

# **Renaissance School**

# **School Improvement Plan**

# Annual Update: 2019-20

This school improvement plan meets the requirements of WAC 180-16-220 and WAC 180-105-020.

# SCHOOL OVERVIEW

**Description:** Renaissance Middle School remains a dynamic choice school within the Lake Washington School District. Renaissance School of Arts and Reasoning (RSAR) is located on the Sammamish Plateau, which is an upper middle class community. Renaissance opened in 2006 and serves 96 students. Throughout its tenure, the school has continued to refine its program and develop systems that support all learners. Renaissance teachers have high expectations for themselves and their students. Teachers support students in meeting those high expectations through an innovative, integrated and challenging curriculum. They also support and empower students through a safe, positive and inclusive culture. RSAR has a holistic perspective on student learning, where teachers not only work with students on academics, but help them develop the personal and interpersonal attributes necessary for future success. RSAR prepares students for success in high school through their focus on rigorous academics. Classroom instruction personalizes learning to meet the individual needs of students, and teachers provide enrichment opportunities when students soar and extra support when students struggle. Teachers support students within the school day through Raven Time, which is one day a week, on Fridays, where students can access one-on-one support from teachers as determined by both teachers and students,

**Mission Statement:** To prepare students for educational and personal success, by providing interdisciplinary, arts-based instruction, that addresses the diverse needs, and learning styles of middle-school students.

		2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Student Enrollment (count)		89	93	93
Racial Diversity (%)	American Indian/Alaskan Native	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Asian	16.9	17.2	22.6
	Black/African American	0.0	0.0	1.1
	Hispanic/Latino of any race(s)	6.7	7.5	8.6
	Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Two or more races	9.0	9.7	7.5
	White	67.4	65.6	60.2
Students Eligible for Fr	ee/Reduced Price Meals (%)	2.2	2.3	4.2
Students Receiving Special Education Services (%)		12.9	13.5	16.7
English Language Learners (%)		1.1	2.3	2.1
Students with a First Language Other Than English (%)		19.4	21.3	21.9
Mobility Rate (%) <sup>2</sup>		7.9	6.5	5.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Enrollment and racial diversity based on annual October 1 headcount. Other demographic measures based on May headcount.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mobility rate is calculated by dividing the number students who entered or withdrew from the school between October 1 and June 15 by the October 1 enrollment.

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: LITERACY

#### ELA: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

Grade	Percent at or above standard				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19		
6 <sup>th</sup> Grade	81	81	75		
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade	91	87	× 88		
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	83	× 88	× 83		

## ELA: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment<sup>3</sup>

Group/Program	Percent at or above standard		
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Asian	85	93	86
Black/African American	-	-	-
Hispanic/Latino	-	-	-
Two or more races	-	-	-
White	84	83	76
English Learner	-	-	-
Low Income	-	-	-
Special Education	43	50	44

## ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: SCIENCE

#### SCIENCE: By Grade Level, WCAS<sup>4</sup>

Grade	Percent at or above standard			
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	n/a	85	83	

#### SCIENCE: By Group/Program, WCAS

Group/Program	Percent at or above standard				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19		
Asian	n/a	-	-		
Black/African American	n/a	-	-		
Hispanic/Latino	n/a	-	-		
Two or more races	n/a	-	-		
White	n/a	85	75		
English Learner	n/a	-	-		
Low Income	n/a	-	-		
Special Education	n/a	-	-		

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE DATA: MATH

#### MATH: By Grade Level, Smarter Balanced Assessment

Grade	Percent at or above standard				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19		
6 <sup>th</sup> Grade	56	78	69		
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade	69	84	72		
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	72	65	72		

#### MATH: By Group/Program, Smarter Balanced Assessment<sup>3</sup>

Group/Program	Percent at or above standard				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19		
Asian	85	93	90		
Black/African American	-	-	-		
Hispanic/Latino	-	-	-		
Two or more races	-	-	-		
White	60	70	64		
English Learner	-	-	-		
Low Income	-	-	-		
Special Education	21	42	31		

🔌 = Cohort Track

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Grades 6-8 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons. "American Indian/Alaskan Native" and "Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander" not included in report due to fewer than 10 students in all categories.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> WCAS = Washington Comprehensive Assessment of Science. Given only to 8<sup>th</sup> grade at the middle school level. Assessment first given in 2017-18.

#### ATTENDANCE: By Grade

Grade	Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism				
	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19		
6 <sup>th</sup> Grade	100	100	97		
7 <sup>th</sup> Grade	100	94	<b>&gt;</b> 91		
8 <sup>th</sup> Grade	100	× 88	🌂 94		

## ATTENDANCE: By Group/Program<sup>5</sup>

Group/Program	Percent avoiding chronic absenteeism				
	2016-17 2017-18 2018-19				
Asian	100	100	95		
Black/African American	-	-	-		
Hispanic/Latino	-	-	-		
Two or more races	-	-	-		
White	100	92	93		
English Learner	-	-	-		
Low Income	-	-	-		
Special Education	100	92	81		

# WASHINGTON SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FRAMEWORK (WSIF) DATA

#### **MOST RECENT WSIF 3-YEAR SUMMARY<sup>6</sup>**

	All	Asian	Black/	Hispanic/	Two or	White	English	Low	Students
	Students		African	Latino	more		Language	income	with
			American		races		Learners		disabilities
ELA Proficiency Rate (%)	90	91	-	-	81	90	-	-	47
Math Proficiency Rate (%)	75	-	-	-	73	70	-	-	33
ELA Median Student Growth Percentile <sup>7</sup>	53	50	-	54.5	48.5	54	-	-	43
Math Median Student Growth Percentile	53	55	-	-	51	53	-	-	43.5
EL Progress Rate (%)	-	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Regular Attendance Rate (%)	96	-	-	-	-	95	-	-	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Grades 6-8 combined. Student/Program groups with less than 10 students marked as "-" and data not displayed due to privacy reasons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Washington School Improvement Framework measures compile data across three years (2016-2018) and include both the general education assessment (Smarter Balanced assessments) and the alternative assessment for student with severe cognitive disabilities (WA-AIM). OSPI suppression rules apply to some data marked as "-" and not displayed due to privacy reasons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Median Student Growth Percentile is calculated by ordering individual student growth percentiles from lowest to highest and identifying the middle score. Washington State defines an SGP of 1-33 as low, 34-66 as typical, and 67-99 as high.

# CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PRIORITIES

Our target is that all students and student groups are improving, with all gaps closing, each year. The following priorities have been set to guide us in achieving this.

	Priority #1					
Priority Area	English Language Arts/Literacy					
Focus Area	Reading – Using the RACE strategy, students will learn to restate the question, answer the question, cite text evidence and explain what it means.					
Focus Grade Level(s)	Grades 6-8					
Desired Outcome	Students will be able to read a	variety of texts utilizing the RACE strategy.				
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Innovative Learning Opportuni	Innovative Learning Opportunities				
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	Students are learning techniques for understanding text that they are exposed to in the classroom. It is a cross curricular skill that supports a variety of learners and strengthens organizational, writing, and comprehension skills.					
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation				
	Humanities classes	Percent of students who complete assignments and utilize RACE.				
	Teacher FeedbackPercent of students who uti RACE strategy after meetin teacher during this interven					
	Peer Revision Percent of students who utiliz RACE after taking peer feedba complete text analysis.					
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2020					
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	Within the humanities classes at RSAR, teachers will provide direct instruction in the RACE strategy. Students will be given additional prompts in Guild (homeroom), where they can implement the RACE strategy when completing reflection questions related to our Character Strong curriculum and other cross-grade level activities.					

Priority #2				
Priority Area	Science			
Focus Area	Writing – In Science, students will focus on writing effective conclusions.			
Focus Grade Level(s)	Grades 6-8			
Desired Outcome	Students will be able to write evidence-based conclusions. They will be able to answer the investigative question, state data averages, compare the averages and restate the answer to the investigative question.			
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Academics (MTSS-A)			
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	Students who use evidence while writing conclusions are better prepared to pass the WCAS test in 8 <sup>th</sup> grade. Last year's results of WCAS reflect that students who did not pass WCAS were not able to write scientific conclusions supported by data.			
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation		
	Guided Inquiry	Percent of students who complete the guided inquiry and revise their work based on teacher feedback.		
	Teacher Feedback	Percent of students who pass the WCAS after meeting with a teacher during this intervention.		
	Independent Inquiry	Percent of kids completing independent inquiry and revised work after teacher feedback.		
	Peer Revision	Percent of students who pass WCAS after taking peer feedback to complete conclusions for an inquiry.		
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2020			
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	We will monitor progress through feedback from teachers after one-on-one conferences about conclusion writing. RSAR also offers Raven Time, on Fridays, to help students who need one-on-one help or help catching up with missed work. These areas should help students pass WCAS during 8 <sup>th</sup> grade testing as they will be supported emotionally, socially, and academically.			

Priority #3				
Priority Area	Social and Emotional			
Focus Area	Character Development - Interpersonal skills and social-emotional awareness			
Focus Grade Level(s)	Grades 6-8			
Desired Outcome	Students who have the skills to regulate emotions and navigate interpersonal relationships.			
Alignment with District Strategic Initiatives	Multi-Tiered Systems of Support - Behavioral, Social and Emotional Support (MTSS-B)			
Data and Rationale Supporting Focus Area	In the Spring of 2019, RSAR students took the SBIRT screener, a King County mental health survey. A total of 81 students were screened. Twenty-seven students were flagged "red," meaning at-risk. This is 33% of our student population. Twelve students were flagged as "yellow," identified as not yet at-risk, but showing signs of heightened stress or anxiety. This was 15% of our population. The other 42 students were flagged "green," meaning no concerns. This is 52% of our population. The total flagged "red" or "yellow" at our school was 48%. The Character Strong curriculum will serve as Tier 1 for all students, providing them with skills around interpersonal relationships, self- regulation and emotional wellness. The motivational interviews for "yellow" and "red" students will serve as our Tier 2 intervention for students.			
Strategy to Address Priority	Action	Measure of Fidelity of Implementation		
	All students have taken a fall SBIRT screening.Character Strong teacher workshop.Character Strong curriculum.One-on-one teacher meetings with "yellow" flagged students.YES counselor and RSAR counselor have met with the red tagged students to determine next steps.	Measure of students who take the screening.Opportunity for teachers to understand and gain knowledge of the curriculum.Teachers will implement curriculum adopted by the District.Debrief meeting to talk about results and determine if additional support is needed.YES and RSAR counselor will track meetings with "red" flagged students to measure need/improvement.		
Timeline for Focus	Fall, 2019 - Spring, 2020			
Method(s) to Monitor Progress	All RSAR teachers implemented SBIRT, which will help staff identify students in need of social, emotional help. Staff will continue to monitor and meet with these students and help alleviate stress. Students who flagged "red" in the SBIRT survey will meet with the YES or school counselor at least twice a month. Teachers will develop, with the help of the YES and school counselor, two more surveys to measure student well-being. These will be taken by the students in January/February and in April. Teachers will review surveys from the beginning and the end of the year. Teachers			

will also participate in monthly professional learning communities to discuss the effectiveness of relational strategies.	)
---	---

# TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION PLAN

The Washington Basic Education Act requires schools to "integrate technology literacy and fluency" in their curriculum. The updated K-12 Educational Technology Learning Standards emphasize the ways technology can be used to amplify and transform learning and teaching.

The Technology Integration Facilitator Program (TIF) and Building Instructional Technology Plan (BIT) provide the structure and funding to support this requirement.

The goals of the TIF program are to support teachers in effectively:

- 1. Integrating the use of core instructional technologies within teaching and learning.
- 2. Utilizing digital tools to enhance the learning process for all students in all classrooms.
- 3. Understanding and applying the Educational Technology Learning Standards across content areas.
- 4. Embedding digital citizenship and media literacy within instruction.

Building administrators work with their Technology Integration Facilitator (TIF) to identify needs based on the TIF program goals and develop the BIT Plan to meet those needs. Beginning and end of year survey data informs the personalization of individual school plans.

Based on Spring data, strategic implementations and OSPI requirements, the BIT Plan will focus on the following:

⊠Digital Citizenship

□Integrating core instructional technologies

 $\Box$  Utilizing digital tools to enhance learning

- □Applying Ed Tech Learning Standards
- Embedding digital citizenship & media literacy

# STATE ASSESSMENT PARTICIPATION

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires that all schools meet at least a 95% participation rate for state assessments for all students as well as each subgroup. Schools that fall below this threshold in any group must include goals and actions the school will take to ensure 95% of students participate. The latest participation rate that has been published by OSPI for the school was for state testing in spring 2018. During that year, the participation rate was not met for ELA and met for mathematics.

Strategies the school is using to meet participation requirements include:

- Common language on the importance of state testing is used by all schools in the district.
- Staff receive training on the administration of state assessments, including the use of supports and accommodations to ensure all students have an equal opportunity to demonstrate learning.
- Make-up testing is provided for students that miss the school's date.
- Test completion lists are monitored by both school testing coordinators and district personnel.
- The district is using the recommended refusal procedures and form developed by the Washington Educational Research Association.

# COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PLAN

As a district of doers, learners, and believers, our "why" drives us. We do this all-important work because we want all of our students to have equitable and quality experiences in the Lake Washington School District in order to ensure that they get to choose their futures instead of their circumstances choosing them.

Research has consistently shown that family and community engagement is key to increasing the academic success and positive connections that students have at school, especially students from groups that are demographically under-represented or those historically marginalized. Therefore, it is imperative that we consistently plan and implement strategies to engage our families and school communities in authentic and culturally appropriate approaches.

To ensure that families have the support that they need to assist their children, OSPI requires that school districts have a family engagement policy in place that applies to all families.<sup>8</sup> The specific strategy our school is using to involve and inform the community of the School Improvement Plan is as follows:

Strategy to Engage	Action	Timeline
Students, Families,	Raven Review weekly newsletter	2019-2020
Parents and	PTSA Web site	2019-2020
Community	Student Led Conferences	2019-2020
Members in the	RSAR web site	2019-2020
development of the SIP	Power School	2019-2020
Strategy to Inform	Action	Timeline
Students, Families,	PTSA general membership	2019-2020
Parents and	meetings	
Community	RSAR Facebook	2019-2020
Members of the SIP		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> LWSD's policy is found at: <u>https://www.lwsd.org/about-us/policy-and-regulations/school-community-relations-goals-ka-r</u>