

Pelham Union Free School District

FTIP Reopening Advisory Final Task Force Report

May 5, 2021

I. Introduction

Pelham Union Free School District's ("Pelham Schools") policies and protocols for responding to the COVID-19 pandemic are rooted in continuing the Pelham Schools' vital mission of providing an education in a safe environment for its students, teachers and staff, as well as the entire Pelham community who interact with students, teachers and staff on a daily basis.

Pelham schools began in September 2020 under a 50% hybrid model, where students were in-person school 2-2.5 days per week. A fully virtual option has also been available. Given the declining community infection rates, increasing vaccinations, and acceptance of a new distancing standard of 3 feet by the scientific community and by the New York State Department of Health, there is an opportunity to return all Pelham students to full time in person instruction this spring in a safe manner.

Pelham's Reopening Advisory Task Force ("Task Force") was created to solicit the widest array of feedback from Pelham community members from various backgrounds, including but not limited to the medical/infectious disease, mental health, legal, military, communications, education and policy making professions. The role of the Task Force was to generate discussion and come to a consensus, and to submit its findings and recommendations to the District and the Board of Education on how to bring Pelham children back to school in an environment that is safe, and which mirrors, as much as possible, the educational and co-curricular environment that existed for students prior to the advent of COVID-19. The Task Force also analyzed the social and emotional impact of the return to school for students, teachers and staff, including the unique challenges relating to students who elect to be taught in the fully virtual modality; while recognizing the need to adhere to appropriate laws, regulations, orders, and guidelines relating to COVID-19 to the greatest extent possible.

II. Background

A. Task Force Committee Members

The Task Force members represent all community constituencies, including administrators, faculty, staff, and community members from all of the Pelham schools, as well as those representing the varying interests of having students return to fully in-person instruction, remain in the current hybrid model, and those who prefer fully virtual. The members include:

Name	Title
Dr. Cheryl Champ	Superintendent
Dr. Steven Garcia	Assistant Superintendent for CPI
Jim Hricay	Assistant Superintendent for Business
Julia Chung	Assistant Superintendent for PPS
John Condon	Director of Facilities
Mark Berkowitz	PMHS Principal
Lynn Sabia	PMS Principal
Sean Llewellyn	PMS Assistant Principal
Susan Gilbert	Colonial Principal
Trisha Fitzgerald	Hutchinson Principal
Jeannine Carr	Prospect Hill Principal
Farid Johnson	Siwanoy Principal
Dr. Tom Callahan	Director of Math and Science
Dr. Maria Thompson	Director of Humanities
Alex Wolff	Public Information Officer
John Sebalos	Director of Technology
Gail Sider	PUFSD Teacher

Scott Brown	PUFSD Counselor
Mark Finegan	PMHS Teacher, Teachers Association President
Christina Viggiano	Hutchinson Teacher
Laura Hendrie	Colonial Teacher
Lisa Arbelaez	Siwanoy Teacher
Janet Hoynes	Prospect Hill Teacher
Rachel Pixley	Pelham Middle School Teacher
Jessica Waters	Pelham Memorial High School Teacher
Dr. Tricia Joseph	Pelham Middle School
Kristin Quintano	PMHS Social Worker
Dr. Kusum Mathews	Doctor, COVID researcher, Hutchinson/PMS Parent
Dr. Jason D'Amore	Vice Chair Emergency Medicine Jacobi Medical Center
Dr. Tracy Breen	Doctor
Kristen Burke, Co-facilitator	Colonial Parent, Attorney, Town Council
Erin Ginsburg	PMS/Colonial Parent, Communications Professional
Stephanie Otero	Hutchinson Parent, Service Industry

Cheri Pitamber	Hutchinson Parent, Social Worker specializing in psychiatry and behavioral health
Alexandra Drinkwine	PMHS/Hutchinson Parent, Pre-school educator
Jessyka Calzolaio	Prospect Hill Parent, School Administrator
Theresa Pignone	Prospect Hill Parent, Reading Specialist
Kevin Ritchie	Siwanoy Parent, business owner and former member of U.S. military
Tara Weishaupl	Siwanoy Parent, AmEx Operations
Jackie DeAngelis	PMS Parent, EVP for healthcare consulting and communications company
Dr. Tiffany Hebert	PMHS/PMS Parent Associate Professor, Department of Pathology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine
Laura Caruso	PMHS Parent, Executive Director, Pelham Together
Leah Tahbaz	Member, Pelham Board of Education

B. Meeting Dates

The Task Force met virtually on the following dates/times:

- 2/23/21 5:00-6:30 PM
- 3/2/21 5:00-6:30 PM
- 3/9/21 5:00-6:30 PM (included break-out sessions)
- 3/16/21 5:00-6:30 PM (included break-out sessions)
- 3/23/21 5:00-6:30 PM (voluntary meeting to finalize Report)
- 4/20/21 5:00-6:00 PM (regarding updated NYS guidance and PMHS)
- 5/4/21 5:00-6:00 PM

Minutes for all meetings can be found on the District’s FTIP Planning [webpage](#).

Break-out groups included discussions in the following substantive areas:

- Surveillance and Metrics to guide phase-in and monitoring of success
- Elementary Lunch
- Secondary Lunch
- Supporting teachers with managing in-person and virtual students
- Mental health and wellbeing of fully virtual students
- Mental health and wellbeing of in-person students

Notes from those sessions can be found [here](#).

III. Summary of Task Force Findings

During the course of its multiple meetings, the Task Force engaged in an extensive review of all relevant information so as to consider various factors for reopening for instruction full time in-person (hereinafter “FTIP”), including the holistic health and safety of the students and staff, the delivery of core curriculum in an equitable manner, and the alignment of COVID-related requirements, guidelines, and scientific research. In doing so, the Task Force reviewed and considered the following:

- The relevant local, state and federal guidelines setting forth the parameters by which schools must adhere;
- The relevant scientific studies relating the health and safety of children in a return to the classroom during the COVID-19 pandemic;
- Input from the various Task Force experts, including those in the medical, mental health and infectious disease fields, regarding the health and safety of children in the classroom, as well as the mental health and well-being of students and staff in and out of the classroom;
- Input from District administrators relating to various mitigation steps currently being used, as well as the options and limitations of bringing Pelham children back to school for FTIP instruction; and
- Input from teachers regarding their preference to provide instruction to students FTIP.

In light of all of the available information and feedback received, the Task Force finds the following:

1. Public health data and updated NYS Department of Health (DOH) guidance indicates that all Pelham elementary schools can safely allow for the return of all students FTIP with the various mitigation steps set forth below being in place and adhered to rigorously, including 3 feet of distancing between students.

2. Public health data and NYS DOH guidance indicate that the Pelham Middle School (PMS) students can safely allow for the return of all students FTIP with the various mitigation steps set forth below, including 3 feet distancing between students in classrooms as long as transmission rates in the County remain low or moderate and the various mitigation steps are adhered to rigorously.

3. Public health data and NYS DOH guidance indicate that the Pelham Memorial High School (PMHS) students can safely allow for the return of all students FTIP with the various mitigation steps set forth below, including 3 feet distancing between students in classrooms as long as transmission rates in the County remain low or moderate and the various mitigation steps are adhered to rigorously. At the time of the writing of this Report, due to facility constraints, PMHS students are not yet back FTIP, but have a more robust hybrid model in place (approximately 75% FTIP). Seniors have returned full-time and it is expected that over the course of the spring, more students will be returning FTIP.

4. The District will allow for a fully-virtual (hereinafter “FV”) option for those who have a medical need that requires such this Spring 2021. Students who elect to return to FTIP at all levels are not permitted to attend virtually except in cases of students experiencing COVID-19 symptoms (per the District daily screening), or students that are under a COVID-related quarantine order.

Sections VII. and VIII. below set forth the Task Force’s recommendations with respect to mitigation strategies to be continued and/or imposed, as well supportive steps to be taken in returning FTIP for the elementary level, PMS and PMHS.

IV. Relevant Guidance Relating to Pelham Schools

The following guidance, which was in effect at the time of this Report, was considered by the Task Force in making its findings and recommendations for reopening instruction to FTIP:

A. NYS Department of Health Interim Guidance for K-12

The [Interim NYS Department of Health Guidance for K-12](#)¹ for reopening was published on April 9, 2021 and replaced the previous guidance which was in effect since summer 2020. The significant updated guidance include the following:

- In counties with **low and moderate risk of transmission**, elementary, middle, and high schools can maintain physical distancing of at least three feet between students in classrooms.

¹ Note that the [New York State Education Department K-12 Reopening Guidance](#) has not been updated since Summer 2020 and is still in effect.

- In counties with **substantial risk of transmission**, elementary, middle, and high schools can maintain physical distancing of at least three feet between students in classrooms and cohorting is recommended when possible.
- In counties with **high risk of transmission**, elementary schools can maintain physical distancing of at least three feet between students in classrooms and cohorting is recommended when possible. However, in middle and high schools three feet between students in classrooms is recommended only when schools can use cohorting. When schools cannot maintain cohorting, middle and high schools must maintain physical distancing of at least six feet between students in classrooms.

As of the date of this Report, Westchester County is at .8% positivity rate, which is considered [low-risk of transmission](https://forward.ny.gov/percentage-positive-results-county-dashboard). See New York Forward COVID Dashboard: <https://forward.ny.gov/percentage-positive-results-county-dashboard>.

It should be noted that according to the NYS DOH guidance, prior to making any change to reduce physical distancing to less than six feet between students during academic instruction, decisions must be made with community input. Responsible Parties must include opportunities for feedback from parents, community members, teachers, staff and local departments of health. This meeting must be held before any change to the physical distancing in schools can be made. Fortunately, the work of the Task Force, in collaboration with the District and Pelham Board of Education, including the board meetings on the Task Force report, have fulfilled this obligation. Additionally parent information sessions were held on April 11, 2021 in the morning and evening to provide an opportunity for feedback from the community. Each school also held PTA meetings to dialog with parents about planning efforts.

In addition, NYS DOH notes that the **CDC no longer recommends physical barriers** for mitigation where physical distancing cannot be maintained. A preferred approach, according to the NYS DOH, is **enhanced ventilation and air filtration** to dilute and remove any SARS-Cov-2 particles from the air as described below and in the CDC school guidance.

Enhanced ventilation has been provided to classrooms through the deployment of air purifiers and exhaust fans in Pelham schools.

Exceptions Where A Minimum of Six Feet of Distance Must be Maintained

- Six feet is always the required distancing between adults (teachers, staff, visitors) and between students and adults.
- Six feet of distance is required when eating meals or snacks, or drinking, or other times masks must be removed. This may mean that meals cannot be eaten in classrooms that have been converted to three feet of physical distance during instruction time. **Note that**

the guidance is silent regarding whether barriers could be used in lieu of 6 feet spacing in these instances.

- Individuals participating in activities that require projecting the voice (e.g., singing) or playing a wind instrument must be six feet apart and there must be six feet of distance between the performers and the audience during performances and concerts.
- Six feet of physical distance must be maintained in common areas and outside of classrooms (e.g. lobbies, auditoriums, gymnasiums, cafeterias, and hallways), where possible.

Other mitigation steps recommended under NYS guidance, such as using face masks, cohorting, proper hygiene, cleaning and disinfection, health screenings, tracing and tracking (metrics and mandating quarantining/isolating), and daily State reporting are generally the same under the previous guidance and Pelham Schools have been adhering to these recommendations. The guidance relating to space configuration will be discussed in further detail below.

B. [CDC Guidelines](#) Allowing for 3 Feet Physical Distance in Schools

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) updated its K-12 school guidance to reflect the latest science on physical distance between students in classrooms in March 2021. The CDC now recommends that, with universal masking, students should maintain a distance of at least 3 feet in classroom settings.

The CDC continues to recommend at least 6 feet of distance:

- Between adults in the school building and between adults and students.
- In common areas, such as school lobbies and auditoriums.
- When masks cannot be worn, such as when eating.
- During activities when increased exhalation occurs, such as singing, shouting, band practice, sports, or exercise. These activities should be moved outdoors or to large, well-ventilated spaces whenever possible.
- In community settings outside of the classroom.

According to the CDC, given the crucial services schools offer and the benefits of in-person learning, it is critical for K-12 schools to open and remain open for in-person instruction, as safely and as soon as possible. Schools should be the last settings to close because of COVID-19 and the first to reopen when they can do so safely. Working together, school leaders and community members can take actions to keep schools open for in-person learning by protecting students, teachers, and school staff where they live, work, learn, and play.

The CDC also highlights the need for prioritizing K-12 teachers and staff to receive the vaccine.

C. Executive Orders under the NYS Executive Law

Since the pandemic was first declared, Governor Cuomo has enacted numerous Executive Orders, most of which have been subsequently amended. One of these orders in which the NYS DOH recently provided quarantine guidance that is particularly relevant to Pelham school opening FTIP (and especially as it relates to staff) states the following:

1. An individual is not required to quarantine after confirmed or suspected exposure to someone with COVID-19 if the following three criteria are all met:

- The individual is fully vaccinated, defined as at least two weeks after second dose of two-dose vaccine or two weeks after receiving a single-dose vaccine (J&J); and
- The individual is within three months of having received the last dose in their vaccination series; and
- They have been asymptomatic since the suspected/confirmed exposure.

2. Additionally, an individual who had laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 within the previous three months and has recovered is not required to retest or quarantine if exposed during that three-month period.

3. Regardless of vaccination or prior infection, all individuals who are exposed to COVID-19 must monitor symptoms daily for 14 days, continue to wear face coverings and practice hand hygiene, and immediately self-isolate if symptoms appear.

There are also updated guidelines permitting larger gatherings. Though that was not a topic discussed by the Task Force, it could impact end-of-year social events at the school, such as prom and graduation.

D. NYS Covid-19 County Dashboard

For a successful return to FTIP, it is imperative that the District continue to vigorously monitor the [NYS COVID-19 Tracking Dashboard by County](#) to evaluate trends that might indicate an uptick of cases in our region and schools. Currently, Westchester County is at a .8% positivity rate over a rolling 14-day average. The use of surveillance testing within the District and tracking metrics in order to monitor trends to make decisions regarding whether to remain FTIP or potentially revert back to hybrid or even close, is discussed in further detail in Section VII.B. below.

E. Other Local Schools' Model

It is also important to note that several school districts in the tri-state area have either announced plans to reopen for FTIP instruction in the near future or have been open FTIP (some the entire school year). The Task Force believes it is helpful for Pelham schools to understand how those schools are laid out (i.e. 3 ft spacing v. 6 feet), and to monitor any outbreaks that may occur in such schools. See attached [chart](#).

V. Scientific Research Relating to In-Person Learning

The Task Force reviewed a number of scientific articles regarding the appropriate social distancing, as well as the rate of transmission in schools and among school-age children, in addition to the CDC and NYS DOH guidelines, in making its determination that all Pelham students can return FTIP.

A. 3 ft. v. 6 ft. Distancing Measure

As the CDC has already noted, based on the scientific data, schools can safely reopen FTIP so long as 3 feet is maintained to the extent possible, along with other safety measures in place, most especially wearing appropriate face coverings. One such [study](#) found that there were no significant differences in K-12 student and staff COVID-19 case rates in Massachusetts public schools that implement ≥ 3 feet versus ≥ 6 feet of physical distancing between students, provided other mitigation measures, such as universal masking, are implemented.

The Task Force medical/infectious disease experts agree with this assessment. See Sections VI and VII below regarding additional recommendations for layered mitigation steps to ensure healthy and safe schools.

B. Lower Transmission Among Young Children and in Schools

While there is not a lot of scientific data on transmission among children and schools, there are [studies](#) that indicate children and schools play a limited role in transmission of the coronavirus. Studies also indicate that children are [less susceptible to infection than older adults](#). The studies also appear to indicate, however, that spread is greater at the secondary/high school level and that children 10 years old and older are more susceptible to COVID-19; although for children aged 18 and younger, even when symptomatic, the outcomes are often only mild illness.

The Task Force medical/infectious disease experts agree with this assessment. See Sections VI and VII below regarding additional recommendations for maintaining health and safety in our schools.

C. Negative Impact Relating to Remote Learning Environment

Prior to the formation of the FTIP Reopening Advisory Task Force, a number of concerned parents in the Pelham community came forward to express their concerns (in some cases, grave)

with how they believe their child(ren) was/were being impacted by not being in school full-time. The Task Force discussed these issues at the first meeting. Many of the parents, teachers and mental health professionals on the Task Force echoed those concerns facing our community members, and acknowledged the various negative impacts remote education has had on our children, including but not limited to: perceived educational/academic decline, especially highlighted in PMS where some students are no longer putting on their videos and in some cases, are simply not showing up for virtual classes; mental health issues, such as isolation, stress, anxiety, and the concern that by not being in schools as often, such mental health issues may go undetected; the creation of [inequities in learning](#) due to lack of resources on the part of certain families (i.e. lack of computers, insufficient wi-fi, etc.); family stressors, such as working parents who cannot devote attention to virtual learning; children with special needs/IEPs/504 Plans not feeling that they are receiving the sufficient support services needed; and the constant screen time causing negative mental, emotional and physical impacts on our children.

The Task Force acknowledges these concerns and agrees that these are significant bases for recommending FTIP instruction to the greatest extent practicable in a safe and healthy environment. The Task Force also recognizes the need to continue supporting the mental and emotional well being of its students, faculty and staff as we transition students back to school FTIP, as well as for students who remain fully virtual. See Section VIII. below regarding the recommendations in these areas.

VI. Task Force Recommendations Relating to Proposed FTIP Reopening Plans

The Task Force supports the District’s current plans for bringing elementary students and PMS back to FTIP instruction and bringing PMHS students back to a more robust hybrid instruction model that allows for more more days in school, as well as the option to allow students to be taught fully virtually,² with the following recommendations:

A. Elementary School Plan

1. Start date for all elementary schools FTIP for grades K-5 began on April 12:
 - Protects against post vacation “bump” in cases
 - Allows for more staff to be fully vaccinated
 - Warmer weather allows for better use of outdoor space
 - Allows time for furniture set-up and equipment distribution

² In March 2021, the Pelham School District implemented a district-wide survey to ascertain whether families would bring their child back to FTIP or remain fully virtual. Since spacing is such a constraining factor, remaining hybrid was not an option. Based on those results (with the number of students electing fully-virtual), the District was able to proceed with the Task Force’s recommendation.

2. Schedule for elementary schools will be as follows:

- 8:30am: staggered arrival
- 11:15am-12:15am: lunch
- 2:40: staggered dismissal
- 2:50pm: open office hours
- School specific schedules are forthcoming
- 12pm early release on Wednesdays will continue. There is still a need for teachers who will be continuing to teach virtually to prepare for those sessions.

3. Seating.

Seating will be 3 feet between each student, spaced out in various ways depending on the size of the room. In some cases, desks will be organized in a cluster of 3. Tables with dividers may also be used (see photos below). The Task Force finds these options acceptable because doing this allows for maximization of space in some of the smaller classrooms. It also provides each child with more personal space to move around. In case of an emergency, it would be very difficult for a student to safely exit the classroom with desks in rows.



a. Seating direction. There are studies that suggest that it is better to have children facing in the same direction; and having the seats in clusters would not permit that. According to Task Force medical experts, however, having more space between students (as would be the case here with clustered seating) outweighs the benefits of having children face in the same direction.

b. Barriers. Physical plastic barriers have been used throughout the year in all elementary classrooms, and were recently replaced with models that allow children see through them

better. The CDC and NYS DOH in its most recent guidance, however, no longer recommend barriers, and Task Force medical experts concur that there is no scientific evidence indicating the barriers prevent the spread of COVID-19 (or if there is protection, it's negligible). In light of this new guidance/feedback, the Task Force is nonetheless assessing whether to continue their use so as not to be overly disruptive to making such a significant change at the end of the school year.

c. 3 feet head-to-head/stem-to-stem. While questions have been raised about whether it would be better to have at least 3 feet between desks, Task Force medical experts have said that 3 feet between students head-to-head or stem-to-stem should be sufficient with the other mitigation precautions in place. The difference between desk-to-desk or head-to-head is a matter of just inches, and they believe would play only a negligible role in spreading the virus.

d. Mitigation steps. The use of well-fitting masks, open windows, good hand hygiene, will and must continue to be used in earnest. See Section VII. below.

4. Lunchtime

At least one study has shown that the greatest risk of spread in schools is during lunchtime, when masks are removed and talking amongst students takes place. Task Force medical experts expressed the same concern. As such, and in accordance with NYS DOH guidance, students must be at least 6 feet apart at all times while eating lunch. The Elementary Lunch Subcommittee of the Task Force has also come up with the following recommendations:

a. Elementary students will generally eat at their desks during lunch. Gyms are simply not an option and eating outdoors is not a permanent solution because of space issues at schools such as Hutchinson, which is under construction, as well as the uncertainty with the weather.

b. When the weather and spacing permits, students are eating lunch outdoors;

c. Families are reminded that there is the option for children to go home during lunch while accompanied by a guardian.

d. When eating indoors and 6 feet or more between children cannot be maintained, elementary classes must stagger eating times within each classroom.

e. There is a lot of discretion for principals and teachers in managing lunchtime, particularly depending on classroom size.

f. At the recommendation of the Task Force, teachers should consider instituting quiet time, or activity time (e.g. possibly having the lunch monitor read a book, play music, audiobook, show and tell, etc.). Mrs. Carr will be sharing with the other schools all of the resources she has collected of possible activities to entertain the children during lunchtime.

g. Must ensure the other mitigating factors remain in place, such as having the windows stay open, masks are put on right after eating, kids stay in their spaces behind barriers. These measures are key and need to be reinforced.

B. Pelham Middle School and High School Plans

1. **Pelham Middle School:** Based on the number of individuals who opted to remain virtual, PMS was able to bring all students who wanted to be FTIP on April 19, 2021.

2. **Pelham Memorial High School:** The facilities constraints at PMHS, including maintaining 3 feet distancing in every classroom and 6 feet distancing in common areas, has delayed the ability to bring all students back FTIP. The District has been able to implement a more robust hybrid model effective April 26, 2021, with the following cohort schedules in place:

4/19-23					4/26-4/30					5/3-7					5/10-14					5/17-11					
M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	
1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	
Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	
A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	
A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	
B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	
B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	B2	
5/24-28					5/31-6/4					6/7-11					6/14-18					6/21-25					
M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	M	Tu	W	Th	F	
2	3	4	5	6		2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3
Z	Z	Z	Z	Z		Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z	Z
A1	A1	A1	A1	A1		A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1
A2	A2	A2	A2	A2		A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2
B1	B1	B1	B1	B1		B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1	B1

This model currently allows for students to be in at least 3 days per week, and sometimes 4.

Seniors have been brought back FTIP, however, with the library serving as overflow space if needed. This will at minimum allow for seniors to be around other classmates every day as they wind-down their high school careers in Pelham.

Building administrators are currently monitoring space in classrooms and common spaces and making every effort to return all other grades to a more full time experience.

3. Schedule for PMS and PMHS.

- 1st period start - 8:20am
- 9th period end - 2:15pm
- Academy 2:20-2:55 (in person/virtual)
- 5-minute passing time between classes to reduce hallway density
- 12pm early release on Wednesdays will continue

4. Lunch.

The Task Force Subcommittee on Secondary Lunch discussed the challenges for PMS and PMHS for lunchtime. Additional space is needed for lunch during inclement weather since the cafeteria cannot seat all students, and the gyms are used for lunch overflow; and it would be difficult for students to use shields on bleachers, and auditorium seats would be uncomfortable to eat on. The District has installed two 30X30 tents on Ingalls Field to assist with overflow space outdoors on bad-weather days. Please note, as we bring students back into PMS and PMHS and further assess the use of our spaces, we will continue to adjust our plans for lunch.

In light of these options, the Subcommittee provided the following plan:

- a. Space. PMS will use current lunchroom areas (Cafeteria A, Cafeteria C, Blue Gym), providing seating for 134 middle school students. In good weather, PMS students will split the period with one group of students eating and one group having recess for the first half of the period and the two groups then swapping midway. This will change the need with full enrollment to approximately 95 for period 4, 112 for period 5, and 119 for period 6, which will fit in the existing areas (Cafeteria A, Cafeteria C, Blue Gym). High school students in grades 9-12 will have open campus privileges and may go off campus for lunch.
- b. Prepared lunches. Food services will provide grab and go menu options and limit options in order to serve lunch as quickly as possible to each group.
- c. Alternative options. In good weather, our assumption is that most PMHS students will choose to eat off campus. We intend to ask the Village if they can put up tables/umbrellas in park areas for student seating. We intend to continue to explore options with respect to

lunch for our high school students. In inclement weather, tables and chairs will be set up in the main high school gym to provide overflow seating. PE classes will be moved into classrooms and provided with classroom based instruction or a study hall. At 6' distancing, we could seat 128 students in the high school gym.[3] Splitting middle school lunch/recess between two groups as well as adding additional areas will require additional supervision. Mr. Hricay has indicated that it is difficult to find monitors in the current climate but the district continues to advertise openings. Some teachers are being paid to help supervise lunch. The cafeteria staff is working to expedite all sales to avoid clustering of students for any length of time.

While not ideal, H.S. students often eat in stairwells and benches in hallways. In the future, we could consider returning to cafeteria tables with permanent plexiglass barriers, in which students are not seated 3' apart. Other districts are using this option in cafeterias and classrooms.

5. Mitigation strategies. The use of masks, open windows, good hand hygiene, will and must continue to be used in earnest. See Section VII. below. And as with the elementary level, the District is currently assessing whether to retain the usage of physical barriers in the classrooms for PMS and PMHS.

C. Fully Virtual (“FV”) Option

1. Families have been given the option of fully virtual learning for their children for Spring 2021.
2. Virtual learning cannot be used out of convenience, such as for vacation, travel, staying home on test days, priority for athletics, etc. These expectations were made clear at the outset.
3. Fully Virtual students will access classes via Google Meet and learning materials via Google Classroom.
4. Open office hours will be provided in the afternoon to support fully remote students.
5. Possibility of fully virtual teachers K-5 in September if virtual learning is still needed for medical accommodations.

See Section VIII. below regarding Task Force recommendations for additional support services to be provided for students and teachers working in the FV environment.

VII. Recommended Layered Mitigation Strategies to be Retained and/or Implemented

A. Masking/PPE. Task Force medical experts, as well as a whole host of experts nationwide and worldwide, cannot express strongly enough the significance of wearing masks as a way of preventing the transmission of COVID-19.

The Task Force wants to reiterate to parents and students the need to come to school with acceptable face coverings (surgical masks, sewn masks, gaiters with more than one layer of cloth, etc.) **that fit the child**. All too often, teachers see children with masks that do not fit properly (i.e. do not cover their noses and fall down). Child-sized surgical masks were highly recommended by the Task Force for elementary students, particularly since they are fairly breathable for gym and recess time. It is advised that children have more than one mask, since often they become sweaty.

B. Monitoring Health and Containing Transmission of the Virus

1. Daily Symptom Monitoring. It is required that students continue to respond to the daily health questionnaire to ensure those with possible COVID-symptoms do not come to school.

2. Contact Tracing must continue. While the Task Force sought ways in which the community could assist in this time-consuming effort on the part of district administrators, due to the complexities of the classroom set-ups and confidentiality issues contact tracing really can only be performed by school employees.

3. Surveillance Testing.

a. Current District Program.

Currently, the District is utilizing voluntary surveillance testing at all three levels (elementary, Middle School and High School). The district is aiming to test 100 secondary students (including athletes and non-athletes), and 50 elementary students each week, which represents 5% of the student population. Experts, including those on the Task Force, believe surveillance testing is a critical tool used to evaluate the scope of COVID-19 within a population. According to the Task Force, voluntary surveillance testing now is helpful in that it (1) helps establish a baseline rate and (2) helps develop and hardwire this process on both the school and family side as routine testing may likely be part of our school life going forward.

b. Use of Rapid Antigen Test.

- The current test being used is a non-invasive, simple self-administered nose swab.

- While this test is not as useful to diagnose a positive case, it is regarded by medical and department of health experts as a sufficient surveillance tool for populations such as schools that are trying to establish a baseline positivity rate.

c. Input and Recommendations by the Task Force Surveillance Subcommittee

The Task Force Surveillance Subcommittee, which includes medical/infectious disease experts, provided the following feedback:

- Pelham’s current surveillance testing system is sufficient. 5% is a very reasonable capture rate goal to kick off our surveillance testing.
- There needs to be a breadth of students who are tested each week (not just the same individuals volunteering).
- The District should consider outsourcing testing.
- A vendor could perhaps do surveillance testing with rapid tests and “event” testing such as what will be required to attend the prom.
- Is there a way to “reward” to increase participation/engagement in testing:
 - Engage student council and other groups to come up with a testing campaign
 - Incentives/stickers
- Testing (PCR not rapid) would be required for events like prom.
- For seniors, can make it a habit since most colleges will require surveillance testing.
- Can we make it easier to increase participation/engagement in testing:
 - District is making appointments and students will have to “opt-out”
 - Parent permission form required

The Subcommittee makes the following Recommendations:

1. The District should compare the results of its surveillance testing program with the overall positivity of Westchester County and look for divergence. This data should be made public on the District website alongside the number of individuals who test positive on a weekly basis.

2. The testing program should be randomized and set up in a way where the District is not testing the same students every week. The District should set the expectation that all will participate in the program, rather than make it voluntary. The District should be clear and transparent about the need for the testing program and its purpose (establishing a baseline and monitoring for changes). The District should seek to engage families and students in the testing program.

3. The District should provide links and information to recent changes in health policy (quarantine if vaccinated, travel advisory, etc.).

4. Metrics Tracking.

Based on the recommendations of the Metrics Subcommittee recommends, a public dashboard has been posted on the [District webpage](#), which shows the following:

- Graph of Westchester County positivity rate vs. school surveillance testing positivity rate
 - It is important to track the rate of change for each
- The number of student/staff cases/link to the state website which shows this data.
- Teacher/staff vaccinations to the best of our ability noting data is provided voluntarily.

The Task Force Metrics Subcommittee's recommendation is that tracking metrics be used to understand if mitigation strategies need to change in order to keep schools open safely and can inform whether moving to all virtual or hybrid models if necessary.

C. Teacher and Staff Vaccinations.

Current vaccination rates of faculty and staff indicate that at least 67% of staff have received at least one vaccination dose. The District has a goal of 75% by June 2021. According to the experts, 50% is a very good vaccination rate. 75% would be an extraordinary accomplishment.

D. Ventilation, Box Fans, Air Purifiers and Open Windows.

The Task Force believes these are all extremely important measures to keep in place. The District has obtained air purifiers for all classrooms and areas that do not have the ability to open windows. Currently there are 6-8 air changes per hour in all rooms/buildings and more in those that have air conditioned spaces.

E. Other Safety Measures to Remain in Place.

1. Personal hygiene. The Task Force thinks handwashing and other cleaning measures are extremely important to continue and to do so with vigor, especially before and after transitions and before eating. Suggestion was made to have periodic lunch monitors to remind students of the need to wash hands/use hand sanitizers.

2. Cohorting. The CDC and NYS guidance continue to guide that this is best practice to keep schools safe. The Task Force agrees.

3. Distancing. In addition to the distancing requirements in classrooms, the District must ensure appropriate distancing on the playground, in the cafeteria, and hallways and corridors, bathrooms, and during specials such as band and chorus in accordance with guidelines and to the greatest extent possible.

VIII. Mental Health, Wellness and Other Support Systems Upon Return

The Task Force believes it is extremely important to ensure that the mental health and well-being of community members remains a top priority for the return to FTIP, whether it be for those making the transition to fully in-person, students who will remain fully virtual (“FV”) (and no longer have other virtual partners who were previously in the hybrid sections). In addition, teachers are going to need more support than ever to continue to support more students in the classroom as well as maybe just one or two virtual students.

A. Student Mental Health and Wellness

Some of the concerns raised by the Task Force Student Mental Health Subcommittee include:

1. General concerns.

- When students transition back, we will need additional clinicians/resources to support the needs of all students, FTIP and FV.
- We are now in the middle of the annual review season with all counselors/clinicians heavily involved in meetings and evaluations, on top of their mandated services they have to provide.
- Many students have experienced a “loss”, whether it is having lost a family member or loss of various experiences.

2. Current FV students.

- Those who do not want to come back FTIP, but are being forced to come back will have their own challenges.
- Those who are thriving in the FV setting due to the flexibility of learning at home will face challenges in the classroom structure

3. K-5 concerns.

- Younger children’s mental health needs manifest in physical ailments. We need to ensure that everyone is aware.
- Some students will be anxious since they have not been allowed to be social all year. Also being back does not mean they can go back to socializing as before the pandemic.

- It will be like the first day of school for some students. Teachers will need to address building a community with the entire class. Students may have increased difficulty separating from their parents.
 - FV students will feel even more isolated since everyone else will be back. They need opportunities to engage with the FTIP students. Maybe have them on the Smart Board and not just on the teacher's screen.
 - Morning meeting is a powerful tool for teachers. That should be used to address mental health /social-emotional skills and concerns.
 - Regression in pragmatic language, play and emotion regulation skills (nonverbal and verbal communication skills, navigating classroom routines, perspective-taking skills, conflict resolution) in a more stimulating environment (more students) and increased student: teacher ratio (especially K-1).
 - May see increased fatigue in younger students. Need time to build stamina for the school day (especially K-1).
 - There has been an increase in pandemic-related anxiety symptoms (ex. germs, closeness, OCD tendencies).
4. 6-12 concerns.
- After they come back, if students are having a difficult time, they can't just be sent down to PPS. We need a different system/process in place and a place to go to take a break.
 - Students will likely be anxious about academic performance as it relates to Regents or end of the year exams.
 - Students struggling with managing a routine in a hybrid schedule, sharing thoughts with parents about how to help their children establish a different routine or structure with doing school 5 days in-person - to help with routines such as sleep cycles.

The Task Force Subcommittee's Recommendations:

- Community building at all levels will be important. They haven't experienced it all year. Classes/grade-levels may need a day to bond, perhaps a team-building activity or orientation day.
- If we already know of students who will have a difficult time coming back to school full time, can there be a way to have them back earlier than the rest of the group to ease them in.
- Any "new students" who were FV all year who are planning on coming back in-person need an opportunity to come into the school before everyone returns.
- Every class that has an FV student needs a plan and community activities that will involve FV students daily.
- Provide parent training to help with the transition back to school full-time:

- Separation anxiety for students who have been FV and now coming back
- How to handle change in routines for students, and how to recognize and deal with behavior change
- Survey students about any concerns coming back. Some of the concerns can be addressed quite easily (e.g., if students are worried about other students wearing masks appropriately, they might really appreciate hearing in some sort of an “assembly” that inappropriate mask-wearing will be addressed by the administration). However, other concerns might be things we are not yet aware of.
- Reach out to community agencies to collaborate to provide additional resources.
- A primer/assembly for students about appropriate passing time in the hallways, not congregating in groups, proper social distancing, etc. would be helpful. Perhaps dividing the assembly by alpha groups would help maintain smaller sizes. For example, A-G meets one time, H-M another time, e.g. Some kind of introductory meeting for each grade level might be helpful to set expectations.
- MS: Shift in the social structure is going to increase positive/negative interactions among students. Those who are anxious about social structures will have greater anxiety. Videos for various scenarios should be provided before students come back, such as expectations for lunchrooms, recess, etc., prior to returning for parents to watch with their students, and also teachers can show them as needs arise.
- K-5: Teachers should initially focus on classroom routines and expectations, social expectations, and pragmatic language skills.
- Teachers should consider adding a “closing-circle”/ “afternoon meeting” at the end of the day to balance the whole child focus.

B. Supporting Teachers

In addition, the following recommendations have been made by the Task Force Subcommittee on Supporting Teachers with Instructional Challenges Managing In-Person and Virtual Students:

INSTRUCTIONAL CHALLENGES K-5	POSSIBLE OPTIONS / RECOMMENDATIONS
Maintaining engagement between virtual and live students; teachers need support to manage that essential connection	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote blended learning approaches: ways that virtual children can participate in synchronous and asynchronous learning experiences; for upper elementary, provides an essential screen break • Explore whether shared staff can <i>Meet</i> live with children across schools: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Teaching Assistants - assigned to work with K-1 full virtual students

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Special areas ○ Support room for asynchronous work ● Explore alternative ways for IE Blocks and AIS
<p>Classroom physical environment:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Younger students not being able to hear properly both in person and virtual (camera, masks, barriers, etc.) ● Experience for in person students will change, lack of mobility (moving around the room because of safety measures) ● Will students be able to see points of instruction, tech, etc. ● Ability to hear properly both in person and virtual (camera, masks, barriers, etc.) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Integrate new barriers without borders ● Examine how Chromebooks on a mobile cart can expand visual access to class from different parts of the classroom ● Assess how portable projectors expand visual access to students in other parts of classroom ● Extend use of voice amplification devices projects teacher speech to class and home, upon request
<p>Need to review logistics, safety, and pedagogical planning concerns ahead of program change; continual need for professional learning and preparation</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The District considered an asynchronous learning day for teachers which could provide time to plan lessons and prepare for changes in classroom safety ● Prior to April 12, furniture will be returned to classroom, and procedures will be developed at the building level ● It is recommended that the district maintain Wednesday half-days to continue to provide time for such teacher needs
<p>Assessment of and for learning in the virtual and in-person environment remains a concern</p>	<p>Continue to explore ways to promote more authentic learning experiences for children, and seek best practices in the field</p>

<p>Pacing and structure of the day; routines, circling back to virtual students</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that particular facets of the curriculum are met for ALL students - ELA, Math, Science, Social Studies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More time will be needed for transitions with more students in class, while others remain virtual • Need to find ways to take advantage of outside learning environments, while remaining connected with full virtual students • Experiences may look different from school-to-school based on space constraints inside and outside • Consider restructuring of non-core learning time
<p>Messaging to virtual families; experiences will change, potential inequities with virtual students</p>	<p>Public relations: the District needs to be clear with parents about expectations of the in-person learning and full-virtual experience</p>
<p>What is the future for virtual learners (fall 2021)?</p>	<p>Should the District offer a full-virtual experience in September, it must seriously consider a full-virtual teacher model.</p>

IX. Recommended Communications Strategy and Community Outreach

The Task Force has recommended that the District remain as transparent as possible in regard to all of its positions and plans. The new District webpage on Coronavirus Information is in line with the Task Force’s recommendation:

<https://www.pelhamschools.org/reopening-plan-2020-21/ftip>.

The Task Force further recommends that the District add a District Surveillance Testing Dashboard so people can see the number of positive cases in our schools, compared with local and statewide trends, as well as the other metrics cited by the Metrics Task Force Subcommittee. The Task Force also recommends more information in general regarding surveillance testing, such as what the test itself is like and the purpose of the testing. Perhaps an FAQ page might be useful as well.

The Task Force also urges continued outreach to the community, particularly in two areas: (1) to urge children to sign up for surveillance testing as essential to our ability to monitor and track potential COVID outbreaks, and (2) with regard to seeking substitute teachers, teaching assistants, and monitors. Interested individuals should apply on [olasjobs.org](https://www.olasjobs.org) and email Amy Heese at aheese@pelhamschools.org.

X. Fall 2021 Planning

The Task Force has begun planning for Fall 2021, with a goal of providing recommendations so that the District can have fall reopening plans in place by June 25, 2021. A key concern facing the Task Force will be how to bring 100% of the H.S. and M.S. students back assuming most students will be FTIP. It is not expected that there will be guidance in the near future regarding the impact of vaccinations for student 12 and older. As such, obtaining additional space and/or the consideration of other acceptable mitigation strategies must be rigorously pursued. Another key decision point will be whether the District will offer a full-virtual option. If so, the Task Force Subcommittee on Supporting Teachers recommends the District should consider creating District-wide virtual teacher positions for K-1, 2-3, 4-5 at the minimum (depending upon interest/enrollment).

XI. Conclusion

The findings and recommendations of the Task Force are that given the declining (and currently very low) community COVID-19 infection rates, increasing vaccination rates among teachers and staff, students 16 and older, and the community as a whole, the acceptance of a new distancing standard of 3 feet by the CDC, the scientific community, and now the New York State Department of Health; coupled with the information about the deleterious effects of the hybrid/virtual model for many of our students, it is incumbent upon the Pelham Union Free School District to bring as many students back to full time in-person instruction this spring to the greatest extent practicable.

Currently, Pelham elementary schools and PMS are able to safely allow for the return of students to FTIP classroom instruction. All rooms are able to accommodate 3 feet of spacing between students, stem to stem (and in some cases more than 3 feet of distancing). All of the current scientific data, including that used by the CDC just last week, indicate that 3 feet of spacing between students is safe. Scientific data also suggests that transmission rates are lower among children than adults, are low in schools with mitigation steps in place, and that children become less ill when they are COVID-positive (though more so in secondary children).

While NYS guidance was updated to allow for 3 feet of distancing with schools in counties with low and moderate community transmission rates without the need for barriers, Pelham will assesses whether there is a continued need for physical barriers at all school levels. In addition, the District will continue to employ a multi-faceted mitigation effort that includes ensuring mask-wearing, personal hygiene, proper ventilation and filtration, conducting surveillance testing, monitoring positive cases and tracking trends. Such distancing and other mitigation steps will be required at all levels (elementary and secondary).

PMHS is currently in the process of seeking to bring all students back FTIP to the greatest extent possible this spring, while utilizing a more robust hybrid model in the meantime.

The Task Force plans to continue to meet regularly this spring, as it assesses the current plans in place and formulates a plan for Fall 2021, which is expected to be presented to the Board of Education's last meeting in June 2021.

[1] Cohorting is when groups of students are kept together with the same peers and staff throughout the school day to reduce the risk for spread throughout the school.

[2] Subcommittee Notes. With all students in person, numbers for lunch are as follows: Middle School - Period 4 (Grade 6) - 189 Period 5 (Grade 7) - 224 Period 6 (Grade 8) - 237 High School (not accounting for alternate day lunches)- Period 4 - 274 Period 5 - 309 Period 6 - 350 Period 7 - 304 Period 8 - 218 Period by period, for the secondary campus, this works out as a potential combined: Period 4 - 463 Period 5 - 533 Period 6 - 587 Period 7 - 304 Period 8 - 218 At current 6' seating, we have the following areas potentially available: Cafeteria A - 30 Cafeteria B (currently used for HS) - 12 Cyber Cafe (MS peanut free zone 4-6) - 14 Cafeteria C - 38 Blue Gym - 66 Middle School Gym Bleachers - 65 High School Main Gym Bleachers - 42 Auditorium (not counting 25 balcony seats which would not be safe) - 53 For a total of 320 per period

[3] This could work out as follows: Period 4 - 463 students - 134 middle school students seated in existing areas, 55 overflow middle school students seated in high school gym, 73 seats available for high school students. 201 high school students still need seating. 160 could be seated between bleachers in middle school and high school gyms and auditorium. 41 remaining. -12 in Cafeteria B = 29 unseated Period 5 - 533 students - 134 middle school students seated in existing areas, 90 overflow middle school students seated in high school gym, 38 seats available for high school students. 271 high school students still need seating. 160 could be seated between bleachers in middle school and high school gyms and auditorium. 111 remaining. -12 in Cafeteria B = 99 unseated Period 6 - 587 students - 134 middle school students seated in existing areas, 103 overflow middle school students seated in high school gym, 21 seats available for high school students. 329 high school students still need seating. 160 could be seated between bleachers in middle school and high school gyms and auditorium. 169 remaining. -12 in Cafeteria B = 157 unseated Period 7 - 304 students - 160 high school students in conventional cafeteria + blue gym. 144 remaining. 128 can be seated in the high school gym and the rest on bleachers. Period 8 - 218 students - 160 high school students in conventional cafeteria + blue gym. 58 remaining.

Addendum to Reopening Advisory Final Task Force Report
June 12, 2021

Pelham Union Free School District maintains its commitment to providing a high quality education for all students, in a safe environment. In spite of the continued COVID-19 pandemic, Pelham believes that having all students in school, on a full-time basis is the optimal way to achieve success for all students. Nevertheless, it must do so while remaining in compliance with all federal, state and local guidelines, orders, and ordinances. We also follow recommendations from relevant agencies, including but not limited to, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/>, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), and the New York State and Westchester County Departments of Health. Pelham also reiterates its commitment to complying with all local, state and federal laws prohibiting discrimination and retaliation in the workplace, providing reasonable accommodations under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and abiding by all relevant labor laws, as well as whistleblower laws.

At the time of this Addendum, New York State has the lowest 7-day COVID-19 positivity rate in the country; Westchester County has a .4% 7 day positivity rate (fewer new COVID-19 cases each day than at any time during the pandemic). The Town of Pelham has only 4 active cases, and Pelham Schools has seen only two positive cases since May. In addition to the low number of cases, 55.4% of New Yorkers have received at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine, and 62% of Westchester County has received at least one dose of the COVID-19 vaccine (74.6% of those 18+ have received at least one dose). Pelham School District's vaccination rate is currently reported to be at 70% (though we believe there is a lag in reporting and that the percentage is even higher).

Because of these trends of decreasing COVID-19 positivity rates and increasing vaccination rates, [Governor Cuomo has recently announced](#) that a number of restrictions for restaurants and other businesses and workplaces are being lifted, including that vaccinated individuals are no longer required to wear masks while indoors (though businesses can still require them). Most of the remaining restrictions will be lifted once New York State hits 70% vaccination rate.

Despite these restrictions relating to mask-usage, density and distancing being lifted, K-12 schools are exempt from these eased restrictions and still currently bound by the [Interim New York State Guidance for K-12](#), except that masks are no longer required for children when outdoors. Pelham has adopted that stance and no longer requires students to wear masks while outdoors.

Pelham expects to receive updated guidance sometime this summer. In the meantime, the Task Force is recommending that multiple contingencies for the following academic year be in place by the end of this 2020-2021 Academic Year. The various scenarios are set forth below regarding space, mask usage and other safety measures.

1. **Regular schedule imposed.** School will be back on its regular schedule (Elementary - 8:20-3:05, Secondary - 8:15-3:11). Wednesdays will no longer be half-days, and will return to regularly scheduled days.
2. **Fully Virtual is no longer an option.** The Task Force affirms the District's decision to not allow a fully-virtual option for the 2021-2022 Academic Year. The District believes children do best in-school and not by learning via online technology. This means that there will no longer be cameras used in regular classrooms.

Students who have a medical disability or serious illness or injury will be provided home-bound instruction, similar to what has always been done for such students in the past. Depending on the number of students, the District will consider using a third-party provider, such as BOCES, or having a dedicated teacher for this purpose. The District has begun outreach to families of current fully-virtual students to understand why they are virtual and to assess whether these individuals intend to stay home next year.

3. **Barriers will not be used.** The Task Force medical experts all agreed that there is no scientific evidence showing barriers prevent or reduce the spread of COVID-19. The CDC agrees with this guidance, and barriers are no longer required for schools under the most recent Interim Guidance for K-12. While the District gave the teachers the option to continue the usage of the barriers for the remainder of this 2020-2021 Academic Year, they will not be given that option next year, as the Task Force believes the hindrance on academic learning outweighs teacher preference for the barriers (which again, has not been shown to prevent or reduce spread).

Plans for Academic Year 2021-2022

While we are optimistic that the trend will continue with decreasing numbers of COVID-19 cases and increased vaccination rates in Westchester County and Pelham, the Reopening Task Force believes it is prudent to plan for various scenarios for the upcoming academic year.

Scenario 1: Current Guidance Remains the Same

A. Elementary Schools

- (1) Classroom spacing: With the 3-foot spacing mandate in effect, each elementary school should individually assess whether current configurations in the classrooms are sufficient or should be modified to improve the learning environment. If after adjustments, 3 feet cannot be maintained, then current configurations shall continue. Large classes should be scheduled into larger rooms and smaller classes into smaller rooms to the greatest degree possible.
- (2) Lunch: The Task Force subcommittee on elementary planning has said that the current usage of classrooms for lunch is not sustainable and should not be continued next academic year.
- The new Hutchinson school will have sufficient space for all elementary children to eat at the same time.
 - For all other schools, a staggered lunch schedule in the cafeteria is needed. The District has hired a consultant who is currently evaluating schedules to determine what such a rotation schedule might look like. Should these schedules be implemented, additional furniture (desks or table dividers) and staffing will be needed.
 - There may be scenarios where using classrooms for lunch is still needed. If so, it is recommended that it be done on a rotating schedule--perhaps on a monthly basis--beginning with 5th graders and down to K, towards the middle-end of the year. Any such schedule should be developed in an equitable manner.
 - If staggered lunches is necessary at all schools, lunch options should be limited to cold lunch due to logistical constraints.
- (3) Cohorts: Under the current guidance, cohorts are recommended. However, there is no definition of what an appropriate cohort is at the elementary level. Therefore, the Task Force recommends that cohorts be expanded to grade levels, so that children can interact with more students their age for the benefit of their social and emotional well being. Procedures for contact tracing will guide the final determination of cohort size.
- (4) Other safety measures: Masks should continue to be worn by all students, faculty and staff while indoors until vaccinations are available to students of all ages. Proper ventilation, cleaning, frequent hand washing and hand sanitizing must be maintained. Additional social distancing is required in certain scenarios, such as while students are playing band instruments.

B. Middle School and High School

- (1) Classroom spacing: Larger classes should be scheduled into larger rooms and smaller classes into smaller rooms to the greatest degree possible. The fact that vaccinations are available to most secondary students should aid in easing concerns and potential for spread.
- (2) Lunch: If needed the District should maintain the current 6 foot distanced lunch plan that uses card tables in all cafeterias plus the Blue Gym. The additional monitors that were hired would need to be maintained to provide appropriate supervision. During inclement weather, students can also sit in the bleachers of the high school main gym and/or tents if current guidance remains in place.

Scenario 2: Guidance Eases Space and Other Restrictions

If space restrictions are lifted, regardless of whether masks are worn, all Pelham schools will return to previous configurations, including the use of tables in elementary schools and cafeterias in all schools. The Task Force nonetheless recommends that continued and frequent hand-washing and hand-sanitizing be maintained for the entire school year. If masks will no longer be required, students, faculty and staff can certainly choose to wear masks. We promise to respect any community member who chooses to continue wearing masks.

It is expected that cohorts will no longer be needed; however, if mandated, the district should seek to extend the size of cohort groups by grade.

Scenario 3: Guidance Becomes More Restrictive

Given the realities of this global pandemic, including the uncertainty with variants, even with the full availability of the COVID-19 vaccine, there remains the possibility that there could be another spike in COVID-19 cases. While this seems unlikely given the trends and that currently the COVID-19 vaccines have been effective against some of the variants, the Task Force would recommend a return to hybrid only if the metrics as [recommended by the CDC](#) are triggered, and a return to fully virtual only if required by New York State and/or local orders.

Conclusion

Our knowledge and understanding of the COVID-19 virus continues to evolve, and Pelham's policies and plan will be updated, as appropriate, as more information becomes available or as relevant guidelines, laws, ordinances are updated. As previously noted, we expect updated guidance for the 2021-2022 Academic Year sometime over the summer. When that happens, the

District will impose a Plan that leans towards having all children in-school, full-time, on a regular schedule, so as to optimize the academic and social learning \for our children, bringing us one step closer to a return to normalcy.