

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| SUBMITTED | NAME | EMAIL | ELEMENTARY | MIDDLE | HIGH | OPTIONS | COMMENTS |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|--|
| 03/22/2020 3:38 PM | Alivia Sil | alivia2001@gmail.com | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | | Please have entire Findley at Timberland with North Summa... |
| 03/22/2020 9:06 PM | Amber Zhang | woshizhangxiaoyan@hotmail.com | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | | Please make Stoller the option school for 3 grid codes which includes Oakridge. Only if we are able to choose Stoller, it[?]s possible to kick off the JW ES boundaries process. If you want to help us, help now! |
| 03/22/2020 9:32 PM | Andrew Moen | Andrew11395@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | Highland Park MS | | | On March 12th the committee recommendation to impact Cooper Mountain without giving our students and community the same level consideration that has been given to other boundary recommendations. This was not an equitable process and I urge the committee to reconsider your vote. Please take the time to review all the community comments and revote to keep Cooper Mountain feeding Highland Park. |
| 03/22/2020 9:39 PM | Andrew Moen | Andrew11395@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | Highland Park MS | | | During the March 12th meeting, the committee discussed the Cooper Mountain feeder pattern for 11 mins focused solely on free and reduced lunch numbers, when they had previously approved Whitford with a higher free and reduced lunch percentage. I urge the committee to not impact Cooper Mountain based on a factor that was not prioritized by the committee and is not one of the 4 objectives of the committee or a factor in the Policy JC. Keep Cooper Mountain feeding to Highland Park. |
| 03/22/2020 9:43 PM | Andrew Moen | Andrew11395@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | Highland Park MS | | | The recommendation to change the Cooper Mountain feeder pattern on March 12th will cost the district an extra \$50K for buses and reducing walkability for Chehalem. Why did the committee prioritize transportation and walkability when assigning boundaries for Timberland and Stoller but not for the southern schools? Reconsider your recommendation and keep Cooper Mountain feeding Highland Park. |
| 03/22/2020 9:54 PM | Andrew Moen | Andrew11395@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | Highland Park MS | | | At the beginning of the boundary process the District provided 4 objectives for the Middle School Attendance Boundary Adjustment and guidance on how the committee should focus their recommendations. 1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming. (No change to Highland Park is needed to meet this objective)2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. (No change to Highland Park is needed to meet this objective)3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary. (No change to Highland Park is needed to meet this objective)4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels. (In the March 12th meeting the committee could not explain why it was warranted and how a greater than 70% change to the Cooper Mountain students is a minor adjustment. In addition it negatively impacts their feeder patterns for Cooper Mountain feeding to Highland Park and then to Mountainside HS)Revote and keep Cooper Mountain feeding Highland Park. |

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|
| 03/22/2020 11:16 PM | Anil K Sreeramsetty | anilkumar246@yahoo.com | Oak Hills Elem | | | <p>Dear Committee, Thank you for showing the March 12th map that shows the Eastern side of the Oak Hills ES attendance area as feeding the Timberland area school. Thank you for the new map which reflects concerns those of us with Oak Hills (East) sunset bound students have regarding feeding into high school together. I also want to express my appreciation to the committee for the most recent map showing East Oak Hills joining its Sunset bound neighbors at Timberland. This decision would solve multiple issues for the kids living in our neighborhood as they will now properly aligned in a high school feeder pattern as well as positioned from a neighborhood proximity standpoint. Prior maps failed to take into consideration that our students were left on an island going to high school with other schools that based off their dual language would not be part of their core class schedule and would need to go to another school high school at the end of the day. I can only ask at this juncture that you lock in this decision and not take our children through a similar situation as the high school boundary where our neighborhood thought they were safe with one meeting to go, only to be switched back at the eleventh hour with no time for public comment. PLEASE KEEP OUR KIDS AT TIMBERLAND. (East) Oak hills sunset bound is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. SUMMA SHOULD NOT TAKE PRIORITY OVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - Even if the Summa program is not considered an Options program and is a school program it still should not take priority over neighborhood students. The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. Thanks again for considering so many options and listening to community feedback. PLEASE KINDLY KEEP OAK HILLS SUNSET BOUND KIDS AT TIMBERLAND. Thanks, Anil</p> |
| 03/22/2020 8:36 PM | Anna Francois | Anna.d.francois@gmail.com | Terra Linda Elem | | | <p>I am not sure what will happen to the final meeting planned on this topic and I have some concerns at the lack of public commentary. I worry that so much mental energy was spent on Springville and Stoller that the committee may be making final decisions for the southern schools without all of the info and without a true understanding of what makes our neighborhoods and communities unique. Terra Linda brings several important elements to Timberland and Terra Linda belongs at Timberland. We are the poorest school north of 26 (by a significant amount). We are one of the oldest neighborhoods north of 26 and we have been bussed far away to middle school for a long time. We have one of the larger, if not largest, Hispanic populations north of 26. We are the smallest school north of 26. The timberland boundary had strong support at the start of the last meeting and the Timberland boundary should stay as it is. Timberland has the right number of students, the right feeder pattern, a continuous boundary area, the closest neighborhoods are in attendance. Timberland is not the richest school in the district but it is close. Terra Linda provides the only real source of economic diversity to Timberland and Terra Linda needs to stay in the Timberland boundary to provide diversity, appropriate utilization of capacity, racial diversity, and community. Timberland is our neighborhood school. If the Timberland boundary is to be adjusted to balance another schools economic diversity then Stoller needs to be adjusted as well. Pulling Terra Linda out of Timberland is a revival of Map B from several weeks ago. Map B was widely rejected and for good reason. As I said before I will say again: if you bus the poorest kids away from their neighborhood school to make room to bus in the wealthiest kids we have a discrimination issue.</p> |

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------|--|
| 03/22/2020 11:34 AM | c wilson | candyawilson@gmail.com | Oak Hills Elem | Meadow Park MS | Westview | I am asking you to take a serious look at the students in the oak Hills Elementary boundary and their pattern for schools. We just went through a high school boundary change, and now there is talk/threat of changing it again. This isn't a game of chess to just move pieces. Please keep OH west side going to Westview High School, and keep OH East side going to Sunset. Now for middle school talk....why would you send OH as the ONLY school north of 26 to Meadow park, and then split the students again to go to several high schools. For several reasons this does not make sense! Best case scenario is to split OH after elementary school and then keep them fed into their high school with a majority of peers that they know from MS. That is so say keep OH west side with Bethany elementary to either attend Five oaks or MPMS. Then those who attend FO will all feed to WV. For the East Side of OH, it makes sense to go to Timberland and then feed into Sunset. |
| 03/22/2020 12:10 PM | Carla Smith | caterpelose@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | Highland Park MS | | My daughter is in 6th grade at Highland Park. She loves her school and has formed close relationships with her teachers. This current school closure is a challenge and makes it so very clear the strong connection she has to her middle school. Her 6th grade year is highly disrupted due to this virus. As you adjust the boundaries, please keep in mind the students are all having a very disrupted year currently. If you move Cooper Mountain students to Mountain View, my daughter would then have to switch to Mountain View her 8th grade year. This level of disruption to her education and social group is damaging. We are in a difficult time now, with students missing a considerable amount of school as well as going through a lot of stress. This needs to be kept in mind and as you make boundary changes for middle school; fewest disruption to students needs to be a priority. Large changes to school groups adds more disruption to students already struggling. Our students need consistency right now, not large boundary changes. They need to feel safe in their schools, not fear now having to switch schools in another year. Please keep in mind the stress and educational disruption students are going through now and do not move school assignments unless absolutely necessary. Please keep Cooper Mountain at Highland Park so my daughter and other students have some consistency in already disrupted Middle School years. |
| 03/22/2020 3:39 PM | Dwaipayan Sil | sdwaipayan@gmail.com | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | Please have entire Findley at Timberland with Summa.. |
| 03/22/2020 4:51 PM | Harsha Grunes | hvaswani@gmail.com | Cooper Mountain Elem | | | It just makes no sense to flip/flop Cooper Mountain and Chehalem. It would cost the district \$50k more, make some Chehalem kids take the bus when they can walk, and break up communities - we should instead invest that 50k in the schools/students that need it! Please keep Cooper Mountain status quo. |
| 03/22/2020 12:01 AM | Jay | Jeys24@gmail.com | Jacob Wismer Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | Dear AC members, it[?]s sad that some community take advantage of Covid-19 stay at home and posting negative comments on other neighborhoods, we as a Jacob Wismer Parwnts wants our Summa kids to stay at Stoller. The community program stay at the school. |
| 03/22/2020 2:36 PM | Jeremy Johnson | doublej13@att.net | Rock Creek Elem | | | The students of Rock Creek Elementary deserve to be sent to a middle school that also has some of their neighbors in attendance. Rock Creek Elementary kids have after school activities and go to church and play with kids from Bethany Elementary, Springville, Oak Hills Elementary and Sato Elementary. Regardless of which Middle School, we need to send two other neighboring elementary schools with Rock Creek Elementary. |

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|----------|--|
| 03/22/2020 9:15 PM | Kristy Ballou | kjballou@comcast.net | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | I am happy that the committee decided not to split Findley, I feel that is the correct decision for our kids. However, please reconsider recommending an elementary boundary adjustment. Moving the kids from our neighborhood to Jacob Wismer would require that Wismer kids would be moved to Findley. Kids shouldn't have this unnecessary disruption during their elementary years. Such a switch would not increase the walkability for Stoller. Findley walkable kids would walk, while Wismer walkable kids would then be bused to Timberland. No net increase in walkability! We want to stay with our Findley community and Findley friends. We do not want to move to Wismer. We are happy to bus to Timberland. We live in the Kaiser Ridge neighborhood between Bronson Creek and Laidlaw. Please do not include our neighborhood in any a recommendation for an elementary boundary adjustment. Thank you. |
| 03/22/2020 9:53 PM | Mary Lynn Klafka | Pdxthree@comcast.net | Rock Creek Elem | Stoller MS | Westview | Please keep Rock Creek north of West Union at Stoller in accordance with map C2.0. We are closer to Stoller than many parts of Springville or Sato.If we are moved we must move with Bethany and Oak Hills or any two northern schools. Please don't put any additional burden beyond options above on us since we are doing our share already whereas everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland). |
| 03/22/2020 3:51 PM | Meenakshi Dewan | meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com | Jacob Wismer Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | Dear Committee Members,Hope you all are safe and doing well! Please don't make social distancing a norm for Jacob Wismers by moving Summa out of Stoller and leaving us with a single digit percentage! As we have all learnt ourself that it's extremely hard to stay all by ourself indoors in the last few weeks, how can the feeder pattern like this stay viable for the 4 years of high school? Summa should stay in the neighborhood school (Stoller). Parents from SPV especially who were worried earlier abt the commute to FO from the previous maps would be worried abt the extra commute to TL as well!! Also, it doesn't make any senses for sending 2-3 buses from JW to TL for summa when they can walk!! Keeping Summa at Stoller would mean no extra bussing for kids of SPV/Sato/JW!! Please don't waste any unnecessary dollars!! KEEP SUMMA AT STOLLER Don't Split JW |
| 03/22/2020 1:39 PM | quan shi | ninghe97006@yahoo.com | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | Dear Steven Sparks and all committee membersThank you very much for your hard work. As an Oakridge parent, I strongly oppose to 3/12 map and many community parents share the same view.This map makes Oakridge community THE ONLY neighborhood not attending its neighborhood middle school in Beaverton School District! Many options including Oakridge in Stoller discussed before can meet Board Objective. In fact, Putting SPV and SATO to Stoller (the current map) is the worst of all options to reduce Stoller population. Committee should focus on Board Objective and district policy listed on the website. Every one knows this map is not the best option when measured against Objective and policy. In fact, with the highest Stoller population of all options, it is almost the worst of all even after projection numbers are changed. Why does committee suggest this option?We request all committee members to clearly state their reasons to support/oppose the map against Objective and district poly. Many committee members support THE MAP without sound reasoning related to Objective and district policy. In contrast, committee members against the map clearly elaborated their concern of not meeting Objective. In summary, we really appreciate all of your hardwork. We request all of you to make best decision for whole Beaverton District fair, unbiased and honestly. |

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---|
| 03/22/2020 9:56 PM | Richard Klafka | Pdxthree@comcast.net | Rock Creek Elem | Stoller MS | Westview | Please keep Rock Creek north of West Union at Stoller in accordance with map C2.0. We are closer to Stoller than many parts of Springville or Sato.If we are moved we must move with Bethany and Oak Hills or any two northern schools. Please don[?]t put any additional burden beyond options above on us since we are doing our share already whereas everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland). |
| 03/22/2020 11:17 PM | Subhashini Sreeramsetty | s_subhashini123@yahoo.com | Oak Hills Elem | | | Dear Committee,Thank you for showing the March 12th map that shows the Eastern side of the Oak Hills ES attendance area as feeding the Timberland area school. Thank you for the new map which reflects concerns those of us with Oak Hills (East) sunset bound students have regarding feeding into high school together.I also want to express my appreciation to the committee for the most recent map showing East Oak Hills joining its Sunset bound neighbors at Timberland. This decision would solve multiple issues for the kids living in our neighborhood as they will now properly aligned in a high school feeder pattern as well as positioned from a neighborhood proximity standpoint. Prior maps failed to take into consideration that our students were left on an island going to high school with other schools that based off their dual language would not be part of their core class schedule and would need to go to another school high school at the end of the day. I can only ask at this juncture that you lock in this decision and not take our children through a similar situation as the high school boundary where our neighborhood thought they were safe with one meeting to go, only to be switched back at the eleventh hour with no time for public comment. PLEASE KEEP OUR KIDS AT TIMBERLAND.(East) Oak hills sunset bound is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. SUMMA SHOULD NOT TAKE PRIORITY OVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - Even if the Summa program is not considered an Options program and is a school program it still should not take priority over neighborhood students. The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. Thanks again for considering so many options and listening to community feedback.PLEASE KINDLY KEEP OAK HILLS SUNSET BOUND KIDS AT TIMBERLAND.Thanks,Subhashini |

3.22.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|--------|--|
| 03/22/2020 10:57 PM | Zhenlin Luo | zhenlinluo@gmail.com | Findley Elem | Stoller MS | Sunset | <p>I am an parent living in Oakridge which is next door with Stoller MS. I am very disappointed about how the board made that decision for not allowing my kids to attend Stoller via 100 feet walk but force to take 1 hour round trip shuttle to TL. Why the board team have such obvious bias to prefer SPV kids rather than ours? SPV kids need to take just addition 5 mins shuttle to FiveOaks than Stoller then you made decision to add 1 hours commute time for our kids? Ridiculous! Pls be fair for every children. Sorry, I don't know how to explain this to my kids with justice and sound reason. Can you? I know that all the root cause is that we don't have a MS in north Bethany which is keeping growing very fast to fulfill the nearby kids. Then why we seems like be the only sacrifice community? Our kids is not making that fault but they are actually sacrificed by that? When the board discussed if our walkable kids can go to Stoller or not, I heard the major concern is that it will exceed the Stoller capacity? Come on, we all know how the predicted number is tweaked for some purpose but it is not fact. Stoller will anyway exceed the capacity by current plan. Our few kids will then be excluded by this reason? Pls don't make me foolish. I strongly request the board team to reconsider our Oakridge kids fairly. At least make Stoller be the option for our kids. This way will not add capacity burden at all also make the whole plan more reasonable. If committee don't agree Oakridge go to Stoller, how ES boundaries can agree on feeding our kids to JW? Pls make Stoller be optional for Oakridge, thank you very much!</p> |
|---------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|--------|--|