Annual Action Plan

Set Goals, Strengthen Practices, Align Resources, Motivate Commitment

| School | Foreign Language Academy | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| District | KANSAS CITY PUBLIC SCHOOLS | | | | |
| Plan Year(s) | 2019-2020 SY | | | | |
| Principal / | | | | | |
| Lead Person | Joell Ramsdell | | | | |
| Address | 3450 Warwick Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri 6 | 4111 | | | |
| Contact Information | phone: 816.418.6000 | fax: 816.418.6010 | | | |
| | email: jramsdel@kcpublicschools.org | website: https://www.kcpublicschools.org/foreignlanguage | | | |

School Improvement Planning Team

| Name | Stakeholder Group* | Signature |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Joell Ramsdell | Principal | |
| Debra Lainez | Vice Principal | |
| Luis Arres | Vice Principal | |
| Xi Wang | KDG | |
| Amalia Lainez | 1st | |
| Anabel Vargas | 2nd | |
| Erica Walton | 3rd | |
| Michelle Hill | 4th | |
| Kayla Barnes | 5th | |
| Cameron Mount | MS | |
| Evonne Shelly | MS | |
| Ryan Robinson | MS | |
| Melissa Velazquez | РТО | |
| Tania Munoz | РТО | |
| Susan Eason | РТО | |
| | РТО | |
| | РТО | |
| Andrew Larson | Title I | |
| Dea Davis | Business and Finance | |

^{*} Indicate Stakeholder Group to which each planning team member belongs. Stakeholders marked with * are required.

Principal*
Teacher(s)/Certified Staff*
Parents * (at least 2)
Support staff *
Title 1 Supervisor/Coordinator*

Vice Principal
Other Administrator(s)
Business/Finance Dept. staff
Business/Community Member(s)

Assistant Superintendent(s) Superintendent Board member(s)

Outline

1 Highlights of our Annual Action Plan

- a. About Our School
- b. Planning and Stakeholder Engagement Process
- c. Overview of Current State: Accomplishments & Challenges
- d. Our Priorities for the New School Year
- e. Paying Attention to Our Intention: Monitoring, Adjusting and Communicating Progress

2 Where are we now?

- a. Summary: Current State of Student Learning & Achievement
- b. Summary: Current State of the Instructional Core
- c. Summary: Current State of Educational Strategies and Programs

Where do we want to go next?

- a. Strategic Goals and Measures of Student Success
- b. Our Vision, Our Mission, Our Core Values
- c. Annual Student Achievement Targets

4 How are we going to get there?

- a. The Big Picture: Practices, Strategies, PD & Collaboration
- b. Assessment Calendar
- c. Budget Allocation
- d. Milestones of Progress

5 How do we ensure disciplined implementation?

- a. Roadmap for Disciplined Implementation
- b. CPR Card™
- c. Vital Signs Scorecard™
- d. PD & Collaboration Calendar
- e. Cycles of Inquiry

1 Highlights of our Annual Action Plan

ABOUT OUR SCHOOL – The Foreign Language Academy (FLA) is a Kindergarten – 8th grade signature school in the Kansas City Public School District, which has a theme of foreign language immersion. We are the region's only International Baccalaureate (IB) Primary Years and Middle Years Programmes candidate school. During SY1819 FLA had an enrollment of 691 students that were taught the Missouri Learning Standards in Spanish or Mandarin Chinese. The demographics of the student body are as follows, 56% Hispanic, 31% African American, 10% Caucasian, and 3% Multiracial. Of these students, nearly 38% are English Language Learners. Currently, for SY1920, our enrollment is 701 students, with the following demographic breakdown: 0.003% Asian, 28.7% African American, 54% Hispanic, 2.3% Multiracial, 3.2% Pacific Islander, and 11.4% Caucasian.

PLANNING AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PROCESS – The planning process for the Annual Action Plan began with an in-house triangulation of data, which included the annual MAP assessment, NWEA, and Achievement Series data. Areas of strength, as well as growth opportunities, were identified. A draft version of the Annual Action Plan was then collaboratively written by administration and shared with the school leadership team. Feedback was provided by the school leadership team and subsequent changes were made. The revised plan was then presented to the Parent Teacher Organization, where again feedback was taken. This feedback was shared with the school leadership team and final decisions were made on any subsequent changes and/or edits to be made prior to the submission of the final draft.

OVERVIEW OF CURRENT STATE: ACCOMPLISHMENTS AND CHALLENGES – The Foreign Language Academy continues to celebrate multiple accomplishments including: becoming an authorized International Baccalaureate School offering the Primary Years Programme and an official candidate school for the Middle Years Programme (MYP), being a Leader in Me school, being the only Spanish and Mandarin immersion programs in the region, continuing to have annual attendance above 92% for the last five (6) years, and continuing to improve student learning. Areas of improvement include improving attendance for our youngest students, increasing our reading and math scores for our newly bilingual 3rd and 4th grade students in Reading and Math, improving middle school math performance, and continuing to improve our IB Units of Inquiry and the aligned assessments.

OUR PRIORITIES FOR THE NEW SCHOOL YEAR- The priorities for the Foreign Language Academy for SY1920 are as follows: 1) Increase the number of students scoring proficient or advanced, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – Math, by a minimum of 10% for each tested grade level; 2) Increase the number of students scoring proficient or advanced, as assessed by the 2020 MAP – Science, by a minimum of 7% for each tested grade level; and, 3) Increase the number of students scoring proficient or advanced, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – ELA, by a minimum of 7% for students in the 3rd and 4th grades.

PAYING ATTENTION TO OUR INTENTION: MONITORING, ADJUSTING AND COMMUNICATING PROGRESS
Data will be utilized to determine student proficiency and mastery levels. We will utilize formative and summative assessments, including Achievement Series and iReady assessments. Teachers will identify students in need of small group and individual interventions. Teachers will create 20-day plans, to include specific interventions and strategies along with aligned assignments and formative assessments. The formative assessment data from the small group and individual interventions will be collected weekly, with administration meeting with grade level teams bi-weekly to assist in progress monitoring the effectiveness of the intervention strategies. Teachers will provide students and families with weekly progress monitoring reports, which will provide weekly grade checks as well as formative assessment scores for students participating in small group and individual intervention groups.

2 Where are we now?

2a SUMMARY: CURRENT STATE OF STUDENT LEARNING & ACHIEVEMENT

The following chart details the current state of student learning and achievement, as it relates to the NWEA Measures of Academic Performance (MAP) Assessments as given during SY1718. As can be seen in the chart below, our 7th and 8th grade students scored on/above the national grade level average on all three NWEA assessments (ELA, Math, and Science). Additionally, our 2nd – 6th grade classes all outscored the District average on their respective NWEA assessments, with each grade level approaching their respective national grade level averages.

| NWEA MAP 1718 | ELA Bidg. Score/Nat'l On Grade Level Avg. | Dist. Avg. | Math Bldg. Score/Nat'l On Grade Level Avg. | Dist. Avg. | Science Bldg. Score/Nat'l On Grade Level Avg. | Dist. Avg. |
|---------------------|--|---------------|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| Grade | 223.8/219. | 213. | 233.9/229. | 217. | 214.8/212. | 199 |
| 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 3 | |
| Grade | 220.2/216. | 210. | 225.9/226. | 214. | 213.1/209. | 202. |
| 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Grade | 210.2/214. | 205. | 210.8/222. | 209. | 206.1/207. | 201. |
| 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Grade | 205.9/209. | 200. | 210.7/217. | 205. | 201.7/203. | 198. |
| 5 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 3 |
| Grade 4 | 197.2/203. 6 | 192. 7 | 198.4/208. 7 | 196. 4 | | |
| Grade 3 | 184.8/195. 6 | 183. 5 | 188.4/198. 2 | 186. 8 | | |
| Grade 2 | 176.9/184. 2 | 175. 4 | 186.2/186. 4 | 181 | | |

The next chart details our longitudinal performance and current state of student learning and achievement, as measured by the Missouri Assessment Program (MAP). With changing test exam formats and state curricula for SY17, as well as delays in receiving the SY18 data, trend data is difficult to compare and analyze for this assessment.

| | SY17 | | SY18 | | SY19 | |
|------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-----|
| | Pro/Adv. | МРІ | Pro/Adv. | МРІ | Pro/Adv. | MPI |
| ELA | 51.88% | 327.0 | 48.27% | 315.5 | | |
| МАТН | 36.81% | 300.9 | 28.17% | 330.6 | | |
| sc | 31.63% | 294.9 | | 299.2 | | |

2b SUMMARY: CURRENT STATE OF THE INSTRUCTIONAL CORE

The Foreign Language Academy currently employees 50.7 staff to educate and provide instruction to our students. Instruction is provided through an immersion process in which students are taught the Missouri Learning Standards in a target language (Spanish or Chinese). Students in Kindergarten and 1st grade receive all core instruction in their selected target language. From the 2nd – 5th grades, students receive one (1) 90-minute block of English Language instruction in addition to their target-language language arts, math, science, and social studies core instruction. In Middle School (Grades 6-8), math is provided in English due to our students' participation in Algebra I and the End of Course exam.

At present, the Foreign Language Academy has the following staff:

| Grade | Name |
|-------|-----------------------|
| KDG | Luis Munoz |
| KDG | Limaira Coleman |
| KDG | Damaris Velez |
| KDG | Angie Rodriguez |
| KDG | Xi Wang |
| 1 | Glendaliz Quinones |
| 1 | Vilma Velazquez |
| 1 | Amalia Lainez |
| 1 | Rosa Alvarez Menendez |
| 1 | Guirong Li |
| 2 | Anabel Vargas |
| 2 | Daysi Arres |
| 2 | Luz Rivera |
| 2 | Yingdi Leiker |
| 3 | Claudia Molina |
| 3 | Annette Morales |
| 3 | Erica Walton |
| 3 | Haydee Perez |
| 3 | Ziqi Wang |
| 4 | Michelle Hill |
| 4 | Carly Gratton |
| 4 | Ruth Aguilera |
| 4 | Martha Contreras |
| 5 | Nikki Stull |
| 5 | Kayla Barnes |
| | Andrew Rexroat |

| IVIS IVIALII | Daniel Sanchez |
|--------------|----------------------|
| MS Math | Simone Petty |
| MS SS | Frances Bock |
| MS SS | Meghan LeRoux |
| MS ELA | Cameron Mount |
| MS ELA | Evonne Shelly |
| MS SC | Wanda Morales |
| MS SP | Rosalia Villegas |
| ELL | Mary Amoury |
| ELL | Ryan Robinson |
| Music | Joseph Cowan |
| Music/Band | Susan Martinez |
| Library | Paula Watts |
| iSpark | Anke Hirsekorn |
| iSpark | Lisa Hendrickson |
| Art | Natalie Wilbers |
| Art | Karen Haywood |
| PE | Trae Hunjak |
| PE | Karina Jones |
| Counselor | Christina Moore |
| Counselor | Tracy Graessle |
| Ex.Ed. | Jessica Moser |
| Ex.Ed. | Deb Ingersoll |
| Speech | Diane Ide |
| Secretary | Anongkana Haynes |
| Secretary | Helen Pujols |
| Para | Mary Reyes |
| Para | Nancy Estepan |
| Para | Krista Greenlee |
| | |

The Foreign Language Academy is an authorized International Baccalaureate Primary Years School and International Baccalaureate Middle Years Candidate School. The Foreign Language Academy was officially authorized in August 2019 to offer the Primary Years Programme, with teachers continuing their professional development and advancement of student learning in the areas of IB curriculum framework, IB assessment, IB inquiry based educational processes, IB transdisciplinary learning, IB content specific IB curricular framework, IB theories of learning, and more. As such, we have mapped and scaffolded the Missouri Learning standards to allow our PYP and MYP students to learn about and use knowledge, concepts, and skills from a variety of six specifically designed transdisciplinary themes of global significance, while the MYP students study a range of subjects often bringing together two or more established areas of expertise to building new interdisciplinary learning. The IB framework allows for a balanced approach that offers students access to a broad range of content than spans academic subjects and allows for rigorous, research-based conceptual learning that focuses on broad and powerful organizing ideas that have relevance within and across subject areas.

Additionally, the Foreign Language Academy utilizes both reader's, writer's, and math workshop models. These models provide students with a supportive environment that involves authentic learning experiences that are on-grade level (mini-lesson), as well as differentiated through the use of stations and centers to meet the individual needs of each student. Through this process, teachers are allowed to meet with small groups and individuals each day to provide interventions (RTI) and strategies to meet the student's needs. These are assessed through the use of formative assessments, running records, and 20-day Plans to monitor the effectiveness of the prescribed interventions. Additionally, teachers utilize a series of research-based instructional resources within their stations and centers, including differentiated iReady lessons and activities, NEWSELA (in English and Spanish), Imagine Español, Freckle Math, and others.

Lastly, we partner with several organizations to provide our students enrichment and tutoring. The Derrick Thomas Third and Long Foundation provides an annual grant of \$5000 to assist in providing after-school tutoring to our identified struggling readers in grades 4 and 5. The organization also sponsors multiple enrichment opportunities for these students through various field trips and excursions aligned to reading materials utilized in the after-school tutoring program.

3 Where do we want to go next?

3a. STRATEGIC GOALS & MEASURES OF STUDENT SUCCESS

| | | ☑ if a focus |
|------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | this planning |
| | | year |
| GOAL #1 | SUCCESS IN THE EARLY YEARS | |
| Measure 1a | Increased percentage of Kindergartners who have pre-kindergarten experiences. | 0 |
| Measure 1b | Increased percentage of students from each student subgroup meeting or exceeding standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics at the end of Grade 3. | 0 |
| Measure 1c | Increased percentage of students who demonstrate persistence and confidence in social, emotional, and problem solving skills. | O |
| | | |
| GOAL #2 | WHOLE CHILD: SAFE, CHALLENGED AND SUPPORTED | |
| Measure 2a | Increased percentage of students who feel that their school offers a safe environment for learning, and who have a sense of belonging and personally meaningful friendships. | 0 |
| Measure 2b | Increased percentage of students who receive effective social and emotional behavior interventions and continuous access to an advocate/mentor/ counselor. | X (District- selected) |
| Measure 2c | Increased percentage of students participating in athletics or other extra-curricular/co-curricular activities | 0 |
| | | |
| GOAL #3 | CONTINUOUS GROWTH TOWARD MASTERY OF ALL ACADEMIC SUBJECTS | |
| Measure 3a | Increased percentage of students meeting proficiency standards on local, state, and national examinations. | X (District- selected) |
| Measure 3b | Increased percentage of identified students who move up or out of tiered academic support (e.g., English Language Learners (ELL); Problem-solving Team (PST); Individualized Education Program (IEP)). | X (Building- selected) |
| Measure 3c | Increased growth rate by a minimum of one year for students at/above grade level and 1.5 years for students below grade level. | 0 |
| | | |
| GOAL #4 | 21st CENTURY CRITICAL THINKERS AND PROBLEM-SOLVERS | |
| Measure 4a | Increased percentage of students who can solve real-world, interdisciplinary problems. | X (Building- selected) |
| Measure 4b | Increased percentage of students who can use appropriate digital tools and resources to plan and conduct research, manage projects, solve problems, and make informed decisions. | 0 |
| Measure 4c | Increased percentage of students meeting standards on performance-based assessments (e.g., Career/Technical Education, CTE; industry licensing or certification; Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery, ASVAB; apprenticeships) | 0 |
| | | |
| GOAL #5 | READINESS FOR COLLEGE, CAREER AND LIFE | |
| Measure 5a | Increased percentage of students with school attendance of at least 90%. | 0 |
| Measure 5b | Increased percentage of students who complete courses, activities, and experiences based on college and career plan. | 0 |
| Measure 5c | Increased 4-year high school graduation rates and decreased 4-year high school dropout rates. | 0 |

3b. OUR VISION, OUR MISSION, OUR CORE VALUES

OUR VISION: Bilingual students prepared for high school and beyond.

<u>OUR MISSION:</u> Foreign Language Academy empowers students to be independent, lifelong learners who take initiative to solve local and global problems. Our community creates a multilingual learning environment which emphasizes critical thinking, inquiry and leadership.

CORE VALUES: Academic excellence, bilingual leadership, and critical and compassionate thinkers.

3c. ANNUAL STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT TARGETS

| | School Focus | Annual Improvement Target (250 characters max.) | | with District gic Plan |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------|
| # | (40 characters max.) | | | Which Measure (1a-5c) |
| A | Continuous Growth Toward Mastery: Mathematics and Science | By May 2020, increase the number of students scoring proficient or advanced, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – Math and the 2020 MAP Assessment - Science, by a minimum of 10% for each tested grade level and sub-group. | 3 | 3a and 3b |
| В | Continuous Growth Toward Mastery: ELA/Reading | By May 2020, increase the number of 3 rd , 4 th , and 5 th grade students, as well as the respective sub-groups for these grades, scoring proficient or advanced by a minimum of 7%, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – ELA. | 3 | 3a and 3b |
| C | 21st Century Critical Thinkers and Problem Solvers: Interdisciplinary, real world learning | By May 2020, all students in Kindergarten through the 5 th grade will increase their critical thinking and problem solving skills by 50%, as assessed by the successful application of increased rigor and DOK levels (3 and 4) in the end-of-unit assessments of the interdisciplinary and real-world Units of Inquiry for the International Baccalaureate Primary Year Programme. | 4 | 4a |

How are we going to get there? 4

Professional Practices for Instructional Effectiveness

The Professional Practices for Instructional Effectiveness are focused on defining the teaching practices, leadership practices, and organizational practices most essential for responsive instruction across our district. The practices outline the expectations for all KCPS staff and the standards by which we will be held accountable. The practices are organized around the Four Pillars.

Pillar A

Personalized. Rigorous, Culturally Responsive Teaching & Learning

- TEACHING T1: Teachers guide students PRACTICES to direct their own learning and to work with other students on culturally and socially relevant research projects and assignments.
 - T2: Teachers utilize a variety of high engagement strategies, real-life and authentic learning opportunities, and motivational approaches to raise expectations for all students, regardless of current level of performance.

- LEADERSHIP L1: Principal/Leadership PRACTICES encourages teachers and staff to challenge their own beliefs and actions about students' ability to meet high expectations and provides culturally relevant ongoing professional development.
 - L2: Principal communicates high expectations by prioritizing mastery of instruction for all student subgroups, and leading ongoing teacher, team and school-wide dialogue about student work products.

ORGANIZATIONAL O1: School staff

- PRACTICES communicates a consistent message of high expectations, high support, and instructional approaches from a wide range of historical, cultural, and linguistic perspectives.
 - O2: District/School leadership ensures that standardsaligned, culturally relevant curricular are implemented and supported with curriculum guides, professional development, and a balanced assessment system.

Pillar B

Safe Climate and Strong Relationships with Families & Community

- T3: Teachers implement a caring environment that fosters high expectations, responsibility, independence, and social and emotional competencies.
- T4: Teachers routinely communicate with families about each student's progress relative to academic and behavioral expectations, and seek their involvement as equal partners.
- L3: Principal supports educators, students, families and the community to sustain high achievement in a positive, safe school environment.
- L4: Principal provides information to parents/ caregivers regarding how to foster learn at home, give appropriate assistance, support homework and academic resilience, and engage in two-way homeschool communication.
- O3: District builds systems to link educators, students, parents, caregivers, and community to the schools. and to foster a safe caring environment.
- O4: District enables parents to participate as partners in developing school policies and practices and in analyzing student and school performance data.

Pillar C

Caring and Effective Teacher in Every Classroom, and Effective Leader In **Every School**

- T5: Teachers engage in ongoing reflection of their own professional growth, and assess their instructional effectiveness relative to student learning.
- T6: Teachers demonstrate continuous learning through classroom application of relevant strategies learned through professional development and ongoing collaboration.
- L5: Principal uses multiple strategies to provide timely feedback about classroom practices and student learning (e.g., lesson study, collaborative analysis of student work, classroom observations, and team planning).
- L6: Principal/Instructional Leadership Team implement differentiated professional development, focused on continuous improvement of student learning and professional practice.
- O5: District Leadership creates work environments that support teachers and principals in what they need to focus on: quality instruction and well-run schools.
- O6: Administrative Team clearly communicates the district's vision, mission, teacher-quality, and performance data and seek community input for continuous improvement.

Pillar D

Data-Informed. Effective, and **Efficient Systems**

- T7: Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of
- T8: Teachers utilize an effective system to maintain accurate data records and artifacts on student- and instructor-tracked completion of assignments, academic assessments, and assigned discipline.
- L7: Leadership Team uses student work and data to recommend instructional strategies and interventions for all students, and disseminates periodic progress reports to all stakeholders.
- L8: Principal/Leadership Team works collaboratively with teachers to use a welldefined system to gather and analyze data about student growth and professional practices.
- 07: District leadership implements a user-friendly system to provide information for monitoring and adjusting professional practice and to guide professional development.
- O8: Central Office partners with all schools to provide professional development to all educators regarding analysis and interpretation of a variety of data about student learning and professional practices.

Enter School Focus here... A:
Continuous Growth Toward Mastery:
Mathematics and Science

Enter Annual Achievement Target here... By May 2020, increase the number of students scoring proficient or advanced, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – Math and the 2020 MAP Assessment - Science, by a minimum of 10% for each tested grade level and sub-group.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES

1. T2: Teachers and paraprofessionals utilize a variety of high engagement strategies, real-life and authentic learning opportunities, and motivational approaches to raise expectations for all students, regardless of current level of performance.

2. T7: Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of students.

EDUCATIONAL STRATEGIES

Learning
1b. Math Workshop
model
1c. Inquiry-based
instruction
1d. Push-in and pull-

out assistance with

small groups.

1a. Cooperative

2a. Common plantime: Data teams andPLCs2b. Aligned strategyintervention selection

PD & COLLABORATION THEMES

1a. Kagan Strategies and

- Cooperative Learning
 Structures
 1b. Math workshop model,
 mini lesson design,
 alignment of centers, small
 group intervention
 strategies, formative
 assessment
 development/data
 collection, student
 accountability
 1c. Development and
- implementation of rigorous, authentic, and engaging International Baccalaureate units of inquiry, IB learning/teaching processes, and rigorous assessment practices (formative and summative)
- 2a. Creation of formative assessments aligned to standards and within IB
 Units of Inquiry
 2b. Unpacking of standards and resource alignment selection via provided resources

INDICATORS OF SUCCESS

1a. – 1c. Lesson plans, lesson study/analysis, observation feedback (formal and informal), data collection and analysis (20-day plans), running records and small group intervention data, growth in student benchmark scores on end-of-unit assessments and standardized district assessments, and IB unit reflections

2a. – 2b. Staff
attendance rosters,
bank of formative
assessments aligned to
essential learning
standards, and
improved student
assessment scores.

| 3. L5: Principal uses |
|---------------------------|
| multiple strategies to |
| provide timely feedback |
| about classroom practices |
| and student learning. |
| |

3a. Peer observations/lesson study
3b. Video-taping (self-reflection)
3c. Targeted student intervention plans and monitoring

3a and 3b. TNTP
Observation Tool training
3a and 3b. DESE/District
Evaluation Tool and rubric
training
3c. 20 day plan vertical
team and grade level team
meetings.

3a. – 3c. Staff
attendance roster,
feedback, improved
instruction and
feedback scores,
increased alignment of
standards and small
group instruction to
improve overall student
performance, peer
observation feedback,
peer observation
reflections

Enter School Focus here... B:
Continuous Growth Toward Mastery:
ELA/Reading

Enter Annual Achievement Target here... By May 2020, increase the number of 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade students, as well as the respective subgroups for these grades, scoring proficient or advanced by a minimum of 7%, as assessed by the 2020 MAP Assessment – ELA.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES

EDUCATIONAL STRATEGIES

PD & COLLABORATION THEMES

1a. Kagan Strategies and

INDICATORS OF SUCCESS

1. T2: Teachers and paraprofessionals utilize a variety of high engagement strategies, real-life and authentic learning opportunities, and motivational approaches to raise expectations for all students, regardless of current level of performance.

1a. Cooperative
Learning
1b. Readers and
Writers Workshop
models
1c. Inquiry-based
instruction
1d. Push-in and pull-out assistance with
small groups.

Cooperative Learning Structures 1b. Readers and writers workshop model, mini lesson design, alignment of centers, small group intervention strategies. formative assessment development/data collection, student accountability 1c. Development and implementation of rigorous, authentic, and engaging **International Baccalaureate** units of inquiry, IB learning/teaching processes, and rigorous assessment practices (formative and summative)

1a. – 1c. Lesson plans, lesson study/analysis, observation feedback (formal and informal), data collection and analysis (20-day plans), running records and small group intervention data, growth in student benchmark scores on end-of-unit assessments and standardized district assessments, and IB unit reflections

2. T7: Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of students.

2a. Common plantime: Data teams andPLCs2b. Aligned strategyintervention selection

2a. . Creation of formative assessments aligned to standards and within IB Units of Inquiry
2b. Unpacking of standards and resource alignment selection via provided resources

2a. – 2b. Staff attendance rosters, book study notes, PLC pre- and post-surveys on effectiveness, alignment of assignments and assessments to standards, improved student assessment scores.

3. L5: Principal uses multiple strategies to provide timely feedback about classroom practices and student learning.

3a. Peerobservations/lessonstudy3b. Video-taping (self-reflection)3c. Targeted studentintervention plans andmonitoring

3a and 3b. TNTP
Observation Tool training
3a and 3b. DESE/District
Evaluation Tool and rubric
training
3c. 20 day plan vertical
team and grade level team
meetings.

3a. – 3c. Staff
attendance roster,
feedback, improved
instruction and
feedback scores,
increased alignment of
standards and small
group instruction to
improve overall student
performance, peer
observation feedback,
peer observation
reflections

Enter School Focus here... C: 21st Century Critical Thinkers and Problem Solvers: Interdisciplinary, real world learnin

Enter Annual Achievement Target here... By May 2020 all students in Kindergarten through the 5th grade will increase their critical thinking and problem solving skills by 50%, as assessed by the successful application of increased rigor and DOK levels (3 and 4) in the end-of-unit assessments of the interdisciplinary and real-world Units of Inquiry for the International Baccalaureate Primary Year Programme.

PROFESSIONAL PRACTICES

EDUCATIONAL STRATEGIES

PD & COLLABORATION THEMES

INDICATORS OF SUCCESS

- 1. T2: Teachers and paraprofessionals utilize a variety of high engagement strategies, real-life and authentic learning opportunities, and motivational approaches to raise expectations for all students, regardless of current level of performance.
- 1a. Inquiry-based instruction (IB) and assessment1b. Webb's DOK levels and application in instruction
- 1a. Development and implementation of rigorous, authentic, and engaging International Baccalaureate units of inquiry, IB learning/teaching processes, and rigorous assessment practices (formative and summative)
 1b. Understanding and application of Webb's DOK levels through use of questions stems and assessment development
- 1a. -1b. Increased alignment of DOK levels with instruction and classwork, Improved **DOK levels in teacher** created questions/question stems, assessments aligned to the appropriate DOK levels, increased successful critical thinking and application of DOK based upon increased test and end of unit project scores.

- 2. T7: Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of students.
- 2a. Grade-level and vertical IB team meetings to create, score, and analyze assessment data to drive instruction
 2b. Differentiation with IB
- 2a. Formative and summative assessment development
 2b. Inquiry –based instruction and differentiation through inquiry /project-based learning
- 2a. Aligned formative and summative assessments, differentiated instruction which meets each student at his/her level and closes the achievement gap, increased student achievement scores on formative and summative assessments.

3. L5: Principal uses multiple strategies to provide timely feedback about classroom practices and student learning.

3a. Peerobservations/lessonstudy3b. Video-taping (self-reflection)3c. Targeted studentintervention plans and monitoring.

3a and 3b. TNTP
Observation Tool training
3a and 3b. DESE/District
Evaluation Tool and rubric
training
3c. 20 day plan vertical
team and grade level team
meetings.

3a. – 3c. Staff
attendance roster,
feedback, improved
instruction and
feedback scores,
increased alignment of
standards and small
group instruction to
improve overall student
performance, peer
observation feedback,
peer observation
reflections

4b. Assessment Calendar

| | | Start Date | End Date |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | |
| g/Math | KDG Readiness | 08/12/19 | 08/23/19 |
| g/Math | iReady Fall | 08/12/19 | 08/30/19 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q1 Pre-test | 08/12/19 | 08/30/19 |
| g/Language | BOY EDL/Chinese Reading Assessments | 08/12/19 | 08/30/19 |
| tember 3 Gifted NNAT3 Window | | 09/16/19 | 09/20/19 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q1 Post- test | 10/01/19 | 10/11/19 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q2 Pre-test | 10/21/19 | 10/25/19 |
| | | | |
| g/Math | iReady Winter | 12/2/19 | 12/6/19 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q2 Post- test | 12/9/19 | 12/20/19 |
| g/Language | MOY EDL/Chinese Reading Assessments | 12/2/19 | 12/20/19 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q3 Pre-test | 1/13/20 | 1/17/20 |
| g/Writing/Speaking/Listening | WIDA | 1/21/20 | 2/11/19 |
| g/Writing/Speaking/Listening | WIDA | 1/21/20 | 2/11/19 |
| g/Math/Science | MAP Practice | 2/18/20 | 2/29/20 |
| | | | |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q3 Post- test | 3/2/20 | 3/13/20 |
| g/Math | Achievement Series Q4 Pre-test | 3/30/20 | 4/3/20 |
| g/Math/Science | MAP | 4/20/20 | 5/15/20 |
| g/Math/Science | МАР | 4/20/20 | 5/15/20 |
| g/Math | iReady Spring | 5/4/20 | 5/15/20 |
| g/Language | EOY EDL/Chinese Reading Assessments | 05/04/20 | 05/15/20 |
| | | | |
| | | g/Language EOY EDL/Chinese Reading | g/Language EOY EDL/Chinese Reading 05/04/20 |

4c. Budget Allocation Summary

| Student Target | Practice | Strategy or PD Theme | Expenditure or Action to be Funded | Date(s) | Estimated Cost | Funding Source(s) | +\$\$# |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|---------|-------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| X | | | 2.0 FTE Non-Certified (Para- professionals – Reading and Math) | | 52,788 | 10.4350.1251.6151.45100.4.20 | |
| Х | | | Other Employer Provided Benefits (2.0 FTE Non-certified) | | 30,606 | 10.4350.1251.6291.45100.4.20 | |
| | | х | IB Travel/ Professional Development | | 25,000 | 10.4350.1111.6343.45100.4.20 | |
| | | Х | IB Fees (Annual dues and Authorization) | | 20,000 | 10.4350.1251.6371.45100.4.20 | |
| х | | | Food (Parent Involvement) | | 1,125 | 10.4350.3912.6471.45100.4.20 | |
| Х | | | General Supplies | | 20,000 | 10.4350.1251.6411.45100.4.20 | |
| Х | | | Supplies – Technology | | 1,000 | 10.4350.1251.6412.45100.4.20 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

[#]check box if expenditure applies only if funding is available

4d. Milestones of Progress

| Student Target | Practice | Strategy or PD Theme | Task to be completed | Due Date | Lead Person |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|-------------|----------------------------|
| х | | Х | PLC/Essential Agreements Review and Modification (in alignment with Making PYP Happen Changes) | 08/09/19 | Admin./Team Leads |
| Х | | Х | TNTP Walkthrough Model/Process (new staff)/Review TNTP Walkthrough Model/Process (returning staff) | 08/30/19 | Ramsdell |
| Х | | Х | Goal Setting: 20-day Plans/Running Records | 09/14/19 | Admin./Team Leads |
| Х | | Х | Webb's DOK and Rigor/Formative Assessments/Inquiry-based Instruction | 10/18/19 | Admin. |
| Х | Х | Х | Kagan Strategies | On-going | Admin./Team Leads |
| Х | Х | Х | Readers' and Writers' Workshop Model (w/ Centers/Stations development and alignment) | On-going | Admin./Team Leads |
| Х | Х | Х | Math Workshop (w/ Centers/Stations development and alignment) | On-going | Admin./Team Leads |
| Х | | | Monthly PLC/Data Teams/Review and Goal Setting | On-going | Admin/Grade Level Teams |
| Х | Х | | Monthly creation of aligned formative assessments (with MO Learning Standards and IB Units of Inquiry) | On-going | Admin/Grade Level Teams |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

5 How do we ensure disciplined implementation?

- 5a. Roadmap for Disciplined Implementation
- 5b. CPR Card™
- 5c. Vital Signs Scorecard
- 5d. PD & Collaboration Calendar
- 5e. Cycles of Inquiry