	Schoolwide Title I Improvement/Accountability Plan for Targeted Schools						
Focus of Plan (ch	eck the appropriate box):	Kansas City Public Schools					
□ LEA		Name of School or Charter: Garfield Elementary	Principal/Lead Person: Doug White				
☐ School or Char	ter	Address: 436 Prospect, Kansas City, MO 64124	School Website:				
Plan Year(s): 201	9-2020						
Purpose: To dev	elop a plan for improving the t	op 3 needs identified in the needs assessment.					
One plan may me	eet the needs of a number of d	ifferent programs. Please check all that apply.					
	Title I.A School Improvemen	t					
	☐ Title I.D Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth who are Neglected, Delinquent or At-Risk						
	☐ Title II.A Language Instruction for English Learners and Immigrant Children						
	☐ Title IV 21st Century Schools						
	Title V Flexibility and Accour	tability					
	Individuals with Disability Ed	ucation Act					
	Rehabilitation Act of 1973						
	Carl D. Perkins Career and Te	chnical Education Act					
	Workforce Innovation and O	pportunities Act					
	Head Start Act						
	□ McKinney Vento Homeless Assistance Act						
	☐ Adult Education and Family Literacy Act						
	MSIP	. (0)					
	Other State and Local Requir	ements/Needs					

What staff/stakeholders have been involved in the needs assessment and development of this plan?

Name	Stakeholder Group*
Doug White	Principal
Kelly Coble	Vice Principal
Andrew Larson	Title I Coordinator
Alison Wilson	Teacher
Violet Pickett	Teacher
Megan Dorcas	Teacher
Annette Rhinehart	Teacher
Tijuana Jackson-Rudolph	Teacher
Alissa Goodding	ELL Teacher
Katelin Andrews	ELL Teacher
Nassrin Javadi	SPED Teacher
Lizbeth Calzada	Parent
Kaylee Wickizer	Parent
Andrew Larson	Federal Programs Supervisor
Dea Davis	Budget

*Indicate Stakeholder Group to which each planning team member belongs. Stakeholders in bold font are required.

Principal

Teacher(s)/Certified Staff

Parents (at least 2)

Support Staff

Title 1 Supervisor/Coordinator

Vice Principal

Assistant Superintendent(s)

Superintendent

Board Member(s)

Other District Staff

What are the key issues identified from the needs assessment?

- 1.) MPI scores in ELA and MATH have decreased significantly on the 2018 and 2019 MAP Assessments.
- 2.) The combined rate of Proficient and Advanced students have decreased significantly in ELA and MATH on the 2018 and 2019 MAP Assessments.
- 3.) On the 2018 ESSA Identification Report, the SPED Subgroup Index Score of 121.3 is significantly below the Index Identification Threshold of 219.7.

What are the prioritized needs for the LEA or building based on a root cause analysis?

- 1.) Increase the MPI scores in ELA and Math through the effective implementation of Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction in the RIT process.
- 2.) Increase the percent Proficient and Advanced students in ELA and Math through the effective implementation of Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction in the RTI process.
- 3.) Raise the SPED Subgroup Index Score above the Index Identification Threshold through co-teaching and targeted individualized instruction for students in this subgroup.

The Goals and the Plan

(Choose no more than 3 goals to focus on with your improvement plan)

Goal #1 - Circle the appropriate Area of the Continuous Improvement System this goal falls under:

Leadership	Collaborative Climate and	Effective Teaching and	Data-Based Decision	Alignment of Standards and
Culture		Learning	Making	Curriculum

SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) Goal #1

By May 2019, the amount of time spent on Instructional related activities will increase from 45% in August 2019 to 55% in May 2020 as measured by the SAMS Time Tracker monitoring and analysis process.

Rationale (name of the existing conditions/data points to support the selection of the objective/goal) and Alignment

The building is identified as a Target school due to low performance in English language arts and mathematics for students with an IEP. The percentage of Proficient and Advanced students has decreased on the MAP assessment for the last two years. The overall school MPI scores has decreased for the last two years on the MAP Assessment. High-quality principals provide the vital link between curriculum and learning. By school administration systematically changing the focus of daily activities from Management tasks to Instructional leadership, the amount of time best spent working with teachers on improving teaching and raising student achievement is increased.

MAP Assessment Combined Proficient and Advanced

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
ELA	22.8%	27.2%	28.8%	18.0%	15.5%
MATH	13.8%	15.0%	23.4%	18.2%	15.4%

MAP MPI Scores

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
ELA	230.7	241.2	246.6	236.8	226.7
MATH	211.1	207.3	231.0	211.1	196.9

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Goals and Measures of Student Success (p. 14 KCPS Strategic Plan):

Goal 3.b: Increased percentage of identified students who move up or out of tiered academic support (e.g. English Language Learners (ELL); Problem-solving Team (PST); Individualized Education Program (IEP).

Goal 3.c: Increased growth rate by a minimum of one year for students at/above grade level and 1.5 years for students below grade level.

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Professional Practices for Instructional Effectiveness (p.15 KCPS Strategic Plan):

Pillar A.L1: Principal/Leadership encourages teachers and staff to challenge their own beliefs and actions about students' ability to meet high expectations and provides culturally relevant ongoing professional development.

Pillar A.L2: Principal communicates high expectations by prioritizing mastery of instruction for all student subgroups, and leading ongoing teacher, team and school-wide dialogue about student work products.

Pillar C.L5: Principal uses multiple strategies to provide timely feedback about classroom practices and student learning (eg., lesson student, collaborative analysis of student work, classroom observations, and team planning).

Pillar D.L8: Principal/Leadership Team works collaboratively with teachers to use a well-defined system to gather and analyze data about student growth and professional practices.

Strategies for Improvement

- Complete required walkthroughs and evaluations by designated timeframes.
- Provide timely feedback to teachers on instructional practice and data.
- Meet with grade level PLC Teams two times per month.
- Schedule extended grade level planning meetings for 2nd, 3rd and 4th quarters.
- Monthly Leadership Team meetings, two per month, to analyze student data and review building wide instructional practices.

Action Steps	Start Date	Person	Resources	Completed/Date
		Responsible		
1 st quarter				
1. Daily Meeting with Secretary to review instructional	8/2019		SAM Daily Meeting Checklist	
minutes goal.		Principal		

 Schedule Daily tasks to monitor percentage of instructional related time. Meet monthly with SAMS Time Tracker Coach to review management and instruction tasks. Complete required monthly walkthroughs including feedback and submit in OASYS. 		Secretary	SAM Time Tracker OASYS	
 2nd quarter Daily Meeting with Secretary to review instructional minutes goal. Schedule Daily tasks to monitor percentage of instructional related time. Meet monthly with SAMS Time Tracker Coach to review management and instruction tasks. Complete required monthly walkthroughs including feedback and submit in OASYS. Complete Formal Observation components 	10/14/19		SAM Daily Meeting Checklist SAM Time Tracker OASYS	
 3rd quarter Daily Meeting with Secretary to review instructional minutes goal. Schedule Daily tasks to monitor percentage of instructional related time. Meet monthly with SAMS Time Tracker Coach to review management and instruction tasks. Complete required monthly walkthroughs including feedback and submit in OASYS. Complete Summative Evaluations for Probationary teachers. 	1/6/2020		SAM Daily Meeting Checklist SAM Time Tracker OASYS	
Long Range 1. Daily Meeting with Secretary to review instructional minutes goal.	3/23/20202		SAM Daily Meeting Checklist SAM Time Tracker	

2. Schedule Daily tasks to monitor percentage of			
instructional related time.		OASYS	
3. Meet monthly with SAMS Time Tracker Coach to review			
management and instruction tasks.			
4. Complete required monthly walkthroughs including			
feedback and submit in OASYS.			
5. Complete Summative Evaluations for Tenured teachers			
on the evaluation cycle.			

Goal #2 - Circle the appropriate Area of the Continuous Improvement System this goal falls under:								
Leadership	Leadership Collaborative Climate and Effective Teaching and Data-Based Decision Alignment of Standards and							
	Culture Learning Making Curriculum							
SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) Goal #2								
By all classroom, ELL, and SPED teachers implementing RTI Tier 1 and Tier 2 grade level instructional strategies, the combined percent Proficient and								
Advanced Levels will incres	ose by at least 10% in FLA and M	ATH on the 2020 MAD Assessme	nt					

Advanced Levels will increase by at least 10% in ELA and MATH on the 2020 MAP Assessment.

Rationale (name of the existing conditions/data points to support the selection of the objective/goal) and Alignment

MAP Assessment Combined Proficient and Advanced

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
ELA	22.8%	27.2%	28.8%	18.0%	15.5%
MATH	13.8%	15.0%	23.4%	18.2%	15.4%

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Goals and Measures of Student Success (p. 14 KCPS Strategic Plan):

- 3. Continuous Growth Toward Mastery of All Academic Subjects
 - a. Increased percentage of students meeting proficiency standards on local, state, and national examinations.
 - b. Increased percentage of identified students who move up or out of tiered academic support (e.g. ELL, Problem Solving Team, IEP).
 - c. Increased growth rate by a minimum of one year for students at/above grade level and 1.5 years for students below grade level.

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Professional Practices for Instructional Effectiveness (p.15 KCPS Strategic Plan):

Pillar A.T.2: Teachers utilize a variety of high engagement strategies, real-life and authentic learning opportunities, and motivational approaches to raise expectations for all students, regardless of current level of performance.

Pillar C.T.5: Teachers engage in ongoing reflection of their own professional growth, and asses their instructional effectiveness relative to student learning.

Pillar C.T.6: Teachers demonstrate continuous learning through classroom application of relevant strategies learned through professional development and ongoing collaboration.

Pillar D.T.7: Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of students.

Strategies for Improvement

- Identify essential standards for every grade level.
- Share learning targets with the students
- Give common assessments for the essential standards
- Based on summative assessments, identify students needing Tier 2 support on essential standards
- Design supplemental interventions for essential standards

Action Steps	Start Date	Person Responsible	Resources	Completed/Date
1st quarter 1. Leadership Team provide PD to the staff on Tier 1 and Tier 2 of the RTI process. 2. Daily schedules include specific RTI block of time 3. Identify 1 st quarter Essential Standards 4. Bi-weekly PLC grade level meetings 5. Analyze summative assessments for growth on Essential Standards 6. Grade level collaboration to plan and implement Tier 2 interventions	8/5/2019	Principal Teachers Instructional Coach PST Team Leadership Team	KCPS Curriculum CIPD Coordinators Title IA Funds	
2nd quarter 1. Identify 2 nd Quarter Essential Standards 2. Bi-weekly PLC grade level meetings 3. Analyze summative assessments for growth on Essential Standards	10/14/2019	Principal Teachers Instructional Coach PST Team	KCPS Curriculum CIPD Coordinators Title IA Funds	

4. Grade level collaboration to plan and implement Tier 2 interventions 5. Half Day grade level planning PD		Leadership Team		
3rd quarter 1. Identify 3 rd Quarter Essential Standards 2. Bi-weekly PLC grade level meetings 3. Analyze summative assessments for growth on Essential Standards 4. Grade level collaboration to plan and implement Tier 2 interventions 5. Half Day grade level planning PD 6. Analysis of Mock Map data to identify areas of student need in ELA and Math	1/6/2020	Principal Teachers Instructional Coach PST Team Leadership Team	KCPS Curriculum CIPD Coordinators Title IA Funds	
Long Range 1. Identify 4 th Quarter Essential Standards 2. Bi-weekly PLC grade level meetings 3. Analyze summative assessments for growth on Essential Standards 4. Grade level collaboration to plan and implement Tier 2 interventions 5. Half Day grade level planning PD	3/23/2020	Principal Teachers Instructional Coach PST Team Leadership Team	KCPS Curriculum CIPD Coordinators Title IA Funds	

	Goal #3 - Circle the appropriate	Area of the Continuous Improv	vement System this goal falls u	under:
Leadership	Collaborative Climate and	Effective Teaching and	Data-Based Decision	Alignment of Standards and
	Culture	Learning	<mark>Making</mark>	Curriculum
SMART (Specific Measurab	le Achievable Polevant and Tim	aly) Goal #3		

SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant and Timely) Goal #3

On the 2020 Spring iReady diagnostic, _75_% of students in the IEP subgroup will meet their iReady stretch goal in Reading and Math as set by the Beginning of the Year Fall Diagnostic.

Rationale (name of the existing conditions/data points to support the selection of the objective/goal) and Alignment

IEP Subgroup MPI

Year	ELA	Math
2016	129.2	129.2
2017	176.0	168.0
2018	175.0	243.8

The iReady Diagnostics and daily online instruction provides data that allows teachers to monitor and assess student performance and growth in various domains in Reading and Math. Through ongoing review of data to monitor progress, teachers will be able to provide specific instruction that targets the needs of students in the IEP subgroup.

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Goals and Measures of Student Success (p. 14 KCPS Strategic Plan):

- 3. Continuous Growth Toward Mastery of All Academic Subjects
 - b. Increased percentage of identified students who move up or out of tiered academic support (e.g. ELL, Problem Solving Team, IEP).
 - c. Increased growth rate by a minimum of one year for students at/above grade level and 1.5 years for students below grade level.

Alignment to KCPS Strategic Plan - Professional Practices for Instructional Effectiveness (p.15 KCPS Strategic Plan):

- A. Personalized Rigorous, Culturally Responsive Teaching and Learning
 - L1. Principal/Leadership encourages teachers and staff to challenge their own beliefs and actions about students' ability to meet high expectations and provide culturally relevant ongoing professional development.

- D. Data-Informed, Effective, and Efficient Systems
 - T7. Teachers meet regularly to review and utilize formative and summative assessment data to target instruction to the personalized needs of students.
 - L8. Principal/Leadership Team works collaboratively with teachers to use a well-defined system to gather and analyze data about student growth and professional practices.

Strategies for Improvement

- 1. SPED Co-teaching in grades 3 and 5.
- 2. Alignment of IEP goals with Missouri Learning Standards.
- 3. Weekly conferring with students on progress towards goals.
- 4. Utilization of Problem Solving Team to assist classroom teachers with academic strategies and interventions

Action Steps	Start Date	Person Responsible	Resources	Completed/Date
1st quarter 1. Professional Development on Co-teaching for SPED teachers, 3 rd grade teacher, and 5 th grade teacher. 2. Implementation of co-teaching for SPED teacher with 3 rd and 5 th grades 3. Administer Fall iReady Diagnostic in grades 1-6 to set student Spring stretch goals in ELA and Math. 4. Classroom teachers and SPED teachers review IEP goals for the current year. 5. Classroom teachers confer with students to review current iReady scores and stretch goals in ELA and Math. 6. PST Team meet at least two times per month.	8/12/19	SPED Teacher Classroom Teachers Administration PST Team	SPED Department CIPD Department Assessment Department	
2 nd quarter 1. Teachers monitor progress on iReady instruction and provide coaching for students where needed (within 5 days of program alert)	10/14/19	SPED Teacher Classroom Teachers	SPED Department CIPD Department	

 Continue implementation of co-teaching for SPED teacher with 3rd and 5th grades Classroom teachers confer with students to review current iReady scores and stretch goals in ELA and Math. Administer iReady Winter Diagnostic and analyze growth in Reading and Math SPED teacher joins at least one PLC meeting to check in with each grade level to share concerns and celebrations and engage in problem solving PST Team meet at least two times per month. 		Administration PST Team	Assessment Department	
 3rd quarter Classroom teachers confer with students to review Winter Diagnostic iReady scores and stretch goals in ELA and Math. Provide PD time for teachers to develop new strategies to address needs of students who are struggling Teachers monitor progress on iReady instruction and provide coaching for students where needed (within 5 days of program alert) Continue implementation of co-teaching for SPED teacher with 3rd and 5th grades PST Team meet at least two times per month. 	1/7/20	SPED Teacher Classroom Teachers Administration PST Team	SPED Department CIPD Department Assessment Department	
Long Range 1. Teachers monitor progress on iReady instruction and provide coaching for students where needed (within 5 days of program alert). 2. Continue implementation of co-teaching for SPED teacher with 3 rd and 5 th grades. 3. Administer Spring iReady Diagnostic 4. PST Team meet at least two times per month.		SPED Teacher Classroom Teachers Administration PST Team	SPED Department CIPD Department Assessment Department	

Budget Allocation Summary					
Goal # (1-3)	Expenditure or Action to be Funded	Date	Estimated Cost	Funding Source(s)	
	Title 1A Allocation		230,246		
	Instructional Support Coach		93,429	Title 1A	
	Reading Interventionist		40,192	Title 1A	
	Math Interventionist		40,192	Title 1A	
	Parent Involvement Liaison		44,410	Title 1A	
	Stipend pay for Problem Solving Team/Leadership Team		6,000	Title 1A	
	Supplies		6,023	Title 1A	
	Should Funds Become Available, the following will be funded:				
	SAMs/Leadership Conference		7,000	Title 1/Carryover	
	Dana Vontour Match Consultant		6,000	Title 1/Carryover	
	Supplies			Title 1/Carryover	
	Non-adopted Curriculum Resources			Title 1/Carryover	

Superintendent	 Date				
State Supervisor, School Improvement	Date				
Federal Programs Supervisor/School Improvement Staff	Date				