Introduction: The Hanford Elementary School District is located in Kings County in California's Central Valley. The district is comprised of eight elementary schools, two junior high schools, one charter school, and one community day school. The district's enrollment in 2015-2016 was approximately 5890. The district's percentage of Unduplicated students (students from low income families, English learners, and foster youth) is approximately 81%. The district has the following statistically significant subgroups: All Students, Gender, African American, Asian, Filipino, Hispanic, White, Two or More Races, English Learners, Low Income Pupils, Students with Disabilities, Foster Youth, Homeless, Migrant.

LEA: Hanford Elementary School District Contact (Name, Title, Email, Phone Number): Doug Carlton, Director, Categorical Programs, dcarlton@hesd.k12.ca.us, 558-585-3671 LCAP Year: 2016-2017 to 2018-2019

Local Control and Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template

The Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) and Annual Update Template shall be used to provide details regarding local educational agencies' (LEAs) actions and expenditures to support pupil outcomes and overall performance pursuant to Education Code sections 52060, 52066, 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5. The LCAP and Annual Update Template must be completed by all LEAs each year.

For school districts, pursuant to Education Code section 52060, the LCAP must describe, for the school district and each school within the district, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities.

For county offices of education, pursuant to Education Code section 52066, the LCAP must describe, for each county office of education-operated school and program, goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, who are funded through the county office of education Local Control Funding Formula as identified in Education Code section 2574 (pupils attending juvenile court schools, on probation or parole, or mandatorily expelled) for each of the state priorities and any locally identified priorities. School districts and county offices of education may additionally coordinate and describe in their LCAPs services provided to pupils funded by a school district but attending county-operated schools and programs, including special education programs.

Charter schools, pursuant to Education Code sections 47605, 47605.5, and 47606.5, must describe goals and specific actions to achieve those goals for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified in Education Code section 52052, including pupils with disabilities, for each of the state priorities as applicable and any locally identified priorities. For charter schools, the inclusion and description of goals for state priorities in the LCAP may be modified to meet the grade levels served and the nature of the programs provided, including modifications to reflect only the statutory requirements explicitly applicable to charter schools in the Education Code.

The LCAP is intended to be a comprehensive planning tool. Accordingly, in developing goals, specific actions, and expenditures, LEAs should carefully consider how to reflect the services and related expenses for their basic instructional program in relationship to the state priorities. LEAs may reference and describe actions and expenditures in other plans and funded by a variety of other fund sources when detailing goals, actions, and expenditures related to the state and local priorities. LCAPs must be consistent with school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. The information contained in the LCAP, or annual

Page 2 of 140

update, may be supplemented by information contained in other plans (including the LEA plan pursuant to Section 1112 of Subpart 1 of Part A of Title I of Public Law 107-110) that are incorporated or referenced as relevant in this document.

For each section of the template, LEAs shall comply with instructions and should use the guiding questions as prompts (but not limits) for completing the information as required by statute. Guiding questions do not require separate narrative responses. However, the narrative response and goals and actions should demonstrate each guiding question was considered during the development of the plan. Data referenced in the LCAP must be consistent with the school accountability report card where appropriate. LEAs may resize pages or attach additional pages as necessary to facilitate completion of the LCAP.

State Priorities

The state priorities listed in Education Code sections 52060 and 52066 can be categorized as specified below for planning purposes, however, school districts and county offices of education must address each of the state priorities in their LCAP. Charter schools must address the priorities in Education Code section 52060(d) that apply to the grade levels served, or the nature of the program operated, by the charter school.

A. Conditions of Learning:

Basic: degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned pursuant to Education Code section 44258.9, and fully credentialed in the subject areas and for the pupils they are teaching; pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials pursuant to Education Code section 60119; and school facilities are maintained in good repair pursuant to Education Code section Code section 17002(d). (Priority 1)

Implementation of State Standards: implementation of academic content and performance standards and English language development standards adopted by the state board for all pupils, including English learners. (Priority 2)

Course access: pupil enrollment in a broad course of study that includes all of the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 7)

Expelled pupils (for county offices of education only): coordination of instruction of expelled pupils pursuant to Education Code section 48926. (Priority 9)

Foster youth (for county offices of education only): coordination of services, including working with the county child welfare agency to share information, responding to the needs of the juvenile court system, and ensuring transfer of health and education records. (Priority 10)

B. Pupil Outcomes:

Pupil achievement: performance on standardized tests, score on Academic Performance Index, share of pupils that are college and career ready, share of English learners that become English proficient, English learner reclassification rate, share of pupils that pass Advanced Placement exams with 3 or higher, share of pupils determined prepared for college by the Early Assessment Program. (Priority 4)

Other pupil outcomes: pupil outcomes in the subject areas described in Education Code section 51210 and subdivisions (a) to (i), inclusive, of Education Code section 51220, as applicable. (Priority 8)

C. Engagement:

Parental involvement: efforts to seek parent input in decision making at the district and each schoolsite, promotion of parent participation in programs for unduplicated pupils and special need subgroups. (Priority 3)

Pupil engagement: school attendance rates, chronic absenteeism rates, middle school dropout rates, high school dropout rates, high school graduations rates. (Priority 5)

School climate: pupil suspension rates, pupil expulsion rates, other local measures including surveys of pupils, parents and teachers on the sense of safety and school connectedness. (Priority 6)

Section 1: Stakeholder Engagement

Meaningful engagement of parents, pupils, and other stakeholders, including those representing the subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052, is critical to the LCAP and budget process. Education Code sections 52060(g), 52062 and 52063 specify the minimum requirements for school districts; Education Code sections 52066(g), 52068 and 52069 specify the minimum requirements for county offices of education, and Education Code section 47606.5 specifies the minimum requirements for charter schools. In addition, Education Code section 48985 specifies the requirements for translation of documents.

Instructions: Describe the process used to consult with parents, pupils, school personnel, local bargaining units as applicable, and the community and how this consultation contributed to development of the LCAP or annual update. Note that the LEA's goals, actions, services and expenditures related to the state priority of parental involvement are to be described separately in Section 2. In the annual update boxes, describe the stakeholder involvement process for the review, and describe its impact on, the development of the annual update to LCAP goals, actions, services, and expenditures.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have applicable stakeholders (e.g., parents and pupils, including parents of unduplicated pupils and unduplicated pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01; community members; local bargaining units; LEA personnel; county child welfare agencies; county office of education foster youth services programs, court-appointed special advocates, and other foster youth stakeholders; community organizations representing English learners; and others as appropriate) been engaged and involved in developing, reviewing, and supporting implementation of the LCAP?
- 2) How have stakeholders been included in the LEA's process in a timely manner to allow for engagement in the development of the LCAP?
- 3) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was made available to stakeholders related to the state priorities and used by the LEA to inform the LCAP goal setting process? How was the information made available?
- 4) What changes, if any, were made in the LCAP prior to adoption as a result of written comments or other feedback received by the LEA through any of the LEA's engagement processes?
- 5) What specific actions were taken to meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement pursuant to Education Code sections 52062, 52068, and 47606.5, including engagement with representatives of parents and guardians of pupils identified in Education Code section 42238.01?
- 6) What specific actions were taken to consult with pupils to meet the requirements 5 CCR 15495(a)?
- 7) How has stakeholder involvement been continued and supported? How has the involvement of these stakeholders supported improved outcomes for pupils, including unduplicated pupils, related to the state priorities?

Involvement Process	Impact on LCAP
The district's Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) continued to meet regularly throughout the school year. Each school site council elected a parent for the district PAC. The PAC met five times during the 15-16 school year. The superintendent, Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability, and/or director of curriculum attended these meetings. The purpose of the PAC is to engage parents in the LCAP review and planning process, to elicit their input into the district's LCAP, and to provide them with the opportunity to voice priorities for their students. Spanish translation was	 Information provided by PAC parents, staff, and community members resulted in planning activities that include, but are not limited to the following: school-to-home communication expansion of the district's educational offerings recruitment and retention of highly qualified teachers and staff supplemental instructional services for English learners, students with disabilities, and at-risk students

available at each of the meetings. Information regarding the state priorities, the district's goals, the purposes of the supplemental and concentration funding were presented to parents to facilitate the review and goal setting process. The PAC reviewed information and/or data showing the district's performance in relation to the metrics that are part of each of the state's priorities. The PAC reviewed drafts of the LCAP throughout the school year providing input and advice as the plan was reviewed and developed.	 supplemental social and health services for low income students, English learners, foster youth, and at-risk student access to technological resources instruction and materials aligned to the state adopted standards well maintained schools where students and staff are safe
Parent Advisory Committee Dates Meeting Time Place 10/27/2015 PAC Meeting #1 9:00 a.m. District Office Boardroom	 Struggling students will continue to be provided with winter/summer intersession (Goal 2, SP 4) Class sizes will be reduced in grades 4-6 (Goal 2, SP 4) The number of combination classes will be reduced (Goal 2, SP 4) Activities will be provided that promote a positive school community and
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA 1/19/2016 PAC Meeting #2	 Activities will be provided that promote a positive school community and that motivate students to do their best work (Goal 1, SP 2, 7) Study Trips Art, music, PE
9:00 a.m. District Office Boardroom 714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	 Support will continue to be provided for English Learners (Goal 2, SP 4) Curriculum director, school site learning directors, and bilingual aides will provide direct support to English Learners
3/15/2016 PAC Meeting #3 9:00 a.m.	 The progress of English learners, including those who have been reclassified will be followed (Goal 2, SP 4) Curriculum director will provide leadership and support to
District Office Boardroom 714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	 school sites as they follow the progress of students who are English learners Learning directors will support and follow the progress of
4/19/2016 PAC Meeting #4 9:00 a.m. District Office Boardroom	 English learners at their school site Activities to hire and retain qualified teachers will continue (Goal 3, SP 1) Professional Development
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	 Directors of Curriculum (provide professional development) Yard supervision will provide teachers off-duty lunch
5/17/2016 PAC Meeting #5 9:00 a.m. District Office Boardroom	 The district's facilities will continue to be maintained at the "Exemplary" level (Goal 4, SP 1) Deferred maintenance
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	 English language arts instructional materials will be upgraded/improved (Goal 4, SP 1) Programs/activities from LCAP years two and three will be accelerated to
	 Programs/activities from LCAP years two and three will be accelerated to the current school year (Goals 1, 4; SP 2,5,7) Technology Ipads Technician to maintain student equipment

	1	
	•	 Technology data center Health Care Assistants (six to ten) Band instruments and supplies The following programs/activities will be included in LCFF Supplemental/Concentration (Goals 1, 4; SP 1, 5, 6, 7) ELA Instructional Materials Art, music, PE including supplies and materials for these programs After school youth development, athletics and enrichment Nursing Community Day School Yard supervision Junior high vice principals Child welfare and support After school athletic enrichment and youth development Programs/activities that foster good attendance will continue (Goal 4, SP 5) Child Welfare and Attendance Nurses, counselors, health care assistants Continue providing/improving services to students that foster good citizenship and reduce the numbers of suspensions and expulsions (Goal 4, SP 6) Child Welfare and Attendance Student Specialists School Counselors An alternate educational setting will be provided to provide behavior/social support for students (Goal 4, SP 6) Community Day School
The LCAP is a standing item on the District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). At each DELAC meeting, members receive information and provide recommendations on the implementation of the LCAP. The DELAC reviews the achievement and progress of EL students in detail, including CELDT scores, Title III AMAOs, reclassification procedures and rates along with other data including data relating to the metrics that are part of the state's priorities. Members receive information and provide recommendations on programs and services for English learners. DELAC members review EL student achievement	me	formation provided by parents, staff, and community members at DELAC eetings resulted in planning activities that include but are not limited to the illowing: Support and progress monitoring of students who are English learners parent training activities to support student learning district-wide professional development activities to support English learners additional student technology at school sites

	Page 7 of 140
and provide recommendations as to how the district's programs can be improved to increase the achievement of ELs.	teacher training to support the use of technology in classrooms
Dates Meeting Time Place	• The implementation and expansion of summer and/or intersession
10/28/2015 DELAC Meeting #1	instruction will continue (Goal 2, SP 4)
9:00 a.m.	• The progress of English learners will be followed (Goal 2, SP 4)
District Office Boardroom	• Curriculum director provides leadership and support to school
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	sites as they follow the progress s of students who are English learners
1/20/2016 DELAC Meeting #2	 Learning directors support and follow the progress of English
9:00 a.m.	learners at their school site
District Office Boardroom	• Programs and services to support English learners will continue (Goal 2,
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	SP4)
,	Curriculum director, school site learning directors, and bilingual aides
3/16/2016 DELAC Meeting #3	provide direct support to ELs.
9:00 a.m.	Art, music, and physical education programs in schools will continue (Goal
District Office Boardroom	1, SP 7)
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	• Activities to hire and retain gualified teachers will continue (Goal 3, SP 1)
	 Professional Development
4/20/2016 DELAC Meeting #4	 Directors of Curriculum (provide professional development)
9:00 a.m.	• Yard supervision to provide teachers off-duty lunch
District Office Boardroom	Programs that provide health and social/emotional support for students
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	will continue. (Goal 4, SP 5, 6)
	 Child Welfare and Attendance
5/15/2016 DELAC Meeting #5	 Nurses, counselors, health care assistants
9:00 a.m.	 Child Welfare and Attendance
District Office Boardroom	 Student Specialists
714 N. White St. Hanford, CA	 School Counselors
	 English language arts instructional materials will be upgraded/improved (Goal 4, SP 1)
	 Parent training and support will continue (Goal 5, SP 3)
	 Parent Liaison
	 Director of Curriculum develops and provides parent training
	and support activities
	• Services that foster good attendance and citizenship and reduce absences,
	suspensions, and expulsions will continue (Goal 4, SP 5, 6)
	 Learning Directors
	• Nurses, health care assistants, Elementary and junior high
	counselors

	Page 8 of 140
	 Student specialists, resource officers, junior high vice principals, child welfare and support, yard supervision A draft of the Local Control Accountability Plan will be published on the district's public website (Goal 5, SP3)
A series of surveys were conducted, with both paper and online options, in English and Spanish, to provide parents, staff, students, and community members with the opportunity give input into the district's services and programs, to prioritize programs and services, and to give general input and ask questions related to these services and programs.	 Information provided by survey results from parents, staff, and community members resulted in planning activities that include but are not limited to the following: school-to-home communication expansion of the district's educational offerings recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff supplemental instruction for at-risk students supplemental social and health services for low income students, English learners, foster youth, and at-risk students access to technological resources instruction and materials aligned to the State adopted standards well maintained schools where students and staff are safe
The LCAP is a standing item at the monthly meet-and-consult sessions with t district's certificated and classified collective bargaining units. These groups have provided input into the district's services and programs and on how to prioritize these programs and services.	 Information provided from meetings with bargaining units and from survey results from staff resulted in planning activities that include but are not limited to the following: recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff (Goal 3, SP1) professional development in implementing the State adopted standards (Goal 1, SP 2) professional development in instruction for English learners, at-risk students, and students with disabilities (Goal 3, SP1) access to standards aligned instructional materials and technology (Goal 4 Sp 1)
Hanford Elementary Teachers Association (HETA) meet-and-consult is held of the first Monday of each month. California School Employee's Association (CSEA) meet and consult is held on the third Monday of each month.	 Activities to hire and retain qualified teachers will continue (SP 1) Professional Development Yard supervision to provide teachers off-duty lunch

The Kings County Office of Education in partnership with the California Youth Connection (CYC) a foster youth support and advocacy group, held a series of informational meetings on the needs of foster youth. At these meetings, the specific needs and challenges of foster youth were presented and discussed.	 Information provided by representatives of foster youth resulted in planning activities that include but are not limited to the following: monitoring of school placement and progress of foster youth Funding will be set-aside to support foster youth (SP 4) Access including transportation to extracurricular activities professional development for teachers and staff regarding the unique needs of foster youth access to additional instructional time including but not limited to after school tutoring access to technology, books, supplies, and materials
A student focus group, to give students the opportunity to have their voices heard in relation to the LCAP was held on February 10, 2016 at John F. Kennedy Junior High School. Students representing Hamilton, Lincoln, Lee Richmond, Washington, King, Monroe, Roosevelt, Simas, and Wilson schools attended the session. In preparation for the focus group, the elementary student representatives obtained input on a series of survey questions, representing the eight state priorities, from their classmates at the elementary schools. Prepared with information obtained from their classmates, the elementary students were transported to the John F. Kennedy for the focus group session. Working together with junior high student leadership groups, consisting of student leaders from Kennedy and Wilson schools, students further discussed the survey questions. The groups of students, refined their opinions, produced written documentation of their discussion and opinions, and presented this information to the larger group. This information was collected and compiled by district officials.	 Information provided by representatives of students resulted in planning activities that include but are not limited to the following: good teachers who care about students and make learning fun maintaining/increasing/improving social, behavioral, and health services well maintained campuses where students are safe extracurricular activities field trips access to technology good food
The Student Focus Group was held on February 10, 2016 at John F. Kennedy Junior High School.	 Activities will be provided that promote a positive school community and that motivate students to do their best work (SP 2, 7) Study Trips Art, music, PE Class sizes will be reduced in grades 4-6 (SP 4) Activities to hire and retain qualified teachers will continue (SP 1) Professional Development Directors of Curriculum (provide professional development) Yard supervision to provide teachers off-duty lunch

	Page 10 of 14
	 The district's facilities will continue to be maintained at the "Exemplary" level (SP 1) Deferred maintenance
	The expansion of technology resources will continue
Annual Update:	Annual Update:
	Parent Advisory Committee:
Parents, parents of students who are English Learners, parents of unduplicated pupils, the local bargaining units, and county foster youth agencies were engaged and involved with the Annual Update of the HESD Local Control Accountability Plan.	Information from the Parent Advisory Committee was incorporated into the Annual Update of the LCAP, specifically, acknowledgement of areas in which services to students were increased and/or improved in 2015-2016. Additionally, the Parent Advisory Committee acknowledged areas in which there were challenges to implementation. The committee recommended that
Because planning for upcoming school-years and review of the current school- year are both part of a continuous process, elements of the annual update were reviewed and discussed at each of the five PAC and DELAC meetings throughout the school year.	planning for intersession instruction that would take place during the winter, spring, or summer breaks should be undertaken. This planning has been implemented.
The PAC reviewed the district's performance in relation to the metrics associated with the state's priorities as shown below:	District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC): Information from the DELAC was incorporated into the Annual Update of the LCAP, specifically, acknowledgement of areas in which services to students were increased and/or improved. Additionally, the DELAC acknowledged areas
PAC Meeting October 27, 2015	in which there were challenges to implementation. The committee
DELAC Meeting October 28, 2015	recommended that planning for intersession instruction that would take place
Metrics Discussed/Data Shared	during the winter, spring, or summer breaks should be undertaken.
Implementation of State adopted standards	
District Reviews/observations	
State Assessments	The data points, covering each of the state priorities and each of the district's
 CAASPP (Data from the 2014-2015 school year) 	goals, that were discussed with the DELAC and PAC, were incorporated into
Broad Course of Study	the Annual Update section of the LCAP under Actual Annual Measurable
Review of District Programs	Outcomes.
Pupil Outcomes (Enrichment)	
Review of District Programs	
Standards Aligned Materials	
Sufficiency of Materials Resolution	
PAC Meeting January 19, 2016	

DELAC Meeting January 20, 2016 Metrics Discussed/Data Shared

- El's Progress Learning English (CELDT scores from 2014-2015 and from 2015-2016)
- EL Access to the State adopted standards and English Language Development (ELD) Standards
- District Reviews/observations
- El's Progress Learning English (CELDT scores from 2014-2015 and from 2015-2016, EL Reclassification Rate)

PAC Meeting March 15, 2016 DELAC Meeting March 16, 2016 Metrics Discussed/Data Shared

- Credentialed Teachers o CALPADS Reporting
- Teacher Assignments
- o CALPADS Reporting

PAC Meeting April 19, 2016 DELAC Meeting April 20, 2016 Metrics Discussed/Data Shared

- Suspension Rates (2014-2015 and 2015-2016 data)
- Expulsion Rates (2014-2015 and 2015-2016 data)
- Attendance Rate (2014-2015 and 2015-2016 data)
- Chronic Absenteeism Rate (2014-2015 and 2015-2016 data)
- Middle School Dropout Rate
- Other Local Measures-Surveys
- School Facilities in Good Repair
- Parent Involvement (District Reviews)
- Parent Input (PAC, DELAC, SSC, ELAC)
- Parent Participation in Programs for Unduplicated Students (District Reviews)

PAC Meeting May 17, 2016 DELAC Meeting May 18, 2016

Review of LCAP

The PAC and DELAC reviewed services that have/are being improved for unduplicated pupils, including but not limited to:

- Reduction in the numbers of combination classes across the district
- Reduction in class sizes in grades 4-8
- Student technology increased at all schools
- Media Service Aide at every school
- Teacher professional development/training
- Beginning the process of adopting new ELA instructional materials
- Student Specialist at each elementary school
- Counselor at each junior high school
- Counselors serving elementary schools
- Health Care Assistants
- Intersession/summer session
- Study trips for all grades
- Art and music teachers
- Parent Liaison
- Additional supplies, materials, books to school sites

For purposes of the annual update, areas of challenge in implementing the LCAP along with areas where actions were accelerated or added to the LCAP were discussed with the Parent Advisory Committee.

The junior high schools experienced challenges in finding locations for grade and age appropriate study trips that were aligned with the state adopted standards. The junior high leadership teams along with teachers will continue to research study trips or other activities to support their students

Information on actions and/or expenditures that were accelerated or added in the current school was discussed with the PAC and DELAC. These include:

- Programs/activities from LCAP years two and three were accelerated to the current school year (Goals 1, 4; SP 2,5,7)
 - Technology Ipads
 - o Technician to maintain student equipment
 - o Technology data center
 - Health Care Assistants (six to ten)
 - Band instruments and supplies
- The following programs/activities were included in LCFF
 Supplemental/Concentration (Goals 1, 4; SP 1, 5, 6, 7)
 - ELA Instructional Materials

Page 13 of 140
 Art, music, PE including supplies and materials for these programs After school youth development, athletics and enrichment Nursing Community Day School Yard supervision Junior high vice principals Child welfare and support After school athletic enrichment and youth development
HESD Bargaining UnitsHanford Elementary Teachers Association (HETA) and the California School Employees Association (CSEA)
 Information from the bargaining units was incorporated into the Annual Update of the LCAP, specifically, acknowledgement of areas in which services to students were increased and/or improved. These include professional development in implementing the State adopted standards professional development in instruction for English learners, at-risk students, and students with disabilities access to standards aligned instructional materials and technology The bargaining unit's acknowledgement of the addition of both classified and certificated staff was incorporated into the Annual Update. The bargaining units acknowledged efforts in the recruitment and retention of highly qualified staff including professional development in instruction for English learners, at-risk students, and students with disabilities access to standards aligned instructional materials and technology

	Page 14 of 140
The bargaining units reviewed information from staff surveys.	The bargaining units reviewed information from staff surveys. Based on results from this survey: Students will continue to receive instruction in art, music, physical education (Goal1, SP 2) Students will continue to receives academic supports support so they can make satisfactory progress toward the Standards in Language Arts and/or Math, including the reduction of class sizes, and summer intersession (Goal 2, SP 4)
A student focus group, to give students the opportunity to have their voices heard in relation to the LCAP was held on January 27, 2016 at John F. Kennedy Junior High School. Students representing Hamilton, Lincoln, Lee Richmond, Washington, King, Monroe, Roosevelt, Simas, Kennedy, and Wilson schools attended the session. In preparation for the focus group, the elementary student representatives obtained input on a series of survey questions, representing the eight state priorities, from their classmates at the elementary schools. Prepared with information obtained from their classmates, the elementary students were transported to the John F. Kennedy for the focus group session. Working together with junior high student leadership groups of students further discussed the survey questions. The student survey included questions about the implementation of the LCAP and about services student are currently receiving for purposes of the annual update. The groups of students, refined their opinions, produced written documentation of their discussion and opinions, and presented this information to the larger group. This information was collected and compiled by district officials.	 Student Groups For purposes of the Annual Update, student representatives acknowledged efforts to provide: good teachers who care about students and make learning fun well-maintained campuses where students are safe extracurricular activities field trips access to technology good food The following will be included in the LCAP: Activities will be provided that promote a positive school community and that motivate students to do their best work (SP 2, 7) Study Trips Art, music, PE Class sizes will be reduced in grades 4-6 (SP 4) Activities to hire and retain qualified teachers will continue (SP 1) Professional Development Directors of Curriculum (provide professional development) Yard supervision to provide teachers off-duty lunch The district's facilities will continue to be maintained at the "Exemplary" level (SP 1) Deferred maintenance The expansion of technology resources will continue

Section 2: Goals, Actions, Expenditures, and Progress Indicators

Instructions:

All LEAs must complete the LCAP and Annual Update Template each year. The LCAP is a three-year plan for the upcoming school year and the two years that follow. In this way, the program and goals contained in the LCAP align with the term of a school district and county office of education budget and multiyear budget projections. The Annual Update section of the template reviews progress made for each stated goal in the school year that is coming to a close, assesses the effectiveness of actions and services provided, and describes the changes made in the LCAP for the next three years that are based on this review and assessment.

Charter schools may adjust the table below to align with the term of the charter school's budget that is submitted to the school's authorizer pursuant to Education Code section 47604.33.

For school districts, Education Code sections 52060 and 52061, for county offices of education, Education Code sections 52066 and 52067, and for charter schools, Education Code section 47606.5 require(s) the LCAP to include a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils, to be achieved for each state priority as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i) and any local priorities; a description of the specific actions an LEA will take to meet the identified goals; a description of the expenditures required to implement the specific actions; and an annual update to include a review of progress towards the goals and describe any changes to the goals.

To facilitate alignment between the LCAP and school plans, the LCAP shall identify and incorporate school-specific goals related to the state and local priorities from the school plans submitted pursuant to Education Code section 64001. Furthermore, the LCAP should be shared with, and input requested from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, pupil advisory groups, etc.) to facilitate alignment between school-site and district-level goals and actions. An LEA may incorporate or reference actions described in other plans that are being undertaken to meet the goal.

Using the following instructions and guiding questions, complete a goal table (see below) for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Goal: Describe the goal:

When completing the goal tables, include goals for all pupils and specific goals for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and, where applicable, at the schoolsite level. The LEA may identify which schoolsites and subgroups have the same goals, and group and describe those goals together. The LEA may also indicate those goals that are not applicable to a specific subgroup or schoolsite.

Page 16 of 140

Related State and/or Local Priorities: Identify the state and/or local priorities addressed by the goal by placing a check mark next to the applicable priority or priorities. The LCAP must include goals that address each of the state priorities, as defined in 5 CCR 15495(i), and any additional local priorities; however, one goal may address multiple priorities.

Identified Need: Describe the need(s) identified by the LEA that this goal addresses, including a description of the supporting data used to identify the need(s).

Schools: Identify the schoolsites to which the goal applies. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5).

Applicable Pupil Subgroups: Identify the pupil subgroups as defined in Education Code section 52052 to which the goal applies, or indicate "all" for all pupils.

Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes: For each LCAP year, identify and describe specific expected measurable outcomes for all pupils using, at minimum, the applicable required metrics for the related state priorities. Where applicable, include descriptions of specific expected measurable outcomes for schoolsites and specific subgroups, including pupils with disabilities, both at the LEA level and at the schoolsite level.

The metrics used to describe the expected measurable outcomes may be quantitative or qualitative, although the goal tables must address all required metrics for every state priority in each LCAP year. The required metrics are the specified measures and objectives for each state priority as set forth in Education Code sections 52060(d) and 52066(d). For the pupil engagement priority metrics, LEAs must calculate the rates specified in Education Code sections 52060(d)(5)(B), (C), (D) and (E) as described in the Local Control Accountability Plan and Annual Update Template Appendix, sections (a) through (d).

Action/Services: For each LCAP year, identify all annual actions to be performed and services provided to meet the described goal. Actions may describe a group of services that are implemented to achieve the identified goal.

Scope of Service: Describe the scope of each action/service by identifying the schoolsites covered. LEAs may indicate "all" for all schools, specify an individual school or a subset of schools, or specify grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades K-5). If supplemental and concentration funds are used to support the action/service, the LEA must identify if the scope of service is districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide.

Pupils to be served within identified scope of service: For each action/service, identify the pupils to be served within the identified scope of service. If the action to be performed or the service to be provided is for all pupils, place a check mark next to "ALL."

For each action and/or service to be provided above what is being provided for all pupils, place a check mark next to the applicable unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) and/or other pupil subgroup(s) that will benefit from the additional action, and/or will receive the additional service. Identify, as applicable, additional actions and services for unduplicated pupil subgroup(s) as defined in Education Code section 42238.01, pupils redesignated fluent English proficient, and/or pupils subgroup(s) as defined in Education 52052.

Budgeted Expenditures: For each action/service, list and describe budgeted expenditures for each school year to implement these actions, including where those expenditures can be found in the LEA's budget. The LEA must reference all fund sources for each proposed expenditure. Expenditures must be classified using the California School Accounting Manual as required by Education Code sections 52061, 52067, and 47606.5.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Conditions of Learning"?
- 2) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to "Pupil Outcomes"?
- 3) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address state priorities related to parent and pupil "Engagement" (e.g., parent involvement, pupil engagement, and school climate)?
- 4) What are the LEA's goal(s) to address any locally-identified priorities?
- 5) How have the unique needs of individual schoolsites been evaluated to inform the development of meaningful district and/or individual schoolsite goals (e.g., input from site level advisory groups, staff, parents, community, pupils; review of school level plans; in-depth school level data analysis, etc.)?
- 6) What are the unique goals for unduplicated pupils as defined in Education Code sections 42238.01 and subgroups as defined in section 52052 that are different from the LEA's goals for all pupils?
- 7) What are the specific expected measurable outcomes associated with each of the goals annually and over the term of the LCAP?
- 8) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was considered/reviewed to develop goals to address each state or local priority?
- 9) What information was considered/reviewed for individual schoolsites?
- 10) What information was considered/reviewed for subgroups identified in Education Code section 52052?
- 11) What actions/services will be provided to all pupils, to subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, to specific schoolsites, to English learners, to low-income pupils, and/or to foster youth to achieve goals identified in the LCAP?
- 12) How do these actions/services link to identified goals and expected measurable outcomes?
- 13) What expenditures support changes to actions/services as a result of the goal identified? Where can these expenditures be found in the LEA's budget?

	Students will receive a broad educe history, visual and performing arts	cational program that includes English language arts, mathematics, science, s, and physical education.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 _ 2 \underline{X} 3 _ 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 \underline{X} 8 \underline{X}
GOAL 1:			COE only: 9 _ 10 _
			Local : Specify
Identified	 standards. We have large number of Students of Students of Students music, and of Students their inter of Students today's work of Students Metrics State Priority 2: Implementation of State at ELs Access to the State at ELs Access to the State at Metrics State Priority 7: Broad Course of Study Metrics State Priority 8: Pupil Outcomes 	the to receive a broad instructional program that is aligned to the state board of errs of students from low income families. from low income families don't achieve at the same levels as students from h from low income families sometimes lack the resources to experience the typ t, physical education, that have been shown to increase academic achieveme from low income families sometimes lack the resources to experience activitie est and engagement in school, such as travel to museums, zoos, and parks from low income families don't always have access to the technology that is e orkplaces. from low income families sometimes lose academic ground over the summer dopted standards dopted standards and English Language Development (ELD) Standards	igher income families. es of enrichment activities, such as ent. es that have been shown to increase such as Yosemite or Sequoia. essential for success in college and in
Goal Appl	ies to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino	

Page 19 of 140

				Page 19 of 140
	Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant			
		LC	CAP Year 1: 2016-2017	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 classroom observations. (SP2) 1.2 Students receive instructio students in art, music, and PE 1.2 a. Students are enriched by participating in these activities 	n in art, music, and p along with the numb y art, music, and phy as evidence by surve als are aligned with t	ohysical education, and par er of minutes of PE student sical education, feel more e ey results. (SP 8) he State Board of Educatio	engaged in school, and are motivated to achieve as a result of on Adopted 2012 ELD Standards and the State adopted
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
	d standards aligned instruction i ff, technology, supplies, materia		<u>All</u> OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient	Supplies, Materials, Site-directed Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$150,000 10 Media Service Aides: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$324,130

	_Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Library Information System (Destiny) Tech Support Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$30,000
		Student Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$500,000
		6 Technicians for Student Technology: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$515,398
		Technology Data Center: Capital Outlay LCFF/SC \$350,000
		Study Trips: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$190,133
Districwide	<u>X</u> All OR:	2.0 FTE Art Teacher: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$173,078
	_ English Learners	4.5 FTE Music Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$419,336
	_ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Music Program Supplies & Equipment: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$81,932
		4 FTE PE Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$364,626
		After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Admin and Staff: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits (.5 FTE and Cert. Coaching Stipends) LCFF/SC \$138,670
		After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$103,889
		.5 FTE READY Program Support Staff 2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$39,630
		READY Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$113,832
Districtwide	OR: _ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups:	50% Director of Curriculum: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$79,869
		Specify) Districwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify) Districtwide _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent _ Specify)

		LC	CAP Year 2: 2017-2018			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 1.1 All instruction in core subject areas is aligned to the State adopted standards as evidenced by school-site based reviews that include classroom observations. (SP2) 1.2 Students receive instruction in art, music, and physical education, and participate in these subjects as evidenced by the numbers of students in art, music, and PE along with the number of minutes of PE students receive. (SP 7 & 8) 1.2 a. Students are enriched by art, music, and physical education, feel more engaged in school, and are motivated to achieve as a result of participating in these activities as evidence by survey results. (SP 8) 1.3 ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards and the State adopted standards as evidenced by school-site based reviews that include classroom observations (SP 2) 					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
	d standards aligned instruction is ff, technology, supplies, materials,	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _English Learners _Foster Youth _Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Supplies, Materials, Site-directed Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$150,000 10 Media Service Aides: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$343,578 Library Information System (Destiny) Tech Support: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$35,000 Student Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$500,000 6 Technicians for Student Technology: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$546,322 Study Trips: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC 250,000		
A1.2 Art, music, a staff, supplies, and	nd PE instruction is supported with d materials.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	 2.0 FTE Art Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$183,463 4.5 FTE Music Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$444,496 Music Program Supplies & Equipment: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$81,102 4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$386,504 After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Admin and Staff: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits (.5 FTE and Cert. Coaching Stipends) LCFF/SC \$146,990 		

		1		Page 22 of 140	
				After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$103,889	
				.5 FTE READY Program Support Staff: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$42,008	
				READY Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$115,000	
	urriculum (EL) provides leadership in ED, the state adopted standards, and ctional programs.	Districtwide	All OR: _ Low Income pupils X_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Director of Curriculum: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$84,661	
		LC	AP Year 3: 2018-2019		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	classroom observations. (SP2)	Ū		rds as evidenced by school-site based reviews that include	
		t, music, and physical education, and participate in these subjects as evidenced by the numbers of with the number of minutes of PE students receive. (SP 7 & 8)			
	1.2 a. Students are enriched by art, mo participating in these activities as evide			engaged in school, and are motivated to achieve as a result of	
	1.3 ELD instruction and materials are a standards as evidenced by school-site			on Adopted 2012 ELD Standards and the State adopted observations (SP 2)	
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
supported with sta	d standards aligned instruction is aff, technology, supplies, materials,	Districtwide	All OR:	Supplies, Materials, Site-directed Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$150,000	
and study trips.			<u>X</u> Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth	10 Media Service Aides: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$364,192	
			_ Foster Yourn _ Redesignated fluent English proficient	Library Information System (Destiny): Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$40,000	
			_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Student Technology: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$500,000	

Page 23 of 140

			Fage 23 01 14
			6 Technicians for Student Technology: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$579,101
			Study Trips: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$250,000
A1.2 Art, music, and PE instruction is supported with staff, supplies, and materials.	Districtwide	OR:	2.0 FTE Art Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$194,470
		Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth	4.5 FTE Music Teachers : Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$471,166
		_ Redesignated fluent English proficient	Music Program Supplies & Equipment: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$81,102
		_Other Subgroups: (Specify)	4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$409,694
			After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Admin and Staff: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits (.5 FTE and Cert. Coaching Stipends) LCFF/SC \$155,810
			After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC 103,889
			.5 FTE READY Program Support Staff: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$44,528
			READY Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$115,000
A1.3 Director of Curriculum (EL) provides leadership in the alignment of ELD, the state adopted standards, and the district's instructional programs.	Districtwide	All OR: _ Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Director of Curriculum : Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$89,741

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

	dents will make progress to ured and shared with studen	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1_2_3_4 \times 5_6_7_8_$ COE only: 9_10_ Local : Specify						
Identified Need :	Based on analysis of student achievement and analysis of the district's current core and supplemental EL programs using the California English Language Development Test (CELDT), there is a need for consistent, effective, research-based instruction in ELA, mathematics, and English language development instruction for English learners.							
	Metrics State Priority 4 State Assessments EL's Progress Learning English (CELDT) EL Reclassification Rate							
	API: Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. A-G Course Completion for UC/CSU (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) CTE Courses: (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) EAP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.)							
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools							
	Applicable Pupil All Students Subgroups: Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners							
		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged						

				Page 25 of 140			
	Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant						
			CAP Year 1: 2016-2017				
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	2.1 Students make progress in maste on the state assessments (CAASPP)		ed State adopted standard	Is in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by performance			
		3.%. The perce	ntage of English learners in	ograms fewer than 5 years attaining English language n language instruction educational programs 5 or more years			
	2.3 The percentage of EL students g	aining one leve	el on the CELDT will be 40.	2%. (SP4)			
	2.4 The EL reclassification rate will b	e 8.2% or grea	tter. (SP4)				
	Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. (State Priority 4)						
	The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)						
	AP Exam (Note: This metric does no	t apply to HES	D as it applies only to high	schools.) (State Priority 4)			
	EAP (Note: This metric does not app	ly to HESD as	it applies only to high scho	ols.) (State Priority 4)			
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
A2.1 Classroom staffing levels will be maintained or increased to reduce or eliminate combination classes in grades K-6 and to lower class size in grades 4-8.		Schoolwide: Hamilton King	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils	Teaching Staff to Reduce or Eliminate Combination Classes (4 teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$272,516			
		Lincoln Monroe Richmond Roosevelt Simas Washington	_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: n (Specify)	Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in 4-6 to 28:1 (3 teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$204,384			

A2.2 Students are supported with after-hours, intersession, or summer educational programs.	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups: (Specify)Migrant	After-Hours/Intersession Instruction: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$241,640
A2.3 Foster youth are supported with supplies, materials, tutoring, and/or other materials or activities.	Districtwide	_All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners X Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Support for Foster Youth: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$15,000
A2.4 Curriculum specialists provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	One Curriculum Director (Math) and One Curriculum Specialist (ELA): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$301,184
A2.5 Director of Curriculum provides leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide (Dir. Of Curric. & Admin Sec.)	_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners	50% Director of Curriculum (EL): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$79,869 2 EL Instructional Aides (Jr. High): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$43,470
	Schoolwide Kennedy, Wilson (EL Aides)	_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Admin Secretary II for Monitoring EL Student Progress: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$43,033

Page 27 of 140

A2.6 Learning Directors provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide	All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$445,759
A2.7 Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability provides leadership, training, technical assistance, and support to district and school site administrators in the monitoring of student achievement data, school discipline data.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$83,937

		LC	CAP Year 2: 2017-2018			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	2.1 Students make progress in mastering the required State adopted standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by performance on the state assessments (CAASPP). (SP4)					
		3%. The percer	ntage of English learners in	ograms fewer than 5 years attaining English language I language instruction educational programs 5 or more years		
	2.3 The percentage of EL students g	aining one leve	el on the CELDT will be 40.	2%. (SP4)		
	2.4 The EL reclassification rate will b	e 8.2% or grea	tter. (SP4)			
	Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. (State Priority 4)					
	The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)					
	AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)					
	EAP (Note: This metric does not app	ly to HESD as	it applies only to high scho	ols.) (State Priority 4)		
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
increased to reduc	taffing levels will be maintained or ce or eliminate combination classes to lower class size in grades 4-8.	Schoolwide: Hamilton King	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils	Teaching Staff to Reduce or Eliminate Combination Classes (4 teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$288,867		
		Lincoln Monroe Richmond Roosevelt Simas Washington	_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in 4-6 to 28:1 (3 teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$216,647		
	supported with after-hours, Immer educational programs.	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth	After-Hours/Intersession Instruction: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$400,000		

			Page 29 of 140
		_ Redesignated fluent English proficient X_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)Migrant	
A2.3 Foster youth are supported with supplies, materials, tutoring, and/or other materials or activities.	Districtwide	_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners X Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Support for Foster Youth: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$15,000
A2.4 Curriculum specialists provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	One Curriculum Director(Math) and One Curriculum Specialist(ELA): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$319,255
A2.5 Director of Curriculum provides leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide	_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils X English Learners	50% Director of Curriculum (EL): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$84,661 2 EL Instructional Aides (Jr. High): Classified Personnel
		_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$46,078 50% Admin Secretary II for Monitoring EL Student Progress: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$45,615
A2.6 Learning Directors provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide	All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$472,505

Page 30 of 140 Other Subgroups: (Specify) A2.7 Director of Program Development, Assessment, Districtwide <u>X</u> All 50% Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits Accountability provides leadership, training, technical assistance, and support to district and school site OR: Low Income pupils LCFF/SC \$88,973 administrators in the monitoring of student English Learners achievement data, school discipline data. Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups: (Specify)

		LC	CAP Year 3: 2018-2019			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:		2.1 Students make progress in mastering the required State adopted standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by performance on the state assessments (CAASPP). (SP4)				
		3.4%. The perc	entage of English learners	ograms fewer than 5 years attaining English language in language instruction educational programs 5 or more years		
	2.3 The percentage of EL students g	aining one leve	el on the CELDT will be 40.	.6%. (SP4)		
	2.4 The EL reclassification rate will b	e 8.6% or grea	ater. (SP4)			
	Academic Performance Index (Note therefore, the identified metric for AF			on has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); tate Priority 4)		
	The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)					
	AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)					
	EAP (Note: This metric does not app	ly to HESD as	it applies only to high scho	ools.) (State Priority 4)		
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
increased to redu	staffing levels will be maintained or ce or eliminate combination classes I to lower class size in grades 4-8.	Schoolwide: Hamilton King	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils	Teaching Staff to Reduce or Eliminate Combination Classes (4 Teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$306,199		
		Lincoln Monroe Richmond Roosevelt Simas Washington	_ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in 4-6 to 28:1 (3 Teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$229,646		
	e supported with after-hours, ummer educational programs.	Districtwide	All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth	After-Hours/Intersession Instruction: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$500,000		

. .

			Page 32 of 140
		_ Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups: (Specify)Migrant	
A2.3 Foster youth are supported with supplies, materials, tutoring, and/or other materials or activities.	Districtwide	_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners X Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Support for Foster Youth: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$15,000
A2.4 Curriculum specialists provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	One Curriculum Director(Math) and One Curriculum Specialist(ELA): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$338,410
A2.5 Director of Curriculum provides leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide	_All OR: _Low Income pupils X English Learners	 50% Director of Curriculum (EL): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$89,741 2 EL Instructional Aides (Jr. High): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$48,843
		_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Admin Secretary II for Monitoring EL Student Progress: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$48,352
A2.6 Learning Directors provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	Districtwide	All OR: Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$500,855

			Page 33 of 140
		_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
A2.7 Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability provides leadership, training, technical assistance, and support to district and school site administrators in the monitoring of student achievement data, school discipline data.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	50% Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$94,312

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

GOAL 3:	strict will hire, support, and	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 X 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 COE only: 9 10 Local : Specify				
Identified Need :	In order to ensure that students receive effective instruction, there is a need for fully credentialed, appropriately assigned teachers Metrics State Priority 1 Teacher Assignments Credentialed Teachers Standards Aligned Materials School Facilities in Good Repair					
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant				

LCAP Year 1: 2016-2017						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	3.1 The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1)					
	The district has State Board of Education approved, State adopted standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #					
	acilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool FIT) (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #4)					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
A3.1 Teacher qualifications are maintained and Distr supported with leadership, professional development, clerical support, supplies and materials.		-	 <u>X</u> All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) 	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$445,759		
				50% Admin Secretary (PD Els): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$43,032		
				Admin Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$46,000		
				3 Teacher PD Days: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$360,000		
				Admin Secretary II (Induction): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$86,929		
				Induction Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$24,756		

LCAP Year 2: 2017-2018						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	3.1 The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1)					
	The district has State Board of Education approved, State adopted standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #					
	Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #4)					
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
A3.1 Teacher qualifications are maintained and Dis supported with leadership, professional development, clerical support, supplies and materials.		Districtwide	X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$472,505		
				50% Admin Secretary (PD Els): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$45,614		
				Admin Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$46,000		
				3 Teacher PD Days: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$381,600		
				Admin Secretary II (Induction): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$92,145		
				Induction Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$24,756		

	LCAP Year 3: 2018-2019						
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	al 3.1 The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1)						
	The district has State Board of Education approved, State adopted standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #						
Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspective (FIT) (SP1) (Note this metric is expanded upon under goal #4)							
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
A3.1 Teacher qualifications are maintained and supported with leadership, professional development,		Districtwide	• <u>X</u> All OR:	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$500,855			
clerical support, sup	upplies and materials.		 Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) 	50% Admin Secretary (PD Els): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$48,351			
				Admin Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$46,000			
				3 Teacher PD Days: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$404,496			
				Admin Secretary II (Induction): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$97,673			
				Induction Program Supplies: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$24,756			

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Stude	ents will attend a safe, well r	maintained school and will have access to standards aligned materials.	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 \underline{X} 2 _ 3 _ 4 _ 5 \underline{X} 6 \underline{X} 7 _ 8 _			
GOAL 4:			COE only: 9 _ 10 _			
			Local : Specify			
Identified Need	There is an identified nee	ed that students attend a safe, well maintained school with access to standards	aligned materials.			
	Metrics State Priority 1 Teacher Assignments Credentialed Teachers Standards Aligned Mater School Facilities in Good					
	Metrics State Priority 5 Attendance Rates Chronic Absenteeism Rates Middle School Dropout Rates High School Dropout Rates (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) High School Graduation Rates (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) Metrics State Priority 6 Suspension Rates Expulsion Rates Other Local Measures Pupils/parents/staff/safety & School Connectedness					
Goal Applies to:	b: Schools: All Schools					
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth				

	Homel Migrar				
		LC	CAP Year 1: 2016-2017		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 LCAP Year 1: 2016-2017 4.1 The district has State Board of Education approved, State adopted standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (SP1) 4.2 The district's suspension rate will be below 8%. The district's expulsion rate will be below 0.7%. (SP 6) 4.3 The district's school attendance rate will be at least 90%. The District's chronic absenteeism rate will be below 10%. (SP5) 4.4 The district's middle school dropout rate will be below 1%. (SP5) 4.5 Students, parents and staff feel safe at school as evidenced by district reviews that may include surveys. (SP 6 Other Local Measures) 4.6 Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (SP1) The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1) (This metric is expanded upon under Goal #3) 				
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
A4.1 The implementation and maintenance of instructional materials are supported with leadership, staff, and materials.		Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	1 Teacher Resource Specialist: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$86,770 Standards Aligned Instructional Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$650,000	

			Faye 40 01 140
A4.2 Learning directors provide direct academic and social support to students and coordinate the services from additional staff who provide academic, social and health support. Learning Directors serves as the foster youth coordinator at their school sites.	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _ English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	. 33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$445,760
A4.3 School health professionals and counselors provide direct services to students to promote well-being	Districtwide	OR:	5 School Nurses: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$619,752
and health, and intervene with actual and potential health problems.		X Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth	10 Health Care Assistants: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$453,328
		_ Redesignated fluent English proficient	3 Counselors Elementary Schools: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$317,597
		_Other Subgroups: (Specify)	2 Counselors Jr. High: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$226,973
A4.4 Additional support staffs provide direct services to students to promote positive school climate, good	Districtwide	OR:	8 Student Specialists: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$639,393
citizenship, and improve school safety.		_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	2 School Resource Officers: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$195,040
			2 Vice Principals Jr. High: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$268,853
			2 FTE Child Welfare and Support (One supervisor and one secretary): Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$231,951
			Child Welfare and Support: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC 23,098
			Yard Supervision: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$624,519
A4.5 The District will provide alternative education options for at-risk students.	Schoolwide:	<u>X</u> All OR:	Community Day School Administrator & 3 Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$422,812
	Community Day School		Community Day School Classified (3 Instructional Aides & 1 Clerical): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$158,035
			Community Day School Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$7,000

	Page	41	of	140
--	------	----	----	-----

			Fage 41 01 140
		_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
A4.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and/or junior high students who live one mile or more from school will be provided with transportation to and from school.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Transportation: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$500,000
A4.7 Maintenance of district facilities are supported with	Districtwide		Deferred Maintenance: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$300,000
staff, supplies, and equipment.		OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	2 Custodians: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$125,140

		LC	CAP Year 2: 2017-2018	×			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:			oproved, State adopted standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as ses Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (SP1)				
Outcomes.	4.2 The district's suspension rate will be below 8%. The district's expulsion rate will be below 0.7%. (SP 6)						
	4.3 The district's school attendance rate will be at least 90%. The District's chronic absenteeism rate will be below 10%. (SP5)						
	4.4 The district's middle school dropo	ut rate will be	below 1%. (SP5)				
	4.5 Students, parents and staff feel sa	afe at school a	s evidenced by district revi	iews that may include surveys. (SP 6 Other Local Measures)			
	4.6 Facilities are maintained and in go Tool (FIT) (SP1)	4.6 Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (SP1)					
	The district has 100% fully credentiale (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1) (This me			ssigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state			
		Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures			
instructional mate	entation and maintenance of rials are supported with leadership,	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR:	1 Teacher Resource Specialist: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$91,976			
staff, and materials.			Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Standards Aligned Instructional Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$650,000			
A4.2 Learning directors provide direct academic and social support to students and coordinate the services from additional staff who provide academic, social and health support. Learning Directors serves as the foster youth coordinator at their school sites.		Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _English Learners X_Foster Youth _Redesignated fluent English proficient _Other Subgroups: (Specify)	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$472,506			

			Page 43 of 140
A4.3 School health professionals and counselors provide direct services to students to promote well-being and health, and intervene with actual and potential health problems.	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	 5 School Nurses: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$656,937 10 Health Care Assistants: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$480,528 3 Counselors Elementary Schools: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$336,653 2 Counselors Jr. High: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$240,591
A4.4 Additional support staffs provide direct services to students to promote positive school climate, good citizenship, and improve school safety.	Districtwide	X_All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	 8 Student Specialists: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$677,757 2 School Resource Officers: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$206,742 2 Vice Principals Jr. High : Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$284,984 Child Welfare and Support: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$245,868 2 FTE Child Welfare and Support (One supervisor and one secretary): Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$23,098 Yard Supervision: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$661,990
A4.5 The District will provide alternative education options for at-risk students.	Schoolwide: Community Day School	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Community Day School Administrator & 3 Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$448,181 Community Day School Classified (3 Instructional Aides & 1 Clerical): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$167,517 Community Day School Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$7,000
A4.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and/or junior high students who live one mile or more from school will be provided with transportation to and from school.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient	Transportation: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$500,000

		T		Page 44 of 140		
			_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)			
A4.7 Maintenance staff, supplies, and	of district facilities are supported with dequipment.	Districtwide	OR:	Deferred Maintenance: Services And Other Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$300,000		
			_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	2 Custodians: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$132,648		
		LC	CAP Year 3: 2018-2019			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	4.1 The district has State Board of Edu evidenced by the annual HESD Board			rds Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as Materials resolution. (SP1)		
e dicomos.	4.2 The district's suspension rate will be below 8%. The district's expulsion rate will be below 0.7%. (SP 6)					
	4.3 The district's school attendance ra	ate will be at least 90%. The District's chronic absenteeism rate will be below 10%. (SP5)				
	4.4 The district's middle school dropou	put rate will be below 1%. (SP5)				
	4.5 Students, parents and staff feel safe at school as evidenced by district reviews that may include surveys. (SP 6 Other Local Measures)					
	4.6 Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (SP1)					
	The district has 100% fully credentiale (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1) (This me			assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state		
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures		
instructional mater	entation and maintenance of rials are supported with leadership,	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR:	1 Teacher Resource Specialist: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$97,495		
staff, and materials.			_ Low Income pupils _ English Learners	Standards Aligned Instructional Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$650,000		

			Page 45 of 14
		_ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
A4.2 Learning directors provide direct academic and social support to students and coordinate the services from additional staff who provide academic, social and health support. Learning Directors serves as the foster youth coordinator at their school sites.	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	33% of 10 Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$500,856
A4.3 School health professionals and counselors provide direct services to students to promote well-being and health, and intervene with actual and potential health problems.	g Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	5 School Nurses: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$696,353
			10 Health Care Assistants: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$509,359
			3 Counselors Elementary Schools: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$356,852
			2 Counselors Junior High: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$255,027
A4.4 Additional support staffs provide direct services to students to promote positive school climate, good	Districtwide	OR:	8 Student Specialists: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$718,422
citizenship, and improve school safety.		 Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) 	2 School Resource Officers 5800: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$219,147
			2 Vice Principals Jr. High: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$302,083
			2 FTE Child Welfare and Support (One supervisor and one secretary): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$260,620
			Child Welfare and Support: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$23,098
			Yard Supervision: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$701,710
A4.5 The District will provide alternative education options for at-risk students.	Schoolwide:	<u>X</u> All OR:	Community Day School Administrator & 3 Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries & Benefits \$475,072

	1		
	Community Day School	Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Community Day School Classified (3 Instructional Aides & 1 Clerical): Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$177,568 Community Day School Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$7,000
A4.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and/or junior high students who live one mile or more from school will be provided with transportation to and from school.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Transportation 7000-7439: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$500,000
A4.7 Maintenance of district facilities are supported with staff, supplies, and equipment.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Deferred Maintenance 5700-5799: Transfers Of Direct Costs LCFF/SC \$300,000 2 Custodians: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$140,607

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

GOAL 5:	unication between schools	Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 _ 2 _ 3 X 4 _ 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _$ COE only: $9 _ 10 _$					
			Local : Specify				
Identified Need :	 parent/guardian involveme Parents need informa Students whose parents Students whose parents Parents have a right to be Metrics State Priority 3 Parent Involvement Parent Input	Parents need information and training to help their children achieve in school. Idents whose parents communicate with their children's schools achieve at higher levels than those who don't. Idents whose parents are informed about their children's progress in school achieve at higher levels. Irents have a right to be involved in the decision-making process at the school and district levels. Income State Priority 3 Int Involvement					
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools						
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant					

Page 48 of 140

		LC	CAP Year 1: 2016-2017		
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 5.1 Parents receive meaningful and timely communication on their students' progress/achievement as evidenced by district reviews of the numbers of parents attending conferences, the numbers of parents receiving standards aligned report cards, and parent responses to questions regarding communication on district surveys. (SP 3 Involvement) 5.2 Parents participate in a variety of educational and social activities as evidenced by reviews of parent attendance at district and site activities. (SP 3 Participation) 5.3 Parents have input in programs and services, both district-wide and at the school-site level, based on district reviews of parent attendance at school site council meetings, District English Learner Advisory Committee meetings, Parent Advisory Committee meetings, and response to parent surveys. (SP3 Input) 				
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures	
with conferences,	and school sites will provide parents report cards, and other means of garding students' progress.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$0 LCFF/SC	
A5.2 School sites and the district will provide parents with a variety of informational, training/educational, and social activities.		Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Parent Liaison: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$72,747	
	and school sites will maintain the ses for parent input.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth	\$0 LCFF/SC	

				Page 49 of 140
			_ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
		LC	AP Year 2: 2017-2018	
Measurable Outcomes:				
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
with conferences,	and school sites will provide parents report cards, and other means of garding students' progress.	Districtwide		\$0 LCFF/SC
	and the district will provide parents formational, training/educational, and	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Parent Liaison: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$77,112 Parent Education Center: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$50,000

Page 50 of 140

A5.3 The district and school sites will maintain the required committees for parent input.		Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$0 LCFF/SC
		LC	AP Year 3: 2018-2019	
Measurable Outcomes: numbers of parents attending conferences, questions regarding communication on dist 5.2 Parents participate in a variety of educa activities. (SP 3 Participation) 5.3 Parents have input in programs and set			bers of parents receiving s eys. (SP 3 Involvement) nd social activities as evide oth district-wide and at the	rogress/achievement as evidenced by district reviews of the standards aligned report cards, and parent responses to enced by reviews of parent attendance at district and site school-site level, based on district reviews of parent attendance neetings, Parent Advisory Committee meetings, and response to
	Actions/Services	Scope of Service	Pupils to be served within identified scope of service	Budgeted Expenditures
with conferences,	and school sites will provide parents report cards, and other means of garding students' progress.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$0 LCFF/SC
	and the district will provide parents nformational, training/educational, and	Districtwide	All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient	Parent Liaison: Classified Personnel Salaries & Benefits LCFF/SC \$81,739 Parent Education Center: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$50,000

			Page 51 of 140
		_Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
A5.3 The district and school sites will maintain the required committees for parent input.	Districtwide	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	\$0 LCFF/SC

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Annual Update

Annual Update Instructions: For each goal in the prior year LCAP, review the progress toward the expected annual outcome(s) based on, at a minimum, the required metrics pursuant to Education Code sections 52060 and 52066. The review must include an assessment of the effectiveness of the specific actions. Describe any changes to the actions or goals the LEA will take as a result of the review and assessment. In addition, review the applicability of each goal in the LCAP.

Guiding Questions:

- 1) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all pupils and did the provisions of those services result in the desired outcomes?
- 2) How have the actions/services addressed the needs of all subgroups of pupils identified pursuant to Education Code section 52052, including, but not limited to, English learners, low-income pupils, and foster youth; and did the provision of those actions/services result in the desired outcomes?
- 3) How have the actions/services addressed the identified needs and goals of specific schoolsites and were these actions/services effective in achieving the desired outcomes?
- 4) What information (e.g., quantitative and qualitative data/metrics) was examined to review progress toward goals in the annual update?
- 5) What progress has been achieved toward the goal and expected measurable outcome(s)? How effective were the actions and services in making progress toward the goal? What changes to goals, actions, services, and expenditures are being made in the LCAP as a result of the review of progress and assessment of the effectiveness of the actions and services?
- 6) What differences are there between budgeted expenditures and estimated actual annual expenditures? What were the reasons for any differences?

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

GOAL 1 his from prior year	udents will receive a broad edu story, visual and performing arts	Related State and/or Local Priorities: 1 \underline{X} 2 \underline{X} 3 _ 4 \underline{X} 5 _ 6 _ 7 \underline{X} 8 \underline{X}			
LCAP:					COE only: 9 _ 10 _
					Local : Specify
Goal Applies	to: Schools: All Schools				1
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students African American Hispanic White Two or More Races Low Income Students (Socioecond English Learners Students with Disabilities Migrant Students Foster Youth	omically Disad	vantaged)	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Core Standards as evidenced include classroom observation of Common Core Standards) 1.2 Students make progress in Core Standards in ELA and ma district review of performance CAASPP interim and summati meet the state mandated partie (State Priority 4 Pupil Achiever upon under Goals #4 and 5) 1.3 Students receive instructio areas that is supported by tech study trips as evidenced by hu	ect areas is aligned to the Common by school-site based reviews that is. (State Priority 2 Implementation mastering the required Common ath. Progress will be measured by on district assessments and on ve assessments. The district will cipation rates for the CAASPP. ment: This metric is also expanded in and enrichment across content nology, supplies, materials, and iman resource records, purchase p related expenses. (State Priority e Priority 8 Other Outcomes)	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	Core Standards: District and school-site lev site/classroom observation 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/1 16 school year) as part of During these visits, eviden was collected and reviewe Cabinet Site Focus Area T areas is aligned to the Cor 1.2	n mastering the required Common

Page 54 of 140

1.4 Students who are identified as at risk of not being literate and college and career ready by the end of high school, including students from the statistically significant subgroups, make progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by district review of performance on district assessments and on CAASPP interim and summative assessments. Identified students meet the state mandated participation rates for the CAASPP (State Priority 4 Pupil Achievement)

1.5 The district has State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (State Priority 1 Standards Aligned Materials)

1.6 Expansion of instructional technology, as evidenced by district technology purchases, continues to support student mastery of Common Core Standards. (State Priority 4 Pupil Achievement)

The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (State Priority 1 Teacher Assignments, Credentials: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #5)

All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (State Priority 1 School Facilities: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #6)

ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards as evidenced by schoolsite based reviews that include classroom observations. (State Priority 2 EL's Access to the Common Core Standards and English Language Development (ELD) Standards: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #2 and #3) Note that 2014-2015 test scores are a baseline. Participation rate above 95%.

CAASPP Summative 2014-2015 All Students ELA 11% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 34% Standard Not Met

Math 8% Standard Exceeded 18% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 42% Standard Not Met

African American ELA 7% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 26% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met

Math

5% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 51% Standard Not Met

Hispanic ELA 9% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 36% Standard Not Met

Math 6% Standard Exceeded 17% Standard Met 32 % Standard Nearly Met 45% Standard Not met

White

Page 55 of 140

The percentage of EL students gaining one level on the CELDT is 40%. (State Priority 4 EL's Progress Learning English: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #2)

The district's reclassification rate is at least 8%. (State Priority 4 EL Reclassification Rate: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #3)

Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the 14-15 LCAP. (State Priority 4)

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools)

AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

ELA 18% Standard Exceeded

33% Standard Met 22% Standard Nearly Met 27 % Standard Not Met

Math

14% Standard Exceeded 21% Standard Met 33% Standard Nearly Met 32% Standard Not Met

Two or More Races ELA 15% Standard Exceeded 39% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 17% Standard Not Met

Math

10% Standard Exceeded22% Standard Met39% Standard Nearly Met29% Standard Not Met

Economically Disadvantaged ELA 8% Standard Exceeded 26% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 37% Standard Not Met

Math

6% Standard Exceeded 16% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 46% Standard Not Met

English Learners ELA 3% Standard Exceeded 10% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 59% Standard Not Met

	Math 2% Standard Exceeded 9% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 66% Standard Exceeded Students with Disabilities ELA 1% Standard Exceeded 6% Standard Met 15% Standard Nearly Met 77% Standard Not Met	
	Math 1% Standard Exceeded 4% Standard Met 16% Standard Nearly Met 79% Standard Not Met Migrant ELA 5% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met	
	40% Standard Not Met Math 8% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 30% Standard Nearly Met 48% Standard Not Met	
	Note: Although Foster Youth is a subgroup for HESD, test results for these students are not available as group from the CDE. CAASPP statistics for Foster Youth are estimated locally. Foster Youth ELA 4% Standard Exceeded 30% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 38% Standard Not Met	
	Math	

4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met

Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.

The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time however, data from formative assessments, and classroom observations show that students are making progress toward mastery of the Common Core Standards in ELA and math.

1.3

4 Columbia

Students received instruction and enrichment in 15-16 that was supported by technology, supplies, materials, and study trips:

Enrichment: Human resource records show art, music, and physical education teachers on staff in 15-16.

Technology: 1365 student IPads were purchased in 15-16. Supplies/materials: School sites added supplies and materials that included, books, technology, and incentives in 15-16. Students in grade levels K-6 attended study trips including, but not limited to the following locations. Evidence that these study trips were implemented includes purchase orders. Grade Location TK Chaffee Zoo (Fresno) Imagine U (Visalia) K Chaffee Zoo (Frenso) 1 Walking Tour Hanford (Fire/Police Station, Carnegie, Library) Circle J Ranch Scout Island (Fresno) CSUF Farm (Fresno) 2 Discovery Center (Fresno) 3 Pena Planetarium (Visalia) Fresno State Planetarium (Fresno)

Page 58 of 140

Page 58 of 140
Missions 5 Academy of Science (San Francisco) 6 California Science Center (Los Angeles) Tech Museum of Innovation (San Jose) Monterey Bay Aquarium (Monterey)
Students in grades 7 and 8 did not attend field trips. An evaluation of the needs of junior high schools to determine what types of trips or other activities will be implemented to support student learning will be conducted in 2016-2017.
1.4 All participation rates for state mandated testing were met in 2014-2015. The district's participation rate was above 95%. See 1.2 for CAASPP scores.
Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.
The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time.
At-risk students are identified at their school site by their classroom teachers and school site administration. These students are provided with appropriate interventions and support. The progress of these students is closely followed by classroom teachers along with school site level principals and learning directors.
1.5 The district has State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution:
The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution #2-15, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 24, 2014

Page 59 of 140
resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.
The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution # 2-16, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 23, 2015 resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.
1.6 Expansion of instructional technology, as evidenced by district technology purchases, continues to support student mastery of Common Core Standards:
680 student IPads were purchased in 14-15. 1,365 student IPads were purchased in 15-16. Student devices
Increased access to technology provided students, particularly low income students and ELs with improved access to the State approved instructional materials, a highly engaging learning experience, and an increased ability to work effectively with technology. Increased access to technology provided English learners with additional access to the State approved instructional materials, academic content, and academic vocabulary through enhanced visual and auditory support.
5.4
All teachers were fully credentialed and NCLB Highly Qualified in 2014-2015 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.5.
In 2015-2016, 254 teachers are credentialed. Due to a teacher shortage, 4 teachers are working under short-term staff permits

Page 60 of 140
with professional development plans in place in order to help them obtain their credential.
6.5
Facilities are maintained and in good repair:
The district received a score of "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) for 2015-2016.
2.2
ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards:
District and school-site level administration conducted school- site/classroom observations on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/15 (14-15 school year) and on 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15- 16 school year) as part of the district's Instructional Cabinet. During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards.
2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6%
The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9%
3.3
The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4%
Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. (State Priority 4)
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools)

		applies only to high scho EAP (Note: This metric o only to high schools.) (S	does not apply to HESD as it applies
		ar: 2015-2016	
Planned Action		Actual Actio	ns/Services
1.1 All students will receive a broad instructional program that is aligned to	Budgeted Expenditures Supplies LCFF/SC \$149,881 County Special Education Program	Fiscal: County Special Education Program	Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures Supplies, Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$150,000
the state board of education approved Common Core Standards and that supported with staff, supplies, and	County Special Education Program LCFF/SC \$43,271 County Special Education Program	estimated actual expenditures were not included in the LCAP in 15-16. These expenditures were made under State	County Special Education Program State Special Ed: \$221,855
materials.	LCFF/SC \$450,000 10 Media Service Aides LCFF/SC	Special Ed. (res. 6500) and Unrestricted (res. 0000). Special education expenditures were greater than anticipated. The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are a result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule.	County Special Education Program: Unrestricted: \$563,545
	Library Information System Technical Support LCFF/SC 24,752		10 Media Service Aides: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$315,572
			Library Information System Technical Support: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$24,752
		Evaluation 1.1 Action 1.1 supports Expected Outcome 1.1 and SP 2.	
		Instructional materials and supplies were purchased for the implementation of California Standards (i.e. notebooks, binder, paper, pencils, journals, crayons, construction paper) TK-8.	
		Students' state standards aligned instruction was supported with fully staffed school libraries that were open	

			Page 62 of 140
		during school hours. Students' reading quantity and engagement increased. Students, teachers, and parents were provided online access to library catalogs and electronic books with the Destiny system. Students' reading quantity and engagement increased.	Page 62 of 140
Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide All OR: X Low Income pupils _ English Learners Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
1.2 Classroom staffing levels will be increased to reduce or eliminate combination classes in grades K-6 and to lower class size in grades 4-8.	Expand Teaching Staff to Reduce the Number of Combination Classes (4 Teachers) LCFF/SC \$260,244 Expand Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in Grades 4-6 to 28:1 (3 Teachers) LCFF/SC \$195,183	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are a result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. Evaluation 1.2 Action 1.2 supports Expected Outcome 1.2 and SP 4. The numbers of combination classes were reduced across the district. Teachers provided students more individualized attention/instruction. Students made progress in mastering the required state adopted standards.	Expand Teaching Staff to Reduce the Number of Combination Classes (4 Teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$281,964 Expand Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in Grades 4-6 to 28:1 (3 Teachers): Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$211,473

			Page 63 of 140
		Class sizes were reduced in grades 4- 6. Teachers provided students more individualized attention/instruction Students made progress in mastering the required state adopted standards.	
Scope of Service Elementary Schools X All OR: _ Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of Service Schoolwide: Hamilton, King, Lincoln, Monroe, Richmond, Roosevelt, Simas, Washington X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
1.3 Students will receive instruction and enrichment including, but not limited to English language arts,	1.2 FTE Art Teachers LCFF/SC \$93,537	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures under 1.3 are the result of the following: Salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. The inclusion of art, music, PE, and after school enrichment/athletic	2.0 FTE Art Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$164,348
mathematics, social sciences, science, visual and performing arts, health, and physical education that is	3.14 FTE Music Teachers LCFF/SC \$288,994 4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers LCFF/SC \$264,159		4.5 FTE Music Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$418,810
supported by technology, supplies, materials, and study trips.	Study Trips LCFF/SC \$275,000		4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$353,982
		programs in the LCFF/SC.	Study Trips: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$181,051
		Study trips that cost less than anticipated. Junior high schools did not implement study trips.	Music Program Supplies, Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$183,100
		Evaluation 1.3 The expenditures under 1.3 supported Expected Outcome 1.3 and SP 7.	After School Enrichment, Youth Development, and Athletics: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$156,844

	1		Page 64 of 140
		Art teachers provided art instruction to elementary school students. Art instruction is an integral part of a broad course of study.	
		Music teachers provided music instruction to elementary students. Students were provided with additional music supplies and materials. Music instruction is an integral part of a broad course of study.	
		Students in grades K-6 participated in study trips. Study trips are an integral part of a broad course of study. Study trips provided students with background knowledge and increased engagement in content area topics.	
		Junior high schools did not implement study trips. Junior high teachers and admin. will research activities/study trips for students.	
		Students participated in after school youth development and athletic activities. Participation in physical activities is an integral part of a broad course of study. Students participating in after school athletic activities were more active and learned sportsmanship and teamwork.	
Scope of Districtwide, Elementary Service Schools		Scope of Districtwide Service	

Page	65	of	140
------	----	----	-----

			Page 65 of 140
All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners X Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient XOther Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
1.4 Students who are identified as at risk of not being literate and college and career ready by the end of high school, including students from the statistically significant subgroups, will receive additional hours of instruction.	Intersession Instruction LCFF/SC \$198,394 After School Tutoring (Supplemental Educational Services) Title I \$399,891	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures under 1.4 are the result of students eligible for SES not using all of the their allocated tutoring time due to absences, moving to non-PI schools, or out of the district.	Intersession Instruction: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$200,098 After School Tutoring (Supplemental Educational Services): Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I \$350,000
		 Evaluation 1.4 Action 1.4 supports Expected Outcome 1.4 and SP 4. 67 of the most struggling unduplicated students at Lincoln school received winter intersession instruction. Students received intensive reading instruction. Pre and post tests show increased reading skills. Intersession instruction is being planned for June of 2016. Expenditures have not been made for this instruction as of this writing. 444 students received SES. Post test scores are not yet available for SES. 	

			Page 66 of 140
Scope of Service Hamilton, King, Lincoln, Richmond, Roosevelt, for Intersession; Hamilton, Monroe, Simas, Washington, Kennedy, Wilson for SES		Scope of Service Schoolwide: Hamilton, King, Lincoln, Richmond, Roosevelt, for Intersession; Hamilton, Monroe, Simas, Washington, Kennedy, Wilson for SES	
All OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners <u>X</u> Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient <u>X</u> Other Subgroups: (Specify) <u>African American, Hispanic, White,</u> <u>Students with Disabilities, Migrant</u> <u>Students, Foster Youth</u>		All OR: X Low Income pupils X English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
1.5 Review of Common Core Standards aligned instructional materials will be underway with an emphasis on selection of SBE approved ELA instructional materials.	Teacher Resource Specialist LCFF/SC \$72,757	 Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are a result of the following: salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. improving/increasing standards aligned English language arts textbooks in 2015-2016. Evaluation 1.5 Action 1.5 supports Expected Outcome 1.5 and SP 1. Teacher Resource Specialist ensures the sufficiency of materials by conducting inventories and ordering materials. English language arts instructional materials were improved. Upgraded instructional materials provide increased support for students who are English learners. 	Teacher Resource Specialist: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$75,378 English Language Arts Instructional Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$1,000,000

			Page 67 01 140
Scope of Districtwide		Scope of Districtwide	
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	-	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
1.6 Expansion of instructional technology will continue to support student mastery of Common Core Standards.	iPads for Classroom Instruction and State Assessments LCFF/SC \$444,456 Technician to Support Student Technology LCFF/SC \$69,388 Subscriptions to Digital Services for Students (eBooks, Discovery Education etc.) Title I \$53,148	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and actual expenditures under 1.6 are the result of the following: Accelerating expenditures for student iPads due to an increase in funding. Accelerating expenditures for technicians to support student technology due to an increase in funding and inclusion of all technicians who maintain student devices and systems in LCFF/SC. Accelerating expenditures for the technology data center due to an increase in funding. The technology data center provides network infrastructure support for student and staff computer devices. Evaluation 1.6: Action 1.6 supports Expected Outcome 1.6 and SP 4. The numbers of ipads were increased across the district. The two junior high	iPads for Classroom Instruction and State Assessments: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$979,347 6 Technicians to Support Student Technology: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$472,806 Subscriptions to Digital Services for Students (eBooks, Discovery Education etc.): Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I \$60,000 Technology Data Center: Capital Outlay LCFF/SC \$50,000

			Page 68 of 140
		schools now have a 1:1 ration of ipads to students. Students accessed standards aligned content using ipads.	
		The numbers of technicians to support student technology were increased. The turn-around time for repair of student devices is one day.	
		Digital content aligned to the state adopted standards was provided to all students. Students made progress toward mastery of the standards.	
		The technology data center was improved. Students and teachers received uninterrupted access to content computer devices.	
Scope of Districtwide Service	_	Scope of Districtwide Service	
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals? 1.3 ins art 1.4 1.4 1.5	2 a. will be added and will read: Students d are motivated to achieve as a result of 3 moves to 1.2 and is revised to align mon struction in art, music, and physical education.	ome: re closely with State Priority 4. Drops parti are enriched by art, music, and physical e participating in these activities as evidence re closely with State Priorities 7 and 8. (Re ation, and participate in these subjects as o f minutes of PE students receive. (SP 7 &	education, feel more engaged in school, e by survey results. (SP 8) evised to read:1.2 Students receive evidenced by the numbers of students in

Page 69 of 140

For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 1.1 is moved to A1.1 and is revised to read: State Adopted Standards aligned instruction is supported with staff, technology, supplies, and materials, and study trips. Expenditures under this action move as follows: Supplies, Materials, Site Directed Technology moves to A1.1 10 Media Service Aides moves to A1.1. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$8,558 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Library Information System moves to A1.1. Software increase of \$5,248 for 16-17. County Special Education Program estimated actual expenditures were not included. Check box for Students With Disabilities will be unchecked going forward.
Action 1.2 is moved to A2.1 and is revised to read: Classroom staffing levels will be maintained or increased to reduce or eliminate combination classes in grades K-6 and to lower class size in grades 4-8. All expenditures under this action move to A2.1. The total expenditures will decrease in 16-17 by \$16,537 due to adjustments in average salaries.
Action 1.3 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move as follows: 2.0 FTE Art Teachers moves to A1.2. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$8,730 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. 4.5 FTE Music Teachers moves to A1.2.
4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers moves to A1.2. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$10,644 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Study Trips moves to A1.1. For 16-17 an increase of \$9,082 due to increase in registration fees and transportation costs. Music program supplies and equipment are added to A1.2. (Expenses for 16-17 will decrease due to one-time purchase of
supplies/equip. in 15-16). After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development are added and move to A1.2 and are itemized as follows: After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Admin and Staff Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$138,670
After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development Supplies Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$103,889
Action 1.4 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move to A2.2 and will increase by \$41,542. Intersession programs are scheduled to scale-up over the three LCAP years. Scope checkbox is set to LI, EL, FY, M. After School Tutoring (Supplemental Educational Services) (Title I) will not be included in the LCAP going forward.
Action 1.5 is moved to A4.1 and is revised to read: The implementation and maintenance of instructional materials are supported with leadership, staff, and materials. Expenditures under this action move to A4.1. Expenditure for 16-17 (Teacher Resource Specialist) to be increased by \$11,392 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. The improvement of standards aligned instructional materials moves to A4.1 and is estimated to be \$650,000 in 16-17.
Action 1.6 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move to A1.1. Expenditure for 16-17 (technicians) to be increased by \$42,592 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. (Note: 2 technicians worked a partial year in 15-16 and will work a full year in 16-17.) Expenditures for technology data center increase as project proceeds in 16-17. iPads for Classroom Instruction and State Assessments is revised to read: Student Technology

Page 70 01 14
Subscriptions to Digital Services for Students (eBooks, Discovery Education etc.) (Title I) will not be included in the LCAP.
Analysis of programs and services that the district provided to pupils in the 2015-2016 school year shows that some of the actions in the LCAP marked for "All" pupils were actually delivered to, and should have been identified as being targeted to low income pupils and English learners. These services will be appropriately identified in the LCAP in 2016-2017 and beyond. For 16-17 and beyond, the Scope checkbox for A1.1 will be set to Low Income and A1.3 will be set to English Learner.
For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows: All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Complete a copy of this table for each of the LEA's goals in the prior year LCAP. Duplicate and expand the fields as necessary.

Original GOAL 2 from prior year LCAP:				Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 _ 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _$ COE only: $9 _ 10 _$ Local : Specify	
Goal Applies	to: Schools: All Schools				
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	English Learners			
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:	by school-site based reviews to observations. (State Priority 2) Standards and English Langua All instruction in core subject a Core Standards as evidenced include classroom observation of Common Core Standards: Goal #1) Students make progress in ma Standards in ELA and math. I district review of performance CAASPP interim and summat meet the state mandated parti (State Priority 4 State Assess upon under Goals #1 and #5) The district's reclassification ra Priority 4 EL Reclassification Funder under Goal #3) Academic Performance Index	areas is aligned to the Common Core astering the required Common Core Progress will be measured by on district assessments and on twe assessments. The district will cipation rates for the CAASPP. nents: This metric is expanded	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students 2015-2016 was 53.9% 2.2 ELD instruction and materi Education Adopted 2012 E District and school-site level site/classroom observation 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23, 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15 16 school year) as part of During these visits, evidence was collected and reviewed Tool. Instruction in all core Common Core Standards. 1.1 Instruction in all core subjection Core Standards: District and school-site level site/classroom observation 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23, 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15 	s gaining one level on the CELDT in s gaining one level on the CELDT in als are aligned with the State Board of LD Standards: el administration conducted school- is on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, /15 (14-15 school year) and on 5, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15- the district's Instructional Cabinet. ce of Common Core implementation d using the HESD Site Focus Area e subject areas is aligned to the ect areas is aligned to the Common el administration conducted school- is on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, /15 (14-15 school year) and on 5, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15- the district's Instructional Cabinet.

Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the 14-15 LCAP. (State Priority 4)

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)

AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards.

1.2

Students made progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math:

Note that 2014-2015 test scores are a baseline. Participation rate above 95%.

CAASPP Summative 2014-2015 All Students ELA 11% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 34% Standard Not Met

Math

8% Standard Exceeded 18% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 42% Standard Not Met

African American ELA 7% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 26% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met

Math 5% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 51% Standard Not Met

Hispanic ELA 9% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met

		Page 73 of
	36% Standard Not Met	
	Math 6% Standard Exceeded 17% Standard Met 32 % Standard Nearly Met 45% Standard Not met	
	White ELA 18% Standard Exceeded 33% Standard Met 22% Standard Nearly Met 27 % Standard Not Met	
	Math 14% Standard Exceeded 21% Standard Met 33% Standard Nearly Met 32% Standard Not Met	
	Two or More Races ELA 15% Standard Exceeded 39% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 17% Standard Not Met	
	Math 10% Standard Exceeded 22% Standard Met 39% Standard Nearly Met 29% Standard Not Met	
	Economically Disadvantaged ELA 8% Standard Exceeded 26% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 37% Standard Not Met	
	Math 6% Standard Exceeded 16% Standard Met	

	32% Standard Nearly Met 46% Standard Not Met
	English Learners ELA
	3% Standard Exceeded
	10% Standard Met
	28% Standard Nearly Met 59% Standard Not Met
	Math
	2% Standard Exceeded
	9% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met
	66% Standard Exceeded
	. .
	Students with Disabilities ELA
	1% Standard Exceeded
	6% Standard Met
	15% Standard Nearly Met
	77% Standard Not Met
	Math
	1% Standard Exceeded
	4% Standard Met
	16% Standard Nearly Met 79% Standard Not Met
	Migrant
	ELA 5% Standard Exceeded
	28% Standard Met
	28% Standard Nearly Met
	40% Standard Not Met
	Math
	8% Standard Exceeded
	14% Standard Met
	30% Standard Nearly Met 48% Standard Not Met
	i

Page 75 of 140
Note: Although Foster Youth is a subgroup for HESD, test results for these students are not available as group from the CDE. CAASPP statistics for Foster Youth are estimated locally. Foster Youth ELA 4% Standard Exceeded 30% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 38% Standard Not Met
Math 4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met
Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.
The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time.
3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4%
Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. (State Priority 4)
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)

		applies only to high scho	does not apply to HESD as it applies
	LCAP Yea	ar: 2015-2016	
Planned Act	tions/Services		ons/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
2.1 All EL students receive a dedicated period of ELD instruction that is aligned to the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards.	50% Admin Secretary II (Clerical for Monitoring EL Student Progress) LCFF/SC \$39,999	Analysis 2.1 The expenditures under 2.1 supported Expected Outcome 2.1 by providing clerical support for the monitoring of EL student's progress. Evaluation 2.1 Action 2.1 supports Expected Outcome 2.1 and SP 4. Admin. secretary supports the progress monitoring of EL students. The expected outcome for students gaining one level on the CELDT was met.	50% Admin Secretary II (Clerical for Monitoring EL Student Progress): Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$42,322
Scope of Districtwide Service	-	Scope of Districtwide Service	
_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils <u>X</u> English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		_ All OR: _ Low Income pupils X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	

2.2 All new teachers and administrators are trained in systematic ELD instruction. Continuing teachers receive ongoing PD and support through in-class coaching. Implementation of ELD instruction will be supported through continuing professional development with an emphasis on instructional coaching.	33% Learning Directors LCFF/SC \$361,577 50% Director of Curriculum LCFF/SC \$74,239	 Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are a result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. Evaluation 2.2 Action 2.2 supports Expected Outcome 2.1 and 2.2, SP 2 and 4. Learning Directors provide direct support to EL students, professional development in ELD to teachers, and progress monitoring of EL students and alignment of instruction with ELD standards. The expected outcome for students gaining one level on the CELDT (2.1) was met. The Director of Curriculum (EL) provided direct support to EL students and their families, professional development in ELD to teachers, and supervised the progress monitoring of EL students and alignment of instruction with ELD standards across the district. The expected outcome for students gaining one level on the CELDT (2.1) was met. 	33% Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$421,026 50% Director of Curriculum: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$78,588

Page 78 of 140

Scope of Service Districtwide All	Scope of Service Districtwide All
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	For 2016-2017 and beyond, to be more concise and for better alignment with the State Priorities, goals 2, 3, and 4 will be combined into a single goal (Goal #2) that addresses student achievement. For 2016-2017 and beyond, expected outcome: 2.1 moves to 2.3 with no revisions. 2.2 moves to 1.3 with no revisions. For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 2.1 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move to A2.5 Action 2.2 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move as follows: 33% Learning Directors moves to A2.6. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$24,733 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. 50% Director of Curriculum moves to A2.5. Analysis of programs and services that the district provided to pupils in the 2015-2016 school year shows that some of the actions in the LCAP marked for "All" pupils were actually delivered to, and should have been identified as being targeted to low income pupils and English learners. These services will be appropriately identified in the LCAP in 2016-2017 and beyond. For 16-17 and beyond, the Scope checkbox for A2.2 will be set to Low Income Pupils, English Learners, Foster Youth, Migrant. For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows: All Students Gender African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races

English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant
Migrant

Original GOAL 3 from prior year LCAP: Schools will identify and support EL students who are not making sufficient progress. Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools				Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 _ 2 X 3 _ 4 X 5 _ 6 _ 7 _ 8 _$ COE only: 9 _ 10 _ Local : Specify
	Applicable Pupil English Learners Subgroups:			
Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 3.1 The percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English anguage proficiency will remain at or above 22.8%. The percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs 5 or more years attaining English language proficiency will be 36% or greater. (State Priority 4: Pupil Achievement) 3.2 ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the 2012 State Board of Education adopted ELD Standards. (State Priority 2: ELS Access to the Common Core Standards and English Language Development (ELD) Standards) 3.3 The EL reclassification rate will be 8% or greater. (State Priority 4: Pupil Achievement) All instruction in core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards as evidenced by school-site based reviews that nclude classroom observations. (State Priority 2 Implementation of Common Core Standards: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #1) Students make progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by district review of performance on district assessments and on CAASPP interim and summative assessments. The district will meet the state mandated participation rates for the CAASPP. (State Priority 4 State Assessments: This metric is expanded upon under Goals #1 and #5) 	Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	educational programs fewer language proficiency in 20 The percentage of English educational programs fewer language proficiency in 20 The percentage of English educational programs 5 or language proficiency in 20 The percentage of English educational programs 5 or language proficiency in 20 3.2 ELD instruction and materi Board of Education adopte ELs Access to the Commo Language Development: District and school-site lever site/classroom observation 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/ 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15 16 school year) as part of During these visits, evident	learners in language instruction er than 5 years attaining English 15-2016 was 26.4%. learners in language instruction more years attaining English 14-2015 was 40.9% learners in language instruction more years attaining English

The percentage of EL students gaining one level on the CELDT will be 40%. (State Priority 4 EL's Progress Learning English: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #2)

Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the 14-15 LCAP. (State Priority 4)

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)

AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards.

3.3

The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4%

1.1

Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards:

District and school-site level administration conducted schoolsite/classroom observations on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/15 (14-15 school year) and on 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15-16 school year) as part of the district's Instructional Cabinet. During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards.

1.2

Students made progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math:

Note that 2014-2015 test scores are a baseline. Participation rate above 95%.

CAASPP Summative 2014-2015 All Students ELA 11% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 34% Standard Not Met

Math 8% Standard Exceeded 18% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met

	Page
	42% Standard Not Met
	African American ELA 7% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 26% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met
	Math 5% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 51% Standard Not Met
	Hispanic ELA 9% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 36% Standard Not Met
	Math 6% Standard Exceeded 17% Standard Met 32 % Standard Nearly Met 45% Standard Not met
	White ELA 18% Standard Exceeded 33% Standard Met 22% Standard Nearly Met 27 % Standard Not Met
	Math 14% Standard Exceeded 21% Standard Met 33% Standard Nearly Met 32% Standard Not Met
	Two or More Races ELA 15% Standard Exceeded

Page
39% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 17% Standard Not Met
Math 10% Standard Exceeded 22% Standard Met 39% Standard Nearly Met 29% Standard Not Met
Economically Disadvantaged ELA 8% Standard Exceeded 26% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 37% Standard Not Met
Math 6% Standard Exceeded 16% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 46% Standard Not Met
English Learners ELA 3% Standard Exceeded 10% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 59% Standard Not Met
Math 2% Standard Exceeded 9% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 66% Standard Exceeded
Students with Disabilities ELA 1% Standard Exceeded 6% Standard Met 15% Standard Nearly Met 77% Standard Not Met
Math

Page 84 of 140
1% Standard Exceeded 4% Standard Met 16% Standard Nearly Met 79% Standard Not Met
Migrant ELA 5% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met
Math 8% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 30% Standard Nearly Met 48% Standard Not Met
Note: Although Foster Youth is a subgroup for HESD, test results for these students are not available as group from the CDE. CAASPP statistics for Foster Youth are estimated locally. Foster Youth ELA 4% Standard Exceeded 30% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 38% Standard Not Met
Math 4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met
Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.
The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time.

				Page 85 of 140
			2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of stude 2015-2016 was 53.9% Academic Performance Board of Education has Index (API); therefore, t used to assess the LCA The percentage of pupil courses that satisfy the University of California a career technical educati (Note: This metric does high schools) (State Pric AP Exam (Note: This m applies only to high sch	s who have successfully completed requirements for entrance to the and the California State University, or ion sequences or programs of study not apply to HESD as it applies only to ority 4) etric does not apply to HESD as it ools.) (State Priority 4) does not apply to HESD as it applies
		ar: 2015-2016		
Planned Actic		a. 2010-2010	Actual Actions/Services	
	Budgeted Expenditures			Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
3.1 District-wide coordination of EL identification and services is ongoing and schools receive technical assistance in identifying EL students who are not making sufficient progress	50% Director of Curriculum LCFF/SC \$74,238			50% Director of Curriculum: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$78,588

identification and services is ongoing	Action 3.1 supported Expected	LCFF/SC \$78,588
and schools receive technical	Outcome 3.1, 3.3 and SP 4.	
assistance in identifying EL students		
who are not making sufficient progress	The Director of Curriculum provided	
for intervention.	district-wide coordination of EL	
	identification and services and provided	
	schools with technical assistance in	
	identifying EL students who were not	
	making sufficient progress for	
	intervention.	

-			Page 86 of 140
		The district met the expected outcome for students gaining English proficiency (3.1) was met. The expected outcome for EL reclassification (3.3) was met.	
Scope of Service Districtwide All		Scope of Service Districtwide All	
3.2 Monitoring of student English language development instruction will continue for the purpose of improving the instructional program, aligning instruction with the 2014 California English Language Development Standards, and identifying professional development needs.	EL Instructional Aides (Jr High) LCFF/SC \$35,374 Teacher Overcontract for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction Title III \$84,076 Books, Supplies, Materials, Printing for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction Title III \$12,477	 Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures under 3.2 for teacher overcontract are a result of actual demand for after-hours professional development. The difference between budget and estimated actual expenditures under 3.2 for After Hours) English Language Development Instruction are a result of actual demand/participation for after- hours instruction. Evaluation 3.2 Action 3.2 supported Expected Outcome 3.1, 3.3 and SP 4. Instructional aides provided direct support to students EL at the junior high schools using a push-in model. 	EL Instructional Aides: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$36,408 Teacher Overcontract for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title III \$56,000 Books, Supplies, Materials, Printing for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction: Books And Supplies Title III \$8,000

		Page 87 of 140
	Teachers received supplemental, voluntary professional development after-hours funded with Title III. A professional development transcript is kept on each teacher in the district. Teachers attending Title III PD have this PD recorded in their transcripts.EL students identified as needing intervention received after-hours instruction. Pre and post test data show students receiving interventions made gains in their English language development levels.Instructional materials and supplies were purchased for the implementation of after hours ELD instruction.The expected outcome for English proficiency (3.1) was met.	
Scope of Service Kennedy, Wilson, Roosevelt, Lincoln, Lee Richmond, Martin Luther King _ All OR: _ Low Income pupils X English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Scope of Service Schoolwide: Kennedy, Wilson, Roosevelt, Lincoln, Lee Richmond, Martin Luther King	
	2016-2017 and beyond, to be more concise and for better alignment with the State Priorities, goal bined into a single goal (Goal #2) that addresses student achievement.	s 2,3, and 4 will be

made as a result of reviewing	
past progress and/or changes to	For 2016-2017 and beyond, expected outcome:
goals?	3.1 moves to 2.2 and is revised to read:2.2 The percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English language proficiency will remain at or above 23.%. The percentage of English learners in
	language instruction educational programs 5 or more years attaining English language proficiency will be 36.2% or greater. (SP4)
	3.2 moves to 1.3 and is revised to read: ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards and the Common Core Standards as evidenced by school-site based reviews that include classroom observations (SP 2)
	3.3 moves to 2.4 and is revised to read: The EL reclassification rate will be 8.2% or greater. (SP4)
	For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 3.1 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action are moved to A2.5.
	Action 3.2 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action are moved to A2.5 Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$7,062
	due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases.
	Teacher Overcontract for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction (Title III) will not be included in the LCAP going forward.
	Books, Supplies, Materials, Printing for Supplemental (After Hours) English Language Development Instruction (Title III) will not be included in the LCAP going forward.
	For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows: All Students
	Gender
	African American Asian
	Filipino
	Hispanic White
	Two or More Races
	English Learners
	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities
	Foster Youth Homeless
	Migrant

Original Students' progress will be measured and shared with students, parents, teachers, and administrators. Related State and/or Local Priorities: GOAL 4 1_2_3_4<u>X</u>5_6_7_8_ from prior COE only: 9 10 year LCAP: Local : Specify Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil All Students Subgroups: African American Hispanic White Two or More Races Low Income Students (Socioeconomically Disadvantaged) English Learners Students with Disabilities Migrant Students Foster Youth 4.1 Expected 4.1 Students will make progress in mastering the required Actual Common Core Standards in ELA and math. Progress will be Annual Annual All participation rates for state mandated testing were met in measured by district review of performance on district Measurable Measurable 2014-2015. assessments and on CAASPP interim and summative See 1.2 below for CAASPP scores. Outcomes: Outcomes: assessments. The district will meet the state mandated participation rates for the CAASPP. (State Priority 4 Pupil Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine Achievement: This metric is expanded upon under Goals #1 and whether these assessments provide sufficient information to #5) determine whether students are making progress in mastering The percentage of EL students gaining one level on the CELDT the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. will be 40%. (State Priority 4 EL's Progress Learning English: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #2) The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making The district's reclassification rate will be at least 8%. (State progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in Priority 4 EL Reclassification Rate: This metric is expanded upon ELA and math at this time. under Goal #3) Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance 1.2

Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the 14-15 LCAP.

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)

AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

Students made progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math:

Note that 2014-2015 test scores are a baseline. Participation rate above 95%.

CAASPP Summative 2014-2015 All Students ELA 11% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 34% Standard Not Met

Math

8% Standard Exceeded 18% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 42% Standard Not Met

African American ELA 7% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 26% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met

Math 5% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 51% Standard Not Met

Hispanic ELA 9% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 36% Standard Not Met

Math 6% Standard Exceeded 17% Standard Met

	32 % Standard Nearly Met 45% Standard Not met
	White ELA 18% Standard Exceeded 33% Standard Met 22% Standard Nearly Met 27 % Standard Not Met
	Math 14% Standard Exceeded 21% Standard Met 33% Standard Nearly Met 32% Standard Not Met
	Two or More Races ELA 15% Standard Exceeded 39% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 17% Standard Not Met
	Math 10% Standard Exceeded 22% Standard Met 39% Standard Nearly Met 29% Standard Not Met
	Economically Disadvantaged ELA 8% Standard Exceeded 26% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 37% Standard Not Met
	Math 6% Standard Exceeded 16% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 46% Standard Not Met
	English Learners ELA

Page 92 of 140
3% Standard Exceeded 10% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 59% Standard Not Met
Math 2% Standard Exceeded 9% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 66% Standard Exceeded
Students with Disabilities ELA 1% Standard Exceeded 6% Standard Met 15% Standard Nearly Met 77% Standard Not Met
Math 1% Standard Exceeded 4% Standard Met 16% Standard Nearly Met 79% Standard Not Met
Migrant ELA 5% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met
Math 8% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 30% Standard Nearly Met 48% Standard Not Met
Note: Although Foster Youth is a subgroup for HESD, test results for these students are not available as group from the CDE. CAASPP statistics for Foster Youth are estimated locally. Foster Youth ELA 4% Standard Exceeded 30% Standard Met

27% Standard Nearly Met 38% Standard Not Met Math 4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Nearly Met 28% Standard Not Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP Interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6%. The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 Le reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has supended the Academic Performance lax (AP); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the ICAP.	Page 93 of 140
Math 4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Net 23% Standard Not Met 58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	38% Standard Not Met
15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether students are making progress in mastering there required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2014-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	Math
23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance load of API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance load API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance load API will not be load of Education has suspended the Academic Performance load API will not be load API will n	4% Standard Exceeded
58% Standard Not Met Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	15% Standard Met
Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	23% Standard Nearly Met
Image: state of the state	58% Standard Not Met
Image: state of the state	Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and
whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	determine whether students are making progress in mastering
 will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be 	the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.
 will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be 	The current suite of district assessments are in development and
ELA and math at this time. 2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
2.1 The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in
The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	ELA and math at this time.
The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
2015-2016 was 53.9% 3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4% Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4%
Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	Academic Derformance Index (Nets that the California State
Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be	
	used to assess the LCAP.
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed	The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed
courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the	
University of California and the California State University, or	
career technical education sequences or programs of study	
(Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to	
high schools) (State Priority 4)	

		applies only to high scho	does not apply to HESD as it applies
	LCAP Yea	ar: 2015-2016	
Planned A	ctions/Services	Actual Actio	ns/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
4.1 The district will continue to develop, refine, and implement benchmark and formative assessments for all grades aligned to the Common Core Standards Information gained from these assessments will be used to plan instruction and intervention.	Supplies, Materials, Printing District Assessments Base \$52,200	Evaluation 4.1 Action 4.1 supports Expected Outcome 4.1 and SP 4. District wide assessments were printed. The expected outcome for assessment (4.1) is undetermined. Further development and analysis of district assessments is needed.	Supplies, Materials, Printing District Assessments: Transfers Of Direct Costs Base \$50,000
Scope of Service Districtwide X All All OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
4.2 District and school site administrators receive support and technical assistance as they monitor student achievement data, school discipline data, and feedback from	50% Director of Categorical Programs LCFF/SC \$75,380	Evaluation 4.2 Action 4.1 supports Expected Outcome 4.1 and SP 4.	50% Director of Categorical Programs: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$79,708

teachers and to plan professional development.		The Director of Categorical Programs supported and provided technical assistance to school sites as they monitored achievement data, school discipline data Director supported the monitoring of feedback from teachers and planning professional development. Preliminary analysis of state summative assessments indicates are students making progress toward mastering standards (Expected Outcome 4.1, SP	Page 95 of 14
Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Constant of the service OR:		4) Scope of Service Districtwide X All X OR:	
4.3 The district's data systems will be maintained, enhanced, and upgraded as needed.	School City Assessment Data Analysis System Title I \$33,000	Evaluation 4.3 Action 433 supports Expected Outcome 4.1 and SP 4. The district's data system for analyzing student achievement data was maintained. Preliminary analysis of state summative assessments indicates are students making progress toward mastering standards (Expected Outcome 4.1, SP 4)	School City Assessment Data Analysis System: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures Title I \$33,434

Page 96 of 140

			Fage 90 01 140
Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the service OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
4.4 Teachers and administrators are provided with ongoing training and/or technical assistance in use of the data systems and analysis of data.	\$0	Evaluation 4.4 Action 4.4 supports Expected Outcome 4.1 and SP 4. Teachers and administrators were provided with training/technical assistance in use of the data systems and analysis of data. Preliminary analysis of state summative assessments indicates are students making progress toward mastering standards (Expected Outcome 4.1, SP 4)	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0
Scope of Service Districtwide X All X OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ _ English Learners _ _ Foster Youth _ _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
4.5 Data from the district assessments along with data from the CAASPP will be used to analyze the effectiveness	\$0	Evaluation 4.5 Action 4.5 supports Expected Outcome 4.1 and SP 4.	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0

	Page 97 of 140	
of and make adjustments to the district's instructional programs.	Data from the district assessments/CAASPP were analyzed. Programmatic adjustments were made. Preliminary analysis of state summative assessments indicates are students making progress toward mastering standards (Expected Outcome 4.1, SP 4)	
Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils English Learners _ Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify) Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	For 2016-2017 and beyond, to be more concise and for better alignment with the State Priorities, goals 2,3, and 4 will be combined into a single goal (Goal #2) that addresses student achievement. For 2016-2017 and beyond expected outcome: 4.1 is eliminated as it is a repetition of 2.1 For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 4.1 is eliminated. Supplies, Materials, Printing District Assessments (Base) will not be included in the LCAP going forward. Action 4.2 moves to A2.7 and is revised to more closely align with Expected Outcomes under Goal 2. Expenditures under this action move to A2.7. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$4,229 (Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability) due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Action 4.3 is eliminated. School City Assessment Data Analysis System (Title I) will not be included in the LCAP going forward. Actions 4.4 and 4.5 are eliminated. There are no expenditures under these actions. The improvement of standards aligned instructional materials will be added to action A4.1	

Analysis of programs and convises that the district provided to pupils in the 2015 2010 school year shows that come of the
Analysis of programs and services that the district provided to pupils in the 2015-2016 school year shows that some of the actions in the LCAP marked for "All" pupils were actually delivered to, and should have been identified as being targeted to low income pupils and English learners. These services will be appropriately identified in the LCAP in 2016-2017 and beyond. For 16-17 and beyond, the Scope checkbox for A4.2 will be set to Low Income and Foster Youth. The checkbox for A4.3 will be set to Low Income Pupils.
For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows: All Students
Gender African American
Asian
Filipino
Hispanic
White Two or More Races
Two of More Races
English Learners
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities
Foster Youth Homeless
Migrant

Original GOAL 5 from prior year LCAP:	5 ior			Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \times 2 \times 3 - 4 \times 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 -$ COE only: 9 - 10 - Local : Specify	
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil	All Students			
	Subgroups:	African American Hispanic White Two or More Races Low Income Students (Socioecond English Learners Students with Disabilities Migrant Students Foster Youth	omically Disad	vantaged)	
Expected Annual Measurable Outcomes:5.1 and 5.2 All instruction in core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards as evidenced by school-site based reviews that include classroom observations. (State Priority 2: Implementation of Common Core Standards: This metric is also expanded upon under Goal #1)5.3 Students make progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by district review of performance on district assessments and on CAASPP interim and summative assessments. The district will meet the state mandated participation rates for the CAASPP. (State Priority 4 Pupil Achievement)5.4 The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (State Priority 1: Credentialed Teacher and Teacher Assignments)The district has State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 5.1 Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards: District and school-site level administration conducted schoolsite/classroom observations on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/15 (14-15 school year) and on 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15-16 school year) as part of the district's Instructional Cabinet. During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards. 5.2 Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards: District and school-site level administration conducted school- 		

Page 100 of 140

as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (State Priority 1 Standards Aligned Materials: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #1)

All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (State Priority 1 School Facilities: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #6)

ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards as evidenced by schoolsite based reviews that include classroom observations. State Priority 2 EL's Access to the Common Core Standards and English Language Development (ELD) Standards: This metric is expanded upon under Goals #2 and #3)

The percentage of EL students gaining one level on the CELDT will be 40%. (State Priority 4 EL's Progress Learning English: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #2)

The district's reclassification rate will be at least 8%. (State Priority 4 EL Reclassification Rate: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #3)

Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the 14-15 LCAP. (State Priority 4)

The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)

AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/15 (14-15 school year) and on 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15-16 school year) as part of the district's Instructional Cabinet. During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core Standards.

5.3

Students made progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math:

Note that 2014-2015 test scores are a baseline. Participation rate above 95%.

CAASPP Summative 2014-2015 All Students ELA 11% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 34% Standard Not Met

Math

8% Standard Exceeded 18% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 42% Standard Not Met

African American ELA 7% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 26% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met

Math 5% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 51% Standard Not Met

Hispanic ELA

9% Standard Exceeded 27% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 36% Standard Not Met Math 6% Standard Exceeded 17% Standard Met 32 % Standard Nearly Met 45% Standard Not met White ELA 18% Standard Exceeded 33% Standard Met 22% Standard Nearly Met 27 % Standard Not Met Math 14% Standard Exceeded 21% Standard Met 33% Standard Nearly Met 32% Standard Not Met Two or More Races ELA 15% Standard Exceeded 39% Standard Met 29% Standard Nearly Met 17% Standard Not Met Math 10% Standard Exceeded 22% Standard Met 39% Standard Nearly Met 29% Standard Not Met Economically Disadvantaged ELA 8% Standard Exceeded 26% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 37% Standard Not Met

Page
Math 6% Standard Exceeded 16% Standard Met 32% Standard Nearly Met 46% Standard Not Met
English Learners ELA 3% Standard Exceeded 10% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 59% Standard Not Met
Math 2% Standard Exceeded 9% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 66% Standard Exceeded
Students with Disabilities ELA 1% Standard Exceeded 6% Standard Met 15% Standard Nearly Met 77% Standard Not Met
Math 1% Standard Exceeded 4% Standard Met 16% Standard Nearly Met 79% Standard Not Met
Migrant ELA 5% Standard Exceeded 28% Standard Met 28% Standard Nearly Met 40% Standard Not Met
Math 8% Standard Exceeded 14% Standard Met 30% Standard Nearly Met 48% Standard Not Met

Note: Although Foster Youth is a subgroup for HESD, test results for these students are not available as group from the CDE. CAASPP statistics for Foster Youth are estimated locally. Foster Youth ELA 4% Standard Exceeded 30% Standard Met 27% Standard Nearly Met 38% Standard Not Met
Math 4% Standard Exceeded 15% Standard Met 23% Standard Nearly Met 58% Standard Not Met
Data from the CAASPP interim assessments are preliminary and further review by the district will be needed in order to determine whether these assessments provide sufficient information to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math.
The current suite of district assessments are in development and will not be used to determine whether students are making progress in mastering the required Common Core Standards in ELA and math at this time.
5.4 All teachers were fully credentialed and NCLB Highly Qualified in 2014-2015 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.5.
In 2015-2016, 254 teachers are credentialed. Due to a teacher shortage, 4 teachers are working under short-term staff permits with professional development plans in place in order to help them obtain their credential.
All teachers were correctly assigned in 2014-2015 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.4. All teachers were correctly assigned in 2015-2016 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.4.

Page 104 of 140
1.5 The district has State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution:
The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution #2-15, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 24, 2014 resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.
The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution # 2-16, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 23, 2015 resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.
6.5 Facilities are maintained:
The district received a score of "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) for 2015-2016.
2.2 ELD instruction and materials are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards:
District and school-site level administration conducted school- site/classroom observations on 9/25/14, 10/23/14, 11/20/14, 1/29/15, 2/26/15, and 4/23/15 (14-15 school year) and on 9/24/15, 10/29/15, 11/19/15, 1/28/16, 2/25/16, and 3/17/16 (15- 16 school year) as part of the district's Instructional Cabinet. During these visits, evidence of Common Core implementation and implementation of the ELD standards was collected and reviewed using the HESD Instructional Cabinet Site Focus Area Tool. Instruction in all core subject areas is aligned to the Common Core ELD Standards. 2.1

Page 105 of 140
The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2014-2015 was 55.6% The percentage of students gaining one level on the CELDT in 2015-2016 was 53.9%
3.3 The 2014-2015 EL reclassification rate was 11.3%. The 2015-2016 EL reclassification rate is estimated at 13.4%
The district's reclassification rate will be at least 8%. (State Priority 4 EL Reclassification Rate: This metric is expanded upon under Goal #3)
Academic Performance Index (Note that the California State Board of Education has suspended the Academic Performance Index (API); therefore, the identified metric for API will not be used to assess the LCAP. (State Priority 4)
The percentage of pupils who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools) (State Priority 4)
AP Exam (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)
EAP (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 4)

Page 106 of 140

LCAP Year: 2015-2016				
Planned Actions/Services		Actual Actions/Services		
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures	
5.1 All teachers will receive ongoing training in the implementation of the Common Core Standards, including training in supporting low income students, English learners, and foster youth in meeting the standards.	33% of Learning Directors LCFF/SC \$361,577 50% Admin Secretary II to Support	result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. Evaluation 5.1 Action 5.1 supported Expected	33% of Learning Directors: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$421,026	
	Professional Development Activities LCFF/SC \$39,999		50% Admin Secretary II to Support Professional Development Activities: Classified Personnel Salaries	
	Admin Supplies Materials LCFF/SC \$41,297		LCFF/SC \$42,322	
	2 Teacher PD Days LCFF/SC \$200,000		Admin Supplies Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$42,297	
		Learning directors provided teaching staff with professional development in the delivery of instruction aligned with the state adopted standards.	2 Teacher PD Days: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$203,205	
		The admin secretary provided clerical support in the logistics and documentation of professional development.		
		Supplies and materials were purchased that supported professional development activities.		
		Teaching staff participated in two full- day professional development sessions in the development and delivery of state adopted standards aligned instruction. (8/10/2015 & 10/23//2015)		
		The expected outcome for the alignment of instruction to the state adopted standards (5.1) was met.		
Scope of Districtwide Service		Scope of Districtwide Service		

Page 107 of 140

			Fage 107 01 140
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
5.2 Continued training in implementation of the Common Core Standards will be tailored to the individual needs of teachers using a coaching model.	Two Curriculum Specialists LCFF/SC \$258,988 5 Instructional Coaches Title I \$580,393	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures under 5.2 are a result of differing staffing needs to implement this action (See evaluation below.) Evaluation 5.2 Action 5.2 supports Expected Outcome 5.2 and SP 2. Note that two curriculum specialists were planned to support this action. A curriculum director was hired as this was the most qualified person available. This action will be supported with one curriculum specialist, whose focus will be English language arts, and one curriculum director, whose focus will be mathematics. The math curriculum director developed and delivered professional development in the delivery of instruction aligned with the state adopted standards (focus mathematics). The ELA curriculum specialist developed and delivered professional development in the delivery of instruction aligned with the state adopted standards (focus ELA). Four instructional coaches provided professional development and in-class	One Curriculum Specialists and one Curriculum Director: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$288,078 4 Instructional Coaches: Certificated Personnel Salaries Title I \$426,639

			Page 108 of 140
		coaching in the development and delivery of state adopted standards aligned instruction. (The fifth instructional was not hired due to a shortage of teachers.) The expected outcome for the alignment of instruction to the state adopted standards (5.1) was met.	
Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the service OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ _ English Learners _ _ Foster Youth _ _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
5.3 Ongoing training in meeting the unique and diverse needs of low income students and foster youth with an emphasis on research-based best practice will be provided.	Summer Professional Development LCFF/SC \$62,000	Evaluation 5.3 LCFF will not be used for summer professional development in 2015- 2016. The district received sufficient funding through the Educator Effectiveness Grant to deliver summer PD in 15-16. The district made expenditures of \$82,000 from Educator Effectiveness (res 6264) in summer 15- 16.	Summer Professional Development: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$0
Scope of Districtwide Service		Scope of Districtwide Service	

Page 109 of 140

All All OR: All X Low Income pupils Briglish Learners English Learners X Low Income pupils English Learners English Learners X Foster Youth English Learners Redesignated fluent English Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)				Fage 109 01 14
_ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u> <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English</u>		OR: <u>X</u> Low Income pupils <u>English Learners</u> <u>X</u> Foster Youth <u>Redesignated fluent English proficient</u>	
staff. This includes the operation of a new teacher induction program that provides teaching staff in their first two years of service with support andInduction Coaches to Support New Teachers (2) Title II \$200,120result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule.Induction Coaches to Support New Teachers (2): Certificated Personne Salaries Title II \$218,409	activities will focus on maintenance of a qualified and effective instructional staff. This includes the operation of a new teacher induction program that provides teaching staff in their first two years of service with support and professional development leading to a	Induction Activities LCFF/SC \$66,300 Induction Coaches to Support New Teachers (2) Title II \$200,120 Peer Assistance and Review Activities (Supplies, Materials)	 The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are a result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. No teachers were in the PAR program in 15-16. Evaluation 5.4 Action 5.4 supported Expected outcome 5.4 and SP 1. The Admin. secretary provided clerical and documentation support for the district's new teacher induction program. Induction coaches provided direct support and in-class coaching to new teachers in the induction program. In 15-16 twenty-eight teachers received induction support. Eighteen teachers will receive clear credential recommendations in 15-16. (Induction is a two-year program. Remaining teachers will be recommended for 	Induction Activities: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$64,629 Induction Coaches to Support New Teachers (2): Certificated Personnel Salaries Title II \$218,409 Peer Assistance and Review Activities (Supplies, Materials): Books And

Page 110 of 140

Scope of Districtwide Service		Scope of Districtwide Service	
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	With the consolidation of goals, Goal #5 will For 2016-2017 and beyond, expected outco 5.1 is eliminated as it is a repetition of 1.1. 5.2 is eliminated as it is a repetition of 2.1. 5.4 is moved to 3.1 with no revisions. For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 5.1 is eliminated. Expenditures under increased by \$24,733 due to placement on will increase by \$3,703. Expenditure for 16- retirement cost increases, and the addition Action 5.2 is eliminated. Expenditures under to placement on salary schedule and retirer Instructional Coaches (Title I) will not be increased Action 5.4 is eliminated. Expenditures under Effectiveness Grant, therefore not included Action 5.4 is eliminated. Expenditures under With LCFF/SC in 16-17 and beyond. Induction PAR will not be included in the LCAP going Induction Coaches to Support New Teacher For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as for All Students Gender	er this action move to A3.1. Expenditure for salary schedule and retirement cost increa- -17 (PD Days) to be increased by \$156,79 of a third PD day. er this action move to A2.4. Expenditure for ment cost increases. cluded in the LCAP going forward. er this action (summer professional develo- in the 16-17 LCAP. er this action move to A3.1. Induction adm ion supplies and materials will be included of forward. ers (2) (Title II) will not be included in the L	bases. Expenditure for 16-17 (supplies) b5 due to placement on salary schedule, for 16-17 to be increased by \$13,106 due opment) will be paid from The Educator hin. Secretary position will be fully funded h in A3.1 for 16-17 and beyond.

Page 111 of 140

African American Asian Filipino Hispanic White Two or More Races English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless Migrant	
---	--

Original GOAL 6 from prior year LCAP:	ents will attend a safe, well ma	aintained school.			Related State and/or Local Priorities: $1 \times 2 _ 3 _ 4 _ 5 \times 6 \times 7 _ 8 _$ COE only: 9 _ 10 _ Local : Specify
Goal Applies to:	Schools: All Schools				
	Applicable Pupil Subgroups:	All Students African American Hispanic White Two or More Races Low Income Students (Socioecond English Learners Students with Disabilities Migrant Students Foster Youth	omically Disad	vantaged)	
Annual exp Measurable Outcomes: 6.2 dis 5:3 6.3 (St 6.4 by oth 6.5 rec	expulsion rate will be below 0.7%. (State Priority 6: Pupil An Suspension Rates, Pupil Expulsion Rates) Measurement		Actual Annual Measurable Outcomes:	 is 2%. As a result, it is antic suspension rate, for all stud 8%. The district's 2014-2015 exp As of January 2016, the dist 0.05%. As a result, it is ant expulsion rate, for all studer 0.7%. 6.2 The district's 2014-2015 attraction of January 2016 the district As of January 2016 the dist As a result, it is anticipated 	district's estimated suspension rate cipated that the district's 2015-2016 lents and all subgroups, will be below pulsion rate was 0.3%. trict's estimated expulsion rate is icipated that the district's 2015-2016 nts and all subgroups, will be below

The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (State Priority 1 Teacher Assignments, Credentials: This metric is expanded upon under Goals #1 and #5)

The district will have State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution. (State Priority 1 Standards Aligned Materials: This metric is expanded upon under Goals #1 and #5)

High School Dropout Rate: (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 5)

High School Graduation Rate: (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 5)

The district's 2014-2015 estimated chronic absenteeism rate was 7.5%

As of April 2016 the district's estimated chronic absenteeism rate was 7.4%. As a result, it is anticipated that the district's 2015-2016 chronic absenteeism rate, for all students and all subgroups, will be above 7%.

6.3

The district's 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 middle school dropout rate was zero.

It is anticipated that the 2015-2016 dropout rate, for all students and subgroups, will be below 1%.

6.4

Students, parents and staff feel safe at school:

91% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "My child is safe at school" on the 2014-2015 HESD Parent Survey.

90.5% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "My child is safe at school" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey.

97% of certificated staff either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "My students are safe at school" on the 2015-2016 HESD Staff Survey.

Observations and other measurements were unavailable to measure Expected Outcome 6.4

79% of elementary students responded that they feel safe at school all or most of the time on the 15-16 California Healthy Kids Survey.

80% of students at the junior high schools responded that they feel safe at school all or most of the time on the 15-16 California Healthy Kids Survey.

6.5

Facilities are maintained and in good repair: The district received a score of "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) for 2015-2016.

5.4

All teachers were fully credentialed and NCLB Highly Qualified in 2014-2015 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.5.

In 2015-2016, 254 teachers are credentialed. Due to a teacher shortage, 4 teachers are working under short-term staff permits with professional development plans in place in order to help them obtain their credential.

All teachers were correctly assigned in 2014-2015 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.4.

All teachers were correctly assigned in 2015-2016 as evidenced by CALPADS 3.4.

1.5

The district has State Board of Education approved, Common Core Standards Aligned instructional materials in all classrooms as evidenced by the annual HESD Board of Trustees Sufficiency of Instructional Materials resolution:

The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution #2-15, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 24, 2014 resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.

The HESD Board of Trustees adopted Resolution # 2-16, Sufficiency of Instructional Materials, on September 23, 2015 resolving that the Hanford Elementary School District has provided each pupil with sufficient textbooks and instructional materials aligned to the academic content standards and consistent with the cycles and content of the curriculum frameworks.

High School Dropout Rate: (Note: This metric does not apply to HESD as it applies only to high schools.) (State Priority 5)

			Page 115 of 140
			Rate: (Note: This metric does not apply aly to high schools.) (State Priority 5)
	LCAP Ye	ar: 2015-2016	
Planned Act	ions/Services	Actual Actio	ns/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
6.1 The district will continue to increase the availability and expand student support services and	33 % of Learning Director Salary & Ben LCFF/SC \$361,577 2 School Resource Officers	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and	33 % of Learning Director Salary & Ben: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$421,026
behavioral interventions at school sites.	LCFF/SC \$184,000 8 Student Specialists LCFF/SC \$593,983	estimated actual expenditures are a result of salary increase and/or placement on salary schedule. Acceleration of expenditures for health care assistants due to an increase in funding.	2 School Resource Officers: Professional/Consulting Services And Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$184,000
	6 Health Care Assistants LCFF/SC \$255,639 2 Counselors (Jr. High) LCFF/SC		8 Student Specialists: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$627,496
	\$208,244 Two School Nurses LCFF/SC \$216,866	An increase in nursing services and the inclusion of all nursing staff in LCFF/SC	10 Health Care Assistants: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$384,982
	2 Counselors (Elem School) LCFF/SC \$197,546 READY Program Admin Staff	Inclusion of Junior High Vice Principals in LCFF/SC	2 Counselors (Jr. High): Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$219.802
	LCFF/SC \$56,442 READY Program Supplies, Materials LCFF/SC \$14,900	The inclusion of yard supervision in LCFF/SC	5 School Nurses: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$537,767
			2 Counselors (Elem School): Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$201,474
		Evaluation 6.1 Action 6.1 supports Expected Outcome 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 and SP 5, 6.	READY Program Admin Staff: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$57,892
		Learning Directors supported the most at-risk students providing direct	READY Program Supplies, Materials: Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$13,150
		services and coordinating the efforts of	

	Page 116 of 140
 the student specialist, nurses, health care assistants, and counselors. School Nurses provided health support to students. Health Care Assistants supported students by providing heath support under the direction of the school nurses. It is anticipated that the expected outcome for attendance rate (6.2) will be met. The expected outcome for chronic absenteeism (6.2) is not yet available. 	Vice Principals Junior High Schools Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$260,000 Yard Supervision: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$537,146
 Vice Principals Junior High provided social, behavior, and academic support to junior high students. Resource Officers supported students by providing an increased layer of safety and security at school sites. Student Specialists provided social, behavior, and attendance support at the elementary schools. Yard supervisors provided an increased layer of safety, security, and support for students before and after school, and during recess and lunch periods. Counselors (Elementary) provided social and behavioral support. Counselors (Jr. High) provided social and academic support. 	

		Page 117 of 140
	It is anticipated that the expected outcome for suspension and expulsion rate (6.1) will be met. It is anticipated that the expected outcome for attendance rate (6.2) will be met. It is anticipated that the middle school dropout rate (6.3) will be met. The expected outcome for school safety (6.4) was met.	
	Ready Program Admin Staff provided students with academic support and enrichment after school. Supplies and materials were purchased to support the after school program.	
Scope of Service Districtwide, Elementary Schools, Junior High X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Scope of Service Schoolwide: Hamilton, King, Lincoln, Monroe, Richmond, Roosevelt, Simas, Washington, CDS, JFK, Wilson X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
6.2 The district will participate in the School Attendance Review Board process.	Evaluation 6.2 Action 6.2 supports Expected Outcome 6.2 and SP 5. The SARB process was implemented and provided support for	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0

		Page 118 of 140
	students/families with attendance issues.	
	It is anticipated that the expected outcome for attendance rate (6.2) will be met. The expected outcome for chronic absenteeism (6.2) is not yet available.	
Scope of Districtwide Service	Scope of Districtwide Service	
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
6.3 The district will review and modify alternative education options for at- risk students as needed.	Fiscal: Inclusion of the Community Day School program and and Child Welfare and Support in LCFF/SC Evaluation 6.3 Action 6.3 supports Expected Outcome 6.1 and SP 6. Students with behavior issues were supported with an alternate educational setting, Community Day School. It is anticipated that the expected outcome for suspension and expulsion rate (6.1) will be met.	Community Day School Program: Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$570,408 Child Welfare and Support: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$239,498
Scope of Districtwide Service	Scope of Schoolwide: Community Service Day School	

			Fage 119 01 140
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
6.4 The district's committee, that includes law enforcement, will continue to meet and enhance school and district safety procedures.		Evaluation 6.4 Action 6.4 supports Expected Outcome 6.4 and SP 6. The district's safety committee continues to meet regularly and review safety policies and procedures. The expected outcome for school safety (6.4) was met.	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0
Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Constraint of the service OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the service OR:	
6.5 Facility maintenance and repair continue to be ongoing as identified through a long term deferred maintenance plan and needs identified through the Facilities Inspection Tool.	Deferred Maintenance LCFF/SC \$200,000 Custodian LCFF/SC \$56,202	Fiscal: The difference between budgeted and estimated actual expenditures are the result of increased deferred maintenance needs. The addition of custodial staff. 2 custodians served the junior high schools.	Deferred Maintenance: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$300,000 2 Custodian: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$119,015 District Service Facility: Other Outgo LCFF/SC \$75,000

			Page 120 of 140
		Evaluation 6.5 Maintenance and repairs continue. The district received a score of "Exemplary" on the FIT.	
Scope of Service Districtwide X All X OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the system of the s	
Other Subgroups: (Specify) 6.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and junior high students who live one mile or more from school will be provided with transportation to and from school.	Transportation LCFF/SC \$500,000	Analysis 6.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and junior high students who live one mile or more from school are provided with transportation to and from school. As of January 2016 the district's attendance rate was 97.3%. As a result, it is anticipated that the district's 2015- 2016 attendance rate, for all students and all subgroups, will be at least 90%.	Transportation: Services And Other Operating Expenditures LCFF/SC \$500,000
Scope of Districtwide Service		As of January 2016 the district's estimated chronic absenteeism rate was 3%. As a result, it is anticipated that the district's 2015-2016 chronic absenteeism rate, for all students and all subgroups, will be below 7%.	
<u>X</u> All OR:		<u>X</u> All OR:	

	Page 121 of 140
Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)	Low Income pupils English Learners Foster Youth Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	 With the consolidation of goals, Goal #6 will be renamed as Goal #4 and will read: Students will attend a safe, well maintained school and will have access to standards aligned materials. For 2016-2017 and beyond, expected outcome: 6.1 is moved to 4.2 with no revisions. 6.2 is moved to 4.3 and will be revised to: The district's school attendance rate will be at least 90%. The District's chronic absenteeism rate will be below 10%. (SP5) 6.3 is moved to 4.4 with no revisions. 6.4 is moved to 4.4 with no revisions. 6.4 is moved to 4.5 and revised to read: Students, parents and staff feel safe at school as evidenced by district reviews that may include surveys. (SP 6 Other Local Measures) 6.5 is moved to 4.6 with no revisions. For 2016-2017 and beyond: Action 6.1 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move as follows: 33% Learning Directors moves to A4.2, and will be targeted to low income and foster youth. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$24,734 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. 2 School Resource Officers moves to A4.4. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$11,040 due to cost increases. 3 Scheaming Directors moves to A4.4. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$11,897 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. 10 Health Care Assistants moves to A4.3 and will be targeted to low income. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$68,346 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Note 4 health care assistants worked a partial year in 15-16 and will work a full year in 16-17. 2 Counselors (Jr. High) moves to A4.3 and will be targeted to low income. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$7,171 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. 3 Counselors (Jr. High) moves to A4.3 and will be targeted to low income. Expenditure for 16-

Page 122 01 140
Vice Principals Jr. High Schools are added and move to A4.4. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$8,853 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Yard Supervision moves to A4.4. Costs increase in 16-17 and beyond due to fluctuations in the numbers of yard supervisors required.
Child Welfare and Support moves to A4.4. Expenditure for 16-17 to be increased by \$15,551 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. Child Welfare and Support supplies will be included in LCFF/SC for 16-17 and beyond.
Action 6.3 moves to A4.5 and is revised to align more closely with Expected outcome 4.5. Community Day School moves to A4.5. Expenditures (rather than being combined as in 15-16) will be itemized in 16-17 as follows: Administrator & 3 Teachers Certificated Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$422,812 Classified (Instructional Aides & Clerical) Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$158,035 Supplies Materials Books And Supplies LCFF/SC \$7,000
Actions 6.2, and 6.4 are eliminated. There were no expenditures under these actions.
Action 6.5 is eliminated. Expenditures under this action move to A4.7. Expenditure for 16-17 (custodians) to be increased by \$6,125 due to placement on salary schedule and retirement cost increases. District Service Facility was a one-time expenditure in 15-16 and will not be included in LCAP for 16-17.
Action 6.6 moves to A4.6. Expenditures under this action move to A4.6.
For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows: All Students Gender
African American Asian
Filipino Hispanic
White Two or More Races
English Learners Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students with Disabilities Foster Youth Homeless
Migrant

Communication between schools and home will be regular and meaningful. Original Related State and/or Local Priorities: GOAL 7 1_2_3<u>X</u>4_5_6_7_8_ from prior year COE only: 9 10 LCAP: Local : Specify Goal Applies to: Schools: All Schools Applicable Pupil All Students Subgroups: African American Hispanic White Two or More Races Low Income Students (Socioeconomically Disadvantaged) English Learners Students with Disabilities Migrant Students Foster Youth 7.1 Expected 7.1 Parents receive meaningful and timely communication on Actual their students' progress/achievement as evidenced by district Annual Annual Parents received meaningful and timely communication on their Measurable reviews of the numbers of parents attending conferences, the Measurable students' progress/achievement. numbers of parents accessing ParentVue, the numbers of parents Outcomes: Outcomes: receiving standards aligned report cards. (State Priority 3: Parent The district's parent/teacher conference attendance rate was Participation) 98%. 7.2 Parents participate in a variety of educational and social All parents received standards aligned report cards. activities that are either district-led or school-site-based as 94% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the evidenced by district reviews of parent attendance at district and statement, "The Report to Parent helps me to understand what site activities. (State Priority 3: Parent Participation) my child is expected to achieve in English Language Arts and Math" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey. 7.3 Parents have input in programs and services, both districtwide and at the school-site level, based on district reviews of 1,113 parents logged on to ParentVue. parent attendance at school site council meetings. District English Learner Advisory Committee meetings, Parent Advisory 94% of parents either agree or strongly agree with the Committee meetings, and response to parent surveys. (State statement, "I receive information about my child's progress in the Priority 3: Parent Involvement and Input classroom" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey. 7.2

Parents participated in a variety of educational and social activities including, but not limited to: After School Pumpkin Contest Art/Game Night Back-to-school Night Band Performances Book Fairs **Canned Food Drives** District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC) English as a Second Language Classes for Parents Grade-level Academic Parent Workshops Literacy Night Math Night Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) Parent Training on Digital Resources (Raz Kids etc.) Parent Volunteer Recognition Ceremonies Parents as Partners Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) Parent Workshops **Read Across America** School Site Council Sporting Events **Student Project Presentations** Veterans Day Ceremonies

7.3

Parents provided input into the district's programs and services: Parents participated in School Site Councils, District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC), Parent Advisory Committee, the District LCAP Survey, and the Title I Parent Survey.

89% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "There are adequate opportunities for me to become involved in my child's school" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey.

92% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "I receive adequate information regarding parent meeting/activities such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, parent Workshops, Back to School Night, and Parent Education Presentations" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey.

			Page 126 of 140
	LCAP Y	ear: 2015-2016	
Planned Action	ons/Services	Actual Actio	ons/Services
	Budgeted Expenditures		Estimated Actual Annual Expenditures
7.1 The district provides dedicated days for parents to attend conferences with their students' teachers and will maintain the amount of information available to parents online.	\$0	 Analysis 7.1 Parent conference days for 2015-2016 were November 23 and 24. The district conducted 5,770 scheduled parent conferences on these two days. The district's parent/teacher conference attendance rate was 98%. (This number does not include conferences that parents may request with their child's teachers at any time during the school year.) 1,113 parents logged on to ParentVue. 94% of parents either agree or strongly agree with the statement, "I receive information about my child's progress in the classroom" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey. 	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0
Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the service OR:		Scope of Service Districtwide X All Image: Construct of the service OR:	
7.2 The district will expand parent communication activities and resources at each school site, will	Parent Liaison LCFF/SC \$68,132	Analysis 7.2 Parents participated in a variety of educational and social activities funded	Parent Liaison: Classified Personnel Salaries LCFF/SC \$71,825

	1		Page 127 of 140
expand parent involvement and education activities to include parent training and will continue to expand opportunities for parent volunteers.	Parent Education and Outreach Activities for Parents of EL Students Title III \$20,000 Parent Education and Outreach Activities Title I \$20,045	 with Title I and Title III federal funds. Parent activities funded from Title II are specifically for the parents of EL students. Activities included: Setting short and long term academic goals with their children Using tools to monitor student progress Tools to improve communication with school Accessing school information & resources Strategies to support extended learning at home Strategies for read alouds in Spanish Using ParentVue to monitor student progress Additionally, parents of EL students were provided supplies, books, the use of technology, and other supports. 	Parent Education and Outreach Activities for Parents of EL Students: Books And Supplies Title III \$18,000 Parent Education and Outreach Activities: Books And Supplies Title I \$25,000
Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils X X English Learners Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient Other Subgroups: (Specify)		Scope of Service Districtwide X All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ _ English Learners _ _ Foster Youth _ _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
7.3 Parents will again be engaged and involved in developing the priorities for the LCAP.		Analysis 7.3 Parents were engaged and involved in the development of the LCAP in 15-16. The district's Parent Advisory Committee (PAC) continued to meet regularly in the 2015-2016 school year. Each school site council elected a parent for the district PAC. The PAC	No Expenditures in 15-16 \$0

			Page 128 of 140
		met five times during the 15-16 school year.	
		The LCAP is a standing item on the District English Learner Advisory Committee (DELAC). At each DELAC meeting, members receive information and provide recommendations on the implementation of the LCAP. The DELAC met five times in the 15-16 school year.	
		92% of parents either agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, "I receive adequate information regarding parent meeting/activities such as School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, parent Workshops, Back to School Night, and Parent Education Presentations" on the 2015-2016 HESD Parent Survey.	
Scope of Districtwide Service		Scope of Districtwide Service	
<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)		<u>X</u> All OR: _ Low Income pupils _ English Learners _ Foster Youth _ Redesignated fluent English proficient _ Other Subgroups: (Specify)	
What changes in actions, services, and expenditures will be made as a result of reviewing past progress and/or changes to goals?	With the consolidation of goals, Goal #7 wil For 2016-2017 and beyond, expected outco 7.1 moves to 5.1 and is revised to align mo 7.2 is moved to 5.2 with and is revised to al 7.3 is moved to 5.3 with no revisions.	ome: re closely with State Priority 3.	
	For 2016-2017 and beyond:		

Action 7.1 is moved to 5.1 and revised to align more closely with Expected Outcome 5.1. There were no expenditures under this action.
Action 7.2 is moved to 5.2 and revised to align more closely with Expected Outcome 5.2. Scope checkbox is set to LI, EL. Expenditures under this action move to A5.2. (Parent Education Center will not be implemented in 2016-2017) Parent Education and Outreach Activities for Parents of EL Students (Title III) will not be included in the LCAP. Parent Education and Outreach Activities (Title I) will not be included in the LCAP going forward.
Action 7.3 is moved to A5.3 and revised to more closely align with Expected Outcome 5.3. There were no expenditures under this action.
For 16-17, subgroups will be updated as follows:
All Students
Gender
African American
Filipino
Hispanic White
Two or More Races
English Learners
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged
Students with Disabilities Foster Youth
Homeless
Migrant

Section 3: Use of Supplemental and Concentration Grant funds and Proportionality

A. In the box below, identify the amount of funds in the LCAP year calculated on the basis of the number and concentration of low income, foster youth, and English learner pupils as determined pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(5).

Describe how the LEA is expending these funds in the LCAP year. Include a description of, and justification for, the use of any funds in a districtwide, schoolwide, countywide, or charterwide manner as specified in 5 CCR 15496.

For school districts with below 55 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils in the district or below 40 percent of enrollment of unduplicated pupils at a schoolsite in the LCAP year, when using supplemental and concentration funds in a districtwide or schoolwide manner, the school district must additionally describe how the services provided are the most effective use of funds to meet the district's goals for unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. (See 5 CCR 15496(b) for guidance.)

Total amount of Supplemental and Concentration grant funds calculated: \$12,238,234

In the 2015-2016 school year, the Hanford Elementary School District had an enrollment of 81% unduplicated pupils. Moreover, the enrollment at each school site served by this LCAP was greater than 55% unduplicated pupils. Given these percentages of unduplicated pupils, districtwide is often the most effective way to ensure all unduplicated students receive these services.

Expected Outcome 1.2 Students receive instruction in art, music, and physical education, and participate in these subjects as evidenced by the numbers of students in art, music, and PE along with	Action A1.2 Art, music, and PE instruction is supported with staff, supplies, and materials.	Expenditure 2.0 FTE Art Teachers 4.5 FTE Music Teachers Music Program Supplies Equipment 4 FTE Elementary PE Teachers	Description and Justification This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing the addition of teachers specializing in art, music, and PE who will deliver the types of enrichment activities that low income and other unduplicated pupils may not have access to and that have been shown to increase achievement. A districtwide service is the most efficient way to reach unduplicated pupils. Art, music, and physical education teachers serve multiple schools on a rotation.
the number of minutes of PE students receive. (SP 7 & 8)		After School Athletic Enrichment and Youth Development staff and supplies READY Program Admin Staff Ready Program Supplies	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by adding additional minutes of after school academic support and enrichment activities that have been shown to improve school engagement and that low income and other unduplicated pupils may not have access to. A district wide service is the most effective way to provide these services. Personnel providing these services serve all schools.
2.1 Students make progress in mastering the required State adopted standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by performance on the CAASPP. (SP4)	A2.1 Classroom staffing levels will be maintained or increased to reduce or eliminate combination classes in grades K-6 and to lower class size in grades 4-8.	Teaching Staff to Reduce or Eliminate Combination Classes (4 Teachers) Teaching Staff to Reduce Class Sizes in 4-6 to 28.1 (3 Teachers)	The action is principally directed to unduplicated pupils by providing a reduction in class size (4-6) and in the numbers of combination classes. Reducing combination classes eliminates the need for teachers to prep for and teach two grades simultaneously, providing for classroom teachers to differentiate instruction for their unduplicated pupils. This service is delivered Schoolwide to Hamilton, King, Lincoln, Monroe, Richmond, Roosevelt and Simas and Washington schools, where needed, based on enrollment and staffing needs.

			Page 131 of 14
rate will be 8% or greater. specia (SP4) leade	A2.4 Curriculum specialists provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff.	One Curriculum Director (Math) and One Curriculum Specialist (ELA)	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing instructional leaders/staff developers who design and deliver training and in-class coaching to teaching staff ensuring that the delivery of instruction is effective and aligned to the standards. The director of curriculum focuses on mathematics instruction and the curriculum specialist focuses on English language arts and English language development. Research shows that low-income and other unduplicated pupils are placed in classrooms with less experienced teachers or with teachers who have a lower level of training more often than pupils from higher income families. These leaders ensure that unduplicated pupils, districtwide, are served with an effective, well-trained teacher.
	A2.7 Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability provides leadership, training, technical assistance, and support to district and school site administrators in the monitoring of student achievement data, school discipline data.	50% Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing district and school site leadership with training, technical assistance, and support in the monitoring of student achievement data and school climate data, and in the development and implementation of programs and activities to improve student achievement at their sites. Providing school sites with this leadership ensures that unduplicated are receiving the programs and services that best meet their needs. District and school leaders who are able to access and interpret data on student achievement and school climate are more able to assess and adapt their academic and engagement programs providing their pupils with a qualitatively better programs. Director of Program Development, Assessment, Accountability serves all schools districtwide.
3.1 The district has 100% fully credentialed, qualified teachers who are correctly assigned in core academic classes as evidenced by state (CALPADS) reporting. (SP1)	A3.1 Teacher qualifications are maintained and supported with leadership, professional development, clerical support, supplies and materials.	33% of 10 Learning Directors	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing teachers and classified staff at each school site with professional development, in-class coaching, and leadership to ensure that these pupils receive instruction that is effective, is aligned with the state standards, and is being delivered to all unduplicated pupils. Low income and other unduplicated pupils do not achieve at the same levels as pupils who are not in one of the unduplicated categories. Research shows that unduplicated pupils achieve at higher levels with a well-trained teacher. All schools, districtwide have a learning director, therefore this is a districtwide service.
		50% Admin Secretary (PD Els)	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by ensuring that all teacher professional development is documented, and that staff receive assistance with the logistics of attending professional development, reviewing and monitoring professional development budgets, and setting up district led professional development. Admin. secretary support ensures that all unduplicated pupils, districtwide, have a well-trained teacher.
		Admin Supplies Materials	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing supplies and materials, districtwide, to support district leaders serving the school sites.
		3 Teacher PD Days	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing all teaching staff, districtwide, with three full days of professional development that addresses the identified needs of teachers serving unduplicated pupils (Standards aligned instruction, English learners, and technology). This activity ensures that all unduplicated pupils, districtwide, have a well-trained teacher

Page 132 of 140

Admin Secretary II (Induction) and Supplies This action is principally directed to increase or improve services to our unduplicated pupils by supporting the Induction program for new teachers. New teachers districtwide are served with induction. Induction support ensures that all unduplicated pupils, districtwide, have a well-trained teacher.

4.1 The district has State	A4.1 The	Teacher Resource	Page 133 of This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by ensuring that these pupils have
approved, State adopted n standards Aligned ir instructional materials in all a classrooms as evidenced by let	implementation and maintenance of instructional materials are supported with leadership, staff, and materials.	Specialist	access to standards aligned instructional materials. The Teacher Resource Specialist supports the upgrading of instructional materials by inventorying and ordering instructional materials ensuring that unduplicated pupils have materials that they may not otherwise have access to. The Teacher Resource Center/Teacher Resource Specialist that provides teachers with a variety of supplies, materials, reproduction/copying services for use in their classrooms. The Teacher Resource Specialist serves teachers districtwide and supports the districtwide sufficiency of materials.
		Standards Aligned Instructional Materials	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by upgrading Instructional materials to provide teachers with additional/improved tools for integrated ELD instruction and for providing additional services to low income pupils who are academically at risk. Unduplicated pupils will receive improved instructional materials that are more up-to-date and more closely aligned to the new standards providing them with at qualitatively better education. Materials will be upgraded districtwide.
staff feel safe at school as evidenced by districtstaff provide direct services to student promote positive s climate, good	citizenship, and improve	8 Student Specialists 2 Vice Principals Junior High	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing staff who directly serve these pupils. Research shows that unduplicated pupils, including low income pupils have higher rates of absenteeism and behavior incidents. Student specialists provide low income and other unduplicated pupils at the elementary schools with increased behavior and attendance support. These staff work proactively, to support pupils with the goal of preventing behavior incidents and supporting good attendance. The services of vice principals are principally directed toward unduplicated pupils by providing these pupils with increased behavior and attendance support. All unduplicated pupils receive these services, districtwide.
		2 School Resource Officers	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing staff who directly serve these pupils. Resource officers provide an increased layer of safety and security at school sites. All unduplicated pupils receive these services, districtwide.
		Child Welfare and Support	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing staff who directly serve these pupils. Child Welfare and Support staff provide a dedicated homeless and foster youth liaison that ensures the needs of these pupils are met, that their placements and progress are monitored, that they have adequate resources, and they attend school each day. They provide school sites with support and technical assistance in monitoring all aspects of school climate, including attendance, suspensions, expulsions, and chronic absenteeism. They provide direct behavioral and attendance support to unduplicated pupils districtwide.
		Yard Supervision	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing staff who directly serve these pupils. Yard supervisor provide an additional layer of safety before and after school, at recess and lunch. Additionally, yard supervisors provide incentive for retaining qualified and effective teachers by providing certificated staff in HESD with duty-free recess and lunch periods. All unduplicated pupils receive these services, districtwide.

			Page 134 of
	A4.5 The District will provide alternative education options for at- risk students.	Community Day School Administrator & 3 Teachers Community Day School Classified Community Day School Supplies Materials	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing our most at-risk pupils with an alternative educational setting. Pupils with serious social/behavior needs are placed at CDS. Classes at CDS are small, usually 15 pupils or less, and each classroom has a teacher and an instructional aide along with site-level support staff. Pupils at CDS work on social, emotional, and academic skills with the goal of returning to their home school. At-risk pupils from all schools, districtwide may be served at CDS.
	A4.6 Elementary students who live 3/4 mile or more from school, and/or junior high students who live one mile or more from school will be provided with transportation to and from school.	Transportation	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils. Research shows that low income and other unduplicated pupils have higher rates of absenteeism and/or chronic absenteeism. These pupils are provided with transportation to and from school. This action serves to shorten the distance these pupils must walk, provides relief for families who may lack transportation, and provides safer routes to and from school (e.g. providing bus transportation to pupils who would otherwise cross a busy street). Unduplicated pupils districtwide receive transportation services.
4.6 Facilities are maintained and in good repair. All schools receive a score of "Good" or "Exemplary" on the California Facilities Inspection Tool (FIT) (SP1)	A4.7 Maintenance of district facilities are supported with staff, supplies, and equipment.	Deferred Maintenance	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by providing maintenance. With our aging facilities, districtwide, additional maintenance provides for needed projects districtwide. Research shows that unduplicated pupils attending a well maintained school achieve at higher levels than those who do not.
		2 Custodians	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils by increasing custodial staffing for each junior high ensuring clean campuses. Research shows that unduplicated pupils attending a well maintained school achieve at higher levels than those who do not. All unduplicated pupils receive these services, districtwide.
5.1 Parents receive meaningful and timely communication on their students' progress/achievement as evidenced by district reviews of the numbers of parents attending conferences, the numbers of parents receiving standards aligned report cards, and parent responses to questions regarding communication on district surveys. (SP 3 Involvement)	A5.1 The District and school sites will provide parents with conferences, report cards, and other means of communication regarding students' progress.	No LCFF/SC Expenditures budgeted for this action	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils. Research shows that low income and other unduplicated pupils achieve at higher levels when their families communicate with the school. These pupils (and their families) are provided with conferences, report cards and other means of communication. Unduplicated pupils districtwide receive these services.

			Page 135 01 140
5.3 Parents have input in programs and services, both district-wide and at the school-site level, based on district reviews of parent attendance at school site council meetings, District English Learner Advisory Committee meetings, Parent Advisory Committee meetings, and response to parent surveys. (SP3 Input)	A5.3 The district and school sites will maintain the required committees for parent input.	No LCFF/SC Expenditures budgeted for this action	This action is principally directed to our unduplicated pupils. Research shows that low income and other unduplicated pupils achieve at higher levels when their families are informed about the school's/distict's programs and participate in the development of these programs. Families of unduplicated pupils districtwide are encouraged and provided with opportunities to participate in school and district committees.

Page 125 of 140

B. In the box below, identify the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all pupils in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a).

Consistent with the requirements of 5 CCR 15496, demonstrate how the services provided in the LCAP year for low income pupils, foster youth, and English learners provide for increased or improved services for these pupils in proportion to the increase in funding provided for such pupils in that year as calculated pursuant to 5 CCR 15496(a)(7). An LEA shall describe how the proportionality percentage is met using a quantitative and/or qualitative description of the increased and/or improved services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all pupils.



In 2016-2017, the Hanford Elementary School District has planned services and programs for unduplicated pupils that will increase services for these pupils by 32.79% as compared to the services provided to all pupils.

Expected Outcome	Action	Expenditure	Quantitative/Qualitative Description	
1.1 All instruction in core subject areas is aligned to the State adopted standards as evidenced by school- site based reviews that include	A1.1 State adopted standards aligned instruction is supported with staff, technology,	Supplies, Materials, Site-directed technology	This action is targeted to our low income students by providing (thereby increasin supplies and materials. Pupils from low income families may not otherwise have access to these materials. This service will be provided districtwide.	0,
classroom observations. (SP2)	supplies, and materials, and study trips.	10 Media Service Aides Library Information System (Destiny) Tech Support	This action is targeted to our low income pupils by providing school libraries that fully staffed during school hours and providing these pupils with access to books electronic educational media. Low income may not have access to books or digit media at home. Providing library services ensures that low income pupils have ac to reading, both at school and home, thereby increasing the amount of reading materials they have access to and the amount of reading they do.	and al
		Student Technology 6 Technicians for Student Technology Technology Data Center	This action is targeted to our low income pupils by providing them with access to technological resources that include student devices, support, and infrastructure Pupils from low income families may not have access to the technology that is essential for success in college and in today's workplaces. This action increases unduplicated pupils' access to technology resources.	
		Study Trips	This action is targeted to our low income pupils by providing study trips that supp and enhance the standards aligned instruction they receive in their classrooms. Pupils from low income families sometimes lack the resources to experience activities that have been shown to increase interest and engagement in school, so as travel to museums, zoos, state and national parks. A district wide implementa of study trips ensures that all unduplicated pupils receive study trips that are alig to the state adopted standards, are age and grade appropriate.	uch Ition
1.3 ELD instruction and materials	A1.3 Director of Curriculum	50% Director of	This action is targeted to EL pupils. The director of curriculum serves EL pupils by	

are aligned with the State Board of Education Adopted 2012 ELD Standards and the State adopted standards as evidenced by schoolsite based reviews that include classroom observations (SP 2) A1.3 Director of Curriculum 50% Director of Curriculum (EL) leadership in the alignment of ELD, the state adopted standards, and the district's instructional programs.

This action is targeted to EL pupils. The director of curriculum serves EL pupils by providing a level of leadership that ensures the instruction these pupils receive, is in place, is effective, and is aligned with the state standards. Providing leadership and monitoring of the implementation of integrated and designated ELD across the district ensures that all EL pupils are receiving (i.e. increasing) this instruction and that the instruction is qualitatively better than it would be without this leadership. The director of curriculum provides direct services to the families of EL pupils. Research shows that parents who communicate with their child's teacher/school, and are able to monitor and support their child's schoolwork have a positive impact on their pupils' achievement.

Page 136 of 140

				Page 13
	2.1 Students make progress in mastering the required State adopted standards in ELA and math. Progress will be measured by performance on the CAASPP. (SP4)	A2.2 Students are supported with after-hours, intersession, or summer educational programs.	After- Hours/Intersession Instruction	This action is targeted to low-income, EL, and Foster Youth (FY) pupils by providing these pupils with intersession, summer school, and/or after-hours instruction. (An increase in instructional hours and/or days.)
		A2.3 Foster youth are supported with supplies, materials, tutoring, and/or other materials or activities.	Support for Foster Youth	This action is targeted to our pupils who are Foster Youth by providing them with additional (increased) support including but not limited to supplies, materials, technology, and/or after school tutoring. These services and materials are available to pupils who are foster youth districtwide.
learn educ year prof 22.8 learn educ year prof	2.2 The percentage of English learners in language instruction educational programs fewer than 5 years attaining English language proficiency will remain at or above 22.8%. The percentage of English learners in language instruction	A2.5 Director of Curriculum provides leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	50% Director of Curriculum	This action is targeted to EL pupils. The director of curriculum develops and delivers professional development and in-class coaching to teaching staff in English language development instruction. Teachers who are well-trained in the development and delivery of lessons designed to teach EL pupils to read, write, listen, and speak English provide their pupils with a qualitatively better education than those who are not as well trained.
	educational programs 5 or more years attaining English language proficiency will be 36% or greater. (SP4)		50% Admin Secretary (PD Ells)	This action is targeted to our unduplicated EL pupils by ensuring that documentation of the monitoring of EL's progress is kept up-to-date, and that all of the required criteria are in place for pupils being reclassified. Admin. secretary serves EL pupils districtwide. This is an additional layer of support/monitoring that pupils would not otherwise have.
	2.3 The percentage of EL students gaining one level on the CELDT will be 40%. (SP4)		2 EL Instructional Aides (Jr. High)	This action targeted to our unduplicated (EL) pupils by providing push-in instruction. A push-in model of support provides EL pupils with additional (increased) support. Bilingual aides serve EL pupils at the two junior high schools.
	2.4 The EL reclassification rate will be 8% or greater. (SP4)	A2.6 Learning Directors provide leadership, training, and support for teaching staff in supporting English Learners.	33% Learning Directors	This action is targeted to our EL pupils by providing teachers and classified staff at each school site with professional development and in-class coaching in the development and delivery of ELD instruction. Teachers who are well-trained in the development and delivery of lessons designed to teach EL pupils to read, write, listen, and speak English provide their pupils with a qualitatively better education than those who are not as well trained. Learning directors provide leadership to ensure that EL pupils receive integrated and designated ELD, that the progress of EL pupils at the school site are continuously monitored, that EL pupils needing interventions receive them, and that EL pupils are reclassified RFEP when they meet the criteria. Providing leadership and monitoring of the implementation of integrated and designated ELD across at the school site ensures that all EL pupils are receiving (i.e. increasing) this instruction and that the instruction is qualitatively better than it would be without this leadership. All schools, districtwide, have a

receiving (i.e. increasing) this instruction and that the instruction is qualitatively better than it would be without this leadership. All schools, districtwide, have a learning director.

Page 137 of 140

4.2 The district's suspension rate will be below 8%. The district's expulsion rate will be below 0.7%. (SP 6)

5.2 Parents participate in a variety

of educational and social activities that are either district-led or school-

site-based as evidenced by district

reviews of parent attendance at

district and site activities. (SP 3

Participation)

A4.2 Learning directors provide direct academic students and coordinate provide academic, social and health support.

A4.3 School health professionals and counselors provide direct services to students to promote well-being and health, and intervene with actual and potential health problems.

A5.2 School sites and the district will provide parents with a variety of informational, training/educational, and social activities.

5 School Nurses 10 Health Care Assistants 3 Counselors **Elementary Schools** 2 Counselors Jr. High

Parent Liaison

This action is targeted to our low income pupils. Learning directors provide our most at-risk pupils with direct (increased) services. Learning Directors coordinate the efforts of the student specialist, nurses, health care assistants, and counselors at the school site. Pupils who are from low income families may lack access to these services outside of the school setting. Lack of access to these services is shown to contribute to lower academic achievement, and higher rates of absenteeism and behavior incidents. Learning directors work proactively, to support low income pupils with the goal of maintaining good health, preventing behavior incidents, and supporting good attendance, thereby increasing the number of days they are in school.

This action is targeted to our low income pupils by providing these pupils with a suite of (increased) services designed to address their behavior, health, and/or social needs. Pupils who are from low income families may lack access to these services outside of the school setting. Lack of access to these services is shown to contribute to lower academic achievement, and higher rates of absenteeism and behavior incidents. Additionally, school counselors provide pupils at the junior high with academic counseling as they prepare to transition to high school. These services are best provided to our low income pupils districtwide.

This action is targeted to our low income and EL pupils by providing a Parent Liaison to provide parent outreach services, facilitate, prepare, and deliver parent training, follow-up training and support to parents of low income and Els. Research shows that pupils who have parents that communicate with their child's teacher/school, and are able to monitor and support their child's schoolwork achieve at higher levels than those who do not.

33% Learning

Directors

and social support to the services from additional staff who

LOCAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN AND ANNUAL UPDATE APPENDIX

For the purposes of completing the LCAP in reference to the state priorities under Education Code sections 52060 and 52066, the following shall apply:

- (a) "Chronic absenteeism rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30) who are chronically absent where "chronic absentee" means a pupil who is absent 10 percent or more of the schooldays in the school year when the total number of days a pupil is absent is divided by the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the total number of days the pupil is enrolled and school was actually taught in the regular day schools of the district, exclusive of Saturdays and Sundays.
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (b) "Middle School dropout rate" shall be calculated as set forth in California Code of Regulations, title 5, section 1039.1.
- (c) "High school dropout rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who dropout by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- (d) "High school graduation rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - (1) The number of cohort members who earned a regular high school diploma [or earned an adult education high school diploma or passed the California High School Proficiency Exam] by the end of year 4 in the cohort where "cohort" is defined as the number of first-time grade 9 pupils in year 1 (starting cohort) plus pupils who transfer in, minus pupils who transfer out, emigrate, or die during school years 1, 2, 3, and 4.
 - (2) The total number of cohort members.
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (e) "Suspension rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was suspended during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).

(3) Divide (1) by (2).

- (f) "Expulsion rate" shall be calculated as follows:
 - The unduplicated count of pupils involved in one or more incidents for which the pupil was expelled during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (2) The unduplicated count of pupils with a primary, secondary, or short-term enrollment during the academic year (July 1 June 30).
 - (3) Divide (1) by (2).
- 01-13-15 [California Department of Education]