

Weslaco Independent School District

Horton DAEP

Improvement Plan

2020-2021

Mission Statement

The mission of Horton DAEP is to build a culture using restorative practices where students, parents, teachers, administrators, support staff and the community come together to build relationships in a positive way and redirect student behavior so that each and every student attains success and becomes a productive citizen.

Vision

The vision of Horton DAEP is to minimize student misbehavior and improve our students' attitude through a positive and genuine approach. We are empowering our students to be respectful and successful, one circle at a time through restorative practices.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Learning	13
School Processes & Programs	23
Perceptions	29
Priority Problem Statements	34
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	35
Goals	36
Goal 1 : STUDENT SUCCESS/LITERACY FOCUS-High-quality, innovative, technology-savvy programs.	36
Goal 2 : POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT-Safe, secure, technology-rich schools and facilities.	51
Goal 3 : PARENT-COMMUNITY-BUSINESS-INDUSTRY RELATIONS-Superior customer service, positive communication, and collaboration for student success.	56
Goal 4 : CAPACITY-BUILDING/LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT-Quality, customized professional development for all employees.	62
Goal 5 : FINANCIAL STRENGTH-Responsible planning, management, and accountability.	64
State Compensatory	66
Budget for Horton DAEP	66
Personnel for Horton DAEP	69
Title I Personnel	70
Campus Funding Summary	71
Addendums	74

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

1. Who are we? With whom are we engaged? With what level of success? How do we know?

We are a group of understanding educators working with 100% at-risk students. The level of success varies with each individual, however most of our at-risk students have a deficiency with their studies due to going in and out of the gen-pop schools.

2. Are our stakeholders included in the planning process as authentic, valuable, contributing partners in the development and implementation of the improvement plan?

The stakeholders that take part in the planning process are valuable, contributing partners. Stakeholders included in the development and implementation of the improvement plan are the campus principal, teachers, and all other staff members.

3. Do our special programs align with the needs and desires of our students, parents and community? (e.g. Gifted/Talented, CTE, SCE, Bilingual/ESL, Special Education, Title, Fine Arts, Athletics) Do they align with the philosophy and beliefs of our teachers and administrators?

Horton DAEP has a Special Education program that aligns with the needs of students and parents. The Special Education teachers believe in the importance of this program and are committed to meeting the educational needs of all students.

4. How would we describe our school? Example details might include: size, grade span, age, location, admission policies (magnet, open enrollment, neighborhood school), etc.

- Horton DAEP is located in Weslaco, Tx. The small disciplinary campus serves students from four middle schools and four high schools within Weslaco ISD. Students can be removed from their home base campus and attend Horton DAEP under Chapter 37 of the Texas Education Agency Code.

STUDENTS

5. What are the Race/Ethnicity (7 groups) of the students we serve? List the percentage of each group: African American, Hispanic, White, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, Two-or -More Races and how this differs from previous years.

- According to the 2016-2017 Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR), 98.7% of the students served at Horton DAEP were Hispanic and the other

1.3% were White. African American, American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islander, and Two-or-More Races were all at 0%. In 2017-2018, 100% were Hispanic and all other race/ethnicities were at 0%. Race/Ethnicity group percentages served at Horton DAEP from previous years compare closely.

6. What are the various Student Groups (5 groups) we serve? List the percentage in each group: economically disadvantaged, English learner, migrant, special education, and at-risk. List the percentage of other designations, such as homeless, and discuss trends, etc.

- In 2016-2017, Horton DAEP served the following populations: 96% economically disadvantaged, 44% ELL, 13% migrant, 10% special education, and 100% at-risk. Percentages for student groups in 2017-2018 are as follows: 98% economically disadvantaged, 34% ELL, 13% migrant, 13% special education, and 100% at-risk.

7. Are enrollment numbers declining or increasing? What trends are seen in an analysis of first semester vs. second semester? How has enrollment changed over the last 3-5 years?

The enrollment numbers have been increasing at Horton DAEP. For the school year of 2017-2018, the total enrollment at HORTON DAEP was at 317 students. For the school year of 2018-2019, the total enrollment at Horton DAEP was at 334 students.

8. What are the student mobility rates? What support systems are in place to assist these students? Where do students go and come from?

2015-2016 393 were the students mobility rate.

9. How many students withdraw each year without moving to home school or to attend other public/charter/private schools?

Estimated (54-55) students a year.

10. What are the dropout rates and completion rates? Which students and student groups are dropping out? What interventions and support systems are in place to reduce these numbers and keep students in school?

2016-2017 (23 dropouts were reported).

2016-2017 (5 graduated).

Our school leavers receive daily phone calls, sent notifications, as well as home visits to inform the parents and students.

11. How many graduates go on to community college? Universities? Trade schools? Work?

Not Applicable

12. How many graduates meet college course entrance requirements (without remediation) by gender, ELL status, ethnicity, race and socioeconomic status?
Not Applicable

13. How many students graduate from high school with college credit?

2017-2018 3.7% graduated with college credit at Horton Discipline Alternative Education Program

14. What is the student employment rate while in high school?

100% of high school students assign to Horton DAEP are not employed in any private or public employment.

15. What are the attendance and tardy rates? What trends are seen over the last 3-5 years? What interventions are in place to promote high attendance? What are the campus procedures to track and respond to unexcused absences, tardiness and other practices to improve attendance? What does the student-level data reveal about excused absences, unexcused absences and tardiness?

The attendance rates have shown improvement over the last few years. In 2016-2017 the attendance rate was at 79%, in 2017-2018 the attendance rate was 80%, and in 2018-2019 the rate went up to 84%. The Attendance Committee was created in the 2018-2019 school year to create incentives for improving the attendance at the Horton DAEP campus. Students with good attendance for the whole week are allowed to wear a spirit shirt and receive snacks every Friday. Students who have zero absences for the 20 days or 45 days are given a gift card from a local business.

16. What are the discipline rates? Do the rates vary between gender, ethnicity, and/or race?

2017-2018 we had reduced OSS to 48% less than previous year. At the end of the year, it was close to the previous year.

17. What are the number and types of students enrolled in each special program (e.g. gifted/talented, AP/IB, Honors, RtI, remedial, CTE, fine arts, athletics,

Headstart, PreK, summer school)

Not Applicable

18. N/A

- Migrant – Do they return each year? What time of year? Yes, September. 2018 percentage for migrants was about 2 %
- Homeless – What services are available? Percentage was 1 %
- Special Education – Analyze by student groups and race/ethnicity. What does the referral data indicate about students who qualified versus those who do not qualify?
- English Learners – How effective are the services and supports provided in meeting the cognitive, linguistic and affective needs of ELLs?
- At-Risk - Does the district/campus only use state indicators or are local indicators also included? Which programs are available for students at-risk of dropping out? How are students targeted to participate and what is the participation rate?

All students are coded At Risk

STAFF QUALITY, RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION

19. Who are our staff members? Discuss the percentage of state certified, number years' experience, race/ethnicity and how these differ from previous years.

- For the 2019-2020 school year, Horton DAEP currently has 24 staff members. 12 staff members are teachers and 2 are instructional aides. 100% of the teachers at Horton DAEP are certified in the state of Texas and fifty-four percent have less than 10 years experience. 92% of the teachers are Hispanic and the remaining 8% are White. These numbers compare very closely with previous years.

20. Are instructional paraprofessionals highly qualified? What types and levels of training do they have?

- Both instructional paraprofessionals on campus are highly qualified. One paraprofessional has an associate's degree and the other has a bachelor's degree. Both have training in working with At-Risk students and Nonviolent Crisis Intervention.

21. What are the retention rates for employees? What systems are in place to support new teachers? What strategies and structures are in place to build capacity?

- Weslaco ISD hosts a district wide new employee orientation in order to support all new teachers.

22. What professional development and resources are needed? How are these needs identified?

Staff Development Trainings: working with at-risk students, anger management, calming techniques, homeless awareness, CPI training, poverty awareness, as well as, restorative practices.

Due to the onset of COVID-19 staff has undergone intensive technical training in the areas of Google Classroom, Zoom and other teaching tools to ensure the most effective and positive learning experiences for students' virtual learning environments. These needs are identified through District and campus needs assessments based on student performance and resources available.

23. What professional development is available? In what format? How often? What follow-up support is available? What structures are in place to ensure that teachers and others implement what they learn?

The district has Professional Learning community trainings at least once a month to discuss the curriculum. They also ensure trainings for advance learning in specific topics from technology to sub-categories in different departments. Each subject-area strategist will come in for meetings with their department to collaborate and help plan for the next few weeks. The district provides a curriculum map the majority of the subjects.

24. What support is available for teachers whose student performance is below district and/or state standards?

Professional developmental trainings are available, collaborating with other faculty and staff, as well collaborating with the special education teachers.

25. How are the strengths of the most effective teachers shared with others?

- Strengths of the most effective teachers are shared with others through communication, collaboration, and P.L.C. meetings. Strengths are also shared with others through within the campus classroom observation opportunities. Included are walk-throughs at different campuses for teachers to experience and gain knowledge from their colleagues.

26. Are positions funded with state special allotment and federal funds evaluated every year for necessity and effectiveness?

Positions at Horton DAEP are funded through state compensatory funds, not federal funds. Only one Instructional Aide position is funded by Title I. These positions are evaluated every year for necessity and effectiveness.

27. What is the average class size? Does class size vary between subjects?

The average class size for the 2019-2020 at the start of the school year for high school is 3 to 4 students. Class size for the middle school is 2 to 3 students.

The average class size is about 4-10 students per class in middle school. High school classes tend to be closer to 8-15 students per class. These numbers depend on the other schools and when the students get sent to our campus.

28. EQUITY PLAN (DISTRICT CNA ONLY) The Equity Plan will be folded into the district's CNA and into the DIP using the TEA documents located at texasequitytoolkit.org. The same district committee that develops the district's CNA and DIP will (1) review and analyze equity data, (2) conduct a root

cause analysis, and (3) select strategies for the Equity Plan. The equity data to review includes both TEA defined data and District defined data:

- TEA defined data

- > Experience – teachers with 2 or fewer years of prior teaching experience

- All teachers on campus have more than 2 years of teaching experience.

- > Out-of -field – teachers who do not possess the appropriate certification based on the state assignment chart for the grade and subject taught.

- District defined data

- > How does the district measure teaching performance?

The district measures teachers performance through T-TESS expectations, conferences, and formal/informal walkthroughs.

> How does the district measure effective teaching as it relates to student learning?

-Students are measured by six weeks exams, end of course exams, curriculum based assessments, informal/ formal assessment, and STAAR assessments.

> How does the district measure student perspectives and student behavior that reveal engagement in the classroom or at school?

PARENTS/GUARDIANS and COMMUNITY

29. What does our community look like? Describe the community and residents, including parents. Details might include: major professions, age and status of community (growing, declining, languages spoken, etc.)

Our community tends to be at a low socioeconomic status. Our parents are low socioeconomic, 100% at risk. The age range is about 35-45. The majority of the professions tend to be labor works. They are dominantly spanish speaking with no increase or decline in language change.

30. Who are the major employers in the community? How do we interact with them?

Do parents commute or work locally?

Parents in our community tend to work locally. The majority of the employers in the community are schools and hospitals.

31. What are parents' and the community's expectations for students after graduation? Go to work? Attend college? Join the military? Other?

The expectation for students after graduation are primarily to attend college. Some parents strive for the students to join the military and work, but the major trend is college.

32. What is the level of involvement in school activities? What is the percentage of public schools vs. charter schools and private schools? How do the other schools impact us?

Students assigned to the Horton DAEP are not allowed to take part in school activities at their home campus.

There is no impact from other school districts at the Horton DAEP campus. One hundred percent of the students assigned to Horton DAEP campus are

enrolled in the WISD district.

33. What does community crime data reveal? Is the community viewed as a safe place to live and raise children?

The overall crime rate in Weslaco is 109% higher than the national average. For every 100,000 people, there are 16.36 daily crimes that occur in Weslaco. Weslaco is safer than 13% of the cities in the United States. In Weslaco you have a 1 in 17 chance of becoming a victim of any crime.

Overall Rank (1=Best) ⬆️	City ⬆️	Total Score ⬆️	'Family Life & Fun' Rank ⬆️	'Education, Health & Safety' Rank ⬇️	'Affordability' Rank ⬆️	'Socioeconomic Environment' Rank ⬆️
--------------------------------	---------	-------------------	-----------------------------------	---	----------------------------	--

Weslaco, TX	35.20	66	109	102	110
--------------------	--------------	-----------	------------	------------	------------

34. Is there a prison or juvenile detention center nearby? Are parents or siblings incarcerated?

- There is a Juvenile Detention Center, the Hidalgo County Jail, and Lopez State Jail nearby in Edinburg, Texas. Some parents and siblings are incarcerated within these systems.

35. What adult education courses/services are available?

Adult education courses and services available are GED program at local Weslaco Library and training programs at Texas Workforce solutions. 2-1-1 Texas, is a program of the Texas Health and Human Services Commission, is committed to helping Texas citizens connect with the services they need. Program helps in finding food or housing, child care, crisis counseling or substance abuse treatment, by dialing 211.

36. Are there universities and/or community colleges in our community? How do we interact with them?

WISD Early College, South Texas Community College, Texas State Technical College, UTRGV, Rio Grande Valley college. Our School Counselor invites, speakers, representatives and counselors to conduct presentation to our students about their colleges and technical schools.

Demographics Strengths

- Reduction of out of school suspension

- Attendance Interventions implemented such as home visits, teacher/attendance phone calls, truancy citation process.

- Qualified Staff, all teachers are highly qualified by the Texas Education Agency.

Building positive relationships with students through the implementation of Restorative Practices.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: There is currently no Parent in the SBDM Committee to voice any concerns with regards to any issues for our campus. **Root Cause:** We have constant turnover in students that we cannot keep the same parent throughout the same school year.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

61. What progress has been made by students? Describe the domain ratings: student achievement, school progress and closing the gaps.

Progress has been made in the area of charting number of students tested along with mastery rates in testing areas grades 6-12. Data as follows:

End of Course	#Students Tested		17-18	#Students Tested		18-19
Testing Areas			Results			Results
High School	5			24		
Eng I EOC	0%	0		Eng I EOC	20%	1
Approaches				Approaches		
Eng I EOC		5		Eng I EOC		23
Not Approaches				Not Approaches		
Eng I EOC		0		Eng I EOC		0
Not Tested				Not Tested		
	5				15	
Eng II EOC		No Data		Eng II EOC	20%	3
Approaches				Approaches		
Eng II EOC		No Data		Eng II EOC		12
Not Approaches				Not Approaches		
English II EOC		No Data		English II EOC		0
Not Tested				Not Tested		

End of Course Testing Areas	#Students Tested	17-18 Results	#Students Tested	18-19 Results
	5		17	
Alg I	0%	0	Alg I	21% 3
Approaches			Approaches	
Alg I		5	Alg I	14
Not Approaches			Not Approaches	
Alg I		0	Alg I	0
Not Tested			Not Tested	
	5		11	
US History		No Data	US History	22% 2
Approaches			Approaches	
US History		No Data	US History	9
Not Approaches			Not Approaches	
US History		No Data	US History	0
Not Tested			Not Tested	
	5		16	
Biology		No Data	Biology	31% 5
Approaches			Approaches	
Biology		No Data	Biology	11
Not Approaches			Not Approaches	

End of Course	#Students	17-18	#Students	18-19
Testing Areas	Tested	Results	Tested	Results
Biology		No Data	Biology	0
Not Tested			Not Tested	
At-Risk	5		At-Risk	24
SpEd	0		SpEd	5
504	1		504	No Data
ESL	0		ESL	14
Migrant	No Data		Migrant	0
GT	No Data		GT	0
Hispanic	5		Hispanic	24
Caucasian	No Data		Caucasian	0

End of Course	#Students	17-18	#Students	18-19
Testing Areas	Tested	Results	Tested	Results
		No Data	Middle School	4
			6th Grade Reading Approaches	0%
			6th Grade Reading Not Approaches	4
			6th Grade Reading Not Tested	0
				5
		No Data	6th Grade Math Approaches	20%
			6th Grade Math Not Approaches	4
			6th Grade Math Not Tested	0

End of Course Testing Areas	#Students Tested	17-18 Results		#Students Tested	18-19 Results
				8	
	No Data		7th Grade Reading	25%	2
			Approaches		
			7th Grade Reading		6
			Not Approaches		
			7th Grade Reading		0
			Not Tested		
				8	
	No Data		7th Grade Writing	50%	4
			Approaches		
			7th Grade Writing		4
			Not Approaches		
			7th Grade		0
			Not Tested		
				8	
	No Data		7th Grade Math	25%	2
			Approaches		
			7th Grade Math Not		6
			Approaches		
			7th Grade Math Not		0
			Tested		
				14	
	No Data		8th Grade Reading	64%	9
			Approaches		
			8th Grade Reading		5
			Not Approaches		
			8th Grade Reading		0
			Not Tested		

End of Course Testing Areas	#Students Tested	17-18 Results		#Students Tested	18-19 Results
				14	
	No Data		8th Grade Math Approaches	64%	9
			8th Grade Math Not Approaches		5
			8th Grade Math Not Tested		0
				13	
	No Data		8th Grade Science Approaches	23%	3
			8th Grade Science Not Approaches		10
			8th Grade Science Not Tested		0
				13	
	No Data		8th Grade Social Studies Approaches	15%	2
			8th Grade Social Studies		11
			Not Approaches 8th Grade Social Studies		
At-Risk	No Data		Not Tested At-Risk		27
SpEd	No Data		SpEd		1
504	No Data		504		No Data

End of Course	#Students	17-18	#Students	18-19
Testing Areas	Tested	Results	Tested	Results
ESL		No Data	ESL	11
Migrant		No Data	Migrant	1
GT		No Data	GT	0
Hispanic		No Data	Hispanic	27
Caucasian		No Data	Caucasian	0

Limited amount of data in 2017-2018 was available; however, data that was available was used to set baseline information to track progress in testing areas from grades 6-12. Teachers are continuously working on bridging the knowledge gaps by providing instruction on a small group scale and enrolling students in programs that target their weak areas.

62. Did all students, at a minimum, receive the same score as the previous year? Identify students who are designated as “Does Not Meet”, “Approaches”, “Meets”, and “Master’s. If not, why?

As a DAEP, not all students may test on campus from one year to another; however, with data provided on testing students we can determine the following:

- The number of students’ results available from 17-18 were 5. The number of students’ results available from 18-19 were 24, indicating that an increase of testing results were tracked.
- In the testing areas in which data is available it was determined that in 17-18, 0% of students approached in the areas of English I and Algebra I. With the data available in 18-19, 20% approached English I, 20% approached English II, 21% approached Algebra I, 31% approached Biology and 22% approached History.

63. What is the academic performance for each student group? Describe significant findings STAAR results disaggregated by the seven race/ethnicity and five student groups in reading, math, writing, science, and social studies. Describe how scores differ from previous years.

- Data for 2017-2018 indicated that 100% of testers in all areas were Hispanic.
- Data for 2018-2019 indicated that 100% of testers in all areas were Hispanic.

- In comparing Hispanic testers available scores in English I indicated 0% “Approaches” in 2017-2018, whereas in 2018-2019 indicated 20% “Approaches”.
- In comparing Hispanic testers available scores in Alg I indicated 0% “Approaches” in 2017-2018, whereas in 2018-2019 indicated 21% “Approaches”.

64. How does the academic progress compare to others? Describe distinction ratings and campus comparison group quartile rankings.

Academic progress is rated at the home base campus for all students. Since our students are not permanently enrolled in our program, their ratings, comparisons and quantile rankings are reflected at the home base level.

65. What are the performance results on other assessments? Include comparison with other relevant assessments, such as district/ campus based assessments.

Since students enroll in our campus on a daily basis, formal assessments comparisons are limited to EOC scores when students are enrolled at our campus. Data travels with the students and become invisible to us when they register back at their home campus.

66. Which student groups are staged in the PBMAS? Why? Is there a significant difference between the performance of different student groups? Why?

DATA NEEDED

*state performance based analogy system

*district

*campus

67. What trends and patterns are identified when student performance scores on state assessments and PBMAS are compared over a period of 3-5 years? How do the same students perform as they progress from grade to grade? From subject to subject?

DATA NEEDED

68. How are individual students needs identified? How are student-specific services and interventions determined, implemented, monitored, adjusted and evaluated? What structures, including Rtl, are in place to support each student?

Individual student needs are identified through a variety of ways such as district benchmarks, class formal and informal assessments and through our computer based programs ALEKS, PLATO, Imagine Math, A+, Istation, Apex. Teachers monitor progress on a consistent and regular basis to determine growth and deficiencies.

69. How does student performance on state assessments compare with student performance on local benchmark assessment and the students report cards?

Student performance is consistent when comparing student's EOC scores, and report cards. Students who failed their course, did not meet state requirements for the EOC.

70. How do achievement rates of special education students compare with non-special education students? What plans are in place to support special education students? Their teachers?

Data for special education students was provided to establish a base year 2018-2019; However in 2018-2019 data indicated there were 5 high school students and 1 middle school student receiving special education services through modified instruction from both their regular teachers and their special education teachers.

71. How do achievement rates of Section 504 students and students in other special programs compare with all other students? What plans are in place to support them? Their teachers?

Of the data available no students in 2018-2019 received 504 services; however systems are in place to provide modified instruction from both their regular teachers and their special education teachers as per their IEP.

72. How do achievement rates of students in the six state special allotment programs (gifted/talented, CTE, Bilingual/ESL, SCE, High School Allotment and Special Education) and the federal Title programs compare with all other students? What plans are in place to support them? Their teachers?

73. What interventions are in place to support students who are not successful? Does the data confirm that the interventions are working?

Students who are not successful receive intense one-on-one intervention by their individual general education, special education teachers, and instructional aides. By working with them on an individual basis and in small groups, teachers assure academic and material retention. Furthermore, students with personal challenges are assessed for appropriate intervention strategies to work through barriers impeding the learning process.

74. Are the SSI, ARD, LPAC, 504 and other committee decisions concerning state assessment and interventions appropriate and beneficial for students?

The SSI, ARD, LPAC and 504 decisions are determined at a student's home base campus. We as a team (Special Ed teacher, regular teachers, and counselor), strive to provide all necessary accommodations and provide our students with the needed tools to be successful in each individual classroom.

75. How is Rtl being implemented? How are students identified and placed in Rtl? Are the Rtl processes and implementation effective? How is the Rtl process affecting referrals to special education? The RTI process begins with high-quality instruction and universal screening of all children in the general education classroom at the campus level and is ongoing by adhering to and following the Three Tiered Model for evaluation to the Special Education Program.

76. What tools are available to ensure that strategies are designed to improve student performance?

Teachers are provided with laptops for virtual instruction for the first four to eight weeks of school. Teachers are provided with semi-working Dell Laptops for students to use. At teacher discretion, students are enrolled in programs such as Google Classrooms, ALEKS, Plato, APEX, Imagine Math, all aimed at

bridging any knowledge gaps.

Middle School students are virtual learning through google classroom, teacher instruction assignments use Think Through Math and Istation to improve their student's performance

77. How many students fail one or more course each year? What subjects? How many students are retained?

Students are assigned to our campus on a temporary basis depending on infractions committed at their home campus. Tracking overall failures by course would not be feasible for a campus such as ours.

*** Using end of year reports data***

78. What do classroom observations reveal about class sections with high course failures? Most walk throughs that have low student engagement usually have more failures. Due to Covid teachers will observe students through Zoom to check on student participation.

79. How does the campus systemally address reteaching of students who are absent, particularly for students who are at-risk of failing.

Students are allowed to make up work to improve their grades. Currently, each individual teacher monitors missing assignments and notify students if they are failing. Each teacher can choose if they will allow students to make up work either in class, or as a homework assignment. The District implements the SASI program for those students with high absence rates to allow them the opportunity to make up work while working in areas they are at-risk of failing. If a student is absent during synchronous learning, students will have the opportunity to complete an assignment to be counted present as asynchronous learning. Teachers will have assignments through google classroom and virtual recordings of themselves for students that are absent or failing.

Student Learning Strengths

- Bridge Knowledge Gaps (SLO/ Benchmarks)
- Attendance has shown improvement which has lead to more student instruction reflecting on student performance.
- Academic Progress/ Grades when compared to their home campus illustrate that Horton provides a setting in which students are able to improve their academic scores in comparison to before enrolling in the Program.

- Teacher to Student Ratio is less than at a student's campus; hence, improving overall attendance, academic and behavioral incidents.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1: Students who failed their courses tend to fail their STAAR and EOC exams. **Root Cause:** Students are 100% at-risk and tend to have no interest in school.

Problem Statement 2: Lack of updated technology/equipment, calculators, dictionaries, Chromebooks, and reading material. **Root Cause:** Lack of funds or not enough funds appropriated to purchase the most current technology/supplies.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

PERSONNEL – POLICY AND PROCEDURES

80. What is the process for recruiting and retaining high quality staff? Explain the recruitment, hiring, placement, development, evaluation, and advancement of high quality staff, including highly qualified paraprofessionals. (Note: Some districts or charters might consider this to be a district-level or charter-level responsibility and not a campus responsibility.)

We go through an interview process

HR Director, Interview Committee, Job Fairs, Region 1

Advertise job openings on websites. Recruit high quality teachers that are certified in subject areas. Hire teachers that can handle at risk and disciplinary challenged students.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES

81. What is planned for professional development? Describe how the professional development is planned and the current impact it provides,

The Principal plans the professional development at the campus level and the district office plans at district level.

82. How are decisions made in our district? Describe how PLCs or other leadership groups participate in decision-making.

Decisions are made through surveys and voting. Department heads participate in decision-making at PLCs.

83. Do we include teachers and other staff in decision making? Discuss methods used for seeking meaningful consultation from teachers and others on how best to improve student achievement.

Teachers and staff are included in decision making.

84. What are the goals for the campus and the district? What are the target areas and how will they be stated as measurable performance objectives? What

data sources will be used throughout the year to monitor progress? How often?

District Goals: Student Success/Literary Focus: High-quality, engaging, and innovative programs that develop college, career and service ready leaders. Engaging Learning Environments: safe, secure, drug-free, technology-rich, and inviting environment district-wide that promote high performance. Parent-Community-Business-Industry Partnerships: exceptional community service, open communication, and positive collaboration for student success. Professional Growth/Leadership Development: high-quality, research-based training development and support for all employees. Financial Strength: strategic planning, management, accountability, and transparent financial stewardship to optimize federal, state, and local funding.

85. How are the goals, performance objectives and strategies communicated? What expectations exist for formative and summative reviews?

Communication is sent through emails. Continual growth and development is expected.

Zoom Meetings * TEKS Resource System * Strive * Aware * Collaboration with essential staff

86. How will the campus and/or district ensure that needs are analyzed until root causes are identified and that only problems within the sphere of influence are addressed? How are needs prioritized?

A district wide needs assessment is needed. Prioritize needs according to campus.

87. Is the campus focused on improving student academic achievement? Yes, through the development of department SLO's, Student Trackers, Aligned Scope and Sequence Lesson Plans ,Department PLC. Utilize The Teks Resource System. Is there a sense of urgency and strong commitment? Yes, What processes are in place to ensure that the daily demands of the campus do not overshadow a focus on improvement? Campus Climate Corrective Action Plan, Making sure the campus is safe,make sure teachers and staff are supported, Parents are satisfied with the job PTO is doing. Teachers are participating in PLC meetings with the Science, Math, English and Reading Interventionists each six weeks to analyze data and collaborate on plans.(Attendance reward system) is important because students come to school everyday and complete daily assignments and improve their grades

88. Is a school improvement monitoring calendar in place allowing the campus or district to revisit its strategies through a formative assessment process and make mid-course adjustments?

PLC's are used to monitor students progress and we make adjustments , and adjustments are made to benchmarks and exams. Walk-throughs are done and suggestions are made to improve student learning.

PROGRAMS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR STUDENTS

89. Is there evidence of how the curriculum and instruction increase student achievement? daily grades, weekly Yes, Based on daily exit tickets , Weekly grades, campus achievement progress reports, report cards, promotions Discuss the well-rounded program of curriculum and instruction and how all students, especially those at-risk, are given opportunities to meet challenging state academic standards. Consider data for increasing the amount and quality of learning time and providing enrichment and acceleration. Recovery programs, edmentum/plato, lab setting, summer school, flex schedules. Online Virtual lessons using synchronous and asynchronous lessons.

90. How do our special programs meet the needs of students? Explain anything significant with enrollment and participation in special education, bilingual/ESL, gifted/talented (include AP/IB and advanced graduation plans), career and technical education (CTE) and dyslexia treatment.

Individual education plan, bilingual esl programs in place, gifted and talented programs for eligible students. Career and technology is available, Dyslexia committee recommend education programs modifications with evaluations

NEW Our special ed programs meet the needs of students according to ARD and LPAC recommendations, career and technology education programs are implemented according to home campus plan/recommendations. Implement and provide the latest dyslexia treatment plan recommended by campus committee.

91. Do we have a plan to implement and improve instruction in STEAM? Include a statement on the status of programming in science, technology, engineering, art and mathematics (STEAM), as appropriate.N/A

NEW Coordinate STEM endorsement plan requirements with home campus and their CATE certified teachers. (according to the classes that are offered at Horton DAEP) Use Google class lessons, modules, online assignments. Implement the Edmentum/Plato program as needed.

92. How do we provide guidance for students to plan postsecondary education or determine a career path? Describe data findings for how the school is meeting TEA's priority for college, career and military guidance and counseling. Include any postsecondary education, military and career awareness and exploration activities. (TEA Priority) College readiness sessions, career pathways. And Military readiness data sources, such as a score of 3 on AP Exams, TSI, Dual Credit, Earn an Associate's Degree, Earn an Industrial Certification, Complete IEP, and Workforce Readiness, Enlist in the armed Forces

NEW Include the post-secondary education, college readiness program, military and career awareness/exploration activities. Share and discuss workforce solution programs/training available. Administer the ASVAB at Horton and provide the results with an interpretation. Provide TSI preparation materials through website and practice tests. Bring in college representatives/speakers/Workforce Solutions

93. What is the success rate of the integration of academic and CTE content? Discuss the success for any programs that coordinate and integrate academic and career and technical education content, curriculum-based entrepreneurship education. District determines success rate of academic and CTE content
NEW Recommend CATE certified staff/programs with home campus if possible. Coursework Can be provided through online coursework, modules (mainly for upper classmen) Plan and coordinate CTE/academic content with home campus and CATE Dept. throughout the school year according to student needs.

94. What is our personal technology plan for each student? Explain data for personalized or blended learning experiences supported by technology.

Each student will work on Edmentum, Apex, Aleks, Moby Max, Istation and other software programs provided to the campus. Online Virtual lessons using synchronous and asynchronous lessons.

95. What student success do we see in grade levels where there is class-size reduction? Explain Title II class-size reduction, if applicable. (Note: Beginning 2018-19, the school must show evidence of effectiveness if using Title II.)

Not applicable.

96. What other programs have an impact on student performance? Explain any other significant data findings for programs and services that have a significant link to challenges that could become prioritized problem statements and root causes. Examples may include: coordinated school health services, social services, fine arts, athletics, etc.

Drug counseling, social services, behavioral services.

Cultivating relationships through the implementation of Restorative Practices.

PROCEDURES

97. Do we have a plan for the integration of technology? Explain findings on how technology is integrated into instructional and administrative programs.

Students now use it on a daily basis. Technology is integrated through Aleks, Apex, Edmentum and other programs provided to the campus.

Use online virtual learning using synchronous and asynchronous lessons using Google Classrooms, zoom and other digital academic platforms.

98. How do we maximize instructional time? Review data on school conditions for learning, including protecting instructional time. Discuss anything significant. Example details might include: master schedules, planning periods, PLCs, tutorials, beginning/ending times, extended day enrichment, summer school, etc.

Teaching bell to bell, master schedules, enrichment activities, and planning periods. Add more activities to keep students engaged and eager to participate.

99. How do we provide equitable services to all students? Discuss the status of equity of services for all students.

Differentiated instruction adjusted to student learning levels.

100. What effective transition activities do we provide at various grade transitions? Explain data findings on procedures to support students during all transitions: early childhood into elementary, elementary to middle/junior high or junior high into high school, high school to postsecondary.

Begin introducing next grade levels in the final 6 weeks and offer opportunities to see and interact with clubs and organizations at the next level.

101. What is our classroom management plan? Discuss significant findings in classroom management and explain procedures used to reduce overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom.

Restorative Discipline.

102. What is our plan for school safety? Discuss data for bullying, drug, violence and suicide prevention, as appropriate.

Administration handles data and school safety.

103. What is our plan for school safety drills? How do we know that students and staff are well-trained? (Note: Be careful about not revealing too many details about safety plans since the CIP/DIP is a public document.

Follow district schedule for drills and requirements for each type of drill. Timing how fast drill can be completed correctly.

104. How do we address safety issues to reduce the number of incidents that occur? Discuss data on unwanted physical or verbal aggression, sexual harassment, dating violence, sexual abuse, sex trafficking, and other maltreatment of children, again, as appropriate.

Administration and security handle safety issues. Campus will follow all safety protocols if scenario arises.

Follow the district's student code of conduct. Using camera video as a preventive measure.

School Processes and Program Strengths

Use online zoom meetings with parents and students

Use synchronous and asynchronous lessons for parents, students, and staff

School Processes & Programs Strengths

- Monthly fire/lockdown drills are conducted to ensure that students and staff are aware and are able to put into motion all safety protocol.
- DAC representative attends all meetings and bring campus concerns/suggestions to the attention of the District Committee for review.
- Teachers attend PLCs regularly to acquire updated information and strategies to enhance learning strategies for learning.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1: Low enrollment because of charter campuses. **Root Cause:** At-risk students delay registering from home campus and prolong enrollment to their campus after exiting program.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

37. Do our students attend school daily? Students are encouraged to attend school daily. Teachers and administrators highly encourage students to attend school. Attendance will vary this year due to COVID-19 and the impact it's had on student enrollment; however Horton strives for 95% attendance throughout the academic school year.

38. How does student behavior impact instruction? Describe what is significant in the data about behavior, disciplinary patterns, DAEP placements and any differences between the seven race /ethnicity groups and five student groups?

Behavior is essential to student learning where there is no room for disruptive behavior. We are a campus with a 100% high risk students therefore, it is crucial to keep students focused on classroom instruction. Furthermore, 100% of the students are Hispanics/Latinos, and 98% are economically disadvantaged

39. What strategies are in place to reduce the threat of bullying? Does the data confirm the strategies are working?

Students enter into a non-bullying contract at the beginning of the school year and are reminded of that contract when enrolled at Horton DAEP. Students and staff are required to report any form of bullying to administration. Weslaco ISD conducts staff development on the awareness, state law, Board policy and processes of cases where bullying is suspected. Discipline measures are addressed for violations related to bullying

40. What percentage of students are sent to the DAEP or JJAEP for discretionary purposes? Mandatory purposes? For what offenses? Is one student group more heavily represented?

Nearly 12% of students are sent for discretionary purposes, and 88% of students are sent for mandatory purposes. A majority of students are sent to DAEP for being under the influence of any substance. Yes, our AT-Risk students are more heavily represented than any other group

41. Do our students successfully graduate in a timely manner? Not all the time, but we work diligently to keep them on track to graduate

42. How do students describe their campus? Feedback from students is that everything needs to be updated. Their response also includes that buildings are old. Rain poses a constant problem having leaks around the buildings. They also state the computers in computer labs need to be replaced by more modern ones

43. How do students describe their campus? Feedback from students is that everything needs to be upgraded allowing it to look like a regular high school. Rain poses a constant problem having leaks around the buildings. The lab classrooms on the south side of the building also have outdated computers,

How does this differ from teachers' descriptions? Teachers feel that even though it is a historical school, it obviously needs modern reconstruction. Computer lab classrooms have a distinct odor familiar with the scent of mold. Teachers also agree that the rain leaks in certain areas.

44. How do students describe campus life with regards to respect, relationships, behavior, support, belong, etc.? Most students feel respected in all aspects of their student rights and privileges. Their relationship with their teachers is good due to restorative practices improving their communication and their learning.

Do all student groups have the same perception? No, student perception varies from student to student.

How does the perception compare with their teachers' perceptions? All teachers feel that all students are treated fairly, given support as needed and respected through great teacher/student relationships.

45. Are effective procedures in place to promote safety? Campus security is at its best on a daily basis securing premises and directing students effectively. Fire extinguishers are in place in classrooms for fire safety precaution. Campus routinely has fire alarm and lock down drills with emphasis on student's safety.

Do students feel safe? Students have no problem with the safety of our campus and seem to respond well during drills and daily school routine.

How do we know? Students have never addressed any safety concerns while in class or when monitoring outside during bus duty.

Campus security is at its best on a daily basis securing premises and working effectively with student direction. Fire extinguishers are in place in classrooms for fire safety precaution. Campus routinely has fire alarm and lock down drills with emphasis on student's safety.

Do students feel safe? Students seem to have no problem with the safety of our campus and seem to respond well during drills and daily school routine.

How do we know? Students have never mentioned any specific safety concern while in class or when monitoring outside during bus duty.

46. Do we retain teachers long term? Yes on an average we do, the turnover rate compared with previous years has stood at 1%. There has been one teacher per year in the past 3 years who has moved on to another district, or taken a position within our own district.

47. How do we support inexperienced teachers? A new teacher with no prior experience is assigned a mentor who helps guide the new teacher through the teaching process. Discuss any staff mentoring results. Teachers claim that when mentored they assimilate much easier to the teaching methods at this campus.

48. How do teachers view the climate and culture of the district and campuses? This year's climate and culture of the district and campus is very positive.

Summarize any climate and culture survey reports. As per the climate survey for this year, teachers and staff were positive about the administrative responsibilities on the campus.

49. What are teachers' expectations for parental involvement? Teachers would like parents to be more actively involved in their child's learning.

How do we know? Parents are not taking an initiative to meet with the teachers and their child to discuss their concerns. When the teachers make phone calls during the day to report on their child's attendance, grades, behavior they don't usually get through to them. Voice messages are left but, parents seldom return the teacher's call.

50. Are effective procedures in place to promote safety? Most teachers are certified in CIP training. Our campus also practices Lock Down drills and Fire Drills on a monthly basis. Do staff members feel safe? We need a security guard posted at all times in front entrance for teachers to feel safe. How do we know? The question has been posted at our staff meetings.

51. What procedures are in place to involve staff in improvement planning? Meetings are held on a regular basis with the SBDM and Attendance Committee to review topics of concern. How are they included in decisions? Meetings are held with all staff members to discuss the current issues.

Meetings with parents, social workers and other colleagues to include the principal are held to discuss student affairs and to set-up strategies for improvement.

PARENT/GUARDIAN and COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

52. How do parents participate in the education of their child? At our campus participation includes the reinforcement of campus rules, parents help with that and make an effort to join parent/teacher conferences. Explain how participation rates are measured and the current data findings. Currently the data is not available but effort from the parents is noted and greatly appreciated.

53. What are parents' perceptions of the school's effectiveness? Parents use end of the term grades to measure the effectiveness during the time that their child is at Horton

Do they feel welcomed? For the most part, parents feel welcomed. How do we know? They voice their feelings when asked if they are welcomed on our campus.

54. What support do we seek from our community? We seek moral, financial, spiritual and recreational support from our community.

Discuss, as applicable, how the school consults with employers, business leaders, philanthropic organizations or individuals with expertise in engaging parents and family members in education. The District leaders and the principal to include the parent specialist will get involved with business leaders, philanthropic organizations and individuals with expertise to encourage engaging parents and family members in education.

55. What is the level of support from our community? At this campus it is about 10%.

Describe public support ratings for school. The ratings for Public Support at Horton include outside agencies who give presentations to our students in different topics in the area of education.

56. How do parents and the community view the climate and culture of the district and campuses?

Presently we do not have a climate survey for Horton to gather this information from. The District survey is on line and we do not have those results.

Summarize any climate and culture survey reports.

Do not have those results

57. How effective are communications such as the schools' website, mobile app, letters, newspaper articles, etc.?

With social media being a strong source of information outlet, it leads parents to visit district web sites and to research newspaper articles.

How do we know? Word of mouth and open communication with our students and parents.

58. Are communications translated into languages other than English when needed?

Yes, information is available in Spanish and other languages; translators when needed/available.

59. Do parents and community members participate in the site-based planning committee?

We will have a revolving parent join us due to the fact that we have a constant turnover with students.

How are they selected? We ask for volunteers from our parents.

Do they feel their participation is necessary and important? Yes.

How do we know? We strive to have open communication with our parents.

60. What are the greatest barriers to parent/guardian participation? Identify any barriers that prevent participation by parents/guardians.

Our students are 100% at risk and the main barriers for our parents are lack of transportation, Socioeconomic status, and educational level .

Perceptions Strengths

- Monthly fire/lockdown drills are conducted to ensure that staff and students are trained and prepared for live situations.
- DAC representative attends all meetings to present on a District level concerns/suggestions as campus representation.
- Teachers attend PLCs regularly to receive updated information and strategies for core subject implementation and expectations.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1:

Non Modern school facility/ The facility is old and not very inviting. It has been here for over 30 years and is in need of an upgrade. **Root Cause:** Little to no campus upgrades in the last 5-10 years.

Problem Statement 2: Parent involvement is at a low, due to the our students being 100% at-risk and students having personal problems at home. Parents are not very interested in being part of the child's education. **Root Cause:** Lack of parent motivation to become involved in their child's education. In addition, we do not have a parent specialist.

Priority Problem Statements

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data

Student Data: Assessments

- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions

Student Data: Student Groups

- STEM/STEAM data
- Dyslexia Data

Employee Data

- Professional development needs assessment data

Goals

Goal 1: STUDENT SUCCESS/LITERACY FOCUS-High-quality, innovative, technology-savvy programs.

Performance Objective 1: 50% of all student populations will meet the passing standard on 6-12 grades Math STAAR tests.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC results and OEY evaluations

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Identify students needing EOC/EOY reinforcement.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students enrolled at Horton DAEP will be prepared for the STAAR/EOC assessments in grade levels 6-8, Algebra 1, Geometry, Algebra 2	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Problem Statements: None	June
Funding Sources: Math Instructors State Comp Ed (SCE) \$120,000	
Strategy 2: Intensive Individual Instruction	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive intensive individual instruction on major areas of deficiency identified in 2018 STAAR results, Google Classroom, Edmentum, Aleks assessments, and other periodical formal and informal evaluations.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Problem Statements: None	June
Funding Sources: Supplies & Materials for Math classes State Comp Ed (SCE) \$30,000	

Strategy 3: Modifications for ELL students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications will be provided to ESL students as stated on student's IEPs				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		ESL Teacher State Comp Ed (SCE) \$2,400		Summative
				June
Strategy 4: Modifications for SPED students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications and accommodations will be provided as per ARD and Special Education Teacher in order for SPED students to successfully complete IEP's within 36 instructional weeks.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Resource Teachers State Comp Ed (SCE) \$120,000		Summative
				June
Strategy 5: Chromebook on Wheels (COWS)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided with Chromebooks in order to implement virtual learning through Plato/Edmentum, ALEKS, Imagine Math, MobyMax, Google Classrooms, and other instructional websites and various programs for Mathematical problem solving, formal instruction and supplemental instruction.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Computers State Comp Ed (SCE) \$50,000		Summative
				June

Strategy 6: Instructional Aides		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional Aides will assist in Language Arts, Math, Science & Social Studies as well as any other duties assigned as per administration. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: Instructional Aides State Comp Ed (SCE) \$40,000	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 7: TEKS Resource System		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement new rewritten STAAR/TEKS driven curriculum in mathematics as per TEKS Resource System, Scope and Sequence and any other update provided by District Curriculum Department Head. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: Aleks, Imagine Math and Moby Max software State Comp Ed (SCE) \$20,000	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 8: Mathematical Connections		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will use prior knowledge to reinforce and build new knowledge, make mental patterns and connections between mathematical facts and ideas. Teachers will use Google Classrooms, T-Tess and SLO to monitor and adjust instruction as needed. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy Additional Targeted Support Strategy	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$10,000	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June

Strategy 9: Supplies				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use any and all supplies needed for instructional success of all students across the curriculum. Including but not limited to, enrichment activities and materials used to support and enhance instruction. EX: Chromebooks/COWS, Earphones, Chargers, Printers, Ink, Paper, Pencils, Folders, Notebooks, Projectors, Smart Boards Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None				Formative
				Nov
				Jan
				Mar
				Summative
Ink, Printer, Pencils & Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$30,000				June
Strategy 10: Trainings				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will stay up to date on updates and changes to their curriculum at the district and state level in order to provide students with current and applicable instruction. Teachers will also receive continued technological training and support in the areas of Google Classroom, Zoom, Plato-Edmentum, Aleks, Imagine Math, MobyMax and other teaching tools to ensure the most effective and positive learning experiences for students' virtual learning environments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1 TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None				Formative
				Nov
				Jan
				Mar
				Summative
Travel Employees For Staff Development State Comp Ed (SCE) \$4,000				June
<div><div><div><div></div><div>0%</div></div><div>No Progress</div></div><div><div><div></div><div>100%</div></div><div>Accomplished</div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div></div><div>Continue/Modify</div></div><div><div><div></div><div></div></div><div>Discontinue</div></div></div>				

Performance Objective 2: 50% of all student populations will meet the passing standard on 6-12 grades ELAR STAAR tests.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC results and OEY evaluations

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Identify students needing EOC/EOY reinforcement.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students enrolled at Horton DAEP will be prepared for the STAAR/EOC assessments in grade levels 6-8, English I, and English II	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Problem Statements: None	June
Funding Sources: Elar Teachers State Comp Ed (SCE) \$100,000	
Strategy 2: Intensive Individual Instruction	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive intensive individual instruction on major areas of deficiency identified in 2018 STAAR results, Google Classrooms, Edmentum, Istation assessments, and other periodical formal and informal evaluations.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Problem Statements: None	June
Funding Sources: Istation, Apex software State Comp Ed (SCE) \$30,000	

Strategy 3: Modifications for ELL students**Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Modifications will be provided to ESL students as stated on student's IEPs**Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Teachers**Title I Schoolwide Elements:** None**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

ESL Stipend State Comp Ed (SCE) \$2,400

Comprehensive Support Strategy**Formative****Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June****Strategy 4: Modifications for SPED students****Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Modifications and accommodations will be provided as per ARD and Special Education Teacher in order for SPED students to successfully complete IEP's within 36 instructional weeks.**Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Teachers**Title I Schoolwide Elements:** None**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

State Comp Ed (SCE)

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June****Strategy 5: Chromebook on Wheels (COWS)****Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Students will be provided with Chromebooks in order to implement Virtual Learning, Plato/Edmentum, Clever, Study Sync, MobyMax, Google Classrooms, Istation, other instructional websites and various programs for reading practice, formal instruction and supplemental instruction.**Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Teachers**Title I Schoolwide Elements:** None**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$5,000

Comprehensive Support Strategy**Formative****Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June**

Strategy 6: Instructional Aides

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional Aides will assist in Language Arts, Math, Science & Social Studies as well as any other duties assigned as per administration.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers

Title I Schoolwide Elements: None

Problem Statements: None

TEA Priorities: None

Funding Sources:

ESF Levers: None

State Comp Ed (SCE)

Formative

Nov

Jan

Mar

Summative

June

Strategy 7: TEKS Resource System

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement new rewritten STAAR/TEKS driven curriculum in English as per TEKS Resource System, Scope and Sequence, and any other update provided by District Curriculum Departmenthead.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers

Title I Schoolwide Elements: None

Problem Statements: None

TEA Priorities: None

Funding Sources:

ESF Levers: None

None

Formative

Nov

Jan

Mar

Summative

June

Strategy 8: Supplies

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use any and all supplies needed for instructional success of all students across the curriculum. Including, but not limited to, enrichment activities and materials used to support and enhance instruction. EX: Chromebooks/COWS, Earphones, Chargers, Printers, Ink, Paper, Pencils, Folders, Notebooks, Projectors, Smart Boards, Document Cameras

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers

Title I Schoolwide Elements: None

Problem Statements: None

TEA Priorities: None

Funding Sources:

ESF Levers: None

State Comp Ed (SCE) \$15,000

Comprehensive Support Strategy

Formative

Nov

Jan

Mar

Summative

June

Strategy 9: Trainings			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will stay up to date on updates and changes to their curriculum at the district and state level in order to provide students with current and applicable instruction. Teachers will also receive continued technological training and support in the areas of Google Classroom, Zoom, Plato-Edmentum, Aleks, Imagine Math, MobyMax and other teaching tools to ensure the most effective and positive learning experiences for students' virtual learning environments.			Formative
			Nov
			Jan
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal			Mar
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None	Summative
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:	June
ESF Levers: None		Staff Development State Comp Ed (SCE) \$10,000	
<div><div><div>0%</div><div>No Progress</div></div><div><div>100%</div><div>Accomplished</div></div><div><div>→</div><div>Continue/Modify</div></div><div><div>✗</div><div>Discontinue</div></div></div>			

Performance Objective 3: Performance Objective: 50% of all student populations will meet the passing standard on 6-12 grades Social Studies STAAR tests.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC results and EOY evaluations

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Identify students needing EOC/EOY reinforcement		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students enrolled at Horton DAEP will be prepared for the STAAR/EOC assessments in grade levels 6-8, World History, and US History.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy		June
Strategy 2: Intensive Individual Instruction		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive intensive individual instruction on major areas of deficiency identified in 2018 STAAR results, Google Classrooms, Edmentum, Plato/Edmentum program, Restorative Discipline, and other periodical formal and informal evaluations.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy		June

Strategy 3: Modifications for ESL/ELL students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications will be provided to ESL/ELL students as stated on student's IEPs.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		State Comp Ed (SCE)		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June
Strategy 4: Modifications for SPED students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications and accommodations will be provided as per ARD and Special Education Teacher in order for SPED students to successfully complete IEP's within 36 instructional weeks.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		State Comp Ed (SCE)		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June
Strategy 5: Chromebook on Wheels (COWS)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided with Chromebooks in order to implement birtual learning through Plato/Edmentum, MobyMax, Google Classrooms and other instructional websites and various programs for Mathematical problem solving, formal instruction and supplemental instruction.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$20,000		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June

Strategy 6: Instructional Aides		
<div>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional Aides will assist in Language Arts, Math, Science & Social Studies as well as any other duties assigned as per administration.</div> <div>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal</div> <div>Title I Schoolwide Elements: None</div> <div>TEA Priorities: None</div> <div>ESF Levers: None</div> <div>Comprehensive Support Strategy</div>	<div>Problem Statements: None</div> <div>Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)</div>	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 7: TEKS Resource System		
<div>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement new rewritten STAAR/TEKS driven curriculum in Social Studies as per the TEKS Resource System, Scope and Sequence, and any other update provided by the District Curriculum Department Head.</div> <div>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers</div> <div>Title I Schoolwide Elements: None</div> <div>TEA Priorities: None</div> <div>ESF Levers: None</div> <div>Comprehensive Support Strategy</div>	<div>Problem Statements: None</div> <div>Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)</div>	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 8: Social Studies Connections		
<div>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will use prior knowledge to reinforce learning, build new knowledge, and make connections during Social Studies applications. Teachers will use Google Classrooms, T-Tess and SLO to monitor and adjust instruction as needed.</div> <div>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers</div> <div>Title I Schoolwide Elements: None</div> <div>TEA Priorities: None</div> <div>ESF Levers: None</div> <div>Comprehensive Support Strategy</div>	<div>Problem Statements: None</div> <div>Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)</div>	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June

Strategy 9: Supplies				
<div>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use any and all supplies needed for instructional success of all students across the curriculum. Including but not limited to enrichment activities and materials used to support and enhance instruction. EX: Chromebooks/COWS, Earphones, Chargers, Printers, Ink, Paper, Pencils, Folders, Notebooks, Projectors, Smart Boards, Software.</div> <div>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers</div> <div>Title I Schoolwide Elements: None</div> <div>TEA Priorities: None</div> <div>ESF Levers: None</div> <div>Comprehensive Support Strategy</div>	<div>Problem Statements: None</div> <div>Funding Sources: Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$20,000</div>	<div>Formative</div> <div>Nov</div> <div>Jan</div> <div>Mar</div> <div>Summative</div> <div>June</div>		
	Strategy 10: Trainings			
	<div>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will stay up-to-date on updates and changes to their curriculum at the district and state level in order to provide students with current and applicable instruction. Teachers will also receive continued technological training and support in the areas of Google Classroom, Zoom, Plato-Edmentum, Aleks, Imagine Math, MobyMax and other teaching tools to ensure the most effective and positive learning experiences for students' virtual learning environments</div> <div>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal</div> <div>Title I Schoolwide Elements: None</div> <div>TEA Priorities: None</div> <div>ESF Levers: None</div> <div>Comprehensive Support Strategy</div>	<div>Problem Statements: None</div> <div>Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)</div>	<div>Formative</div> <div>Nov</div> <div>Jan</div> <div>Mar</div> <div>Summative</div> <div>June</div>	
		<div><div><div>0%</div><div>No Progress</div></div><div><div>100%</div><div>Accomplished</div></div><div><div>→</div><div>Continue/Modify</div></div><div><div>✗</div><div>Discontinue</div></div></div>		

Performance Objective 4: 50% of all student populations will meet the passing standard on 6-12 grades Science STAAR tests.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR/EOC results and OEY evaluations

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Identify students needing EOC/EOY reinforcement.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students enrolled at Horton DAEP will be prepared for the STAAR/EOC assessments in grade levels 6-8, Science, 9-12 Biology, Chemistry, Physics	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 3.1	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy	June
Strategy 2: Intensive Individual Instruction	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will receive intensive individual instruction on major areas of deficiency identified in 2018 STAAR results, Google Classrooms, Edmentum, Gizmo assessments, and other periodical formal and informal evaluations.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Mar
ESF Levers: None	Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy	June

Strategy 3: Modifications for ELL students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications will be provided to ESL students as stated on student's IEPs				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		State Comp Ed (SCE)		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June
Strategy 4: Modifications for SPED students				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Modifications and accommodations will be provided as per ARD and Special Education Teacher in order for SPED students to successfully complete IEP's within 36 instructional weeks.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Resource Aide State Comp Ed (SCE) \$20,000		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June
Strategy 5: Chromebook on Wheels (COWS)				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be provided with Chromebooks in order to implement virtual learning through Plato/Edmentum, Gizmo, MobyMax, Google Classrooms other instructional websites and various programs for science problem solving, formal instruction and supplemental instruction.				Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None		Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: None		Computers State Comp Ed (SCE) \$60,000		Summative
Comprehensive Support Strategy				June

Strategy 6: Instructional Aides		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Instructional Aides will assist in Language Arts, Math, Science & Social Studies as well as any other duties assigned as per administration. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers and Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: None TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 7: TEKS Resource System		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement new rewritten STAAR/TEKS driven curriculum in mathematics as per TEKS Resource System, Scope and Sequence and any other update provided by District Curriculum Department Head. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: None TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$5,019	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June
Strategy 8: Science Connections		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will use prior knowledge to reinforce learning, build new knowledge, and make connections during science investigations and labs. Teachers will use Google Classrooms, T-Tess and SLO to monitor and adjust instruction as needed. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: None TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources: State Comp Ed (SCE)	Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
		June

Strategy 9: Supplies		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will use any and all supplies needed for instructional success of all students across the curriculum. Including but not limited to, enrichment activities and materials used to support and enhance instruction. EX: Chromebooks/COWS, Earphones, Chargers, Printers, Ink, Paper, Pencils, Folders, Notebooks, Projectors, Smart Boards, Software. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: None TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative	
	Nov	
	Jan	
	Mar	
	Summative	
	June	
Strategy 10: Trainings		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will stay up to date on updates and changes to their curriculum at the district and state level in order to provide students with current and applicable instruction. Teachers will also receive continued technological training and support in the areas of Google Classroom, Zoom, Plato-Edmentum, Aleks, Imagine Math, MobyMax and other teaching tools to ensure the most effective and positive learning experiences for students' virtual learning environments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: None TEA Priorities: None ESF Levers: None Comprehensive Support Strategy	Formative	
	Nov	
	Jan	
	Mar	
	Summative	
	June	
<div><div><div>0%</div><div>No Progress</div></div><div><div>100%</div><div>Accomplished</div></div><div><div>→</div><div>Continue/Modify</div></div><div><div>✗</div><div>Discontinue</div></div></div>		

Goal 2: POSITIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT-Safe, secure, technology-rich schools and facilities.

Performance Objective 1: 100% of WISD students and staff employ safe, secure digital citizenship behaviors.

Evaluation Data Sources: Eduphoria sign-in sheets: Internet safety training
Certificate of Certified School status from curriculum vendor
Project Tomorrow Speak up Survey data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Students will complete digital citizenship behaviors.			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Safer Internet work assignments			Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers			Nov
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6		Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: None		Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: None		None	Summative
June			
<div><div><div>0%</div><div>No Progress</div></div><div><div>100%</div><div>Accomplished</div></div><div><div>➡</div><div>Continue/Modify</div></div><div><div>✖</div><div>Discontinue</div></div></div>			

Performance Objective 2: 100% of Weslaco ISD campuses will be secured with rod iron fencing in order to protect students, faculty, and staff from potential threats. This will create peace of mind for teacher to conduct their classrooms and for students to learn in a safe environment.

Performance Objective 3: Access control: 100% of campuses and facilities at Weslaco ISD will have electronically secured doors by installing locking mechanisms at one or two entrance points. All other doors will be for exiting only. Each staff member will be assigned an access card to gain entrance to the building.

Evaluation Data Sources: A district wide security plan will be created and approved by the Board of Trustees.

Summative Evaluation: None

Performance Objective 4: Weslaco ISD CPR Instructors will train a team of 5 non nursing personnel at each Weslaco ISD Campuses and Departments in CPR/AED/First Aid

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in rosters from training sessions

Summative Evaluation: None

Performance Objective 5: Weslaco ISD will staff each campus with a full-time nurse by the first day of the 2017-2018 academic years to ensure each student has the opportunity to be healthy, safe and ready to learn.

Goal 3: PARENT-COMMUNITY-BUSINESS-INDUSTRY RELATIONS-Superior customer service, positive communication, and collaboration for student success.

Performance Objective 1: All students will be provided with a high quality education through effective programs to complete high school and prepare for a post-secondary education.

Strategy 1: Bullying		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prevention, identification, response to and reporting of bullying or bully-like behavior. Nurse and counselor presentations.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Student Support Staff Teachers		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1	Problem Statements: None	Summative
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:	June
ESF Levers: None	Supplies For Anti-Bullying State Comp Ed (SCE) \$300	
Strategy 2: Coordinated Health Program		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student fitness assessment data Student academic performance data Student attendance rates Percentage of students who are Economically Disadvantaged Use and success of methods of physical activity Other indicators Nurse presentations		Formative
		Nov
		Jan
		Mar
		Summative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Student Support Staff Teachers		June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1	Problem Statements: None	
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:	
ESF Levers: None	None	

Strategy 3: DAEP Requirements

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student groups served Monitoring over-representation Attendance rates Pre and post-assessment results Dropout rates Graduation rates Recidivism rates DAEP requirements included in counseling presentations	Formative
	Nov
	Jan
	Mar
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Student Support Staff Teachers	Summative
	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1	Problem Statements: None
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:
ESF Levers: None	State Comp Ed (SCE)

Strategy 4: Dropout Prevention

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Leaver Follow-up Student Incentives o Pizza/refreshments Counselor: Develop and share individual graduation plans along with career education.	Formative
	Nov
	Jan
	Mar
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration Student Support Staff Teachers	Summative
	June
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1	Problem Statements: None
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:
ESF Levers: None	Student Incentives State Comp Ed (SCE) \$500

Strategy 5: Migrant Plan (Title I, Part C)**Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** An identification and recruitment plan

New Generation System (NGS)

Early Childhood Education

Parental Involvement

Graduation Enhancement

Secondary Credit Exchange and Accrual

Migrant Services Coordination

A priority services action plan with instructional interventions based upon disaggregated migrant student data

Counselor: meet with priority for migrant services regarding migrant education needs.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration

SpEd Dept.

Teachers

Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

Title I, Part C

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June****Strategy 6: Pregnancy Related Service****Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** District-wide procedures for campuses, as applicable

Counselor: counseling sessions as needed

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration

Student Support Staff

Teachers

Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

State Comp Ed (SCE)

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June**

Strategy 7: Post-Secondary Preparedness/Higher Ed Information/Career Education

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strategies for providing to middle school, junior high and high school students, teachers, counselors and parents information about:

- o Higher education admissions and financial aid, including sources of information
- o TEXAS grant programs
- o The need to make informed curriculum choices for beyond high school
- o Sources of information on higher education admissions and financial aid

Career education to assist students in developing the knowledge, skills and competencies necessary for a broad range of career opportunities.

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Student Support Services

Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1

Problem Statements: None

TEA Priorities: None

Funding Sources:

ESF Levers: None

Counseling Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$2,000

Formative

Nov

Jan

Mar

Summative

June

Strategy 8: Recruiting Certified Teachers and Highly-Qualified Paraprofessionals

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Assisting teachers and paraprofessionals to meet certification requirements and/or highly qualified requirements

Strategies and activities to ensuring the campus and district is making progress toward having all classes taught by state certified, highly effective teachers

Ensuring that all teachers are receiving high-quality professional development

Attracting and retaining certified, highly effective teachers

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration

Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1

Problem Statements: None

TEA Priorities: None

Funding Sources:

ESF Levers: None

Certification State Comp Ed (SCE) \$1,000

Formative

Nov

Jan

Mar

Summative

June

Strategy 9: Sexual Abuse and Maltreatment of Children**Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Ensuring that staff receives staff development on signs/symptoms and proper reporting procedures**Staff Responsible for Monitoring:** Administration
Student Support Services
Teachers**Title I Schoolwide Elements:** 2.6, 3.1**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

State Comp Ed (SCE)

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June****Strategy 10: Student Welfare: Crisis Intervention Programs & Training****Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** District Program(s) selected from a list provided by TDSHS in coordination with TEA and the ESCs on these topics:

- o Early mental health intervention
 - o Mental health promotion and positive youth development
 - o Substance abuse prevention
 - o Substance abuse intervention
 - o Suicide prevention and suicide prevention parent/guardian notification procedures
- Training for teachers, school counselors, principals and all other appropriate personnel

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration
Student Support Staff
Mental Health Agencies**Title I Schoolwide Elements:** 2.6, 3.1**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

Health Services State Comp Ed (SCE) \$400

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June**

Strategy 11: Student Welfare: Discipline/Conflict/Violence Management (DIP)**Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Methods for addressing

- o Suicide Prevention including parent/guardian notification procedures
- o Conflict resolution programs
- o Violence prevention and intervention programs
- o Unwanted physical or verbal aggression
- o Sexual harassment
- o Harassment and dating violence
- o Individual student sessions
- o small group curriculum guided sessions
- o referral to mental health agencies
- o referral to substance abuse/dependency rehabilitative services

Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration

Student Support Staff

Mental Health Agencies

Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6, 3.1**Problem Statements:** None**TEA Priorities:** None**Funding Sources:****ESF Levers:** None

Supplies State Comp Ed (SCE) \$500

Formative**Nov****Jan****Mar****Summative****June**

No Progress



Accomplished



Continue/Modify



Discontinue

Goal 4: CAPACITY-BUILDING/LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT-Quality, customized professional development for all employees.

Performance Objective 1: WISD will recruit and retain hard to fill positions by 5% in the shortage identified areas of Special Education, Bilingual and Career and Technical education programs.

Evaluation Data Sources: District TAPR report

Summative Evaluation: None

Performance Objective 2: All students will be taught by highly qualified/state certified teachers through several professional development opportunities.

Evaluation Data Sources: Eduphoria and sign in sheets

Summative Evaluation: None

Goal 5: FINANCIAL STRENGTH-Responsible planning, management, and accountability.

State Compensatory

Budget for Horton DAEP

<u>Account Code</u>	<u>Account Title</u>	<u>Budget</u>
6100 Payroll Costs		
164.32.6119.00.008.8.28	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$60,096.00
164.61.6119.28.008.8.28	6119 Salaries or Wages - Teachers and Other Professional Personnel	\$25,683.00
164.23.6129.00.008.8.28	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$37,352.00
164.33.6129.00.008.8.28	6129 Salaries or Wages for Support Personnel	\$15,658.00
164.23.6141.00.008.8.28	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$542.00
164.32.6141.00.008.8.28	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$871.00
164.33.6141.00.008.8.28	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$227.00
164.61.6141.28.008.8.28	6141 Social Security/Medicare	\$372.00
164.23.6142.00.008.8.28	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$5,779.00
164.32.6142.00.008.8.28	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$5,779.00
164.33.6142.00.008.8.28	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$2,889.00
164.61.6142.28.008.8.28	6142 Group Health and Life Insurance	\$2,827.00
164.23.6143.00.008.8.28	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$112.00
164.32.6143.00.008.8.28	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$180.00
164.33.6143.00.008.8.28	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$47.00
164.61.6143.28.008.8.28	6143 Workers' Compensation	\$77.00
164.23.6145.00.008.8.28	6145 Unemployment Compensation	\$34.00
164.32.6145.00.008.8.28	6145 Unemployment Compensation	\$54.00
164.33.6145.00.008.8.28	6145 Unemployment Compensation	\$14.00
164.61.6145.28.008.8.28	6145 Unemployment Compensation	\$23.00
164.23.6146.00.008.8.28	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$840.00

<u>Account Code</u>	<u>Account Title</u>	<u>Budget</u>
164.32.6146.00.008.8.28	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$1,672.00
164.33.6146.00.008.8.28	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$352.00
164.61.6146.28.008.8.28	6146 Teacher Retirement/TRS Care	\$578.00
6100 Subtotal:		\$162,058.00
6200 Professional and Contracted Services		
164.11.6219.27.008.8.28	6219 Professional Services	\$500.00
164.13.6219.57.008.8.28	6219 Professional Services	\$405.00
164.11.6269.00.008.8.28	6269 Rentals - Operating Leases	\$2,900.00
6200 Subtotal:		\$3,805.00
6300 Supplies and Services		
164.11.6395.00.008.8.28	6395 Supplies, DP Operations - Locally Defined	\$2,000.00
164.11.6395.27.008.8.28	6395 Supplies, DP Operations - Locally Defined	\$160.00
164.11.6399.00.008.8.28	6399 General Supplies	\$2,000.00
164.11.6399.27.008.8.28	6399 General Supplies	\$4,000.00
164.23.6399.00.008.8.28	6399 General Supplies	\$900.00
164.33.6399.00.008.8.28	6399 General Supplies	\$1,000.00
6300 Subtotal:		\$10,060.00
6400 Other Operating Costs		
164.11.6411.00.008.8.28	6411 Employee Travel	\$500.00
164.32.6411.00.008.8.28	6411 Employee Travel	\$1,000.00
164.11.6497.00.008.8.28	6497 Fees - Locally Defined	\$500.00
164.23.6497.00.008.8.28	6497 Fees - Locally Defined	\$219.00
164.13.6499.00.008.8.28	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$900.00

<u>Account Code</u>	<u>Account Title</u>	<u>Budget</u>
164.23.6499.00.008.8.28	6499 Miscellaneous Operating Costs	\$1,381.00
6400 Subtotal:		\$4,500.00

Personnel for Horton DAEP

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Angella Mendez	Teacher	Horton	1
Annette Ozuna	Special Ed Teacher	Horton	1
Arturo Castillo	Teacher	Horton	1
Danny Castro	Teacher	Horton	1
Efrain Garcia	Teacher	Horton	1
Gladys Villarreal	Parent Specialist	Horton	0.5
Jorge Sosa	Teacher	Horton	1
Jose Perez	Principal	Horton	1
Laura Borrego	Teacher	Horton	1
Laurie Martinez	Secretary	Horton	1
Marcus Garza	Teacher	Horton	1
Maricela Alvarado	Social Worker	Horton	1
Marisa Villalobos	At Risk Attendance Clerk	Horton	1
Mark Pederson	Teacher	Horton	1
Orlando Gomez	Teacher	Horton	1
Rebecca Reyna	Teacher	Horton	1
Roberto Martinez	Special Ed Teacher	Horton	1
Sandra Cabrera	LVN	Horton	0.5

Title I Personnel

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Gonzales, Vallerie	Instructional Aide		

Campus Funding Summary

State Comp Ed (SCE)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Math Instructors		\$120,000.00
1	1	2	Supplies & Materials for Math classes		\$30,000.00
1	1	3	ESL Teacher		\$2,400.00
1	1	4	Resource Teachers		\$120,000.00
1	1	5	Computers		\$50,000.00
1	1	6	Instructional Aides		\$40,000.00
1	1	7	Aleks, Imagine Math and Moby Max software		\$20,000.00
1	1	8	Supplies		\$10,000.00
1	1	9	Ink, Printer, Pencils & Supplies		\$30,000.00
1	1	10	Travel Employees For Staff Development		\$4,000.00
1	2	1	Elar Teachers		\$100,000.00
1	2	2	Istation, Apex software		\$30,000.00
1	2	3	ESL Stipend		\$2,400.00
1	2	4			\$0.00
1	2	5	Supplies		\$5,000.00
1	2	6			\$0.00
1	2	8			\$15,000.00
1	2	9	Staff Development		\$10,000.00
1	3	1	Social Studies Teachers		\$120,000.00
1	3	2			\$0.00
1	3	3			\$0.00
1	3	4			\$0.00
1	3	5	Supplies		\$20,000.00

State Comp Ed (SCE)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	6			\$0.00
1	3	7			\$0.00
1	3	8			\$0.00
1	3	9	Supplies		\$20,000.00
1	3	10			\$0.00
1	4	1	Science Teachers		\$120,000.00
1	4	2	Software		\$30,000.00
1	4	3			\$0.00
1	4	4	Resource Aide		\$20,000.00
1	4	5	Computers		\$60,000.00
1	4	6			\$0.00
1	4	7	Supplies		\$5,019.00
1	4	8			\$0.00
1	4	10	Admin		\$90,000.00
3	1	1	Supplies For Anti-Bullying		\$300.00
3	1	3			\$0.00
3	1	4	Student Incentives		\$500.00
3	1	6			\$0.00
3	1	7	Counseling Supplies		\$2,000.00
3	1	8	Certification		\$1,000.00
3	1	9			\$0.00
3	1	10	Health Services		\$400.00
3	1	11	Supplies		\$500.00
Sub-Total					\$1,078,519.00
Budgeted Fund Source Amount					\$1,123,613.00

State Comp Ed (SCE)					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
				+/- Difference	\$45,094.00
				Grand Total	\$1,078,519.00

Addendums