



**ROCKFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION
INVITATION FOR BID ON SUPPLIES, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR SERVICES
FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 205
ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

IFB No. **21-03 Special Needs and Early Childhood Transportation**

DATE: **November 17, 2020**

RE: **ADDENDUM NO. 4**

To All Bidders:

Included are modifications, clarifications and/or corrections for the Project Manual and are hereby made a part of the contract documents. Please attach this addendum to the Project Manual(s) in your possession. Please note the receipt of this addendum on the bid form. Bidders shall review changes to all portions of this work as changes to one portion may affect the work of another.

If you plan to hand deliver your IFB submission on the due date, please note you must check in on the 2nd floor prior to coming to the bid opening. Please allow time for this as late submission will not be accepted.

Refer all questions relative to the business aspect, Instructions to Bidders, Special Conditions, and questions concerning the technical aspect of the documents to the Director of Purchasing by email at purchasingdeptstaff@rps205.com.

ROCKFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION

CLARIFICATIONS

This addendum includes Requests for Information (RFI) to date, corresponding answers, and an Excel version of the Trip Data.

By: Dane Youngblood
Director of Purchasing

CLARIFICATIONS

Below are Requests for Information (RFI) to date and corresponding answers.

1. Is the district still planning to be able to dictate certain vehicles for certain students as it has in the past?
 - a. Yes.
2. Historically some schools have been known to unload children at the stated unload time, while others were expected to wait on the bus an additional 5-15 minutes at the same school. Will this practice continue?
 - a. It could as these are building level decisions that get made without notice to Transportation.
3. Historically after school has started School Administrators have changed the am unload times to something other than what is listed, will this practice continue?
 - a. It could as these are building level decisions that get made without notice to Transportation.
4. On the revised bid form for children listed for summer school (item numbers 27, 28, and 29), since we need to multiple costs by 197 days, do we assume that those children go year around (172 regular school days and 25 summer school days)?
 - a. Yes.
5. There have always been 2 charges one for a seat if it is a district or parent provided nurse or aide and contractor provided at an hourly rate. Is either one being eliminated?
 - a. Nothing is being eliminated on purpose.
6. In the aide line for the contractor provided aide?
 - a. Correct.
7. Is district only allowing payroll in the event of a shut down or is it payroll and a fixed %? If it is a fixed % what is that %?
 - a. Language has been included in draft contract included in addendum three.
8. Please provide definitions for pricing items; what is double address, etc.?
 - a. A double address is more than one pick-up or drop-off address. We normally only allow one pick-up and one drop-off address. (this is when we pick up at one address and drop off at a different address, for instance, we pick up at home in the am and drop at sitter in pm). Is this being changed?
 - a. This is not being changed.
9. In the Supplemental Terms and Conditions of the RFP, the document appears to misstate the insurance required under 625 ILCS 5/12-707.01 which requires “any vehicle that is used for a purpose that requires a school bus driver permit under section 6-104 of this code shall carry a minimum of liability insurance in the amount of \$2,000,000. This minimum insurance requirement may be satisfied by either (i) a \$2,000,000 combined single limit primary commercial automobile policy ; or (ii) a \$1 million primary commercial automobile policy and a minimum \$5,000,000 excess or umbrella liability policy;” Would the district consider amending the requirement under this section to the limits in the statute? And allowing for additional limits under the Umbrella section to achieve the districts ultimate desired limits of coverage?
 - a. No changes to insurance requirements at this time.

10. The document has two automobile coverage limits requirements: Comprehensive Auto Liability and Business Auto Liability with inconsistent limits stated. Would the district consider stating the requirement for Commercial Auto Liability as those required by state statute and address any additional limits necessary under the Umbrella Liability requirements?
 - a. [No changes to insurance requirements at this time.](#)

11. Under the Comprehensive Auto Liability requirements, it appears the uninsured motorist requirement is stated in a manner inconsistent with commercial automobile coverage which is a single limit for per an individual and double the single limit for an occurrence.
 - a. [No changes to insurance requirements at this time.](#)

12. The General Liability Policy requirement includes Garage Liability and Garage Keepers Liability coverage. Given that the RFP does not call for the contractor to engage in either services for the district (repairing or storing of non-owned vehicles on behalf of the district), would the district consider removing those requirements?
 - a. [No changes to insurance requirements at this time.](#)

13. The General Liability Policy requirement requires aggregate limits in addition to the general aggregate policy limit for per project and products/completed operations aggregates. As those two exposures are typically associated with construction projects and not transportation (as the GL coverage does not apply to the operation of vehicles and the completed operations exposure ends after the route is finished) and the policy is written on an occurrence basis, would the district consider removing these requirements?
 - a. [No changes to insurance requirements at this time.](#)