Focus of Plan (check the appropriate box):	Kansas City Public Schools	
• LEA	Name of School or Charter: <u>Phillis Wheatley</u> <u>Elementary</u>	Principal/Lead Person: Micah Enders
School or Charter	Address: 2415 Agnes Ave. Kansas City, MO. 64127	School Website:
Plan Year(s): 2020-2021 School Year		
Purpose: To develop a plan for improving the	e top 3 needs identified in the needs assessment.	
One plan may meet the needs of a number of	f different programs. Please check all that apply.	
Title I.A School Improveme	ent	
Title I.C Education of Migra	itory Children	
Title I.D Prevention and Int	ervention Programs for Children and Youth who are Neg	glected, Delinquent or At-Risk
Title II.A Language Instruct	ion for English Learners and Immigrant Children	
Title IV 21 st Century Schoo	ls	
Title V Flexibility and Account	untability	
Individuals with Disability E	ducation Act	
Rehabilitation Act of 1973		
Carl D. Perkins Career and 1	Fechnical Education Act	
Workforce Innovation and	Opportunities Act	
Head Start Act		
McKinney Vento Homeless	Assistance Act	
Adult Education and Family	Literacy Act	
• MSIP		
Other State and Local Requ	irements/Needs	

Name	Stakeholder Group*
Micah Enders	Principal
Rheanna Egli	Teacher/Certified Staff
Tameka Fulson	Parent
Mary Lindsey	Parent
Katie Amos	Support Staff
Andrew Larson	Title 1 Supervisor/Coordinator
	Vice-Principal
Darrin Slade	Assistant Superintendent
Mark Bedell	Superintendent
Dea Davis	Budget

What staff/stakeholders have been involved in the needs assessment and development of this plan?

*Indicate Stakeholder Group to which each planning team member belongs. Stakeholders in bold font are required.
Principal
Teacher(s)/Certified Staff
Parents (at least 2)
Support Staff
Title 1 Supervisor/Coordinator
Vice Principal
Assistant Superintendent(s)
Superintendent
Board Member(s)
Other District Staff

What are the key issues identified from the needs assessment?

- 1. Increased student achievement amongst students with IEP's
- 2. Increased student achievement and reduction in students below basic on the MAP assessment in both ELA and Math
- 3. Increasing teacher capacity

What are the prioritized needs for the LEA or building based on a root cause analysis?

- 1. Professional Development implementation and instruction centered around standard based IEP goals. Increased alignment of instruction to standard based IEP goals.
- 2. Building a clear systematic RTI process to ensure that every student receives targeted intervention that addresses individual student needs.
- 3. Professional development on quality Tier 1 instruction. Focused around scaffolding to meet various instructional levels.

4. Collaborative PLC's focused around student learning and data driven decisions.

		Goals and the Plan				
(Choose no more than 3 goals to focus on with your improvement plan) Goal #1 - Circle the appropriate Area of the Continuous Improvement System this goal falls under:						
Leadership	Collaborative Climate and Culture	Effective Teaching and Learning	Data-Based Decision Making	Alignment of Standards and Curriculum		
SMART (Specific, Measura	ble, Achievable, Relevant and Tim	ely) Goal #1				
0	ct curriculum and assessments, th year as measured by the Missou	1 0	below basic will decrease	by 25% by the end of the 2020		
Rationale (name of the ex	isting conditions/data points to su	pport the selection of the obj	ective/goal) and Alignment			
	opped over the last 3 years. n grades 3-6 scored below basi	c in all subjects. (ELA, Mat	h, and Science)			
• •	gic Plan - Goals and Measures of St	udent Success (p. 14 KCPS Strategic	Plan):			
3.a						
Pillar A: Teaching & Learn	gic Plan - Professional Practices for ing, Strategic Priorities 2 & 3 e, Strategic Priorities 7 & 8	r Instructional Effectiveness (p.	15 KCPS Strategic Plan):			
Strategies for Improveme	nt					
• •	ata Driven Instruction					
their readiness f development. Tl	velopment 9, Faculty and administration v for each grade level. This may i his may also require the purcha eet families needs during this ti	include distance learning, e ase of training, materials ar	extended school year or fu	rther professional		

Action Steps	Start	Person	Resources	Completed/Date
Action steps	Date	Responsible	Resources	completed/bate
30 Days	Date	Кезропзіліе		
 Create a tiered list of students based on 4th qtr virtual and summer school attendance 		Admin		
 Identify and plan necessary professional development based on virtual or in person instruction 		All instructional staff		
3. Triangulate assessment data to identify bubble students and inform instructional groups		All instructional staff		
 PD provided by Scholastic on planning and implementing effective and efficient guided reading groups On-going root cause analysis to address building needs and identifying next steps 		Admin & Building Leadership Team		
50 Days		Classroom		•
1. Administer 1st Qtr. Scholastic Guided Reading Assessment and analyze data		teachers		
 Complete 20 or more walkthroughs per month with feedback, action steps and follow up 3 week RTI cycle continuously monitor and 		All instructional staff		
analyze data to inform instruction and adjust groups		Tutoring staff		
4. After school tutoring for students identified as 1 or more years below grade level in reading		Classroom teachers		
5. Analyze previous Mock MAP and current iReady Diagnostic student data to inform instructional groups				
6. Small group instruction				
00 Days 1.		Classroom teachers		•
Long Range		Admin, CIPD, identified		•

1.	On-going onsite professional development to	professional	
	increase teacher capacity & retention (Guided	development	
	Reading/Small Group Instruction, CIPD, Greg Tang	presenters	
	strategies, Writing across the curriculum, RTI).		
2.	Consistent 1:1 conversation with students around	Admin/	
	their individualized data to ensure student	Instructional Staff	
	ownership		

	Goal #2 - Circle the appropriate	Area of the Continuous Impro	vement System this goal falls	under:
Leadership	Collaborative Climate and Culture	Effective Teaching and Learning	Data-Based Decision Making	Alignment of Standards and Curriculum
SMART (Specific, Measu	rable, Achievable, Relevant and Tim	ely) Goal #2		
	ne implementation of RTI and effecting to the end of year iReady diagnos	•	ercent of Tier 3 students by 5	0% and the percent of Tier 2
Rationale (name of the e	existing conditions/data points to su	pport the selection of the obj	ective/goal) and Alignment	
Beginning of year iReady	/ Diagnostic Assessment Results:			
ELA				
Tier 3: 61%				
Tier 2: 30%				
Tier 1: 9%				
Math:				
Tier 3: 61%				
Tier 2: 35%				
Tier 1: 4%				
Alignment to KCPS Strate	egic Plan - Goals and Measures of St	udent Success (p. 14 KCPS Strategic	Plan):	
3.b, 3.c				
Alignment to KCPS Strate	egic Plan - Professional Practices for	r Instructional Effectiveness (p.	15 KCPS Strategic Plan):	
Pillar A: Teaching & Lear	ning, Strategic Priorities 2 & 3			
Strategies for Improvem	ent			
Data Analysis/Data Di	riven Instruction			
Use Mock MAP	data, iReady reports & Diagnos	tic assessments to identify	strengths and weaknesses	

- Weekly PLC meetings w/ grade level teams and administration
 Individualized instruction provided by Math and Reading Interventionist
- Individual RTI instruction for all students ٠

Action Steps	Start Date	Person Responsible	Resources	Completed/Date
 30 Days Triangulate 4th qtr attendance and assessment data to form RTI groups and identify bubble students PD on implementation of virtual intervention if necessary Introduce PLC, RTI and PST process to new staff Continue to strengthen PLC process implemented (weekly agenda, tracking data on master tracker, and on-going discussion of data using PLC guiding question. 		Admin & Classroom teachers All instructional staff All members of PLC's		•
 60 Days All certified staff including administration does small group during RTI Assess PLC progress using the DESE PLC rubric 3 week RTI cycle continuously monitor and analyze data to inform instruction and adjust groups Quarterly Scholastic Guided Reading Assessment/Benchmark 		PLC team members All instructional staff Classroom teachers		•
90 Days 1. Use iReady reports & Winter Diagnostic assessments to identify strengths and weaknesses 2.		All instructional staff		•
Long Range				•

1.		
2.		
3.		

Leadership	Collaborative Climate and Culture		eaching and rning	Data-Based Decision Making	Alignment of Standards and Curriculum
MART (Specific, Measu	rable, Achievable, Relevant and Tim	ely) Goal #3			
By May 2020, we will in Assessment.	crease our MPI index for our special	education stu	dents by a minimur	n 4 MPI points as measure	d by the Missouri State
ationale (name of the	existing conditions/data points to su	pport the sele	ction of the objecti	ve/goal) and Alignment	
	as a targeted school due to performi egic Plan - Goals and Measures of St	•		- · ·	ts with an IEP.
	egic Plan - Professional Practices for	r Instructional	Effectiveness (p.15 K	CPS Strategic Plan):	
•	rning, Strategic Priorities 2 & 3				
	Vhole, Strategic Priority 10				
Strategies for Improvem	ent				
· ·	Action Steps	Start Date	Person Responsible	e Resources	Completed/Date

3. Increase PLC's and collaboration with special education and general education staff	All instructional staff/ SPED coordinators
 60 Days Provide follow up professional development for special education teachers in identified areas Co-teaching observation and feedback Monthly PLC's with Special Education coordinators 90 Days 2. 	SPED coordinators • Admin • Admin/SPED coordinators & Staff •
Long Range 1.	Admin & teachers

	Budget Allocation Summary					
Goal # (1- 3)	Expenditure or Action to be Funded	Date	Estimated Cost	Funding Source(s)		

Superintendent	Date
State Supervisor, School Improvement	Date
Federal Programs Supervisor/School Improvement Staff	Date