase<br>by 5%<br>School Boredom:<br>• 5th =<br>decrease<br>by 20%<br>• 6th =<br>decrease<br>by 30% |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric                            | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |                                   |   |                |                | Caring Adults on<br>Campus:<br>• 5th =<br>increase<br>by 15%<br>• 6th =<br>increase<br>by 15%<br>Felt Safe At<br>School:<br>• 5th =<br>increase<br>by 20%<br>• 6th =<br>increase<br>by 20%   |                                     |
| 2.7      | Street Data: Student<br>Fishbowls | Student Fishbowls held<br>with TK-8th Grades:<br>Emerging Themes<br>K-6: Of the students<br>that participated, 68%<br>stated that recess is a<br>place where they do not<br>feel safe, and recess<br>equipment tends to<br>wear down easily<br>IB Middle School 7-8:<br>Out of the students that<br>participated, 60% do<br>not feel as if they have<br>any trusted adults, there |                |                | Student fishbowl<br>responses are<br>positive regarding<br>recess where<br>student statements<br>all say that they<br>are safe and<br>having fun.<br>An increase in<br>feelings of safety<br>on the playground<br>and the restrooms<br>indicated by 5%<br>drop in Tier Is from<br>playground<br>incidents. |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric                              | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |                                     | is no sense of school<br>pride or sense of<br>community within the<br>middle school<br>TK-8: Out of the<br>students that<br>participated, 76% noted<br>that bathroom<br>cleanliness needs to<br>improve, and that<br>behaviors in bathrooms<br>are where students<br>misbehave.                                |                |                | An 5% increase in<br>a sense of school<br>pride and a<br>stronger sense of<br>community,<br>especially in<br>middle school.<br>Bathroom<br>cleanliness is no<br>longer a concern,<br>with plenty of<br>supplies with an<br>increase of 5% of<br>students in a<br>fishbowl<br>mentioning<br>improvements in<br>restroom practices. |                                     |
| 2.8      | Street Data: Family<br>Equity Walks | A Family Equity Walk<br>was provided for the<br>adults in our students'<br>lives to go through and<br>identify equitable<br>practices in the<br>classroom.<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Appreciated when<br>students were<br>interacting with each<br>other, working<br>collaboratively in all 15<br>classes visited |                |                | An increase in<br>Family Equity<br>Walks from once a<br>year, to twice a<br>year.<br>Themes: Students<br>will continue to<br>collaborate in<br>classes on<br>assignments,<br>discussions, and<br>projects as<br>evidenced by<br>family  |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric                             | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |                                    | Wanted to see more<br>inclusive classrooms<br>with books, work on the<br>walls, and different<br>seating options  |                |                | observations and<br>notes.<br>All classrooms will<br>have books<br>available for<br>students to access<br>during the school<br>day, classroom<br>walls will reflect<br>student work, and<br>different desk<br>configurations will<br>be observed in<br>each class.   |                                     |
| 2.9      | Street Data: Empathy<br>Interviews | Empathy Interview held<br>with families<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Families would like<br>more resources to<br>support their families<br>with mental health and<br>their health overall.<br>Families would like to<br>have clear<br>understandings on how<br>to contact their teachers<br>and administration to be<br>able to communicate<br>with them about their<br>children.<br>Empathy Interviews<br>held with students<br>Emerging Themes: |                |                | There is a 10%<br>increase in mental<br>health resources<br>for families at the<br>school site with<br>connections to<br>community<br>resources -<br>connected with<br>South Bay<br>Community<br>Services, Imperial<br>Beach Parks &<br>Rec, San Ysidro<br>Health Clinic,<br>McAlister Institute,<br>and the SBUSD<br>Resource Center. |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|--|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | Students want more<br>positive interactions<br>with staff. Out of the<br>students interviewed,<br>91% stated that they<br>want to have more<br>trusted adults on<br>campus - relationships<br>with adults on campus<br>are important to them.<br>Empathy interviews<br>held with staff<br>Emerging Themes:<br>Staff wanted guidance<br>in how to support our<br>students with trauma<br>once they enter into<br>their classroom.<br>They wanted time to be<br>able to connect with<br>each other (certificated<br>and classified), work<br>across grade levels to<br>support students in their<br>academics and<br>socioemotionally. |                |                | Through initiatives<br>on campus,<br>students will<br>record a 10%<br>increase in their<br>trusted adults on<br>campus.<br>Through staff<br>initiatives, there<br>will be an increase<br>in teacher<br>responses by 50%<br>where they state<br>they understand<br>how to address<br>students with<br>trauma in their<br>classrooms.<br>There will be an<br>increase in 30% in<br>staff responses<br>stating that they<br>are able to<br>effectively connect<br>with their<br>colleagues. |                                     |

### Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

#### Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

| Action # | Title  | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|--|---|-------------|--------------|
| 2.1      | School Wide Equity<br>Work To Improve<br>School Culture and<br>Climate | During Staff Meetings and the Equity Committee Meetings, data from<br>Student Fishbowls, Empathy Interviews, Equity Walks, and staff reflections<br>will be disaggregated and analyzed to create and adjust a school wide plan<br>to improve culture and climate. We will continue to support this work<br>through the collection of Street Data and continuous improvement cycle<br>throughout the school year (Educator Effectiveness Grant). | \$4,314.00  | No           |
| 2.2      | Restorative Practices  | Certificated and classified staff will be trained on Restorative Practices to implement them within the classroom, front office, Wellness Center, and with families to address the needs of creating a more inclusive, positive, culture and climate (Educator Effectiveness Grant & Classified Professional Development Block Grant).  | \$3,426.00  | No           |
| 2.3      | Trauma Informed<br>Care  | Certificated and classified staff will receive training on Trauma Informed<br>Care and how to create a school that is trauma sensitive, with supports for<br>staff on how to implement best practices on campus (Community<br>Engagement Initiative Grant).   |             | No           |

| Action # | Title  | Description   | Total Funds | Contributing |
|----------|--|---|-------------|--------------|
| 2.4      | Attendance<br>Improvement  | To respond to the chronic absenteeism and school connectedness, the<br>Attendance Committee will continue to analyze data and create<br>celebrations to highlight attendance successes with students and families.<br>These include awards, home visits, committee supports, and celebrations<br>for students and families (Arts, Music Discretionary Grant).   | \$500.00    | No           |
| 2.5      | Middle School<br>Athletics and<br>Activities                         | Middle School opportunities are reflected in the athletics program that<br>connects students to school and ensures that they have school pride,<br>which improves school culture and climate (ELOP Site Funds). Our middle<br>school students will also have another opportunity in a Unity Day for 7th &<br>8th graders which teaches them on how to be accepting of each other and<br>promote a positive school culture and climate at the middle school level<br>(Arts Grant). | \$25,420.00 | No           |
| 2.6      | Peaceful<br>Playgrounds  | The program will be used to improve student experience and feeling of welcome and safety while on the playground. This includes training for the supervision assistants, and adults that supervise and participate in playground activities.  | \$0.00      | No           |
| 2.7      | School Wide College<br>& Career Focus on<br>Environmental<br>Science | LVIC support for school release days for teachers to collaborate with the SDCOE teachers from the Linda Vista Innovation Center to create a school wide focus on environmental science. This is to have students be more engaged and connected to school and increase student achievement in ELA and Mathematics (Educator Effectiveness Grant).  | \$4,230.00  | No           |

# **Goals and Actions**

## Goal

| Goal # | Description   | Type of Goal |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 3      | Goal 3: Academic Excellence for All Students  | Broad Goal   |
|        | All students will demonstrate growth towards meeting or exceeding standards in English Language<br>Arts (ELA) and Mathematics, and Multilingual Learners (MLs) will demonstrate progress in<br>developing English language proficiency. |              |

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

 Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)

 Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)

 Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)

 Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

This goal was developed in response to the needs identified through data analysis and input from educational partners. The data analysis of the California School Dashboard (CA Dashboard) indicated a clear need to continue supporting English Language Arts (ELA) English language development (ELD), and Mathematics. In ELA for example: In the Orange Indicator, we had all students at 41.3 points below standard. »Emerging Multilingual Learners at 65.5 points below standard »Hispanic students at 55 points below standard

»Socioeconomically Disadvantaged at 53.7 points below standard

»Students With Disabilities at 77.8 points below standard

»White students are 27.7 points below standard

In Mathematics for example:

In the Orange Indicator, we had all students at 70.1 points below standard.

»Emerging Multilingual Learners at 92 points below standard.

»Hispanic students at 82.6 points below standard

»Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students at 85.5 points below standard

»Students With Disabilities at 97.6 points below standard

»White students at 60.2 points below standard

This need is reflected in the data described in the Measuring and Reporting Results section below.

During the LCAP development process, educational partners identified the need for:

» Continued support from the Learning Acceleration Tutor for ELA and Mathematics

» Increased vertical team collaboration for teachers

» The need for Universal Design for Learning to support all students, with a focus on our Students With Disabilities.

IBCS plans to improve ELA and Mathematics performance through actions that support and improve student learning and will measure progress towards this goal using the metrics identified below. Notes:

» Unless otherwise noted, baseline data is from the 2022–23 School Year.

## **Measuring and Reporting Results**

| Metric # | Metric                               | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
| 3.1      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>CAASPP/SBAC ELA | Orange Indicator<br>All students: 41.3 points<br>below standard<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>65.5 points below<br>standard<br>Hispanic students:<br>55 points below<br>standard<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>53.7 points below<br>standard<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>77.8 points below<br>standard |                |                | All students:<br>increase by 20<br>points<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners: increase<br>by 20 points<br>Hispanic students:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>increase by 30<br>points |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric  | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|---|--|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |   | White<br>27.7 points below<br>standard   |                |                | White: increase by 15 points  |                                     |
| 3.2      | 2023 CA Dashboard<br>CAASPP/SBAC Math                       | Orange Indicator<br>All students: 70.1 points<br>below standard<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners:<br>92 points below<br>standard<br>Hispanic students:<br>82.6 points below<br>standard<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>85.5 points below<br>standard<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>97.6 points below<br>standard<br>White<br>60.2 points below<br>standard |                |                | All students:<br>increase by 30<br>points<br>Emerging<br>Multilingual<br>Learners: increase<br>by 40 points<br>Hispanic students:<br>increase by 40<br>points<br>Socioeconomically<br>Disadvantaged:<br>increase by 40<br>points<br>Students With<br>Disabilities:<br>increase by 35<br>points<br>White: increase by<br>20 points |                                     |
| 3.3      | 2023 California Healthy<br>Kids Survey - 7th & 8th<br>Grade | IB Middle School<br>Responses, 7th & 8th:<br>Meaningful Participation<br>in School   |                |                | Meaningful<br>Participation in<br>School  |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline  | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|---|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        | <ul> <li>All 7th = 22%</li> <li>All 8th = 19%</li> <li>Need School Motivation <ul> <li>7th IEP</li> <li>students =</li> <li>69%</li> </ul> </li> <li>7th Multilingual<br/>Learners =</li> <li>68%</li> <li>7th Hispanic =</li> <li>65%</li> <li>7th White =</li> <li>58%</li> </ul> <li>8th IEP<br/>students =</li> <li>48%</li> <li>8th Multilingual<br/>Learners =</li> <li>48%</li> <li>8th Hispanic =</li> <li>57%</li> <li>8th White =</li> <li>34%</li> |                |                | <ul> <li>All 7th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>All 8th =<br/>increase<br/>by 20%</li> <li>Need School<br/>Motivation         <ul> <li>7th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>7th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> </li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th IEP<br/>students</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> </ul> |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--|--|----------------|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|
|          |  |  |                |                | <ul> <li>8th<br/>Multilingu<br/>al<br/>Learners</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th<br/>Hispanic</li> <li>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>8th White</li> </ul>  |                                     |
| 3.4      | 2023 California Healthy<br>Kids Survey: 3rd - 6th<br>Grade | IBCS Elementary<br>School Responses<br>5th & 6th only, not<br>enough responses for<br>3rd & 4th<br>Students who felt<br>School Connectedness:<br>• 5th = 64%<br>• 6th = 57%<br>School Boredom:<br>• 5th = 52%<br>• 6th = 79% |                |                | IBCS Elementary<br>School Responses<br>Have an increase<br>of 50% of students<br>in 3rd & 4th grade<br>respond to have<br>accurate data.<br>Increase the<br>response rate in in<br>5th & 6th by 15%<br>Students who felt<br>School<br>Connectedness:<br>• 5th =<br>increase<br>by 5% |                                     |

| Metric # | Metric | Baseline | Year 1 Outcome | Year 2 Outcome | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome  | Current Difference<br>from Baseline |
|----------|--------|----------|----------------|----------------|---|-------------------------------------|
|          |        |          |                |                | <ul> <li>6th =<br/>increase<br/>by 5%</li> <li>School Boredom: <ul> <li>5th =<br/>decrease<br/>by 20%</li> <li>6th =<br/>decrease<br/>by 30%</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |                                     |

#### Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

# Actions

| Action # | Title  | Description   | Total Funds  | Contributing |
|----------|--|---|--------------|--------------|
| 3.1      | Learning<br>Acceleration Tutor -<br>for math and reading<br>interventions                                | This is a full time Learning Acceleration Tutor who will be working with our students on interventions in reading, math, and literacy (Title I, Title III, & LCFF).   | \$121,345.00 | No           |
| 3.2      | Universal Design for<br>Learning reading and<br>writing support for<br>staff and in-class<br>instruction | Universal Design for Learning is a tool that is used to support our Students with Disabilities, and be inclusive of different learning modalities. The training is for a cohort of teachers to take their learning, become the experts and leaders of the professional development for their colleagues (Educator Effectiveness Grant).   | \$3,942.00   | No           |
| 3.3      | Staff collaboration  | Staff, certificated and classified, will be provided opportunities throughout<br>the school year to collaborate across grade levels in order to address<br>student needs, and use longitudinal data to respond to the needs of the<br>community and our students. This will be done through vertical PLC teams,<br>the Equity/PBIS Committee, and through the Site Leadership Team<br>(Educator Effectiveness Grant). | \$3,384.00   | No           |

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

| Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants | Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant |
|---|--|
| \$1,252,172   | \$0  |

#### Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

| Projected Percentage to Increase<br>or Improve Services for the<br>Coming School Year |        | LCFF Carryover — Dollar | Total Percentage to Increase or<br>Improve Services for the Coming<br>School Year |
|---|--------|-------------------------|---|
| 16.857%   | 0.000% | \$0.00                  | 16.857%   |

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

#### **Required Descriptions**

#### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

|  |  | Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) |  | Metric(s) to Monitor<br>Effectiveness |
|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|

#### **Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

| Goal and Action # | Identified Need(s) | Metric(s) to Monitor<br>Effectiveness |
|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                   |                    |                                       |
For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

#### Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

| Staff-to-student ratios by<br>type of school and<br>concentration of<br>unduplicated students | Schools with a s<br>less | student concentration c | of 55 percent or | Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent |   |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Staff-to-student ratio of<br>classified staff providing<br>direct services to students        |                          |                         | Λ                |   |   |  |  |
| Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students            |                          |                         |                  |   | - |  |  |

# 2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

|        | LCAP Yea         |  | 1. Projected Lu<br>Grant<br>(Input Dollar<br>7,428,4 | t<br>Amount)  | Supple<br>Concen<br>(Input D               | jected LCFF<br>mental and/or<br>tration Grants<br>Dollar Amount)<br>,252,172 | to Ir<br>Serv | ojected Percenta<br>acrease or Impro<br>ices for the Com<br>School Year<br>(2 divided by 1)<br>16.857% | ve      | LCFF Carryc<br>Percenta<br>(Input Percenta<br>Prior Ye<br>0.000% | ige<br>age from<br>ar) | Total Percenta<br>Increase or Im<br>Services for the<br>School Ye<br>(3 + Carryove<br>16.857% | prove<br>Coming<br>ar<br>er %) |                                 |                         |               |                |
|--------|------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---------------|--|---------|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|
|        | Totals<br>Totals |  | LCFF Fu<br>\$8,264,89                                |   |  | er State Funds<br>S128,476.00  |               | Local Funds  |         | Federal Fu<br>\$2,101.0  |                        | <b>Total Fund</b><br>\$8,395,474.   |                                | Total Personnel<br>\$153,811.00 | Total Non-j<br>\$8,241, |               |                |
| Goal # | Action #         | # Acti                                 | ion Title  | Student   | Group(s)                                   | Contributing<br>to Increased<br>or Improved<br>Services?                     | Scope         | Unduplicated<br>Student<br>Group(s)  | Locatio | n Time Span  | Total<br>Personnel     | Total Non-<br>personnel   | LCFF Fu                        | nds Other State Funds           | Local Funds             | Federal Funds | Total Funds    |
| 1      | 1.1              | Parent/Far<br>Involveme<br>Communit    | ent &  | Students A<br>Emerging I<br>Learners,<br>More<br>Hispanic,<br>African<br>American<br>with | Multilingual<br>Two or<br>Races,<br>White, |  |               |  |         | July 2024 -<br>June 2025   |                        |   |                                |                                 |                         |               |                |
| 1      | 1.2              | working in                             | us activities<br>conjunction<br>and community        | More<br>Hispanic,<br>African<br>American  | Multilingual<br>Two or<br>Races,<br>White, |  |               |  |         | July 2024 -<br>June 2025   | \$0.00                 | \$2,101.00  |                                |                                 |                         | \$2,101.00    | \$2,101.00     |
| 1      | 1.3              | Salaries, S<br>Other Basi              | Supplies, and<br>ic Services                         | All   |  | No   |               |  |         | July 2024 -<br>June 2025   | \$0.00                 | \$8,226,812.00  | \$8,226,81                     | 2.00                            |                         |               | \$8,226,812.00 |
| 2      | 2.1              | School Wie<br>Work To Ir<br>Culture an | mprove School  | Learners,<br>More<br>Hispanic,<br>African<br>American                                     | Multilingual<br>Two or<br>Races,<br>White, |  |               |  |         | July 2024 -<br>June 2025   | \$4,314.00             | \$0.00  |                                | \$4,314.00                      |                         |               | \$4,314.00     |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title                              | Student Group(s)  | Contributing<br>to Increased<br>or Improved<br>Services? | Scope | Unduplicated Location<br>Student<br>Group(s) | Time Span                   | Total<br>Personnel | Total Non-<br>personnel | LCFF Funds | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds |
|--------|----------|---|---|--|-------|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 2      | 2.2      | Restorative Practices                     | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |  | June 2024<br>- June<br>2025 | \$2,426.00         | \$1,000.00              |            | \$3,426.00        |             |               | \$3,426.00  |
| 2      | 2.3      | Trauma Informed Care                      | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |  | July 2024 -<br>June 2025    |                    |                         |            |                   |             |               |             |
| 2      | 2.4      | Attendance<br>Improvement                 | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |  | July 2024 -<br>June 2025    | \$0.00             | \$500.00                |            | \$500.00          |             |               | \$500.00    |
| 2      | 2.5      | Middle School Athletics<br>and Activities | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |  | July 2024-<br>May 2025      | \$16,420.00        | \$9,000.00              |            | \$25,420.00       |             |               | \$25,420.00 |
| 2      | 2.6      | Peaceful Playgrounds                      | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |  | July 2024 -<br>June 2025    | \$0.00             | \$0.00                  | \$0.00     |                   |             |               | \$0.00      |

| Goal # | Action # | Action Title  | Student Group(s)  | Contributing<br>to Increased<br>or Improved<br>Services? | Scope | Unduplicated<br>Student<br>Group(s) | Location | Time Span                | Total<br>Personnel | Total Non-<br>personnel | LCFF Funds  | Other State Funds | Local Funds | Federal Funds | Total Funds  |
|--------|----------|---|---|--|-------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2      | 2.7      | School Wide College &<br>Career Focus on<br>Environmental Science                                     | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities | No   |       |                                     |          | July 2024 -<br>June 2025 | \$4,230.00         | \$0.00                  |             | \$4,230.00        |             |               | \$4,230.00   |
| 3      | 3.1      | Learning Acceleration<br>Tutor - for math and<br>reading interventions                                | Students At Promise,<br>Multilingual Learners,<br>Hispanic, White, 2 or<br>more races, African<br>American  |  |       |                                     |          | July 2024-<br>June 2025  | \$121,345.0<br>0   | \$0.00                  | \$38,085.00 | \$83,260.00       |             |               | \$121,345.00 |
| 3      | 3.2      | Universal Design for<br>Learning reading and<br>writing support for staff<br>and in-class instruction | Students at Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Hispanic,<br>African American,<br>White, 2 or more<br>races Students with<br>Disabilities      | No   |       |                                     |          |                          | \$1,692.00         | \$2,250.00              |             | \$3,942.00        |             |               | \$3,942.00   |
| 3      | 3.3      | Staff collaboration   | Students At Promise,<br>Emerging Multilingual<br>Learners, Two or<br>More Races,<br>Hispanic, White,<br>African<br>American Students<br>with Disabilities |  |       |                                     |          | July 2024 -<br>June 2025 | \$3,384.00         | \$0.00                  |             | \$3,384.00        |             |               | \$3,384.00   |

## 2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

| 1. Projected<br>LCFF Base<br>Grant | 2. Projected<br>LCFF<br>Supplemental<br>and/or<br>Concentration<br>Grants | 3. Projected<br>Percentage to<br>Increase or<br>Improve<br>Services for<br>the Coming<br>School Year<br>(2 divided by<br>1) | Percentage<br>(Percentage<br>from Prior<br>Year)         | Total<br>Percentage to<br>Increase or<br>Improve<br>Services for<br>the Coming<br>School Year<br>(3 + Carryover<br>%) | 4. Total<br>Planned<br>Contributing<br>Expenditures<br>(LCFF Funds) | 5. Total<br>Planned<br>Percentage of<br>Improved<br>Services<br>(%) | Planned<br>Percentage to<br>Increase or<br>Improve<br>Services for<br>the Coming<br>School Year<br>(4 divided by<br>1, plus 5) | Totals by<br>Type  | Total LCFF<br>Funds                                  |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 7,428,421                          | 1,252,172   | 16.857%   | 0.000%   | 16.857%   | \$0.00  | 0.000%  |  | Total:   | \$0.00   |
|                                    |   |   |  |   |   |   |  | LEA-wide<br>Total:   | \$0.00   |
|                                    |   |   |  |   |   |   |  | Limited Total:   | \$0.00   |
|                                    | _   |   |  |   |   | _   | _  | Schoolwide<br>Total:   | \$0.00   |
| Goal Action                        | # Action  |   | Contributing to<br>Increased or<br>Improved<br>Services? | Scope   | Unduplic<br>Student Gr  |   | ation C  | Planned<br>enditures for<br>ontributing<br>tions (LCFF<br>Funds) | Planned<br>Percentage of<br>Improved<br>Services (%) |
|                                    |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |

## 2023-24 Annual Update Table

| Totals | Last Year's<br>Total Planned<br>Expenditures<br>(Total Funds) | Total Estimated<br>Expenditures<br>(Total Funds) |
|--------|---|--|
| Totals | \$892,857.00  | \$586,167.79                                     |

| Last Year's<br>Goal # | Last Year's Action<br># | Prior Action/Service Title   | Contributed to Increased<br>or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned<br>Expenditures<br>(Total Funds) | Estimated Actual<br>Expenditures<br>(Input Total Funds) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| startcollaps<br>e     |                         |  |   |  |   |
| 1                     | 1.1                     | Learning Acceleration Tutor  | Yes   | \$115,560.00   | \$101,174.95  |
| 1                     | 1.2                     | Before and After School<br>Intervention Academic   | Yes   | \$46,890.00  | \$7,983.00  |
| 1                     | 1.3                     | Before and After School<br>Intervention for English Learners   | No<br>Yes   | \$12,960.00  | \$2,703.00  |
| 1                     | 1.4                     | Instructional Materials and Supplies   | No<br>Yes   | \$824.00   | \$239.81  |
| 1                     | 1.5                     | Professional Learning Academic   | Yes   | \$38,660.00  | \$1,128.00  |
| 1                     | 1.6                     | Visual Performing Arts (VAPA) and<br>Science, Technology, Engineering<br>and Math Content (STEM) Content<br>Teachers | Yes   | \$14,707.00  | \$17,852.76   |
| 1                     | 1.7                     | Supplementary Materials and<br>Digital Content   | Yes   | \$6,695.00   | \$745.06  |
| 1                     | 1.8                     | Professional Learning and Planning<br>PIR  | No<br>Yes   |  |   |

| Last Year's<br>Goal # | Last Year's Action<br># | Prior Action/Service Title   | Contributed to Increased<br>or Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned<br>Expenditures<br>(Total Funds) | Estimated Actual<br>Expenditures<br>(Input Total Funds) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|
|                       |                         |  |   |  |   |
| 2                     | 2.1                     | Policy and Protocol Review Site<br>Based ILT/SLT                           | No  |  |   |
| 2                     | 2.2                     | Professional Learning - Equity<br>Based/Culturally Responsive<br>Practices | Yes   | \$17,510.00  | \$5,251.00  |
| 2                     | 2.3                     | Equity and Access Task Force and Ethnic Studies Committee                  | No  |  |   |
| 2                     | 2.4                     | Professional Learning - PLC  | Yes   | \$515.00   | \$4,716.00  |
| 3                     | 3.1                     | Professional Learning - SEL/SEH  | Yes   | \$5,150.00   | \$1,692.00  |
| 3                     | 3.2                     | Family Outreach  | Yes   | \$0.00   | \$0.00  |
| 3                     | 3.3                     | Family Communication   | No  | \$5,167.00   | \$291.67  |
| 3                     | 3.4                     | Family Education and Engagement  | Yes   | \$4,951.00   | \$0.00  |
| 3                     | 3.5                     | After School Enrichment - Middle<br>School Sports                          | Yes   | \$16,447.00  | \$19,364.44   |
| 3                     | 3.6                     | Facilities Maintenance   | No  | \$526,631.00   | \$333,784.52  |
| 3                     | 3.7                     | Attendance Support   | No  | \$80,190.00  | \$89,241.58   |

## 2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

| LC<br>Supple<br>and<br>Concer<br>Gra<br>(Input | imated<br>FF<br>emental<br>d/or<br>ntration<br>ntration<br>ants<br>Dollar<br>ount) | 4. Total Planned<br>Contributing<br>Expenditures<br>(LCFF Funds)                    | 7. Total Es<br>Expenditu<br>Contribu<br>Actio<br>(LCFF Fu | res for Between<br>uting and Est<br>ns Expendit         | Planned<br>imated<br>ures for<br>outing<br>ons<br>t 7 from | 5. Total Planne<br>Percentage o<br>Improved<br>Services (%)                | 6 8. Total Estimate   | d Difference<br>Between Planned<br>and Estimated<br>Percentage of<br>Improved<br>Services<br>(Subtract 5 from<br>8) |  |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
|  |  | \$189,157.00  | \$23,72   | 8.82 \$165,4  | 28.18  | 0.000%   | 0.000%  | 0.000%  |  |
| Last<br>Year's<br>Goal #                       | Last<br>Year's<br>Action #   | Prior Action/Service Title  |   | e Contributing to<br>Increased or<br>Improved Services? |  | Year's Planned<br>penditures for<br>Contributing<br>ctions (LCFF<br>Funds) | Estimated Actual<br>Expenditures for<br>Contributing<br>Actions<br>(Input LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage<br>of Improved<br>Services   | Estimated Actual<br>Percentage of<br>Improved Services<br>(Input Percentage) |
| startcol<br>lapse                              |  |   |   |   |  |  |   |   |  |
| 1  | 1.1  | Learning Acceleration Tutor   |   | Yes   | Ş  | \$115,560.00   | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.2  | Before and After School<br>Intervention Academic                                    |   | Yes   |  |  | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.3  | Before and After School<br>Intervention for English<br>Learners                     |   | Yes   |  |  | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.4  | Instructional Materia<br>Supplies   | als and   | nd Yes  |  |  | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.5  | Professional Learni<br>Academic   | ng  | Yes   |  | \$25,132.00  | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.6  | Visual Performing A<br>and Science, Tech<br>Engineering and Ma<br>(STEM) Content Te | nology,<br>ath Content                                    | Yes   |  | \$14,707.00  | \$17,852.76   |   |  |
| 1  | 1.7  | Supplementary Mat<br>Digital Content  | terials and   | Yes   |  | \$6,695.00   | \$745.06  |   |  |
| 1  | 1.8  | Professional Learni<br>Planning PIR   | ng and  | Yes   |  |  | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 2  | 2.2  | Professional Learni<br>Based/Culturally Re<br>Practices                             |   | Yes   |  |  | \$5,131.00  |   |  |
| 2  | 2.4  | Professional Learni   | ng - PLC  | Yes   |  | \$515.00   | \$0.00  |   |  |

| Last<br>Year's<br>Goal # | Last<br>Year's<br>Action # | Prior Action/Service Title                        | Contributing to<br>Increased or<br>Improved Services? | Last Year's Planned<br>Expenditures for<br>Contributing<br>Actions (LCFF<br>Funds) | Estimated Actual<br>Expenditures for<br>Contributing<br>Actions<br>(Input LCFF Funds) | Planned Percentage<br>of Improved<br>Services | Estimated Actual<br>Percentage of<br>Improved Services<br>(Input Percentage) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| 3                        | 3.1                        | Professional Learning -<br>SEL/SEH                | Yes   | \$5,150.00   | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 3                        | 3.2                        | Family Outreach                                   | Yes   | \$0.00   | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 3                        | 3.4                        | Family Education and<br>Engagement                | Yes   | \$4,951.00   | \$0.00  |   |  |
| 3                        | 3.5                        | After School Enrichment -<br>Middle School Sports | Yes   | \$16,447.00  | \$0.00  |   |  |

#### To Add a Row: Click "Add Row."

To Delete a Row: Remove all content from each cell, checkbox and dropdown of a row (including spaces), press "Save Data" and refresh the page.



## 2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

| 9. Estimated<br>Actual LCFF<br>Base Grant<br>(Input Dollar<br>Amount) | 6. Estimated<br>Actual LCFF<br>Supplemental<br>and/or<br>Concentration<br>Grants | LCFF Carryover<br>— Percentage<br>(Percentage<br>from Prior Year) | Services for the | for Contributing<br>Actions | 8. Total<br>Estimated<br>Actual<br>Percentage of<br>Improved<br>Services<br>(%) | 11. Estimated<br>Actual<br>Percentage of<br>Increased or<br>Improved<br>Services<br>(7 divided by 9,<br>plus 8) | 12. LCFF<br>Carryover —<br>Dollar Amount<br>(Subtract 11<br>from 10 and<br>multiply by 9) | 13. LCFF<br>Carryover —<br>Percentage<br>(12 divided by 9) |
|---|--|---|------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
|   |  |   | 0.000%           | \$23,728.82                 | 0.000%  | 0.000%  | \$0.00  | 0.000%   |

# 

## **Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions**

Plan Summary

Engaging Educational Partners

Goals and Actions

Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at <u>LCFF@cde.ca.gov</u>.

## **Introduction and Instructions**

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- Comprehensive Strategic Planning: The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California Education Code [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners: The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- Accountability and Compliance: The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (*EC* Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (*EC* sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
    - **NOTE:** As specified in *EC* Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to *EC* Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, *EC*

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA's final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity's budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA's diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

## **Plan Summary**

## Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA's community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

## **Requirements and Instructions**

#### **General Information**

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten-12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA's LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

#### **Reflections: Annual Performance**

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA's annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

#### **Reflections: Technical Assistance**

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

• If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as "Not Applicable."

#### **Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

#### Schools Identified

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

• Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

#### Support for Identified Schools

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

• Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidencebased interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

#### Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

• Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

# **Engaging Educational Partners**

## Purpose

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.

## Requirements

School districts and COEs: *EC* sections <u>52060(g)</u> (California Legislative Information) and <u>52066(g)</u> (California Legislative Information) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section <u>47606.5(d)</u> (California Legislative Information) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the <u>CDE's LCAP webpage</u>.

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see Education Code Section 52062 (California Legislative Information);
  - **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).

- For COEs, see Education Code Section 52068 (California Legislative Information); and
- For charter schools, see Education Code Section 47606.5 (California Legislative Information).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

## Instructions

#### Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

#### Complete the table as follows:

Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

#### Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement
  process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within
  the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## **Goals and Actions**

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

## **Requirements and Instructions**

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that

is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

#### **Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities**

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The <u>LCFF State Priorities Summary</u> provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

#### Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

#### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

#### Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding

#### Description

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

(A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and

(B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators.
  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school's educators, if applicable.

#### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to
  implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the
  ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** *EC* Section <u>42238.024(b)(1)</u> (California Legislative Information) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidencebased services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

#### **Broad Goal**

#### Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.
- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

#### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

Maintenance of Progress Goal Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

| Type of Goal  |
|---|
| Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.        |
| State Priorities addressed by this goal.  |
| Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.          |
| An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.                                |
| Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics. |

#### Measuring and Reporting Results:

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA's LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.

- Required metrics for LEA-wide actions: For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals**: For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
  - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

# Metric # • Enter the metric number. Metric • Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal. Baseline

- Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.
  - Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
  - Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
  - Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
  - The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
    - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain

accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.

- If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the
  description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational
  partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

#### Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

#### Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

#### Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

#### Current Difference from Baseline

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the "Measuring and Reporting Results" part of the Goal.

| Metric   | Baseline   | Year 1 Outcome  | Year 2 Outcome  | Target for Year 3<br>Outcome   | Current Difference<br>from Baseline   |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2024–25</b> or when<br>adding a new metric. | Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2024–25</b> or when<br>adding a new metric. | Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2025–26</b> . Leave<br>blank until then. | Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2026–27</b> . Leave<br>blank until then. | Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2024–25</b> or when<br>adding a new metric. | Enter information in<br>this box when<br>completing the LCAP<br>for <b>2025–26</b> and<br><b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank<br>until then. |

#### Goal Analysis:

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. "Effective" means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as "Not Applicable."

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
  - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
  - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

• Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. "Effectiveness" means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and "ineffectiveness" means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

#### Actions:

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

#### Action #

• Enter the action number.

#### Title

• Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

#### Description

• Provide a brief description of the action.

- For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
- As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
- These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

#### Total Funds

 Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

#### Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a "Y" for Yes or an "N" for No.
  - Note: for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 *CCR*] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

Actions for Foster Youth: School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

#### **Required Actions**

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
  - o Language acquisition programs, as defined in EC Section 306, provided to students, and
  - Professional development for teachers.
  - If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.

- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

## Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students

## Purpose

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA's description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

#### Statutory Requirements

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the "minimum proportionality percentage" or "MPP." The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

#### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

#### For School Districts Only

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

### **Requirements and Instructions**

Complete the tables as follows:

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants

• Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant

Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in EC Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will
receive in the coming year.

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

 Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(7).

LCFF Carryover — Percentage

Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

LCFF Carryover — Dollar

• Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year

• Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

## **Required Descriptions:**

#### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

#### Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

#### How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

• As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.

• Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

#### Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

Note for COEs and Charter Schools: In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

#### **Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

#### Identified Need(s)

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA's needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

#### How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.

#### Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

#### Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff
  who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.
- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school
  LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the
  funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at
  selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.

- The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that
  is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of
  unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## **Action Tables**

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word "input" has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

## **Total Planned Expenditures Table**

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- LCAP Year: Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- 1. Projected LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8).

Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.

See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- 2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- 3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is
  calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5
  CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared
  to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- LCFF Carryover Percentage: Specify the LCFF Carryover Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year: This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover Percentage. This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- Goal #: Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
  Action #: Enter the action's number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- Action Title: Provide a title of the action.
- Student Group(s): Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering "All," or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?: Type "Yes" if the action is included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type "No" if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If "Yes" is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - Scope: The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.

- Unduplicated Student Group(s): Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups.
   Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
- Location: Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate "All Schools." If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter "Specific Schools" or "Specific Grade Spans." Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span**: Enter "ongoing" if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter "1 Year," or "2 Years," or "6 Months."
- **Total Personnel**: Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel**: This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- LCFF Funds: Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA's total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).
  - Note: For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- Other State Funds: Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
  - Note: Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the "Other State Funds" category, not in the "LCFF Funds" category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA's LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- Local Funds: Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- Federal Funds: Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- Total Funds: This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- Planned Percentage of Improved Services: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as 2024-25 Local Control and Accountability Plan for South Bay Union School District Imperial Beach Charter School Page 73 of 77

a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.

 As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## **Contributing Actions Table**

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses.

## **Annual Update Table**

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

• Estimated Actual Expenditures: Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## **Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the 'Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?' column to ensure that only actions with a "Yes" are displaying. If actions with a "No" are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the "Yes" responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants: Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions: Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.

- Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services: For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## **LCFF Carryover Table**

- 9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant: Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year: This percentage will not be entered. The
  percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF
  Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover Percentage from the
  prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services
  provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## **Calculations in the Action Tables**

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

#### **Contributing Actions Table**

- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)

• This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

#### **Contributing Actions Annual Update Table**

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services.

#### • 6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants

- This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- 4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- 7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- 5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- 8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

#### LCFF Carryover Table

• 10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)

- This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover Percentage from the prior year.
- 11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- 12. LCFF Carryover Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.

The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- 13. LCFF Carryover Percentage (12 divided by 9)
  - This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education November 2023