# School FIRST Public Hearing FY 2018-2019

November 18, 2019



#### What is School FIRST?

- Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas
- Created by Texas Education Agency in response to Senate Bill 875 of the 76<sup>th</sup> Texas Legislature in 1999 to measure the performance of school districts' financial resources
- Rating indicators this year test all school districts in 15 areas
- Rating calculations this year are based on data from the 2017-2018 fiscal year

## **Objectives of School FIRST**

- Assess the quality of financial management in Texas public schools
- Measure and report the extent to which financial resources are allocated for direct instructional purposes
- Fairly evaluate the quality of financial management decisions
- Openly report results to the general public

## **Rating Determination**

 Ratings are determined by the number of points earned from the 15 indicators

```
Superior Achievement
70-100 points
Above Standard Achievement
50-69 points
Standard Achievement
31-49 points
Substandard Achievement
<31 points
or a "NO" answer on one default indicator (1,2a, 2b,3, 4)
```

#### Midlothian ISD Results for 2017-2018

★ Passed with Superior Achievement

MISD received a score of 100 for 2017-2018

MISD has received the top rating since the inception of the rating system 17 years ago

Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?

YES

2a. Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)

YES

2b. Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weaknesses.)

#### YES

3. Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end?

YES



4. Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?

#### YES

5. Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero?

Not scored this year

6. Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the General Fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)?

Score = 
$$10$$
 TEA >  $90$  days MISD  $142.12$  days

7. Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?

Score = 
$$10$$
 TEA >  $3.0$ 



8. Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency (exempt if growth rate more than 7% in past five years)? MISD 14.97% Growth

$$Score = 10$$

TEA < .60 MISD 0.9109

9. Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?

TEA > 60

MISD 142.12

10. Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?

$$Score = 10$$

$$\Gamma EA > 1.2$$

11. Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio (based upon school district size)?

$$Score = 10$$

12. Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the student to staff ratio over 3 years (enrollment to total staff)? Yes

$$Score = 10$$

TEA < .15 MISD 0.013

13. Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management Systems (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?

$$Score = 10$$

**TEA <3** 

**MISD** .03

14. Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instances of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds?

Score = 10

TEA Yes

MISD Yes

15. Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?

Score = 10

TEA Yes

MISD Yes

#### **Five Additional Disclosures**

- 1. Superintendent's Employment Contract in place during the public hearing
- 2. Reimbursements received by the Superintendent and Board Members for fiscal year 2017-2018
- 3. Outside compensation and/or fees received by the Superintendent for professional consulting and /or other professional services for fiscal year 2017-2018
- 4. Gifts received by the Executive Officer and Board Members (and first degree relatives, if any) in fiscal year 2017-2018
- 5. Business transactions between school district and Board Members for fiscal year 2017-2018

Superintendent's Employment Contract

The Superintendent's current contract is public information and available for review upon request.



#### Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and Board Members

For the Twelve-Month Period Ended June 30, 2018

<u>Description of</u> <u>Reimbursements</u>	Lane Ledbetter	Glenn Hartson	Todd Hemphill	Gary Vineyard	Heather Prather	Matt Sanders	Carl Smith	Stewart Domke	Tami Tobey	Andrea Walton
Meals	60.00									
Lodging	1,588.46									
Transportation	493.59									
Motor Fuel										
Other (Registrations)	1,629.11			395.00	395.00	395.00	395.00	395.00	395.00	1,465.00
Total	\$3,711.16			\$395.00	\$395.00	<b>\$395.0</b> 0	\$395.00	<b>\$395.0</b> 0	<b>\$395.0</b> 0	<b>\$1,465.0</b> 0

#### Disclosure No. 2, con't

- Note The spirit of the rule is to capture all "reimbursements" for fiscal year 2017-2018, regardless of the manner of payment, including direct pay, credit card, cash, and purchase order. Reimbursements to be reported per category include:
  - Meals Meals consumed off of the school district's premises, and in-district meals at area restaurants (excludes catered meals for board meetings).
  - Lodging Hotel charges.
  - Transportation Airfare, car rental (can include fuel on rental), taxis,
     mileage reimbursements, leased cars, parking and tolls.
  - Motor fuel Gasoline.
  - Other Registration fees, telephone/cell phone, internet service, fax machine, and other reimbursements (or on-behalf of) to the superintendent and board member not defined above.

 Outside compensation and/or fees received by the Superintendent for professional consulting and /or other professional services for fiscal year 2017-2018.

None



Gifts received by the Executive Officer and Board Members

 (and first degree relatives, if any) in fiscal year 2017-2018 (gifts with an economic value of \$250 or more in aggregate)

None



 Business transactions between the school district and Board Members for fiscal year 2017-2018.

Trustee Carl L. Smith, Jr. Insurance Agent to District Scarbrough, Medlin & Associates

No Direct Compensation
Local Government Officer Conflicts Disclosure Statement
on file

# **Questions & Comments**