

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
03/11/2020 4:19 PM	A C	achalla@purdue.edu		Stoller MS			Please Remember Important Yearnings Amongst Many Advocating Neighborly Individuals! We need FUTURISTIC and LONG-TERM solutions to solve capacity issues and the 3/12/2020 map does exactly that in BOTH 2021 and 2025. Thank you so much.
03/11/2020 2:01 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members and Staff, Thank you for listening to our concerns and working on correcting the overprojections for the Springville area. With the new 2025 projections, there is no need for the requested amendment showing a split for Springville, as that was requested before the projections were adjusted. Isolating communities and sending some of our kids on a longer commute through unsafe roads away from our North Bethany community now serves no purpose, given the NEW CORRECTED projections. Please keep ALL of Springville at our ONLY middle school option in the North Bethany area--Stoller. Please proceed with the March 12 working map, which hits 2021 and 2025 objectives, without splitting communities! Thank you for considering these points. Best regards, Richell Chiu-Yap
03/11/2020 10:23 AM	aahlad s mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The enrollment numbers published for March 12th meeting have a sudden drop in Springville Elementary (SPV) enrollment projections. Steven Sparks made a comment on Feb 25th meeting that PSU projections for SPV Kindergarten in 2019 was 80 but the actual enrollment was 120. The PSU projections are on the lower side at best but BSD made a full about turn and reduced SPV enrollment projections in the data published for March 12th meeting!!! This leads us to lose faith in BSD projections and decisions!! To ensure that portables in Stoller are not used and Stoller does not exceed capacity due to incorrect projections for SPV and Sato, Summa needs to be moved to Timberland MS. If portables usage at Stoller is an acceptable solution, then Findley should remain in Stoller as Findley is its neighborhood school!!!
03/11/2020 10:11 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee, want to take a minute and thank you for optimizing the projections for 2025 school year for SPV 6-8 population. Most of the homes in SPV are already built and occupied so we agree with 25% reduction in original PSU numbers. SPV, Sato are the north most parts of Bethany. SPV already lost its own MS - SPV K-8. PI do not split SPV... We are integral part of N. Bethany. PI assign SPV, Sato to Stoller. PI consider total capacity for Stoller. I came to know that portables costed lot of money in the past and they were transported from other areas with a lot of difficulty. Let's utilize them (as long as they are safe to use). Move Summa to Timberland. Thanks.
03/11/2020 4:17 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Thank you committee for selecting the most appropriate map for March 12th further discussion. - Though Summa is not in the scope of this committee, I request committee to prioritize regular classes over summa - this means, we expect a final recommendation from this committee to move Summa out of Stoller to Timberland to further optimize numbers at Stoller. Let's hear the voices of many parents who do not want summa to be over-prioritized than regular education. Given the fact that < 10% kids pass Summa, let's consider the interests of 90% of the kids/parents. Being the parent of past Summa kid, I'm requesting for the same... Remember that Summa is only in MS, not in HS.- Request to preserve MS -> HS feeder patterns. Portion of JW feeding to Sunset should ideally report into Timberland. This was raised by Jason many months back. This helps JW community to keep theirs as well as kids friendships together from MS to HS.- Keep RC + Bethany elementary in Meadow Park. - If we narrowly miss a particular option (say 8-8 as in the last meeting), let's find out why some committee members did not vote for that option. What logical reasoning prevented them to vote for that option? This helps public to better understand pros/cons for that particular option.
03/11/2020 4:35 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee, Amendment Five Oaks MS #2 looks reasonable. All of the FO MS reports into Westview HS. This is a good option. Build Utilization at FO and Meadow park are well within 90% so this is another plus. However, let's also apply the same logic to JW ES. Portion of JW that reports into Sunset must move to Timberland. This opens up more space for north bound elementary schools. Since we do not have any plans to build another MS on north, let's apply the same logic to fix as many feeder issues as possible... SPV + Sato + Portion of JW that reports into West view HS = Stoller. Remove optional Summa program. We want regular education for many (90%) of our kids first... Thank You!
03/11/2020 4:48 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Committee, Thanks for choosing the appropriate map for Mar 12th discussion. Please do not split SPV-Sato community. Kids in these two communities are engaged in several after school programs such as FLL, Jr. FLL, Dance/Music performances, Summa coaching classes AND sports events. Keep all HS going communities in the same MS as this came up several times in the past and many parents expressed strong concerns on how their kids were impacted when they moved to new HS. Following this logic, entire SPV, Sato must go to Stoller -> WV HS. Please assign JW (feeding Sunset) to Timberland. Move Summa out of Stoller as this is secondary as commented by many parents... One of the Sato parents gave an excellent testimony in the past meetings that we wasted 45 mins in one meeting on just Summa. We want regular education for kids within proximal distance first before arranging resources for optional Summa. Let's order the tasks in the order of decreasing importance and resolve issues one by one from the top... Thank You!
03/11/2020 10:10 PM	Alexis Holcomb	alexandria.holcomb@gmail.com	Elmonica Elem	Five Oaks MS	Aloha	Springville K-8	We need to look at keeping the poverty indicators equal across all the school, Elementary, Middle and High school. All schools should have a diverse population to help equal out the poverty indicators. With the new map that was proposed on February 25th, this would Leave Five Oaks with an even higher free and reduced lunch percentage. We have a great school district and I know this as my son has been to 4 different elementary schools within the district and has at time has had up to an hour bus ride. No matter what schools our children go to know that they will thrive but if we dump all the low income kids into one or two of the school we are doing an injustice to all kids. They all deserve a well rounded school to go to, so please lets look at making Student Body composition one of our main goal when looking at how these boundaries are shifting.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 11:22 PM	Amber Zhang	woshizhangxiaoyan@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I am very upset with the the whole process. We have set up objectives and BSD showed no intention to meet them. In the 3/12 map, BSD changed the SPV projected headcount after using the previous numbers for months. We, so many parents, have done math based on official numbers BSD released, and then come up with our proposals. Then how could you change the numbers in the second last map? This makes me question- Does BSD have a valid and consistent resource of those data? Does BSD change their data due to the SPV pressure? BSD has seen SPV T shirt, posters, and news in favor of them in the last two meetings, and boom SPV projections changed. How can BSD prove they are not impacted by SPV pressure? Similarly, I don[?]t see BSD trying to meet the 90% of permanent capacity in 3/12 map. The report says BSD can not decide where summa would be. Fine. Why not say that earlier? It[?]s a waste of people[?]s time to discuss Summa with a committee who are not decision maker. Now my question is- If summa stays in Stoller, does BSD still stick to the 90% of permanent capacity goal? If yes, then 3/12 map simply doesn[?]t work...unless you want to manipulate 2021 headcount again. If no, then 90% of permanent capacity becomes another joke of the whole process.
03/11/2020 9:18 PM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 4:41 PM	Amit T	amit.h.trivedi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Working map from Feb 25 meeting is the best fit for the objectives and attempts to resolve most boundary problems. My vote is to proceed with Feb 25 map!
03/11/2020 1:01 PM	Amy Zhang	tomahappy@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS		Findley walkers should stay with Stoller. Please let us know which other schools in BSD don't allow the walkable kids to walk to school? If no other school doing it, Findley walkers should be stay with Stoller too.Findley walkers are not the group to fill in the gap to the numbers wherever needed. Those kids deserve the right to walk to school.
03/11/2020 8:44 AM	Anant Jahagirdar	anantshruti@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Hello MS BC committee,FINDLEY BELONGS TO STOLLER. Sending Findley to Timberland/Cedarmill neighborhood by itself is unjust and numbers dont justify it also. Its the same map proposed again for 3/12's meeting. This map had 5 or so votes in the last meeting. Starting with this map, FE proposed a modification, which will have FE + JW + Sato in Stoller. This is the only combination that will fix Stoller over crowding. Both SPV and Sato cannot feed to one middle School. WE can do that if we want to come back to same Stoller Over crowding in 4 more years....We added a new MS and we are putting in buses over 300 additional students vs current situation. This is a waste of our tax dollars. FINDLEY BELONGS TO STOLLER.
03/11/2020 7:02 PM	Arta Montero	arta.pecaj@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 8:50 AM	arun	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SUPPORT MAR12 working map. Please do not split communitiesWith the revised projections, the Mar12 workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. SUMMA is just an OPTIONAL program not an absolute necessity. Preference should be given to regular programs first.All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic.
03/11/2020 7:28 PM	B Walker	blair.walker@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thanks to the committee members for your time and service. I really hope we can keep all of Springville together at Stoller instead of sending kids across town (and across the freeway) to Five Oaks. It looks like there are other tweaks that can be made in order to keep population at Stoller to a manageable level. We love our North Bethany neighbors!
03/11/2020 9:26 PM	Baoyan Liang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 8:50 AM	Carlos Tokunaga	ctokuna@hotmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			I am in full support for the March 12 work map that has Oak Hills/Meadow Park/West View feeder pattern with Oak Hills/Bethany/Rock Creek feeding into Meadow Park as a community. Thank you for your hard work.
03/11/2020 11:48 AM	Chieko Yoshihara	pdxsalecy@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Amendment 1 should be discarded by following reasons. 1 Meadow park will be underutilized at 73 percent in 2021 and 60% in 2025. 2 FRL of Stoller MS is reduced to by 30% from 10% to 7%. 3 Meadow Park will feed to four high schools. This amendment doesn't look any better than March 12 Workmap.
03/11/2020 11:42 AM	Conor Bradley	Cbdanger@gmail.com	Elmonica Elem	Five Oaks MS	Westview	I am writing to strongly recommend that you keep the natural routing of Rock Creek, Bethany, Elmonica and McKinley elementary schools into Five Oaks Middle School in their entirety. My rationale includes the proximity of these schools to Five Oaks. Additionally the demographic distribution and socioeconomic makeup of these four feeder schools provide a balanced, diverse representation of students. Disrupting this balance would skew the demographics in one direction or another and possibly unfairly and irreparably negatively impact Five Oaks[?] reputation and that of its students for years to come.Part of what is learned in schools reaches beyond reading or math skills; it is the social interaction with a diverse cross section of student backgrounds. Learning to appreciate and respect everyone regardless of outward labels is a core fundamental that we as a nation have recently demonstrated colossal failure in successfully modeling for our children. Keeping the feeder school proposed boundaries as they were BEFORE the Feb 25th update preserves this balance that is desperately needing to be learned and re-learned by our children and by us, respectively. Please preserve these feeder school boundaries that keep these four elementary schools routed into Five Oaks Middle School for the foreseeable future. Thank you.
03/11/2020 8:27 PM	Corring Fallau	corringfallau@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	We accept the map AS IS and any changes to 3-12 map is not acceptable to JW. The 3-12 Map ensures all JW kids attend Stoller. Stoller boundary looks complete.
03/11/2020 12:22 PM	Debbie	deborahemeyer@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek at Stoller. It[?]s a small school that[?]s making a great impact to Stoller. A lot of students that attend Rock Creek live literally less the 1 1/2 miles from Stoller. At the very least please make a split and keep the students living North of West Union at Stoller. We live in Bethany as well and it feels like we are being pushed out of our community

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 9:36 PM	Ehoy Lawless Lewerenz	lawlesse1@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 6:57 PM	Gabriel Montero	Gabe.montero@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep North of west union part of Rock Creek at Stoller. If we are moved move us to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills.
03/11/2020 9:19 PM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 9:28 PM	Haoquan Zhang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 9:55 AM	HariHari	hh@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Thank you committee for taking time out of your busy life to do this. Few questions that keep coming to you again and again but we keep asking because we are not hearing answers to these and frankly we are lost. We request you to please clarify at the next meeting.1. When Gov. Kate Brown is signing executive orders to reduce emissions, why is BSD going against that and trying to bus out walking Findley?2. Walking Findley is not only the portion south of stoller. Portion east of stoller has a Findley community that is isolated now like the spv folks call an "Island". It is continuous with the JW community. All kids from this portion walk to stoller today using Greenwood dr with JW kids. More in particular, my community Bethany Heights is right next door to JW. Why is BSD committee not even talking about this portion of Findley? What happened to continuity here? Our kids can walk to Stoller in 10 minutes. 3. Why is BSD considering splitting Summa? Doesn't this add more cost?4. Is there a plan to fix the root cause of this whole issue so it does not repeat anymore? County giving permit to build new homes without an adequate plan for a school which is a basic necessity of the community being built? BSD not building school in the right place that has the issue and building in a completely wrong location where there was no issue, thus disturbing the lives of all established communities and lots of communities losing walk ability and their neighborhood school.
03/11/2020 2:36 PM	Herb Hillenmeyer	eyerobot@gmail.com	Beaver Acres Elem			Thank you for your efforts in working through this difficult task.As my wife commented separately, we support the scheme that strives to raise poverty levels. We feel that this has been a very successful strategy in school systems where we have lived over the years, and has resulted in greater parent participation, a key factor in a school's success.Keep up the hard work,Herb HillenmeyerWaterhouse
03/11/2020 9:44 PM	Jamie Gierach	jamie@jettexhibits.com	Findley Elem			Please don't split up Findley Elementary school. We live in Oakridge estates and believe that it is much more important to keep the entire school together without splitting it up. Walk-ability is nice but it's not the most important part about going to school. Lifelong friendships are one of the most important keys to success. Middle School can be a tricky time for all students and I would much rather have my children go through this journey with friends that they've had most of their lives than to start all over with new friends.When I was in 5th grade my family moved us to another school and it was a true struggle to try and gain strong friendships without the gift of time.Thank you.
03/11/2020 8:32 AM	Javier Alfaro	jfalfaro2@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem			I'm very frustrated that the committee keeps bringing up Rock Creek move to Five Oaks even though the "working map" points to RC going to Meadow park with its neighboring schools (Bethany and west oak hills). It seems that we are 1 meeting away from a recommendation to the superintendent and we are not any closer to a real recommendation. All I ask is for fairness for the rock creek kids that always seem to be the punchline of every map.Please keep our communities together on whichever school is picked Five oaks or Meadow park. I believe keeping the communities together is the most important aspect of our kids journey through Middle school and high school to be able to keep friendships and memories that will last their lifetime.
03/11/2020 7:45 PM	Jennifer Politsch	marisky23@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I accept the map AS-IS and any changes to the 3-12 map at this time would not be acceptable to JW. The 3-12 Map ensures all JW kids attend Stoller. Stoller boundary looks complete.1. No schools are split in the current Map. Stoller utilization look acceptable. BSD should be immediately because planning for another middle school in the North side as conversion of Rock Creek Elementary2. In 2021, 388 total Jacob Wismer students could attend Stoller. Relocation of Summa to achieve a lower futurer population at Stoller will not be a plan that accounts for the neighborhood went school feeder population. It will be building into the forecast an expected reduction in incoming students that can not be guaranteed and could lead to overcrowding at an earlier year than projected. (Overcrowded schools as Stoller currently operates leads to unsafe conditions (fights, unsafe behavior in bathrooms etc.) and disruptions in the classroom). 3. Summa should be maintained at a neighborhood school if there is a large enough enrollment to make it a viable and maintain a predictable student population total. This will not result in large programs such as currently occurs at Stoller where it requires segregation and leads to overall negative feedback from those outside the program. Better integration within the school will lead to an increased sense of community. In addition, maintaining a Summa program at Stoller (and one at Timberland) will maintain elementary schools together and increase the number of Sunset students from Stoller to Sunset to a number that should be as bad as it gets, given that today, Stoller is about 41% sunset feeding! 4. All our kids of JW walk to Stoller.5. If Walking Findley kids (about ~70) come to Stoller, 97 Summa kids from JW who walk to Stoller will be displaced to Timberland. JW wil have to swap known friends for Findley kids. The AC report clearly states, that if walking Findley comes in, Summa may have to be relocated. To JW, this is not acceptable. We wish to keep our school unsplit.
03/11/2020 8:48 AM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIESSpringville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller thewealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the correctedprojections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially.So please don't split Springville. Entire Springville should feed into Stoller.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 11:02 PM	Judith Kap	judithkap@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset	Thank you very much for the new map. I am very happy to see that Jacob Wismer will stay intact and will continue to feed into Stoller. This map is acceptable for JW families, because it will preserve walkability and minimize transportation costs. One final request: please keep SUMMA at Stoller. A large percentage of JW kids go to SUMMA, and we need to ensure a healthy feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset. The new map shows that walking Findley will move to Timberland, so that will reduce the Sunset bound students at Stoller. It would not be acceptable to remove SUMMA from Stoller, because that would reduce the number of Sunset bound students even more. Thank you for your leadership! KeepJWTogetherSUMMA@Stoller4HSFeederPreserveWalkability
03/11/2020 9:42 PM	Kate Son	jinsookl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			During last community meeting on 2/25, I believe there was a brief discussion about possible ES boundary adjustment, namely moving some JW neighborhoods to Findley. I'm wondering what if we (Arbor Heights neighborhood) volunteer to move out and join Findley community for the following reasons: 1. By moving to Findley, our kids will not suffer from splitting with their friends since all of them will go to the same middle and high school. (Findley -> Timber -> Sunset) Vs. if they stay in JW, only 17% of their classmate in Sunset will be from Stoller when 69% of Sunset students will be from Timber. (High school feeder map: https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&#61;46009283) To break it down by actual student number, only 182 out of 962 Stoller students will go to Sunset which is approximately 19%. (Calculated base on following map and 2/25 workmap if SUMMA moved to Timber: https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&#61;46020620) 2. For SUMMA students, they will have access to direct school bus (since they will be within Timber school district) vs if stay in JW they may not, meaning individual transportation to Timber may need to be arranged. (Again this is based on 2/25 workmap if SUMMA moved to Timber. As aside note. I got the impression that SUMMA will likely move to Timber given projected Stoller overcrowd by 2025 at 114% even without SUMMA. Never mind if I'm wrong.) 3. In the end, THIS WILL SERVE THE ULTIMATE GOAL TO REDUCE STOLLER POPULATION BY MOVING ADDITIONAL 107 STUDENTS OUT OF THEIR BOUNDARY. I believe this suggestion will move us forward to the solution but not add yet another problem. (https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&#61;45745552)
03/11/2020 9:29 PM	Kexin Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 9:20 PM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 8:52 AM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic. SUMMA is just an OPTIONAL program not an absolute necessity. Preference should be given to regular programs first. KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER. - Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer students and families make up the North Bethany community. Splitting some of Springville disrupts this community as we currently share the same parks, trails, and local activities. Stoller is the only neighborhood area middle school for Springville. Please keep the Mar12th workmap as is for Stoller. Any splits to Springville will reduce the FRL by 30% at Stoller which already has one of the lowest rates because of its location. Please give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller.
03/11/2020 4:53 PM	Larry Cha	lc20002012@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	We all care about climate change. Busing walkable Findley kids to TL is the worst proposal for our future environment. In addition, the money wasted on transportation could help underprivileged schools in BSD. So please keep walkable Findley students in Stoller!
03/11/2020 1:27 AM	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	It is extraordinarily concerning that the recent proposed map fails to address the SPV split request by the AC at the 2/25 meeting. I also put into question my concern for the lack of transparency for the revised growth forecast that forms the basis to support the justifications. While Stoller Summa appears to fit, that program would be first on the chopping block when the [?] revised [?] forecast turns out to be wrong and we see the growth that building permits are showing. To be clear, I [?]m a non-Summa parent. I [?]m very concerned about the meager 17% JW feeder to Sunset. However, I [?]m deeply troubled by the potential removal of Summa from Stoller. This would take my JW kids and their non-Summa friends down to single digits at Stoller feeding into Sunset b Any such move, now or in the coming few years, is unacceptable. I [?]m deeply concerned about their social emotional connections to neighborhood friends who support and encourage each other through school and the turbulent teenage years. Their well-being will be very much at risk with this map, and especially if Summa were to ever be completely removed from Stoller. I strongly urge the AC to provide clarification on the forecast revisions and to work diligently resolve the poor 17% feeder for JW students Headed to sunset from Stoller.
03/11/2020 9:21 PM	Mary Lynn Klafka	pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 11:01 PM	Molly B.	jollymolly82@hotmail.com	Nancy Ryles Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside	Please continue to adjust boundaries and end splitting feeder schools into middle school! This was remedied for all but 5 elementary schools in the district. Please make this equal and fair to ALL the students. In our current situation, one of our sons will be entering middle school with out any close friends. His 10 closest friends will all be going together to a different middle school than him. We heard that the committee was keeping some of Nancy Ryles at Conestoga so that Scholls Heights wouldn't be an island. However, Scholls Heights will at least stay together as a community going into middle school and high school. By splitting Nancy Ryles going into middle school, you have left us a small island going into middle school alone. As the district is placing an emphasis on social-emotional learning, we would hope they would understand how important it is for kids to have positive peer support and relationships that they've have worked on building for the last 6 years. This is even more important at a pivotal stage in life such as entering middle school. Please give these kids the support of their friends and community. Please continue to adjust boundaries in order to keep elementary schools, specifically Nancy Ryles, together into middle school.
03/11/2020 4:28 PM	N	mandn@msn.com		Mountain View MS		I have children at Mt View middle school and am DEEPLY concerned with the amount of poverty and with how many low income families attend the school. I don't feel it's right or fair that a certain area, Mt View, has an obscenely high amount of poverty/low income concentrated in our school. With the opening of Timberland, it would be best for Mt View students if the amount of low income kids were spread out and reduced- NOT increased. Why would you even consider increasing it? How is that good for anyone? I honestly think if any of the board members, or yourself, had children attending Mt View this would not even be an option. Our children don't need to suffer any more than they already do. As it currently stands, without any changes being made, Mt View kids are already at an extreme disadvantage to other schools with the amount of challenges that come with poverty (with the exception to Five Oaks who also has a ridiculously amount of low income as well). Please don't make this inequality, already out of balance, more extreme. Please ease the trials and challenges with Mt View and REDUCE the number of students in low income/free and reduced level.
03/11/2020 11:50 AM	Naoko Sasaki	Nakami0125@gmail.com	Springville K-8			1. Thank you for fixing the over projections at Springville for 20252. Springville plus Sato plus Jacob Wismer is clearly a combination that meets objectives in 2021 and also a combination that IS COMPLETELY SUSTAINABLE and FUTURISTIC3. Springville is an integral part of North Bethany and it makes complete sense to non-split the community and keep them together with other North Bethany schools4. SUMMA Is a T & L decision and we respect that5. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. 6. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller , ANY combination without Springville at Stoler will drop the FRL rates by more than 30%...which WILL BE SUPER HARD TO FIX in this area7. We put our trust in the commitee to do the right thing
03/11/2020 4:43 PM	Nisha R	nish.rawal@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Working map from Feb 25 should be adopted as it is the best fit for the boundary adjustment objectives.
03/11/2020 9:24 PM	Olesya Baykalova	OlesyaBaykalova@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 5:59 AM	Pallavi M	Ptambay@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear CommitteeThank you for your patience through this long process.In response to the latest 3/12 map, I want to thank you for keeping the JW students together at Stoller and thank you for recognizing the need for SUMMA at Stoller. Both these decisions ensure that the Sunset feeder population at JW stays at 17% of Stoller instead of dropping to single digits.
03/11/2020 2:27 PM	Pat Hillenmeyer	pathillenmeyer@yahoo.com	Beaver Acres Elem			Our granddaughter attends Beaver Acres Elementary. We have found in school districts elsewhere in the United States that schools with lower poverty have more parent participation. We support equalizing the poverty levels in the Beaverton schools. Thank you for your time and consideration.
03/11/2020 9:31 PM	Paul Lewerenz	Paul.lewerenz@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 1:05 PM	Piper Wood	piper.wood@gmail.com	Aloha-Huber Park K-8	Meadow Park MS			<p>I want to thank the board for considering additional amendments to the boundary map that could improve equity for the low-income families in the district, like those at Aloha Huber Park. I hope that you will choose to move the students at Cooper Mountain to Mountain View, as that would improve equity for the students at Mountain View. I also think that moving AHP students into Meadow Park will be more equitable than moving them to Mountain View. I want to remind the committee that while AHP is currently a K-8 school, there is no guarantee that it will remain so in the future. All other K-8 schools in the district are being transitioned to K-5 schools, and we do not know if this will be the case for AHP in the future as well, so I encourage you to make decisions that take all of the AHP kids into account when making the final boundary decisions. Additionally, about 1/3 of the AHP kids are in the Two-way Spanish immersion program (TWI), and Spanish dual language is NOT currently being offered/supported at AHP at the middle school level. Children who want to continue in the dual language pathway need to be offered a chance to attend one of the dual language programs that are being offered in the district. The only school that is close enough to AHP and offers dual language currently is Meadow Park, so I hope you will consider this as well while you are making your decisions. I also heard another parent at the previous boundary adjustment meeting remark that the dual language program should be treated like an options program. I could not disagree with this remark more. The TWI and dual language programs fulfil an essential need of children in the district who are not native English speakers, and who need to learn English in order to function in school. The TWI and dual language programs provide these English language learners with an equitable environment where they can learn English alongside English speakers who are learning Spanish. The program gives the children common ground where all of them are learning both languages together and it helps to promote teamwork, empathy, understanding, and cross-cultural values. The TWI and dual language programs are critical for helping students to acquire the language skills they need to graduate in the future and should not be compared to interest-based optional programs in the district. I know that the boundary adjustment committee is not responsible for how the district manages the TWI and dual language programs, but the committee does need to consider how the students in these programs will be impacted by the boundary changes as only certain schools can currently meet the needs of the TWI/dual language students. I know that you have been working very hard to make these changes as fair as possible across the board for everyone in the district, but I hope that you will continue to make equity your top priority as you make final adjustments. You have the chance to make a huge difference for the most vulnerable children in the district by making changes that balance the poverty levels in the middle schools. If you can do it successfully, you will make it possible for more students to graduate in the district. If some families are pushing back against equitable adjustments, perhaps you can remind them that an influx of involved, passionate, excited parents into a poor school with very little parent involvement could make all the difference to improving that poor school and improve it for everyone. We all want our children to be successful, and the parents who have been attending the boundary adjustment meetings are all supportive of their kids. Perhaps we need to remember, though, that by uplifting the poorest and most vulnerable in our communities, we can improve the overall quality of life for everyone. To quote the current Meadow Park motto, [?]1 Fly, We ALL Fly.[?]</p>
03/11/2020 5:16 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>Dear committee, The new map and published numbers doesn't meet the objective of reaching 90% utilization for Stoller MS. The current map shows 100 percent utilization for 2021 and 117 percent for 2025. The new enrollment numbers for SPV numbers which I feel are very conservative do not address overcrowding at Stoller. The feeder pattern percentages from middle school to high school are still not right - the percentages for Stoller and Meadow park are too low. And what are the plans for 2025? It feels like Summa program will be scapegoat at Stoller. Based on the new summa numbers, there will no capacity in 2025 at Timberland also to accommodate these talented and gifted kids. It is clear the SPV and Sato are two growing schools and cannot be accommodated at Stoller without splitting them. Now is the time to make this decision. It feels like committee is afraid to make bold decisions and looking for a short term solution. Please take steps to fix stoller overcrowding problem now!!</p>
03/11/2020 12:39 PM	rajlaxmi	rajlaxmi1008@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			<p>I would like say that the map is good AS IS and any changes to 3-12 map is not acceptable to JW. The 3-12 Map ensures all JW kids attend Stoller. Stoller boundary looks complete. No schools are split in the current Map. Stoller utilization look acceptable. In 2021, 388 total Jacob Wismer students will attend Stoller. We have 97 Summa jw kids and 42 kids in option schools (ISB, Rachel Carson, ACMA, BASE). If Summa is relocated, we will be left with 245 kids at Stoller, of which 65 feed into Westview. along with Springville and Sato kids. Only 180 Sunset bound JW kids will be left at Stoller if Summa is relocated. This is about 9% of Sunset Population. If Summa stays we will have a slightly better feeder pattern of about 17% For us, this is as bad as it gets, given that today, Stoller is about 41% sunset feeding! All of our JW kids walk to Stoller. If Walking Findley kids (about ~70) come to Stoller, 97 Summa kids from JW, who walk to Stoller will be displaced and buses to Timberland. JW wil have to swap known friends for Findley kids. The AC report clearly states, that if walking Findley comes in, Summa may have to be relocated. To JW, this is not acceptable. We wish to keep our school unsplit.</p>
03/11/2020 4:00 PM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	<p>Dear Committee Members and BSD, Can you please help clarify how the numbers on the 25th Feb map came down with no drastic changes made to specifically stoller itself? If PSU projection were incorrect, would it not make sense to redo all the maps as the earlier data seems to be flawed? Why are we redoing the numbers only on the SPV numbers?</p>
03/11/2020 2:08 PM	Ravi Kudpi	rkudpi@hotmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		<p>I want to thank the committee for listening to our concerns and moving Sunset-bound Oak Hills East to Timberland on the latest map. This has widespread support from the Oak Hills community. Please keep Oak Hills East at Timberland in any new versions of the map.</p>

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 10:13 PM	Ray Collett	ray@norwesters.net	Cooper Mountain Elem				I'm rather confused as to what is happening with this boundary adjustment process. You've added a new middle school on the north end of the district. Until about a month ago, most of the meetings and discussions were about how to re-balance the middle schools in the north. There was very little discussion about the schools in the south, which made sense. Then we hear about the proposed maps that caused schools in the south to fill up to near capacity or over capacity, and we were thinking... woah! Hang on a moment here. Why are the schools in the north way under capacity and our southern schools maxed out? Are the parents in the north just pushing their problems to the south without anyone from the south attending the meetings to defend us? So the parents from the southern schools started attending these meetings. I've talked to many parents from Cooper Mountain, and my opinion is that the general consensus is that we need to leave things as they are. Stick with the status quo. There is no reason to be changing around the southern school boundaries until a new middle school is built down here. So during the last couple of meetings, a randomly selected parent spoke for Cooper Mountain. Unfortunately, these individuals were not of the majority opinion to leave things be, but they were fringe points of view advocating for large changes. Unnecessary changes to tweak some stats so that a school looks better on a spreadsheet. Our kids should not be pawns in someones game of Make a Schools Stats Look Better. Now I hear that the plan to unnecessarily bus our kids to Mountain View will cost about the same as an entire teaching position? This has to STOP. Busing kids to another school might change some assisted school lunch percentages, but will that actually result in improved education? I'd much rather that money go toward reducing classroom sizes than buying diesel fuel and drivers wages. Please, leave Cooper Mountains boundaries alone. Splitting our kids between two middle schools is not ideal, but drastically altering the boundaries just to make a spreadsheet look better is not a good reason. Please refocus this boundary changing process back to the north end of the district and leave the status quo alone in the south.
03/11/2020 9:22 PM	Richard Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 10:21 AM	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PRIORITIZE REGULAR EDUCATION OVER SUMMA. DO NOT SPLIT SCHOOLS. DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE ES.
03/11/2020 9:30 AM	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Keep communities together. The working map for the 3/12 meeting is great in doing this. Do not split elementary schools. Kids well being matter. MIDDLE SCHOOL TRANSITION IS HARD AS IT IS. KEEP COMMUNITIES AND SCHOOLS TOGETHER. DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE ES.
03/11/2020 4:51 PM	Sachiko Nagata	saikarakuma2007@yahoo.co.jp	Springville K-8				1. Thank you for fixing the over projections at Springville for 20252. Springville plus Sato plus Jacob Wismer is clearly a combination that meets objectives in 2021 and also a combination that IS COMPLETELY SUSTAINABLE and FUTURISTIC3. Springville is an integral part of North Bethany and it makes complete sense to non-split the community and keep them together with other North Bethany schools4. SUMMA Is a T &L decision and we respect that5. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. 6. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller , ANY combination without Springville at Stoler will drop the FRL rates by more than 30%...which WILL BE SUPER HARD TO FIX in this area7. We put our trust in the committee to do the right thing
03/11/2020 10:13 AM	SHALINI GUPTA	DOCSHALINIGUPTA@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem				Hello All,Please keep all JW Summa and non Summa kids together. Don't fix a problem which doesn't exist. I agree for 3-12 Map. I want kids in this neighborhood not to be divided and can walk and bike to school. Don't Split kids and decide their future to keep other communities and non educational agencies happy. Thanks for listening. Shalini
03/11/2020 9:17 AM	Sharada Jahagirdar	anantshruti2@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hello MS BC committee,FINDLEY BELONGS TO STOLLER. Sending Findley to Timberland/Cedarmill neighborhood by itself is unjust and numbers dont justify it also. Its the same map proposed again for 3/12's meeting. This map had 5 or so votes in the last meeting. Starting with this map, FE proposed a modification, which will have FE + JW + Sato in Stoller. This is the only combination that will fix Stoller over crowding. Both SPV and Sato cannot feed to one middle School. WE can do that if we want to come back to same Stoller Over crowding in 4 more years....We added a new MS and we are putting in buses over 300 additional students vs current situation. This is a waste of our tax dollars. FINDLEY BELONGS TO STOLLER.
03/11/2020 11:53 AM	Shinji Sasaki	Nakami0125@gmail.com	Springville K-8				1. Thank you for fixing the over projections at Springville for 20252. Springville plus Sato plus Jacob Wismer is clearly a combination that meets objectives in 2021 and also a combination that IS COMPLETELY SUSTAINABLE and FUTURISTIC3. Springville is an integral part of North Bethany and it makes complete sense to non-split the community and keep them together with other North Bethany schools4. SUMMA Is a T &L decision and we respect that5. Springville is the Northernmost community in BSD and it has ONLY ONE REASONABLY DISTANCED MS which is Stoller. 6. Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller , ANY combination without Springville at Stoler will drop the FRL rates by more than 30%...which WILL BE SUPER HARD TO FIX in this area7. We put our trust in the committee to do the right thing
03/11/2020 8:52 AM	sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Thank you for your Mar12th workmap. All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic.Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. Stoller is the only closest middle school to Springville.SO PLEASE DONT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE. KEEP communities together.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 8:55 AM	sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SUPPORT MAR12 working map. DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIESWith the revised projections, the Feb 25th workmap seems perfectly balanced. Please let teaching and learning make Summa decisions and focus on moving this map forward for regular education. This is the best map to-date.. Please dont split communities. The entire Springville needs to go to Stoller. Also give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller.Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Removing it from Stoller will only make Stoller thewealthiest middle school in entire BSD. There is no justification for this considering the correctedprojections which shows that Springville is not growing exponentially.
03/11/2020 8:38 AM	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	I[?]m not sure how the new proposed map is solving the overcrowding issue. It seems to be like a band-aid. And done just to get this process over with. What happens to the students and families who have to live with 100% or more capacity Stoller for the next 15 years or more. As per Mr Sparks, there is no new school planned in North Bethany. We will be back for another boundary adjustment in a few years if the 25% error does not materialize. And then it seems the walkable community of Jacob Wismer will be on the chopping block as moving or splitting Springville seems to be off the agenda of the committee members and BSD. It seems to be ok to move walkable communities away from their neighborhood schools but not ok to bus schools already on bus to another one. Please re-think your decisions before it[?]s too late and we set a precedent for give propaganda more impoRtance than other factors.
03/11/2020 6:29 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			DO NOT SPLIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS. DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE ES. Amendment 1 introduced this week divides springville ES across Brugger road. Please note that this a close knit community. Certain neighborhoods in the north are still part of the same HOA and the north and south neighborhoods have equal access to and enjoy the pool and the park located south of Brugger Road. Our kids play together in the pool and park and participate in activities together. There is no connection between springville and rock creek because of PCC. Dividing us will only make the southern neighborhood even more of an ISLAND when EVERYONE around the southern part will be attending a different middle school. Do not divide such a close knit, tight community.
03/11/2020 11:08 PM	Stacey Nagata	campingmoe@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset	Thank you for your hard work on the new boundary map! I accept the map AS-IS and any changes to the 3-12 map are not acceptable to Jacob Wismer. The 3-12 Map ensures all JW kids attend Stoller and can walk to school. Please also make sure that SUMMA stays in Stoller, to preserve an acceptable feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset (about 17% of Stoller students). Thank you for listening.KeepJWtogetherSUMMA@Stoller4HSFeederPreserveWalkability
03/11/2020 8:13 AM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			Oak Hills West - In support of the new, most recent working map! Thank you for hearing our concerns and keeping Oak Hills West with Bethany and Rock Creek, which all feed into Westview. Thank you also, for sending Oak Hills East to Timberland with other Sunset feeder schools.
03/11/2020 9:53 AM	suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS		On feb 15th Meeting SS commented that PSU Projections for SPV KG in 2019 was 80- kids but actual enrollment was 120.Now documents says different. whether we are not considering the actual numbers for this meeting.These raised lot of questions to parents currently happening in boundary discussion is not going in right direction.These raise lost trust in BSD.
03/11/2020 9:25 PM	Tolmachev Nikolay	4228olenik@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please keep Rock Creek North of West Union at Stoller. Any part of Rock Creek that is moved needs to move with two northern schools at a minimum and at Meadow.
03/11/2020 8:51 AM	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thank you for fixing the incorrect projections for Springville community in 2025. We appreciate the effortand hardwork BSD has done to fix the incorrect numbers.If we had the right Springville projections, the only problem we would be addressing would be how to fill Timberland and address FRL at MountainView and Five Oaks. Stoller would not be the problem to address.So why are we looking at ammendments that are splitting Springville?
03/11/2020 8:53 AM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. We are talking about real children with real relationships here. These are real children that do not have a voice of their own and are looking to this committee to be their voice. Springville children across Arbor Oaks, Abbey Creek and Noyce have real relationships with each other. They play cricket, soccer and baseball with each other at the PCC grounds. They play with each other at the Springville playground. They play with each other at the neighborhood park. Springville and Sato children have real relationships with each other. They play at pirate park. They are neighbors with houses right across from each other. These used to be one school not too long ago! They have only one middle school with proximity given their extreme Northern location.All of Springville, Sato plus Jacob Wismer can fit in Stoller and meet the objectives for 2021. Also this combination is sustainable and futuristic.
03/11/2020 8:57 AM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Boundary objective #2 is met for Stoller - less than 90% in 2021. Also it does need another boundary adj given the numbers for 2025 unless T&L decides not to use portables. Proposed Map without ammendments fulfills the objective comprehensively for Stoller. Please don't split Springville. Entire Springville needs to go to Stoller.Also give preference to regular programs over SUMMA at Stoller.
03/11/2020 10:39 AM	Vasu Akkineni	vasu.akkineni@gmail.com	Springville K-8			With the corrections to the projection numbers in the March 12th working map, I do not see any purpose for Amendment 1 that splits Springville at Brugger road. Springville has consistently said in one voice that we stand for general education over SUMMA. Splitting Springville at Brugger road will reduce the FRL at Stoller to 7%. That section of Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. We want ALL of Springville at Stoller because we know it fits. SUMMA's location is up to Teaching and Learning. Springville will continue to expound that SUMMA at Stoller should not come at the expense of splitting Springville. That is splitting a community for a program which has had the privilege of staying at a home school.

3.11.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

03/11/2020 8:50 AM	vijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		PLEASE DONT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE. KEEP communities together.The only middle school which is closer to Springville is Stoller.Springville brings economic diversity to Stoller. Any combination without Springville at Stoller will result in a significant drop the FRL to 7% which will be hard to fix in this area given the demographics.Please donot split Springville based on a few percentage points over in 2025 based on aggressive projections (and FLAT options numbers), based largely on empty lots. Stoller is the only closest middle school to Springville.SO PLEASE DONT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE. KEEP communities together.
03/11/2020 9:53 PM	Wei Liu	Xiaoliu86@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please keep Findley in Stoller. Please keep Bethany Heights kids in Stoller. Stoller is to serve neighborhood kids. It simply does not make sense to spend more taxpayer's money, burn more gas and sacrifice kids resting time to bus walkable Bethany Heights kids to Timberland.
03/11/2020 10:05 PM	XIAOYUAN ZHOU	xiaoyuanzhou@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	MSBA AC members,Please approve the map with all of Springville students as part of Stoller Middle School area. Please reject Amendment 1 which conspired to split Springville school into two parts in order to make rooms for Summa to stay at Stoller. I have no objection of keeping Summa at Stoller as long as all of Springville students can go to Stoller.As we all know, one of the BSD rule is to feed an elementary to the same middle school. In the case of Oakridge residents, because students there can walk to Stoller, it seems to be logical for those to stay in the Stoller boundary. In order to accomplish this task, Oakridge would need to be moved to Jacob Wismer so that you still keep that rule intact.In the case of Springville, if you want to send students other than Arbor Oaks to Stoller but not those students within walking distance to Springville K-8, then it would be logical to re-district those neighborhoods mostly north of Brugger St to Sato or Jacob Wismer, which means that Springville would have space as an option middle school, at least for those within walking distance to the school.It is a bad idea to split Springville into 2 parts, especially for Arbor Oaks residents who should have the highest claim to be part of Stoller middle school in the first place. BSD used sneaky tactics to take away Springville middle school as an option program for nearby students, do you think if that the earlier Springville review process were to be bundled with current MSBA process, Arbor Oaks residents would have let this outrageous decision to happen?I urge you to reject this Amendment 1 as we will fight to the BSD board meetings to the end and shame the board members to have made such a bad decision for Springville K-8 residents in the first place. If you can't make up your numbers, then send Summa to Timberland as Finley parents requested. If some of you try to make up some fairy tale numbers about the future Springville attendance to justify sending Springville students to Five Oaks, shame on you!Thank you for your attention.Xiaoyuan ZhouArbor Oaks resident
03/11/2020 5:14 PM	XIAOYUAN ZHOU	xiaoyuanzhou@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi BSD AC members,I want to comment on your recent proposed Amendment 1 to the middle school map. I don't like this amendment! It is unfair to my children and Arbor Oaks community as a whole!I have been living in this community for 10 years and I bought my house partly because I like Springville as K-8 school. Now this option is taken away without our participation, and even worse, a cabal of AC members want to send my children and others who could have walked to Springville to another distance school over 7000 meters away.I urge you to reject this amendment as it is a bad idea and it stinks!!Thank you for your attention!Xiaoyuan Zhou
03/11/2020 9:10 PM	Ying Wang	yingwang0613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi MSBA AC members,I want to let you know that I support Springville school students to attend Stoller Middle School. I live next to Springville K-8 school and I am disappointed that BSD had decided to take away Springville as an option Middle School as a lot of children including mine are in the walking distance to this school. While it is not your fault to deprive my children of Springville as a choice for middle school study, I urge you to consider this issue in your middle school re-boundary decision. I ask you to reject a recent proposal known as Amendment 1 to send Arbor Oaks child to Five Oaks as it is such an ill-conceived idea and I think the person(s) supporting this amendment is (are) heartless considering the fact that our best option of Springville middle school for our children was just taken away!!We will not stop fighting if Amendment 1 would be pushed forward and we will present this argument to BSD Board who made this awful decision to reverse it!Thank you for your attention!Ying WangArbor Oaks resident
03/11/2020 9:55 AM	yue zhang	yue0921@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			I'm strongly against moving Findley walkable kids to Timberland. This is common sense! I don't understand why the non-walkable kids feeding stoller, and then summa can be in stoller but the kids living across the street are being kicked out for them now.I am a parent of oakridge community, we need an explanation on it. Which other schools in BSD don't allow walkable kids in? We are not here to balance the numbers, the walkable kids deserve fair treatments as others.Please keep Findley walkers to be in Stoller. Summa can be moved out of Stoller if no other choices.The walkable communities are a small group of people in BSD, but the voice should be heard and considered too.

FW: Middle School Boundary Adjustment - Concern regarding potential Findley Split

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 3/11/2020 1:53 PM

To: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Please include in comments

From: Kristen Ballou <kjballou@comcast.net>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 12:08 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle School Boundary Adjustment - Concern regarding potential Findley Split

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr. Sparks,

I am writing an e-mail to express my concerns regarding the concept mentioned in the March AC Report that splits a very small group of Findley students from their peers and sends them to Stoller, while the majority of Findley students go to Timberland. I feel that because this affects a small group of students, the small number of comments submitted on this topic will be lost in the onslaught of comments submitted from Springville parents in opposition to splitting Springville. Please pass along my concerns below to the Advisory Committee:

As with the majority of Findley Parents, my message via submitted comments has been consistent throughout the boundary process: please do not split Findley, or other elementary schools. Social and emotional health is important to BSD, and splitting schools is not good for kids.

During the previous meeting, the idea of sending Oakridge Estates to Stoller was discussed and voted down by the committee, yet, based on the advisory committee report, it is apparently still up for discussion. The area has expanded to include the area between Laidlaw and Bronson Creek, which includes my neighborhood, Kaiser Ridge. The three grid codes in question consist of a very small percentage of Findley students. The homes in these neighborhoods are older and less dense than in other areas of the Findley boundary (not as many homes and also fewer elementary/middle school aged kids).

My neighborhood, Kaiser Ridge, is a small neighborhood with very few elementary age kids. Next school year, there will be six kids attending Findley from our neighborhood, all in different grades. My daughter is the only 3rd grader in our neighborhood. Kids in our neighborhood build friendships with students from Findley that they are in class with, play with at recess and eat lunch with. As the majority of Findley is on the south side of Bronson Creek, so are our friends. Bronson Creek does not divide the Findley Community, please do not draw a line on a map saying that it does. Splitting this small area of Findley located between Bronson Creek and Laidlaw will isolate those students and send them to middle school without their social network that they created over six years. Below are some percentages that I've calculated based on the grid code maps published in January:

- The number of students in the three grid codes are only approx. 16% of Findley.

- If SUMMA is moved to Timberland, this further reduces the number of students to approx. 10%.
- Based on that number, in a 5th grade Findley Class of 100 students, there will only be 10 students attending Stoller, while 90 of their classmates will go to Timberland.
- Please do not make these 10 students transition to middle school without their friends.

If these kids go to Stoller, they will again be faced with another difficult transition into high school. They will go to Sunset, while the vast majority of Stoller will attend Westview. The Jacob Wismer students that go to Sunset will have the benefit of being able to maintain their elementary friendships through middle school and into high school. Based on feeder percentages, the new friends that the Findley kids will make at Stoller will most likely go to Westview. Please do not put this small group of Findley kids through two difficult transitions, elementary to middle and again in middle to high school.

The committee just corrected the issue that was created for Oakhills East. Please do not repeat the Oakhills East mistake by splitting a small area of Findley.

Based on Policy JC criteria, it is better not to split Findley:

Policy JC Criteria

If All Findley is at Timberland

If Findley is Split

Feeder Patterns

Consistent ES-MS-HS Feeder Pattern

Feeder Patterns are Broken!

Capacity

Stoller numbers are lower

Stoller above capacity!

Equity

No Change

No Change

*Proximity/Walkability**

Less kids walk


More kids walk

*Note regarding transportation: If Findley is split and SUMMA is at Timberland, SUMMA kids between Laidlaw and Bronson Creek will need to be bused... please just bus all Findley students to Timberland!

Not splitting Findley better supports Policy JC criteria.

Please do not split Springville to provide capacity to split Findley. The workmap provides reasonable capacity numbers for Stoller and Timberland without splitting either school.

For reference, here is a map of the area I've discussed:



Thank you for your time and consideration of this issue,

Kristen Ballou

FW: BSD Middle School Boundary Adjustment - Why Findley Walkers can't stay with Stoller?

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 3/11/2020 2:41 PM

To: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: yue zhang <yue0921@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 10:57 AM

To: Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Donna Tyner <Donna_Tyner@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: BSD Middle School Boundary Adjustment - Why Findley Walkers can't stay with Stoller?

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Anne/Donna/Steven,

This is Yue Zhang. I am writing up this email to get your attention and seek for a reasonable explanation about the latest map (3/12) of BSD MS boundary adjustment to remove the Findley walk-able kids out of Stoller.

It is obvious and I think no one will deny that instead of walking to school, sending the walkable kids on buses will add extra costs and the environment pollution. However, the current reasons for not including the walk-able kids are listed below in the meeting package. Summarize the info is that the enrollment number won't work!

I am a parents in Oak-ridge community, just across the Stoller. Close to school is the most important reason when we bought the house at this location. I don't understand why in the end the walkable communities became the leftover communities to balance the numbers between the schools. Just because the number doesn't work, the walkable kids will lose the right to walk to school?

I know we are not a big group, so the voice can't be as loud as other areas but that doesn't mean our concerns should not be raised. Please let me know which other schools in BSD don't allow the walkable kids walking to school. If no other schools doing it, Findley walkers should be stay with Stoller too!!!

Thank you for your consideration.

Building Utilization - Walking Area

During the February 25th meeting, the committee identified the Oakridge Estates neighborhood south of Stoller MS as a possible addition to the Stoller attendance area. Staff have reviewed this area for the purposes of understanding the potential impact to building utilization for Stoller and Timberland schools. Staff evaluated the three (3) grid codes located south of

2

Laidlaw Road and north of Bronson Creek because including just Oakridge Estates does not work from a continuity of boundary perspective.

Building Utilization (2021): Stoller MS: 1,142 resident students - 106% of capacity, over by 61 students.
Timberland MS: 983 resident students - 89% of capacity.

Building Utilization (2025): Stoller MS: 1,312 resident students - 121% of capacity, over by 231 students.
Timberland MS: 946 resident students - 86% of capacity.

The Teaching and Learning Department would likely have to relocate the SUMMA program to allow this walkable area of the Findley ES attendance area to attend Stoller MS.

The committee also discussed the possibility of moving this area to the Jacob Wismer ES attendance area rather than creating a split feeder of the Findley ES attendance area. Such a move would add approximately 100 K-5 students to the Jacob Wismer enrollment and create a sizeable reduction to the Findley enrollment. Staff recommend that any potential amendments to the elementary school boundaries of this size in this area be a part of a potential larger boundary review process for northern elementary schools.

Thanks,
Yue

FW: Request to ask BSD to Explain their Numbers

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 3/11/2020 2:42 PM

To: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Anu <anu.balu@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:41 AM

To: Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Donna Tyner <Donna_Tyner@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin

<Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Request to ask BSD to Explain their Numbers

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Board Members,

This is on behalf of all the parents affected by the actions of the Beaverton School District. **We have absolutely lost faith in BSD to do the right thing the way after the latest stunt in reverse engineering Stoller population and the way this whole exercise is being run.** This letter is requesting an explanation from BSD to address all the following factors,

1. How did the numbers and projections for Springville suddenly change overnight in the map for 3/12's working meeting when we have gone multiple months with using different numbers? **After 4 months of deliberation, if numbers can be scrubbed all of a sudden, then the number for each and every elementary school on the map is dubious, and all maps need a revisit.** You cannot just magically alter the numbers for 2 of the fastest growing schools in North Bethany, knowing that Springville enrollment went up this year from 88 to 124 as quoted by Long Range Planning (LRP) in the last meeting. It almost appears number crunching is being done in a way to fill the fancy new middle school. Our community cares about the quality of education, not a fancy building with LED lights. **We have worked tirelessly with teachers and parents from other elementary schools for 20+ years to establish Stoller as one of your top middle schools.**
2. For 4 months, we iterated on dozens of maps based on BSD population data projections. In fact, in the Feb 25 meeting, LRP nearly drove a solution with those numbers trying to achieve a preliminary recommendation. The map was the one they came up with of course. **The committee still had reservations since Stoller was at 130% of permanent capacity with that map.** Now suddenly numbers are well-aligned and Stoller can have everything - Springville, Sato, Jacob Wismer, SUMMA - but not its oldest member, Findley. But hey, it is a fair process and **LRP made a faulty projection for 4-ish months wasting tax payer money when we could have achieved a solution much earlier!**
3. The goal of this whole boundary exercise was to reduce overcrowding at Stoller and fill Timberland. At least that is what we thought. **The goal of 90% capacity seems to be a joke since no map for Stoller showed a possibility to**

achieve this goal. How does feeding the two most overcrowded North Bethany communities into Stoller do that? What are we trying to do here – just get this over with? **The latest map just shows that BSD is willing to fudge the numbers to come up with a easy solution to get the most votes and get out of this boundary mess.** Not only that it is also rewarding all the incompetencies of the Gov agencies such as Wash Co for sanctioning homes without a plan for adequate school capacity, BSD for building MS in wrong area of town and the list goes on. The only thing the current map does is to make people wonder if only bullying, corruption and smoky room deals are happening. There is absolutely no logic, truth or transparency in how this whole exercise has been executed.

4. All over the news in OR Governor Kate Brown signing an executive order to reduce emissions and here we go removing communities from walking zones and adding more buses to ferry additional hundreds of kids who are all WITHIN A MILE. We don't understand what happened now that we bus kids from areas that are 100% non-walking, feeding Stoller, and then SUMMA, and in the end the kids living across the street are kicked out. **What example is this setting when we don't walk the talk??** Who is holding the district accountable?
5. **Suddenly portables are acceptable?** Even with the new map, portables are needed to house SUMMA at Stoller. **But SUMMA takes precedence over a community which has ~47% of people living within walking distance and everyone else within a mile. Over communities that are 100% non-walkable.** This decision lacks (well pretty much every good attribute a decision may ride on)!!! How is keeping in portables ok for SUMMA but not ok for keeping the neighborhoods and kids who are within the boundary of the school when LRP has constantly mentioned that SUMMA is only an option program??
6. Why cannot the attached proposal be considered which actually meets the JC factors for this exercise - why does not BSD address the elephant in the room repeatedly? <http://chng.it/2RLqMbMS>

We request the committee members, the Board and the Governor to kindly look into this matter because the communities and children who are waiting for BSD and the Middle School Boundary Committee to do the right thing for their own and our kids have absolutely lost any faith in this system at this point.

Thanks!
Anu

FW: Middle School Boundary Process - Document to Attach

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 3/11/2020 2:44 PM

To: Sandeep Kumar <sandysrivastava@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (2 MB)

SolutionForStoller.pdf;

Your file has been entered into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Sandeep Kumar <sandysrivastava@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 1:56 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle School Boundary Process - Document to Attach

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Sparks,

I am attaching one document to be added for Public Records.

We are just putting our voice in different formats and presentations.

Thanks for your patience in listening to us.


Regards,
Sandeep Kumar

BSD MIDDLE SCHOOL BOUNDARY
ADJUSTMENT
- SOLUTION FOR
STOLLER



Stoller Capacity 2021 – Net Resident Students March 12th Map

March 12th Working Map (Proj. Year 2021)



This table shows the projected middle school population (6-8th grade) that would result from the proposed middle school boundaries shown on the map in a certain projection year. Students who will not attend their home school (Options or Program students) are estimated, and either removed from the count of middle school students (ACMA, IS, BASE, Aloha-Huber Park) or are re-allocated to a middle school location (Rachel Carson to Five Oaks, and SUMMA program students).

The total attending population (resident students and program students) are totaled and compared with the available capacity at each middle school location.

For Proj. Yr 2021

	Cedar Park	Conestoga	Five Oaks	Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total
Total Projected 6-8th Graders by existing boundary	1,282	1,050	1,346	948	895	1,035	1,878	-	873	9,307
Total Projected 6-8th Graders by proposed boundary	660	1,049	1,359	901	981	1,035	1,168	1,229	926	9,308
Estimated Attending Options Offsite										
ACMA	37	40	38	29	43	41	24	43	45	340
HS2/Base	33	22	106	25	49	68	16	17	33	370
ISB	23	60	63	62	52	72	36	82	30	480
Rachel Carson (At Five Oaks)	17	16	23	4	39	13	12	43	14	180
Options Total	110	137	230	119	183	193	88	185	123	1,370
Estimated Attending Program Offsite										
Aloha-Huber Park 6-8th Grade	0	-	164	-	1	12	-	-	-	180
SUMMA Students	26	41	18	38	88	19	172	198	24	625
Program Total	26	41	182	38	89	31	172	198	26	805
Net Residents Students	524	870	947	743	798	810	908	846	777	7,133
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	60%	91%	90%	85%	83%	82%	84%	77%	91%	83%
Students from Offsite or SUMMA										
Rachel Carson (at Cedar Park)	180									
SUMMA										
Meadow Park (CPARK, SOAK, MPARK)					132					132
Stoller (STO)							172			172
Whitford (WYN, WEAKE, MTRV, WHI)								123		123
SUMMA students in new Timberland Boundary								198		198

Projected students after Options, AHP and SUMMA are deducted - this is the projected general education enrollment for each proposed MS boundary

	Stoller
Net Residents Students	908
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	84%




Board Objective#2

- Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.

Stoller Capacity 2025 – Net Resident Students March 12th Map

March 12th Working Map (Proj. Year 2025)



This table shows the projected middle school population (6-8th grade) that would result from the proposed middle school boundaries shown on the map in a certain projection year. Students who will not attend their home school (Options or Program students) are estimated, and either removed from the count of middle school students (ACMA, ISB, BASE, Aloha-Huber Park) or are re-allocated to a middle school location (Rachel Carson to Five Oaks, and SUMMA program students).

The total attending population (resident students and program students) are totaled and compared with the available capacity at each middle school location.

For Proj. Yr 2025


	Cedar Park	Conestoga	Five Oaks	Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total
Total Projected 6-8th Graders by existing boundary	1,278	1,165	1,157	889	866	900	1,921		812	8,968
Total Projected 6-8th Graders by proposed boundary	639	1,088	1,179	911	889	900	1,357	1,178	848	8,987
Estimated Attending Options Offsite				Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total
ACMA	37	41	38	31	47	37	24	39	45	340
HS2/Base	34	23	106	30	52	62	16	14	33	370
ISB	24	61	63	67	56	65	36	78	30	480
Rachel Carson (At Five Oaks)	17	16	23	4	44	12	12	37	14	180
Options Total	112	140	230	133	200	176	88	168	123	1,370
Estimated Attending Program Offsite				Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total
Aloha-Huber Park 6-8th Grade	0	-	164	1	1	12	-	-	2	180
SUMMA Students	26	43	18	39	99	17	172	187	24	625
Program Total	26	43	182	40	100	28	172	187	26	805
Net Residents Students	501	905	767	739	589	696	1,097	811	699	6,812
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	57%	94%	73%	85%	69%	78%	101%	75%	81%	79%
Students from Offsite or SUMMA				Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total
Rachel Carson (at Cedar Park)	180									
SUMMA										

Projected students after Options, AHP and SUMMA are deducted - this is the projected general education enrollment for each proposed MS boundary

	Stoller
Net Residents Students	1,097
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	101%



Very Sustainable and Reasonable Number in 2025 too!!!



Jacob Wismer ES



SPV + Sato + JW
-SUMMA= 🍌🍌 Stoller

Stoller

Stoller in 2021



Stoller in 2025



Summary and Conclusion

Springville + Sato + JW (without SPLIT) feeding to Stoller in March 12th Map meets the Objective in 2021 and 2025 and provides most Balanced Solution!!!



Concerns from Findley Mom - March 12th Map

Sowmya Girish <sowmy.gir@gmail.com>

Wed 3/11/2020 3:57 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; maureen wheeler <Maureen_Wheeler@beaverton.k12.or.us>; carl mead <Carl_Mead@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Donna Tyner <Donna_Tyner@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Susan Greenberg <Susan_Greenberg@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Eric Simpson <Eric_Simpson@beaverton.k12.or.us>; leeann_larson@beaverton.k12.or.us <leeann_larson@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Becky Tymchuk <Becky_Tymchuk@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Tom Colett <Tom_Colett@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (21 KB)

Findley Mom Email to BSD.pdf;

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello Mr. Sparks, Mr. Grotting, Ms. Bryan, Ms. Wohlmutter, Ms. Wheeler, Mr. Mead,

I am a Findley Mom I have some concerns with the March 12th working map. Please publish this in the BSD comments. Below are my comments/concerns (attached PDF version):

1) The current map has all Findley kids at Timberland. It is a great move towards keeping Findley together and avoiding the split. But having said that, the meeting packet says that Summa discussion and decision is outside the scope of BSD. BSD's main goal is to make sure Stoller overpopulation is reduced and Timberland is not under capacity. When there are ~44% Summa kids from Findley, how will BSD optimally work with the overpopulation issue of Stoller without addressing where Summa kids will be. 44% is a big number, hence I would request BSD to discuss with Teaching and Learning at the earliest and work towards finding a optimal solution that address BSD numbers along with Teaching and Learning logistics.

2) With the given Stoller population, can you please confirm if we would be using portables at stoller? If we have a new school Timberland then why do we need portables at Stoller to accomodate Summa Kids? Findley and Jacobwisemer both have almost equal number of kids at Summa. Hence in the event Findley goes to the new neighborhood school Timberland, entire Summa should go to the new school for two main reason 1) One North Summa is cost effective 2) Quality wise it will be good since we can have all good teacher at one place. Any split summa will be waste of tax payers money and quality will suffer.

As a parent I have high hopes on BSD to get justice for Findley kids. They deserve it since they have worked so hard to make what Findley is today and they will continue to work hard to make Timberland or Stoller a great school. But without BSD's support we cannot do that.

Thank you
Sowmya

FW: Middle school boundary- Stoller solution

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 3/12/2020 10:40 AM

To: jyothi murla <nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (91 KB)

Stoller Solution.pdf;

Ms. Murla -

Your file has been entered into the record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: jyothi murla <nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 11:28 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; carl mead <Carl_Mead@beaverton.k12.or.us>; maureen wheeler <Maureen_Wheeler@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle school boundary- Stoller solution

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Mr.Sparks

Please add attached pdf to public comments and share it with the committee

Thanks
Jyothi

Once upon a time - Happy Stoller MS



Findley




Jacob Wismer



Rock Creek



Summa




Stoller is the neighborhood school for entire Findley ES.

Findley parents are the highest contributors of volunteers at Stoller today.

Findley is also the highest contributor of Summa kids.

Then came growth in North Bethany = Portables



-  Findley
-  Jacob wismer
-  Rock Creek
-  Springville
-  Summa



Springville was built as K-8 to address North bethany middle school needs.
But they are given Stoller as home school and SPV as option school which caused Stoller overcrowding.

More and more growth = Overcrowding

-  Findley
-  Jacob wismer
-  Rock Creek
-  Springville
-  Sato
-  Summa



Overcrowding


Proposed Solution = Portables & Diluted Summa & JW sunset isolation

 Jacob wismer

 Sato

 Springville

 Summa




Established & neighborhood school Findley is kicked out of Stoller.

Still use of portables is not eliminated.

How to solve this? Option 1

-  Jacob wismer
-  Sato
-  Springville
-  East Oak Hills



Move East Oak Hills from Timberland to Stoller.

Move Stoller Summa and consolidate it with Timberland Summa.

Why?

Solves isolation issue for both Jacob wismer and East Oak Hills kids.

One strong Summa program.

Cost savings for BSD to avoid 2 diluted summa programs.

Avoids use of portables.

How to solve this? Option 2



Middle school	Feeds to	Proposed ES	2021	2025
Five Oaks	WHS	Springdale, Rock creek, McKinley Meadows, Etonesa Meadows		
		Total	851	871
		% utilization	91%	93%
Meadow Park	AHS, SUN, SHS	Etonesa AHS, McKinley AHS, Beaver Acres, Aloha Huber, Barnes Summa (POaks, MPark, CPark)		
		Total	880	724
		% utilization	191%	85%
Timberland	SUN and WHS	Bonny slope, Tama Inks, Cedarhill, Oak Hills, Bellary ES Summa (TL boundary)		
		Total	1051	1057
		% utilization	96%	98%
Cedar Park	SHS	Wesley's Ridge/Wood, William walker, chatham SHS Rachel Carson		
		Total	704	681
		% utilization	91%	78%
Stoller	SUN and WHS	Finley, wood-winter, Sals		
		Total	806	877
		% utilization	75%	81%
		Summa (Stoller boundary)	294	294
		Total with summa	1071	1142
% utilization with summa	96%	100%		
Mountain View	AHS and SHS	Kirkman, Chatham AHS, Encl Heast, Hazelbale		
		Total	810	696
		% utilization	82%	75%
Highland Park	SHS, DRHS	Sector Mountain, Nancy Pyles, Forest Grove		
		Total	741	739
		% utilization	85%	80%
Coveledge	SHS, DRHS	Schools Heights, Wilson, Greenway		
		Total	870	905
		% utilization	91%	94%
Wickel	SHS, DRHS	Raleigh park, Raleigh Hills, Munickin, Vase Summa (CON, HPARK, MTNW, WHS)		
		Total	899	821
		% utilization	100%	96%

Please check the complete proposal in public comments dated 3.3.2020 comments.

FW: Support legacy for 7th graders

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 3/12/2020 11:12 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (246 KB)

Legacy for 7th graders.pdf;

please include in comments

From: Yamini nimmagadda <yamini.nimmagadda@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 10:28 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>; robert mccracken <Robert_Mccracken@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; carl mead <Carl_Mead@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Support legacy for 7th graders

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello BSD staff,

I am disappointed to see that legacy will not be supported for 7th graders. This is unfair to 7th graders. I have attached a presentation to explain why it is important and what happens to Stoller numbers if 7th grade legacy is supported. I sincerely request you to make this material available to the committee.


Thanks,
Yamini Nimmagadda



Support Legacy for 7th graders

Why is legacy important?

6th
Grade



- Emily and Amulya are best friends
- Both of them attend classes together, have lunch together, go to after school activities together

7th
Grade



- Emily and Amulya are separated and put in different schools due to boundary change
- They are both emotionally distressed and their academic achievement goes down

Moral of the Story: Don't separate kids in the middle of middle school. Support legacy for 7th graders for their academic and emotional well-being.

Treat 7th Graders Fairly

- ▶ Kids enter middle school after 6 years of familiar environment in elementary school.
- ▶ It takes almost an year for them to get used to the new environment.
- ▶ It is unfair and unjust to put kids in another middle school after spending one year settling down.
- ▶ The whole process of adjusting to the new environment impacts emotional well-being of kids severely.
- ▶ 7th and 8th graders need their friends for better academic achievement and emotional health.
- ▶ **Please support legacy for both 7th and 8th graders.**

Will the numbers work? Stoller in 2021

Proposed boundary projected numbers – **908**

Findley in 2021:

7th graders: 62 8th graders: 81

Rock creek in 2021:

7th graders: 82 8th graders: 80

Total: $908 + 62 + 81 + 82 + 80 = 1213$

112% Permanent capacity

88% Total capacity

Projections for Findley and Rock creek are taken after removing summa and options students.

Calculating numbers only for Stoller as this is the one with overcrowding issue.

2022 will be even better with the numbers, as legacy is only needed for 8th graders in 2022.

Where will Stoller Summa go?

Timberland !!

- ▶ Timberland has capacity to hold Summa for Timberland and Stoller boundaries.
- ▶ Consider moving East OakHills from Timberland to Stoller. This will resolve isolation issue for both OakHills and Jacob wismer sunset kids.
- ▶ Summa kids have separate classes and moving Summa in its entirety has less impact on kids.

FW: Middle school boundary- Support legacy

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 3/12/2020 11:13 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (1 MB)

Support Legacy.pptx;

please include in comments

From: jyothi murla <nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 11, 2020 9:53 PM

To: Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; carl mead <Carl_Mead@beaverton.k12.or.us>; maureen wheeler <Maureen_Wheeler@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle school boundary- Support legacy

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Mr.Sparks

Please add attached PowerPoint to public comments and share it with the committee

Thanks,
Jyothi

Support Legacy

Avoid 2 school changes in 3 years

7th Graders do matter

- Kids at the threshold of adolescence find middle school transition stressful.
- Please do not overburden them with more stressors.
- Friendships made in 6th grade will be broken in 7th grade.
- Changing 2 schools in 3 years of MS is injustice for these kids.
- Please support legacy for both 7th and 8th graders.
- It is just a matter of one year.

Will the numbers work? Stoller in 2021

Proposed boundary projected numbers – **908**

Findley in 2021:

7th graders: 62 8th graders: 81

Rock creek in 2021:

7th graders: 82 8th graders: 80

Total: $908 + 62 + 81 + 82 + 80 = 1213$

112% Permanent capacity

88% Total capacity

Projections for Findley and Rock creek are taken after removing summa and options students.

Calculating numbers only for Stoller as this is the one with overcrowding issue.

2022 will be even better with the numbers, as legacy is only needed for 8th graders in 2022.

Where will Stoller Summa go?

Timberland !!

Timberland has capacity to host Summa for Timberland and Stoller boundaries.

Consider moving East Oak Hills from Timberland to Stoller. This will resolve isolation issue for both Oak Hills and Jacob Wismer sunset kids.