

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# West Hartford, Connecticut; General Obligation

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Christina Marin, Boston 617-530-8312; christina.marin@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact:

Victor M Medeiros, Boston (1) 617-530-8305; victor.medeiros@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

## Summary:

# West Hartford, Connecticut; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$17.0 mil GO bonds ser 2017A dtd 02/16/2017 due 01/15/2032

*Long Term Rating* AAA/Stable New

West Hartford Twn GO

*Long Term Rating* AAA/Stable Affirmed

West Hartford Twn GO bonds ser 2016A dtd 02/11/2016 due 01/15/2031

*Long Term Rating* AAA/Stable Affirmed

## Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating and stable outlook to the Town of West Hartford, Conn.'s series 2017A general obligation (GO) bonds. At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA' rating on the town's GO debt outstanding. The outlook is stable.

A pledge of the town's full faith and credit secures the bonds. We understand West Hartford will use bond proceeds for road improvements, upgrades to town buildings, and a radio system replacement, among other capital projects.

West Hartford's GO bonds are eligible to be rated above the sovereign because we believe the town can maintain better credit characteristics than the U.S. in a stress scenario. Under our criteria "Ratings Above The Sovereign: Corporate And Government Ratings—Methodology And Assumptions" (published Nov. 19, 2013, on RatingsDirect), the town has a predominately locally derived revenue source, with 89% of general fund revenue derived from property taxes with independent taxing authority and independent treasury management from the federal government.

The rating reflects our assessment of the following factors for the town:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area (MSA);
- Very strong management, with "strong" financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment (FMA) methodology;
- Strong budgetary performance, with balanced operating results in the general fund and a slight operating surplus at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2016;
- Strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2016 of 14.6% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 20.3% of total governmental fund expenditures and 3.3x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Strong debt and contingent liability position, with debt service carrying charges at 6.1% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 46.3% of total governmental fund revenue, as well as rapid amortization, with 82.1% of debt scheduled to be retired in 10 years, but a large pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) obligation; and
- Very strong institutional framework score.

### **Very strong economy**

We consider West Hartford's economy very strong. The town, with an estimated population of 63,464, is located in Hartford County in the Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford MSA, which we consider to be broad and diverse. The town has a projected per capita effective buying income of 145% of the national level and per capita market value of \$134,620. Overall, the town's market value grew by 0.6% over the past year to \$8.5 billion in 2017. The county unemployment rate was 5.9% in 2015.

The town is a residential suburb of Hartford that enjoys good access, via Interstate 84, to the region's diverse employment base. Although the tax base is primarily residential, the town does maintain a sizable commercial base and is considered the retail center for the region. The University of Connecticut-Hartford, the town's seventh-largest employer with 386 employees, is planning to close its West Hartford campus in 2017 and consolidate onto its downtown property, thus opening a sizable tract of tax-exempt property for a potential, taxable development. Town officials are not expecting the consolidation to negatively affect the local economy, as the downtown campus is less than five miles away. The town also remains home to the University of St. Joseph and the University of Hartford, which is its largest employer with 2,035 employees. The next largest employers are West Hartford itself with 1,959 employees, the Hospital at Hebrew Health Care (704), and Legrand Products (500). West Hartford continues to experience development activity contributing to tax base growth, with material residential and commercial projects completed in 2016 and a boutique hotel slated to open in 2017. As such, officials are expecting sustained tax base growth in the future as new projects are waiting for approval and building permits are up substantially since 2012. In addition, the town plans to implement a revaluation in fiscal 2018 that is projected to increase residential values further. We do not foresee a weakening of the West Hartford economy in our outlook period.

### **Very strong management**

We revised our view of the town's management to "very strong" from "strong" based on the introduction of a reserve policy and more formalized financial forecasting. A financial management assessment of "very strong" indicates that financial practices are strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable.

In our view, town management is conservative in its revenue and expenditure assumptions with regular efforts to determine whether these will deviate from West Hartford's long-term trends. Management regularly monitors budgetary performance, ensuring adjustments are made in a timely manner, and sends budget-to-actual updates to the town council monthly. West Hartford recently introduced a long-term financial forecast, mapping out revenue and expenditures for the next five years. Town officials plan to update the document annually. We believe the town maintains a strong focus on capital planning, as evidenced by its 12-year capital improvement plan, which management reviews yearly, and sets the parameters for debt and nondebt financing of all capital projects. The town has a debt management policy that limits debt service to no more than 10% of the budget and an amortization schedule with no less than 65% of principal being retired over 10 years. West Hartford maintains an independent investment policy that complies with state guidelines but does not report investment performance and holdings to the council regularly. The town also recently introduced a reserve policy that mandates town officials maintain an annual minimum unrestricted fund balance in the general fund of 7.5% of expenditures, and no more than 15%. The policy also states that if funds should fall below 7.5%, the town should take all necessary steps to restore it as soon as practical.

### **Strong budgetary performance**

West Hartford's budgetary performance is strong in our opinion. We believe the management team has taken proactive measures to protect the budget from any shortfall associated with the midyear cuts to state aid and additional payments required by the Hartford Metropolitan District for water and sewer services. In 2017, the state reduced educational cost sharing by \$400,000 and may make an additional \$430,000 cut to capital aid. Despite these reductions, town officials are forecasting at least balanced results due to conservative budgeting.

If the City of Hartford fails to pay its proportional share of sewer expenses, West Hartford would be liable for an additional \$1.7 million payment in fiscal 2018. Town officials are not intending to tax to make the additional payment, but rather to find savings in their current year budget by postponing discretionary nonrecurring capital spending and making strategic decisions about hiring. Despite budgetary pressures associated with reduced state aid and higher payments for sewer services, we expect our assessment of budgetary performance to remain at least strong based on proactive management and prudent spending.

We use 2016 results as a base year in assessing operating performance. In 2016, the town had balanced operating results in the general fund of 0.2% of expenditures, and slight surplus results across all governmental funds of 0.7%. In our calculation of budgetary performance, we remove one-time capital items in which bond proceeds were received in another year. We do not make an adjustment for one time revenue associated with the Charter Oak School project.

General fund operating results of the town have been stable over the past three years, with a result of 0.3% in 2015 and 0.3% in 2014. The favorable budgetary performance in 2016 is a result of higher-than-expected property tax and state aid revenue. We believe West Hartford maintains a stable and predictable revenue profile with property taxes comprising 82% of general fund revenues. Property tax collections are also strong and stable. State aid accounts for a low 16% of revenues. Service charges and other ancillary revenues account for the remaining amounts.

### **Strong budgetary flexibility**

West Hartford's budgetary flexibility is strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2016 of 14.6% of operating expenditures, or \$41.2 million. The available fund balance includes \$21.5 million (7.7% of expenditures) in the general fund and \$19.6 million (7.0% of expenditures) that is outside the general fund but legally available for operations. These funds are held in the internal service funds account.

In the past three fiscal years, available reserves have grown from 12.8% in 2013, but dipped slightly in 2016 due to transfers to various reserve accounts held outside of the general fund. Given West Hartford's track record of six operating surpluses in the past seven fiscal years, coupled with positive results projected for 2017, we expect the town to continue modestly building on its fund balance in the future. Further enhancing our view of the stability of West Hartford's fund balance is its recently introduced reserve policy that sets a minimum reserve level at 7.5% of expenditures.

### **Very strong liquidity**

In our opinion, West Hartford's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 20.3% of total governmental fund expenditures and 3.3x governmental debt service in 2016. In our view, the town has strong access to external liquidity if necessary.

Further enhancing our view of the town's liquidity position is that West Hartford maintains strong access to external liquidity. It is a regular market participant, having issued GO bonds frequently in the past several years. We understand West Hartford has not entered into any bank loans, direct-purchase debt, or contingent liquidity risks from financial instruments with payment provisions that change upon the occurrence of certain events. It has consistently had very strong liquidity and we do not anticipate a change to these ratios, consistent with our view of the town's strong performance.

### **Strong debt and contingent liability profile**

In our view, West Hartford's debt and contingent liability profile is strong. Total governmental fund debt service is 6.1% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 46.3% of total governmental fund revenue. More than 80% of the direct debt is scheduled to be repaid within 10 years, which is in our view a positive credit factor.

In accordance with West Hartford's comprehensive 12-year capital improvement plan, the town plans to go to the debt markets for an additional \$35 million by fiscal 2018 year-end. The town expects to retire all current debt outstanding by 2035.

In our opinion, a credit weakness is West Hartford's large pension and OPEB obligation. The town's combined required pension and actual OPEB contributions totaled 8.9% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2016. Of that amount, 5.6% represented required contributions to pension obligations, and 3.4% represented OPEB payments. West Hartford made its full actuarial determined contribution in 2016. The funded ratio of the pension plan is 42.2%.

In our view, the town's pension and OPEB liabilities will remain a budget pressure, but we acknowledge management has been proactively managing them. Despite having contributed the full actuarially determined requirement in each of at least the past six fiscal years, West Hartford's pension system funding ratio has decreased significantly to just 42.2% (based on Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement 68) due to significant pension fund investment losses, coupled with changes to actuarial assumptions such as mortality rates and the discount rate. The unfunded liability is projected to increase to \$234.9 million in fiscal 2018. Management has implemented various adjustments to its pension plan for employees who began working for the town in 2003 and later, including extending the retirement age, increasing employee contributions, and developing a hybrid pension plan for all town unions. West Hartford plans to continue meeting 100% of its pension requirements each year and projects its pension funding to improve to 53% by 2027. The town also provides medical benefits to eligible retirees and covered dependents. West Hartford currently contributes the full normal cost for current employees hired since 2003 in addition to prefunding its OPEB liabilities in the trust fund and is phasing 100% of its annual required contribution over six years. The annual OPEB cost to amortize the liability over 30 years was \$11.3 million in fiscal 2016, of which the town contributed 96%, or \$10.8 million. As of July 2015, the date of the most recent valuation, the OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability totaled \$148 million and was 0.48% funded.

### **Very strong institutional framework**

The institutional framework score for Connecticut municipalities is very strong.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of West Hartford's very strong underlying economy as well as our opinion that the town will likely maintain its predictable operating profile contributing to strong financial performance. We believe the town's very strong management practices will mitigate any pressure relating to state aid cuts and an increased assessment to the Hartford Metropolitan District. We further believe that forward planning and conservative assumptions will shelter the budget from significant costs relating to low-funded pension and OPEB systems. As such, we do not expect to change the rating within the outlook's two-year period. Although we expect the town to continue addressing its sizable retirement liabilities in a meaningful way, we could lower the rating should West Hartford fail to do so or should budgetary pressures arise that force the town to draw down on reserves to weaker levels.

## Related Research

2016 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on the S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2017 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.