

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTION	COMMENTS
3/13/20 4:29 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		<p>Dear Committee members and BSD staff,</p> <p>Thank you so much for voting to keep our Springville community at Stoller. I am glad the numbers have been corrected to show a more realistic growth for our neighborhood. Now Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato's 401 and Jacob Wismer's 399 students.</p> <p>ALL of Springville together with our North Bethany neighbors promotes community & healthy relationships for our kids. Keeping Springville in Stoller, and not in Five Oaks, also minimizes negative impacts to Southern schools, as pointed out by a committee member at the last meeting. Another member also mentioned that this process is not about hitting the board objectives perfectly, but it is the LIVES THAT WE ARE IMPACTING THAT MATTER. If it were just about the numbers, we would just have had a computer figure it out. But thankfully, this map hits the objectives for 2021 and 2025 at Stoller, fills Timberland and keeps communities intact.</p> <p>Best regards, Richell Chiu-Yap</p>
3/13/20 8:23 AM	Akhil Sharma	Sharma.akhil@yahoo.com					<p>Thank you Jason, Abhijit, John, Mike, Yasmeen and I could be forgetting a few names here (sorry), for repeatedly clarifying that the map works, the numbers work and it is one of the best solutions considering minor imperfections. Some committee members remained confused until the end and kept saying the language implant by JW and Findley that Springville and Sato can't stay together. I know it is information overload and it is possible that they didn't get the revised projections. You steered the entire committee away from doing a great disservice to the community. So thank you so much.</p>
03/13/2020 11:23 PM	Amber Zhang	woshizhangxiaoyan@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>The 3/12 meeting is as expected and again it shows how fake the whole process is. Q1. In the next meeting, which is the final one, can committee read the 2021 usage of Stoller permanent capacity and admit you fail to achieve the 90% goal?! Also please explain why you failed on that. Q2. Can committee explain why they want to propose Findley walkable kids to JW? Is it because Findley walkable kids should go to Stoller? How conflicting it is! Being walkable to Stoller does not justify feeding JW, and BTW Stoller is already full by 3/12 map. If committee thinks Oakridge should go to Stoller, then say yes now, and then we can work on ES boundaries because of feeding pattern. If committee rejected Oakridge to Stoller now, then there is no possibility for Oakridge going to JW, and the proposal to have Oakridge feeding JW is just fooling people.</p>
03/13/2020 7:51 PM	Amelia Carnahan	carnahancamp@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem		Sunset		<p>Dear Committee members. As you enter the final stages of your middle school boundary decision I urge you to keep Oak Hills East (Sunset Bound) with Terra Linda and keep Oak Hills West (Westview bound) with Bethany. Please do not create an environment of isolation for any of these children. It is desperately important that you create a feeder pattern that makes sense and doesn't lead to isolation. I know we are so few in the Oak Hills East neighborhood and that creates the temptation to just shuffle our kids around to make your numbers balance. I just want to be clear that your decision really matters to us. Choose common sense feeder patterns for Oak Hills. Send Oak Hills East to Timberland with Terra Linda. And keep Oak Hills West with Bethany. Thank you.</p>
3/13/20 7:25 AM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		<p>Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 11:44 AM	Anand	krecmech@gmail.com				<p>Just want to applause AC for making right moves by keeping Springville, SATO and JW in STROLLER. The numbers work and also keeps the community together by not making Springville ISOLATE by itself and harder commute. TIMBERLAND - Great job to keep the map as is. I was only wondering why we have to move Oak Hills EAST just for feeder pattern ? Why not move rest of Oak Hills West as well to Sunset instead ? This will add more kids going to Sunset instead of just ~60. Overall I think majority of AC's are clear how the map should look like ignoring handful of AC's who have their own criteria/personal agenda for not voting...</p>
03/13/2020 10:06 PM	Andrew Moen	andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS		<p>I am extremely disappointed by the recommendation of the Committee from the March 12th meeting, to move greater than 70% of the Cooper Mountain ES away from Highland Park MS. This recommendation shows that the Committee as not really read or valued the written comments to the same level as the verbal testimony. The written comments have clearly stated that the majority of Cooper Mountain ES families requested no change. At numerous points in the meeting on 3/12 the Committee made recommendations based on the majority of comments submitted online for other schools. Why did the Committee make a recommendation in direct conflict of the Cooper Mountain ES families? The Committee did not given the Cooper Mountain ES families the same respect as was given to other schools. The impacts of the Committee[?]'s recommendations are very real and impactful to us and our children and we deserve the same level of consideration.I respectfully request that you reconsider based on the comments of the majority of the Cooper Mountain ES families in mind and please keep Cooper Mountain ES feeding Highland Park MS.</p>
03/13/2020 10:10 PM	Andrew Moen	Andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS		<p>I have heard that the Committee and the District feels that schools in the south of the district like Cooper Mountain ES have not been interested in the Middle School Boundary process. This is simply not true! I have been actively engaged in this process and have been attending the MS Boundary Advisory Committee Meetings since the beginning. The Committee did not see a significant number of written comments because the majority of maps at that point showed no change to the current feeder pattern of Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS. As a result, there was no perceived need to make verbal or written public comment. Even at the meeting that was dedicated to discuss the schools in the south of the district, the Committee did not discuss feeder patterns to Highland Park. The District has not been taking attendance at any of these meetings so they really have no way to accurately know what schools were represented in the audience at the meetings.Please review the significant number of comments and support the majority of Cooper Mountain ES families that have requested to continue feeding Highland Park MS.</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

03/13/2020 7:50 PM	Anil K & Subhashini Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Dear Committee, Thank you for showing the March 12th map that shows the Eastern side of the Oak Hills ES attendance area as feeding the Timberland area school. Thank you for the new map which reflects concerns those of us with Oak Hills (East) students have regarding feeding into high school together. I also want to express my appreciation to the committee for the most recent map showing East Oak Hills joining its Sunset bound neighbors at Timberland. This decision would solve multiple issues for the kids living in our neighborhood as they will now properly aligned in a high school feeder pattern as well as positioned from a neighborhood proximity standpoint. Prior maps failed to take into consideration that our students were left on an island going to high school with other schools that based off their dual language would not be part of their core class schedule and would need to go to another school high school at the end of the day. I can only ask at this juncture that you lock in this decision and not take our children through a similar situation as the high school boundary where our neighborhood thought they were safe with one meeting to go, only to be switched back at the eleventh hour with no time for public comment. PLEASE KEEP OUR KIDS AT TIMBERLAND. East Oak Hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. SUMMA SHOULD NOT TAKE PRIORITY OVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - Even if the Summa program is not considered an Options program and is a school program it still should not take priority over neighborhood students. The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. Thanks again for considering so many options and listening to community feedback. PLEASE KINDLY KEEP OUR (OAK HILLS EAST) KIDS AT TIMBERLAND AND END SUNSET ISOLATION. Thanks, Anil S.
3/13/20 5:17 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	Please don't rip Springville children away from the school community where they belong. It is utterly dreadful for our daughters if they lose their friends
3/13/20 5:20 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	Springville's geographical location makes Stoller the only logical Middle School for its children.
3/13/20 2:36 PM	Anna Francois	anna.d.fancois@gmail.com	Terra Linda			Terra Linda is the smallest and poorest school North of 26. We currently have 38 percent free and reduced lunch and construction is currently underway on a 92 unit housing project in our boundary that will certainly bring those numbers up. It is reasonable to assume that we will be a title 1 school within 1-2 years and thus the only title 1 school north of 26. Moving us from Timberland moves the only source of socioeconomic balance as well as a large percentage of the Hispanic population from Timberland and will not do anything significant to the financial situation at either Meadow Park or at Cedar Park. To repeat, Terra Linda has about 150 kids in middle school (50 per grade) and we are at 38 percent free lunch and are set to have an even high number soon. Moving Terra Linda does nothing to significantly improve free and reduced lunch at Meadow Park.
03/13/2020 11:33 PM	Anne	anettehy@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem			I see the committee struggling to reduce the number of FRL middle schools in BSD. There are now 4 BSD middle schools above 40% FRL with this boundary change. One possible way to reduce FRL at Cedar Park would be to continue feeding the east non-walkable parts of Cedar Mill to Cedar Park. Keep the west walkable side to Timberland. That's roughly 95 students added back to Cedar Park and will reduce it to 37% down from 42%. It will also keep Cedar Park utilization high at 91% and Timberland at 86%.
3/13/20 9:23 AM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley			Thank you committee members and BSD for being fair to Findley el... The committee did recommend an el boundary change process for Oakridge to be apart of Jacob Wismer. While we agree that this would be a great idea we should take this with a pinch of salt for a couple of reasons. Should Sato and Springville el get crowded over subsequent years, JW will need to resolve some overcrowding by accepting a part of Sato and include it in JW boundary. This will cause JW to get overcrowded. On the other hand Findley with declining population loses the walkable oakridge portion to JW again overcrowding JW. This alignment would then need a portion of JW to be moved to Findley el to balance the numbers at Findley to make sure Findley has healthy number of kids, a compensation of sorts as suggested by some committee members

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 9:34 AM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				Yesterdays meeting went well but some committee members for some reason chose to believe that portables would still be used for Summa at Stoller, despite both Struckmeyer and Steve repeatedly saying no to use of portables for Summa. This is concerning as it creates confusion in other committee member's minds. We the parents of Findley elementary have been concerned about the motives of these committee members from the beginning of the process. These members have to be fair and accept that the boundary change is ultimately going to affect all schools. Our concern is that the committee members do talk to each other after the meetings as we have observed. There could be a real possibility that some committee members are trying to sway the opinion of other committee members. Two committee members in particular looked very well aligned in their thoughts with each other and tried to cut another member who was actually making a sensible approach for Timberland boundary. A particular committee member is heavily biased and has vested interest in having Summa options program at Stoller ...this members vote should not be counted anymore for simple reason that the bias is preventing her from making a logical and sound decision.
3/13/20 9:43 AM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				Yesterdays meeting talked about the hidden elephant in the room that had been causing all the confusion for numbers. By saying that portables arent going to be used for Summa district made it easier for committee to make logical decisions. Our main concern at this point is the extent to which JW PTO seems to be heavily involved in the entire boundary change process. When we talked to our PTO, the Findley PTO clearly stated that it was against the rules for PTO to be involved in a boundary change process. Neither Springville nor Findley nor any other schools PTO has been involved this way. Why does JW PTO have a privilege of being involved in the boundary change process. Would this even be legal and fair to other schools involved in the process?
3/13/20 10:09 AM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				Thank you to BSD and committee members for addressing the issue of portables at middle schools. We hope that as this decision goes to Superintendent and the board. The board as publicly elected officials will keep the process fair, impartial and logical to every elementary school and every kid as they make this important decision. Up until last meeting I will be frank and say that we didnt believe that the process was fair but after the last meeting and by forwarding important comments from Findley to committee members we have regained that trust and faith in BSD. We will assume for now that the BSDs lack of posting comments and emails from Findley parents from Jan/Feb was not intentional and may have been merely a glitch We urge every board member to look at the capacity of middle schools, their infrastructure and technological abilities and particularly the safety issues that Stoller has experienced in past or natural calamities; as they decide on when and how many portables to use (if at all needed) for the nonsumma kids residing within the boundaries. We do believe Timberland needs to relieve some of its overcrowding to accommodate future growth. Thanks.
3/13/20 4:11 PM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				Please keep Findley with atleast some familiar faces from north bethany as it is uprooted from Stoller neighborhood. Please put Summa at Timberland, just like each of the schools at Stoller and Timberland have atleast 1 school which is from same neighborhood...Please be fair to Findley.
3/13/20 4:21 PM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				It was disheartening to see 2 committee members asking "Why does Summa have to move from Stoller" despite repeated pleas from Findley to have atleast some kids from Stoller neighborhood to go with them to Timberland as they enter Timberland(not their neighborhood school) with no familiar faces...The two members were seen buddying and covering each others back at the last meeting. How can a process be called fair when the committee members come out to be so clearly promoting their own agenda and walking over logical solution from other committee members. Also how can it be called a fair and transparent process when only 1 schools PTO is so heavily involved in a boundary change process...We hope that the superintendent and board take a note of this and make the right decision for Findley el.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 9:16 AM	Apraju	spraj.gupta@gmail.com	Findley				First, I would like to thank BSD and several committee members for finally taking note of Findley's plight in the entire boundary change process. We welcome the boards decision to not use portables for options and Summa program especially when Stoller has had overcrowding issues in the past. We also would like to thank our Springville supporters who have been repeatedly saying from the start to not prioritize Summa program over elementary schools. Given the rapid growth in north bethany(Sato and north of Brugger) the current projected numbers are likely going to go higher in 2025 To prevent another boundary change process in 2025 it was a logical decision to not having to use portables for Summa in 2025 To prevent
03/13/2020 9:12 PM	Arta Montero	arta.pecaj@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek north of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that moves must move with Bethany and Oak Hills wherever they go (ideally at Meadow)Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already whereas everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
3/13/20 11:02 AM	Arun	arun_oroath@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		Thank you for your Mar12th workmap. All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic. Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. Stoller is the only closest middle school to Springville. SO PLEASE DONT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE. KEEP communities together.
3/13/20 12:44 PM	arun	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		PLEASE Do not split Springville from its community. In the March 12th Workmap, Springville is the SMALLEST SCHOOL feeding into Stoller. It will be devastating if that gets split further. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially. The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.
3/13/20 12:53 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		I like to thank the Long range planning staff to take their time to check the Springville population projections with PSU. Springville DOES NOT CAUSE ANY problems in fitting into Stoller with our neighbors in Sato and Jacob Wismer. It was just a perception issue all along! The numbers work well keeping Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer in Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.
3/13/20 7:40 AM	Baoyan Liang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
03/13/2020 9:05 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		THANK YOU to the committee members who voted in favor of Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer being the feeders to Stoller and ending this discussion. Stoller will be at 88% in 2021 and 101% in 2025 with Summa moved offsite. Good work committee!

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 5:10 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapait	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>Dear Mr. Sparks and AC, We are truly grateful for the extended hours and efforts you have put in yesterday. I appreciate the rigor in going through the numbers.</p> <p>It was nice to see how you could get through some of the most contentious issues related to Stoller and Summa and thanks once again for setting the record straight on Springville projections.</p> <p>I would like to reiterate that having Springville/Sato/Jacob Wismer in Stoller is the right combination keeping in mind proximity, diversity and community factors. Springville brings the necessary economic diversity needed to an otherwise rich Stoller in terms of FRL. AND Springville is an integral part of the North Bethany Community. Thank you for doing the right thing!</p>
3/13/20 12:33 PM	Carmen Steen	cgsteen@gmail.com	Rock Creek		Westview	<p>I would like to first take the time to thank the committee members and everyone who has contributed to this process. It has been stressful to say the least. I am hoping by last night's vote, we see the best case scenario (from my perspective) in having Rock Creek go to Five Oaks with Bethany and part of Oak Hills. We look forward to taking our community-building culture to Five Oaks and making it the best experience possible for our kids as well as those of Elmonica, McKinley, Oak Hills, and Bethany.</p>
3/13/20 4:07 PM	Chanel S	chanel.elise@gmail.com	Rock Creek			<p>Please consider Rock Creek and keeping us with our other northern neighbors. We have accepted the move out of Stoller but have remained a ping pong in between Meadow Park and Five Oaks. Please consider our students as they are the ones having to take on a move and the benefits in staying together with Bethany.</p> <p>We support the vote as is on FO MS Map #2</p>
3/13/20 2:27 PM	Cindy	niniding1117@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>Dear BSD,</p> <p>Thank you for holding the meeting at the risk of an outbreak. We are deeply grateful as parents. Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato (401) and JW (399). Springville's rightful place is at Stoller.</p> <p>Do not ostracize us from our neighbors in North Bethany</p> <p>Do not cause massive disruptions in community bonding by ostracizing Springville from its closest neighbors</p> <p>Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any map that shows Springville out of Stoller will result in a SIGNIFICANT drop in FRL to 7%, which is an unsustainable position, given BSD's focus on EQUITY.</p> <p>Thank you .</p>
3/13/20 12:27 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville			<p>I like to thank the Long range planning staff to take their time to check the Springville population projections with PSU. Springville is now seen as not causing a problem in fitting into Stoller with our neighbors in Sato and Jacob Wismer. It was just a perception issue all along! For the rest of the issues concerning FRL rebalancing between North and South, I plea for the committee to be practical. There is no good way to solve this issue without uprooting kids from their natural environment and forcibly bussing them to far away areas. Any attempt to solve this devolves back to previous impractical, unworkable maps. I hope the committee will have the serenity to accept the things they cannot change, the courage to change the things they can, and the wisdom to know the difference.</p>
3/13/20 7:45 AM	Ehoy Lawless Lewerenz	Lawlesse1@hotmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	<p>Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 1:02 PM	Eric Bolken	bigbolk@hotmail.com	Cooper Mtn.				<p>In regards to the shift of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View, having the only options be to either move Aloha Huber or Cooper Mountain was a miss by BSD staff. When every comment from the committee resulted in other options besides the two proposed by BSD staff, the resultant vote to move CM to MV made no sense. This was a failure in the process by BSD staff. By saying that they did not hear anything radically different than what was in the staff report and on the map, BSD staff must not have been listening to the conversation happening around them when not one member of the committee commented on thinking the proposal was adequate as-is.</p> <p>As noted to by the committee, the changes to the schools are not warranted for a nominal change in Free and Reduced Lunch. This is obviously a split decision within the committee which makes sense being that the committee members are not adequately prepared or informed to handle a topic such as this and has not been charged with re-balancing these numbers as part of this process.</p> <p>The balancing of FRL is not within the purview of this committee and it is time for the BSD staff to step in and hold the committee to making recommendations pertaining only to the 4 goals set forth in this process as guided by the JC Policy factors which the School Board has also provided for guidance. This move is an obvious departure and I would say failure of not only the 4 goals but also every one of the JC Policy factors. There is no conceivable way the BSD staff would allow for, and call a vote on, recommendations by the committee that place Stoller at a higher rate of enrollment than current because it would be a failure of the goals set forth. The move of CM to MV should be dealt with in the same manner as it is a clear failure of the committee goals and policy factors (if further information is needed, please refer to the multiple previous comments posted indicating how this move does not achieve the charge put to the committee).</p> <p>The posted comments are obviously in support of maintaining the status quo and the members that voted for the move to MV either have not actually reviewed the comments or have reviewed them and are simply ignoring them. Either situation is not acceptable and quite frankly insulting to the families impacted.</p> <p>Please maintain the status quo for Cooper Mountain and reject any proposal to greatly impact this community unnecessarily.</p>
03/13/2020 9:10 PM	Gabriel Montero	Gabe.montero@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		<p>Please keep Rock Creek north of West Union at Stoller. We are closer to Stoller than many parts of Springville. Any part of Rock Creek that moves must move with Bethany and Oak Hills wherever they go (ideally at MeadowPlease don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already whereas everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).</p>
3/13/20 10:06 AM	Gauri Trivedi	gauritri@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		<p>Hello Mr. Sparks & Committee Members, A BIG THANK YOU for listening to the community voices and finalizing the feeder schools for Stoller. The numbers are in alignment and this solution keeps the communities together, there could have been no better option, THANK YOU again!</p>
3/13/20 4:09 PM	Gauri Trivedi	gauritri@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		<p>Mr. Sparks & Committee Members, I am thankful that after months of deliberation and going back and forth, the Stoller puzzle has finally been solved! I would like to reiterate that having Springville/Sato/Jacob Wismer in Stoller is the best combination keeping in mind proximity, diversity and community factors. Please be confident in your decision of putting Springville at Stoller because a) Springville area growth has maxed out and it is no longer a rapidly growing community b) Springville brings the necessary economic diversity needed to an otherwise rich Stoller in terms of FRL. AND C) Springville is an integral part of the North Bethany Community. Thank you for doing the right thing!</p>
3/13/20 4:16 PM	Gauri Trivedi	gauritri@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		<p>Committee Members, THANK YOU for hearing us and being our voices in the process. We can no longer complain that we have no representation in the Committee, so many of you spoke up for us and our community will forever be indebted! It has been a roller coaster ride the last few months but we are very grateful for your understanding and support. So many of us are now motivated to participate and volunteer in such larger exercises for the school district going beyond our own school. You all have inspired us, to say the least!</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 2:04 PM	Giles	Banochanggiles@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>Comment pointers:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Thank you for voting on Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks – it was the right decision to make 2. To solve FRL at FO or MP, there is Offsite Summa and option programs can be looked at 3. The map with spv in Stoller is least disruptive to the rest of the district, especially the southern schools 4. Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato (401) and JW (399). Springville’s rightful place is at Stoller. 5. Do not ostracize us from our neighbors in North Bethany <p>Thank you for your attention!</p>
3/13/20 7:30 AM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	<p>Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don’t suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don’t put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).</p>
3/13/20 7:43 AM	Haoquan Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Raleigh Park	Stoller	Westview	<p>Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don’t suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don’t put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).</p>
3/13/20 2:50 PM	Harry	niniding1117@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>Dear BSD, Thank you for voting on Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks – it was the right decision to make. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. The recently corrected data shows that Springville can feed into Stoller sustainably, in 2021 and 2025 and beyond. Thank you for the justice and fairness of most committees. Children in Springville need your help and support. We are a complete and united community, please do not abandon our northern region. The data is not 100% perfect. But your decision affects the integrity of many families and children and the entire community.</p>
3/13/20 5:45 PM	Heather Davis	indianaburns@gmail.com	West TV			<p>We live within walking distance of the new middle school on 118th and bought our house in this neighborhood expecting our kids to attend the closest schools, i.e. Sunset HS. We are outraged at the thought of our children getting pushed into a high traffic pathway to their schools when schools within walking/biking distance are in our neighborhood! If we can't attend a school that's within walking and we pay taxes for it, something is very wrong with the decision-making process. Please include West TV school into the new middle school boundaries. Our children shouldn't be forced to have to ride a bus to a school that's further away. If kids from Bethany are already riding a bus, let them be put into the school that's across 26, not my children. They have to drive to school anyway, where we can pick our kids up on foot or bike.</p>
3/13/20 12:29 AM	Jamie Gierach	Jamiegierach@gmail.com	Findley			<p>Please don’t tear Oakridge estates from our Findley friends. To remove only 61 children away from their school community and placing them with friends that they will only go to middle school with for 3 years doesn’t seem fair or kind. Please keep Findley together.</p>
3/13/20 9:18 AM	Javier Turek	javierturek@gmail.com	Oak Hills			<p>Dear committee and Mr SPARKS: Last night meeting WAS A SHAME TO OUR OAK HILLS COMMUNITY! STOP ISOLATING OUR COMMUNITY! THE NORTH BARNES STUDENTS ARE CHOOSING THE DUAL LANGUAGE PROGRAM THAT FEEDS INTO BEAVERTON HIGH SCHOOL!!! THAT IS WHY OH EAST STUDENTS AT SUNSET HIGH SCHOOL DON'T KNOW THEM!! YOUR FEEDER PATTERN IS BROKEN!! LEARN THE FACTS ABOUT BARNES AND THE DUAL LANGUAGE PROGRAM!! SPARK: YOUR PROJECTIONS ARE WRONG ABOUT NORTH BARNES!! SEND OH EAST WITH Terra Linda and OH WEST WITH BETHANY! AND STOP MAKING A MESS WITH OUR COMMUNITY!!</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 7:04 AM	Jennifer Hoffman	krh0923@msn.com	Cooper Mtn.	Highland	Mountainside	As soon as the committee started changing Cooper Mountain's boundary in January, families spoke up. Up until then, many parents attended the meetings, but there was no discussion about Cooper Mountain, only Stoller and Springville, etc. The 2 parents that were chosen to speak at the meetings are not representative at all as to how almost every single parent at Cooper Mountain feels. Sitting in the audience were many, many Cooper Mountain parents who feel strongly in wanting to keep the status quo. But none of these parents were picked to speak at either of the 2 meetings that were held after the committee starting changing Cooper Mountain boundaries. I want my 5th grader to stay at Highland for all 3 years. I don't want her to have to switch after 6th grade We would have applied to option schools so she'd be able to have a consistent middle school experience, but the option schools deadline was closed when the committee changed Cooper Mountain. We do not feel like we have been heard.
3/13/20 10:26 AM	Jennifer Sicard	sicard.jennifer1212@yahoo.com	Cooper Mtn.	Highland		I am stunned at the committee's decision by a one vote majority to completely uproot 80% of Cooper Mt families and also disrupt at large portion of Chehalem based on equity when no other school is being considered for this. On top of it being a last minute change with no input from the broader community. The committee allowed 2 People to speak on the behalf of 1000 community members and made a decision that does not align with their own written goals. Barely 5 Minutes of conversation was awarded to this highly disruptive decision by the committee. Did no one read our comments that we have been encouraged to write for the last 2 months? At an increase in cost of 50k in transportation for a nominal decrease in free and reduced lunch. Please reconsider this amendment and keep Cooper Mountain at highland park.
3/13/20 3:20 PM	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek			The students of Rock Creek Elementary deserve to be sent to a middle school that also has some of their neighbors in attendance. Rock Creek Elementary kids have after school activities and go to church and play with kids from Bethany Elementary, Springville, Oak Hills Elementary and Sato Elementary. Regardless of which Middle School, we need to send two other neighboring elementary schools with Rock Creek Elementary.
3/13/20 11:03 AM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic. SUMMA is just an OPTIONAL program not an absolute necessity. Preference should be given to regular programs first.
3/13/20 11:23 AM	Jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	There was a comment made in the March 12th meeting that Stoller was still overcrowded in the configuration that was voted for. This is not true. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again. Request Mr Sparks to remove Summa numbers completely from the next packet so we do not get repeatedly confused about these numbers. Let T&L make the call on Summa independently.
3/13/20 11:26 AM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	I am really grateful to all the AC Committee members voting to include Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer at Stoller . The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again. We are immensely grateful to you for voting to keep us with our community and not making our kids strangers in North Bethany. We are the NORTHERNMOST neighborhood in ALL OF BSD and Thank you for NOT pulling the kids out to commute longer and further when there is No need to do so even with the official 2025 projections.
3/13/20 12:39 PM	Jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	I like to thank the Long range planning staff to take their time to check the Springville population projections with PSU. Springville DOES NOT CAUSE ANY problems in fitting into Stoller with our neighbors in Sato and Jacob Wismer. It was just a perception issue all along! For the rest of the issues concerning FRL rebalancing between North and South, I plea for the committee to be practical. The is no good way to solve this issue without uprooting kids from their natural environment and forcibly bussing them to far away areas. Any attempt to solve this devolves back to previous impractical, unworkable maps.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 12:41 PM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any map that shows Springville out of Stoller will result in a SIGNIFICANT drop in FRL to 7%, which is an unsustainable position, given BSD's focus on EQUITY. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. The recently corrected data shows that Springville can feed into Stoller sustainably, in 2021 and 2025 and beyond.
3/13/20 10:03 AM	Jodi Bean	jodi..bean@yahoo.com	Rock Creek			The Committee last night voted in favor of Westview feeding Elmonica and McKinley, Bethany, Rock Creek and West Oak Hills at Five Oaks Middle School. THANK YOU!!! It is imperative that Rock Creek Elem School stay with our high school feeding schools and have a mixture of northern neighbors with us in a transition to a new middle school. By allowing us to keep a community you have helped to ease the sting of the departure from Stoller and to raise the academic, social, and economic levels of the school. This is good. Thank you.
3/13/20 2:31 PM	Julie Pfeiger	juliepfeiger@hotmail.com	Cooper Mtn.	Highland		Is it possible to provide this committee a filtered view of the public comments that have been received from the Cooper Mountain community? I don't believe they have been able to review them as they are "lost" in the spreadsheet view of the hundreds of comments from the north end of the district. I'd like to request they revisit the Cooper Mountain boundary and properly discuss the impacts / benefits of this decision and take into consideration the feedback from the families impacted by this change. Based on 3/12 discussion I have no confidence this committee was familiar with the public comments and they only referenced a vocal speaker who was not representing the majority (by her own admission). Read the comments and you will see many frustrated families that want the chance to be heard. There are no benefits to this change. The discussion in the meeting did not identify any valid reason to persue this change, yet they still had 9 votes. I think the committee was tired from a long night and need to be allowed time to look at this with fresh perspectives, more discussion and with community comments from CMES families easily available. They also need to discuss the additional \$50,000 in bus transportation this change will force. That is unacceptable and it wasn't mentioned in the few minutes the committee dedicated to this decision. Adding transportation costs has been discussed and avoided for the north end and it makes no sense that it wasn't discussed as part of this change. Do not rush decisions at the end of this process and please follow the same thoughtful discussion process for Cooper Mountain as you have allowed to all schools in the district. Cooper Mountain should remain status quo. No changes to the boundary provide benefits to the kids and costs the district \$50,000 that should be avoided.
3/13/20 12:46 PM	Julie Sutton	juliesutton@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	I continue to urge the committee and the district to keep Rock Creek with northern neighboring schools. Equity should be a priority for the committee's decision making process however it is frustrating that equity seems to matter only after finalizing populations for Stoller and Timberland - which will be extremely affluent communities with the free and reduced lunch numbers of under 10%. If equity matters then it should matter everywhere. Please don't send Rock Creek alone to Five Oaks. Please keep Rock Creek with community.
3/13/20 11:22 AM	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	i am shocked to see the discussion at the end of the March 12th meeting. After creating a completely privileged rich school at Stoller, committee started talking about equity when it comes to Five Oaks and Meadow Park. As Rock Creek parents, we accepted to go to a different school but we wont accept any worse case scenario. If you want to make improvements on the reduced lunch, keep Rock Creek at Stoller and move Springville to Five Oaks. This will both solve equity and overcrowding!!!!
3/13/20 10:46 AM	Karen Locke	Windrockk@frontier.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	I support the map discussed at the meeting yesterday which had Rock Creek, Bethany, and Westview Oak Hills going to Five Oaks! Thank you for listening to keeping northern neighbors together at a middle school which is the closest to these schools. Meadow Park is very far away for Bethany and even farther obviously for Rock Creek. I did not see what the enrollment capacities are, but hoping they are not above 100%. Finally please keep current 6th graders at their existing middle school!

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

03/13/2020 9:01 PM	Karthik	glk.mec@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	#Thank you AC for keeping us (Springville) with our North Bethany community# Our kids now will attend schools with their friend and peers. The current configuration (SPV, Sato and JW) meets capacity as well: 2021 (84%) and 2025 (101%) . Five oaks MS is also a great configuration with Rockcreek, Bethany, Oak Hills West and McKinley ES.
03/13/2020 7:47 PM	Kelly Sestero	ksestero@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			Do not move Cooper Mountain to Mountain View. Please consider the important points below as to why this is a poor choice for both affected elementary schools. 1. The 3/12/20 meeting spent 2 hours discussing Stoller and Timberland and less than 10 minutes discussing Mountain View. The committee then voted to displace two elementary schools with no reference to the vocal opposition to this idea. It appeared that committee members were either not reading the comments or they simply got lost in the flood of comments regarding Stoller. The parents of Cooper Mountain care very much about what is happening and have been vocal. The two parents chosen to speak at the 2/13 and 2/25 meetings represent a group of only 3 parents that have commented online, yet they have changed the course of lives of hundreds of families without due process. Public comments are dominated by comments from the north of Hwy 26, where the focus has been on Timberland and Stoller since September. In January, suddenly changes were made to the south with no warning. The last group of comments (Feb 24-March 11) had 108 comments from south of 26. Of those comments, the vast majority (79) were from concerned Cooper Mountain parents. Only ONE of those comments is supportive of the move to Mountain View. 2. Equity in education is not about making FRL even across schools, and it is certainly not about lowering FRL at one school. Equity is about reducing barriers and offering the same opportunities to all students across the district and the state. This is the goal of the Common Middle School Experience and must be addressed. It was brought up in comments that reducing poverty at Mountain View would create equity, with scholarly references provided. However, if you read the scholarly articles, they do not talk about lowering FRL within a school as a way to create equity or even as a way to measure equity. The Handbook on Measuring Equity in Education, at collaborative effort through University of Cambridge discusses myriad ways to measure equity. All address what is offered within the schools, and what the district does to prevent barriers to attendance, reduce staff turnover and offering supports to those who need help for food/housing insecurity, mental health and transportation. This is not being discussed. In fact, this move adds 2 bus routes, reduces walkability for low income students (thereby increasing barriers to attendance), costing \$50K which could be used for staff training and support, and barely moves the needle on FRL as a way to check a box and feel that something was done for equity. This plan is not helpful, but is potentially harmful to hundreds of students. 3. This plan does not meet the goals of the committee that are stated at the beginning of each meeting. Moving Cooper Mountain and Chehalem are not needed to adjust the attendance boundary for Timberland, to reduce student population at Stoller, or to accommodate boundaries of other middle schools. It is definitely not a MINOR adjustment and it causes a disrupted feeder pattern to high school. It costs money that could go toward staffing, Please reconsider this drastic boundary change before sending recommendations to Dr. Grotting and the school board.
3/12/20 7:31 AM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
3/13/20 9:00 AM	Kim M.	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mtn.	Highland	Mountainside	Please keep Cooper Mountain Elementary school feeding to Highland Park Middle School. Almost all of the maps to date have shown Cooper Mountain staying status quo with no indication or discussion by the committee around a need for a change. Multiple times in the meetings, the committee referenced and were considerate of what other school communities have asked for and last night a committee member even brought up what the majority of Cooper Mountain families have been asking for in the significant majority of our written comments. No, we haven't made shirts, come to the meeting waving signs, or cheered and booted during the committee discussion, but we are following the comment process given to us by the district and our comments deserve to be heard and treated as equally as others.
3/13/20 9:11 AM	Kim Moen	kim.moen@yahoo.com	Cooper Mtn.	Highland	Mountainside	It's very disappointing to hear the committee's decision at the meeting last night to change Cooper Mountain Elementary School to Mountain View Middle School. Balancing the free and Reduced lunch is not an objective and is NOT being looked at equally across the district. Two meetings ago, the committee approved a boundary for Whitford Middle School with a free and reduced lunch percentage of 50% with no discussion at all around this inequity. At the last minute, why is the committee now solely focused on balancing the free and reduced lunch across the remaining middle schools at the expense of community disruption and other parameters (walkability, transportation cost, feeder patters, community input)? The 3/12 map showed Mountain View Middle School with a free and reduced lunch percentage at 47%, below that of the already approved Whitford. What factors is the committee considering to deem this acceptable at one school and not at another? Please revisit this boundary shift and keep Cooper Mountain feeding to Highland Park Middle School.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 9:58 AM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mtn.	Highland	Mountainside	I have listened to the committee review data, discuss details, and possible considerations for many hours over the months of this process in regards to establishing new boundaries for Stoller and Timberland, reviewing new data week after week and discussing a variety of factors. During this time, there was little to no discussion or maps that showed changes to boundaries in the south. Now at the very end of this process, significant boundary changes are being proposed for the south end of the district without the same thorough discussions and considerations. At the meeting last night, Cooper Mountain was given only 11 minutes of discussion and centered around only one parameter: free and reduced lunch. There was NO discussion of the \$50K increase in annual transportation costs, NO discussion for the impact to feeder patterns, and NO discussion that there is not a capacity constraint necessitating this change. These are 3 of the 4 JC factors that need to be considered. Additionally, during the meeting, 5 of the 7 committee members that spoke about Cooper Mountain mentioned that a shift to Mountain View does not make sense based on significantly disrupting communities for minimal gain, and from community comments. I request that our community be given the same respect by the committee considering ALL factors, and engaging in more than minimal discussion before making a decision that affects hundreds of lives. I would like Cooper Mountain to continue to feed into Highland Park Middle School.
3/13/20 11:04 AM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville	Stoller	Westview	Please keep the Mar12th workmap as is for Stoller. Any splits to Springville will reduce the FRL by 30% at Stoller which already has one of the lowest rates because of its location. Please give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller.
3/13/20 12:43 PM	Kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville	Stoller	Westview	Thank you for voting on Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks – it was the right decision to make To solve FRL at Five Oaks or Meadow Park, there is Offsite Summa and option programs can be looked at. The map with spv in Stoller is least disruptive to the rest of the district, especially the southern schools. The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.
03/13/2020 6:46 PM	Leda Mareth	Ledamareth@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Thank you for the hard work you[?]ve done and thank you for listening to the community. Rock Creek elementary is ready to go to which ever middle school makes the most sense. But, we value community and want to attend school with our northern neighbors. Please do not send us to a southern school without another northern neighborhood school. Thank you.
03/13/2020 9:56 PM	Lekshmi	lekshmikurup1712@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset	Springville K-8 I am really grateful to all the AC Committee members for voting for the configuration of Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer at Stoller . The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025.....We are immensely grateful to you for voting to keep us with our community and not making our kids strangers in North Bethany. We are the NORTHERNMOST neighborhood in ALL OF BSD and Thank you for NOT pulling the kids out to commute longer and further when there is No need to do so even with the official 2025 projections. THANK YOU
3/13/20 8:30 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley			I watched part of the meeting last night and I'd like to repeat my appreciation for those committee members who see that the current map is unsustainable in the longer term and are trying to come up with a better solution. To those who think it's outrageous to move Springville because of "community" and the distance, I'd love to know why those things don't apply to Findley as well? Especially the walkable area directly south of Stoller. Stoller is IN our immediate neighborhood and we'll be bussed PAST it to a school 4 miles away. Why is it okay that we're being driven, literally, from our neighborhood with a net gain of 4 miles for kids who could walk across the street to school? It's even more egregious in both respects. Some will say that the vote to recommend an elementary boundary adjustment was meant to solve that, but it's really just smoke and mirrors meant to appease us now so there's less objection. Wismer doesn't have the capacity to absorb those students without other adjustments, of which there are no reasonable ones to be made, so it will be rejected. I am supremely disappointed in this process and the lack of transparency and logical solutions in favor of appeasing the loudest voices.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 10:10 AM	Madhu R	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville				SPRINGVILLE IS THE SMALLEST SCHOOL FEEDING INTO STOLLER IN 2021! Please do not split the community any further. The current numbers meet board objectives (once you set aside Summa which is T&L's decision).
3/13/20 10:05 AM	Madhu Rangarajan	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville				SUMMA CONFUSION!!! There was a comment made in the March 12th meeting that Stoller was still overcrowded in the configuration that was voted for. This is not true. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again. I implore on Mr Sparks to remove Summa numbers completely from the next packet so we do not get repeatedly confused about these numbers. Let T&L make the call on Summa independently.
3/13/20 4:57 PM	Mara Adams	mara.adams@me.com	Oak Hills	Meadow Park	Westview		Very disappointed with the end of the 3/12 boundary meeting. No resolution and talk of changes that could negatively impact Oak Hills. The 3/12 working map did a good job of solving the puzzle without school splits. Oak Hills seems to get moved around to fill space, change FRL numbers etc without thinking about the impact on the community. Please do not isolate Oak Hills without neighbors from the north. Please keep west Oak Hills with Bethany and east Oak Hills going to Timberland and then on to our respective high schools. Thank you for working to keep communities together.
3/13/20 7:33 AM	Mary Lynn Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
3/13/20 11:05 AM	Matt Johnson	matt.johnson@gmail.com					With Springville going to Stoller and Rock Creek going to Five Oaks, this map has created a tiny, isolated island at the corner of 185th and West Union. All land to the north and west is non-residential. All land to the east and south is Rock Creek boundary. Under existing boundaries, these kids had many Stoller peers as they were surrounded by Rock Creek. Now that Rock Creek has moved, they do not have any friends within walking distance in all directions, completely isolated. Having friends in walking distance or very short drive is critical in junior high as face time outside of class is how deep friendships are formed. If it's not convenient for the kids to be with their peers, it's not going to happen. I strongly urge the committee to recommend moving these kids to Rock Creek elementary boundary. It's tough enough being isolated in elementary school. There is no reason to extend this isolation all the way from K-8th grade. Please help fix this.
3/13/20 10:18 AM	Melissa Krahmer	mkrahmer@hotmail.com	Terra Linda	Cedar Park	Sunset		I want to re-iterate to the committee that Terra Linda needs to remain in Timberland. We have always been part of Cedar Mill and Bonnie Slope communities and Terra Linda is needed at Timberland to balance demographics. Furthermore Timberland is easy walking distance to Terra Linda neighborhoods. Keeping our school out of Timberland will not achieve the BSD diversity objectives.
3/13/20 1:56 PM	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		Thank you all for still being in the meeting under such high risk. A huge progress has been made last night, please keep moving forward.
3/13/20 8:46 AM	Nancy Collett	nancy@norwesters.net	Cooper Mtn.				Please keep Cooper Mountain's feeder pattern unchanged - split between Highland Park and Mountain View middle schools. Please don't move my childrens schools around in a misguided attempt to create equity. Having children change schools based making statistics look better doesn't solve any problems. Thinking my kids going to a different school will increase that schools test scores and increase PTO funding and involvement is very presumptuous and frankly inaccurate. Please keep our neighborhoods and communities together by keeping the attendance boundaries as is. Thank you.
3/13/20 7:37 AM	Olesya Baykalova	olesyabaykal@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 7:44 AM	Paul Lawrence	Paul.lewerez@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
03/13/2020 9:54 PM	Prashanth Nair	prash7e7@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear Committee members and BSD staff, Thank you so much for voting to keep our Springville community at Stoller. I am glad the numbers have been corrected to show a more realistic growth for our neighborhood. ALL of Springville together with our North Bethany neighbors promotes community & healthy relationships for our kids. Keeping Springville in Stoller, and not in Five Oaks, also minimizes negative impacts to Southern schools, as pointed out by a committee member at the last meeting. Another member also mentioned that this process is not about hitting the board objectives perfectly, but it is the LIVES THAT WE ARE IMPACTING THAT MATTER. If it were just about the numbers, we would just have had a computer figure it out. But thankfully, this map hits the objectives for 2021 and 2025 at Stoller, fills Timberland and keeps communities intact.
3/13/20 7:13 AM	Praveen Gade	praveen845@gmail.com	Sato	Stoller	Westview	ISB	Please keep Sato and Stoller together. Kaiser/Springville roads stretch is already jammed and if we are forced to go to a farther middle school then it is a lot of time wasted each day. Thanks
3/13/20 8:01 AM	Preeju Philip	preejusuan@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		I am really grateful to all the AC Committee members for voting for the configuration of Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer at Stoller. The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025..... We are immensely grateful to you for voting to keep us with our community and not making our kids strangers in North Bethany. We are the NORTHERNMOST neighborhood in ALL OF BSD and Thank you for NOT pulling the kids out to commute longer and further when there is No need to do so even with the official 2025 projections. THANK YOU
3/13/20 12:01 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Thank you to the advisory committee – your votes for Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks are consistent with the hopes of the larger community who will be affected by these decisions. Thank you for reading our comments and advocating for all of us.
3/13/20 12:01 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Thank you to Mr. Sparks and the committee members for moving things along. The committees vote for Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks composition is the most reasonable, considering all the constraints. We really appreciate your hardwork in coming to this clear and logical conclusion.
3/13/20 12:02 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Keeping Springville, Sato and JW at Stoller is perfect for keeping North Bethany intact. It maintains the economic and social diversity at Stoller, it helps us build community bonding, and it doesn't ostracize Springville from its neighbors. Thank you to the committee for voting on this.
3/13/20 12:02 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Thank you to the committee for using the cleanest solution path to relive overcrowding at Stoller. Feed Findley to Timberland and Rock creek to Five Oaks – it keeps Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks feeder communities contiguous. It is the best solution to meet JC objectives 1 and 2.
3/13/20 12:26 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Dear committee, The top objectives of this effort were to fill Timberland and to reduce Stoller to <90% of permanent capacity in 2021. You have achieved both with minimal disruption to the rest of the boundaries. To deal with the remaining Meadow Park FRL issue, I would suggest that you see if option programs like Summa can help Meadow Park get a greater diversity of students.
3/13/20 12:28 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				The map with Springville in Stoller is least disruptive to the rest of the district, especially the southern schools. Moving Springville south of US 26 will cause massive disruptions in the south of the district.
3/13/20 1:48 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato (401) and JW (399). Springville's rightful place is at Stoller. Please advocate for Springville at Stoller - the numbers do add up in 2021, 2025 and beyond.
3/13/20 1:49 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any map that shows Springville out of Stoller will result in a SIGNIFICANT drop in FRL to 7%, which is an unsustainable position, given BSD's focus on EQUITY. Springville fits into Stoller in 2021, 2025 and beyond.
3/13/20 1:49 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville				Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. The recently corrected data shows that Springville can feed into Stoller sustainably, in 2021 and 2025 and beyond.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 1:50 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville			Removing Springville from Stoller will make Stoller the WEATHIEST MIDDLE SCHOOL in entire BSD. There is no justification for this, given corrected projections. Springville should feed into Stoller as a whole -Do not make Stoller richer than it already is.
3/13/20 10:47 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	Rock Creek, Bethany and West Oak Hills are one community and we want our kids to stay together. Please DO NOT isolate small schools like Rock Creek from any of their community school Regards
3/13/20 12:08 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	i am shocked to see the discussion at the end of the March 12th meeting. After creating a completely privileged rich school at Stoller, committee started talking about equity when it comes to Five Oaks and Meadow Park. As Rock Creek parents, we accepted to go to a different school but we wont accept any worse case scenario. If you want to make improvements on the reduced lunch, keep Rock Creek at Stoller and move Springville to Five Oaks. This will both solve equity and overcrowding!!!! Regards
3/13/20 2:29 AM	Ravi	r2@yahoo.com	Findley			Such an injustice to findley walkable community east of stoller. Bethany heights is right across the street from JW community. Our kids from being very close to Stoller and able to walk in 10min to school, have to go to TL 4 miles away. This is absurd. And all this to prevent SPV from being 3 miles away to 5 miles?? How in the world can anyone explain this? You add 3.5 miles to a findley kid in stoller neighborhood making them the farthest kids to MS, to prevent adding 2 miles to the farthest kid in SPV. Makes no sense.
3/13/20 2:38 PM	Ray Collett	ray@norwesters.net	Cooper Mtn.			I'm so disappointed in the committee right now. They had a 3 hour meeting and they voted to radically change the middle school boundaries for Cooper Mountain and Chehalem with barely 10 minutes of discussion! I am still troubled by the weight being bestowed upon the two randomly selected Cooper Mountain speakers from the last two meetings, while the vast majority of write in comments are being ignored. The majority of parents at Cooper Mountain want our middle school boundaries to be left alone. I'm also troubled that the committee never even talked about the additional cost of busing kids from walkable neighborhoods to a distant school. \$50,000 is a lot of money, and what does it buy? A 6% change at Mountain View. Would it not be better for the students to use that \$50,000 for additional teachers or equipment at Mountain View? Don't make these changes for the sake of improving free and reduced lunch percentages in your spreadsheets. Please refrain from tinkering with the southern boundaries until a new southern middle school is built.
3/13/20 7:35 AM	Richard Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
3/13/20 3:21 PM	Rowan Wepener	rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek		Westview	Dear Committee Members I was extremely pleased with your vote last night during the meeting to populate Five Oaks with (among others) Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills West. This is precisely what we have been asking for, to keep our community together. I was discouraged after the vote was 14-2 to pass this version of the map that at the end of the meeting there was some wavering about this decision regarding balancing equity and free school meals. Please leave Five Oaks as it was decided by the committee half way through your meeting. I want to see Rock Creek at Five Oaks with Bethany and West Oak Hills.
3/13/20 9:02 AM	S	Srib467@gmail.com				I am extremely GRATEFUL to the committee members for voting to keep SPRINGVILLE with our community and not making our kids strangers in North Bethany. We are the NORTHERNMOST PART OF ALL OF BSD and Thank you for KEEPING US WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY. Thank you for a job so well done and For READING THROUGH OUR COMMENTS. THANK YOU

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 9:20 AM	Sam	Sm@yahoo.com	Findley			Findley community to east of stoller bethany heights can walk to stoller in 10min. Now the kids have to go to a school 4 miles away. This changes our kids to be the farthest to travel to a middle school instead of walking to their neighborhood school. What kind of justice is this? The whole process is very unfair to findley. Stoller will be over capacity with the current decision in 3 years from now.
03/13/2020 9:42 PM	Sarah Gradis	Sarah.e.gradis@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	I watched the committee meeting on Thursday evening. A committee member posed the question of why Oak Hills East kids say they are isolated heading into Sunset if there are a few kids from Barnes at Meadow Park also headed to Sunset. No one was really able to answer her question!!! So let me: Barnes has a dual language program so many of the Sunset bound Barnes kids are still in the dual language program much of their school day. As Barnes becomes 100% dual language over the next few years, the potential for interaction with non dual language becomes even smaller. The north Barnes kids also have the option of staying with the rest of their Barnes peers by opting into Beaverton high school. So the number of Barnes kids that truly end up going to Sunset is a small number. And I would expect that number to diminish further as they transition to full dual language since Beaverton high carries that program. In any case, the very small number of Sunset bound Oak Hills kids don't get a chance to develop friendships with the Sunset bound Barnes kids. Please keep Sunset bound Oak Hills kids at Timberland with their Sunset bound community!! And keep Westview bound Oak Hills Kids with their Westview bound neighbors. We split for high school and we each need to be able to build community with those bound for the same high school. Do not isolate both sides by sending them to Meadow Park in order to try and balance FRL with the recommendation to change the high school boundaries. This idea failed previously and was taken off the table. Surely there must be a better solution than decimating Meadow Park to fix Five Oaks...somewhere in the middle is a balance. Oak Hills can't be the solve—the numbers don't support it. Thank you for your time. I wish we had better representation at the committee meeting—we've done our best to try and explain our issue and be heard. We thought we were. We were happy with the March 12th map. Please don't undo the progress!!
03/13/2020 10:13 PM	Satoko Watt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Thank you for voting on Stoller, Timberland and Five Oaks – it was the right decision to maketo solve FRL at FO or MP, there is Offsite Summa and option programs can be looked at the map with spv in Stoller is least disruptive to the rest of the district, especially the southern schools.Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato (401) and JW (399). Springville's rightful place is at Stoller. Please do not ostracize us from our neighbors in North Bethany. Please do not cause massive disruptions in community bonding by ostracizing Springville from its closest neighbors. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any map that shows Springville out of Stoller will result in a SIGNIFICANT drop in FRL to 7%, which is an unsustainable position, given BSD's focus on EQUITY. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. The recently corrected data shows that Springville can feed into Stoller sustainably, in 2021 and 2025 and beyond.Removing Springville from Stoller will make Stoller the WEATHIEST MIDDLE SCHOOL in entire BSD. There is no justification for this, given corrected projections. Springville should feed into Stoller as a whole -Do not make Stoller richer than it already is.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 11:12 AM	sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>SUPPORT MAR12 working map. DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIES. With the revised projections, the Feb 25th workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. This is the best map to-date.. With the revised projections, the Feb 25th workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. This is the best map to-date.. Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. Also give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the. So please don't split Springville. Entire Springville should feed into Stoller.</p>
3/13/20 11:28 AM	sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>SUPPORT MAR12 working map. DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIES. With the revised projections, the Mar12th workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. This is the best map to-date.. Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. Also give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially. So please don't split Springville. Entire Springville should feed into Stoller.</p>
3/13/20 11:29 AM	sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>PLEASE Do not split Springville from its community. In the March 12th Workmap, Springville is the SMALLEST SCHOOL feeding into Stoller. It will be devastating if that gets split further. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially. The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025 keeping Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.</p>
3/13/20 12:51 PM	sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>The only middle school which is closer to Springville is Stoller. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any combination without Springville at Stoller will result in a significant drop the FRL to 7% which will be hard to fix in this area given the demographics. The numbers work well keeping Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer in Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025. Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.</p>
03/13/2020 8:53 PM	Sravan	gkk_uict@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Dear committee members, we are thankful to everyone for finalizing Stoller, FO, Timberland and other MS feeders. The configuration selected for Stoller MS (Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer) meets all of the Objectives and keeps our community together and not leaving our kids strangers to North Bethany. Thank you for your help. We are greatly indebted for supporting us to feed into our proximity school. Feeding SPV into Stoller also helps with FRL in Stoller MS.</p>

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 9:58 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville				PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE from its NORTH BETHANY community. In the March 12th Workmap, Springville is the SMALLEST SCHOOL feeding into Stoller. Any given part of Springville is also the northernmost from five oaks. Stoller is the ONLY PROXIMAL school for any part of Springville. Thank you for hearing us!
3/13/20 2:55 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		It was such a delight to see committee coming together to finalize many outstanding things on March 12th meeting, thank you so much for prioritizing a community values and our lives over pure numbers. That was such a powerful testimonial. Springville is part of North Bethany and it is a tight knit community, our kids participate in lot of extra curricular activities with our neighboring school kids, thank you for keeping us intact with JW and Sato. We are very grateful . Thank you Thank you.
3/13/20 4:51 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville	Stoller			Last night's meeting was evidence to the fact that "values makes a person different", Springville teaches 5 character traits to our kids every day, responsibility, perseverance, collaboration, compassion, and integrityif I think about every comment that a committee member made last night, that made a difference, that actually turned the conversation in to a meaningful and positive conversation, that eventually brought people together and brought solutions, all of those comments were reflections of one or more of these traitsI'm so proud to send my kids to Springville where values are given priority over grades. Thank you so much COMMITTEE, we appreciate your hard work, it means a lot to us.
03/13/2020 10:08 PM	Stephanie	Stephanie12@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		With the majority of Cooper Mountain NOT wanting the move to MVMS, I would like an explanation on how you think this drastic move is a good idea? How can one meeting not get enough votes so you go back to refiguring numbers but the next meeting [?]most[?] are on board with this? Not sure how this back & forth is fun. Mind blowing
03/13/2020 10:07 PM	Sunita Jogi	jsunita3@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I am really grateful to all the AC Committee members for voting for the configuration of Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer at Stoller . The numbers work well keeping Stoller at LESS THAN 90% in 2021 and 101% in 2025.....We are immensely grateful to you for voting to keep us with our community and not making our kids strangers in North Bethany. We are the NORTHERNMOST neighborhood in ALL OF BSD and Thank you for NOT pulling the kids out to commute longer and further when there is No need to do so even with the official 2025 projections. THANK YOU
3/13/20 6:32 PM	Sunita Pai	spai28@gmail.com	Oak Hills	Meadow Park	Sunset		I watched the livestream of the meeting last night and it leads me to believe the comments (our primary means of feedback) are not being widely read. I understand that there are hundreds of comments each week and reading them all may not be possible. How else can we effectively communicate with the committee? Oak Hills East has been voicing concerns for weeks now about how our kids are isolated at Sunset, even though there is a small portion of Barnes at Meadow Park also going to Sunset. This is because Barnes students are in the Dual Immersion program at Meadow Park and are on a separate pathway for core classes. The two groups of students (Sunset-bound Oak Hills and Sunset-bound Barnes) have limited opportunity to get to know each other. To expect two tiny groups of kids to support each other at Sunset HS is not ok! The Barnes students also have the option to switch to Beaverton HS if they choose to, and some of them do so for the dual immersion program there. YET, none of this seems to have registered with the committee! There was confusion about why we didn't get along at Meadow Park. No mention was made of the fact that the Dual Immersion program plays a role in why these kids are isolated. The district should know this information months into this process. And if you don't, why aren't you listening? How can we communicate with you? Writing comments and public testimony does not appear to be working. We are one meeting shy of a recommendation and you keep bringing previously discarded ideas back to life because no one can remember why those ideas were discarded in the first place. For example, changing high school boundaries when it has been made clear time and again that it is outside the scope of this process. Send Oak Hills East at Timberland so we are with other schools going to Sunset and keep Oak Hills West with Bethany ES so they can go to Westview together.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 10:46 AM	Suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley	Stoller		Yesterday meeting is on sided making us to feel already decision is taken. Still we are talking keeping growing schools in one. We will definitely meet this issue in another 1 to 2 years. Everything data speaks. enrollment 80 vs 124 will come as result in coming years. I dont know but one thing i noticed we need to like springville oppose ourself like that. then commitee can accept. Also one of the commitee memebers mentioned more comments from springville has noticed because more population are there as well. one of indirect data about the populations. Findley also expressing their concerns. Findley vs springville population you will defintely see more comments because population is more in springville and your keeping both schools in high growing area in same school. Few commitee raised concerns of other middle school will get reduced in numbers going forward. also committee is planning to split findley which also not findley parents don't want. whole boundary Findley concerns are not taken consider at all.
3/13/20 10:59 AM	Suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley	Stoller		Based on the data attached in BSD site. Jacob + spirngville + Sato =260 + 319 + 335 =914 In the site its mentioned 908 data are misleading. Making findley parents are left behind in all the process. we are genuie came but our values are not listened. All the data mentioned here are manipulated to accommodate spring ville in Stoller.
3/13/20 2:09 PM	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	All members, thank you all for the contribution to move forward. One statement I want to say is that summa is not in every middle school. There are always summa kids go to other middle school which are different with the regular neighbor kids. Please think for 92% of regular students first. Summa can be in any schools which have spaces like other option programs.
3/13/20 6:42 AM	Suzi Fei	suzifei@yahoo.com	Oak Hills			Dear committee, please don't forget your reasons for voting to allow Sunset feeding East Oak Hills to feed into Timberland with Terra Linda. Our kids do not meet the North Barnes kids in middle school because many of them have been in dual language at Barnes and continue dual language in middle school at Meadow Park. That program already exists and will soon be 100% of Barnes. They should not be considered our Sunset-feeding partners because our kids mingle with theirs minimally in middle school. There have been no comments from North Barnes parents. It's not because they're an area high poverty. They must simply be ok with the March 12 map where they are the only Sunset-feeders in Meadow Park. I suspect the reason is because they have *options*. Their kids can choose to go to Beaverton HS with their dual-language peers because it's open enrollment. They also like the option of going to Sunset HS because it's closer and being in Sunset increases their property values. They must have no reason to be concerned about the March 12 map or they would have said something. I have talked to three Barnes parents—one is in South Barnes and is concerned about continuity for dual-language (he's the one who spoke in the last two meetings), one is in North Barnes and wants to stay at Meadow Park for proximity/dual language but retain the option of going to Sunset, and one is in North Barnes and is opting out of dual language entirely which will likely send their child to WWES/CPMS/BHS. Just to repeat my points: 1. ***Sunset-bound East Oak Hills kids do not know Sunset-bound North Barnes kids because of their dual language program, therefore Sunset-bound East Oak Hills needs to feed into Timberland with Terra Linda to end their isolation!*** 2. Barnes wants to go to Meadow Park for dual language and proximity, and North Barnes doesn't mind being the only Sunset-feeder at Meadow Park because they have the option of going to Beaverton HS with peers or going to Sunset (which is also good for property values). North Barnes would have said something if they had a problem with the March 12 map. Other related points: 1. The compact, balanced 100% Westview-feeding Five Oaks you voted on last night is great! Please keep it. 2. This leaves behind a too small and too high-poverty boxed-in Meadow Park. The solution to this is simple: SEND WESTVIEW-BOUND STOLLER SUMMA TO MEADOW PARK WITH WESTVIEW-BOUND FIVE OAKS SUMMA. This frees space at Stoller/Timberland. Few summa parents will complain because Meadow Park has a phenomenal Summa program and their kids will be with their future high school peers. 3. This leaves one problem behind: the isolation of South Jacob Wismer at Sunset. This can be helped by sending all of Jacob Wismer to Sunset (which also relieves overcrowding at Westview). They will also reunite with their summa friends when they get to Sunset. 4. Regarding Aloha Huber Park, if those families want the option of taking advantage of Meadow Park's dual language program, they should stay in Meadow Park regardless of what the FRL numbers say. Thanks for your time and consideration of all the kids in the district,
3/13/20 12:08 AM	Thomas Lyttle	lyttle@hotmail.com	Rock Creek			I was very pleased by the vote tonight regarding northern BSD schools sticking together. I would be elated to have Bethany, Rock Creek and West Oak Hills all go to Five Oaks with other Westview feeders, making Five Oaks fully Westview feeding. This plan makes a ton of sense. Together we can do a lot of great things at Five Oaks. Thank you to the committee for looking out for communities!!
03/13/2020 9:13 PM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8			I[?]m very grateful to the committee for voting to keep Springville with its North Bethany neighbors - Sato and Jacob Wismer. Together, we will be filling to less than 90% in 2021. Our students in Springville adds the much needed economical diversity into Stoller, without us, Stoller[?]s economical disadvantage falls to 7%.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 10:29 AM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville			ACMA	I'd like to thank the committee for voting a yes on keeping Springville and Sato together at Stoller. We are an integrated community. And also thank you for voting keeping Rockcreek, Bethany and Oak Hill together. The numbers do work with the new projections. In fact, Springville is now projected being the smallest school feeding into Stoller with 376 students, Sato 401, and Jacob Wismer 399. Without SUMMA, Stoller is at 84% permanent capacity in 2021.
3/13/20 7:38 AM	Tolmachev Nikolay	4228olenic@gmail.com	Rock Creek	Stoller	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hills together and don't suck any more blood out of us to try to balance free lunch at South. If you want to do that you need to bring more schools down from North. Please don't put any additional burden on us since we are doing our share already where everybody at Stoller either stays or is relocated to another superschool (Timberland).
3/13/20 11:05 AM	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		Appreciate working map for Mar12th. March 12 working map makes Stoller 2021at 84% and 2025 is at 101%. DON'T SPLIT our Springville. Keep all Springville at our ONLY middle school option, Stoller, especially since the projections have been lowered to show more realistic numbers for our established Springville community. It also puts FIVE OAKS OVERCAPACITY AT 113%. There are no portables at Five Oaks for this overcrowded situation, too. DON'T SPLIT our Springville. Keep all Springville at our ONLY middle school option, Stoller, especially since the projections have been lowered to show more realistic numbers for our established Springville community. It also puts FIVE OAKS OVERCAPACITY AT 113%. There are no portables at Five Oaks for this overcrowded situation, too. Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. Also give preference to regular prohrms over SUMMA at Stoller.
3/13/20 11:24 AM	Varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		Request Mr Sparks to remove Summa numbers completely from the next packet so we do not get repeatedly confused about these numbers. Let T&L make the call on Summa independently Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially.
3/13/20 12:40 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		The map with spv in Stoller is least disruptive to the rest of the district, especially the southern schools. Springville is the smallest school to feed into Stoller at 376 students, vs Sato (401) and JW (399). Springville's rightful place is at Stoller.
3/13/20 11:03 AM	vijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview		Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. These used to be one school not too long ago! They have only one middle school with proximity given their extreme Northern location. All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic.

3.13.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

3/13/20 12:48 PM	vijaya	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville	Stoller	Westview	<p>SUPPORT MAR12 working map. DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIES</p> <p>With the revised projections, the Mar12th workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. This is the best map to-date.</p> <p>Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. Also give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller.</p> <p>Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller the wealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the corrected projections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially.</p> <p>Once you remove the Summa numbers, Stoller is at 84% of permanent capacity in 2021 and 101% of permanent capacity in 2025 after which population stabilizes and falls again.</p>	
3/13/20 9:15 AM	Wiley	Wy@yahoo.com	Findley			<p>Completely disappointed and lost hope in BSD committee. We, the walkable findley east of stoller BEthany heights who live across the street from JW, have to change from walking to stoller in 10min to getting on a bus to Timberland 4 miles away. While SPV cant change frm 3 miles to 5 miles to five oaks. The whole process is very very unfair to findley. And now please dont mess with lives of 7th graders of 2021. Please start the changes with 6th graders.</p>	
3/13/20 9:07 AM	Xiaojiao	netflixsunsun@gmail.com	Findley	Stoller	S	ISB	<p>The argument from the committee member for SPV to stay in Stoller was: SPV will be so far away from Five Oaks, this is such a weak reason that made me suspect their integrity, since when Walkable Findley kids are sent to Timberland, they just travel even further than most of SPV kids if sent to Five Oaks. And, only at the last minute, BSD magically make up a number that will fit SPV in Stoller. If we are taking the process seriously, with new numbers, should we start over the whole process? and bring back the previous maps and think over everything again? Also, they mitigate the problem by putting an elementary boundary change in the air, but, including Oakridge kids in Stoller, no matter which elementary school they are going to, Stoller will be over capacity, isn't it?</p>

FW: Meadow Park FRL

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 3/13/2020 10:50 AM

To: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (63 KB)

MP, FO FRL (2).pdf;

I have entered your email and file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 9:59 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Meadow Park FRL

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,

I appreciate the committee's struggle yesterday with the Meadow Park FRL % - When they voted for amendment #2, all they did was move the existing FRL problem in Five Oaks to Meadow Park. Unfortunately, this is a balloon squeeze problem where when you squeeze in one place, you make another place worse. If you fix meadow park by moving one of the schools, you will make one of the schools further south even worse. The attachment shows how poverty is concentrated in the south, and to fix it, northern school with proximity will need to be mixed in to meadow park. BUT, that will create community splits and affect childhood relationships, as well a ripple effects. I would request that the committee focus on making meadow park an option school hub to bring diversity instead of trying to draw unnatural middle school boundaries especially this late in the game.

Thanks,

Madhu

How to Fix Meadow Park Free & Reduced Lunch?

Elementary School & Dist FRL

North of 26
Distance

West TV - 5.3 Miles	14%
Springville- 4.3 Miles	16%
Sato - 4.3 Miles	14%
Bonny Slope - 4.2 Miles	10%
Findley - 3.8 Miles	<5%
Jacob Wismer - 3.7 Mi	5%
Cedar Mill - 3.6 Miles	13%
Bethany - 2.5 Miles	17%
Terra Linda - 2.4 Miles	38%
Rock Creek - 2.1 Miles	22%
Oak Hills - 2.0 Miles	18%

- Poverty is Geographically Distributed - See % in Southern Elem. Schools
- To reduce MP FRL, it will need to be with the closest northern schools (shown in yellow):
 - Splits communities
 - Ripple effects: Makes southern school numbers even worse
- Broader problem than can be fixed with MS boundary adjustments

Five Oaks

South of 26
Distance

Elmonica - 1.1 Miles	51%
McKinley - 1.3 Miles	63%
Beaver Acres - 1.9 Miles	58%
Barnes - 2.9 Miles	68%
Aloha Huber - 3.4 Miles	79%
William Walker - 4.0 Mi	95%
Kinnaman - 4.2 Miles	68%

Elementary School & Dist FRL

North of 26
Distance

Springville- 6.7 Miles	16%
Sato - 5.3 Miles	14%
Rock Creek - 4.5 Miles	22%
Jacob Wismer - 4.3 Mi	5%
Bethany - 3.7 Miles	17%
West TV 3.3 Miles	14%
Findley - 3.2 Miles	<5%
Cedar Mill - 2.8 Miles	13%
Bonny Slope - 2.8 Miles	10%
Oak Hills - 2.8 Miles	18%
Terra Linda - 2.4 Miles	38%

Meadow Park

South of 26
Distance

Barnes - 1.0 Miles	68%
William Walker - 2.1 Mi	95%
Elmonica - 2.3 Miles	51%
Beaver Acres - 2.4 Mi	58%
McKinley - 3.0 Miles	63%
Aloha Huber 3.9 Miles	79%
Kinnaman - 4.9 Miles	68%

Conclusion:
 Make Meadow Park an Option School Hub To Bring Diversity - Avoid contrived MS boundary changes to fix a broader problem.
Please don't split any community.

FW: Summa vs Non-Summa numbers at Stoller

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 3/13/2020 11:15 AM

To: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (109 KB)

Stoller Numbers.pdf;

please include in comments

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 10:58 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Summa vs Non-Summa numbers at Stoller

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,

I sensed a good bit of confusion yesterday on if Stoller remains overcrowded or not because of the Summa numbers. Could you please add attached slide to the public record to try and clarify the total non-summa numbers?

Thanks!

Madhu

Clarification on Stoller Numbers & Summa

With NET Resident Students (No Options, Summa), with all of SPV, Sato and JW in Stoller, it is **not overcrowded**.

- 2021: **84%** of Permanent Capacity (Board objective **90%**) ✓
- 2025: **101%** of Permanent Capacity (Sustainable - No Portables) ✓
- 2026+: Population **Drops** (Continues to be Sustainable) ✓

With the new projections: Springville is the **SMALLEST** school that feeds into Stoller

Unless Summa is added, Stoller is **NOT** Overcrowded
Summa is Teaching & Learnings Call

FW: Meeting Last Night

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 3/13/2020 1:30 PM

To: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Include in comments

From: Arta Montero <Arta.Montero@pgn.com>

Sent: Friday, March 13, 2020 12:57 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Meeting Last Night

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Steven,

I know you guys had a late night and appreciate all the time you and committee members had to put on this and I am sure you all have families and I know that is hard so thank you for that. I am though shocked to see the discussion at the end of the March 12th meeting. After creating a completely privileged rich school at Stoller, committee started talking about equity when it comes to Five Oaks and Meadow Park. As Rock Creek parents, we accepted to go to a different school but it is unjust for any worse case scenario besides us moving with Bethany and Oak Hills. If you want to make improvements on the reduced lunch, keep Rock Creek at Stoller and move Springville to Five Oaks. This will both solve equity and overcrowding!!!!

Please at least keep Rock Creek at Meadow or anywhere but with Bethany and Oak Hills and don't put any additional burden on us since this is enough and we are doing our share in this boundary process. I don't understand why Springville is so immune from being removed from Stoller. I feel that they are treated as if they are different creatures we are just dump bags that can go anywhere. It's very heartbreaking and emotionally distressing.

Even with the option of us staying at Meadow/Five Oaks with Bethany and Oak Hills we are still disproportionally impacted when compared the rest of schools from North 26. Based on this Springville stays at Stoller and Finley is moved to the supercooled Timberland whereas we are the only school removed from Stoller and still take a disproportional hit from a distance and academics compared to the rest of the schools at Stoller that either stay or are moved to Timberland superschool.

Thank you and hope that at the end there will be some justice at the end.
Thanks!

RE: Middle school boundary changes

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 3/16/2020 9:50 AM

To: ksestero@hotmail.com <ksestero@hotmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. Sestero -

I have included your email into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Kelly Sestero <ksestero@hotmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, March 15, 2020 5:54 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; School Board <school_board@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle school boundary changes

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks,

First of all, let me thank you for your work on the Middle School Boundary Committee as I know this has been an arduous process where you must weigh many needs for the greater good of our kids.

I am a parent and PTO board member at Cooper Mountain Elementary School and am writing to you to share some concerns and requests for the coming committee meetings and the boundary recommendation, to ensure that we land on the most beneficial boundary change for the majority of families.

Currently, I believe the recommendation is to move the remaining 70% of Cooper Mountain students to Mountain View and west Chehalis students out of Mountain View to Highland Park, with the primary goal of creating equity by reducing FRL at MVMS by 6%. I am concerned first, that we have not had enough discussion about the proposed changes and as a result the committee is not fully aware that the majority of families at Cooper Mountain are not supportive, and second, I have two major concerns with the alignment of the stated goals and the recommended boundary changes.

Regarding the discussion thus far, I feel that the committee is not aware of the concerns about the recommendation from the majority of families at Cooper Mountain due to the limited time spent on Mountain View/Highland Park boundaries at the committee meetings, the fact that the two parents chosen to speak at the committee meetings represent the perspective of only a few families and the volume of online comments from north Beaverton for the committee to sift through may have overshadowed the comments from Cooper Mountain families.

- **Limited Time:** At the last three committee meetings the discussion has focused on Stoller/Timberland boundaries for the majority of the meeting leaving just 5-10 minutes to discuss the Mountain View/Highland Park boundaries.
- **Speakers Represented Minority Viewpoint:** Unfortunately, the two parents chosen to speak regarding Mountain View/Highland Park at the February 13th and 25th meetings represented a group of a few families who have voiced support of the move to MVMS.
- **Volume of Online Comments:** As you are aware, the online comments are dominated by families from north of Highway 26 who have been involved in the boundary discussion since September. The last group of online comments (Feb 24-March 11) had 108 comments from south of Hwy 26. Of those comments, the vast majority (79) were from

concerned Cooper Mountain parents. Only one of those comments is supportive of the move to Mountain View.

My request is that the committee prioritize a robust discussion about the Mountain View/Highland Park boundaries at the meeting on 4/2/20 by putting it first on the agenda to ensure there is time for ample discussion and the sharing of a breadth of perspectives including those comments already shared online.

Additionally, I am also concerned that there is a disconnect between the stated goals of the committee and the current proposal. The goals that have been stated are:

1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland area middle school
2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller Middle School to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller Middle School
3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middles schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary
4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels.

To achieve these goals, the middle school attendance boundaries for Cooper Mountain or west Chehalem do not need to be adjusted. In fact, the change currently under consideration by the committee is contrary to the final goal, and the disruption to the families in those schools would be significant as it would disrupt the feeder pattern to the high school. In addition, it will cost \$50,000 in added transportation costs that could go toward the staffing budget..

Finally, the committee has stated the reason for this meaningful change to school boundaries is to create equity by reducing FRL at MVMS by 6%. The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) defines two dimensions of equity in education as:

- Fairness: Ensuring that personal and social circumstances do not prevent students from achieving their academic potential.
- Inclusion: Setting a basic minimum standard for education that is shared by all students regardless of background, personal characteristics, or location.

Equity is about reducing barriers to education and offering the same opportunities to all students across the district and the state. This is the goal of the Common Middle School Experience and I think we all agree, must be addressed. One comment submitted in support of moving CME into the MVMS attendance boundary provided academic references to support a position that reducing poverty at Mountain View would create equity. However, in reviewing those academic papers, it is notable that none of them support the position that lowering FRL within a school or a district helps to create equity, nor is FRL a recognized statistic by which to measure equity. The [Handbook on Measuring Equity in Education](#), a collaborative effort of academic institutes at University of Cambridge, discusses a myriad of ways to measure equity -- All address what is offered within the schools, and what the district does to prevent barriers to attendance; reduce staff turnover through training, collaboration time and added support staff; and by offering supports to those who need help for food and/or housing insecurity, mental health and transportation. However, none of this has been discussed in the public committee meetings. In fact, the proposed change adds 2 bus routes, reduces walkability for low income students (thereby increasing barriers to attendance), costing \$50,000 in incremental transportation costs which could be used for staff training and support, and only nominally moves the needle on FRL.

I ask that the committee devote time in the Middle School Boundary Committee Meeting on April 2nd to ensure that you have heard all perspectives and understand the strong feelings many of us parents at Cooper Mountain have about the proposed changes. I strongly believe that the current boundaries should remain, based on the goals set out and the impact that the proposed changes would have on so many families. Finally, I ask that the committee reconsider this significant boundary change that would impact many families before sending recommendations to Mr. Grotting and the school board.

Thank you for taking this into consideration.

Sincerely,

Kelly Sestero

CC: Don Grotting, BSD School Board

New Numbers for Springville are not correct

Kathyayani Srikanteswara <kandappamom@gmail.com>

Thu 3/12/2020 2:12 PM

To: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>
Cc: Kathyayani S <kandappamom@gmail.com>

■ 1 attachments (2 MB)

Sato SPV future Development.pdf;

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks, and Committee members

I saw that you have updated the Springville attendance to lower them by 25% (which is a large amount) and used that as the reason to fit them in Stoller. However, there are serious issues with this:

1. Please find the attached presentation that shows all the planned new developments. This is on top of the usual population growth in these areas and increased student numbers coming from that. If you take the reality into consideration, you will not be able to bring down the numbers
2. It is fundamentally wrong to change the numbers this late in the process. Why are we not double checking all schools then. Also, if we were using the wrong numbers this long, should we not re-start the whole process with the right numbers to make it a fair process.

The process is broken at this point. Before putting out numbers it was your duty to make sure they were correct. Why did the committee spend months with the wrong numbers negating all the work they did. If they had the right numbers to begin with, they would have arrived at a different solution. What the community is seeing is that you are willing to fudge the numbers to make the builders and Springville happy. Really the only winners here are the builders who will be able to sell overpriced houses since they get the good schools, by displacing older neighborhoods that can walk to Stoller. The Stoller students will be in an overcrowded school (they are not the winners here) since the numbers are wrong.

I sincerely hope you fix the process.

Regards,
Kathyayani Srikanteswara

Students Projections in Sato
Area Based on Building Activity
and Land Purchases

Executive Summary for Sato

- There is a lot of new development in progress and more yet to come
- While it is impossible to predict exactly how many students will be there or when the new developments will be completed, here are some estimates of students when building is completed
- There could be
 - About 103 From current developments. Should be before Timberland opens
 - 70 to 100s more from potential future developments
- Observation: As long as the new developments get the best schools, they will continue to build until they run out of land
- Builders are the biggest winners in the current proposal since they get the best school by displacing older neighborhoods that have no room for development. They then get to sell houses overpriced by over 300K (which would not happen if the new developments went to a different school)


Assumptions and Data Sources

- Assumptions
 - In partial developments, I assume the same number of students per houses/area as they currently have, when fully developed. This is of course the best projection without knowing actual demographic data
 - I assume Recently sold land for millions of dollars is bought for development
 - The density of any new development will be similar to current new developments
 - Mainly families are buying these new developments which are overpriced and in the middle of nowhere
- Data sources: Washington county GIS system, and tax assessor records – both available online

Current Development

This is the Bethany Creek townhome development. According to Tax assessor records (deed transferred) it is less than 50% complete yet sends 37 students so at least another 40 students when complete


The 37 will soon be 80




Current Development

The red area is north Bethany ridge apartment development. They are high density apartments that are only 25% complete yet already account for 19 students so at least another 60 when complete

The 0 will soon be 60




Potential/Future Development





The lot NE of Bethany creek townhomes development is 32 acers that is vacant and zoned for development. Has not been purchased yet

This blank area is 32 acres that has been zoned for development, but has not yet been bought. If developed at the same density as its surrounding areas, this could be another 50 students




Potential/Future Development

This blank area (two tax lots) is 13 acres zoned for development and has already been purchased. If developed at the same density as its surrounding areas, this could be another 20 students





This lot south of the Bethany creek development is 9 acers and is already purchased



This lot south of the Bethany creek development is 4 acers and is already purchased

Select taxlot on map

Potential/Future Development





This lot behind Sato is 57 acers zoned as designated forest, but was sold recently. Owners can convert to residential by sending a letter and paying the tax difference

This blank area behind Sato is 57 acres. If developed as high density housing, God help us!! 100s of new students?



Springville

Executive Summary for Springville

- The heavy development in the last few years is slowing down
- While it is impossible to predict exactly how many students will be there or when the new developments will be completed, here are some estimates of students when building is completed
- There could be
 - About 65 From current developments. Should be before Timberland opens
 - At least 60 or so more from potential future developments
- Observation: As long as the new developments get the best schools, they will continue to build until they run out of land



- NE block is under construction 0 sold
 - Upper left block is owned by abbey creek development
 - Bottom left is condos that are built out.
 - Chadwick block is under construction 2/24 finished
 - Right half is sold (R-15 on map)
-
- Smaller developments only estimate <10 additional students




Lots in green have all been purchased and are zoned for development


15 total acers. If developed at the same density of the surrounding areas ~15 students (5 from each)




Street at top is built out.

Looking at the satellite images it looks like the R-9NB in the NE is already being cleared for construction, but no plans on file yet.




Development is SFH approx. 90% finished
 Lot in green has also been purchased and are zoned for development







This is the large abbey creek development
 The south section is complete (28 and 63 on the Phase I map)
 The north section is approximately 50% complete
 Estimate another 50 students from this section



These two plot are ~20 acers
 If developed at same density as
 rest of development would add
 another 20 students






About 14 acers undeveloped land left approx. half has been purchased

Area marked in red developable rest along creek is not

20 total acers. If developed approx. 20 students



About 6 acers undeveloped land left approx. half has been purchased

