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I. Intended Audience for this Guide

The Quality Counts California (QCC) Consortium Implementation Guide (Guide) was developed for local, regional, and statewide QCC implementers, raters, and monitors working with rated sites. It includes common agreements designating where consortia have local control, as well as areas where rating must be implemented in a common and consistent way. Consortium agreements ensure local and regional QCC models are implemented to fidelity in accordance with statewide agreements and state policy guidance. The Guide will continue to be updated as new agreements are determined. Please refer to the Glossary for definitions of terms used throughout this Guide. Additional resources for QCC Consortium members can be found at www.qualitycountsca.net.

II. What is a QRIS?

Early learning and care (ELC) can bring a wide range of benefits for children, parents, and society at large. However, these benefits are conditional on the quality of the early learning and care settings. Expanding access to services without attention to quality will not deliver positive outcomes for children or long-term productivity benefits for society.1 A Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS) can provide parents and other consumers information about the quality of early learning settings and help those settings understand where improvement is needed.

A fully functioning QRIS includes the following components: (1) quality standards for programs and practitioners; (2) support for an infrastructure to meet such standards; (3) monitoring and accountability systems to ensure compliance with quality standards; (4) ongoing financial assistance that is linked to meeting quality standards; and (5) engagement and outreach strategies.2

III. California’s Approach to QRIS

Quality Counts California (QCC) is designed to support continuous quality improvement of ELC programs across the continuum of ELC setting types. QCC is a statewide system of quality support with activities at the state, regional, and local levels, including a QRIS. QCC helps enhance the quality of ELC programs and informs families about the meanings of different quality levels for children’s ELC experiences. The QCC Framework, which was initially developed as part of the Race to the Top-Early Learning Challenge (RTT-ELC) grant and which has evolved over time, sets the foundation for the quality improvement continuum. The RTT-ELC Consortium, later renamed the QCC Consortium, voluntarily agreed to align their local QRIS to a common “Quality Continuum Framework” that included a common Rating Matrix with some local modifications allowed.

The Rating Matrix uses the terms “core” and “elements,” which refer to the three overarching categories and the indicators or components within these categories. The Elements are numbered consecutively one through seven, with elements five and seven for centers only, as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE</th>
<th>Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Core 1: Child Development and School Readiness | Element 1. Child Observation  
Element 2. Developmental and Health Screenings |
| Core 2: Teachers and Teaching              | Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications: Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home  
Element 4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions |
| Core 3: Program and Environment            | Element 5. Licensing and Regulatory Requirements: Ratios and Group Size (Centers Only)  
Element 7. Program Administration and Leadership: Director Qualifications (Centers Only) |

The RTT-ELC Consortia also agreed upon the following Tier Rating Chart based on the final Program Quality Score in points. **Points may not deviate from what is specified below, even in locally determined tiers.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier 1 (blocked, receive 1 point per element)</th>
<th>Tier 2 (locally determined—point values, blocked, or combination)</th>
<th>Tier 3</th>
<th>Tier 4</th>
<th>Tier 5 (can include additional locally determined requirements)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centers</td>
<td>7 points</td>
<td>8–19 points</td>
<td>20–25 points</td>
<td>26–31 points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Child Care Homes</td>
<td>5 points</td>
<td>6–13 points</td>
<td>14–17 points</td>
<td>18–21 points</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The implementation guidelines ensure consistency of implementation across counties. To allow local flexibility, QCC consortia could elect to make local decisions about the tiers that were not commonly adopted, as well as areas of implementation that were left to local control.
In Fiscal Year 2015–16, the work of the RTT-ELC Consortium expanded to a statewide effort, drawing on the nationally recognized effectiveness factors or standards that lead to improved learning and development outcomes for children. The RTT-ELC Framework is now the QCC Quality Continuum Framework. QCC is funded through a layering of multiple funding sources, including First 5 California, the California Department of Education, and local funding. For more information on Quality Counts California, visit www.qualitycountsca.net.

IV. Early Learning Sites Eligible for Rating

An early learning site is operated by an administration or entity at one physical location. If two administrations/entities are operating on the same site and are operating separately from one another (e.g., co-located), each is counted as a separate site.

For sites licensed by the California Department of Social Services, the definition “in good standing” is to be used. If a site’s license is changed to anything other than “in good standing,” the QCC rating is suspended.

In addition, local consortium may:

- Choose to impose a time period prior to rating without incident
- Determine reinstatement protocols

Exceptions to licensed programs that are eligible to participate include:

- Cal-SAFE child development programs
- Tribal-approved child care programs
- Military installation child care programs
- Adult Education preschool programs that are legally exempt from licensing
- Other programs and classrooms operated by school districts, such as IDEA Part B or Part C funded programs

For additional information on eligible sites and requirements, please see the applicable funding requirements.

V. Rating and Monitoring

All consortia agree to implement all tools, measures, and documents to fidelity, adhering to the State Master Anchors’ approaches. Preserving the components that made the original practice effective can directly impact the success of desired outcomes. One
recommended way to ensure high-quality implementation is for consortia to identify and clearly stipulate four distinct QCC functions:

- **Raters** review and validate portfolio documentation used to determine ratings.

- **External Assessors** are deployed by the local QCC Consortium and reliable on the Environment Rating Scales (ERS) and conduct this portion of the rating. **External CLASS Observers** are certified on the *Classroom Assessment Scoring System*® (CLASS®) tools and conduct this portion of the rating. Note: Throughout this document, both are referred to as “assessors.”

- **Monitors** ensure sites maintain their rated quality and compliance with QRIS requirements.

- **Technical Assistance (TA) Providers** support the creation and/or implementation of the Quality Improvement Plan (QIP). TA providers also may assist sites with the development of the basic portfolio for rating, in addition to providing quality improvement coaching and other types of consultation.

While some functions may be fulfilled by the same person, individuals cannot serve as an external assessor and provide technical assistance or coaching services to the same group of teachers/sites.

**Rating Guidelines**

The QCC Rating Matrix employs a portfolio-based system (with monitoring and administrative verification) for a site to self-report some elements of quality, and a file review for others, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Point Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Child Observation/Assessment</td>
<td>File Review At All Levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Developmental and Health Screening</td>
<td>File Review At All Levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lead Teacher Qualifications and Professional Development</td>
<td>Self-Report At All Levels Supported With Documentation/Reporting By The Workforce Registry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 CLASS Assessment</td>
<td>Point Values 1 And 2 - Self-Report Point Values 3,4 And 5 - External Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Ratios and Group Size</td>
<td>Point Values 1 And 2 - Self-Report Point Values 3,4 And 5 - Verification By Assessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Environment Rating Scale</td>
<td>Point Values 1 And 2 - Self-Report Point Values 3,4 And 5 - External Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Director Qualifications</td>
<td>Self-Report At All Levels Supported With Documentation/Reporting By The Workforce Registry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Self-report

All QCC sites will use a portfolio for self-report elements. In order to verify the level of quality, all programs will be required to provide common documents demonstrating the level of quality for each element of the QCC Rating Matrix. The portfolio may be managed online (e.g., via upload) or on paper. This is a local decision. All portfolios should be subject to random observation and file review at the discretion of the local consortium.

File Review

Two children’s files from each classroom are to be randomly selected for review of child assessments and screenings by the external rater during observation visits. (If the child has been in the program fewer than 60 days, another file may be selected.) To obtain the corresponding point value for each element, every file reviewed should have evidence of screening and assessment. One hundred percent of files checked must contain evidence of a child assessment and screening or other alternatives. Alternatives to developmental and health screening include a parent “opt-out” form, a detailed documentation of repeated attempts (as determined locally) to obtain parental consent, or the existence of a current Individual Family Service Plan (IFSP) or Individualized Education Plan (IEP).

As an alternative to viewing individual child paper files, it is acceptable to view a centralized tracking system or database files (such as for child assessment, or health and developmental screening). Evidence must demonstrate one hundred percent compliance consistent with Implementation Guide requirements.

Frequency of Site Rating

A site’s rating is valid for three or five years depending on tier rating, from the time the overall site rating is assigned. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If the site was rated in:</th>
<th>And the result was Tier 1, 2, or 3, the site’s rating is valid through:</th>
<th>And the result was Tier 4 or 5, the site’s rating is valid through:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 2020</td>
<td>March 2023</td>
<td>March 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2019</td>
<td>November 2022</td>
<td>November 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2018</td>
<td>August 2021</td>
<td>August 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participating sites are to develop quality improvement plans after they receive a full rating and commit to engaging in an ongoing continuous quality improvement process between ratings. QCC Consortia will monitor participating sites between ratings. Re-rating is required when a site license changes due to a change of physical location. The decision to re-rate a site for other reasons during the rating period is a local decision. Some local decisions that may trigger reconsideration of the rating before the rating period has passed include:

- Significant turnover in staff
- New director
- Changes that warrant re-assessment of an individual classroom, including a change in the lead teacher of a formerly assessed classroom
- Significant licensing violation
- Other evidence of changes related to the criteria upon which they were rated
- Other reasons determined by local consortium

Communicating the Site Rating

Formal ratings must be made available to the public. The emphasis on publicly available ratings highlights consumer awareness as a key component of the success of a QRIS as a mechanism for supporting families in selecting high-quality ELC programs for their children.

Consortia may choose to communicate ratings as tier or star levels; combine levels into three categories, such as “beginning,” “achieving,” and “advancing;” or use another communication system to convey rating information to the public.

Consortia also have discretion on how ratings are publicized. Please note: Child Care Resource and Referral agencies (R&Rs) are required per the reauthorized Child Care and Development Block Grant Act (CCDBGA) (Section 658E.c.2.E.i.II.) to provide information on ratings to families. Consortia also may share ratings by:

- Posting at participating early learning setting to indicate QRIS participation and tiered rating
- Posting on a website (e.g., R&R, and/or local QRIS website)

Public communication should include the effective dates of the rating.
Reporting Site Data to the State

Consortia are required to report data to the State once per year, including sites’ overall ratings and element scores. Details about how and when to report data are available at https://qualitycountsca.net/quality-partners/consortium-members/.

VI. Assessing Classrooms

Frequency of Classroom Assessments

External assessments are conducted for Tiers 3 through 5 for select elements using the CLASS tool (Element 4) and the ERS (Element 6) for the appropriate age level. Refer to guidelines within the tools if classrooms are mixed age. California Master Anchors can provide additional clarification if necessary.

Based on the local QRIS model and site readiness, rated sites may receive a formal, external assessment using the ERS and the CLASS, if warranted, every three years (sites rated at Tiers 1 through 3) or five years (sites rated at Tiers 4 and 5). The same classrooms, selected through random sampling, will receive both the CLASS and the ERS assessment in the same year, or within 13 months of the final rating. For the purpose of assessment, a “year” is considered 13 consecutive months. For example, if the ERS assessments are conducted in May, and the CLASS assessments are conducted on the same classrooms with the same lead teachers in September, then the program must have a completed rating by June of the following year in order for the ERS assessment to be valid.

Defining Classrooms for Assessment

Classrooms are defined by the teaching team and the group of children. The table below demonstrates several scenarios to help determine the number of classrooms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Number of Classrooms</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM Preschool + PM Preschool</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ages served and teaching team are the same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same teaching team for AM and PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM Toddlers + PM Preschool</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Teaching team is the same. Different age groups require different assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same teaching team for AM and PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM Preschool + PM Preschool</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Same age group served in the morning and afternoon. Different teaching teams require different assessments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different Teaching team in AM and PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selecting Classrooms for Assessment

Consortium will assess one-third of classrooms of each age group at a site, regardless of funding stream and total overall number of classrooms, including at least one classroom in each age group. See definitions of age groups in the glossary.

NOTE: For sites participating in CSPP Block Grant funding and sites with mixed funding: Rating is completed at the site level – classrooms selected for assessment are chosen as described within this Implementation Guide. This may result in a site with CSPP Block Grant funding receiving a rating that is not based on the assessments of any classrooms participating in the CSPP Block Grant. Classrooms participating in the CSPP Block Grant should not be rated separately from the rest of the classrooms at the site.

Guidelines to determine the number of classrooms to assess with the CLASS and ERS:

- **Sites serving a single age group of children** (e.g., all toddlers or all preschoolers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of classrooms at site</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of classrooms to assess</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Sites with different classrooms for children of different ages** (be sure to select at least one classroom from each age group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of classrooms at site</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of classrooms to assess</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parameters for Selecting Classrooms

For classroom selection and random selection process, exclude classrooms (use another randomly chosen classroom as an alternate) or postpone a scheduled assessment/visit when there is a: (1) substitute teacher; or (2) new teacher to the site (30 calendar days or fewer).

The minimum number of children required to be present in a particular classroom in order to rate will be locally determined as long as it remains within the parameters of the tool being used for assessment.

Announced vs. Unannounced Visits
Consortia will conduct scheduled visits with sites. Local consortia determine how far in advance the visits may be scheduled. Notification to the site of randomly selected classrooms to be assessed on ERS and CLASS will occur the day of the visit. The assessor will ensure the classroom being assessed is staffed by the lead teacher on record (or long-term sub who has been serving as lead teacher in the classroom longer than 30 days).

Use of Existing Assessments

A consortium shall accept ERS and CLASS assessments previously conducted in lieu of the county consortium sending an assessor ONLY if the assessment was:

- Completed within 13 months of the rating
- Performed by a valid and reliable external assessor (deployed by the local QCC Consortium)
- Conducted with the same lead teacher, child age group, and physical location as the randomly selected classroom

Recommendations for Observations Using the CLASS Tool

When coding with any CLASS tool, the observer evaluates the effectiveness of interactions for the target age level. In any classroom setting, multiple adults may be present during the observation, requiring the observer to consider how each adult interacts with children and how those adults jointly influence the overall classroom experience.

The consortium agreed the CLASS observation may be conducted in classrooms with at least one child present.

Local consortium policy guides other observation protocol, such as:

- When a classroom receives a CLASS assessment (e.g., if at a lower points level than required in the rating matrix)
- The number of children in the classroom (or target age level) that need to be present in order to observe and if/when to reschedule an assessment to maximize attendance

Guidelines for Use of CLASS in Multi-age Classrooms
In a multi-age setting, the observer should keep the target age range in mind and pay particular attention to the interactions associated with those ages. Interactions with children outside the target age level are considered in coding, only if they enhance or detract from those experienced by the intended children. For example, a teacher may have effective and warm interactions with an infant during a diaper change; but if the assessor is observing with the Toddler CLASS measure, and the toddlers are not involved, the assessor would not code those interactions. On the other hand, if the teacher involves toddlers in care by asking them to get a diaper or help wash the baby's hands, those interactions would be considered in coding.

Determining whether one tool or two will be used in multi-age classrooms:

- If using one tool and need to determine which measure to use, consider the goals of the observation and the expertise of coders. Also consider the age range of each tool (e.g., the infant tool can be used in classrooms with children as old as 15 months).

- When local decision dictates use of more than one age-level tool in a multi-age classroom, observers may alternate between age levels either by:
  - Making one visit – obtain three cycles of each tool during the classroom visit (total of six cycles); or
  - Making two visits – observe one age level at the first visit and the other age level during the second visit. Each visit/tool should include four cycles.

Guidelines for Use of CLASS in Diverse Classrooms

Early learning settings in the QCC serve children from diverse backgrounds, languages, and cultures. It is imperative the observer is culturally sensitive and able to understand the adult-child interactions to score appropriately.

The consortium agreed the CLASS observer must understand 85–90 percent of the teacher’s communication with the child/ren to consider the assessment reliable. This is particularly important in Pre-Kindergarten classrooms.

Other things to consider when observing in a diverse classroom include:

- Some behaviors are considered disrespectful in certain cultures. For example, forcing eye contact would be uncomfortable for a child and would not be demonstrating respect. But eye contact is only one of several markers of respect, and it is not required for a teacher to force eye contact to demonstrate respect.

- When the early educator and child engage in back-and-forth exchanges, the observer must understand both the adult and child to accurately assess scaffolding,
feedback loops, and other indicators in the Pre-K CLASS Instructional Support Domain.

- The consortium strongly encourages using assessors who speak the language of the children at the site and understand the cultures.

VII. Environment Rating Scale Anchors and Assessors

This section outlines the roles of Environment Rating Scale (ERS) Anchors and assessors. The following graphic shows the relationship between each of these roles at the state, regional, and local/consortia levels. The California Early Childhood Environment Rating Scales Anchor document which outlines the roles of state, regional, and local Environment Rating Scales ERS Anchors and assessors in Quality Counts California can be found at [https://drive.google.com/file/d/13E3EwyQdfSLgOt2iGVFn3fhGNOWrrzER/view?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/file/d/13E3EwyQdfSLgOt2iGVFn3fhGNOWrrzER/view?usp=sharing).

Statewide Master Anchors

State Master Anchors (MAs) carry out the following activities:

- MAs maintain reliability to one another through recertification every 18 months with drift checks every six months.

- Initial certification of Regional ERS Anchor (RA) and one day Inter-Rater Reliability (IRR) every 18 months thereafter. If the Regional ERS Anchor does not reach 90 percent reliability in one-day IRR, a three-day recertification with QCC MA. (Note: assessment vendors must be certified with a MA or RA at their own cost to be approved to conduct QCC assessments for rating).

- Provide advice and support for Regional ERS Anchors as they train and certify local assessors.

- Develop resources for Regional ERS Anchors to use when conducting local training and reliability certification.

- Provide information and assistance to Regional ERS Anchors to carry out IRR activities with consortia assessors.

- Reach consensus about questions that arise during assessment, and disseminate responses and clarifications to local Anchors to ensure consistent interpretation across the QCC.

- Conduct in-person and web-based training and meetings with Regional ERS Anchors to build consensus and strengthen capacity to conduct ERS assessments and certify assessors.
Regional ERS Anchor

According to the First 5 IMPACT Regional Coordination and Training and Technical Assistance Hubs (Hubs) RFA, the Hubs are required to identify at least one Regional ERS Anchor who can certify local assessors and support the fidelity of assessment practices and consistency of tool interpretation across the region. F5CA will support certification of Regional ERS Anchor(s) identified by the Hub, while the Hub is responsible for coordinating Regional ERS Anchor responsibilities.

A Regional ERS Anchor is a Hub-designated individual authorized to certify reliability of ERS assessors at Level 1 and Level 2. The Regional ERS Anchor is considered an experienced, reliable "expert" assessor responsible for interpretation of scores and training of other assessors. Each Hub region should designate at least one Regional ERS Anchor on each ERS tool. A large consortium also may designate a Local ERS Anchor. The region or large consortium may have one Anchor for all three ERS tools or three different Anchors – one for each tool.

Regional ERS Anchors must achieve at least 90 percent reliability with a State MA. However, this person is not considered an Anchor until designated as such by a region (Hub) or consortium and given the authority to carry out Anchor responsibilities. Following initial certification, each Regional ERS Anchor must complete IRR with another Regional ERS Anchor every six months, at a minimum, and recertify with a state MA every 18 months.

The Regional ERS Anchors shall have the authority to:

- Conduct assessments.

- Train and certify ERS assessors.

- Coordinate IRR for ERS assessors in their region every six months, at minimum, or at least once between recertifications.

F5CA MAs may ask Regional ERS Anchors to participate in virtual or in-person learning communities and/or meetings, as well as contribute to the overall QCC Assessor Management System by working with the F5CA MAs on ERS-related clarifications, policies, and statewide practices.

Regional ERS Anchors also may be given responsibility by consortia in the Hub region to review reports written by ERS assessors and conduct ERS training for coaches and early childhood educators.

Local ERS Assessors

All ERS assessors who rate early learning sites in the QCC shall be external (independent) to the site being assessed and conduct the assessments in accordance
with the policies and procedures set forth by the State Master Anchors. Assessors participate in a rigorous certification process and complete multiple practice observations to ensure they have learned how to use the scales/instrument to measure the quality of early childhood sites. Assessors are deemed reliable when they achieve and maintain a minimum of 85 percent reliability (agreement) with an Anchor. Only a Consortium/Hub-designated Regional ERS Anchor or a State MA is authorized to certify assessors for the QCC. Following initial certification, assessors should complete IRR every six months, at minimum, and recertify with a state MA every 18 months.

Assessors shall demonstrate reliability during IRR to continue to assess using the ERS tool. Assessors have up to three opportunities to pass IRR. Local consortia determine next steps after a third failed attempt at reliability during IRR.

There are two recommended levels of ERS assessor:

- **Level 1 ERS assessors** must achieve 90 percent reliability with an Anchor across three consecutive assessments for initial certification and recertification, and complete one assessment with a Regional ERS Anchor for IRR. Because of their higher level of reliability, consortia may (but are not required to) give Level 1 ERS assessors other responsibilities, such as carrying out IRR with Level 2 ERS assessors and reviewing reports written by Level 2 ERS assessors.

- **Level 2 ERS assessors** shall achieve 85 percent reliability with an Anchor across three consecutive assessments for initial certification and recertification, and complete one assessment with a Regional ERS Anchor or Level 1 assessor for IRR.

Some consortia do not distinguish between Level 1 and Level 2 ERS assessors, requiring all assessors to achieve and maintain a minimum of 85 percent reliability and use assessors only to carry out assessment responsibilities.
VIII. Regional CLASS Trainers and Observers

Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainers

A CLASS Trainer is certified by Teachstone to conduct training on a specific tool and is called an Affiliate Trainer. The QCC recommends, but does not require, each region to identify a Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainer to support the region’s CLASS needs. Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainers who work within the QCC are authorized to provide training to other partners within their local QRIS.

Geography and/or other needs may call for each consortium within a regional Hub to have its own consortium-dedicated affiliate trainer(s), or several consortia may elect to share a Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainer.

The Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainers should maintain annual certification through Teachstone. If authorized by the regional Hub, the Regional CLASS Affiliate Trainer may:

- Coordinate regional training and observer certification.
- Review reports written by CLASS observers.

CLASS Observers

Whether regional or consortia-specific, CLASS observers carry out assessments on applicable age-level tool(s) and complete reports, as applicable within a consortium or for several consortia within a regional Hub.
CLASS observers must have proof of current certification from Teachstone demonstrating reliability on applicable tool.

**CLASS Drift Testing**

CLASS drift testing must be conducted at least one time between annual recertifications. The drift testing may be carried out in one of two ways:

- Online calibration during an assigned period of time – code one 20-minute video and achieve at least 80 percent reliability. Observers also may review a one-hour webinar debrief of the video after coding.

- Live double-code with an experienced CLASS-certified assessor identified by the consortium.

Observers must demonstrate reliability during drift testing to continue to observe using the CLASS tool. Observers have up to three opportunities to meet 80 percent reliability during drift testing. Between drift tests, observers may receive technical assistance from a State Master Anchor or Regional CLASS Trainer/Observer to support success. Hubs and/or local consortia determine next steps after a third failed attempt at drift test reliability.
### Appendix I: Quality Counts California Rating Matrix

Rating Matrix with Elements and Points for Consortia Common Tiers 1, 3, and 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>1 POINT</th>
<th>2 POINTS</th>
<th>3 POINTS</th>
<th>4 POINTS</th>
<th>5 POINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Child Observation</td>
<td>□ Not required</td>
<td>□ Program uses evidence-based child assessment/observation tool annually that covers all five domains of development</td>
<td>□ Program uses valid and reliable child assessment/observation tool aligned with CA Foundations &amp; Developmental Framework at least twice a year</td>
<td>□ DRDP (minimum twice a year) and results used to inform curriculum planning</td>
<td>□ Program uses DRDP twice a year and results used to inform curriculum planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Developmental and Health Screenings</td>
<td>□ Meets Title 22 Regulations</td>
<td>□ Health Screening Form (Community Care Licensing form LIC-701 “Physician’s Report - Child Care Centers” or equivalent) used at entry, then:</td>
<td>□ Meets criteria from point level 2</td>
<td>□ Program works with families to ensure screening of all children using a valid and reliable developmental screening tool at entry and at indicated by results thereint</td>
<td>□ Meets criteria from point level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Annually</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>AND</td>
<td>AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Ensures vision and hearing screenings are conducted annually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/ Family Child Care Home (FCCH)</td>
<td>□ Meets Title 22 Regulations [Center: 12 units of Early Childhood Education (ECE)/One Developmental (CD) FCDR: 15 hours of training on preventive health practices]</td>
<td>□ Center: 24 units of ECE/CD OR Associate Teacher Permit</td>
<td>□ 24 units of ECE/CD + 18 units of General Education OR Teacher Permit AND</td>
<td>□ 24 units of ECE/CD + 18 units of General Education OR Teacher Permit AND</td>
<td>□ Bachelor’s degree in ECE/CD (or closely related field) OR AAAS in any field plus 24 units of ECE/CD OR Site Supervisor Permit AND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR FCCH: 12 units of ECE/CD OR Associate Teacher Permit</td>
<td>21 hours professional development (PD) annually</td>
<td>□ Associate’s degree (AAAS) in ECE/CD (or closely related field) OR AAAS in any field plus 24 units of ECE/CD OR Site Supervisor Permit AND</td>
<td>□ 21 hours PD annually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions: CLASS Assessments (Your tool for appropriate age groups are available)</td>
<td>□ Not required</td>
<td>□ Familiarity with CLASS for appropriate age group as available by one representative from the site</td>
<td>□ Independent CLASS assessment by reliable observer with minimum CLASS scores:</td>
<td>□ Independent assessment with CLASS with minimum CLASS scores:</td>
<td>□ Independent assessment with CLASS with minimum CLASS scores:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-K:</td>
<td>Pre-K:</td>
<td>Pre-K:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Classroom Organization – 6</td>
<td>Emotional &amp; Behavioral Support – 3</td>
<td>Classroom Organization – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Toddler:</td>
<td>Toddler:</td>
<td>Toddler:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infant:</td>
<td>Engaged Support for Learning – 4</td>
<td>Engaged Support for Learning – 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Responsive Caregiving (RC) – 5.0</td>
<td>Infant:</td>
<td>Responsive Caregiving (RC) – 5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Approved assessments are: Creative Curriculum GOLD, Early Learning Scale by National Institute of Early Education Research (NIEER), and Brigance Inventory of Early Development III.

2. For all ECE/CD units, the core eight are desired but not required.

**Note:** Point values are not indicative of Tiers 1-5 but reflect a range of points that can be earned toward assigning a tier rating (see total point range).
3. Local Tier 2: Local decision if Blocked or Points and if there are additional elements

4. Local Tier 5: Local decision if there are additional elements included California Department of Education, February 2014 Updated May 2015; Effective July 1, 2015
Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points

Core 1: Child Development and School Readiness

Element 1. Child Observation

1 POINT

Indicator: Not Required

Detail: Child Observation and Assessment is not required at Common Tier 1/BLOCK. Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

2 POINTS

Indicator: Program uses evidence-based child assessment/observation tool once a year that covers all five domains of development

Detail: Local consortia may use the list of evidence-based child assessment/observation tools from the list below, create local guidelines, or both. Local criteria must include evidence that the tool is observational, annual, and, at minimum, assesses the following developmental domains: physical (gross and fine motor), social-emotional, cognitive, and communication.

Optional, partial list of evidence-based tools:

- High/Scope Child Observation Record (COR) for Infants and Toddlers
- High/Scope Preschool Child Observation Record (COR), Second Edition
- Work Sampling, Developmental Guidelines, Preschool 3
- Work Sampling, Developmental Guidelines, Preschool 4
- Learning Accomplishment Profile-3rd Edition (preschool)
- The Ounce Scale (infant/toddler)
- NIEER Early Learning Scale

Uses refers to any assessment, instrument, or tool that yields individual and group information. This term requires that the program accesses and analyzes results and those results (whether individual information or aggregated group data) are used to inform practice.

Random selection of two children’s files per classroom during observation visits will provide evidence of use of evidence-based child assessment/observation for each child. (If a child has been in the program fewer than 60 days, another file may be reviewed.)

3 POINTS
**Indicator:** Program uses valid and reliable child assessment/observation tool aligned with California Foundations and Frameworks twice a year

**Detail:** Valid and reliable child observation tools must be approved by the California Department of Education prior to awarding the site three points for this element. Currently approved tools are:

- Teaching Strategies GOLD® (Creative Curriculum) assessment
- Early Learning Scale by National Institute of Early Education Research (NIEER) available from Lakeshore Learning School Supply
- Brigance Inventory of Early Development III

Assessment tool developers must contact Serene Yee at syee@cde.ca.gov or 916-322-1048 if they wish to submit documentation of alignment with the *California Foundations and Frameworks.*

Programs without evidence of twice-annual child assessments due to the rating visit occurring prior to the second assessment due date may meet this requirement in one of two ways:

1. Programs may show evidence from the prior year of two cycles of assessment.

2. Programs without assessment evidence from prior year are required to submit actual scores from the second annual child assessment in order to maintain their score on this item and overall site rating. (Verification process is a local decision.)

**4 POINTS**

**Indicator:** DRDP (minimum twice a year) and results used to inform curriculum planning

**Detail:** All users of *Desired Results Developmental Profile* (DRDP) must use the DRDP 2015 version. Sites may choose, at their discretion, to use the comprehensive, fundamental, or essential view.

Programs without evidence of twice-annual child assessments due to the rating visit occurring prior to the second assessment due date may meet this requirement in one of two ways:

1. Programs may show evidence from the prior year of two cycles of assessment.

2. Programs without assessment evidence from prior year are required to submit actual scores from the second annual child assessment in order to maintain their score on this item and overall site rating. (Verification process is a local decision.)

Evidence should demonstrate that individual child assessments are considered when planning for learning experiences through which the curriculum goals will be achieved. Acceptable documentation is as follows:
1. Show use of DRDP and program has current NAEYC Accreditation OR
2. Show use of DRDP and provide current Head Start School Readiness Goals OR
3. Provide completed CD 4001B ( Desired Results Developmental Profile Summary of Finding for the Classroom and Family Child Care Home Form ) OR
4. Provide two of the following as evidence:
   • Written curriculum statements
   • Lesson plans
   • Planning webs
   • Notes from planning sessions with information on how a purchased curriculum (if used) considers assessment of child progress

5 POINTS

Indicator: Program uses DRDP twice a year and uploads into DRDP Online and results used to inform curriculum planning.

Detail: Use of DRDP Online is free to Head Start, state funded programs, and all QCC participating sites.

Twice-annual assessments are confirmed in the same manner as for four points above.

Evidence should demonstrate the use of domain scale reports when planning for individual and group learning experiences within the curriculum. Desired Results Access domain-level reports can be used for children with IFSPs and IEPs.

Core 1: Child Development and School Readiness

Element 2. Developmental and Health Screenings

1 POINT

Indicator: Meets Title 22 Regulations

Detail: Title 22 Regulations require current immunization records for every child enrolled in the family child care home or center. Family child care homes use form PM 286, available at http://www.cdph.ca.gov/pubsforms/forms/CtrlldForms/cdph286.pdf and centers use form LIC 701, available at http://www.dss.ca.gov/CDSSWeb/PG166.htm. Forms must be printed on blue paper. Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive 1 point for this element.
2 POINTS

Indicator: Health Screening Form (Community Care Licensing form LIC 701 "Physician's Report - Child Care Centers" or equivalent) used at entry, then:

1. Annually OR
2. Ensures vision and hearing screenings annually

Detail:

Used at entry then annually: Requires the program to collect form LIC 701 (or equivalent) at the child’s entry into the program and annually or at the beginning of each program year.

Conducts (or collects) screening: If vision and hearing screening are used as the method of meeting this standard, programs may:

- Use information from a doctor’s or specialist’s screening
- Conduct vision and health screenings at the site
- Use information from a doctor/specialist and conduct vision and health screening at the site

In instances where the site has difficulty meeting the annual requirements for one or more returning children (after collection of Health Screening Form LIC 701 upon entry), monitors may accept one or both of the following forms of evidence of health screening during subsequent years of a child’s attendance:

- Parent “opt-out” form or equivalent evidence that parent denied resubmittal of Health Screening Form and/or denied screening
- Detailed documentation of repeated attempts to obtain Health Screening Form and/or parent consent when conducting file review

3 POINTS

Indicator: Program works with families to screen all children using a valid and reliable child screening tool at entry and as indicated by results thereafter AND Meets criteria for Point Value 2

Detail: Below is partial list of valid and reliable child screening tools. Other tools are acceptable at the discretion of the consortium with documentation of validity and reliability.

Partial List:

- Mullen Scales of Early Learning
- DIAL 3
- Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ)
• ESP: Early Screening Profiles
• ESI-R (Early Screening Inventory)
• Denver II
• Parents Evaluation of Developmental Status
• Parents Evaluation of Developmental Status – Developmental Milestones
• Battelle Developmental Inventory Screening Test
• Learning Accomplishment Profile-Diagnostic Screens

As indicated by the results thereafter, refers to the actions taken by the program to refer families to additional resources based on the results of the screening tool.

Beginning at this point value, both centers and family child care homes must use a developmental screening tool or produce proof of one of the items below:

• IFSP/IEP
• Evidence of screening in another venue (must have actual screen or documented results)
• Parent “opt-out” form or equivalent evidence that parent denied screening
• Detailed documentation of repeated attempts to obtain parental consent when conducting file review

In addition to providing evidence through the file review process that 100 percent of children are screened, the site must provide evidence for how the screening and referral process works at the site level.

4 POINTS

Indicator: Program works with families to ensure screening of all children using the ASQ at entry and as indicated by results thereafter AND
Meets criteria from Point Value 2

Detail: Program works with families means:

• Families are engaged in the screening process.
• Results are shared with the family in a confidential and supportive manner.
• Program staff use screening results to refer families to the appropriate agencies for further assessment, such as the local Regional Center, school district, and/or other resources.

For definitions of ASQ, see glossary.
5 POINTS

**Indicator:** Program works with families to ensure screening of all children using the **ASQ & ASQ-SE**, if indicated, at entry, then as indicated by results thereafter **AND**

Program staff use children’s screening results to make referrals, implement intervention strategies, and adaptations as appropriate **AND**

Meets criteria for Point Value 2

**Detail:** For definition of *Ages and Stages Questionnaire-Social Emotional* (ASQ-SE), see glossary.

“If indicated” is a local decision acting in the best interest of the child.
Core 2: Teachers and Teaching

Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications: Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home (FCCH)

1 POINT

**Indicator:** Meets Title 22 Regulations

**Detail:** Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

2 POINTS

**Indicator:**
- **Center:** 24 units of ECE/CD (core 8)
- **FCCH:** 12 units of ECE/CD (core 8)
  - OR
  - Associate Teacher Permit

**Detail:** In Point Values 2 through 5, qualifications are entered into the workforce registry for all lead teachers employed at the site AND no less than 75 percent of them must meet requirements in Point Values 2 through 5. All higher education units are calculated by semester units (quarter units are converted to semester units).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total # of Lead Teachers</th>
<th># Needed to Meet Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Point Values 3 through 5, staff in family child care homes (FCCH) and centers are held to the same educational standard.

Point Value 2 is equivalent to the Associate Teacher Permit for family child care homes and centers. Teachers who applied for the permit may substitute verification of application, in lieu of the transcript, for review.
An expired permit can meet the requirements for Point Values 2 through 5 as long as the qualifications are consistent with current permit requirements.

The California Commission on Teacher Credentialing (CTC) Child Development Permit Matrix can be found at the following link: https://www.ctc.ca.gov/docs/default-source/leaflets/cl797.pdf?sfvrsn=665bc585_0

For questions regarding Montessori certifications and their equivalent, see Title 22 licensing section 101216.1.


*Core 8 courses* are desired but not required.

Each group of children must have at least one designated lead teacher. The lead teacher must spend the vast majority of time with one group of children who attend at the same time rather than divide time between classrooms or float among groups. The group size is determined by the point value of the rating. The above is based on the NAEYC accreditation definition of a teacher.

For the purposes of rating a licensed FCCH, the lead teacher is defined as the adult who is the owner and full-time operator of the FCCH. If the owner is not teaching the children, the FCCH lead teacher is defined as the adult with the highest degree who spends the vast majority of time with the children. A group of children in an FCCH is defined as those children who are enrolled in the FCCH. The group size is determined by licensing requirements based on the ages of the children enrolled.

### 3 POINTS

**Indicator:** 24 units of ECE/CD and 16 units of General Education

OR

Teacher Permit **AND**

21 hours professional development (PD) annually

**Detail:** Point Value 3 is equivalent to the Teacher Permit.

Professional development activities may include classes, documented workshops, coaching sessions, equivalency of coursework, or other activities as determined locally by consortium. PD activities should stem from the needs of the teacher and program, based on the individual professional growth plan and/or the site’s Quality Improvement Plan. Professional development includes, but is not limited to, the QCC Continuous Quality Improvement Framework.

*Annually* in regard to PD is a 12-month period and can be calculated from the point of enrollment in QCC or as otherwise defined by the local consortium.

Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision.
4 POINTS

**Indicator:** Associate's degree (AA/AS) in ECE/CD or closely related field

**OR**

AA/AS in any field plus/with 24 units of ECE/CD **OR**

Site Supervisor Permit **AND**

21 hours PD annually

**Detail:** Point Value 4 is equivalent to the Site Supervisor Permit.

Consortium may accept at its discretion Option two of the Teacher Permit if the ECE/CD unit requirement is met.

Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision.

For Point Values 4 and 5, a BA degree in a closely related field, such as Education or Human Development, may be counted as a related field IF a substantial amount of the coursework was focused on young children ages 0 to 5. “Substantial” is calculated by the workforce registry and is aligned with the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing’s approach.

5 POINTS

**Indicator:** Bachelor's degree in ECE/CD **OR**

BA/BS in any field plus/with 24 units of ECE/CD that conform to permit requirements **OR**

Master’s degree in ECE/CD **OR**

Program Director Permit **AND**

21 hours PD annually

**Detail:** Point Value 5 is equivalent to the Program Director Permit.

Consortia may accept at their discretion option two of the Master Teacher permit if the ECE/CD unit requirement is met.

Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision.
Core 2: Teachers and Teaching

Element 4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions: CLASS® Observations (*Use tool for appropriate age group as available)

1 POINT

Indicator: Not applicable

Detail: Child Observation and Assessment is not required at Common Tier 1/BLOCK. Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

2 POINTS

Indicator: Familiarity with CLASS (e.g., two-hour overview training) for appropriate age group as available by one representative from the site (on-line or face-to-face via facilitator)

Detail: The decision about what constitutes familiarity with CLASS is a local one. It is recommended that CLASS presentations be conducted by a Certified CLASS Trainer.

3 POINTS

Indicator: Independent CLASS assessment by reliable observer (using the appropriate tool) to inform the program's professional development/ improvement plan

Detail: While results of the independent CLASS observation will be used to inform the site’s Quality Improvement Plan, evidence of having a Quality Improvement Plan in place is not a requirement for a point value of three.

CLASS reliability must be renewed annually and is available for the Infant, Toddler, and Pre-K CLASS tools. A reliable CLASS observer should be able to provide a certificate indicating current reliability (issued within the previous 12 months).

In classrooms with multiple age groups, it is a local decision as to whether to use the age level tool appropriate for the highest number of children or to alternate two tools. If children are equally distributed, consult Teachstone (www.teachstone.org) for advice.

4 POINTS

Indicator: Independent CLASS observation by reliable observer (using the appropriate tool) with minimum CLASS domain scores:

Pre-K:
- Emotional Support Domain (ES) – 5
- Instructional Support Domain (IS) – 3
- Classroom Organization Domain (CO) – 5
Toddler:
- Emotional & Behavioral Support Domain (EBS) – 5
- Engaged Support for Learning Domain (ESL) – 3.5

Infant:
Responsive Caregiving Domain (RC) – 5.0

5 POINTS

Indicator: Independent assessment with CLASS (for appropriate age group as available) with minimum CLASS domain scores:

Pre-K:
- ES – 5.5
- IS – 3.5
- CO – 5.5

Toddler:
- EBS – 5.5
- ESL – 4

Infant:
RC – 5.5

DETAIL (POINTS 4 & 5)

Detail: Minimum scores are recommended by Teachstone, LLC, and based on research on the CLASS and on the design of QCC.

Each classroom rated will receive an individual point value based on the tool used and the domain cutoff scores. Average all classroom points and drop the decimal to obtain the final site points on this element.
Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom</th>
<th>Domain Score</th>
<th>Domain Score</th>
<th>Domain Score</th>
<th>Points for Element</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K Alligators</td>
<td>ES 5.42</td>
<td>IS 3.13</td>
<td>CO 5.89</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K Bears</td>
<td>ES 5.10</td>
<td>IS 2.95</td>
<td>CO 5.68</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toddler Dragonfly</td>
<td>EBS 5.15</td>
<td>ESL 3.32</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Ladybugs</td>
<td>RC 5.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Total the points earned by classroom
   
   \[4 + 3 + 3 + 4 = 14\]

2. Divide by number of classrooms observed = 4

3. Obtain average points for observed classrooms
   
   \[14 \div 4 = 3.5\]

4. Drop the decimal to determine final point value for the site for this element

Site points for Element 4 (Teacher-Child Interactions) = 3
Core 3: Program and Environment

Element 5. Ratios and Group Size (Centers Only)

1 POINT

Indicator: Center: Title 22 Regulations

  Infant Ratio of 1:4
  Toddler Option Ratio of 1:6
  Preschool Ratio of 1:12
  FCCH: Title 22 Regulations
  (excluded from point values in ratio and group size)

2 POINTS

Indicator: Center:

  Infant/Toddler Ratio of 4:16
  Toddler Ratio of 3:18
  Preschool Ratio of 3:36

3 POINTS

Indicator: Center:

  Infant/Toddler Ratio of 3:12
  Toddler Ratio of 2:12
  Preschool Ratio of 2:24

4 POINTS

Indicator: Center:

  Infant/Toddler Ratio of 3:12 or 2:8
  Toddler Ratio of 2:10
  Preschool Ratio of 3:24 or 2:20

5 POINTS

Indicator: Center:

  Infant/Toddler Ratio of 3:9 or better
  Toddler Ratio of 3:12 or better
  Preschool Ratio of 1:8 and group size of no more than 20
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DETAIL (POINTS 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5)

Detail: Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

Volunteers may not be used in ratio and group size calculations. Title 22 regulations require that adult: child ratios include only employed adults.

**Ages: group size**

- Infant - A child aged birth to 18 months.
- Toddler - A child who is 18 to 36 months of age.

Based on Education Code Section 8265.5

- Preschooler - A child who is three years of age to kindergarten entry. The child’s third birthday must be on or before September 1.

Based on Management Bulletin 14-02

**Ratios**

Centers must meet the Community Care Licensing standards at a minimum.

Staff must meet the needs of the children in attendance and provide visual observation and supervision at all times. Centers may need additional staff depending on the age of children and their needs. Additional staff are needed for backup. An aide cannot be left alone with children except during naptime and to escort them to the restroom.

- One teacher can supervise no more than 12 children.
- One teacher and one aide can supervise up to 15 children.
- A fully qualified teacher and an assistant with six early childhood education units can supervise up to 18 children.
- A ratio of one teacher or aide for 24 napping children is permitted, provided the additional staff to meet the “awake” ratios are immediately available at the center.

Based on California Code of Regulations, Title 22 section 101216.3, Ratios and group size are verified through:

- In-person visit for Point Values 3 through 5 (checked during the ERS assessment)
- Self-report in portfolio and certified through portfolio certification process

How to handle out-of-ratio and child endangerment is a local decision. The California Child Abuse Reporting Law must be followed (Penal Code Sections 11165-11174.3).

Ratio and group size scores will be reported as follows:
As with the CLASS rating, individual point values for each classroom will be determined for the ratio/group size element based on the Rating Matrix. Each classroom’s rating is averaged for the site rating. The decimal is dropped and the whole number will be reported as the points for this element.

**Example:**
Infant Room point value of 4  
Toddler Room point value of 3  
Preschool Room A point value of 2  
Preschool Room B point value of 3  
Preschool Room C point value of 2  

\[ 4 + 3 + 2 + 3 + 2 = 14 \]
\[ 14 \div 5 = 2.8 \]

Overall points for ratio/group size = 2

**Core 3: Program and Environment**

**Element 6. Environment**

**1 POINT**

**Indicator:** Not Required  
**Detail:** Child Observation and Assessment is not required at Common Tier 1/BLOCK. Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

**2 POINTS**

**Indicator:** Familiarity with ERS and every classroom uses ERS as a part of a Quality Improvement Plan  
**Detail:** *Familiarity with ERS* can include having attended overview orientations, webinars, training, college courses in which the tool was presented, and/or other forms of knowing the intent, purpose, and use of the tool, but not to the depth of completing assessments or observations. The decision about what constitutes familiarity is a local one.

**3 POINTS**

**Indicator:** Assessment on the whole tool.  
Results used to inform the program’s Quality Improvement Plan.
Detail: Type of assessment is a local decision and may include an external assessment, self-assessment designed by local consortium, or other methods determined locally to enable teachers/sites to review environment quality and set goals for improvement. Requirements for three points should be greater than requirements for two points. Consortia may continue using an external assessor at three points, but a minimum score would not be used for site rating.

4 POINTS

Indicator: Independent ERS assessment. All subscales completed and averaged to meet overall score of 5.0

Detail: Independent (external) assessment is conducted by an entity or persons not part of the program (third-party) who is currently reliable on the tool, including assessments conducted by CDE’s trained and reliable assessors.

As with the CLASS and ratio/group size elements, each classroom will be assessed using the appropriate tool for the setting. Each selected classroom is assigned a point value based on the ERS score. Points for assessed classrooms are averaged for the site rating. The decimal is dropped and the whole number is reported as the site’s points for this element.

Individual classroom scores are calculated by adding item scores and averaging by the total number of items.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom</th>
<th>Classroom ERS Score</th>
<th>Classroom Points</th>
<th>Points average</th>
<th>Total Element 6 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In classrooms with multiple age groups, use the age level tool appropriate for the majority of children. If children are equally distributed, consult the State Master Anchors for advice.

5 POINTS

Indicator: Independent ERS assessment. All subscales completed and averaged to meet overall score of 5.5

OR

Current National Accreditation approved by the California Department of Education
Detail: See Point Value 4 Detail for requirements of independent assessment.

National Accreditation may be used to satisfy the Point Value 5 criteria as an alternative to achieving a minimum ERS scale score of 5.5. Currently, National Accreditation at Point Value 5 refers to the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC).

Other National Accreditation must be approved by the California Department of Education prior to awarding the site five points for this element.

The rated site has the option to choose to earn five points through either independent assessment or national accreditation.

Core 3: Program and Environment

**Element 7. Director Qualifications (Centers Only)**

1 POINT

**Indicator:** 12 units of core ECE/CD (early childhood education, child development, family/consumer studies, or related field), plus 3 units in management/ administration.

2 POINTS

**Indicator:** 24 units of core ECE/CD, plus 16 units of General Education, plus 3 units of management/ administration

OR

Master Teacher Permit

3 POINTS

**Indicator:** Associate’s degree with 24 units of core ECE/CD, plus 6 units of management/ administration plus 2 units of supervision **OR**

Site Supervisor Permit **AND**

21 hours PD annually

4 POINTS

**Indicator:** Bachelor’s degree with 24 units of core ECE/CD, plus 8 units of management/ administration

OR

Program Director Permit **AND**

21 hours PD annually
5 POINTS

**Indicator:** Master’s degree with 30 units’ core ECE/CD including specialized courses, 8 units of management/administration

**OR**

Administrative Credential **AND**

21 hours PD annually

**DETAILS (POINTS 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5)**

Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive one point for this element.

*Center director* is responsible for:

- Scheduling staff in accordance with licensing requirements and site needs
- Managing of all aspects of employee relations including performance review and training
- Meeting all licensing regulations, agency policies and procedures and the Education Code as applicable
- Accurate update of records on site including but not limited to enrollment, attendance, meal counts, daily logs, curriculum, child observations, and parent participation
- The Designee for ensuring implementation of requirements of funding, including assessment, screening, curriculum, etc.
- The legal Designee to send and/or receive legal documents (i.e., restraining orders, suspected child abuse reports, unusual incident reports, etc.)

A director who is responsible for two or more sites may serve as the “program director” of all of the site locations provided that a person meeting qualifications at Point Value 1 is employed for each individual site/location. In this instance, both the “program director” and a designated lead teacher will meet the educational qualifications of center director.

*Based on the California Code of Regulations, Title 22 sections 101215 and 101215.1*

For example, state preschools located on the grounds of elementary school may have a “program director” of all the state preschool locations; however, at least one teaching staff person of a state preschool on each site must meet the educational qualifications of “center director.”

Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision.
Note for clarification: Due to changes in course offerings of administration/management and supervision in colleges in California, if a course includes content in both administration/management AND supervision in ECE/CD, the two semester units will be met for three points.

For Point Values 2 through 5, qualifications are entered into the workforce registry for all administrators employed at the site. All higher education units are calculated by semester units (quarter units are converted to semester units).
Glossary

Age groups are defined by QCC as:

- Infants – Birth through 17 months, or as defined by the assessment tool
- Toddlers – 18 through 35 months, or as defined by the assessment tool
- Preschool – 36 months through kindergarten entry, or as defined by the assessment tool

Ages and Stages Questionnaire® (ASQ) is a series of 19 parent-completed questionnaires designed to screen the developmental performance of children in the areas of communication, gross motor skills, fine motor skills, problem solving, personal social skills, and overall development across time. The age-appropriate scale is completed by the parent or caregiver. The items on the scale represent behaviors the child should be able to perform at that age.

Ages and Stages Questionnaire®: Social Emotional (ASQ:SE) Modeled after the ASQ, the ASQ: SE is a series of questionnaires about young children’s social and emotional development. Identifying behavior through ASQ:SE-2 paves the way for next steps to helping children reach their fullest potential, such as further assessment or specialized intervention or ongoing monitoring.

California State Preschool Programs serve eligible three- and four-year-old children in part-day and full-day services that are developmentally, culturally, and linguistically appropriate. The program also provides meals and snacks to children, parent education, referrals to health and social services for families, and staff development opportunities to employees.

Center director provides direct on-site supervision and administrative support to teaching staff and children, provides ongoing communication with public and private agencies, and support to families in an early care setting with at least one classroom. For additional clarification, see the Detail section under Element 7 in this guide.

Certified CLASS® observer is a person who has attended a CLASS Observation Training and who has passed a CLASS Reliability Test within the past year. A Certified CLASS Observer has demonstrated a solid understanding of the CLASS tool and is qualified to code classrooms using the CLASS observation tool.

Classroom Assessment Scoring System® (CLASS®) is an observation tool designed by the University of Virginia to measure the quality of classroom processes and teacher-child interactions.

CLASS Affiliate Trainer receives certification through Teachstone, LLC. Certification is given to trainers who pass reliability on the CLASS tool and participate in Teachstone’s Train-the-Trainer program. These trainers should be able to produce a certificate.
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indicating that they successfully completed the Train-the-Trainer program along with a certificate demonstrating their current reliability on the CLASS tool (must be renewed annually). Certification is specific to each CLASS tool and its associated age group.

**CLASS observation**, also known as a CLASS assessment, is conducted by a Certified CLASS Observer.

**Classroom** is defined for the purpose of the QCC as:
- One teaching team using the same physical classroom space and working with the same age group.
- A group of children under a single teaching team. A classroom may be full-day or half-day. The definition of “group=classroom” in half-day morning and afternoon preschool is based on the consistency of the teaching team and consistency of the ages of children served.

**Core 8 courses:**

1. Child Growth and Development
2. Child, Family, and Community
3. Introduction to Curriculum
4. Principles and Practices of Teaching Young Children
5. Observation and Assessment
6. Health, Safety, and Nutrition
7. Teaching in a Diverse Society
8. Practicum

**Desired Results Developmental Profile** (DRDP) is a child observational assessment (not inclusive of the Desired Results for Children and Families – Parent Survey (optional)).

**Desired Results Access** supports special educators, administrators, and families in implementing the California Department of Education’s Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP (2015)) for infants, toddlers, and preschoolers who have an IFSP or IEP.

**DRDP Online** creates psychometrically valid reports for teachers and also meets the QCC requirements of state-level data.

**Early learning site** An early learning site is operated by an administration or entity at one physical location. If two administrations/entities are operating on the same site and are operating separately from one another (e.g., co-located), each is counted as a separate site.
Evidence-based child assessment/observation tool provides the level of evidence to support the generality of a practice as indicated by research. Evidence may come from empirical evidence, through evaluation reports by an outside source or the developer, or by consensus documents published by a professional organization.

Familiarity with CLASS refers to the participating program’s management, center director, family child care operator, and lead teacher, as appropriate, having a basic understanding of the CLASS assessment tool. This can include having attended overview orientations, webinars, training, college courses in which the tool was presented, or other forms of knowing the intent, purpose, and use of the tool, but not to the depth of completing observations.

Familiarity with ERS refers to the participating program’s management, center director, family child care operator, and lead teacher, as appropriate, having a basic understanding of the relevant ERS assessment tool.

Group of children are children who are assigned for most of the day to a specific teacher or a team of teaching staff members and who occupy an individual classroom or well-defined space in a center. For FCCH, the number of children who are enrolled in the FCCH.

Head Start/Early Head Start are federally funded programs promoting school readiness of children under the age of five years from low-income families through education, nutrition, health, social, and other services.

In good standing refers to a licensed child care center or family child care home that currently does not have any of the following: (1) a non-compliance conference; (2) an administrative action taken or in the process of being taken (includes denied application, denied exemption, temporary suspension order, expedited revocation action, revocation action, or exclusion action that is being initiated, in process, or already taken); and (3) a probationary license. (See Health and Safety Code Sections 1596.773 and 1596.886.)

Lead Teacher is the adult with primary responsibility for a group of children. For the purposes of rating an early childhood classroom, lead teacher is the adult who meets the minimum licensing requirements as a teacher and any additional requirements for the point-level of the rating. When there is more than one teacher working in a group, the lead teacher shall be considered the person with the highest degree.

Participating sites are licensed centers, family child care homes, and license-exempt settings. Priority is given to participating programs that are serving children with high needs.

Professional Development refers to 21 hours of professional development or continuing education per year, consistent with the professional growth activities described in the Commission on Teacher Credentialing Child Development Permit Professional Growth Manual (http://www.ctc.ca.gov/credentials/manuals-handbooks/PG_Manual_ChildDev.pdf), pages 8 & 9.
Points in QCC are based on sites’ level of implementation of each quality element.

Program works with families means families are engaged in the screening process. The results are shared with the family in a confidential and supportive manner. Program staff use screening results to refer families to the appropriate agencies for further assessment, such as the local Regional Center, school district, and/or other resources.

Quality Rating and Improvement System (QRIS) is a “method to assess, improve, and communicate the level of quality in early care and education settings”3.

Regional Coordination and Training and Technical Assistance Hubs (Hubs) are developed for consortia participating in First 5 IMPACT and QCC. The primary focus of the Hubs is to provide coordination and specialized support to consortia within a region or with similar technical assistance needs to create economies of scale while building a local early learning system. As a regional body, the Hubs are required to:

- Help consortia identify local and regional strengths and assets, and determine local and regional gaps and needs
- Coordinate regional data collection and activities to implement the QCC elements and Systems Functions
- Reduce regional duplication of efforts
- Build local and regional expertise, and incorporate state and federal evidence-based practices models
- Maintain a strong connection to the QCC

Related Field refers to Education, Human Development, or a similar unit-based course that may be counted as a “child development related field” when a “substantial” amount of the coursework is focused on young children ages 0-5. QCC defers to the CTC and the Workforce Registry to determine whether coursework qualifies as a related field.

Reliable CLASS observer is an individual who has demonstrated their ability to observe and rate classrooms based on the CLASS quality indicators.

Teaching team consists of the same group of teachers over time.

Valid and reliable child assessment/observation tool refers to any assessment or tool whereby implementation from one time to the next and from one observer/implementer to the next is likely to yield the same results (reliability), and the instrument or tool measures what it says it measures in the defined audience or group (validity). Often, instrument validity is limited to a specific language, culture, or age group.
LOCAL (SDQPI) DECISIONS FOR RATING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>QCC Implementation Guide</th>
<th>Consortia Decisions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>III. California’s Approach to QRIS</td>
<td>“…Rating Matrix with local modifications allowed.” (see page 33).</td>
<td>SDQPI will follow the point value allocation/calculation for each element and Tier 2-5. Tier 1 is blocked. This means the site must have at least 1 point in each of the elements as applicable to receive a Quality Site Rating of 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 6    | IV. Early Learning Sites Eligible for Rating | | No time period imposed. Reinstatement protocol:  
  - The agency notifies SDCOE that they’ve been clear and are now in good standing, and ready to be rated.  
  - When probation is over, usually in two years, the agency would get rated.  
  - Continue to offer quality improvement resources and supports to those who are not in good standing |
| 6 & 7 | V. Rating and Monitoring | **Rating Guidelines (table)** | **Self-report** elements can be either on paper or by online. Operational systems are needed for the two file reviews – paper and digital will be accepted.  
  - Self-Report Process for Element 3 & 7 (LT & Director): Individuals assigned to position for Quality Rating purpose, will be verified with assigned affidavit signed by the agency/provider approved CA Workforce Registry Administrator with access to view employees.  
  - The site leader/director confirms (signed affidavit) in the WF registry report, the teacher who has been teaching the session the longest period of time that school year, as being the Lead Teacher in each session  
  - File reviews on paper and/or digital will be accepted. Will occur in-person during an observation visit by external rater.  
  - File Review Process For Element 1, 2-5 Points --When verifying Child Observation Tool, paper or digital copies of completed assessment tool must be from the creators or authors of the tool AND program 'uses' the assessment/tool to access and analyze results and those results (whether individual information or aggregated group data) are used to inform practice. |

**Self-report**  
All QRIS sites will use a portfolio for self-report elements. In order to verify the level of quality, all programs will be required to provide common documents demonstrating the level of quality for each element of the QRIS Rating Matrix. The portfolio may be managed online (e.g., via upload) or on paper. This is a local decision. All portfolios should be subject to random observation and file review at the discretion of local consortium.

**File Review**  
- Self-report Process for Element 3 & 7 (LT & Director): Individuals assigned to position for Quality Rating purpose, will be verified with assigned affidavit signed by the agency/provider approved CA Workforce Registry Administrator with access to view employees.  
- The site leader/director confirms (signed affidavit) in the WF registry report, the teacher who has been teaching the session the longest period of time that school year, as being the Lead Teacher in each session  
- File reviews on paper and/or digital will be accepted. Will occur in-person during an observation visit by external rater.  
- File Review Process For Element 1, 2-5 Points --When verifying Child Observation Tool, paper or digital copies of completed assessment tool must be from the creators or authors of the tool AND program 'uses' the assessment/tool to access and analyze results and those results (whether individual information or aggregated group data) are used to inform practice.
Two children’s files from each classroom are to be randomly selected for review of child assessments and screenings by the external rater during observation visits. (If the child has been in the program fewer than 60 days, another file may be selected.) To obtain the corresponding point value for each element, every file reviewed should have evidence of screening and assessment. One-hundred percent of files checked must contain evidence of a child assessment and screening or other alternatives.

As an alternative to viewing individual child paper files, it is acceptable to view a centralized tracking system or database files (such as for child assessment, or health and developmental screening). Evidence must demonstrate 100 percent compliance consistent with Implementation Guide requirements.

**V. Rating and Monitoring**

**Frequency of Site Rating**
Decision to re-rate a site between rating periods, is usually a local decision.
- “…re-rating is required when there are changes to a site license, such as change of physical location.”
- Local decisions that may trigger reconsideration of the rating before the rating period has include: Significant turnover in staff; New director; Changes that warrant re-assessment of an individual classroom, including a change in the lead teacher of a formerly assessed classroom; Significant licensing violation; Other evidence of changes related to the criteria upon which they were rated; Other reasons determined by local consortium

A re-rating is triggered only when there is a change of the site license, which includes: change in age group/s being served, change of physical location, and change in capacity. No other changes will be monitored to trigger a re-rating between rating periods.

**Communicating the Site Rating**
Consortia may choose to communicate ratings as tier or star levels; combine levels into three categories, such as “beginning,” “achieving,” and “advancing;” or use another communication system to convey rating information to the public.

No change to how we communicate ratings; continue as Tier 1-5 ratings.

**Parameters for Selecting Classrooms**
The minimum number of children required to be present in a particular classroom in order to rate will be locally determined as long as it remains within the parameters of the tool being used for assessment.

The number of children required to be present will not change for local consortia implementation: At least 50% of students must be present for an ERS/CLASS review for center-based programs. Only one child present for FCC.
### VI. Assessing Classrooms

#### Announced vs. Unannounced Visits
Consortia will conduct scheduled visits with sites. Local consortia determine how far in advance the visits may be scheduled. Notification to the site of randomly selected classrooms to be assessed on ERS and CLASS will occur the day of the visit.

Anything dealing with classroom assessments outside of external reviews can be announced.

External reviews are unannounced. Review team will provide a 4-week scheduled window of time for the classroom reviews. Sessions selected for external review are randomly selected. Black out no more than 20 special school days in a year. Consideration for 20/21: Reviews may be completed 30 days after a new teacher starts or 30 days' worth of subs.

#### Use of Existing Assessments
"...consortium shall accept ERS and CLASS assessments previously conducted in lieu of the county consortium sending an assessor...”
- Performed by a valid and reliable external assessor (including CDE Early Education Support Division Field Services Office Consultants (CDE EESD FSOs) who are reliable ERS assessors)

Will accept CDE consultant reviews conducted, who are ERS reliable, if all implementation guide requirements have been met.

#### Recommendations for Observations Using the CLASS Tool
The consortium agreed the CLASS observation may be conducted in classrooms with at least one child present.
Local consortium policy guides other observation protocol, such as:
- When a classroom receives a CLASS assessment (e.g., if at a lower points-level than required in the rating matrix)
- The number of children in the classroom (or target age level) need to be present in order to observe and if/when to reschedule an assessment to maximize attendance

Programs will still receive external reviews, regardless if at the lower points-level than required in the rating matrix.

The number of children required to be present will not change for local consortia implementation: At least 50% of students must be present for an ERS/CLASS review for center-based programs. Only one child present for FCC.

#### Guidelines for Use of CLASS in Multi-age Classrooms
Determining whether one tool or two will be used in multi-age classrooms:
- If using one tool to determine which measure(s) to use, consider the goals of the observation and the expertise of coders. Also consider the age range of each tool.
- "When local decision dictates use of more than one age-level tool in a multi-age classroom, observers may alternate between age levels either by...”

Will only use one age-level tool in a multi-age classroom. In multi-age classrooms, age of tool used will be determined by the majority age of the children present.
| 15 & 16 | VII. Environment Rating Scale Anchors and Assessors | **Local ERS Assessors**<br>Local consortia determine next steps after a third failed attempt at reliability during IRR. | Assessors have 3 attempts to pass inter-rater reliability. We will not use reviewers if not able to pass in 3 attempts. |
| 16 | VII. Environment Rating Scale Anchors and Assessors | **Local ERS Assessors**<br>There are two ERSI-recommended levels of ERS assessor:<br>• “Level one ERS assessors must achieve 90 percent...”<br>• “Level two ERS assessors shall achieve 85 percent reliability...”<br>Some consortia do not distinguish between level 1 and level 2 ERS assessors, requiring all assessors to achieve and maintain a minimum of 85 percent reliability and use assessors only to carry out assessment responsibilities. | We will not distinguish between level 1 and level 2 assessors. All assessors must maintain a minimum of 85% ERS reliability. |
| 18 | VIII. Regional CLASS Trainers and Observers | **CLASS Drift Testing**<br>Hubs and/or local consortia determine next steps after a third failed attempt at drift test reliability. | Reviewers will not be used if unable to pass CLASS drift testing in 3 attempts |
| 21 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | **Element 1. Child Observation**<br>2 POINTS Detail<br>Local consortium may use the list of evidence-based child assessment/observation tools from the list below, create local guidelines, or both. Local criteria must include evidence that the tool is observational, annual, and, at a minimum, assesses the following developmental domains: physical (gross and fine motor), social-emotional, cognitive, and communication. | Element 1, 2 Points—At 2 points, local consortia has decided to add ASQ-3 and only give credit to the list of evidence-based child assessment/observation tools provided at 2-point level. Since no other credit will be awarded for other tools, no local guidelines will be created for identifying evidenced-based child assessments/observation tools. |
| 21 - 23 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | **Element 1. Child Observation**<br>3 POINTS, 4 POINTS, 5 POINTS<br>Programs without evidence of twice annual child assessments due to the rating visit occurring prior to the second assessment due date may meet this requirement in two ways.<br>Option 1. Programs may show evidence from prior year of two cycles of assessment.<br>Option 2. Programs without assessment evidence from prior year are required to submit actual scores from the second annual child assessment in order to maintain their score on this item and overall site rating. (Verification process is a local decision.) | Twice-annual assessments are confirmed in the same manner for 3-5 points. Option 2. Verification process for programs without evidence of twice annual child assessments from prior year. When file review/rating visit occurs prior to second assessment due date, a follow up rating visit is scheduled to collect second annual child assessment scores. Same child file(s) will be reviewed as initial rating visit. |
| 24 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | **Element 2. Developmental and Health Screenings**  
2-5 POINTS Indicator  
“...at entry” | 2 Points: “At entry” is defined as 30 calendar days within the first day of attendance (alignment to title 22)  
3-5 Points: “At entry” is defined as 60 calendar days within the first day of attendance |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 28 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | **Element 2. Developmental and Health Screenings**  
3 POINTS Detail  
In addition to providing evidence through the file review process that 100 percent of children are screened, the site must provide evidence for how the screening and referral process works at the site level. |  |
| 29 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | **Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications:** Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home (FCCH)  
3 POINTS Detail  
Professional development activities may include classes, documented workshops, coaching sessions, equivalency of coursework, or other activities as determined locally by consortium. PD activities should stem from the needs of the teacher and program, based on the TQRIS rating. Professional development includes, but is not limited to, the Continuous Quality Improvement Pathways.  
Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision. |  |
|   |   | **Point Levels 3-5,** **Professional Development:** “Other Activities” determined by consortia include CDE CECO modules, Conferences, Symposia, workshops approved by SDQPI staff, SDQPI provided PD, Teaching Pyramid Framework related PD, Teachstone/WestEd PD.  
Criteria: Anything funded partially by F5/CDE, SDQPI coaching, anything related to quality improvements to Early Childhood settings that is pre-approved by SDCOE staff according to a quality presentation checklist aligned to the QCC Continuous Improvement Professional Development Pathways. SDCOE will implement the draft of the State QCC PD approval form as a pilot for FY 2020-21.  
Point Levels 3-5, **Method to Verify 21 hours:** Consortia will verify professional development hours that count for QCC rating, by utilizing CA Workforce Registry.  
Coaching hours only count if provided by an SDQPI coach or a coach who was previously and SDQPI embedded coach and participating in the Seal program, or coaches who complete and maintain the SDQPI Coach Seal while working for a participating agency. |  |
|   |   | **Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications:** Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home (FCCH)  
4 POINTS |  |
|   |   | Education and professional development will be verified by CA Workforce Registry Reports, so workforce members have information uploaded and current. |  |
Consortium may accept at its discretion **Option two** of the Teacher Permit if the ECE/CD unit requirement is met. Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision.

**5 POINTS Detail**

**Consortia may accept at their discretion Option two** of the Master Teacher permit if the ECE/CD unit requirement is met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>29</th>
<th>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</th>
<th><strong>Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications</strong>: Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home (FCCH)</th>
<th>4 Point: Consortia will accept option 2 of Teacher Permit if ECE/CD unit requirement is met. See CTC CD Permit Matrix.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5 POINTS Indicator and Detail</strong></td>
<td>5 Point: Consortia will accept option 2 of Master Teacher Permit if ECE/CD unit requirement is met. See CTC CD Permit Matrix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;...IF a substantial amount of the coursework was focused on young children 0 to 5. Substantial is not defined by the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing, and is therefore a local decision)&quot;</td>
<td>The Registry calculates the point 4 level of Element 3 in the following and does not add the higher threshold of 3 supervision units included in Option 2 of the Teacher Permit (changes in progress).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
|    |                                                  | If BA was earned in other closely related field, "substantial" is defined as completion of at least 24 units of ECE/CD. | • Associate in ECE = 4 points  
or  
• Associate in Related = 4 points  
or  
• Associate in all other + 24 ECE/CD units = 4 points  
or  
• Site Supervisor Permit = 4 points +  
21 hours PD Annually (within 12 months date report is run) |
<p>| 30 | Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points | <strong>Element 4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions</strong>: CLASS Observations | Element 4, 2 Points: &quot;Familiarity&quot; of the CLASS tool requires certificate of completion of a CLASS overview orientation, webinars, trainings, college courses in which the tool was presented. See Element 3 Environments, 2 points, page 34 for similar discussion topic. Defining ‘familiarity’ is the same in both areas. |
|    |                                                  | <strong>2 POINTS Detail</strong> | The decision about what constitutes <em>familiarity with CLASS</em> is a local one. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</td>
<td><strong>Element 4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions: CLASS Observations</strong>&lt;br&gt;3 POINTS Detail&lt;br&gt;In classrooms with multiple age groups, it is a local decision as to whether to use the age level tool appropriate for the highest number of children or to alternate two tools. If children are equally distributed, consult Teachstone (<a href="http://www.teachstone.org">www.teachstone.org</a>) for advice. See &quot;Guidelines for Use of CLASS in Multi-age Classrooms&quot;, pg. 11-12, for same decision details below: Will not use more than one age-level tool in a multi-age classroom. In multi-age classrooms, age of tool used will be determined by the majority age of the children present. Also noted in Element 4. Effective Teacher-Child Interactions: CLASS Observations, page 30/31.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</td>
<td><strong>Element 5. Ratios and Group Size (Centers Only)</strong>&lt;br&gt;5 POINTS Detail&lt;br&gt;How to handle out-of-ratio and child endangerment is a local decision. Follow mandated reporter requirements and report any suspected child abuse and neglect to appropriate authorities. Out-of-Ratio: If there is a concern regarding the ratio at any licensed care facility, then SDQPI staff/reviewer are to contact <a href="mailto:LetUsNo@dss.ca.gov">LetUsNo@dss.ca.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</td>
<td><strong>Element 6. Environment</strong>&lt;br&gt;2 POINTS Detail&lt;br&gt;<em>Familiarity with ERS</em> can include having attended overview orientations, webinars, trainings, college courses in which the tool was presented, and/or other forms of knowing the intent, purpose, and use of the tool, but not to the depth of completing assessments or observations. The decision about what constitutes familiarity is a local one. Element 6, 2 Points: &quot;Familiarity&quot; of the ERS tool requires certificate of self-report and/or certificate of completion of an ERS &quot;overview orientation, webinars, trainings, college courses in which the tool was presented.&quot; See Element 4 CLASS, 2 points, page 30 for similar discussion topic. Defining 'familiarity' is the same in both areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</td>
<td><strong>Element 6. Environment</strong>&lt;br&gt;3 POINTS Detail&lt;br&gt;Type of assessment is a local decision and may include an external assessment, self-assessment designed by local consortium, or other method determined locally to enable teachers/sites to review environment quality and set goals for improvement. Local consortia require that ERS assessment tool is used and completed by a non-reliable observer and results used to inform a QIP plan developed within 6 month of the completion of self-assessment. Whole tool assessment must be utilized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points</td>
<td><strong>Element 7. Director Qualifications (Centers Only)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Method to verify 21 hours of professional development is a local consortium decision. See Element 3, pg. 28, for Consortia decision of verifying professional development hours as the process and decision details are the same: Point Levels 3-5, <strong>Professional Development</strong>: &quot;Other Activities&quot; determined by consortia include CDE CECO modules, Conferences, Symposia, workshops approved by SDQPI staff, SDQPI provided PD, Teaching Pyramid Framework related PD, Teachstone/WestEd PD. Criteria: Anything funded partially by F5/CDE, SDQPI coaching, anything related to quality improvements to Early Childhood settings that is pre-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
approved by SDCOE staff according to a quality presentation checklist aligned to the QCC Continuous Improvement Professional Development Pathways. SDCOE will implement the draft of the State QCC PD approval form as a pilot for FY 2020-21.

Point Levels 3-5, **Method to Verify 21 hours**: Consortia will verify professional development hours that count for QCC rating, by utilizing CA Workforce Registry.

Coaching hours only count if provided by an SDQPI coach or a coach who was previously and SDQPI embedded coach and participating in the Seal program, or coaches who complete and maintain the SDQPI Coach Seal while working for a participating agency.
Key Changes and Clarifications in the June 2020 Implementation Guide Update

Intended Audience for this Guide Section:

- “Additional resources for QCC Consortium members can be found at www.qualitycountsca.net” was added.

California’s Approach to QRIS Section:

- Language about the history of QRIS in California and individual funding streams was removed.

Rating and Monitoring Section:

- The definition of “external assessors” was updated to clarify that they are deployed by the local QCC Consortium, and that the term can refer to someone reliable on the ERS, certified on the CLASS, or both.

- References to ERS authors were removed because State Master Anchors no longer certify reliability with the tool authors.

Frequency of Site Rating Section:

- The frequency of ratings was changed from two years to three or five years, depending on tier. Tier 4 and 5 ratings are now valid for five years; Tier 1, 2, and 3 ratings are valid for three years.

Communicating the Site Rating Section:

- Language was changed to state that formal ratings, including effective dates, must be made available to the public.

Assessing Classrooms Section:

- Language was amended to clarify that the State Master Anchors, rather than the tool authors, can provide clarification on using the ERS or CLASS in mixed-age classrooms.

Environment Rating Scale Anchors and Assessors Section:

- Updates and clarifications were made to more accurately describe the role of Statewide Master Anchors.
Master Anchors maintain reliability to one another through recertification every 18 months with drift checks every six months.

Master Anchors reach consensus about questions that arise during assessment and disseminate responses and clarifications to local Anchors to ensure consistent interpretation across the QCC.

- Updates and clarifications were made to more accurately describe the role of Regional ERS Anchors.
  - Regional ERS Anchors must recertify every 18 months rather than annually.

Appendix II: Guidance for Rating Elements by Points:

- Element 1. Child Observation:
  - “Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive 1 point for this element” was added.
  - At the 4-point level, language was updated to state that All users of Desired Results Developmental Profile (DRDP) must use the DRDP 2015 version. Sites may choose, at their discretion, to use the comprehensive, fundamental, or essential view.
  - At the 5-point level, “DRDPTech” was changed to “DRDP Online.”

- Element 3. Early Childhood Educator Qualifications: Minimum Qualifications for Lead Teacher/Family Child Care Home (FCCH):
  - A clarification stating “Sites licensed and in good standing with Community Care Licensing or legally license exempt and eligible for participation will automatically receive 1 point for this element” was added.
  - For point values 2 through 5, a clarification was added explaining that higher education units are calculated as semester units. Quarter units are converted to semester units.
  - The following language was added: "For questions regarding Montessori certifications and their equivalent, see Title 22 licensing section 101216.1. For obtaining translations and determining the status of foreign educational institutions, contact the International Education Research Foundation, Credentials Evaluation Service, www.ierf.org. Additional organizations approved by CTC for transcript evaluation can be found at"
“Annually in regard to PD is a 12-month period and can be calculated from the point of enrollment in QCC or as otherwise defined by the local consortium” was added.

The following statement was added: “For 4- and 5-point value, BA degree in a closely related field, such as Education or Human Development, may be counted as a related field IF a substantial amount of the coursework was focused on young children ages 0 to 5. Substantial is calculated by the workforce registry and is aligned with the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing’s approach.”

Element 6. Environment:

At the 4-point level, the following table was added as an example of the scoring methodology for a multi-classroom site:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classroom</th>
<th>Classroom ERS Score</th>
<th>Classroom Points</th>
<th>Points average</th>
<th>Total Element 6 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Element 7. Director Qualifications (Centers Only):

The following note for clarification was added: “Due to changes in course offerings of administration/management and supervision in colleges in California, if a course includes content in both administration/management AND supervision in ECE/CD, the two semester units will be met for 3 points.”

For point values 2 through 5, a clarification was added explaining that higher education units are calculated as semester units. Quarter units are converted to semester units.

Glossary:

Age group definitions as defined by QCC were clarified as follows:
Infants – Birth through 17 months, or as defined by the assessment tool

Toddlers – 18 through 35 months, or as defined by the assessment tool

Preschool – 36 months through kindergarten entry, or as defined by the assessment tool

● The definition of the Ages and Stages Questionnaire®: Social Emotional (ASQ:SE) was expanded as follows: “Modeled after the ASQ, the ASQ:SE is a series of questionnaires about young children’s social and emotional development. Identifying behavior through ASQ:SE-2 paves the way for next steps to helping children reach their fullest potential, such as further assessment or specialized intervention or ongoing monitoring.”

● “Certified CLASS Observer” was added to the glossary with the following definition: “A Certified CLASS Observer is a person who has attended a CLASS Observation Training and who has passed a CLASS Reliability Test within the past year. A Certified CLASS Observer has demonstrated a solid understanding of the CLASS tool and is qualified to code classrooms using the CLASS observation tool.”

● “Early learning site” was added to the glossary with the following definition: “An early learning site is operated by an administration or entity at one physical location. If two administrations/entities are operating on the same site and are operating separately from one another (e.g., co-located), each is counted as a separate site.”

● The definition of “related field” was updated to read as follows: “Related Field refers to Education, Human Development, or a similar field that may be counted as a related field IF a ‘substantial’ amount of the coursework is focused on young children ages 0 to 5. QCC defers to the CCTC and the Workforce Registry to determine whether coursework qualifies as a related field.”