



**ROCKFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION
INVITATION FOR BID ON SUPPLIES, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT OR SERVICES
FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 205
ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS**

IFB No. 20-60 Special Needs Transportation

DATE: June 8, 2020

RE: **ADDENDUM NO. 3**

To All Bidders:

Included are modifications, clarifications and/or corrections for the Project Manual and are hereby made a part of the contract documents. Please attach this addendum to the Project Manual(s) in your possession. Please note the receipt of this addendum on the bid form. Bidders shall review changes to all portions of this work as changes to one portion may affect the work of another.

If you plan to hand deliver your IFB submission on the due date, please note you must check in on the 2nd floor prior to coming to the bid opening. Please allow time for this as late submission will not be accepted.

Refer all questions relative to the business aspect, Instructions to Bidders, Special Conditions, and questions concerning the technical aspect of the documents to the Director of Purchasing by email at purchasingdeptstaff@rps205.com.

CLARIFICATIONS

This addendum includes Requests for Information (RFI) since the last addendum and corresponding answers.

ROCKFORD BOARD OF EDUCATION

By: Dane Youngblood
Director of Purchasing

CLARIFICATIONS

Below are Requests for Information (RFI) since the last addendum and corresponding answers.

1. Under the Supplemental Bid Requirements on page 13, the requirement of Comprehensive (sic) Auto Liability is stated as \$5M, and also Business Auto Liability of \$1M. These would seem to be at adds. Additionally, the Business Auto requirement requires Garage Keepers Liability insurance. As the contractor will not be servicing or storing vehicles of the district, would the district consider removing the Garage coverage as the bid does not as for and we do not perform services requiring the coverage? Further, can you clarify if the district wants the underlying Auto Liability limit required to be \$1M CSL or \$5M CSL?
 - a. The insurance requirements are as set forth in Section 14 of the Supplemental Terms and Conditions. The District agrees to remove the requirement for Garage Keepers Liability Insurance.
2. The uninsured limit requested is not typically available. Given that the coverage is a non-liability coverage and provides the district with no addition protection beyond the liability limits already required, would you consider changing the requirement to the Illinois minimum requirement so that the district does not need to pay additional funds to provide insurance coverage for passengers that does not afford protection to the district?
 - a. The District will accept uninsured motorist coverage at the Illinois minimum requirement.
3. Can you clarify the insurance carrier rating required? On page 11 of the .pdf the requirement is stated as A VII; on page 33, the rating required is stated as A- XII.
 - a. The rating required by the District will be as set forth in Paragraph 14 of the Supplemental Terms and Conditions.
4. The General Liability requirements are directed more to a construction project rather than a transportation project. A transportation risk has minimal GL exposure to the district. The aggregate limits seem to place more emphasis on property loss (which would likely come from an automobile and is better covered by the vehicle insurance policy) and per project which are both construction requirements. Would the district consider a GL policy of \$1M per occurrence and \$2M in the aggregate (traditional requirements) and then subject to the excess coverage for additional limits?
 - a. The District will require to lower the amount for general liability insurance to \$1,000,000.00 per occurrence and \$2,000,000.00 in the aggregate.
5. We would ask the district to give a specific umbrella requirement for comparison purposes. While we meet and exceed the limit and therefore provide better protection to the district, it seems difficult to not require all bidders to meet the same minimum requirement.
 - a. No.