



# John Gill Elementary School

555 Ave Del Ora • Redwood City, CA 94062 • • Grades  
, Principal

## 2018-19 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2019-20 School Year



### Redwood City School District

750 Bradford Street  
Redwood City, CA 9406  
(650) 482-2200  
www.rcsdk8.net

#### District Governing Board

Janet Lawson  
**President**

Alisa MacAvoy  
**Vice President**

Maria Díaz-Slocum  
**Clerk**

, Cecilia I. Márquez  
**Member**

Dennis McBride  
**Member**

#### District Administration

Dr. John Baker  
**Superintendent**

Dr. Linda Montes  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Educational Services, Grades PreK-  
5 and English Learner Services,  
Grades PreK-8**

Wendy Kelly  
**Assistant Superintendent,  
Educational Services, Grades 6-8  
and Human Resources**

Priscilla Aquino-Dichoso  
**Chief Business Official**

### School Description

In November of 2018, the Redwood City School District (RCS D) Board of Trustees voted for the Mandarin Immersion program, housed on the John Gill Campus, to welcome Orion Alternative on the campus. As this move took effect at the end of the 2018-19 school year, parents and community members reading this School Accountability Report Card (SARC) looking for 2019-20 information about the campus, should refer to Orion Alternative's SARC. Because each SARC has components from different school years, this John Gill Elementary School SARC will only include information up until the end of the 2018-19 school year.

## About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at <https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	60
Grade 1	55
Grade 2	42
Grade 3	46
Grade 4	39
Grade 5	33
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>275</b>

### 2018-19 Student Enrollment by Group

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.5
Asian	13.8
Filipino	1.5
Hispanic or Latino	60.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3.3
White	9.8
Two or More Races	9.5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58.2
English Learners	34.9
Students with Disabilities	14.2

## A. Conditions of Learning

### State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials for John Gill Elementary	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	13	12	0
Without Full Credential	2	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0

Teacher Credentials for Redwood City School	17-18	18-19	19-20
With Full Credential	◆	◆	330
Without Full Credential	◆	◆	13
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	◆	◆	0

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at John Gill Elementary School

Indicator	17-18	18-19	19-20
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

\* Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\*Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2019-20)

All Redwood City School District students have equal access to state adopted, standards aligned textbooks and instructional materials. Funds are appropriated according to the textbook adoption cycle.

Additionally, the district is using EngageNY <http://www.engageny.org> to support English language arts instruction as we transition to the Common Core State Standards.

Pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California, Redwood City School District thoroughly inspected each of its school sites at the start of the 2018-2019 school year to determine whether or not each school had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, and/or science laboratory equipment. All students, including English Learners, are required to be given their own individual textbooks and/or instructional materials (in the four core subject areas: English language arts, mathematics, history/social studies and science), for use in the classroom and to take home if necessary. Additionally, all textbooks and instructional materials used within the District must be aligned with the California State Content Standards and Frameworks, with final approval by the State Board of Education.

The Redwood City School District Textbook selection is done by a District, grade level and subject area committees coordinated by Executive Directors of Educational Services. The final textbook selections for grades TK-8 from the current approved State lists are then selected and the recommendation goes before the School Board for final approval.

John Gill's Mandarin Immersion Program implemented Better Chinese, LLC, Better Immersion©2013 for grades kindergarten through 4th grade.

The chart below displays data collected during the August 2018 District Textbook Audit, in regards to student editions in use at John Gill School during the current school year (2018-2019).

### Textbooks and Instructional Materials

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2018

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark Advance/ Adelante California Edition - Benchmark Education Company ©2015, Kindergarten through 5th Grade – State Approved, Board Adopted in 2015 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
Mathematics	Pearson Scott Foresman, enVision Math ©2015, Kindergarten through 2nd grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2014  Pearson Scott Foresman, enVision Math ©2015, 3rd through 5th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2015 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
Science	Full Option Science System (FOSS) Delta Education, Inc. ©2007, Kindergarten through 5th grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2008 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%
History-Social Science	Macmillan / McGraw – Hill California Vistas ©2005, Kindergarten through 5th Grade – State Approved, Board Adopted 2006 <b>The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:</b> Yes <b>Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:</b> 0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

John Gill School was originally constructed in the 1920s and is currently comprised of 24 classrooms, one computer lab, one library, one multi-purpose room, one staff lounge, two playgrounds, two basketball courts, and a playing field. The following chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection.

The school was completely modernized in 1998, and further renovated in 2000 as the result of two separate bond projects (Measures A & B). Measure A renovations were completed by November 2001 and included the renovation of two classrooms, and the addition of a new library/media center and cafeteria/multipurpose room.

Measure B projects have been completed at variable times over the past three years and have included/will include: roofing and perimeter fencing replacements; installation of energy-efficient lighting in older buildings, backstops on the playground, and a new sound system in the multi-purpose room; resurfacing of the parking lot, entrance lane, playground, lunch area, and areas between classrooms; construction of a traffic-turnaround on Avenue de Ora; replacement of window coverings; fresh painting on all buildings; and campus-wide American Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements.

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 5/7/2019

System Inspected	Repair Status	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer		Room 11: Cord protector - 78966 / Hvac malfunction - 78967
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces		Room 22: Cord protector - 78952 / Install paper towel dispenser - 78953 Room 23: New handle for cabinet / new lock for cabinet - 78954
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation		
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical		Library: Two can lights - 78950 / Bathroom door doesn't lock - 78951 Room 11: Cord protector - 78966 / Hvac malfunction - 78967 Room 14: Needs cord protector - 78958 Room 15: Vent blocked - 78960 / Cord protector - 78959 Room 22: Cord protector - 78952 / Install paper towel dispenser - 78953
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains		Boys' RR: Toilet doesn't flush - 78956
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials		Room 15: Vent blocked - 78960 / Cord protector - 78959 Room 5: High storage - 78963 Room 8: Blocked close in hallway - 78964 Room 9: Over stock storage - 78965
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs		
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences		Girls' RR: Restroom door closure - 78957 Library: Two can lights - 78950 / Bathroom door doesn't lock - 78951
<b>Overall Rating</b>		

## B. Pupil Outcomes

### State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- **Statewide assessments** (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

### CAASPP Test Results in ELA and Mathematics for All Students

#### Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

##### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
ELA						

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

### School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)

#### Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at <https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

### CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

#### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

##### Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard

Subject	School 17-18	School 18-19	District 17-18	District 18-19	State 17-18	State 18-19
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Note: This is a placeholder for the California Science Test (CAST) which was administered operationally during the 2018-19 school year. However, these data are not available for inclusion in the 2018-19 SARC posting due February 1, 2020. These data will be included in the 2019-20 SARC posting due February 1, 2021.

### State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

- Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

### 2018-19 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards

Grade Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5			

Note: Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2018-19 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics**  
**Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**C. Engagement**

**State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each school site

**Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2019-20)**

In November of 2018, the Redwood City School District (RCSD) Board of Trustees voted for the Mandarin Immersion program, housed on the John Gill Campus, to welcome Orion Alternative on the campus. As this move took effect at the end of the 2018-19 school year, parents and community members reading this School Accountability Report Card (SARC) looking for 2019-20 information about the campus, should refer to Orion Alternative's SARC. Because each SARC has components from different school years, this John Gill Elementary School SARC will only include information up until the end of the 2018-19 school year.

**State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

**School Safety Plan**

In November of 2018, the Redwood City School District (RCSD) Board of Trustees voted for the Mandarin Immersion program, housed on the John Gill Campus, to welcome Orion Alternative on the campus. As this move took effect at the end of the 2018-19 school year, parents and community members reading this School Accountability Report Card (SARC) looking for 2019-20 information about the campus, should refer to Orion Alternative's SARC. Because each SARC has components from different school years, this John Gill Elementary School SARC will only include information up until the end of the 2018-19 school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions for the School	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate			
Expulsions Rate			

Suspensions and Expulsions for the District	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	1.5	2.3	1.6
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0

Suspensions and Expulsions for the State	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Suspensions Rate	3.6	3.5	3.5
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

**D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

**Ratio of Academic Counselors to Pupils (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Ratio
Academic Counselor*	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Student Support Services Staff (School Year 2018-19)**

Title	Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

\* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

**Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)**

Grade Level	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2017-18	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19	2018-19
	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+	Average Class Size	# of Classes* Size 1-20	# of Classes* Size 21-32	# of Classes* Size 33+
K	15	2	2		21	1	2		17	2	2	
1	22		2		23	1	1		18	2	2	
2	22		3		30		1		10	5	1	
3	20	2			30		1		18	1	2	
4	32		1		30		2		23	1	1	
5	21	1	2		20	1	1		22	1	1	
Other**					20	1			9	3		

\*Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

\*\* "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

**Professional Development provided for Teachers**

Measure	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	3	3	0

The Redwood City School District has a system of professional growth and improvement that includes teachers from the induction program to veteran teachers, including principals, other school leaders and the private school personnel.

The Redwood City School District has invested in the professional development of its staff and focuses the work on the essential elements of high quality professional learning that cut across specific content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and dispositions.

The professional standards guide the work that is done for Professional Development and include: Data, Content and Pedagogy, Equity, Design and Structure, Collaboration and Shared Accountability, Adequate Resources, and Alignment and Coherence.

**2017-2018**

RCS D continued to provide Professional Development as per data analysis targets. There was a new adoption for English Language Arts and English Language Development curriculum. The focus for the year was on the understanding and implementation of the new ELA/ELD curriculum. There were two full days in August, one faculty meeting in October and one full day in January for Professional Development. In addition, each school site was asked to commit two minimum planning days for data analysis. Professional Development was provided to all teachers to ensure alignment on the data analysis process that included SMART Goals development.

Special Education teachers were provided with more focused PD to meet the needs of their specialized population. As per the SBAC results, more support was needed in instructional practice in all grades.

The Middle School teachers in Math worked on their second year of implementation on their new Math program. PD was provided with a focus on supporting language learners on this more rigorous math program.

Principals continued with Instructional Rounds to better develop their understanding of the teaching of reading.

SEAL Training continued for new teachers. SEAL Planning days were also provided for the development or refinement of their integrated content-base units.

This year coaches were added to ensure that classroom teachers were provided with real time coaching to implement the instructional practices expected. The SEAL and Literacy Coaches, as well as the district level Staff Development personnel, were visible each day at school sites. We have learned that one day PD is not enough, teachers need the real-time coaching to develop strong instructional practice.

#### 2018-2019

The Redwood City School District Staff Development team continues to plan and provide professional development for PreK-8 classified and certificated staff.

This year the focus of professional development is on the social emotional and academic success of all learners. Administrators, teachers, and classified staff will gain an awareness and understanding of current challenges, which include Trauma Informed Classroom Practices, Human Trafficking Issues, a presentation on Gender Spectrum, implications for instruction with the new English Learner Performance Assessment of California, content specific pedagogy for integrating academic language across content, and including writing across the content areas.

In order to further deepen state standard implementation, RCSD will embark on a new Instructional Coaching model. The Instructional Coaching Model has the potential to raise the academic rigor across the district.

“The goal of the RCSD Instructional Coaching Model is to remove any barriers obstructing the implementation of effective instruction that positively impacts student success. “

Together, with the Staff Development personnel, each principal will be released two days a month to provide coaching for teachers and/or lead data analysis meetings to target coaching sessions.

The Staff Development Team, including the SEAL and Literacy Coaches, will continue to provide additional coaching support to all school sites on the implementation of the Platinum Ticket using district-adopted curriculum. Clifford School has been added as an official SEAL Site.

Finally, in partnership with the National Urban Alliance (NUA) and international speaker, Dr. Yvette Jackson, the Redwood City School District will work to create classrooms as places where there is truly a belief in the intellectual capacity of all students. Instructional practices that develop the cognitive potential of students will be highlighted and shared. These “gifted” practices will be implemented with all students through this work. The understanding is students develop higher cognitive potential through the intentional implementation of high order teaching practices. RCSD understands that instruction begins with student strengths and provides enriching options and direction to bring those strengths to the surface and promotes high intellectual performance for all students.

#### 2019-20

Principals and teachers have received training in strategic use of data to improve instruction, collaboration through professional learning communities, technology in the classroom, equity, and implementation of the new Common Core State Standards to better align the teaching and learning toward District Goals. In addition, all staff take part in the required mandatory reporting and other federally required professional development through online modules at the start of each school year.

Using a train-the-trainer model, the District is calling on the rich and varied expertise of its own staff. Veteran teachers work with Staff Development coaches to further their knowledge, practices and language to explain to other staff.

Each administrator determines the progress of individual teachers based on a district agreed upon tool for implementation of effective instruction across the content areas. The tool is called the Platinum Ticket Scoring Guide, which indicates levels of implementation from beginning to maturing practice in all content areas.

#### Induction Program

The Induction program serves two functions: it is the program second tier of the teacher credentialing system, and provides comprehensive support to eligible beginning teachers; primarily the understanding of the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. The objectives of the RCSD Induction Program are to:

Development of the habits of mind expected in the teaching profession

Meeting the learning needs of students

Increasing teacher retention

Continuing professional growth through the application of knowledge and skills acquired in the credential program.

Veteran Teachers, Principals and Other School Leaders

Principals and teachers have received training in strategic use of data to improve instruction, collaboration through professional learning communities, technology in the classroom, equity, and implementation of the new Common Core State Standards.

Using a train-the-trainer model, the District is calling on the rich and varied expertise of its own staff. A standard defines what students should know and skills they should master at each grade level.

Finally, the principals participate in annual retreats both at the end of the year and the beginning of each school year to learn more in-depth regarding federal requirements, district adopted curriculum, assessments, assessment analysis, and ways to develop site plans. The trainings may also include assistance in the development of the School Plan for Student Achievement, budget development and monitoring, faculty evaluations, the instruction of English Learners and Special Education populations.

#### Classified Personnel

Classified personnel meet regularly with their department Directors to learn more specifically their assigned responsibilities. All classified staff are provided with professional development through small group or like position collaboration time. The content is determined by the Directors using state and local regulations as well as observations of personnel.

#### Advancement

The Redwood City School District believes personnel are most effective when they are up to date on responsibilities. There are opportunities for advancement within a site and in the district. Directors work with staff to set goals for their professional advancement. Many staff members have risen through the ranks into leadership positions.

#### FY 2017-18 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$49,607	\$45,741
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,896	\$81,840
Highest Teacher Salary	\$96,544	\$102,065
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$130,467	\$129,221
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$130,467	\$132,874
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$0	\$128,660
Superintendent Salary	\$211,040	\$224,581

Percent of District Budget	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Teacher Salaries		
Administrative Salaries		

\* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at [www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/).

#### Types of Services Funded

##### Federal Funding:

- Title I: Basic Grants Low Income
- Title I: Migrant Education
- Special Education
- Title II: Part A Teacher Quality
- Title III: Limited English Proficiency
- Medi-Cal Billing Option

##### State Funding:

- After School Education and Safety ("ASES")
- Lottery: Instructional Materials
- Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant
- Special Education

#### FY 2017-18 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

Level	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$12,691	\$5,074	\$7,617	\$69,517
District	N/A	N/A	\$7,826	\$78,923
State	N/A	N/A	\$7,506.64	\$82,663.00

Percent Differences	Unrestricted	Average Teacher Salary
School Site/District	-2.7	-12.7
School Site/ State	1.5	-17.3

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

The California Department of Education issued guidance to LEAs on August 1, 2018, regarding how to calculate school-level per-pupil expenditures that will be reported on 2018-19 report cards.