

State of the Division Report

October 10, 2013

Table of Contents

| tate of the Division Report Overview | 3 |
|--|----|
| Fine Arts | 7 |
| Career and Technical Education | 10 |
| Physical Education | 11 |
| Health-related fitness testing guidelines with state & local reporting | 11 |
| Program Activities Chart | 18 |
| Gifted | 19 |
| Athletics | 20 |
| College Level Courses | 21 |
| AP & Dual Enrollment Participation | |
| AP Achievement | |
| Virtual Courses | |
| High School | 22 |
| Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Data | 22 |
| High School Graduation Rates | |
| Standards-Based Measurement of Proficiency (STAMP) | |
| Summer School | 27 |
| SOL Data | 27 |
| Middle School | 31 |
| Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Grade MAP Reading and Math Data* | 31 |
| Sixth Grade SOL Data | |
| Seventh Grade SOL Data | 33 |
| Eighth Grade SOL Data | 34 |
| Middle School Algebra and Geometry SOL Data | 35 |
| Elementary | 36 |
| Kindergarten, Second, and Fifth Grade Reading Data (PALS & QRI) | 36 |
| Third Grade SOL Data | 38 |
| Fourth Grade SOL Data | 39 |
| Fifth Grade SOL Data | 30 |

State of the Division Report Overview

Earlier this year, following the receipt of more than 10,000 comments from the Albemarle Public Schools community, the School Board voted to update its strategic plan for the division, centered on one goal for all students. In support of the plan's purpose of unleashing every student's potential, the Board affirmed that "All Albemarle County Public School students will graduate having actively mastered the lifelong-learning skills they need to succeed as 21st century learners, workers and citizens."

Five objectives were adopted to fulfill this goal, including the engagement of every student, the implementation of balanced assessments, the improvement of opportunity and achievement, the creation of new partnerships and the expansion of existing ones and the optimization of resources.

This renewed commitment builds upon the significant progress the division made during the 2012-13 school year towards meeting the strategic plan's goal. Albemarle County high school students exceeded state and federal test score averages by as much as 15 percent on the College Board's Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) and 65 percent of county public school students met the College Board's College-and-Career Readiness benchmark, nearly 20 percent better than comparable state and federal averages. The division's on-time graduation rate again exceeded the state average by nearly 10 percent and the percentage of Albemarle County public school students who graduated with an advanced studies diploma was 30 points better than the state average.

Despite the continued increased rigor of Standards of Learning (SOL) tests, pass rates for county students were higher than state averages in 75 percent of all SOL test categories. Generally, test results were strong across the board but one subject area needing improvement in 2013-14 is mathematics at the elementary school level. Two of the division's 16 elementary schools were below new benchmarks in one or more student demographic groups in the math tests.

While all Albemarle County Public Schools were accredited, three elementary schools were accredited with warning due to lower SOL scores in mathematics and history. All schools have improvement plans in place to concentrate on instructional strategies and methods to improve academic performance this year.

In support of the division's emphasis upon 21st century learning, several new programs had strong debuts. All schools are involved in *Design 2015*, a series of pilot programs across the division that promote the "4 Cs," critical thinking, creativity, collaboration and communications, student skills that are an integral part of project-based learning.

The Division identified 12 Lifelong-Learner Standards that set expectations for how students develop a wide variety of knowledge, understanding, and skills. The Lifelong-Learner Standards serve as a guide for teachers as they develop units, lessons, and tasks. These standards articulate the necessary components of lifelong learning that allow all students to succeed as members of a global community and in a global economy. The Lifelong-Learner Standards are overarching process-based standards that can be addressed in a single lesson or even a single unit. They demand attention over time and across all disciplines.

Lifelong learning places emphasis on results (learning and doing), in place of efforts (teaching and receiving). To develop the skills and habits associated with lifelong learning, students must:

- learn beyond the simple recall of facts;
- understand the connections to and implications of what they learn;
- retain what they learn; and,
- be able to apply what they learn in new contexts.

Lifelong-Learner Standards

- 1. Plan and conduct research;
- 2. Gather, organize, and analyze data, evaluate processes and products; and draw conclusions;
- 3. Think analytically, critically, and creatively to pursue new ideas, acquire new knowledge, and make decisions;

- 4. Understand and apply principles of logic and reasoning; develop, evaluate, and defend arguments;
- 5. Seek, recognize and understand systems, patterns, themes, and interactions;
- 6. Apply and adapt a variety of appropriate strategies to solve new and increasingly complex problems;
- 7. Acquire and use precise language to clearly communicate ideas, knowledge, and processes;
- 8. Explore and express ideas and opinions using multiple media, the arts, and technology;
- 9. Demonstrate ethical behavior and respect for diversity through daily actions and decision making;
- 10. Participate fully in civic life, and act on democratic ideals within the context of community and global interdependence;
- 11. Understand and follow a physically active lifestyle that promotes good health and wellness; and,
- 12. Apply habits of mind and metacognitive strategies to plan, monitor, and evaluate one's own work.

Framework for Quality Learning

The Framework for Quality Learning (FQL) was developed to support the school division's core purpose to establish a community of learners and learning, through rigor, relevance, and relationships one student at a time. It is consistent with the Division's vision that "All learners believe in their power to embrace learning, to excel, and to own their future."

Based upon specific standards and designed to focus on concepts rather than multiple choice tests, FQL is rooted in Albemarle County Public Schools' Lifelong-Learner Standards, Virginia's Standards of Learning (SOL), and national standards within the disciplines. The FQL sets rigorous expectations for how students learn, analyze information, and communicate, leading to increased student engagement, content mastery, and higher-order thinking.

Student growth and achievement in Lifelong-Learner Standards can be difficult to evaluate solely with conventional assessments such as SOL tests; used in concert with traditional assessments, assessments that are based upon actual student performance (what they know and what they can do with that knowledge) provide a more complete picture of student achievement.

Performance tasks were piloted in small groups during the 2011-2012 school year and were administered to all students across the division this past school year. At this summer's Curriculum, Assessment, and Instruction Institute, assessments were scored to gather baseline data and to decide whether to adjust, abandon, or adopt each task. During the current school year, student progress and achievement on the Lifelong-Learner Standards will be assessed and reported.

During the 2012-13 school year, Albemarle County High Schools piloted the use of the College and Work Readiness Assessment (CWRA). The CWRA is a major initiative of the Council for Aid to Education. Along with its postsecondary counterpart—the Collegiate Learning Assessment (CLA)—the CWRA offers a constructed-response approach to the assessment of higher-order skills, such as critical thinking and written communication. Hundreds of institutions and hundreds of thousands of students have participated in the CLA or CWRA to date.

Compared to college freshmen participating in the CWRA, our seniors performed better on average in terms of higher order thinking skills. When compared to high school seniors in participating schools, our seniors performed slightly below the average and not as well as expected when their academic ability was taken into account.

Finally, both our 9th graders and our seniors performed slightly below the average of comparative groups in the national sample on the four sub scores of the assessment: analytical reasoning and evaluation, writing effectiveness, writing mechanics, and problem solving.

Among new programs this year was a **Maker Space** summer academy at four elementary schools to teach students how to utilize design and engineering concepts to construct products of their own choosing. A higher level application of these competencies will be incorporated into a new middle school manufacturing lab program that also includes the University of Virginia and Charlottesville City Schools.

Three Programs Earned National and International Recognition for Excellence

The *M-cubed* program was selected by the National School Board Association as the best program in the country for community partnership and measureable results among schools with an enrollment of between 5,000 and 20,000 students. The program works with African-American middle school males to raise performance in mathematics.

The **AVID** program at Jouett Middle School earned demonstration school status for its effectiveness in delivering college and workforce readiness skills to all students. Only three percent of all AVID programs in the world reach that achievement level.

The *Sailbot* team from the Math, Engineering & Science Academy (MESA) at Albemarle High School placed first in the International Robotic Sailing Regatta in Boston. Historically, this is an event in which teams of university and college students compete and this was the first year high school teams were included. With 16 teams from the United States and Canada, Albemarle High School students were World Champions in their division.

Other program highlights during the year included two **Destination Imagination** teams finishing in the top ten in the program's Global Finals; Albemarle High School's math scholars being named the best in the state of Virginia in the **Virginia Mathematics League** competition and the 65 awards won by Albemarle County public school students in the **Piedmont Regional Science Fair**. Students won 11 first-place awards in the senior division's 15 categories.

As the 2013-14 school year began, Meriwether Lewis Elementary School was selected as a **Blue Ribbon School**, the U.S. Department of Education's flagship program recognizing education excellence across the country. With strong SOL test scores across all subject areas, the school cited its focus on student-centered and project-based learning as a significant asset to its instructional model.

College Level Courses, Fine Arts, Career and Technical Education, Athletics

- 38 percent of all high school students were enrolled in Advanced Placement courses and 79 percent of students scored three or higher on AP tests, making them eligible to receive college credit. 17 percent of all high school students were enrolled in dual enrollment courses.
- Enrollment in high school fine arts classes was 2,516. The number of school and community fine arts performances increased by 64 percent in one year, reaching 494.
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) enrollment totaled 1,815 at the high school level and 1,782 among middle school students. Reflecting a commitment to an "advanced professional studies" model, the division now offers nine dual enrollment CTE courses.
- A total of 1010 male and female students participated in interscholastic athletics at the three comprehensive high schools. Albemarle County public high school teams won one state championship, 14 regional and 25 district titles.

High School Overview

- With average scores of 565 on the verbal SAT and 559 in math and 542 in critical writing, students placed within the top three percent of all students in the state and were well above national averages.
- Students also outperformed state averages on Standards-of-Learning (SOL) tests in all 11 categories at the high school level, including by as much as 10 percentage points in math tests, which were increased in rigor beginning in 2012. In English and Science tests, which had their degree of difficulty increased in 2013, pass rates were at 90 percent almost across the board.
- Test scores were lower among several sub-groups, including African-American students, students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students and students with limited English proficiency.

Middle School Overview

- 72 percent of students are meeting the benchmark in Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) testing in reading, which demonstrates how well students are improving their academic performance over time. In mathematics, 65 percent of students are meeting the benchmark. Generally, sixth grade student scores were slightly below 2012 results, seventh grade students were close to last year's scores and eighth grade were slightly ahead of 2012 results.
- Among the 11 SOL test categories reported statewide, Albemarle County middle school students were above the state average in 10 categories. The exception was in eighth grade math. Students tested especially strong in history, civics, algebra and geometry.
- Among student sub-groups, scores for students with disabilities were well below state averages in all categories. Scores for African-American students in English need significant improvement.

Elementary School Overview

- All students in grades K-2 take a Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening (PALS) to determine knowledge of literacy fundamentals and to assess reading skill needs. Students in all three grade levels met or exceeded the program benchmark by 83 to 89 percent.
- SOL test results were mixed. Third grade students were below state averages in the four test categories by anywhere from one to eight percentage points. Mathematics scores were much lower in several student subgroups including African-American and Hispanic and among students with disabilities, economically disadvantaged students and students with limited English proficiency.
- Fourth graders were at or above state averages in English and math but scores for students with disabilities were lower.
- Fifth grade students were at or above state averages on four of the five test categories and one percentage point below the state average in history. Scores for African-American students and students with disabilities were well below the state average in all test categories.

Fine Arts

"Communicate, Collaborate, Community"

This is our fourth year collecting quantitative data about Fine Arts. Exact numbers are difficult to calculate because some students take multiple Fine Arts courses in a year (and therefore may be counted twice), some are semester classes, and some are year-long classes. This data represents our faithful attempt to gather accurate data.

| Enrollment in high school Fine Arts classes | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 1,824 | 2,887 | 2,560 | 2,516 |

| Number of Students in Fine Arts Courses (2012-2013) | Percentage | |
|---|---|--|
| Elementary (6050/6050) | 100% | |
| Middle (3691/2970) | (difficult to calculate because some students take multiple | |
| | courses in a year and some only take one) | |
| High (2516/4005) | 62% | |

Budget funds for arts classes are allocated at the school level; fundraising enhances school budgets.

Fine Arts Accomplishments

| School and Community-based Performances (self-reported data by 95% of schools) | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Music performances (elementary) | 120 | 150 | 128 |
| Band performances | 60 | 70 | 148 |
| Choral performances | 20 | 35 | 95 |
| Orchestra performances | 18 | 14 | 38 |
| Drama performances | 22 | 33 | 49 |
| Art shows (started collecting data in 2012) | | | 6 |
| Creative Writing (started collecting data in 2012) | | | 3 |
| TOTAL Performances on record | 240 | 302 | 494 |

| Division-sponsored events The purpose of these events is to build a collabora schools. Students in Fine Arts classes from all scho | Approximate number of participants | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------|
| Elementary Honor's Choir | 5 th grade | 160 |
| ACPS Honor Bands | middle school & high school | 200 |
| ACPS 6 th Grade Strings Jamboree | middle school | 115 |
| All County/City Choir Concert | middle school & high school | 200 |
| All County Drama Festival | some middle schools, all high schools | 250 |
| Visual Arts Festival (20 th year – 4 locations) | all schools | 1500 |
| County Office Building (3 rd Floor) Art Show | all schools | 100 |
| Reflections Program (Summer 2013) | middle school | 16 |

| Outside of school opportunities Our students also have opportunities are the most popular across schools | | | . The ones listed below |
|--|---|---|--|
| Program name | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| Ash Lawn Opera Education Program | 4 elementary schools; 25 choral students | 5 elementary schools 1 middle school | 6 elementary schools 1 middle school ~498 students |
| Charlottesville Jazz Society | Donation of Elementary Level Resource Package; high school scholarships for lessons; master classes; theory seminar | High school scholarships for lessons; master classes; theory seminar; plus 3 Robert Jospe workshops | 2 concerts; 2 master classes; plus 3 Robert Jospe workshops |
| Program name (continued) | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| Charlottesville Symphony Concert & Prelude School Visits (instrument demonstrations and master classes) | ~770 students o 14 Elementary Schools, 2 Middle Schools, 2 High Schools | ~890 students o 14 Elementary Schools, 2 Middle Schools, 2 High Schools | ~849 students o 12 Elementary Schools, 2 Middle Schools, 1 High School |
| Kid Pan Alley | Workshop at 1 elementary | Workshops at 3 elementary schools | n/a |
| Paramount Education Series | ~ 3,713 students | ~ 5,643 students (1,049 received financial assistance from Paramount) | ~ 5,429 students (1,986 received financial assistance from Paramount) |
| Richmond Ballet Lecture/Demonstrations | ~ 4 free lecture/demonstrations at select schools on an annual basis | 0 (no grant this year) | 0 (no grant this year) |
| Summer Residential Governor's School (Vocal Music, Instrumental Music, Dance, Theater) | 6/12 applications accepted | 2/12 applications accepted | 5/11 applications accepted |
| Tuesday Evening Concert Series | ~ 875 students attended 2 concerts | ~ 919 students attended 2 concerts | ~ 887 students attended 2 concerts |

Visual Arts

We have 33 full and part-time art teachers.

| We have 55 to | ii ana part time | dit teachers. | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---|---|--|
| Level | | | | Schedule Details | Notes |
| Elementary art | t program | | • | K –5 visual arts instruction is 45 minutes weekly | Some schools also offer additional afterschool art |
| ~ taught prima | arily by art spec | cialists | | | clubs for students. |
| | | | | | Not all schools have a kiln. |
| Middle School | | | • | Classes range from 1,935 - 8,100 minutes per year; some classes last a semester, | Some schools only offer "exploratory" art classes |
| Number of stu | ıdents | | | others last all year long | in grade 6. |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | | | |
| 1153 | 1420 | 1454 | | | |
| High School | | | • | Classes last a semester or year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in Art, | Over the past 2 years, we have purchased 20 |
| Number of stu | ıdents | | _ | Ceramics, Crafts, Photography, & Digital | digital cameras for 3 high |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | | Imaging | schools to assist with the |
| 1013 | 989 | 1023 | | | transition to digital |
| | | | • | | photography. |

Music

We have 33 full and part-time music teachers.

| Level | · | | Schedule Details | Notes |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Elementary m | usic program arily by music sp | pecialists | K –2 vocal/instrumental music instruction is 30-60 minutes of instruction weekly 3 – 5 vocal/instrumental music instruction is 45-60 weekly | Some schools also offer additional afterschool music or drama clubs for students |
| Middle School Students in the | e instrumental | program | Classes range from 3,510 - 8,100 minutes per year | Not all schools offer choir in grades 6 & 7 at this time. |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | Instrumental classes = band class, plus | |
| 885 | 940 | 999 | jazz or marching band; strings classes | In order to build a quality program and provide continuity from |
| 2010-2011 | e choral progra 2011-2012 | m 2012-2013 | • Some schools offer guitar, percussion, | elementary school, |
| 324 | 305 | 290 | and/or general music at some schools | we'd like grade 6 chorus to become a priority in 2014-2015. |
| High School | e instrumental | nrogram | Classes are year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in: Band, Orchestra, Percussion, Guitar, Music Theory, | It is a challenge to keep some students in arts classes because non- |
| 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | advanced choirs, etc. | weighting in essence |
| 625 | 660 | 622 | | 'hurts' some students' GPAs. |
| Students in the 2010-2011 | e choral progra 2011-2012 | m 2012-2013 | | Some schools offer audition-based classes |
| 324 | 282 | 252 | | to challenge students. |

Drama

We have 8 full and part-time drama teachers.

| | | | Schedule Details | Notes |
|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Middle School | | • | Classes range from 1,755 – 4,050 minutes per year; most offer semester classes | tes Drama classes start in grade 7. |
| lents | | | | |
| 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | | | |
| 456 | 479 | | | |
| lents | | • | Classes are semester or year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in Drama, Speech, and Comedy & Improv. | All schools present a musical in the Spring. Non-drama |
| 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | | | students may |
| 254 | 263 | 7 | | participate. |
| | 456 Hents | 2011-2012 2012-2013 456 479 | dents 2011-2012 2012-2013 456 479 • dents | Classes range from 1,755 – 4,050 minutes per year; most offer semester classes 2011-2012 2012-2013 456 479 Classes are semester or year-long, vary by school, and include offerings in Drama, Speech, and Comedy & Improv. |

^{**} Note that there are additional Fine Arts classes not itemized in this report, including: General Music, Humanities, Journalism, Creative Writing, Filmmaking, Yearbook, etc. That represents a total of 469 students (MS) and 356 students (HS).

Career and Technical Education

CTE provides instructional programs through which students acquire knowledge and learn relevant technical applications of current and emerging careers while preparing for postsecondary studies and employment opportunities following high school graduation. The CTE curricula are focused around eight program-specific areas: Technology Education, Business and Information Technology, Health and Medical Sciences, Marketing, Trade and Industrial Education, Career Connections, Military Science, and Family and Consumer Sciences. In recent years, ACPS began shifting base-school CTE offerings from traditional "vocational" courses toward an "advanced professional studies" model.

We are achieving this by:

- increasing opportunities to earn college credit in high school,
- adding opportunities to earn high school credit in middle school,
- selecting new courses to reflect high-demand, high-mobility career areas
- selecting industry credentials that are in-demand and recognizable to employers

Dual Enrollment CTE Courses:

- Engineering Drawing, DR 104
- Architectural Drawing, ARC 121
- Virginia Teachers for Tomorrow, EDU 200
- Principals of Management, BUS 200
- Applied Management Principles, BUS 202

- Principles of Information Systems, ITE 120
- Web Design, ITE 199
- Medical Terminology, HLT 141
- Web Design II, ITE 201

| 2010-2010 through 2012-2013 CTE High School Enrollment | | | |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Program Area | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Technology Education | 508 | 594 | 534 |
| Business and Information Technology | 573 | 515 | 483 |
| Health and Medical Sciences | 92 | 93 | 152 |
| Marketing | 283 | 225 | 262 |
| Trade and Industrial Education | 182 | 89 | 104 |
| Career Connections | 80 | 161 | 96 |
| Military Science | 58 | 78 | 62 |
| Family and Consumer Sciences | 181 | 176 | 122 |
| TOTAL | 1957 | 1931 | 1815 |

| 010-2010 through 2012-2013 CTE Middle School Enrollment | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Program Area | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 |
| Technology Education | 686 | 1014 | 964 |
| Business and Information Technology | 552 | 518 | 496 |
| Family and Consumer Sciences | 165 | 355 | 322 |
| TOTAL | 1403 | 1887 | 1782 |

| | 2012-2013 Industry Credentialing | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Tests Administered | Credentials Earned | Pass Rate |
| 547 | 335 | 61% |

Industry Credentials are only administered in "Part II" Career and Technical Education courses. This data includes Albemarle County Public Schools students at CATEC.

Physical Education

Health-related fitness testing guidelines with state & local reporting

The sequential program of physical fitness instruction in Virginia is based on the personal fitness strand in the Standards of Learning that focuses student learning on achievement of a health-enhancing level of physical fitness. Students who participate in effective physical fitness programs will be more likely to develop lifelong habits that promote health and learning.

The Virginia Standards of Learning personal fitness goal for elementary students is to become aware of health-related fitness components (cardio respiratory endurance, body composition and muscular endurance, strength and flexibility) while engaging in a variety of physical activities.

The Standards of Learning personal fitness goal for middle school students is to continue to learn more about the components of fitness, how they are developed and improved, how they interrelate, and how they contribute to overall fitness.

While in high school, students plan, implement, evaluate, and modify a personal, goal-driven fitness plan that enables them to achieve and maintain a level of fitness that allows them to meet their personal goals for various work-related, sport, and leisure activities.

The Virginia fitness testing program provides basic health-related fitness assessments to help students identify areas of fitness that are directly linked to overall quality of life. Health-related fitness includes the five major components of fitness directly related to improvement of health.

- 1. Cardiorespiratory Endurance --- the ability of the blood vessels, heart and lungs to take in, transport, and utilize oxygen. This is a critically important component of fitness because it impacts other components of fitness and decreases the risk of cardiovascular diseases.
- 2. Muscular Strength --- the maximum amount of force a muscle or muscle groups can exert.
- 3. Muscular Endurance --- the length of time a muscle or muscle group can exert force prior to fatigue.
- 4. Flexibility --- the range of motion in the joints.
- 5. Body Composition --- the amount of fat versus lean mass (bone, muscle, connective tissue, and fluids). While some fat is essential for insulation and providing energy, too much fat can cause serious health problems.

Virginia and many other states have used the Cooper Institute FITNESSGRAM® standards as the state-designated fitness test for the last few decades. The FITNESSGRAM's® criterion-referenced science-based approach identifies the physical fitness test items that assess the important aspects of a student's health-related fitness. They evaluate functional fitness not "athletic" fitness levels.

On the Cooper Institute FITNESSGRAM® tests, students are NOT compared to each other, but to health-related fitness standards established for each age and gender that indicate good health. The Cooper Institute's scientific research and validation work conducted over many years have refined these standards and have yielded a few changes in 2006 to the fitness area tests, the Healthy Fitness Zones (HFZs), and the data reporting requirements.

Virginia Department Of Education

Wellness Related Fitness Report for the School Year 2012 - 2013

Sep 11, 2013 08:50 AM

1 of 246

State Totals

| | Abdominal | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | | Boys | | | Girls | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 29075 | 23884 | 82.15 | 28629 | 23508 | 82.11 | | |
| 05 | 29312 | 24317 | 82.96 | 28078 | 23109 | 82.3 | | |
| 06 | 27374 | 24202 | 88.41 | 26081 | 22565 | 86.52 | | |
| 07 | 28364 | 24101 | 84.97 | 25522 | 22104 | 86.61 | | |
| 08 | 25047 | 22557 | 90.06 | 22810 | 20154 | 88.36 | | |
| 09 | 28513 | 25702 | 90.14 | 26414 | 23033 | 87.2 | | |
| 10 | 25716 | 23189 | 90.17 | 24849 | 21957 | 88.36 | | |
| 11 | 2473 | 2294 | 92.76 | 822 | 733 | 89.17 | | |
| 12 | 1234 | 1136 | 92.06 | 433 | 387 | 89.38 | | |

| | | Aerobic | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Code | | Boys | | | Girls | | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | | | |
| 04 | 26055 | 18825 | 72.25 | 25253 | 21581 | 85.46 | | | | |
| 05 | 28977 | 21094 | 72.8 | 27708 | 22969 | 82.9 | | | | |
| 06 | 27328 | 19572 | 71.62 | 26047 | 20833 | 79.98 | | | | |
| 07 | 27074 | 18541 | 68.48 | 25458 | 18995 | 74.61 | | | | |
| 08 | 24872 | 17570 | 70.64 | 22661 | 16504 | 72.83 | | | | |
| 09 | 27963 | 18828 | 67.33 | 25822 | 16931 | 65.57 | | | | |
| 10 | 25626 | 15897 | 62.03 | 24212 | 14891 | 61.5 | | | | |
| 11 | 2480 | 1735 | 69.96 | 819 | 395 | 48.23 | | | | |
| 12 | 1273 | 843 | 66.22 | 428 | 201 | 46.96 | | | | |

| | Upper Body Strength | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 28947 | 21926 | 75.75 | 28424 | 19206 | 67.57 | | |
| 05 | 29208 | 21886 | 74.93 | 27881 | 18842 | 67.58 | | |
| 06 | 26826 | 20650 | 76.98 | 25578 | 18669 | 72.99 | | |
| 07 | 26519 | 20220 | 76.25 | 24938 | 18343 | 73.55 | | |
| 08 | 24873 | 19168 | 77.06 | 22512 | 17071 | 75.83 | | |

Key: < = A group below state definition for personally identifiable results

Sep 11, 2013 08:50 AM

2 of 246

State Totals

| | Upper Body Strength | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 09 | 28066 | 21014 | 74.87 | 26135 | 19330 | 73.96 | | |
| 10 | 25435 | 18965 | 74.56 | 24200 | 18360 | 75.87 | | |
| 11 | 2432 | 1987 | 81.7 | 813 | 586 | 72.08 | | |
| 12 | 1222 | 992 | 81.18 | 423 | 307 | 72.58 | | |

| | Flexibility | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 29027 | 23228 | 80.02 | 28638 | 24339 | 84.99 | | |
| 05 | 29316 | 23196 | 79.12 | 28030 | 23374 | 83.39 | | |
| 06 | 27277 | 21796 | 79.91 | 26158 | 21926 | 83.82 | | |
| 07 | 27066 | 21945 | 81.08 | 25624 | 22033 | 85.99 | | |
| 08 | 24934 | 20717 | 83.09 | 22782 | 20045 | 87.99 | | |
| 09 | 28443 | 23681 | 83.26 | 26487 | 22034 | 83.19 | | |
| 10 | 25749 | 22139 | 85.98 | 24741 | 20477 | 82.77 | | |
| 11 | 2480 | 2178 | 87.82 | 834 | 643 | 77.1 | | |
| 12 | 1230 | 1061 | 86.26 | 446 | 375 | 84.08 | | |

| | Trunk Lift | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 23993 | 20158 | 84.02 | 23559 | 20751 | 88.08 | | |
| 05 | 23835 | 19541 | 81.98 | 22719 | 19623 | 86.37 | | |
| 06 | 21670 | 18305 | 84.47 | 20622 | 18136 | 87.94 | | |
| 07 | 21368 | 18433 | 86.26 | 20268 | 18020 | 88.91 | | |
| 08 | 19609 | 17003 | 86.71 | 17776 | 16112 | 90.64 | | |
| 09 | 21291 | 18500 | 86.89 | 19945 | 17930 | 89.9 | | |
| 10 | 19592 | 17431 | 88.97 | 18896 | 17237 | 91.22 | | |
| 11 | 2139 | 1968 | 92.01 | 630 | 547 | 86.83 | | |
| 12 | 919 | 791 | 86.07 | 326 | 279 | 85.58 | | |

Key: < = A group below state definition for personally identifiable results

Sep 11, 2013 08:50 AM

3 of 246

State Totals

| | Body Compostion (Optional) | | | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | | Girls | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 4113 | 2372 | 57.67 | 4113 | 2514 | 61.12 | | |
| 05 | 4042 | 2288 | 56.61 | 3769 | 2174 | 57.68 | | |
| 06 | 4508 | 2482 | 55.06 | 4379 | 2648 | 60.47 | | |
| 07 | 4756 | 2669 | 56.12 | 4520 | 2712 | 60 | | |
| 08 | 4596 | 2694 | 58.62 | 4593 | 2694 | 58.65 | | |
| 09 | 4368 | 2648 | 60.62 | 4103 | 2500 | 60.93 | | |
| 10 | 3709 | 2250 | 60.66 | 3440 | 2149 | 62.47 | | |
| 11 | 319 | 180 | 56.43 | 250 | 149 | 59.6 | | |
| 12 | 156 | 89 | 57.05 | 128 | 80 | 62.5 | | |

Sep 11, 2013 08:54 AM 1 of 3

Albemarle County

| | Abdominal | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 466 | 311 | 66.74 | 500 | 349 | 69.8 | | |
| 05 | 486 | 338 | 69.55 | 487 | 354 | 72.69 | | |
| 06 | 463 | 410 | 88.55 | 462 | 402 | 87.01 | | |
| 07 | 485 | 415 | 85.57 | 461 | 392 | 85.03 | | |
| 08 | 444 | 390 | 87.84 | 467 | 406 | 86.94 | | |
| 09 | 476 | 438 | 92.02 | 476 | 443 | 93.07 | | |
| 10 | 385 | 364 | 94.55 | 351 | 335 | 95.44 | | |
| 11 | 17 | 15 | 88.24 | 12 | 10 | 83.33 | | |
| 12 | < | < | < | < | < | < | | |

| | Aerobic | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 385 | 262 | 68.05 | 398 | 370 | 92.96 | | |
| 05 | 487 | 339 | 69.61 | 476 | 426 | 89.5 | | |
| 06 | 420 | 372 | 88.57 | 427 | 394 | 92.27 | | |
| 07 | 470 | 400 | 85.11 | 441 | 381 | 86.39 | | |
| 08 | 412 | 337 | 81.8 | 431 | 363 | 84.22 | | |
| 09 | 382 | 297 | 77.75 | 381 | 296 | 77.69 | | |
| 10 | 397 | 292 | 73.55 | 340 | 266 | 78.24 | | |
| 11 | 17 | 10 | 58.82 | 12 | < | 58.33 | | |
| 12 | < | < | < | < | < | < | | |

| Grade Code | Upper Body Strength | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 469 | 253 | 53.94 | 499 | 253 | 50.7 | | |
| 05 | 487 | 292 | 59.96 | 486 | 240 | 49.38 | | |
| 06 | 459 | 314 | 68.41 | 463 | 307 | 66.31 | | |
| 07 | 495 | 324 | 65.45 | 472 | 295 | 62.5 | | |
| 08 | 451 | 275 | 60.98 | 469 | 263 | 56.08 | | |

Key: < = A group below state definition for personally identifiable results

Sep 11, 2013 08:54 AM

Albemarle County

| Grade Code | Upper Body Strength | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 09 | 474 | 365 | 77 | 479 | 373 | 77.87 | | |
| 10 | 405 | 308 | 76.05 | 357 | 292 | 81.79 | | |
| 11 | 20 | 12 | 60 | 13 | < | 38.46 | | |
| 12 | < | < | < | < | < | < | | |

| | Flexibility | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------|----------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--|--|
| Grade Code | Boys | | | Girls | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | |
| 04 | 439 | 276 | 62.87 | 468 | 351 | 75 | | |
| 05 | 454 | 276 | 60.79 | 455 | 316 | 69.45 | | |
| 06 | 464 | 399 | 85.99 | 465 | 428 | 92.04 | | |
| 07 | 497 | 434 | 87.32 | 472 | 430 | 91.1 | | |
| 08 | 449 | 391 | 87.08 | 472 | 428 | 90.68 | | |
| 09 | 488 | 423 | 86.68 | 484 | 435 | 89.88 | | |
| 10 | 438 | 361 | 82.42 | 388 | 278 | 71.65 | | |
| 11 | 18 | 14 | 77.78 | 14 | < | 50 | | |
| 12 | < | v | < | < | < | < | | |

| | | | Trun | ık Lift | Lift | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Code | | Boys | | Girls | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | | | | | | |
| 04 | 467 | 349 | 74.73 | 500 | 416 | 83.2 | | | | | | | |
| 05 | 483 | 358 | 74.12 | 485 | 385 | 79.38 | | | | | | | |
| 06 | 448 | 345 | 77.01 | 438 | 393 | 89.73 | | | | | | | |
| 07 | 488 | 375 | 76.84 | 462 | 379 | 82.03 | | | | | | | |
| 08 | 446 | 348 | 78.03 | 464 | 408 | 87.93 | | | | | | | |
| 09 | 409 | 339 | 82.89 | 395 | 362 | 91.65 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 371 | 308 | 83.02 | 326 | 295 | 90.49 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | 17 | 10 | 58.82 | 12 | < | 75 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | ٧ | ٧ | < | < | < | < | | | | | | | |

Key: < = A group below state definition for personally identifiable results

2 of 3

Sep 11, 2013 08:54 AM

Albemarle County

| | | ı | Body Compos | Compostion (Optional) | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade Code | | Boys | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | Tested | In HFZ | % HFZ | | | | | | |
| 04 | 471 | 234 | 49.68 | 500 | 290 | 58 | | | | | | |
| 05 | 489 | 253 | 51.74 | 487 | 267 | 54.83 | | | | | | |
| 06 | 465 | 249 | 53.55 | 465 | 251 | 53.98 | | | | | | |
| 07 | 497 | 273 | 54.93 | 473 | 258 | 54.55 | | | | | | |
| 08 | 452 | 263 | 58.19 | 472 | 281 | 59.53 | | | | | | |
| 09 | 457 | 293 | 64.11 | 450 | 291 | 64.67 | | | | | | |
| 10 | 426 | 262 | 61.5 | 373 | 278 | 74.53 | | | | | | |
| 11 | 18 | < | 50 | 14 | < | 64.29 | | | | | | |
| 12 | < | < | < | < | < | < | | | | | | |

Key: < = A group below state definition for personally identifiable results

3 of 3

Program Activities Chart

| | Activity |
|-----------------|---|
| Fine Arts | Summer Residential Governor's School |
| | Honors' Events (on State of the Arts report) |
| | Middle School Jazz Band Camp |
| Language Arts | Spelling Bee |
| | Writer's Eye |
| | Governor's School for the Humanities |
| | Literacy Explosion |
| | Young Writer's Workshop Academy |
| Math | Summer Residential Governor's School for Mathematics, Science and |
| | Technology |
| | 24 Competition |
| | Math Counts |
| | Math Olympiad |
| | Coder DoJo Academy |
| | Math, Engineering and Science Academy (MESA) for 9th-12th graders |
| Science | Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience (MWEE) for 4th |
| Science | graders |
| | VABIO Student Chapter for high school students |
| | Virginia Piedmont Regional Science Fair for middle & high school |
| | students |
| | Northrup Grumman WORTHY program for high school students |
| | Health and Medical Sciences Academy (HMSA) for 9th-12th graders |
| | Summer Residential Governor's School for Mathematics, Science and |
| | Technology |
| | Virginia Aerospace Science and Technology Scholars for 11th graders |
| Social Studies | Governor's School for the Humanities (High School Students) |
| | National History Day (Middle and High School Students) |
| | Model United Nations (Middle and High School Students) |
| | YLI Mock Elections (Middle and High School Students) |
| | Model Congress (High School Students) |
| | Governor's Challenge in Economics and Personal Finance (High |
| | School) |
| | Foreign Cultures and American Foreign Policy Program with OLLI |
| | (the Osher Lifelong Learning Institute) (High School Students) |
| World Languages | Governor's Foreign Language Academy |

Gifted

Through Gifted Services, students are afforded opportunities to participate in a variety of events and experiences. Most of these activities are extra-curricular and allow a wide range of students to get involved.

Destination ImagiNation (DI) is an educational program in which student teams solve open-ended challenges and present their solutions at a tournament. Destination ImagiNation is designed to teach three essential skills, creativity, teamwork, and problem solving.

| Destination ImagiNation (DI) | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Student Participants (approximate) | 375 | 377 | 372 |
| No. of ACPS Representing Teams | 54 | 50 | 50 |
| No. of ACPS Teams Participating in State Championship | 16 | 22 | 20 |
| No. of ACPS Teams Participating in Global Finals | 13 | 10 | 5 |

Below are other opportunities offered and supported by Gifted Resource Teachers:

- Battle of the Books
- Digital Fabrication
- Settlers of Catan Club
- Chess Club
- Writer's Eye
- World Peace Game
- Virginia Film Festival
- Robotics
- Model UN
- The Stock Market Game
- MathCounts
- National History Day
- Piedmont Regional Science Fair
- Digital Animation
- Schools of the Future International Competition
- Youth Leadership Initiative
- County Rocket Festival
- Independent Studies
- John Hopkins University Talent Search

Athletics

Virginia High School League Sports offered at all three comprehensive high schools by season.

| WAHS | FALL | WINTER | SPRING |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| MALE | 94 | 114 | 149 |
| FEMALE | 110 | 132 | 135 |
| TOTALS | 204 | 246 | 284 |
| | DISTRICTS | REGION | STATE |
| TEAM CHAMPIONS | 15 | 7 | 1 |
| INDIVIDUAL QUALIFIERS | 0 | 35 | 21 |
| INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONS | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| MoHS | FALL | WINTER | SPRING |
| MALE | 163 | 113 | 180 |
| FEMALE | 126 | 92 | 139 |
| TOTALS | 289 | 205 | 319 |
| | DISTRICTS | REGION | STATE |
| TEAM CHAMPIONS | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| INDIVIDUAL QUALIFIERS | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| INDIVIDUAL CHAMPIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | |
| ALBEMARLE ATHLETICS | FALL | WINTER | SPRING |
| MALE | FALL 243 | WINTER 182 | SPRING 228 |
| | | | |
| MALE | 243 | 182 | 228 |
| MALE FEMALE | 243 181 | 182 153 | 228 179 |
| MALE FEMALE | 243 181 424 | 182 153 335 | 228 179 407 |
| MALE FEMALE TOTALS | 243 181 424 DISTRICTS | 182 153 335 REGION | 228 179 407 STATE |

College Level Courses

AP & Dual Enrollment Participation

| | Enrolled in | AP Courses | | | |
|----------|-------------|------------|--------|--|--|
| Division | Female | 750 | 37.80% | | |
| | Male | 627 | 30.20% | | |
| | Total | 1377 | 33.90% | | |
| AHS | Female | 282 | 32.30% | | |
| | Male | 219 | 23.80% | | |
| | Total | 501 | 27.90% | | |
| MOHS | Female | 233 | 44.80% | | |
| | Male | 232 | 40.30% | | |
| | Total | 465 | 42.40% | | |
| MUHS | Female | 2 | 4.20% | | |
| | Male | 5 | 7.70% | | |
| | Total | 7 | 6.20% | | |
| WAHS | Female | 233 | 43.00% | | |
| | Male | 171 | 33.20% | | |
| | Total | 404 | 38.20% | | |

Table represents unduplicated student counts

| | Enrolled in Dual E | Inrollment Courses | | | |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------|--|--|
| Division | Female | 317 | 16.00% | | |
| | Male | 385 | 18.50% | | |
| | Total | 702 | 17.30% | | |
| AHS | Female | 183 | 21.00% | | |
| | Male | 213 | 23.20% | | |
| | Total | 396 | 22.10% | | |
| MOHS | Female | 53 | 10.20% | | |
| | Male | 74 | 12.80% | | |
| | Total | 127 | 11.60% | | |
| MUHS | Female | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | Male | 3 | 4.60% | | |
| | Total | 3 | 2.70% | | |
| WAHS | Female | 81 | 14.90% | | |
| | Male | 95 | 18.40% | | |
| | Total | 176 | 16.70% | | |

Table represents unduplicated student counts

AP Achievement

| | | Frequency of Student Scores by School | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|--|--|
| | <u> </u> | L | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 5 | | | |
| | N | % | N % N | | % | N | % | N | % | | | |
| Division | 145 | 6.1 | 358 | 15.1 | 622 | 26.2 | 642 | 27 | 610 | 25.7 | | |
| AHS | 43 | 4.3 | 122 | 12.2 | 257 | 25.8 | 290 | 29.1 | 284 | 28.5 | | |
| MoHS | 80 | 12.6 | 152 | 24 | 191 | 30.1 | 126 | 19.9 | 85 | 13.4 | | |
| WAHS | 21 | 2.8 | 78 | 10.5 | 174 | 23.5 | 226 | 30.5 | 241 | 32.6 | | |

Virtual Courses

| Enrollment Virtual School | Total # of Students 2010-2011 | Different Courses 2010-2011 | Total # of Students 2011-2012 | Different Courses 2011-2012 | Total # of Students 2012-2013 | Different Courses 2012-2013 |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Virtual Virginia | 54 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 16 | 11 |
| Brigham Young University Independent Study | 53 | 24 | 40 | 18 | 11 | 10 |
| University of Nebraska Independent Study High School | 5 | 5 | | | 0 | 0 |
| Johns Hopkins Center for Talented Youth | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Henrico Distance-Learning | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Piedmont Virginia Community College | 3 | 2 | | | 0 | 0 |
| Liberty University | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| University of Virginia | 0 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 16 | 10 |
| K12 Online Public School | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| ACPS (Econ & PF) | | | | | 12 | 1 |

High School

Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) Data

| SAT | 2 | 2009-201 | 0 | 2 | 2010-2011 | | 2 | 2011-2012 | | | 2012-2013 | | |
|----------|--------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|--|
| | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing | Verbal | Math | Writing | |
| ACPS | 556 | 554 | 544 | 556 | 551 | 538 | 554 | 558 | 538 | 565 | 559 | 542 | |
| State | 512 | 512 | 497 | 512 | 509 | 495 | 510 | 512 | 495 | 516 | 514 | 498 | |
| National | 501 | 516 | 492 | 497 | 514 | 489 | 496 | 514 | 488 | 496 | 514 | 488 | |

Albemarle County Public Schools students are among the <u>top three percent of all students in Virginia</u> according to the latest Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) results from the Virginia Department of Education. Based on 2012 test scores, high school students in Albemarle County Public Schools had a average score of 565 on the critical reading test. On two other tests, math and writing, the scores were 559 and 542, respectively.

High School Graduation Rates

| Graduation Rate | Calculated How | Benchmark | Accountability | NOTES | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Virginia's Graduation and Completion Index | All diplomas = 100 points GED = 75 points in for 4+ years = 70 points in each year enrolled Completers = 25 pts | 85 points for current year <u>OR</u> 85 points for 3 year | Accreditation | No Membership Groups No County rate - just schools | | |
| | | average | | | | |
| On Time Graduation Rate | , , , , | NO | NO (consistent formula | 1) Membership Groups designated 2) IEP/LEP in for 4+ years included in cohort when graduate | | |
| | ADJUSTED COHORT | ST, ADV, MSD, IEP, GAD NO ADJUSTED COHORT | across states for comparisons) | 3) 5 and 6 year rates include retainees 4) GEDs/Completers not graduates, but not drop outs | | |
| <u>Federal</u> Graduation Indicator | ST, ADV, IB | 80% in 4, 5, or 6 year rate OR | AMO | Membership Groups designated S and 6 year rates include IEP/LEP students allowed multiple years and retainees | | |
| | ADJUSTED COHORT | 10% reduction in non- graduates in 4 year rate | YMO | 3) GEDs/Completers not graduates, but not drop outs | | |

Graduation Rate Comparisons

Benchmark is 85 , may use 3 yr. average No Benchmark - Va Official Graduation Rate

Benchmark is 80 and can use 4, 5, 6 yr. rate, can use 3-yr. 4, 5, 6 year rate, or reduce failure rate by 10%

| Albemarle County | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|---------|---------|----------|--------------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Subgroups | VA Gr | VA Graduation Index | | On-Time | Graduat | ion Rate | Federal Graduation | | | Drop- Out rate | | |
| | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% |
| All Students | | | | 93.01 | 91.93 | | 89.05 | 88.86% | 89.56% | 3.11 | 4.13 | 3.30% |
| Gap Group 1 (LEP, F/R, SPED) | | | | | | | 75.40 | 75.00% | 74.05% | | | |
| Black (Gap Group 2) | | | | 87.29 | 84.30 | | 80.00 | 78.99 | 82.46% | 6.78 | 7.44 | 6.25% |
| Hispanic (Gap Group 3) | No F | Division | Pate | 92.31 | 85.94 | | 85.48 | 81.82 | 80.72% | 6.15 | 10.94 | 7.41% |
| White | | | | 93.77 | 93.52 | | 90.43 | 91.24% | 91.20% | 2.46 | 3.17 | 2.41% |
| Asian | ١ | alculate | ea | 97.22 | 90.91 | | 97.22 | 87.88% | 97.56% | 0 | 6.06 | 0.00% |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | 96.83 | 86.13 | | 64.93 | 65.38 | 57.80% | 3.17 | 7.30 | 7.69% |
| Economically Disadv | | | | 86.06 | 87.35 | | 77.14 | 76.74 | 77.04% | 5.77 | 6.63 | 6.09% |
| Limited English Prof | | | | 80.56 | 81.82 | | 80.77 | 73.77 | 73.68% | 16.67 | 15.15 | 15.15% |

| Albemarle High School | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Subgroups | VA Gr | VA Graduation Index O | | On-Time | On-Time Graduation Rate | | Federal Graduation | | | Drop- Out rate | | |
| | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% |
| All Students | 94.03 | 93.96 | 95.04 | 93.68 | 92.14 | | 90.87 | 87.35% | 91.72% | 3.27 | 3.93 | 3.17% |
| Gap Group 1 (LEP, F/R, SPED) | | | | | | | 78.05 | 71.54% | 76.32% | | | |
| Black (Gap Group 2) | | | | 83.87 | 82.14 | | 78.69 | 73.21 | 72.88% | 9.68 | 8.93 | 8.62% |
| Hispanic (Gap Group 3) | | | | 90.91 | 86.21 | | 84.85 | 77.42 | 76.92% | 6.06 | 10.34 | 10.53% |
| White | | | | 95.61 | 94.72 | | 93.44 | 91.58% | 96.48% | 2.19 | 2.82 | 1.03% |
| Asian | | | | 96.15 | 94.74 | | 96.15 | 89.47% | 100.00 | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | 96.83 | 85.71 | | 70.59 | 54.17 | 54.55% | 4.17 | 6.12 | 10.00% |
| Economically Disadv | | | | 85.71 | 88.00 | | 79.76 | 74.39 | 79.01% | 7.14 | 5.33 | 7.23% |
| Limited English Prof | | | | 76.47 | 88.46 | | 77.27 | 77.50 | 75.00% | 17.65 | 7.69 | 14.29% |

| Monticello High School | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|--------|----------------|-------|--------|
| Subgroups | VA Gr | VA Graduation Index O | | On-Time | On-Time Graduation Rate | | Federal Graduation | | | Drop- Out rate | | |
| | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% |
| All Students | 94.44 | 90.50 | 93.21 | 92.38 | 89.10 | | 86.38 | 87.70% | 87.80% | 3.64 | 6.54 | 4.88% |
| Gap Group 1 (LEP, F/R, SPED) | | | | | | | 75.00 | 75.51% | 73.20% | | | |
| Black (Gap Group 2) | | | | 93.48 | 89.83 | | 83.33 | 86.21 | 97.56% | 2.17 | 5.08 | 0.00% |
| Hispanic (Gap Group 3) | | | | 92.59 | 78.26 | | 87.50 | 78.26 | 82.76% | 7.41 | 17.39 | 7.14% |
| White | | | | 92.02 | 90.13 | | 86.38 | 89.55% | 87.24% | 3.29 | 5.38 | 5.56% |
| Asian | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | < |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | 95.92 | 80.43 | | 58.82 | 69.05 | 54.55% | 4.08 | 10.87 | 8.57% |
| Economically Disadv | | | | 88.66 | 85.94 | | 78.13 | 79.69 | 76.39% | 4.12 | 10.94 | 5.71% |
| Limited English Prof | | | | < | < | | 84.62% | 53.33% | 71.43% | < | < | 18.18% |

| Murray High School | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--------|----------------|-------|-------|
| Subgroups | VA Gr | VA Graduation Index O | | On-Time | On-Time Graduation Rate | | Federal Graduation | | | Drop- Out rate | | |
| | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% |
| All Students | 65.88 | 82.30 | 88.48 | 50.00 | 83.87 | | 40.63 | 86.67 | 56.25% | 13.33 | 3.23 | 3.13% |
| Gap Group 1 (LEP, F/R, SPED) | | | | | | | 33.33 | | 53.85% | | | |
| Black (Gap Group 2) | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | |
| Hispanic (Gap Group 3) | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | |
| White | | | | 50.00 | 90.91 | | 44.00 | 90.91 | 53.85% | 12.50 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Asian | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | |
| Economically Disadv | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | |
| Limited English Prof | | | | < | < | | < | < | < | < | < | |

| Western Albemarle High Scho | ol | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|----------|-------|
| Subgroups | VA Gr | aduation | Index | On-Time Graduation Rate | | Federal Graduation | | | Drop- Out rate | | | |
| | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% | 2011% | 2012% | 2013% |
| All Students | 98.84 | 96.87 | 96.97 | 97.91 | 96.11 | | 95.40 | 92.97% | 92.57% | .84 | 1.56 | 1.49% |
| Gap Group 1 (LEP, F/R, SPED) | | - | - | | | | 80.43 | 80.00% | 77.78% | | | |
| Black (Gap Group 2) | | | | < | < | | ' | ' | ' | ' | ' | |
| Hispanic (Gap Group 3) | | | | 100.00 | 100.00 | | ' | ' | 85.71% | 0 | 0 | 0.00% |
| White | | | | 97.67 | 95.59 | | 95.35 | 92.48% | 92.27% | .93 | 1.76 | 1.72% |
| Asian | | | | < | < | | ' | ' | ' | ' | ' | < |
| Students with Disabilities | | | | 100.00 | 94.12 | | 75.00 | 69.70 | 70.59% | 0 | 5.88 | 0.00% |
| Economically Disadv | | | | 86.36 | 95.83 | | 82.61 | 79.17 | 82.35% | 9.09 | 0 | 5.71% |
| Limited English Prof | | | | 100.00 | < | | < | < | < | 0 | < | < |

Standards-Based Measurement of Proficiency (STAMP)

WHO: Students in German 3, Spanish 3, French 3 and all students in Chinese and Japanese (levels 1-3)

WHY: The STAMP test was instituted as a program evaluation component to the ACPS World Languages Program so that teachers, principals, and central office staff could work together to align the curriculum and instruction of the WL department and develop consistent expectations for students' proficiency across schools.

WHAT: The STAMP tests engage students in real world scenarios and encourage them to show what they can do with language. STAMP tests empower educators to easily access and manipulate data to check progress, review programs and inform decisions around staff development and instructional planning.

WHEN: The STAMP testing window is in late April.

HOW for Students: Students receive an individual performance report that allows them to see their proficiency in three skill areas: Reading, Writing, and Speaking

HOW for Teachers: Teachers are given class reports that allow them to see individual student results in three areas: Reading, Writing, and Speaking. Students are assessed using the American Council for the Teaching of Foreign Languages (ACTFL) Proficiency Scale. The ACTFL scale offers three levels of proficiency-novice, intermediate, and advanced.

NOVICE LOW SPEAKERS have not met the STAMP benchmark and will need intensive intervention and scaffolding in order to acquire the necessary language skills

NOVICE SPEAKERS in the mid to high level, to varying degrees, can communicate in the target language and can move comfortably in and out of conversations and interactions in English and the target language.

World Language Pass Rates 2010-2013 Standards-Based Measurement of Proficiency (STAMP)

| German | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 2010 - 2011 | 106 | 37.74 | 99.06 | 95.10 | - |
| 2011 - 2012 | 88 | 20.98 | 96.29 | 94.59 | _ |
| 2012 - 2013 | 74 | 27.02 | 94.50 | 82.80 | - |

| Japanese | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 2010 - 2011 | 93 | 45.16 | 92.47 | 91.95 | - |
| 2011 - 2012 | 94 | 82.41 | 95.65 | 97.64 | 55.31 |
| 2012 - 2013 | 67 | 94.00 | 90.90 | 83.33 | 80.30 |

| Spanish | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 2010 - 2011 | 595 | 69.75 | 98.47 | 92.78 | - |
| 2011 - 2012 | 481 | 94.15 | 97.18 | 92.51 | 72.90 |
| 2012 - 2013 | 499 | 95.59 | 95.09 | 84.14 | 70.14 |

| French | Total Students | Reading* | Writing* | Speaking* | Listening* |
|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 2010 - 2011 | 115 | 65.22 | 99.13 | 93.88 | - |
| 2011 - 2012 | 120 | 100.00 | 94.91 | 92.10 | 72.64 |
| 2012 - 2013 | 122 | 95.08 | 96.33 | 93.00 | 73.38 |

^{*}Numbers indicate the approximate % of students scoring a 3 – 6 score during the period 2010 – 2011 school year. In the 2011 – 2012 the scale updated to an approximate % scoring of a 3 – 9 score and a newly added test category entitled "Listening" was added to some tests.

Summer School

| Summer School | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Session I | | | | |
| English | 14 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| Social Studies | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Math | 6 | 4 | 7 | 17 |
| Science | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| PE | 32 | 28 | 39 | 62 |
| Health | 38 | 35 | 32 | 40 |
| Virtual Econ | | | | 3 |
| Total | 93 | 71 | 93 | 133 |
| Session II | | | | |
| English | 14 | 1 | 12 | 6 |
| Social Studies | 7 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Math | 7 | 6 | 7 | 16 |
| Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PE | 21 | 45 | 41 | 51 |
| Health | 45 | 0 | 12 | 8 |
| Virtual Econ | | | | 2 |
| Total | 94 | 52 | 74 | 86 |

SOL Data

Albemarle County Public Schools students outperformed their peers across the state in Virginia's most recent Standards of Learning (SOL) tests, with scores that exceeded the state average on 26 of the 34 tests. On two other tests, their scores were even with the state average. The state requires end-of-term tests for students in grades 3-12 for Math, Reading, Writing, Social Studies, and Science. At the high school level, students must pass the SOL test in order to receive graduation credit for the course.

Over the past three years, the state has increased the difficulty of SOL tests to more closely track the development of analytical and problem-solving skills among students and to replace multiple choice questions with questions that are technology-based.

| English: Reading | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 95 | 96 | 94 | 92 |
| | State | 94 | 94 | 94 | 89 |
| Black | | 84 | 93 | 83 | 78 |
| Hispanic | | 76 | 97 | 90 | 79 |
| White | | 97 | 97 | 96 | 95 |
| Asian | | 100 | 82 | 93 | 91 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 83 | 86 | 76 | 77 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 82 | 86 | 80 | 77 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 68 | 69 | 74 | 49 |

| English: Writing | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 94 | 95 | 93 | 91 |
| | State | 92 | 93 | 93 | 87 |
| Black | | 84 | 86 | 83 | 77 |
| Hispanic | | 74 | 94 | 81 | 83 |
| White | | 96 | 98 | 96 | 94 |
| Asian | | 100 | 87 | 92 | 88 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 71 | 80 | 75 | 69 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 77 | 87 | 79 | 76 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 67 | 71 | 56 | 64 |

| World History I | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 97 | 88 | 90 | 89 |
| | State | 93 | 81 | 84 | 84 |
| Black | | 89 | 67 | 83 | 70 |
| Hispanic | | 95 | 78 | 80 | 93 |
| White | | 99 | 93 | 92 | 92 |
| Asian | | 100 | 97 | 93 | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 91 | 60 | 69 | 64 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 93 | 71 | 77 | 73 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 96 | 73 | 88 | 85 |

| World History II | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 98 | 90 | 88 | 86 |
| | State | 92 | 82 | 85 | 85 |
| Black | _ | 95 | 70 | 64 | 67 |
| Hispanic | | 100 | 80 | 88 | 71 |
| White | | 98 | 93 | 91 | 90 |
| Asian | | 100 | 100 | 95 | 92 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 95 | 77 | 82 | 69 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 94 | 71 | 72 | 67 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 100 | 66 | 84 | 65 |

| Virginia and United States History | У | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 95 | 87 | 91 | 88 |
| | State | 95 | 83 | 85 | 86 |
| Black | | 84 | 63 | 85 | 66 |
| Hispanic | | 85 | 81 | 80 | 79 |
| White | | 97 | 90 | 93 | 91 |
| Asian | | 100 | 97 | 93 | 91 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 84 | 62 | 75 | 70 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 85 | 70 | 74 | 69 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 85 | 72 | 70 | 66 |

| Algebra I | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 95 | 97 | 72 | 85 |
| | State | 94 | 94 | 75 | 76 |
| Black | | 90 | 95 | 55 | 62 |
| Hispanic | | 95 | 98 | 61 | 76 |
| White | | 96 | 97 | 76 | 88 |
| Asian | | > | > | 97 | 97 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 88 | 91 | 45 | 52 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 93 | 96 | 59 | 65 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 94 | 95 | 71 | 73 |

| Geometry | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 77 | 86 |
| | State | 88 | 87 | 74 | 76 |
| Black | | 77 | 72 | 47 | 66 |
| Hispanic | | 92 | 87 | 73 | 69 |
| White | | 96 | 95 | 83 | 91 |
| Asian | | > | > | 89 | 90 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 77 | 75 | 53 | 63 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 81 | 78 | 61 | 65 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 91 | 78 | 65 | 59 |

| Algebra II | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 78 | 84 |
| | State | 91 | 91 | 69 | 76 |
| Black | | 81 | 71 | 58 | 59 |
| Hispanic | | 81 | 88 | 56 | 65 |
| White | | 95 | 93 | 81 | 89 |
| Asian | | 100 | 100 | 98 | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 82 | 77 | 54 | 68 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 81 | 75 | 50 | 67 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 87 | 90 | 69 | 64 |

| Earth Science | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 89 | 94 | 92 | 87 |
| | State | 88 | 89 | 90 | 83 |
| Black | | 77 | 79 | 80 | 65 |
| Hispanic | | 83 | 91 | 82 | 72 |
| White | | 92 | 97 | 96 | 93 |
| Asian | | 89 | 97 | 81 | 90 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 73 | 84 | 75 | 64 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 79 | 86 | 83 | 69 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 80 | 86 | 78 | 65 |

| Biology | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 96 | 93 | 96 | 90 |
| | State | 89 | 90 | 92 | 83 |
| Black | | 86 | 86 | 84 | 79 |
| Hispanic | | 93 | 88 | 95 | 74 |
| White | | 97 | 95 | 98 | 94 |
| Asian | | 100 | 90 | 95 | 88 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 86 | 73 | 83 | 67 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | · | 88 | 80 | 88 | 76 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 86 | 77 | 90 | 56 |

| Chemistry | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 94 | 96 | 96 | 88 |
| | State | 93 | 93 | 93 | 86 |
| Black | | 84 | 74 | 82 | 58 |
| Hispanic | | 58 | 98 | 91 | 72 |
| White | | 96 | 98 | 97 | 93 |
| Asian | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 96 | 95 | 93 | 77 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 68 | 89 | 89 | 63 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 78 | 100 | 86 | 57 |

Middle School

Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth Grade MAP Reading and Math Data*

Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) tests are internationally normed tests that emphasize student growth. The tests measure reading, language usage, and mathematics achievement. One of the unique features of MAP tests is that they dynamically respond to student performance: students who are getting questions correct are presented with more challenging questions and vice versa.

After a student completes testing they are given a score that allows them to understand their achievement relative to all other students who have taken the test and a growth goal for follow-up testing in the spring. For teachers, they are provided a breakdown of students' strengths and weaknesses and instructional supports aligned to our state standards.

Across the Division 72.5% of middle school students are meeting the benchmark for MAP testing in reading and 65.3% are meeting the benchmark in mathematics.

| MAP – Reading: Fall | Grade 6 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 71.6 | 70.5 | 68.2 | 66.5 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 28.4 | 29.5 | 31.9 | 33.5 |

| MAP – Math: Fall | Grade 6 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 67.3 | 65.7 | 68.7 | 65.2 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 32.7 | 34.3 | 31.3 | 34.8 |

| MAP – Reading: Fall | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 71.1 | 72.6 | 73.1 | 73.3 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 28.9 | 27.4 | 29.9 | 26.7 |

| MAP – Math: Fall | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 66.4 | 70.8 | 61.3 | 64.5 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 33.6 | 29.12 | 38.7 | 35.5 |

| MAP – Reading: Fall | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 79.5 | 73.3 | 75.7 | 77.1 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 20.6 | 26.7 | 24.3 | 22.9 |

| MAP – Math: Fall | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 66.5 | 59.3 | 61.3 | 66.3 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 33.5 | 40.6 | 38.8 | 33.4 |

Sixth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 6 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 90 | 91 | 93 | 80 |
| | State | 88 | 87 | 89 | 73 |
| Black | | 72 | 74 | 83 | 58 |
| Hispanic | | 80 | 91 | 85 | 60 |
| White | | 94 | 95 | 96 | 85 |
| Asian | | 95 | 86 | 98 | 89 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 78 | 67 | 66 | 26 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 73 | 78 | 80 | 55 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 76 | 80 | 82 | 46 |

| United States History I | Grade 6 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 82 | 82 | 87 | 87 |
| | State | 78 | 81 | 81 | 83 |
| Black | | 60 | 59 | 62 | 70 |
| Hispanic | | 67 | 69 | 75 | 75 |
| White | | 87 | 88 | 92 | 91 |
| Asian | | 89 | 85 | 98 | 95 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 60 | 49 | 55 | 41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 52 | 57 | 67 | 69 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 64 | 56 | 62 | 60 |

| Mathematics | Grade 6 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 80 | 78 | 84 | 84 |
| | State | 77 | 73 | 74 | 77 |
| Black | | 56 | 60 | 70 | 70 |
| Hispanic | | 73 | 72 | 81 | 82 |
| White | | 87 | 83 | 89 | 87 |
| Asian | | 82 | 75 | 100 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 69 | 62 | 54 | 49 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 63 | 61 | 73 | 73 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 67 | 62 | 80 | 74 |

Seventh Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 91 | 91 | 91 | 79 |
| | State | 89 | 89 | 88 | 74 |
| Black | | 80 | 74 | 80 | 53 |
| Hispanic | | 80 | 86 | 85 | 60 |
| White | | 93 | 94 | 94 | 86 |
| Asian | | 96 | 89 | 88 | 88 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 83 | 66 | 59 | 37 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 78 | 75 | 79 | 54 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 82 | 83 | 77 | 50 |

| United States History II | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 90 | 85 | 84 | 85 |
| | State | 91 | 85 | 84 | 82 |
| Black | | 78 | 63 | 63 | 65 |
| Hispanic | | 77 | 66 | 70 | 69 |
| White | | 93 | 89 | 89 | 90 |
| Asian | | 91 | 89 | 92 | 98 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 83 | 62 | 58 | 53 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 76 | 58 | 58 | 64 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 79 | 65 | 66 | 64 |

| Mathematics | Grade 7 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 90 | 92 | 79 | 77 |
| | State | 75 | 77 | 58 | 61 |
| Black | | 82 | 77 | 46 | 50 |
| Hispanic | | 80 | 90 | 74 | 60 |
| White | | 92 | 94 | 85 | 83 |
| Asian | | 96 | 97 | 89 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 80 | 75 | 48 | 33 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 80 | 77 | 56 | 52 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 84 | 91 | 62 | 59 |

Eighth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 95 | 93 | 92 | 79 |
| | State | 90 | 90 | 89 | 71 |
| Black | | 90 | 81 | 77 | 47 |
| Hispanic | | 92 | 90 | 84 | 61 |
| White | | 97 | 95 | 95 | 86 |
| Asian | | 95 | 91 | 94 | 83 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 88 | 72 | 71 | 41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 89 | 84 | 79 | 53 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 88 | 83 | 75 | 33 |

| English: Writing | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 92 | 77 |
| | State | 91 | 88 | 88 | 70 |
| Black | | 86 | 82 | 79 | 38 |
| Hispanic | | 80 | 84 | 79 | 63 |
| White | | 95 | 94 | 95 | 85 |
| Asian | | 95 | 95 | 97 | 83 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 71 | 64 | 62 | 36 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 81 | 80 | 75 | 47 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 72 | 82 | 71 | 32 |

| Civics and Economics | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 92 | 87 | 90 | 92 |
| | State | 86 | 84 | 84 | 85 |
| Black | | 82 | 82 | 75 | 81 |
| Hispanic | | 73 | 77 | 81 | 91 |
| White | | 95 | 90 | 93 | 94 |
| Asian | | 95 | 84 | 100 | 93 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 75 | 59 | 63 | 60 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 80 | 71 | 71 | 80 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 71 | 68 | 79 | 81 |

| Mathematics | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 90 | 90 | 69 | 54 |
| | State | 87 | 82 | 60 | 61 |
| Black | | 90 | 78 | 48 | 45 |
| Hispanic | | 89 | 96 | 72 | 41 |
| White | | 89 | 91 | 74 | 58 |
| Asian | | > | > | > | > |
| Students with Disabilities | | 84 | 76 | 56 | 45 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 86 | 85 | 63 | 42 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 88 | 96 | 62 | 45 |

| Science | Grade 8 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 92 | 94 | 86 |
| | State | 92 | 92 | 92 | 76 |
| Black | | 81 | 84 | 79 | 65 |
| Hispanic | | 77 | 85 | 86 | 70 |
| White | | 96 | 95 | 97 | 91 |
| Asian | | 93 | 87 | 97 | 88 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 76 | 73 | 72 | 51 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 82 | 83 | 82 | 65 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 69 | 72 | 81 | 39 |

Middle School Algebra and Geometry SOL Data

| Timatare Componing Contra array | 7 - 7 | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Algebra I | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
| All Students | Division | 100 | 100 | 96 | 98 |
| | State | Not re | ported | | |
| Black | | 100 | 100 | 93 | 89 |
| Hispanic | | 100 | 100 | 90 | 95 |
| White | | 100 | 100 | 97 | 98 |
| Asian | | > | > | 92 | 97 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 100 | 100 | 86 | 94 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 100 | 100 | 92 | 96 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 100 | 100 | 89 | 100 |

| Geometry | | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 |
| | State | Not re | ported | | |
| Black | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hispanic | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| White | | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 |
| Asian | | > | > | 100 | 100 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Elementary

Kindergarten, Second, and Fifth Grade Reading Data (PALS & QRI)

PALS

The Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening (PALS) provides a comprehensive assessment of young children's knowledge of the important literacy fundamentals that are predictive of future reading success. These scores reflect students who have met the PALS benchmarks for the grade specified. All students, grades K-2, take the PALS at the beginning of the year.

| PALS | Kindergarten | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 88.1 | 93.1 | 92.3 | 89.5 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 11.9 | 6.9 | 7.7 | 10.5 |

| PALS | Grade 1 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | | 83.1 | 90.5 | 83.5 |
| Below Bench Marks | | | 16.9 | 9.5 | 16.5 |

| PALS | Grade 2 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Met Bench Marks | | 88.5 | 86.2 | 86.7 | 86.8 |
| Below Bench Marks | | 11.5 | 13.8 | 13.3 | 13.2 |

| PALS Performance by Student Subgroups – Spring 2013 | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|--|--|--|
| | | Met Ber | nchmark | Did Not M | eet Benchmark | | | |
| Grade | Subgroup | N | % | N | % | | | |
| | Black | 98 | 84.5 | 18 | 15.5 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 103 | 72 | 40 | 28 | | | |
| KG | White | 664 | 93.5 | 46 | 6.5 | | | |
| KG | Other | 99 | 78.6 | 27 | 21.4 | | | |
| | SPED | 45 | 75 | 20 | 25 | | | |
| | ESOL | 127 | 76.5 | 39 | 23.5 | | | |
| | Black | 62 | 65.3 | 33 | 34.7 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 92 | 76.7 | 28 | 23.3 | | | |
| 1 | White | 561 | 87.4 | 81 | 12.6 | | | |
| 1 | Other | 81 | 84.4 | 15 | 15.6 | | | |
| | SPED | 32 | 51.6 | 30 | 48.4 | | | |
| | ESOL | 85 | 74.6 | 29 | 25.4 | | | |
| | Black | 67 | 76.1 | 21 | 23.9 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 65 | 77.4 | 19 | 22.6 | | | |
| 2 | White | 480 | 89.9 | 54 | 10.1 | | | |
| 2 | Other | 70 | 87.5 | 10 | 12.5 | | | |
| | SPED | 21 | 42 | 29 | 58 | | | |
| | ESOL | 69 | 71.9 | 27 | 28.1 | | | |

QRI

When used to determine a student's reading levels, the Qualitative Reading Inventory (QRI) can help find the levels at which a student can read independently, read with instructional guidance, and read with frustration. These instructional levels assist in determining if students are 'on grade level'.

| QRI - Fall 2012 | | | | |
|-----------------|---------|------------------------------|-----|------|
| Grade | Met Ben | hmark Did Not Meet Benchmark | | |
| Grade | N | % | N | % |
| 3 | 514 | 80.4 | 125 | 19.6 |
| 4 | 517 | 74.3 | 178 | 25.7 |
| 5 | 545 | 74 | 191 | |

| RI Performance by Subgroups – Spring 2013 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-------|----------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Grade | Subgroups | Met B | enchmark | Did Not Mee | t Benchmark | | | |
| | | N | % | N | % | | | |
| | Black | 53 | 81.5 | 12 | 18.5 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 68 | 85 | 12 | 15 | | | |
| 2 | White | 374 | 94.7 | 21 | 5.3 | | | |
| 3 | Other | 62 | 94 | 4 | 6 | | | |
| | SPED | 34 | 60.7 | 22 | 39.3 | | | |
| | ESOL | 62 | 86.1 | 10 | 23.9 | | | |
| | Black | 44 | 78.6 | 12 | 21.3 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 40 | 67.8 | 19 | 32.2 | | | |
| 4 | White | 405 | 92.7 | 32 | 7.3 | | | |
| 4 | Other | 70 | 88.6 | 9 | 11.4 | | | |
| | SPED | 36 | 56.3 | 28 | 43.7 | | | |
| | ESOL | 18 | 45 | 22 | 55 | | | |
| | Black | 44 | 74.6 | 15 | 25.4 | | | |
| | Hispanic | 27 | 73 | 10 | 27 | | | |
| 5 | White | 271 | 91.2 | 26 | 8.8 | | | |
| 5 | Other | 30 | 88.2 | 4 | 11.8 | | | |
| | SPED | 40 | 54.8 | 33 | 45.2 | | | |
| | ESOL | 17 | 65.4 | 9 | 34.6 | | | |

Third Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 3 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 88 | 87 | 86 | 71 |
| | State | 83 | 83 | 86 | 72 |
| Black | | 69 | 73 | 61 | 46 |
| Hispanic | | 79 | 73 | 78 | 52 |
| White | | 92 | 90 | 90 | 78 |
| Asian | | 93 | 97 | 98 | 86 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 67 | 64 | 52 | 31 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 73 | 72 | 71 | 40 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 84 | 7 9 | 81 | 54 |

| History and Social Science | Grade 3 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 91 | 81 | 80 | 80 |
| | State | 93 | 85 | 87 | 87 |
| Black | | 75 | 61 | 46 | 55 |
| Hispanic | | 88 | 85 | 70 | 58 |
| White | | 93 | 84 | 85 | 85 |
| Asian | | | 100 | 91 | 94 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 75 | 60 | 46 | 35 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 75 | 58 | 54 | 47 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 94 | 88 | 83 | 67 |

| Mathematics | Grade 3 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 94 | 91 | 60 | 57 |
| | State | 92 | 91 | 64 | 65 |
| Black | | 83 | 82 | 25 | 32 |
| Hispanic | | 81 | 82 | 37 | 32 |
| White | | 96 | 94 | 69 | 64 |
| Asian | | 98 | 98 | 82 | 71 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 72 | 63 | 30 | 26 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 82 | 81 | 34 | 23 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 86 | 86 | 46 | 34 |

| Science | Grade 3 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 92 | 89 | 86 | 79 |
| | State | 91 | 90 | 90 | 84 |
| Black | | 75 | 75 | 54 | 58 |
| Hispanic | | 91 | 76 | 79 | 62 |
| White | | 94 | 92 | 91 | 83 |
| Asian | | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 57 | 62 | 60 | 41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 81 | 74 | 68 | 50 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 94 | 85 | 95 | 76 |

Fourth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 4 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 88 | 89 | 70 |
| | State | 88 | 87 | 88 | 70 |
| Black | | 85 | 67 | 72 | 45 |
| Hispanic | | 82 | 77 | 78 | 50 |
| White | | 95 | 93 | 93 | 76 |
| Asian | | 96 | 91 | 94 | 87 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 84 | 55 | 60 | 24 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 83 | 70 | 75 | 45 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 87 | 72 | 74 | 51 |

| Mathematics | Grade 4 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 70 | 77 |
| | State | 88 | 89 | 70 | 74 |
| Black | | 84 | 78 | 37 | 54 |
| Hispanic | | 83 | 78 | 42 | 57 |
| White | | 95 | 94 | 78 | 83 |
| Asian | | 98 | 94 | 86 | 96 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 87 | 61 | 38 | 36 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 83 | 80 | 38 | 57 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 89 | 75 | 43 | 63 |

Fifth Grade SOL Data

| English: Reading | Grade 5 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 95 | 92 | 87 | 75 |
| | State | 90 | 89 | 89 | 73 |
| Black | | 85 | 87 | 66 | 45 |
| Hispanic | | 94 | 82 | 75 | 59 |
| White | | 97 | 94 | 91 | 81 |
| Asian | | 93 | 100 | 91 | 85 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 86 | 73 | 52 | 28 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 87 | 82 | 72 | 50 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 89 | 86 | 73 | 50 |

| English: Writing | Grade 5 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 91 | 91 | 88 | 77 |
| | State | 88 | 87 | 87 | 71 |
| Black | | 78 | 76 | 62 | 41 |
| Hispanic | | 96 | 83 | 91 | 71 |
| Asian | | 97 | 100 | 91 | 82 |
| White | | 93 | 94 | 90 | 94 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 57 | 63 | 54 | 31 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 78 | 78 | 75 | 50 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 91 | 100 | 86 | 61 |

| Virginia Studies | Grade 5 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 89 | 87 | 84 | 86 |
| | State | 87 | 89 | 89 | 87 |
| Black | | 71 | 68 | 58 | 61 |
| Hispanic | | 77 | 70 | 61 | 68 |
| White | | 93 | 91 | 90 | 90 |
| Asian | | | 98 | 95 | 97 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 58 | 55 | 44 | 53 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 70 | 69 | 61 | 64 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 71 | 68 | 58 | 62 |

| Mathematics | Grade 5 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 93 | 91 | 69 | 69 |
| | State | 90 | 89 | 67 | 69 |
| Black | | 85 | 79 | 34 | 33 |
| Hispanic | | 94 | 83 | 41 | 51 |
| White | | 95 | 94 | 76 | 75 |
| Asian | | 93 | 98 | 88 | 89 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 78 | 66 | 21 | 30 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 82 | 79 | 38 | 38 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 87 | 86 | 43 | 39 |

| Science | Grade 5 | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| All Students | Division | 88 | 90 | 86 | 76 |
| | State | 88 | 87 | 88 | 75 |
| Black | | 70 | 77 | 86 | 41 |
| Hispanic | | 75 | 72 | 67 | 49 |
| White | | 93 | 93 | 92 | 84 |
| Asian | | 89 | 90 | 96 | 86 |
| Students with Disabilities | | 63 | 67 | 41 | 32 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | | 70 | 75 | 66 | 48 |
| Limited English Proficient | | 67 | 69 | 67 | 46 |