



Financial and Performance Audits
2012 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2020

Morgan Hill Unified School District

FINANCIAL AUDIT

Independent Auditor's Report 1

Financial Statements

 Balance Sheet..... 3

 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance..... 4

 Notes to Financial Statements 5

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 11

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

 Summary of Auditor's Results..... 13

 Financial Statement Findings 14

 Summary of Schedule of Prior Audit Findings 15

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance 16

Authority for Issuance..... 17

Purpose of Issuance 17

Authority for the Audit 18

Objective of the Audit..... 18

Scope of the Audit..... 18

Methodology..... 19

Conclusion 20

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

 Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 21

 Summary of Schedule of Prior Audit Findings 22



Financial Audit
2012 Measure G
June 30, 2020

Morgan Hill Unified School District



Independent Auditor's Report

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's (the District), 2012 Measure G Fund (the Fund), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to error or fraud. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the 2012 Measure G Fund of Morgan Hill Unified School District as of June 30, 2020, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Building Fund specific to 2012 Measure G are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of Morgan Hill Unified School District as of June 30, 2020, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated January 5, 2021, on our consideration of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the Morgan Hill Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Menlo Park, California
January 5, 2021

Morgan Hill Unified School District
2012 Measure G Fund
Balance Sheet
June 30, 2020

Assets	
Deposits and investments	\$ 38,700,372
Interest receivable	<u>169,709</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 38,870,081</u>
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 2,858,499
Due to the District	<u>906,527</u>
Total liabilities	<u>3,765,026</u>
Fund Balance	
Restricted for capital projects	<u>35,105,055</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 38,870,081</u>

Morgan Hill Unified School District
2012 Measure G Fund
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
Year Ended June 30, 2020

Revenues	
Interest income	\$ 928,761
Net increase in the fair value of investment	456,136
	<hr/>
Total revenues	1,384,897
	<hr/>
Expenditures	
Current	
Classified salaries and benefits	829,627
Supplies and equipments	25,043
Services and operating expenditures	157,427
Capital outlay	18,582,365
	<hr/>
Total expenditures	19,594,462
	<hr/>
Net Change in Fund Balance	(18,209,565)
Fund Balance - Beginning	53,314,620
	<hr/>
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 35,105,055
	<hr/> <hr/>

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the Morgan Hill Unified School District's (the District) 2012 Measure G Fund (the Fund) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include only the Fund of the Morgan Hill Unified School District used to account for 2012 Measure G projects. This Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under 2012 Measure G. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the Fund in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The Fund is accounted for using a flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid, and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

2012 Measure G Bond Debt Service Information

Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, liabilities for long-term debt are reported in the Government-Wide Statement of Net Assets of the District rather than in the Bond or other funds. Therefore, the debt for repayment of the 2012 Measure G bonds and the amount available in the Bond Interest and Redemption fund for repayment are not included on these financial statements.

Fund Balance - 2012 Measure G Fund

As of June 30, 2020, the fund balance is classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Spending Order Policy

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures/expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Note 2 - Investments

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (Education Code Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fairly value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value is to changes in market interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool. The Fund maintains an investment of \$38,700,372 with the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool, with an average maturity of 517 days.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investments in the County Pool are not required to be rated, nor have they been rated as of June 30, 2020.

Note 3 - Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. The following provides a summary of the hierarchy used to measure fair value:

Level 1 - Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets that the District has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 1 assets may include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market and that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.

Level 2 - Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable, such as interest rates and curves observable at commonly quoted intervals, implied volatilities, and credit spreads. For financial reporting purposes, if an asset has a specified term, a Level 2 input is required to be observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs should be developed using the best information available under the circumstances, which might include the District's own data. The District should adjust that data if reasonably available information indicates that other market participants would use different data or certain circumstances specific to the District are not available to other market participants.

Uncategorized - Investments in the Santa Clara County Treasury Investment Pool are not measured using the input levels above because the District's transactions are based on a stable net asset value per share. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at \$1.00 net asset value per share.

Note 4 - Commitments and Contingencies

As of June 30, 2020, the 2012 Measure G Fund had the following commitments with respect to unfinished projects:

Measure G Projects	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
Britton New Campus	\$ 4,742,670	August 2020
Nordstrom Classrooms	5,214,050	December 2020
JAMM Classrooms	7,321,050	November 2020
Classroom Technology	39,291	January 2021
Live Oak Fencing	47,100	June 2021
Martin Murphy Fencing	29,173	June 2021
Sobrato Fencing	52,700	June 2021
	\$ 17,446,033	

Litigation

The Fund is not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

Note 5 - 2012 Measure G Bonds

Summary

The outstanding 2012 Measure G general obligation bonds are as follows:

Issue Date	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Bonds Outstanding July 1, 2019	Redeemed	Bonds Outstanding June 30, 2020
2013	2042	2.00%-3.25%	\$ 55,000,000	\$ 45,880,000	\$ 270,000	\$ 45,610,000
2017	2048	2.69%-5.00%	80,000,000	74,165,000	6,035,000	68,130,000
			\$ 135,000,000	\$ 120,045,000	\$ 6,305,000	\$ 113,740,000

Repayment of the Bonds will be funded by a separate property tax override levied on property residing within the District boundaries. Property tax revenues will be collected and disbursed out of a separate Bond Interest and Redemption Fund under the control of the Santa Clara County Controller's Office. This fund is not included as part of these financial statements. General school district revenues will not be required to fund the debt service on the bonds.

Bonded Debt

The bonds mature through 2048 are as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest to Maturity</u>	<u>Total</u>
2021	\$ 4,005,000	\$ 4,475,163	\$ 8,480,163
2022	445,000	4,367,913	4,812,913
2023	540,000	4,348,213	4,888,213
2024	645,000	4,324,513	4,969,513
2025	750,000	4,292,863	5,042,863
2026-2030	5,815,000	20,732,640	26,547,640
2031-2035	13,085,000	19,098,034	32,183,034
2036-2040	23,010,000	15,657,621	38,667,621
2041-2045	35,915,000	9,788,000	45,703,000
2046-2048	29,530,000	1,831,400	31,361,400
	<u>\$ 113,740,000</u>	<u>\$ 88,916,360</u>	<u>\$ 202,656,360</u>
Total			



Independent Auditor's Report
June 30, 2020

Morgan Hill Unified School District



**Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Morgan Hill Unified School District (the District) 2012 Measure G Fund (the Fund) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 5, 2021.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Building Fund specific to 2012 Measure G are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of Morgan Hill Unified School District as of June 30, 2020, and the results of its operations for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Morgan Hill Unified School District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Fund's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's 2012 Measure G Fund financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Morgan Hill Unified School District's 2012 Measure G Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Sully LLP".

Menlo Park, California
January 5, 2021

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weaknesses identified	No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses	None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No

None reported.

Morgan Hill Unified School District
2012 Measure G Fund
Summary of Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
June 30, 2020

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.



Performance Audit
2012 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2020

Morgan Hill Unified School District



Independent Auditor's Report on Performance

Governing Board and
Citizens Oversight Committee
Morgan Hill Unified School District
Morgan Hill, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the Morgan Hill Unified School District (the District) 2012 Measure G Fund (the Fund) for the year ended June 30, 2020.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Appendix A contained in the *2019-2020 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting* issued by the California Education Audit Appeals Panel. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended 2012 Measure G Fund funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District's Governing Board, its management, and Citizens Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than this specified party.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park, California
January 5, 2021

Authority for Issuance

The general obligation bonds associated with 2012 Measure G were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

The District received authorization from an election held on November 6, 2012, to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$198,250,000 to finance specific construction and renovation projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The proposition required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District (the 2012 Authorization). The first series of the authorized bonds, Series A, in the amount of \$55,000,000, were sold on February 21, 2013. The second series of the authorized bonds, Series B, in the amount of \$80,000,000, were sold on October 17, 2017.

Purpose of Issuance

The Bonds are being issued to improve student access to computers and modern technology and provide a quality education, repair or replace leaky roofs, upgrade old plumbing, heating and cooling systems, classrooms and outdated restrooms, upgrade fire safety, maximize energy efficiency, improve handicapped accessibility, and modernize, construct and acquire classrooms, equipment, sites and facilities.

Authority for the Audit

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizen's oversight committee.
4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

Objectives of the Audit

1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of 2012 Measure G.
2. Determine whether salary transactions, charged to the Fund were in support of 2012 Measure G and not for District general administration or operations.

Scope of the Audit

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2020, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

Methodology

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, for the 2012 Measure G Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices, purchase orders, and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and 2012 Measure G as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

1. We identified expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
2. We selected a sample of expenditures using the following criteria:
 - a. We considered all expenditures recorded in all object codes, including transfers out.
 - b. We considered all expenditures recorded in all projects that were funded from July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 from 2012 Measure G bond proceeds.
 - c. We selected all expenditures that were individually significant expenditures. Individually significant expenditures were identified as individual transactions (expenditures) that exceeded approximately 2 percent of the total expenditures incurred.
 - d. For all items below the individually significant threshold identified in item 2c, judgmentally selected expenditures based on risk assessment and consideration of coverage of all object codes, including transfers out, and projects for period starting July 1, 2019 and ending June 30, 2020.
3. Our sample included transactions totaling \$16,318,453. This represents 83 percent of the total expenditures of \$19,594,462, including transfers out.
4. We reviewed the actual invoices and other supporting documentation to determine that:
 - a. Expenditures were supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipting goods or services.
 - b. Expenditures were supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable.
 - c. Expenditures were expended in accordance with voter-approved bond project list.
 - d. Bond proceeds were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.
5. We determined that the District has met the compliance requirement of 2012 Measure G if the following conditions were met:
 - a. Supporting documents for expenditures were aligned with the voter-approved bond project list.
 - b. Supporting documents for expenditures were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the Districts.

Conclusion

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Morgan Hill Unified School District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the 2012 Measure G Fund and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects.

None reported.

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.