

THE HARROVIAN

VOL. CXXXIII NO.10

November 21, 2020

LONG DUCKER

Ducker Fields, and everywhere, 15 November

After a dreary morning of Friday lessons, members of Newlands, Elmfield and West Acre took to the fields for the start of this year's Long Ducker. They ran with great spirit, despite the tough course and the unusual circumstances. Even more impressively, most managed to get themselves back to House, showered and to Period 3 on time! That of course excludes the usual itinerant stragglers who fight vociferously every year for the dubious crown of 'fastest walker', which this year, as ever, was hotly contested. The luck of the draw (or careful manoeuvring of House Masters) proved to be decisive, since the weather forecast for the Saturday morning, when the rest of the Houses were scheduled to run, promised to be a torrential downpour of biblical proportions.

The following day, after the usual Saturday fare of spirited morning lessons, the other nine Houses lined up (at 30-minute intervals to allow for distancing...) to complete the Long Ducker run. What had the day before been a pleasant stroll across the immaculately manicured fields of Harrow School now looked more akin to a foray into the Trenches, with rugby boots being the advisable footwear for the occasion. The first House away managed to dodge the worst of the weather, but over the course of the day routes had to be changed and emergency marshalling procedures implemented due to the weather, which caused the kind of logistical headache that only AC could enjoy. By the time Bradbys came out to run in the late afternoon, light was fading, and the hostility of the course around Newlands Wood proved nearly fatal to some boys, who found themselves upended by invisible tree roots or fallen on their backsides without any apparent slip – victims of the prevalence of slurry-like mud. If anything, however, in true Harrow spirit the weather proved an incentive to the boys and created an occasion to remember with an enormously positive impact on the local community, in a year that created enormous challenges for everyone.



So, now for the big reveal of the results.

In the 8km event, the fastest runners from each year group were as follows. From the Shell, Freddie Emery, *Moretons*; in the Remove, Julian Abass, *Elmfield*; in the Fifth Form, Tom Emery, *Moretons*; in the Lower Sixth, Felix Majumdar, *The Knoll*; and finally in the Upper Sixth, Jude Brankin-Frisby, *Newlands*.

This year saw the introduction of the Hempstall Dash, a 1km timed segment placed mid-way through the course. The third

fastest runner over the Hempstall Dash, from either the 8km or half-marathon event, was Graham Lambert, *Lyon's*, in 3 min 52 seconds. Second fastest was Tom Hobbs, *Newlands*, in 3 minutes and 40 seconds. Fastest through the Dash, though, was Tom Emery, *Moretons*, in a lightning-fast 3 mins 31 seconds.

As for the overall fastest runner in the 8km event: third place went to Julian Abass, *Elmfield*, in a time of 33 minutes. Second place to Tom Hobbs, *Newlands*, in 32 minutes; and in first place was Tom Emery, *Moretons*, in a mightily impressive 30 minutes 21 seconds.



In the half-marathon event, involving three gruelling laps and run by over 50 boys in the Sixth Form, third place went to Freddie Taylor, *Newlands*, in 1 hour 35 minutes; second to Eddie Jodrell, *Elmfield*, in 1 hour 34 minutes; and in first place, in 1 hour 30 minutes, was Graham Lambert, *Lyon's*.

Those who were keener to swim had the opportunity to do so, with races for the Short Double Ducker also on Friday. This entailed swimming 5km or 200 lengths. This event is the preserve of the Lower School: Shells, Removes and Fifth Form. It is worth noting that there was only one Shell competing this year, Alexander Moore, *Lyon's*. Coach Will blew the whistle to start the event. There was a wall of splashes as all ten competitors set off on their way. About halfway through the swim at 100 lengths, it looked as though Henry Gray, *Lyon's*, was on track to beat Maxwell Brooks', *West Acre*, Torpid record of 1 hour and 39 seconds from last year. Coach Will let Gray know that he was on track to break this record if he were able to maintain the same pace. Gray forged on, concentrating stroke by stroke in full effort. Nonetheless, in his final 100 lengths, Gray slowed ever so slightly meaning that he missed Brooks' record in the end by just over a minute. St John Smith, *Newlands*, came in second, seven minutes later, with Shell Alexander Moore touching in an impressive third at 1 hour 12 minutes and 35 seconds. It was clear that the two-event race was going to be close as Sebastian Moscoso, *The Knoll*, Jake Phillips, *Newlands*, and Justin Changbencharoen, *Lyon's*, were all within minutes of one another in the swim as well. Who would prevail on the run, for the combined best time?

The 5km swimmers competed in two heats. In the first heat, was record contender, Nick Finch, *Newlands*, whose mother last year tagged him as a non-long-distance swimmer. While she was saying that poolside, Finch was on track to break the Shell record and he managed to do so. Would he better that performance and take the Torpid record this year? Henry

Emerson, *Newlands*, one who has mentioned his ability to swim on numerous occasions but who has never surfaced at training, would he survive; would he impress? Tom Pearce, *Newlands*, a steely and stealthy sprint competitor, how would he handle this long distance event? Tamim Downe, *The Grove*, a pronounced lover of swimming, came grooving and jiving onto the poolside; Raulph Lubbe, *The Grove*, sharing his lane, entered this event with a pedigree of fiercely competitive times; what would 5km make of them? Shell OT swimmer Esahaan Firake, *Newlands*, with his long limbs and ambition; Nicklas Host Verbraak, *The Head Master's*, who often attacks his swimming training as though he has had a bad day and it is the water's fault; young men of steel and determination, Kiefer Yeo, *The Head Master's*, Hugo Bourne, *The Grove*, Charlie Tack, *Newlands*, Henry Webster, *Druries*, and John Yap, *Newlands*, rounding off the group – how would their metal play out in the pool?

The start was announced, the boys set off and, again all proved to push themselves to the outer extremes of their limits. Well done to all who took part in the pool today. This was a day to remember.

Those wishing to complete the courageous Long Double Ducker, as well as the 10km swimmers, rose to the challenge extremely early on Saturday morning – 6.30 am, whilst most of the School were still sound asleep! 400 lengths of the pool was the mountain faced to climb. All boys arrived in time, fully fuelled from good breakfasts, raring and ready to go. There was an excellent atmosphere, buoyed by Coach Will's excellent 1980s soundtrack. 'Wild Boys' played as the boys readied themselves to go. Maxwell Brooks was going to try for the record set by Andrew Hong, *Lyon's*, last year of 2 hours 4 minutes and 38 seconds. However, without having swum in the Summer term this year at all, would his stamina levels be sufficient?

Swimmers lined up in their lanes and were whistled to start. Immediately, Brooks looked like he meant business in his usual, languid but effective and powerful swimming style. Ethan Yeo, *The Head Master's*, George Rates, *Newlands*, and Z-Za Bencharit, *Elmfield*, all maintained steady pace. Hanno Sie, *Newlands*, and William Tate, *The Knoll*, sharing a lane, appeared to be setting an excellent and ambitious pace for one another. These two swimmers perhaps saw the biggest gains compared to previous performances. Ryan Lai, *The Grove*, in Lane 1, next to the big clock, seemed to be calculating his overall projected time with each stroke. After 100 lengths, Brooks was just under Hong's record pace at just over 30 minutes. All others looked strong and on target for the tie. Courage was in abundance.

At the halfway mark, 200 lengths, Brooks had dropped off pace slightly, but still was within striking distance of the record. After 300 lengths, it looked like more of a long shot, but within reach. All other swimmers in the pool – their backs varying shades of red and pink through muscle exertion – persevered, plugging away, length after length. Lai, in Lane 1, swam valiantly, on track for the tie for the first 200 lengths, but started fighting back his demons and muscle pain as he suffered relentlessly through that 'hurt locker' in the final 200 lengths, never giving up and carrying on with great resolve. (Lai also wins the prize for the most Lucozade consumed in a 10km swim, at six full bottles). Results follow for the placing of these chaps, but it must be said that they all were truly herculean in their efforts. Those who were going on to run for the Double Ducker all achieved times that were close enough to one other, within minutes, to make the impending 20km race remain an interesting and hugely competitive event. To swim 400 lengths, regardless of whether or not it was done in the required three-hour, tie-gaining time, is a huge accomplishment. Brooks left the pool vowing to try to go under the hour for the 5km next year while in pursuit of the 10km record.

As results trickled in from the run and places were calculated for overall winners of the Short and Long Double Ducker, some interesting placing emerged. Tate was the only recipient of the Double Ducker Tie this year, even though his combined

swim and run time placed him behind George Rates, last year's defending champion. In order to gain the Double Ducker Tie, one must complete both the swim and the run in the tie-gaining time: three hours to swim 10km/400 lengths, and two hours this year to complete the new run course.

The results are as follows:

In the Long Double Ducker, George Rates, *Newlands*, achieved first place with a time of 4 hours, 29 minutes and 51 seconds. In the Short Double Ducker, Henry Gray, *Lyon's*, came first with a time of 1 hour 38 minutes and 19 seconds. Max Brooks, *West Acre*, won the 10km swim, completing it in 2 hours 11 minutes and 7 seconds. Nick Finch, *Newlands*, took the top spot in the 5km swim in a time of 1 hour 5 minutes and 56 seconds.

It goes without saying that a huge thank you should be given to all the beaks who were involved with this event, particularly LSA, RCHA, and RMT. Every boy should be congratulated for their participation in what proved to be an extremely hard course. This year, the fundraising aspect of the event is extremely important. With the local communities being really badly hit by the pandemic now is an important time to raise money to aid their recovery.

SHERIDAN SOCIETY

Alfred Sun, Bradbys, "Residual Influences of Homer's Iliad and Odyssey", Copse 5, 12 November

*Oft of one wide expanse had I been told
That deep-brow'd Homer ruled as his demesne;
Yet did I never breathe its pure serene
Till I heard Chapman speak out loud and bold:
'On First Looking into Chapman's Homer', John Keats*

The third Sheridan Society meeting of the term took place online last Thursday with Alfred Sun, *Bradbys*, delivering a talk on 'The Residual Impacts of Homer's Iliad and Odyssey'. In his lecture, Sun outlined how Homer's vast influence extended to not only the Classical world, but also how it is inextricable from Western literature and contemporary pop culture.

Sun began by asserting Homer's impact on canonical texts such as Spenser's epic poem *The Faerie Queene* (1590), a fantastical allegory celebrating the reign of Elizabeth I that uses Homeric-style books for chapters and bases the character of Acrasia on the *Odyssey's* seductive sorceress Circe. John Milton similarly draws on Homer's epic proportions to describe the fall of Man in *Paradise Lost* (1667), ripe with epic allusions and invocations to Milton's very own angelic muse. Plato was likewise a great admirer of Homer, dubbing him the 'educator of all Greece' because of his 'reason, intelligence, worldliness, secularism, courage, honour, integrity and restraint' as described by Sun.

Having touched upon how such Homeric virtues are reflected in adaptations of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey* (like James Joyce's *Ulysses* and Derek Walcott's *Omeros*), 'swift-footed' Sun quickly moved on to discuss how the epic hero in particular has been taken up by Hollywood and repurposed for pop culture. He made the unusual yet plausible connection between the Greek Achilles and Chris Hemsworth's 'Homerised' Norse god Thor, flying through the Marvel universe with his mythological hammer 'Mjolnir' (Thunder); this example allowed Sun to illustrate how embedded Homer is into our society, although it is probably better to look towards Scandinavia rather than the Aegean Sea when searching for the origin of this mythological hero. Sun also successfully cited several blockbuster adaptations of the *Iliad* such as the 'box office success' *Troy* (2004), *Helen of Troy* (2003) and *Troy: Fall of a City* (2018) to further suggest Homer's residual influence in contemporary cinema. He then


drew a unique parallel between the trauma of warfare as depicted in the *Iliad* and that of the Vietnam War through the lens of Jonathan Shay's *Achilles in Vietnam*; this was enabled by his comparison of Patroclus's unanchored ghost hovering on the battle field with a quote from a veteran suffering from PTSD: "I won my war, it's you who lost!... What we lost in Vietnam was a lot of good kids!"

Many thanks go to Sun for delivering such an informative and interesting approach to Homer's influence throughout the ages; his interest in the *Iliad* and *Odyssey* as the 'cornerstones' of Western literature clearly shone through the screen. Any boy who fancies bettering the high standard of lecture posted by Sun should not hesitate to contact JDS about arranging their own talk on the subject of anything literature related.

PUDDING, BULLIES & SQUASHES

Early Public School Football Codes
A new book edited by Malcolm Tozer

Versions of football have been played all over the world for millennia. Britain is certainly not the birthplace of football, but it is where the two principal codes of football, association and rugby, were given shape, form and discipline.



The cradle for the codification of football was the 19th-century public school. Rugby School is well known as the originator of its eponymous code, but in the mid-19th-century there was a remarkable set of locally varied rules under which "football" was played.

This collection of new essays traces the evolution of those games in 20 schools. Most were shaped by the space available to them: cloister or yard, street or field. The nature of Harrow's game was determined by the deep, sticky mud at the bottom of the Hill, said to have been over a foot deep in the early years of the 19th century.

The title alludes to the imagination of those schoolboys of long ago, who invented terms to describe aspects of their game. "Puddings", "bullies" and "squashes" were the terms used at Radley, Uppingham and Charterhouse to describe that distinctive feature of nearly every early public-school football game: the scrum. The massed boys of one team – maybe half the whole school – pushed hard to drive the ball through the other half while the defenders did their utmost to stop them.

The widespread popularity of football in the world has often been ascribed to its simplicity: the rules are easy to grasp and the game in its basic form is easy to play. But this has not always been the case, as these essays show. Indeed the ingenuity as to what made a good game is intriguing and the inventiveness of the names often requires Latin and Greek dictionaries.

The problems began when old boys from different schools met up at university and wanted to play football together: they had all been playing to different rules. This was resolved by the formation of the Football Association in 1863 and the Rugby Football Union in 1871, but some schools continued to play their own games. Some, notably at Eton, Harrow and Winchester, have survived but most have withered away, assimilated into the now highly professional codes of association and rugby football.

If you have ever wondered about the reasons for the off-side law, the origins of "in touch" and "a try" you may find the answers in *Pudding, Bullies and Squashes*. And if you have wondered why Harrow football, along with the Eton field and wall games and Winchester College football, continues to thrive where other school games have withered, you may find the answer here too.

The Harrow essay was written by Dale Vargas (*Druries*, 1952³), who was in the Harrow Football XI of 1956 and was Master-in-Charge of Football 1971–75.

SUDOKU

Persevera per severa per se vera

					1		8	
	9			4				
	2	7	3					
	3	5	1	7	8		6	
		8		2		5		
4		6	9		3	2	1	
1	4		7		6		9	5
7	8	9		1		6	3	2
	6	3	8	9	2		4	7

METROPOLITAN

PHOTOGRAPH COMPETITION

The New Norm

I would like to thank everyone for their entries; there have been some really fascinating perceptions of the title. 'Norm' is defined as 'accepted standards of behaviour of social groups'; I think the chosen pictures (shown below) best show these new examples of 'accepted behaviour' during the pandemic.



Winner: *Social Distancing* by Chris Liu, *The Head Master's*

This is quite a humorous approach to the title, suggesting that everyone is so focused on being 'COVID-safe' that even two birds are making sure they keep their distance. Once again, if we saw this scene a year ago, we wouldn't think twice about it. However, it has taken on a special meaning in the providence of Covid.



The New Halloween by Saarvin Cambatta-Mistry, *Lyon's*

It's images like these we will look back on in the future and remember how we had to change our lifestyle. It's strange to think that, during Halloween last year, we had never heard of coronavirus and yet it is now having an impact on what we can do each day. Terms such as 'social distancing' were rarely used BC (before Covid) .



Start of the Day by June Hyun, *West Acre*

All of us doing online lessons during lockdown will definitely be able to relate to this image. June said, "It is a representation of what I do and see most of the day. Taken at 6.30am, it shows what my plans are for the day." The closed curtains and headphones work really well to show how closed off everyone is from society at the moment. Before coronavirus, it wouldn't be socially understandable to spend four months in your room. However, it became the norm and being outside became unexpected by society at some points this year.

OPINION

CORRESPONDENCE

DEAR SIRs,

I was thoroughly amused to open last week's Harrovian and find Chang baselessly accuse me of "utter ignorance", contradicting myself, and logical fallacies. It is clear that Chang has markedly misrepresented my argument whilst putting forward a fairly unconvincing one himself.

Chang begins his argument with unsound logic: he hastily tallies up the number of letters Winward has written, subtracts the numerous profoundly positive letters I mentioned, and finally assumes that the rest were largely "pointless, unnecessary, or unbeneficial". Chang only provides one example of a questionable letter from Winward throughout both his correspondences, before baselessly lumping dozens of other letters along with it.

It was entertaining to see Chang shrug off the fallaciousness of his letter, reasoning that it cannot be fallacious as I did not "mention a single" fallacy by name, rather opting to describe his logical faults in a more reader-friendly manner. I would like to remind him that the noun fallacy refers merely to the use of faulty reasoning; there is not a distinct list from which I must have named fallacies in order to have demonstrated the several instances of flawed logic throughout his letter. That being said, I am happy to humour his request to name some: he commits the fallacy of composition as he assumes most of Winward's

remaining letters must follow the trend of one disagreeable letter; he commits the straw man fallacy as he erroneously claims I implied that “Winward is exempt from fallacies”; and he comically commits the so-called “fallacy fallacy”, assuming that my entire argument is invalid simply because he can throw some philosophical jargon at it. I also doubt the applicability of his jargon, given that some basic online research finds myself innocent of committing the accused “tu quoque fallacy”.

Chang accuses me of delivering my response to his kit-sale example “with no justification whatsoever”. In his first letter, he describes questionable behaviour from Druries Sixth Formers, including “pressure” tactics and “[overcharging]”, before going on to give a generalised example of a “timid Shell” being “scammed”. In my first letter, I justifiably described the practice of pressuring, overcharging, and scamming a Shell as “unkind”, but Chang seems to prefer the term “insistent”. He falsely assumes that this is less likely to happen if it requires breaching a rule, such as a commerce ban, but fails to recognise that his hypothetical scenario already breaks the rules, in making other boys feel uncomfortable.

He curiously continues, mysteriously stating that my premise about ordering an additional calculator is “incorrect” whilst offering absolutely no explanation to support this. He then praises the usefulness of a “spare” calculator, despite my previous explanation that owning an unwanted, additional calculator can actually prove wasteful. He also fails to recognise that if a boy is in a position where he does not own a calculator, whether he obtains one from Amazon or the next door over does not change the fact that he will continue to lack a spare after the transaction.

Chang then makes an argument from silence: he incorrectly assumes that because I did not respond to a certain point, about Winward’s ungratefulness for the “opportunities... offered” by Harrow School, I must agree with him. It is doubtful whether Chang ever actually makes the point he claims to have: a close inspection of his first letter finds no specific mention of the “opportunities” afforded by Harrow that so many boys like myself greatly appreciate. I would like to remind him that I am unable to respond to, and by his logic agree with, points that have not been made!

I find it almost concerningly ironic that Chang accuses Winward of being “ungrateful for the great opportunities” offered by Harrow. In fact, I defy him to name a boy who is more grateful for and involved in these opportunities than Winward, who leads several societies, edits all major student publications, and frequently represents winning Harrow teams at international competitions.

It was amusing to see Chang call himself a “man of science”: having had the misfortune of sharing a Physics class with him for the past few months, it is clear he is more a man of philosophy. Just as I thought his letter could get no more ironic, he horrified me by describing Occam’s razor as scientific, and then using it to discriminate between two theories! Whilst the razor can occasionally be a helpful heuristic or rule of thumb, it is not as sharp as Chang thinks: it is only used in science to simplify hypotheses to make them more testable, not as a remotely genuine arbiter between theories. Indeed, many scientists refer to the razor as a medieval artefact that is simply inapplicable to science today. There is perhaps no better example here than life, the deeply complex nature of which runs entirely against Occam’s razor. It is clear that Chang’s baseless application of disjointed bits of philosophy to call something “scientifically more likely” is entirely irrelevant here and borders on metaphysics.

Chang proceeds to misrepresent my point about Churchill’s quote. I firstly stated that Winward was not quoting Churchill, and that even if he were quoting Churchill as Chang claimed, Chang had entirely misunderstood Churchill’s actual point. Chang somehow calls this a “lack of care in” my “logical reasoning”, but it is evident that there has been a “lack of care” in his own reading.

Rather than using Chang’s pseudo-probabilistic approach to inaccurately infer whom Winward was quoting, I exercised some basic common sense and simply asked him. It turns out Winward was quoting the Deputy Head Master’s speech to Fifth Formers last year, in which the “1,000 regulations” were mentioned. Chang’s unsound approach, which he somehow manages to describe as “both logical and scientifically more [accurate]”, clearly falls flat in the face of basic reason.

In the final analysis, it is clear as day that Chang has flaunted his knowledge of philosophical jargon, but has failed to make a single criticism of my letter that actually stands. Looking beyond his dubious use of numbers and pseudo-logical arguments, it is difficult to find an actually relevant point in his letter, making it clear that there is little in the way of genuine criticism of Winward’s letter writing. I would urge Chang not to continue airing his dirty laundry in these hallowed pages, but rather to engage in discourse with me directly, so as to reach a more reasonable and amicable conclusion.

Yours faithfully,
AAKASH AGGARWAL, LYON’S

P.S. Chang and I are on good terms, and I hope this letter finds him well.

[P.P.S. It might be necessary to settle the controversy with a good old fashioned Harrovian Poll. –Eds.]

DEAR SIRs,

I have just found out that to be eligible for the Long Ducker bow tie one must have raised £500 on top of running the 20km race faster than the previous year. Now £500 is insignificant to some and a hell of a lot to others. At a School where students come from a diverse range of backgrounds, I think it is wrong to have any monetary requirements of any kind for this award. A quick glance at the ‘donators’ section of the Virgin-money giving page shows that the vast majority of donations come from close family. Consequently, the bow tie is now an indicator mostly of how rich one’s own family is, and has little implication to how fast you run Long Ducker. Furthermore, being rewarded only for the amount raised for charitable causes means the altruistic motivation to do so is lost, and this potentially leads to lower overall donations. The School should encourage selfless charitable initiatives and being rewarded to do so could potentially instil the wrong idea in boys’ heads.

This awards tie is now a quite controversial topic around the School, and I think that the basis of the award should be re-evaluated.

Yours Sincerely,
JIMMY NOBLE, THE GROVE

GAFFE AND GOWN

Quips from around the Hill

“No, I’m not interested. I’m too ‘woke’ to play pool.”

“I’ve got a great idea: if the School is selling House masks for COVID, I think they should also start selling House condoms for STIs.”

“I’m going to head to bed early I think. “Oh, so now you’re a bit of a sleep neek are you?”

SPORTS

SWIMMING

Results of Round Two of the Asia League

Harrow Bangkok kindly extended an invitation to Harrow London to join a virtual swimming league in the Far East which consists of the some of the strongest swimming schools in that area. Participating in this league are: Tanglin, Dulwich Singapore, Bangkok Pantana, Singapore American School, Harrow Bangkok and Harrow School. From this second round, there was some very competitive swimming with close timings and rankings in many of the races. Here are some of the results.

Event	Swimmer	Placing	Time
200m Individual Medley, Under-14	Nick Finch, <i>Newlands</i>	1st of 24	2:16:57
200m Individual Medley, Under-14	Alexander Moore, <i>Lyon's</i>	5th	2:33:11
200m Individual Medley, Under-18	Maxwell Brooks, <i>West Acre</i>	4th of 21	2:24:69
200m Individual Medley, Under-18	Sebastian Moscoso, <i>The Knoll</i>	12th	2:37:42
200m Individual Medley, Under-18	Apollo Wilkins, <i>The Knoll</i>	15th	2:41:12
50m Freestyle Under-14	Tom Pearce, <i>Newlands</i>	1st of 29	26.54
50m Freestyle Under-14	Tamir Zolboo, <i>The Head Master's</i>	6th	28.09
50m Freestyle Under-18	James Rates, <i>Newlands</i>	10th	26.46
100m Breaststroke Under-14	Adam Wong, <i>The Park</i>	1st of 15	1:08:84
100m Breaststroke Under-14	Kiefer Yeo, <i>The Head Master's</i>	3rd	1.12.68
100m Breaststroke Under-18	Ethan Yeo, <i>The Head Master's</i>	1st	1.07.56
100m Breaststroke Under-18	Apollo Wilkins, <i>The Knoll</i>	9th	1.24.66
100m Breaststroke Under-14	Joe Storey, <i>Newlands</i>	6th	1.14.75
50m Butterfly Under-14	Nick Finch, <i>Newlands</i>	1st	26.83

50m Butterfly Under-18	Data Photpipat, <i>The Head Master's</i>	1st	28.79
50m Butterfly Under-18	George Rates, <i>Newlands</i>	3rd	30.14
100m Backstroke Under-14	Henry Gray, <i>Lyon's</i>	1st	1.02.31
100m Backstroke Under-14	Alexander Moore, <i>Lyon's</i>	3rd	1.09.13
100m Backstroke Under-18	Henry Pearce, <i>Newlands</i>	6th	1.08.27
100m Backstroke Under-18	Justin Changbencharoen, <i>Lyon's</i>	9th	1.11.16
100m Backstroke Under-18	Max Wilson, <i>The Park</i>	10th	1.13.33
50m Breaststroke Under-14	Kiefer Yeo, <i>The Head Master's</i>	2nd	33.13
50m Breaststroke Under-18	Mark Zeng, <i>Elmfield</i>	1st	31.14
50m Breaststroke Under-18	Joe Storey, <i>Newlands</i>	3rd	33.85
100m Freestyle Under-14	Tom Pearce, <i>Newlands</i>	1st	58.06
100m Freestyle Under-18	Z-Za Bencharit, <i>Elmfield</i>	7th	57.27
50m Backstroke Under-14	Henry Gray, <i>Lyon's</i>	1st	31.54
50m Backstroke Under-18	Andre Ma, <i>Moretons</i>	4th	33.18
100m Butterfly Under-14	Nick Finch, <i>Newlands</i>	1st	58.61
100m Butterfly Under-18	Jake Phillips, <i>Newlands</i>	8th	1.10.72

Overall boy team placing:
 1st Harrow School (London)
 2nd Bangkok Patana
 3rd Harrow Bangkok (Lions Swim Team)
 4th Tanglin
 5th Dulwich Singapore
 6th Singapore American School

We would like to express our deepest thanks to Harrow Bangkok for inviting us to participate in this league. It was a great motivator for our swimmers. Integrating our stats into their programme must have been quite an onerous task and for that we are extremely grateful. Thank you Harrow Bangkok.

Ways to contact *The Harrovian*

Articles, opinions and letters are always appreciated.

Email the Master-in-Charge smk@harrowschool.org.uk

Read the latest issues of The Harrovian online at harrowschool.org.uk/Harroviaan