

South Kitsap School District Long Range Facilities Planning Committee
Meeting 11

August 12, 2021 06:30pm via SKSD Zoom

Attendees:

Lee Fenton, Gerry Austin, Rick Prentice, Susan Whitford, Jeremy Kallstrom, Jennifer Haro , Steve Bonwich, Matt Murphy (arrived 6:45 due to link issues),

Excused: Jay Rosapepe,

From SKSD: Amy Miller, Jennifer Farmer

From the Board: John Berg, Jeff Daily

Call to order 6:31 pm

Minutes from the last meeting were accepted after minor correction to attendance and next meeting date.

Agenda was reviewed. Scheduling additional meetings in September agreed to by consensus, with some discussion that this could be revisited at the next meeting based on the report to the board on August 18.

7:02 Middle school assessments

Cedar Heights: Consensus is the building is failing and needs replacement. Specifically, concerns about failures at foundation and inadequate educational adequacy due to poor design. Discussion occurred about available space on upper lot and football field and potential flexible building (6-12), and additional buildings to support flexible purposes.

John Sedgwick: Consensus was building is adequate, with some needed improvements. Specifically; HVAC, trees, elevator, paint/carpet, and unspecified improvements to make the building feel 'more welcoming'.

Marcus Whitman: Consensus was building is adequate, with some needed improvements. Specifically; elevator, HVAC, and access. Committee noted that access to the portables was not as well controlled as it was at Sedgwick. Parking reconfiguration looks possible with gravel lot being improved.

Discussion diverged into discussion about the portables seen at all middles schools, and elementary schools, and the need for more permanent structures, and/or additions to existing buildings.

Idea was proposed that if Cedar was replaced, capacity should be increased to eliminate need for portables at all middle schools. The example of Sunnyslope's recent 4 classroom addition was cited as a positive example to replace aging portables elsewhere. Jennifer Farmer clarified that this structure was still classified as a portable, and not a permanent structure. Additions to existing buildings was proposed as a possibility.

7:45 Discussion of upcoming quarterly brief to the board. (August 18)

Committee discussed potential questions to raise to the committee. Such as; Is there anything being done or worked on that we should be aware of (Federal money for HVAC, etc)? Are there other studies being done? Is the committee's job to promote community engagement? Does the Board have a vision for timing of potential ballot measures?

Committee recommended reporting on observations of common issues throughout the district, such as; HVAC, Parking, Dropoff/Pickup, and Electrical loads.

7:54 Brief discussion of the purpose of the Committee

Committee reached consensus that the job of the committee was to establish what the facts are and what the current state of district facilities is.

8:01 meeting closed

August 26 next meeting.

planned to discuss eight facilities; teams notes and observations, the study and survey, educational adequacy, and safety and security. Goal is to align to categories or 'buckets; little to no improvement needed, modernization, replacement, and/or new facilities. The committee discussed reconfiguring categories following discussion of all buildings based on the teams shared observations.

6:39 –**East Port Orchard elementary**. Teams observations was that building layout is a challenge and overall feel is ‘bleak’. Building needs either a major improvement/modernization or replacement, but is a lesser priority than older buildings in the district.

6:52 – **Burley Glenwood** – Key challenge is the separation of the playground and field and traffic flow. Committee consensus was that building is adequate with site improvements. Suggestions of moving parking to lower field, reconfiguring parking and/or eliminating portables to create playground space closer to building. Committee also noted that there are currently funded projects to improve some areas, and future improvements shouldn’t be considered until that work is complete. Covered play area was a definite need. Consensus was that building would benefit from several smaller improvements, specifically site improvements, but did not require major overhaul or replacement.

7:08 – **Manchester** – Committee noted old portables which needed to be removed, and several other issues with building systems and layout. Committee consensus was that building would benefit from several smaller improvements, but did not require replacement or major overhaul.

7:25 Committee discussed some issues which seem common to multiple buildings such as pickup/dropoff flow, the number of portables at many buildings, or reconfiguration of the elementary boundary areas. The committee determined that recommending a boundary review was within the charter of the committee, but that there didn’t appear to be systemic imbalance among the existing buildings (i.e. many empty rooms in some buildings, where other buildings appeared more crowded). Difficult to gauge based on pandemic adjustments.

7:28 **Sunnyslope** - Committee noted that the new portable was vastly better than others throughout the district. Access to parking appeared to be the primary issue (lack of an exit to the east – Dahlia Lane). The committee consensus was that additional access would greatly benefit the pickup/drop-off/parking areas. Also noted was that the buildings primary challenge appears to be with fencing and monitoring of all external spaces. Minor improvements (cameras, fencing, and parking access) would result in big benefits, but overall the committee felt this building required little to no improvements.

7:42 **Sidney Glen, Mullinex, and Hidden Creek** – Although all buildings were constructed with the same general design, some buildings have had minor improvements which could be a benefit if applied to other buildings (such as window between office and entrance). All building had similar traffic access issues with other buildings in the district. Committee consensus was that little to no improvements were required for these buildings beyond minor projects.

7:55 **Wrap up discussion** - Consensus was that several issues such as traffic access and drop-off/pickup flow, HVAC, and power loading, are common challenges observed district wide, and that the committee should consider recommendations which could be applied to all buildings.

8:02 Adjourn

Next meeting August 12th

