Mount Greylock Regional School District School Committee Education Subcommittee

Date: Thursday, July 23, 2020 **Time:** 3:00 pm

Location: Remote ZOOM meeting

Join Zoom Meeting

https://zoom.us/j/99297479290

Meeting ID: 992 9747 9290

One tap mobile

+16468769923,,99297479290# US (New York)

+13017158592,,99297479290# US (Germantown)

Per Governor Baker's order suspending certain provisions of the Open Meeting Law, M.G.L. c. 30A sec. 20, the public will not be allowed to physically access this School Committee meeting

Open Session Agenda

- Call to order
- II. Public comments
- III. Approval of minutes
 - A. July 14, 2020
- IV. Reports from working groups
 - A. Technology
 - B. Facilities
 - C. Instruction
 - D. Wellness
 - E. Operations
 - F. Governance
 - G. Parent/Community/Students
- V. Discussion of back to school plans
 - A. 6 feet or 3 feet of social distancing in classrooms Discussion
- VI. Other business not anticipated by the Chair within 48 hours of the meeting
- VII. Motion to adjourn



School Committee Education Sub-Committee Minutes

Date:July 14, 2020Location:Start:2:33 PMZoom

Adjourn: 3:31 PM

In Attendance:

Committee Members:	Also Present:
Steve Miller, Chair	Robert Putnam, Interim Superintendent
Christina Conry, Vice Chair	Jake Schutz, MGRHS Principal
Alison Carter, Secretary	Colin Shebar, MGRHS Assistant Principal
	Nolan Pratt, LES Principal
	Joelle Brookner, Director of Curriculum and Instruction
	Patrick Priester, Acting Director of Pupil Services
	Tim Sears, Director of Buildings & Grounds
	Amy Perry Mercier
	Christine Mastendino
	Foster Goodrich
	Geri O'Brien
	Jacqueline Vinette
	Janean Laidlaw
	Jennifer Szymanski
	Lindsey von Holtz
	Lynn's (Zoom name)
	Marty Walter
	Matthew Hane
	Maureen Andersen
	Pat Blackman
	Rob Mathews
	Stephen Dravis
	Susan Langman
	Wendy Powell

Item	Comments	Motion	Second	Vote
Call to order	Meeting called to order by Steve at 2:33 PM			
Public comment	Amy Perry Mercier: Vice chair of PAC. Reaffirming stater PAC. DESE guidance on special ed services in Dropbox fo			



preschool and students with significant disabilities. Highlighted several key requirements from guidance.

<u>Rob Matthews</u>: Need to expect extended remote learning. Encourage adoption of Hyflex model for 20-21 academic year to provide flexibility and ensure implementation of all three scenarios.

<u>Foster Goodrich</u>: Can test for COVID in wastewater. At source point and for water district. Have the ability to test daily or weekly at wastewater treatment plant, or at any manhole for wastewater. Positive results detectable 10-14 d before symptoms. Challenge is funding. \$125K per year to test one source once per week. If all schools and jails drops to \$500/test. Less for academic year. Spoke with Senator Hinds; he had not heard of this discussion. Happy to discuss more with committee. Also, mechanical systems at MG have ability to increase or decrease ventilation – suggest looking at increasing ventilation to support cleaner educational environment.

<u>Janean Laidlaw</u>: Concerned about comments in iBerkshires article from the president of the PAC on disagreement with committee member. Disagrees with substance of the petition referenced, but agrees with right to petition. "One voice too many" - statement intended to stifle debate. Need to support free exchange of ideas.

[Please see Appendix A for full copies of above public comment.]

Approvai	OI
minutes	

June 23 meeting

Conry

Carter

2-0-1

Reports from working groups

Instructional

Joelle: Working out the three models. Committed to safety as a priority. Presentation given by Jude Higdon-Topaz on Hyflex model. Will share Hyflex doc.

Governance

Rob: No update

Technology

Pat: Distributed survey today to faculty and paras on how remote learning went.

Rob: No matter what we do, will need familiarity with technology. Technology is key for a number of reasons. Need set-up in case schools close, any remote instruction. Eileen's last day is Friday. She has been a tremendous asset. We are posting to replace her position and assigning some of her work to others until a new hire is on board.

Steve: Do we have good technology in middle/high school for projecting, where teachers could teach and broadcast remotely?

Jake: Have the hardware but not the software. Looking at Canvas for MG, has options for recording. Already have this software but would need to train staff, students, parents.



Facilities

Rob: Tim busy preparing classrooms. Ridding rooms of extraneous items. Optimizing classroom design. Hexagonal model allows the most seats with 6 ft distancing. Has completed LES and is working on WES.

Tim: Issue is desk size. Have individual desks at LES, but use tables at WES and MG. Can accommodate at least half of classrooms at WES with desks. At MG have 5-ft desks - students can be properly distanced but aisles are not 6 ft. Can fit 16-23 students per class depending on desk size. Figuring out what we can fit in classrooms, what other space is available.

Patrick: Looked at preschool classrooms. Social distancing hard for that age. Looked at hexagonal classrooms. Teacher concerns about children staying at desks; tables might work better.

Rob: Met with MGEA e-board to discuss challenges with getting children back in school.

Steve: Can we move resources between schools?

Tim: Yes, but don't have enough of things like desks.

Rob: Students in county decreased in recent years, can look into unused equipment.

Operations

Rob: No update

Wellness

Nolan: Group includes school nurses, school psychologists, local doctors and nurses. Discussed hand washing and sanitizing protocols, entry to building, lunch. Creating social stories about new protocols.

Mental health: Considering screeners for every student to stay on top of mental health (whether in school or remote).

Daily entry screenings: App or texting service for screening? Screening difficult because cumbersome and younger students coming off the bus may not be able to answer questions by themselves.

Rob: Discussed protocols with other orgs.

Steve: What about no-touch infrared thermometers?

Nolan: Childsy Art said temp taking is unreliable - false positives and negatives.

Rob: Foster's idea is interesting. Could we get town data? Would not be able to pinpoint any cases but would feel good if no traces in system.

[Please see **Appendix B** for more detail.]

Students

Jake: Starting to finalize plans to reach out to rising 7th graders and parents on orientation. Considering trainings and support for students and parents.

Discussion of back to school plans

Rob: Commissioner Riley made clear he wants in-person instruction or hybrid. Developing three plans. Focusing on feasibility study, what we need to increase skill in faculty. Need COVID-tracking procedures.



	Upcoming meetings: Superintendent roundtable for Berkshire County tomorrow to share plans and ideas across districts. Thursday meeting of Commissioner and MASC. Expecting additional guidance, templates, if/then scenarios. Conducting a teacher survey to identify who planning to teach in person - what is our capacity. Then figure out how to fill the gaps.			
Supplemental articles	Materials in Dropbox provided by Amie Hane			
Business not anticipated	None			
Adjourn	MOTION to adjourn at 3:31 PM	Carter	Conry	3-0-0

Respectfully Submitted, Alison Carter Education Sub-Committee Secretary

Appendix A. Education Subcommittee Public Comment 7/14/20

Good afternoon. My name is Amy Perry Mercier, I am a parent of 3 children in Lanesborough Elementary School, and I am a practicing attorney. Further, I am vice chair of the Special Education Parents Advisory Council.

I am reaffirming the letter and statements made to the School Committee last week by members of the PAC. I did want to inform the this subcommittee that on the 9th of July, DESE released guidance which reiterated what I said to the school committee regarding the status of the law and the requirements on the district as it relates to children who receive special education services. The PAC has placed the memorandum in the Dropbox folder for your convenience.

In short, DESE reiterates that school districts must provide a free and appropriate public education in the least restrictive environment consistent with the need to protect the health and safety of students with disabilities and those individuals in providing education, specialized instruction, and related services to these students.

Students with disabilities, especially those in preschool and those with significant and complex needs need to be prioritized for receiving in-person instruction. These students are identified as those with high needs as found in the IEP process (for example, those in substantially separate classrooms), students who cannot engage in remote learning due to their disability related needs, students who use aided and augmentative communication, those who are homeless, those in foster or congregate care and English Language Learners.

Again, I would point out there is a high portion of students with complex needs who also have comorbidities that place them at higher risk for ill effects from contracting COVID-19;

Even if schools are operating in a hybrid or remote model, children with complex disabilities should STILL be considered for full-time in-person instruction;

In remote learning mode, an instruction and services model must be utilized. Further, those more complex students may require one on one in person instruction at home or in a community based setting;

In an in-person education setting, general education, special education and ELL staff must collaborate to establish appropriate modifications so students can receive their education in the Least Restrictive Environment;

Parents of students of special education students should be contacted in order to establish communication regarding their ability to access remote learning and observations regarding their well-being;

The guidance goes on to more fully describe what I have outlined with regard to the education requirements for students who receive special education if the school is in an in-person, hybrid or

remote learning mode. It describes the continued need for physical distancing and safety protocols for students. The PAC has advised the school committee pursuant to its legal obligation to do so that it supports 6 feet of social distancing, the use of masks by everyone if possible, and frequent hand washing, in addition to cleaning and other protocols, all supported by the best available science on this novel virus.

Amy Perry Mercier

To the Mount Greylock Regional School Committee,

The planning for the reopening of the Mount Greylock Regional schools is of utmost importance during the remaining months of this summer. It's clear that there are many concerns related to the safety and health of our students, faculty, and staff. It's also clear that while DESE has requested each school district to prepare plans for three different scenarios (fully in-person instruction, fully remote instruction, and a hybrid of the two), the idea that students will either be fully in-person or fully remote is unrealistic.

The simple truth is that regardless of the degree to which we open instruction on campus, any member of the student body, faculty, or staff who shows any cold or flu symptoms will need to self-quarantine for up to 14 days. Anyone who is exposed to someone with COVID-19 will have to self-quarantine. Anyone who interacts with immune-compromised family members will have to self-quarantine. We should expect this.

As the district continues with the planning for next academic year, we must design an adaptable system that allows for flexibility among students, faculty, and staff to be remote on any given day. To design anything else would be unrealistic, irresponsible, and would fail to meet the educational needs of the families and students in the district.

To that end, I encourage the School Committee to adopt a version of the HyFlex model to ensure that students, faculty, and staff can engage in meaningful instruction for the 2020-21 academic year. In addition to providing an effective way to educate our children while protecting the community, this model has the added benefit of responding to all three scenarios laid out by DESE.

Thank you,

Rob Mathews

Remarks to the Education Subcommittee of the Mount Greylock Regional School Committee:

I speak to you as a Williamstown taxpayer; a parent of three Williamstown Elementary School and Mt. Greylock graduates; a former member of the Mt. Greylock School Council; a former member of the Mt. Greylock faculty; and a former School Board member, although that was in Vermont. I say that to explain that my view on these remarks is informed by many perspectives.

I read a comment in an iBerkshires article of July 13th that concerned me. The article involves two people with whom I am not personally acquainted. It may well be that my concern is misplaced, because I was not present when the quoted remarks were offered, and context matters. Nevertheless, I would like to offer my view on the remarks, as these were quoted in iBerkshires.

iBerkshires describes the Chair of the Parent Advisory Council as in disagreement with a petition being circulated, and as in clear disagreement with a position taken by a member of the School Committee. Let me say, also, that I have absolutely nothing to do with the petition, and that the first I had heard of the petition was when I read about it in the iBerkshires article, *and* that I am inclined to disagree with the substance of the petition. I am, however, a firm believer in the United States Constitution and in the right to petition.

The Chair of the Parent Advisory Council is quoted as saying of this School Committee member that she, the Chair, had been told by members of the committee that this School Committee member's "voice" is just one on a seven-person panel, but, she said, quote, "this is one voice too many."

I wholeheartedly support civil public debate. This remark, however, seems intended to stifle debate. I am concerned and dismayed that anyone would seek to dismiss as superfluous a "voice," rather than to engage in the substance of the ideas offered by the speaker or petitioner.

Not only should we support the free and open exchange of ideas, but I would remind the Chair of the Parent Advisory Council that the "voice" that she found to be "one too many," and therefore gratuitous, is the voice of a duly elected member of a representative public board.

Janean Laidlaw

Mental Health Liaisons to outside organizations:

WES-Beth Persing LES-Christy Viall MGRS-Keith Jones

Mental Health Operations during the Academic Year:

Objective: Normalize feelings through forums and spaces where students and school staff can share, discuss, and process their experiences relative to Coronavirus.

Need: Formal Mental Health Screeners WES- Beth Persing and Wendy Powell

LES- Christy Viall

MGRS- Geri O'Brien and Keith Jones

Preventing the Spread of Infection:

Objective: To keep all students, faculty, staff, and the greater community healthy through a set of protocols and precautions.

Need: PPE, Signage

Add supplies to the classroom list for elementary school students

Encourage students and staff to stay home when sick

Teach students how to properly use PPE; including how to discard PPE

Stress the importance of keeping hands away from the eyes, nose, and mouth.

Teach students and staff how to properly disinfect surfaces

Introduce Hand Washing Best Practices

Utilize hand washing signage

Continuously instruct proper hand hygiene

Use alcohol-based sanitizer when hands are not visibly soiled

Developing fixed schedules for hand washing (particularly, for younger

students). (teachers to choose times in addition to upon arrival, before snack + lunch, after BR).

u h	keq	uir	ed	tım	ies
-----	-----	-----	----	-----	-----

- upon arrival to school
- before eating
- before putting on and taking off masks
- before dismissal

Institute Limited Contact Policies

Relay the importance of minimal contact between students of a younger age Spread Desks and seating arrangements to conform with Social Distancing Guidelines Amend schedule to conform to social distancing guidelines

If necessary employ virtual meetings

Mask Guidance

Mask Guidance:

Students in grade 2 and above are required to wear a mask/face covering that covers their nose and mouth.

Students in kindergarten and grade 1 should be encouraged to wear a mask/face covering.

Adults, including educators and staff, are required to wear masks/face coverings.

Exceptions to mask/face covering requirements must be made for those for whom it is not possible due to medical conditions, disability impact, or other health or safety factors.

Mask breaks should occur throughout the day (recess).

Masks/face coverings should be provided by the student/family, but extra disposable face masks should be made available by the school for students who need them.

Reusable masks/face coverings provided by families should be washed by families daily.

Masks/face coverings are required to be worn by everyone on the bus during school bus transportation.

Vaccinations Planning

Do not plan on a vaccine being ready for the 20/21 school year. Guidance should be available upon the discovery of a vaccine Potential for the vaccine to fall under school entry law.



News from Commissioner Jeffrey C. Riley & the MA Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

On the Desktop - July 15, 2020

Two-Step Process and Online Form/Template for Fall Reopening Plans

Dear Superintendents, Charter School Leaders, Assistant Superintendents, Approved Special Education Schools, and Collaboratives,

On June 25, 2020, DESE released <u>initial guidance</u> (download) for school reopening that prioritizes getting students and staff safely back to school in person, following a comprehensive set of health and safety requirements. Through this guidance, we required schools to create a plan that includes three models: 1) in-person learning with new safety requirements; 2) a hybrid of in-person and remote learning; and 3) remote learning.

The attached document provides an **overview of the two-step process for district reopening** plan submissions and the online form for preliminary plan summaries due July 31.

Districts will submit their reopening plans to DESE through a two-step process:

- Step 1: By July 31, districts must complete and submit a preliminary reopening plan summary to DESE. Districts will fill out an online form that consists of two parts, which are outlined below and detailed later in this document. This form will allow DESE to collect key summary information about districts' reopening models and other planning considerations.
- Step 2: By August 10, districts must finalize their comprehensive plan documents, submit them to DESE, and release them publicly to their communities. This additional time will provide districts with an opportunity to incorporate any desired changes based on additional guidance DESE may release later in July, such as guidance on transportation and athletics. We strongly recommend that you work with your school committee (or equivalent board) on policy questions relevant to the final plan before submission.

More information is included in the attached document. Should you have any questions about the plan submission process or the online form, please contact reopeningk12@mass.gov. If you need technical assistance with the online form (e.g. issues with technology or functionality), please contact research@doe.mass.edu.

Thank you for all you are doing to prepare your district for the fall.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey C. Riley Commissioner



Two-Step Process for District Fall Reopening Plans

July 15, 2020

On June 25, 2020, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education (DESE) released <u>initial guidance</u> for school reopening that prioritizes getting students and staff safely back to school in person, following a comprehensive set of health and safety requirements. Through this guidance, DESE required schools to create a plan that includes three models: 1) in-person learning with new safety requirements; 2) a hybrid of in-person and remote learning; and 3) remote learning.

The reopening approach is built on a thorough review of current medical and scientific literature and was developed after extensive consultation with medical professionals from Massachusetts General Hospital, the Massachusetts COVID-19 Command Center's Medical Advisory Board, and others. Based on the current public health data and COVID-19 trends in Massachusetts, the medical community supports the return of Massachusetts students to in-person learning, with appropriate health and safety guidelines in place.

In this document, we provide an overview of the two-step process for district reopening plan submissions and the online form for preliminary plan summaries due July 31.

Overview of Two-Step Process for District Reopening Plan Submissions

Districts will submit their reopening plans to DESE through a two-step process:

- <u>Step 1: By July 31</u>, districts must complete and submit a *preliminary reopening plan summary* to DESE. Districts will fill out an <u>online form</u> that consists of two parts, which are outlined below and detailed later in this document. This form will allow DESE to collect key summary information about districts' reopening models and other planning considerations.
- Step 2: By August 10, districts must finalize their *comprehensive plan documents*, submit them to DESE, and release them publicly to their communities. This additional time will provide districts with an opportunity to incorporate any desired changes based on additional guidance DESE may release later in July, such as guidance on transportation and athletics. We strongly recommend that you work with your school committee (or equivalent board) on policy questions relevant to the final plan before submission.

Step 1: Preliminary Reopening Plan Summary due by July 31

This section provides an overview of the plan summary that districts will complete through the online form due by July 31. Please click <u>here</u> to access the form online.

The online form consists of the following sections:

- Part I asks for the district's contact information, key findings from the district's feasibility study on in-person learning, and the district's preliminary thinking about which of the three reopening models it may use to open the school year this fall.
- **Part II** asks the district to provide a brief description of each of the three reopening models districts have been asked to develop: 1) in-person learning with new safety requirements, 2) hybrid learning, and 3) remote learning. Each model must include support for High Needs students as defined here.

Step 2: Expectations for Final District Reopening Plans due by August 10

As districts prepare for step two of this process – finalizing their comprehensive reopening plans – please note that plans should be written in a parent-friendly format, translated into the primary languages of students' homes, and posted on the district website. All plans must be posted by August 10. Each district should include the following information in its final comprehensive reopening plan.

A. Executive summary

B. **Letter from the superintendent** to the community, including the district's selection for the reopening model it will use to begin the school year.

C. **In-person learning model:**

- o For this learning model, students receive <u>in-person instruction full time in school environments</u> that have been appropriately modified to address health and safety requirements issued by DESE.
- o In this model, <u>districts may still have a subset of students whose families have decided to continue in a remote learning model</u> while the rest of the school returns in-person full time.
- In the description of this model, districts should include information about how student <u>learning</u>, <u>scheduling</u>, <u>protocols</u>, <u>and/or facilities use</u> may look different than in traditional in-person learning with no restrictions.

D. **Hybrid learning model:**

- o In a hybrid model, students alternate between in-person learning with safety requirements and remote learning.
- Districts should include information about <u>how student learning will change across the two</u>
 <u>learning environments (in-person and remote) and provide sample schedules</u> showing how
 students will rotate between in-person and remote learning models, including whether this varies
 among student groups and/or grade levels.
- o In a hybrid model, <u>some High Needs students may still participate in full-time in-person instruction</u> to ensure effective accommodations, and <u>some families may still decide to keep their</u> children learning remotely full time.

E. Remote learning model:

- In this learning model, <u>remote learning is the default mode of instruction for all students</u>, though <u>some High Needs students may still be served in-person</u> full time or through a hybrid model. Structured Learning Time requirements apply to remote learning.
- o Remote learning must include the following requirements per DESE regulations: (1) procedures for all students to participate in remote learning, including a system for tracking attendance and participation; (2) alignment of remote academic work to state standards; (3) a policy for issuing grades for students' remote academic work; and (4) a method for teachers and administrators to regularly communicate with students' parents and guardians, including providing interpretation and translation services to limited English proficient parents and guardians.
- Districts should also include information about the <u>technology platforms</u>, <u>staffing model</u>, <u>curriculum</u>, <u>and instructional materials</u> they will employ.
- F. **Out-of-school time plan**: In this section, please include any information about additional supports, instruction, or services the district will provide to students: (a) before and after school, (b) through 21st Century Learning, if applicable, and (c) on the weekends.
- G. **Student supports and professional learning:** Please include the following information in this section: (a) safety, wellness, and social emotional supports, (b) planning and instruction, (c) assessment, and (d) intervention. (e) A school calendar with start date and PD days prior to opening is recommended.

- H. **Other**: Information in this section should be determined by the context of the district.
- I. **Certification of health and safety requirements:** Districts will need to certify that they meet the final health and safety requirements issued by DESE. A preliminary list of these requirements appears in DESE's initial fall reopening guidance; DESE will release a final list later this month.

Overview of Online Form for Preliminary District Plan Summary due July 31

Please click <u>here</u> to access the online form to submit your preliminary district plan summary. **The overview below is provided for informational purposes only and <u>should not be used</u> to submit a plan summary.**

Part I: Contact Information, Feasibility Study Results, and Preliminary Reopening Model

District Name(s) ¹	
Superintendent Name:	
Superintendent Phone:	
Superintendent Email:	
Contact Completing Form:	
Contact Phone:	
Contact Email:	
COVID-19 Response Leader Name, Role, Title:	
If different than contact completing form	
Response Leader Phone:	
Response Leader Email:	

1.	What were the key findings from your in-person learning feasibility study, and what does this
	imply for the student learning model you may use this fall? Suggested word limit: 300.

2. Which **reopening model** within your plan are you leaning towards for the start of the school year? Please select the <u>predominant model</u> for elementary, middle, and high school. (For example, in a plan where the majority of students are coming back in-person full time, a subset of students may continue to learn remotely if needed.) Districts can use the text box below this chart to provide further explanation of their selections, if needed.

Reopening Learning Models

Grade Span	Reopening Learning Models		
	In-person	Hybrid	Remote
Elementary			
Middle School			
High School			

¹ For collaborative and superintendency union leaders submitting for more than one district, please list all districts.

	If you would like to provide an additional explanation of the initial reopening model in question 2 above, or if there are additional factors or challenges that you would like us to know, please write those here:
Part I	I: Summary of Three Reopening Learning Models
1.	In-person: Please provide a summary of your plan for how students would return to full-time inperson learning. Please reference the "Step 2" section of this document (page 2) and provide an overview of what you believe to be the most critical information about how this model would work in your district. Suggested word limit: 400.
	 Will any of your students be learning fully remotely? Yes/No Anticipated percent of students learning remotely%
2.	Hybrid: Please provide a summary of your plan for how students would return to school through a hybrid learning model. Please reference the "Step 2" section of this document (page 2) and provide an overview of what you believe to be the most critical information about how this model would work in your district. Suggested word limit: 400.
3.	Remote: Please provide a summary of your plan for remote learning as the default model of instruction for all students. Please reference the "Step 2" section of this document (page 2) and provide an overview of what you believe to be the most critical information about how this model would work in your district. Suggested word limit: 400.
4.	High needs students: Please provide a summary of how students with disabilities, English learners (ELs), former EL students, and Economically Disadvantaged students will be supported within each of the three reopening models. Suggested word limit: 400.

Assistance and Questions about Plan Submissions

Should you have any questions about the plan submission process or the online form, please contact reopeningk12@mass.gov. If you need technical assistance with the online form (e.g., issues with technology or functionality), please contact research@doe.mass.edu.