

Can submarine propeller technology reduce

noise pollution on marine mammals?

Authentic Exploratory Research By: Kennedy Roller

Research Question



Can submarine propeller technology reduce noise pollution on marine mammals?

Purpose

- Less damage on marine mammals health
- Marine mammals safety



Introduction





Submarine propellers are known to have much

lower cavitation and are made to move quietly.

Marine mammals depend on their hearing for communicating, sensing danger, finding a partner, and hunting prey.

Sound events like strandings from naval sonar

maneuvers can lead to damage to the brain,

lungs, and other organs.



Research Methodologies

1. My main previously existing sources include; Ocean

Service, National Parks Service, and Ocean Care.



2. I will be researching the **lower frequency technologies**

applied to submarine propellers that are available but



aren't currently being used by shipping companies.

3. I will compare the benefits of the technologies to

each other and describe how they are not only better for marine

animals but their benefits for shipping companies as a whole.

4. Why don't they switch to a better and less dangerous

alternative: is it money or time?





Data and Findings

<u>Costs:</u>

New propeller = \$450,000

3% net change drop

\$304 - \$340 fuel per tonne

Average length of voyage is **40 to 50** days

The feul cost for each voyage costs between **\$12,160 to \$17,000**

Shipping companies make an average of **\$45,656** annually





Discussion and Analysis (continued)

• Marine mammals can become **ill** to the point of death due to **high calibers** of noise pollution.

• The greatest contribution to vessel noise comes from **propeller cavitation** and **vacuum bubbles** created by the motion of a propellers collapse.





- Industrial ship propellers that have **heavy-cavitation drag more in the water** which causes the propellers to use **more energy to maintain its speed**.
- The increase in vacuum bubbles causes noise blocking for animals trying to communicate.





Conclusions, Implications, and Next Steps

My data has proved that it is **not cost beneficial** for any shipping company to alter their ships propellers to aide the effects of their noise pollution.

Economically Cargo ship companies won't be profiting from switching to a quieter propeller but **losing money**.

The amount these shipping companies must spend to maintain one ship is much greater than the amount of money they make for each voyage.



Acknowledgements & References



Special thanks to Michael Gamerl, Chanel Stewart, and Jun Shen for helping make this project possible.