

### CREDIT OPINION

21 April 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research



#### Contacts

Heather Guss 617-535-7693

Analyst

heather.guss@moodys.com

Nicholas Lehman 617-535-7694 Assistant Vice President

nicholas.lehman@moodys.com

# Scarborough (Town of) ME

New Issue - Moody's Assigns Aa3 to Scarborough, ME's \$7.5M GO Bonds

# **Summary Rating Rationale**

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa3 rating to the Town of Scarborough, ME's \$7.5 million 2016 General Obligation Bonds. Concurrently, Moody's has affirmed the Aa3 rating on \$92.2 million of outstanding general obligation debt.

The Aa3 rating reflects the town's moderately sized tax base with above average wealth levels, slightly improving financial position with satisfactory reserves, and manageable debt and pension liabilities.

# **Credit Strengths**

- » Modestly growing tax base with above average wealth levels
- » Manageable pension and OPEB liabilities

### **Credit Challenges**

- » Moderate revenue raising ability due to state statutes
- » Ongoing General Fund subsidy to cover TIF district debt

### **Rating Outlook**

Outlooks are usually not assigned to local government credits with this amount of debt outstanding.

# Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Multi-year trend of surplus operations leading to a large increase in liquidity and reserves
- » Elimination of the interfund loan receivable related to the Haigis Parkway TIF District

# Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Trend of operating deficits resulting in reserve declines
- » Material increase in the General Fund receivable due from the Haigis Parkway TIF fund
- » Trend of tax base declines or deterioration of the demographic profile
- » Material growth in debt burden

# **Key Indicators**

#### Exhibit 1

Scarborough (Town of) ME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 3,564,150	\$ 3,556,750	\$ 3,482,500	\$ 3,579,450	\$ 3,667,300
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 188,390	\$ 189,330	\$ 183,860	\$ 185,051	\$ 187,835
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	151.8%	143.8%	144.1%	145.4%	145.4%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 66,883	\$ 68,483	\$ 71,596	\$ 74,891	\$ 78,853
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	5.7%	6.7%	7.3%	8.3%	10.4%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	11.9%	14.6%	14.9%	15.0%	17.7%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 67,872	\$ 82,937	\$ 96,802	\$ 98,492	\$ 98,896
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	1.0x	1.2x	1.4x	1.3x	1.3x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	1.9%	2.3%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2x	0.2x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.4%	0.5%

Source: Moody's Investors Service

### **Detailed Rating Considerations**

#### **Economy and Tax Base: Modestly Growing Tax Base With Above-average Wealth**

Scarborough's diverse \$3.8 billion tax base (73% residential and 21% commercial/industrial) will continue to grow at a modest pace given its favorable location in Cumberland County (Aa1). The town is a wealthy suburb of Portland (Aa1 stable) and is bisected by both U.S. Route 1 and Interstate 95, which provides convenient commuter and commercial access to this employment and economic center. The five year (fiscal 2011-2016) annual compound growth in equalized (full) value is a modest 1.2% - declines in 2012 and 2013 were offset by growth for the past three years, including a 3.4% increase in 2016, mostly driven by residential construction. Building permit value, which was fairly stable throughout the recession and averaged \$240,000 from 2008-2014 (as of December 31), saw a sizeable increase in 2015 to \$449,000. The town is well positioned for continued growth along the Haigis Parkway. Furthermore, along US route 1, an 18,000 square foot healthcare facility is currently under construction. In addition, the largest taxpayer – a coastal retirement community that accounts for 1.7% of 2016 assessed value - continues to expand.

Wealth and income levels are above state and national medians, with a median family income of \$95,159 (153.9% and 145.4%, respectively). Housing values are strong as evidenced by a robust full value per capita of \$194,220 (225% of the US median).

### Financial Operations and Reserves: Slightly Improving Financial Position with Satisfactory Reserves

Scarborough's overall financial position is stable and reserves have improved modestly over the past few years due to management's conservative budgeting and eliminated reliance on reserves to balance municipal budgets. Fiscal 2015 audited results reflect a \$592,000 operating surplus mostly due to a favorable variance in excise taxes, though total General Fund balance increased \$2.1 million when incorporating bond proceeds. As of fiscal 2015 year end, available fund balance (excludes nonspendable and restricted) improved to \$8.2 million (10.4% of revenues). Positively, the majority of this amount (\$5 million or 6.3%) is unassigned. The town remains in compliance with its formal policy to maintain unassigned fund balance between 5% and 8.3% of current year budgeted expenditures (budgetary basis). Primary revenues are property taxes (77% of fiscal 2015 revenues) and state aid (13.1%). Current year property tax collections remain strong at over 98.5%.

Approximately \$2.7 million of total General Fund balance is restricted to account for an illiquid receivable from the Haigis Parkway TIF fund. For several years, the town has been subsidizing debt payments for general obligation bonds that were intended to be self-

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moodys.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

supporting through TIF revenues and special assessments. The amount of the receivable did not change materially from 2014 to 2015, as expected. A 2012 debt restructure provides additional time for the district to develop and become self-supporting, and therefore the receivable is expected to remain largely unchanged until fiscal 2018 when debt service payments increase to over \$1 million from approximately \$400,000. The receivable will continue to be accounted for as restricted General Fund balance, and future reviews will continue to focus on the operating health of the district. A material growth in the receivable could burden the General Fund and pressure the rating.

Management reports that excise taxes and building permits are trending positively in fiscal 2016 and expenditures are stable. Projections indicate another small surplus for both town and school operations. The proposed fiscal 2017 budget reflects a 3.5% increase in expenditures mostly driven by public safety costs, and will be balanced with a tax levy increase. The Town Council approved tax levy increases above the tax limit (known as LD-1) in fiscal years 2013-2016. Management does not appropriate fund balance towards the municipal budget, but usually appropriates some reserves to balance the school department's budget.

#### LIQUIDITY

The year-end cash position has been increasing modestly since fiscal 2011, and improved to \$14 million (17.7% of revenues) in fiscal 2015.

#### Debt and Pensions: Debt Burden Will Remain Above-average; Manageable Pension and OPEB Liabilities

Scarborough's direct debt burden (2.5% of equalized valuation) will remain elevated but affordable given below-average principal amortization and moderate future borrowing plans. The overall debt burden increases slightly to 2.6% when incorporating overlapping debt for Cumberland County (Aa1). The town will continue to finance its Capital Improvement Plan with annual borrowings of approximately \$6 million, but is also increasing its commitment to pay-as-you-go financing. Per the fund balance policy discussed in the finance section, the town can (with Town Council approval) appropriate excess General Fund balance towards capital projects, and the proposed fiscal 2017 budget includes \$500,000 for this purpose. Over the longer term, the town may borrow for a public safety building and a library.

#### DEBT STRUCTURE

All debt is fixed rate and amortization of principal is below-average, with 60% repaid within ten years. Debt service accounted for a manageable 5.9% of General Fund expenditures in fiscal 2015

#### **DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES**

Scarborough has no derivatives.

#### PENSIONS AND OPEB

Pensions and OPEB

Scarborough participates in the Maine Public Employees Retirement System's Consolidated Plan for Participating Local Governments, a cost-sharing multi-employer defined benefit pension plan. The town funds its required contribution, which was \$624,901 in fiscal 2015. The town's teachers participate in the Maine Public Employees Retirement System's Teacher Plan, which is administered at the state level. While the state pays the majority of the costs for this plan, Scarborough was required to contribute \$626,859. The combined contributions represent only 1.6% of expenditures.

The fiscal 2015 combined adjusted net pension liability, under Moody's methodology for adjusting reported pension data, is \$19.9 million, or a below average 0.25 times General Fund revenues. Moody's uses the adjusted net pension liability to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the town's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. We determined the town's share of liability for the state-run plans in proportion to its contributions to the plans.

The OPEB liability is limited to the value of its implicit rate subsidy to retirees that buy into the health insurance benefit plan offered to active employees. As of the June 2014 valuation, the total liability is a manageable \$2.7 million.

Total fixed costs for fiscal 2015, including debt service, required pension contributions and retiree healthcare payments, represented \$5.9 million, or a below average 7.5% of expenditures.

### **Management and Governance**

Maine cities and towns have an institutional framework score of "Aa," or strong. Revenues are highly predictable and mostly consist of property taxes, with a smaller portion coming from state aid. Cities and towns have moderate revenue-raising ability since property taxes are subject to the state property tax cap known as LD 1, although the cap can be exceeded by a majority vote of the legislative body or with voter approval. Expenditure predictability is moderate, as most expenditures are for education, general government and public safety. Cities and towns have a moderate expenditure reduction ability due to public sector union presence.

The experienced management team employs conservative financial management as evidenced in a recent trend of slightly improving reserve levels, compliance with a formal fund balance policy, and long-term planning for capital expenditures. Management is also working to establish a formal policy for capital budgeting and planning.

# **Legal Security**

The majority of the bonds (\$6 million) are secured by the town's general obligation limited tax pledge, as debt service is subject to the state's property tax limitation known as LD-1. The remaining \$1.5 million is secured by a general obligation unlimited tax pledge since bonds issued for school projects are exempt from LD-1.

#### Use of Proceeds

Approximately \$3.8 million of bond proceeds will advance refund certain maturities of the outstanding 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 bonds for estimated net present value savings of \$280,000, equal to 6.9% of refunded principal, with no extension of final maturity. The remaining \$3.8 million of proceeds will finance various projects in the Capital Improvement Plan.

# **Obligor Profile**

Scarborough has a population of 19,500 and is located on the southern coast of Maine, approximately 7 miles southeast of Portland.

# Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

### **Ratings**

Exhibit 2

### Scarborough (Town of) ME

Issue	Rating					
2016 General Obligation Bonds	Aa3					
Rating Type	Underlying LT					
Sale Amount	\$7,510,000					
Expected Sale Date	04/26/2016					
Rating Description	General Obligation					
Source: Moody's Investors Service						

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1023117

Contacts CLIENT SERVICES

Heather Guss617-535-7693Nicholas Lehman617-535-7694AnalystAssistant Vice Presidentheather.guss@moodys.comnicholas.lehman@moodys.com

 Americas
 1-212-553-1653

 Asia Pacific
 852-3551-3077

 Japan
 81-3-5408-4100

 EMEA
 44-20-7772-5454

