

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
03/03/2020 4:20 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	rchewey88@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 7:48 PM	Aahlad Mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please do not split Findley or any other elementary school. Keep kids together. Don[?]t break friendships.
03/03/2020 1:14 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8				2015 OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 10:01 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com					Dear AC & Sparks,Throughout the MS boundary change process SPV is always treated not fair.- Beginning with one of our neighbor throwed SPV kids under the BUS when they draw the maps to send us to Fiveoaks though there are 8 other schools closer than SPV.- When an AC member ignorinG the OATH to represent entire MS she belongs to but unfortunately represents her own ES Benefit- Feb 25th map meets 2021 objective but BSD and AC asks for 2025 numbers which is clearly not a MS Objective- When all the communities Including SPV requested not to split schools but BSD gives priority to WALKABILITY over FEEDER PATTERNS and ECONOMIC DIVERSITY at STOLLER- When we send tons of comments hoping AC & BSD will care about Our KIDS- When an option Summa program takes precedence than REGULAR MS Program kids- Cherry pick SPV and shoving SPV kids to Fiveoaks by creating an ISLAND and leaving rest of North Bethany neighborhoods in StollerHoping that you will treat us fair in future meetings.
03/03/2020 9:02 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com		Stoller MS			Mr. Sparks & AC,Why some of the AC members are interested to split Springville when there are parts of Sato, Findley, Rockcreek, Bethany, Oakhills and JW are closer to Fiveoaks than Springville.It really doesn[?]t make sense to split or send SPV to Fiveoaks when there are[?] 8 other schools closer to Fiveoaks [?].Please do not split or send Springville to Fiveoaks as it will create an ISLAND next to SPV
03/03/2020 9:13 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com					Springville brings the most economic diversity to Stoller. Moving part or whole Springville to will bring the numbers down in Stoller nd makes Stoller an elite MS.Does BSD care for WALKABILITY for. FEW or DIVERSITY ?
03/03/2020 10:21 AM	Aashman Mittal	aashmittal@protonmail.com		Stoller MS	Westview		Working map from Feb 25 meeting is pretty fine and solves most hot boundary problems. No more changes, do not create new problems. Proceed with Feb 25 map!
03/03/2020 7:09 AM	Abby	abbysteep06@gmail.com	Barnes Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		UNCONSCIOUS BIAS!! I love the diversity in this committee as its a great representation of our communities. Now, there are 2-3 committee members very biased in favor of Springville in Stoller. I don[?]t understand why this is happening, but I[?]ve noticed this for a while. Last meeting was too obvious at the end, when they all talked after Jason to shutdown the idea to bring back Map C2.1 (Abdul and Abhijit proposal). Thankfully, the 2-3 guys are not the committee, there are 17 very diverse folks in the committee. I wanted to share my observation and I admit I don[?]t know how to address it, other that raising the awareness on this. WATCH OUT for unconscious/hidden bias and unintended consequences.
03/03/2020 7:38 AM	Acosta	eacosta@hotmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Stoller boundary with Springville doesn[?]t solve the overcrowding problem. 114% in 2025 and RISK of Summa students staying in neighborhood school. Stoller will be overcrowded again in a few years. Send Springville to Five Oaks.
03/03/2020 9:25 PM	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Even though the current map displaces hundreds of students who can easily walk or bike to their neighborhood school Stoller and buses them miles away, it's still doesn't make enough room for the two largest and most rapidly growing elementary schools in the district within a few years. Ignoring this is a waste of time and money and brings us back to this process in just a few years. We need to do better. Springville and SATO together need an entire middle school. They both can't coexist with even half of another elementary school without overcrowding in a few years.
03/03/2020 1:04 PM	Alexandra Yau	ayau@cosmofilia.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,I want to believe the committee will make the right decision when it comes to schools that are walkable distance such as Jacob Wismer, Summa students (majority live in walkable distance) and walkable Findley. These schools should have PRIORITY to stay at Stoller Middle School no matter which map the committee propose. These students currently walk or bike to school. Isn't this the goal of BSD ?ON YOUR OWN WEBSITE YOU RECENTLY POSTED (2/18/2020) "Safe Routes to School comes to PE! We are beyond thrilled with the Beaverton School District's decision to provide equitable access to Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety to all students in grades K-5th!"on 2/26/2020, you also posted: "Get your school sponsorship funds to help them walk and roll! Is your school or parent group interested in funds to increase walking & rolling in your community? Apply now ..."YOU WEBSITE MAKES THE CLAIM : "SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL.. Walk, Bike, Bus..... It's the Way to Go! The Beaverton Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program is all about creating safe, convenient, and fun opportunities for youth to walk and roll (bike, scooter, skateboard and bus) to and from schools. This is important for the health and safety of our kids and to foster the creation of livable, vibrant communities. SRTS programs increase physical activity and improve unsafe walking and bicycling conditions on routes to and from school and throughout the community."So we agree!? walking or biking to school is important because:- it gives good healthy habit to kids (based on research, early exercise in the morning, and walking is exercising, helps students to be more focus in class)- it protects our environment (less traffic, less pollution). Portland has earned its reputation as one of the greenest cities in America. - it helps built a sense of community (people get to meet their neighbors, new friendship are created...). That's why our Jacob Wismer PTO created the Walk to School Bus every first Monday of the month and that was before the boundary issue was raised.A community that does NOT need to be bused, should have PRIORITY over any other communities. STAY TRUE TO YOUR VALUES ... WALK AND BIKE TO SCHOOLThank you!
03/03/2020 10:39 PM	Alivia Sil	alivia2001@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We want united findley be it at stoller or timberland. Map of Feb 25th optimally addresses many goals of the community hence BSD should work on making that map more optimal so that most major concerns regarding equity, emotional health of the kid, walkability, budget is addressed.
03/03/2020 9:47 PM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 6:15 PM	Anandha	hanandhakrishnan@gmail.com					During 25th Feb meeting, voting process was conducted to get support from the AC members. Not all of them voted , what i dont like is there were few AC members didnt even get participated in the discussion and apparently they also didnt vote. There was also no discussion points around why people didnt vote to Understand their concerns. Can this Process be changed for the Next meeting? Also, there was an AC member who joined the discussion late. As soon as that member joined the discussion they asked that member to vote which apparently that member didnt support. However, when there were discussions at the end, the same member comes and says the map that was presented on 25th Feb was the best map. would very much appreciate if this can be taken care next time
03/03/2020 7:13 AM	Andy	Andrewstarr8@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Current map is unfair to Rock Creek. My neighborhood is aboit 6 miles to Meadow Park. Rock Creek and Springville in Five Oaks is a better boundary. BRING BACK MAP C versions.
03/03/2020 10:02 PM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!P.S. GENTLE REMINDER - PLEASE KINDLY MOVE EAST OAK HILLS KIDS TO TIMBERLAND TO END ISOLATION AT SUNSET.
03/03/2020 7:00 PM	Ankita Srivastava	ankitasaxena30@gmail.com	Springville K-8				PLEASE DO NOT SEND SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS when there are NINE OTHER SCHOOLS Closer to FIVE OAKS. Please do not move springville to five oaks when 2020 numbers are meeting the original objective and 2025 is ~1200 which is much better than ~1600 now. There are so many school which use portable, and forcing 500 kids to be ripped away from their community just because there is a chance that a school may use a portable. The number which is very likely an over projection and even with that projection, by 2027 the portable will not be needed.
03/03/2020 9:41 PM	Ankita Srivastava	ankitasaxena30@gmail.com	Springville K-8				AC Committee,If there are discussion to move Springville to Five Oaks, why is committee not considering moving JW to other schools. Jacob Wismer to Meadow park is even closer than Springville to Five Oaks. Why is that not an option?
03/03/2020 7:08 AM	Ann	ataylor1899@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		A Stoller boundary with all of Findley: (1)provides STABLE enrollment to Stoller. (2)promotes walkability which save money. (3)There is no significant difference in demographics with Springville vs. Findley in Stoller. (4)provides a better feeder pattern as Stoller becomes 50-50% split to Westview/Sunset. Otherwise is 15-85% split.DO NOT SPLIT FINDLEY OR ANY OTHER ES UNNECESSARILY!!!
03/03/2020 10:37 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		We moved to where we now live so that our daughters could attend the schools we believe could provide the kind of education and training they need. All these years, we have proven we made the best decision because our daughters are making great academic strides in school while enjoying the friendship and company they have found with other children in the neighborhood. In short, they are living in a community where they belong. The currently proposed change of school boundaries places us in a very precarious situation. Springville is not represented in the committee, thus no one could really present our side of the story.
03/03/2020 10:38 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Sending the children to another school means a longer commute which impacts everybody in the family. The children will also lose the familiarity of their peers at school and their friends in the neighborhood. Further, the children will no longer be able to pursue after-school programs because by the time they get home from school, it would be too late to join such activities.

03/03/2020 7:07 PM	Anshul	anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	These are our few concerns from Springville.....Feb 25th Working map has 88% permanent Capacity with JW, Sato and Springville with SUMMA moving out . This is OBJECTIVE 2 achieved without Splitting any schools.1. Neighborhood schools over options program..... please move SUMMA to a school with Space and hope the program is Stronger with it placed centrally.....2. Please keep us with North Bethany Community..... this is an ASK from all schools to just help kids to stay with their community...We have activities , clubs and afterschool mostly with kids from Sato as they really are our closest neighbors. Because on the otherside it is PCC COLLEGE AND ACERS OF FARMLANDS.....Please do not make us an ISLAND UP NORTH3. Proximity is important.... for North Bethany, Stoller is the ONLY school in proximity. Please be equitable and JUST BECAUSE MY KIDS ARE ON THE BUS DOESNT MEAN THAT THEY SHOULD BE MADE TO TRAVEL FURTHEST IN BSD TO A HOME MIDDLE SCHOOL..... Please set up all kids for success.Thank you Committee for all your work
03/03/2020 7:15 PM	Anshul	anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Even WITHOUT SUMMA IN STOLLER, there will be more than sunset bound kids from Stoller from Jacob Wismer... This is from the Net resident grid code map that was released. Jacob Wismer[?]s feeder pattern is like a lot of schools across BSD AND TOTALLY UNLIKE EAST OAKHILLS SUNSET SITUATION WHERE ONLY less than 25 kids go to Sunset each yearStoller to Sunset feeder even without SUMMA looks healthy this SHOULD NOT a reason to Split up Schools nor a REASON TO LOSE SIGNIFICANT FRL RATIO AT STOLLER by Moving out Springville from StollerWith Springville in Stoller , FRL is more than 10% . If Springville Moves out FRL will drop to 7% or less . Please help Springville voice their concerns and not let these kids commute to a school 5 miles away when there are other great options with the new school coming up in the east.
03/03/2020 7:31 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	The February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING1. Fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent Capacity4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.....THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/03/2020 9:34 PM	Arta Montero	arta.pecaj@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 11:20 AM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents donot want to spliteven most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMAS) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any other config brings it down to less than 7%even further in an area where it is hard to fix beacuse of the geographic distribution of poverty.This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't mnake Stoller overcrowded.7) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five Oaks
03/03/2020 11:24 AM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.e) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUCE THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/03/2020 11:25 AM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/03/2020 12:03 PM	asidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents donot want to spliteven most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMAS) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any other config brings it down to less than 7%even further in an area where it is hard to fix beacuse of the geographic distribution of poverty.This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't mnake Stoller overcrowded.7) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five Oaks
03/03/2020 2:00 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkability preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied?WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE.Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.At the end of Feb25th meeting, did committe in search of spaceat Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfill Mountain view?By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain view, it would be around 1100+ students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland park. That is 200 morre than the 900+ capacity at Mountain view.THIS IS NOT EQUITABLE.Please restore the Feb25 working map. It is ths best, most equitable map so far.
03/03/2020 11:18 PM	Asif	Jamkooji@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	It is obvious to me that Feb_25_workmap is not going to work, Stoller MS will be over-crowded again by 2023 or so. Why BSD made such dramatically changes between Feb_13 and Feb_25 workmaps? we want truth and transparency. I believe any neighborhood which are within mile circle from a school should go to that school, the more students walk to school, the less tax dollars we need to spend on school buses, the less traffic congestion we see, and the less safety concerns we have.
03/03/2020 11:40 PM	Asif	Jamkooji@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	02/24/2020 12:03 AMSameer Ruiwalesameer_ruiwale@yahoo.comFindley ElemStoller MSSunsetThere are many problems with the latest work-map that has been released. Stoller MS remains at 130% capacity in 2025 and Meadow-Park, Whitford and Stoller at all over capacity in 2021. This Map is also the worst case for the proximity criteria set in the JC. It moves all of Findley into Timberland - which means more than 1/2 of Findley will be bussed to Timberland. If Summa from Stoller is moved entirely to TL - Stoller still remains at 114% over capacity in 2025 - so we are back to square-one -- while also spending more money on bussing costs for an additional 25% of Jacob Wismer students for SUMMA at TL. This is an irresponsible use of Taxpayer dollars for a school district that is cash-stapped nad has a \$35 million deficit. And it DOES NOT solve the problem. Walkable Findley needs to stay at Stoller; SUMMA should stay at Stoller - at least for the walkable Findley students - with the option to add a SUMMA program at TL. Split feeders are not ideal - but if walkable Findley (which is 1/2 of Findley) is kept at Stoller - they form a decent contingent of Sunset feeding students along with Jacob Wismer. Without Findley - the currently published map isolates Jacob Wismer students as the only Sunset feeding students in Stoller. This current map causes more issues than it fixes. Springville and RC should be moved to Five-Oaks (the hoo-haa over 45 minute bussing times is false and BSD trial runs have proven that this bussing time is within average). SPV+RC will balance Five-Oaks and reduce the %age of Free-reduced-Lunches there. Rachel Carson could be moved to Cedar Park (or Meadow Park) - with a potential move of MP Summa to Timberland along with eastern Oak-Hills. This would create much better feeder patterns for all the schools involved. The committee must reject this current and very flawed map.
03/03/2020 6:36 PM	Atit Thakar	atitthakar@hotmail.com	Springville K-8			Respected committee members,I just want to stress on the point that each child in BSD deserves equal treatment.Driving springville kids for miles through dangerous intersections while making sure other kids are able to stay in Stoller with their optional programs is unfair.I want you to understand this common thing and give up on any bias for anyone.Thank you for your efforts and time.

03/03/2020 11:21 AM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity at Stoller in 2021.
03/03/2020 10:02 PM	Baoyan Liang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 10:47 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		CONSIDER SPLITS FOR ALL OR SPLITS FOR NONE: If we need to reduce numbers at Stoller, there is no reason why south areas of Jacob Wismer, in a reasonable distance to Timberland, could not go with Findley to Timberland (whether they are re-zoned to Findley or not). Timberland is less than 4.3 miles from these areas. If it is okay for Springville kids to travel further than this, why would we not consider it for Jacob Wismer?
03/03/2020 10:53 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JACOB WISMER CAN BE SPLIT: Jacob Wismer may not want to be split for Timberland, as they may consider it too far (If 4.3 miles is considered too far for them, it should be considered too far for others, though.) But Jacob Wismer could also split to Meadow Park, or they are also only 3.7 miles to Five Oaks, so there are other options for splitting Jacob Wismer. It may make sense for some of their students on the southern end to attend another middle school, and there are a few options.
03/03/2020 10:56 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It makes sense for some northern elementary schools to shift to the east where Timberland was built. Findley can avoid splitting and go entirely to Timberland easily, at a very reasonable distance.
03/03/2020 11:00 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		FILL TIMBERLAND: Please don't forget that an objective of this process was to bring Timberland to a reasonable capacity. Having it sit largely empty is not an option. Having all of Findley at Timberland (and allowing Summa to move there as well) will keep the building fully used. It cost \$61 million and should not go to waste.
03/03/2020 11:08 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are NINE elementary schools north of highway 26 that are closer to Five Oaks than Springville. (Jacob Wismer, Findley, Oak Hills, Bethany, Rock Creek, Bonny Slope, Cedar Mill, Terra Linda, and even Sato- as most of the Sato community is located south of the school building). If we are shifting communities to the south, consider moving all 9 of these schools before moving the 10th furthest.
03/03/2020 12:38 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JACOB WISMER WEST OF KAISER ROAD IS GROWING: There are 3 grid code areas for Jacob Wismer west of Kaiser road that are slated to grow between 2021 and 2025. Moving this to Findley and Timberland would reduce Stoller by 103 students in 2025.
03/03/2020 12:39 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT and will vary based on population projections for each elementary area. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 3:21 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller in 2025.
03/03/2020 3:22 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			In the Feb 25th meeting as one AC member rightly questioned Why is everyone behind splitting SPRINGVILLE? when clearly there are other areas in Stoller that are Further south and closer to Five Oaks and Meadow Park and Timberland.....Moving Springville to Five Oaks will move THE ONLY DARK PURPLE LOW INCOME AREA OUT OF STOLLER . This will reduce FRL from 10% to less than 7% . 30% decrease in FRL is a BIG DEAL in this area . Does BSD stand for Equity or Walkability? You cannot have both at Stoller because of the geography. If you want to maintain equity, Walkability to Stoller has to be sacrificed to some extent.
03/03/2020 3:25 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122275@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			In the quest to create space for Springville at Five Oaks, the suggestion was to move Aloha-Huber to Mountain View. The pretext of this suggestion was that Aloha-Huber was "near" to Mountain View, despite not being walkable. This suggestion makes Mountain View, already at 50% Free & Reduced Lunch to greater than 50% FRL. Also, moving Springville out reduce FRL at Stoller. South Springville is the main source of FRL numbers for Stoller. Please refer to Poverty map from Jan. 9th meeting. I would ask the Adjustment Committee to be consistent in applying rules regarding FRL, proximity, and walkability. Moving Aloha-Huber to Mountain View is not equitable. Please keep Springville in Stoller. This gives disadvantaged kids the to access their "nearest" middle school.
03/03/2020 3:27 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 9:28 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			THERE ARE NINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE:It is just wrong to keep bringing this back again and again, especially when the 2025 numbers with SPV in Stoller are around ~1200, WELL UNDER the ~1600 in 2020, and even that 1200 is based on extremely high projections, and it drops from there after that. All this talk of splitting up Springville over these aggressive projections serves only one cause : An end run to figure out how to keep Summa in Stoller. That only serves a few, and would be a grave injustice. I know we don't have a committee member from our school or neighborhood to defend us, but I truly appreciate all of you who do and thank you for being the voice of the over 700 voiceless Springville kids in this boundary adjustment.
03/03/2020 10:02 PM	Beth Rear	Bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Simple question - why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller? Why are things that are not ok for their kids (long commutes, low rated schools) fine for the rest of us?
03/03/2020 9:50 PM	Beth Rear	Bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Springville is being allowed to hijack this process with their disruptive behavior at meetings and submitting 4 comments per person per day on this page - thereby choking the system. There are other schools trying to be heard here. I hope the committee can remain above the fray and not just be swayed by the loudest people in the room. I wish Springville would allow others to have some space in this process too. It is not all about them.
03/03/2020 6:48 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear Mr. Sparks and AC,I visited the Timberland middle schools and was immediately jealous that my kids will never see a school like this[?]If we are in Springville. My kids said who would not want to go here,JW Summa parents came to mind. I wondered if they had seen the school and yet want to keep the kids in the old, stinky , run down facility at Stoller. Then I wondered why they would not send their kids with the rest of Findley, to such a wonderful school?Is it because they fear Stoller would lose school ratings and their property values will fall? I think it would be foolish if this was their calculations.For SPV, Stoller without Summa or Five oaks is same from raTings perspective. Our reason for asking to remain in Stoller is to have kids connected with the community and to avoid the extra bus commute.I beseech you to keep Springville in Stolle.Regards Bharad
03/03/2020 11:23 AM	Brandy Carpenter	brandy.masters.carpenter@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Thank you to the boundary committee for seeing that with the current high school boundaries that it is best to send Oak Hills East toTimberline to align with students going to Sunset and Oak Hills West with their Bethany neighbors. There was talk about Five Oaks versus Meadow Park. My hope is no matter the school decided, Oak Hills West doesn[?]t get into a similar situation Oak Hills East is in and end up the only students moving from their middle school to high school. Keep Oak Hills West with their neighbors Bethany. Thank you for the committees hard work and hearing us.
03/03/2020 2:48 PM	Bridget	brgolf@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Dear Committee Members, I[?]m also a strong advocate of continuing to recommend that new neighborhoods be given less priority over mature ones. Older well-established communities have bonds with schools and neighboring communities that this process threatens to impact, solely to accommodate the non-accommodating needs of one new rapidly multiplying community, that is relentlessly in pursuit of Stoller. From a capacity perspective, it falls to the School District to make their priorities clear. Will the School Board be able to justify shuttling older neighborhood residents away to another school, just to bus children from newer communities into Stoller? Does the Committee not see that the logistics of putting walkers on a bus, is contrary to the health of our schools. Will BSD be able to justify these transportation costs? Will BSD take the blame in the event of a budget shortfall, that may eliminate teachers and resources available at local schools?
03/03/2020 6:58 AM	chandana	chandanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
03/03/2020 12:42 AM	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear committee, last meeting there were talks about transporting Summa student from Springville to Timberland 5.3mile is too far? And one of the member solution is to move part of Springville to FiveOak? I just want to remind you the consequence of that is Springville Summa will then move to Meadow Park which will be even further then us from Timberland so that is not a viable solution. In middle boundary adjustment one of the most important metric is equity. I think the committee should continue to keep safe walkable student to Stoller while split all the non walkable current Stoller students to Stoller and Timberland as the first priority. Huge disruption to neighborhood and kids who need to get together after school for projects, and dividing school boundary in awkward island fashion really need to refrain from discussion. The public just need a plan that will work for 2021 and 2025 using accurate projected numbers and not overcrowding schools that does not have expandable portable capacity.
03/03/2020 12:54 AM	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear committee, Sato is a very empty elementary school. Can we have a elementary school boundary amendment to move part of Springville elementary student to Sato? That will free up Springville So the grade 6 to 8 option school can continue at Springville this way Stoller Timberland crowding issue will solve overnight and not awkward middle school boundary adjustment or transporting kids 5 miles to FiveOak or completely reshuffle Aloha Huber Park to Mountain View and none of that will be needed? It is such a simple and attractive solution the committee and BSD should consider, for the sake of Springville peace.

03/03/2020 6:40 PM	Devesh Srivastava	vedirs@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear AC Committee Please check options and summa numbers for 2025. These should NOT BE FLAT. There would be 40 fewer kids in stoller in 2025. Please do not split or move Springville to Five oaks when Stoller would be the only reasonable option for our kids.
03/03/2020 6:50 PM	Devesh Srivastava	vedirs@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear AC MembersPlease do not split springville or move us to Five Oaks when there are literally many schools which are closer to Five Oaks. There is considerable focus given to 2025 numbers when they are not even part of original goal. It seems like JW has two members in the committee focussing whereas Springville is left fighting from the sides. PLEASE make a decision that is best for the community and not subject our present voiceless kids pay unreasonable price to keep space for some future kids which is not even accurate.
03/03/2020 12:40 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				In the quest to create space for Springville at Five Oaks, the suggestion was to move Aloha-Huber to Mountain View. The pretext of this suggestion was that Aloha-Huber was "near" to Mountain View, despite not being walkable. This suggestion makes Mountain View, already at 50% Free & Reduced Lunch to greater than 50% FRL. Also, moving Springville out reduce FRL at Stoller. South Springville is the main source of FRL numbers for Stoller. Please refer to Poverty map from Jan. 9th meeting. I would ask the Adjustment Committee to be consistent in applying rules regarding FRL, proximity, and walkability. Moving Aloha-Huber to Mountain View is not equitable. Please keep Springville in Stoller. This gives disadvatanged kids the to access their "nearest" middle school.
03/03/2020 10:42 PM	Dwaipayan Sil	sdwaipayan@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We want united findley. Findley is a small community and its population is also stable. Hence breaking findley will not help to reduce stoller overpopulation issue rather it will effect the kids since it is a small community and the friendship and bonding is quite strong.
03/03/2020 10:41 PM	Elizabeth Su	ywelizasu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I am very concerned to see that even committees are looking into different maps during the process. Rock Creek is still that school being toss among Five Oak and Meadow Park. We should be the one that allowed to stay at Stoller. Rock Creek community is not the cause of overgrown and it has the advantage of diversity. The problem is Springville and Sato should have a middle school at their area. Rock Creek student shouldn[?]t pay the price for poor planning of North Bethany.Now we are forced to put a fix to solve overcrowded Stoller, so it is obviously the school district has to move some elementary school out of Stoller. That is BSD and Committee must create a fair solution with less impact. I understand that the BSD is working on create an equitable learning environment across the district for all of our middle schools, but what guarantee do we have that our kids have the similar experience compared to Stoller. Sometimes it seems all about achieving some specific people[?]s goals instead of the whole benefit of the BSD students. For example, SUMMA Program stay at Stoller or not. I understand it is a special program, but I suspect the density of the student come from specific area is rather artificial.I urge that creating a reasonable feeding pattern should be the priority. Rock Creek is willing to compromise and we believe it is the people who make a great school. As long as we move with our neighbors schools (Bethany, Oak Hill, or Springville) Our kids should not be sacrificed for the overcrowding problem. As a small elementary school split or sent by themselves to a middle school without at least one neighbor school who feeds to the same high school is a wrongful decision. There are a lot of aspect need to be considered but most importantly we can[?]t accept the solution that isolate Rock Creek. Regardless of what is ultimately decided in the adjustment, we really hope to see a transparent and rational conclusion that is sustainable so we will not have these problems in the near future.
03/03/2020 10:54 PM	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Hello,I recently saw an email where some Findley parents that are walkable are claiming that the entire area deemed walkable currently to Stoller in fact is, including my neighborhood of Bannister Creek. They state using the trails behind the homes where missing sidewalks are is a way to get to backroads. They clearly don[?]t have the facts because the trails behind our house have been flooded due to beaver dams for several years. There is no safe or easy route to Stoller from our home and I know no one from our neighborhood that would allow their child to attempt that uphill battle almost 1.8 miles after winding their way there. We are separated from Stoller. Please do not include areas on the outer edges of the so called walkable area to Stoller if you must split us. We can not walk and would like to remain with the majority of Findley. I don[?]t think it[?]s fair that some of the walking population of Findley or some Jacob Wismer parents are trying to pull us into their battle. I strongly support not splitting Findley as it[?]s best for the kids going into a challenging transition to middle school. I also still support the February 25th working map as all of Findley was together at Timberland and that would be great to stay together through high school. If we can all be together at Stoller then we are open to that also though honestly it seems to make the most sense for us to all be together at Timberland. Please keep Findley together and please don[?]t include our neighborhood of Bannister Creek in any sort of walkable split as we can not walk from here. Thank you!
03/03/2020 9:08 PM	Eve	eve645@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The areas of Findley that can walk to Stoller would like to preserve walkability for their School. While we would like all of Findley to be in Stoller, we are willing to accept a split if the committee decides there is not way to fit all of Findley in Stoller. Having all of Findley in Stoller is the best option for Stoller for reducing overcrowding. However, if for some reason, that does not happen, we would still like to go to Stoller. Please take that into consideration as you make your decision. Not all of Findley is saying "don't split us at any cost". Walkable Findley says it is ok to split to preserve walkability.
03/03/2020 6:21 PM	Gauritrivedi	Gauritri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Mr. Sparks & Committee Members,We hope to see the previous map finalized in the next meeting with some minor tweaks. It has been a long drawn process for everyone and it will be a great relief for everyone once this is done.The Springville Community has been under constant pressure of either being kicked to a school 5 miles away or in the danger of being split. For us, it has been an up hill battle from day one. Our neighboring communities want us out to keep Summa at Stoller and without a representative In the committee to highlight our concerns, we have been in constant fear of being the only north Bethany community to be singled out.Please do the right thing and do not isolate us from our neighbors. Sato and Springville are an integral part of each other[?]s Communities, please let it remain that way.
03/03/2020 9:48 PM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 10:03 PM	Haoquan Zhang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 9:33 PM	HARIS BEG	HARIS.BEG@GMAIL.COM		Stoller MS			Please let our kids in the Oakridge Estates neighborhood to at least walk to Stoller MS. We live just across the street.
03/03/2020 1:11 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Is it justified for a kid to suffer learning in 40 PLUS oversized class, to save SpringVille extra 5 minute commute time ?DO WE WANT TO COMPROMISE EDUCATION QUALITY OVER 5 MINUTES EXTRA COMMUTE for SpringVille ?
03/03/2020 3:19 PM	James	pbs930@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I have read some comments saying by wearing red t-shirt or showing up to the meeting were being characterized as bullying or wasting money on making T-shirt. We, as the same as other parents, care very much of our kids - safety, education, community, etc. If you looked back to Nov 5th meeting, Nov 21st meeting, .., Jan 30th meeting, Springville parents are like other parents/citizens - quietly writing comments and hoping BSD and committee would hear our voice and do the best for all kids and community. Again and again, maps that spiting communities, sending kids to a longest and most danger commute in oregon, presenting misleading numbers, etc. We are in fact being bullied by the most wealthy communities. As Mr. Spark came to our school the other days and said - your voice has been heard (FINALLY). As in public statement, like many other public statement, we want community to be together. We loved other parents in the Bethany, Rock Creek, areas. Spiting communities makes people fight against each others. What have we become. Last meeting, we were very very close to a conclusion - map A with nearly majority. Please make map-A work and close ting loose ends. Instead of spitting communities and having 10-20 maps again. We can do it. Thanks!!!
03/03/2020 9:40 AM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		AC Members,Please keep JW and walkable SUMMA intact with Stoller, the amount of workload these kids assigned it will not a recommended thought to send them on Bus for an hour(total). This will leave the MS-HS feeder at a healthy numbers, otherwise it will fall into single digits. @ fast growing community cannot be together feeding same middle school, they should be split. Please consider walkability, transportation cost, HS feeder patter, and FLE ratio when you make your decision.Thanks a lot for your hard work.Jay
03/03/2020 8:37 PM	Jeena Mat	Mailtojmc@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please do not split up the Springville community. Let[?]s have the children go to their community school am keep them as priority 😄
03/03/2020 6:52 PM	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem				The students of Rock Creek Elementary deserve to be sent to a middle school that also has some of their neighbors in attendance. Rock Creek Elementary kids have after school activities and go to church and play with kids from Bethany Elementary, Springville, Oak Hills Elementary and Sato Elementary. Regardless of which Middle School, we need to send two other neighboring elementary schools with Rock Creek Elementary.
03/03/2020 9:55 PM	Jeremy Rear	Bethrear@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Springville is trying to hijack this entire process. Do not let them. ALL schools should have equal weight and merit in this decision making process. I appreciate Springville[?]s concerns - but their methods are out of line and drowning out the concerns of the other schools.
03/03/2020 11:20 AM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkability preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied?WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE.Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.At the end of Feb25th meeting, did committe in search of spaceat Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfill Mountain view?By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain view, it would be around 1100+ students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland park. That is 200 morre than the 900+ capacity at Mountain view.THIS IS NOT EQUITABLE.Please restore the Feb25 working map. It is this best, most equitable map so far.

03/03/2020 12:23 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller.It almost makes a difference of one classroom.
03/03/2020 7:29 PM	Jyothi	Nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Do not split Findley stoller or Timberland. Let Findley kids maintain k-12 friendships.
03/03/2020 12:48 AM	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please take into account the priorities set by BSD while proposing boundary changes. Walkability can not be prioritized over academic equity. The location of the new school is making this whole process extremely difficult. Please keep communities together. As Rock Creek parents, we want to stay with Bethany ES and west oak hills. Please do not consider isolating Rock Creek. Also, stoller overcrowding can not result in some other school's over population. Thank you.
03/03/2020 12:51 PM	Ken Miles	kmilesmiles@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		My interpretation of the committee's process is to solicit feedback from the community and make a recommendation on the new boundaries. This process has been ongoing for several months. We have had much debate regarding Stoller MS boundaries. Until recently, changes to our Highland Park MS boundary was not under debate. As a result, for the most part, Cooper Mountain Elementary parents have not been as involved in the boundary debate as other groups. Now that we are very late in the process, the Cooper Mountain Elementary feeder pattern is now open for debate. If this is supposed to be a fair process, we should be giving equal time and consideration as we have to the Stoller MS boundaries as the impact to Cooper Mountain families will be the same as Stoller families. The suggested change is significant (it has the exact same level of impact as the Stoller debate) and I am not clear Cooper Mountain families have had the chance (or will have the chance) to digest the change and provide adequate input to the process. Please keep Cooper Mountain boundaries the same as we have them today. The Cooper Mountain families who are currently slated to attend Mountain View will be unimpacted as they knew prior to purchasing or renting their homes that they were headed to Mountain View. Alternatively, if it's important to keep all the Cooper Mtn kids together, take the current 30% Mountain View split and send them to Highland Park with the rest of the Cooper Mtn population. This will keep all the Cooper Mtn kids together. Please help ensure this is a fair process. I don't want Cooper Mtn boundaries changed simply because the broader Cooper Mtn community has not had the time to voice their concerns.
03/03/2020 4:21 AM	Ken Rogers	krbmw@comcast.net	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please allow Jacob Wismer students to continue feeding into Stoller. Both schools are in walking distance of home. Please do not bus our children. Stoller and Jacob Wismer are across the street from each other and it doesn't seem to make any sense not to keep Jacob Wismer feeding Stoller. I know this is hard on you too. Thanks for your hard work.
03/03/2020 10:04 PM	Kexin Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don't]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 9:50 PM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don't]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 11:22 AM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Feb25th MAP A does the following. SO IT SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Maintains free lunch at Stoller at 10%. There is no further growth in Springville. Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.4) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/03/2020 11:23 AM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.e) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUCE THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/03/2020 11:23 AM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MOVING Springville TO Five OAKS ONLY INCREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTS.ITS UNDESIRABLE.MAP C doesn't meet 1& 2 objectives. Ojective 1- fill Timberland. MAP C utilizes Timberlandonly at 74%. As per MAP C, Stoller is at 100% in 2021 which doesn't meet objective 2.Below analysis is by transporation in BSD site.Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 ----NOTE THIS AMOUNTBSD will be losing \$25,584 by moving Springville to Five oaks instead of StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 . Increase of \$8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.
03/03/2020 12:00 PM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkability preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied?WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE.Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.At the end of Feb25th meeting, did committe in search of spaceat Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfill Mountain view?By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain view, it would be around 1100+ students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland park. That is 200 morre than the 900+ capacity at Mountain view.THIS IS NOT EQUITABLE.Please restore the Feb25 working map. It is the best, most equitable map so far.
03/03/2020 12:24 PM	kvijay	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities. It almost makes a difference of one classroom.
03/03/2020 10:10 PM	Lauren Yee	Lwan@comcast.net	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I live in the Bronson Creek area which is 1.8 miles and recently considered walking to Stoller. As it shows on the maps the school board has been presenting. Please do not split Findley from walkable and non walkable. I do not want my daughter split up from her friends and attend a middle school that will be overcrowded quickly if Sato and Springville are combined. We do not want to be split. Either all Findley at Stoller or all at Timberland.

03/03/2020 9:26 AM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee - As you all know, middle school and high school years are a pivotal time in young peoples lives. They are a time of social awkwardness, and a time where children are discovering themselves. This is one of the main reasons communities are so passionate about voicing their opinions through this boundary adjustment process. However, it seems that many have gone beyond just voicing their opinions and have taken extreme measures to fight for what they believe is best for their kids, and not looking at what is best for our entire school district. This behavior has only divided communities, created hostility and has put every committee member in an uncomfortable and awkward position. The fact remains, that Stoller in particular is overcrowded beyond any acceptable measures. I've lived in 2 different provinces in Canada and 3 different states, and I have never seen a school with 14 portables, unless the school was under mass renovation and it was a temporary fix. Stoller has had portables for years now. The problem has reached a point where it MUST be fixed and NOW is the time to fix it. But please, let's fix it with a long term solution and not a band-aid that will have us right back in this same situation in 2-3 years. We owe it to our students, our staff, our administrators and children. Here are some VITAL UNBIASED data points. Despite some communities trying to refute these points, they CANNOT be refuted.1. SPV & Sato ARE the 2 largest communities in the BSD and ARE continuing to grow. There are no drone images of the area that can argue this fact. They are also the 2 communities with the most NEW homes, whether the land is still being built or not. This is a problem today and for the next few years. Your committee has already agreed that a split to SPV is likely necessary. Although the idea of a split is not ideal, the number of students just does not work and the problem of overcrowding will NEVER be solved if we continue to have all of Sato (growing), SPV (growing), JW (flat) and Findley (declining) feed into Stoller. With or without Summa, it DOES NOT and WILL NOT work.2. Transportation costs money. Bus drivers need to be paid and buses need to be maintained. Adding more buses to the roads simply because some neighborhoods have blatantly stated they - don't want their kids to be sent to a horrendous school like FO - is just outrageous, inappropriate and condescending. The district did due diligence to carry out test runs to verify the length of time it would take to commute students to this further away school, and the results clearly demonstrated that it is only 5 minutes longer than the commute they endure to Stoller today. That commute is on the same bus and with the same bus driver. Therefore, the incremental cost is way less than adding more buses to move walkable students away from the school they can walk to. This leads me to point 3...3. Walkability MUST be considered. We cannot keep spending money our district doesn't have. Let's keep those funds in the classroom where they WILL benefit ALL students in every school across the district. Please keep walkable Findley at Stoller with their Sunset bound JW peers.4. Summa is NOT an option school. To those suggesting that it can't be possible to have so many FE and JW students legitimately qualify for the program, well, IT IS. No matter how they qualified, they went through the process and were invited into the program. As we heard, it was developed to exist in neighborhood schools. So let's keep it in those neighborhood schools where they belong. It helps improve split feeder patterns to HS and keeps walkable students off expensive buses.5. Feeder Patterns - please look at all possible ways to keep any splits even, or to keep walkability in place. Stoller feeds to Westview and Sunset. By moving all of Findley out of Stoller, it leaves JW students heading to Sunset from Stoller with only 17 percent of their graduating class. If Summa is moved out, that number goes down to SINGLE DIGITS. I remain confident in this process. However, I urge you to please consider the above points that are VITAL to meeting the objectives. Thank you for your commitment to the process.
03/03/2020 11:23 AM	Lu	Lubon@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL DEFINITION.... what better way to define a neighborhood school that living DIRECTLY ACROSS THE STREET FROM IT! Stoller was built in the perfect location for JW and Findley, think about it...100% of JW and 50% of Findley can walk to Stoller. A neighborhood 3 miles away from Stoller can build community elsewhere. One very important item, DO NOT split elementary schools unnecessarily.
03/03/2020 6:45 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			I feel as though I've spent this entire process trying to convince people of what I assumed were common sense ideas. We live essentially across the street from Stoller. It seems like common sense that we'd WALK there rather than be bussed 4 miles away to Timberland. It seems like common sense to not waste money on unnecessary transportation costs. It seems like common sense not to put two elementary schools that will exceed 500 students apiece in a few years into a middle school that holds just over a thousand together with a third school. It seems like common sense not to kick the can down the road and ignore the looming reality of the rapid growth in the north. It seems like common sense to locate an educational program in a location that's walkable for a significant portion of its students. It seems like common sense not to bus kids out of their own neighborhood to bus others in. I appreciate that some committee members are sensitive to actually solving the long term issues rather than simply appeasing the loudest voices. We need to do better than this current map.
03/03/2020 12:49 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			WHY ARE OPTIONS NUMBERS FLAT IN GROWING AND DECLINING SCHOOLS??? if you account for true options numbers, including Summa, the 2025 numbers in Stoller for Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer are even lower! There are folks looking for every pretext to split and carve up Springville in order to make room for Jacob Wismer+Summa in Stoller and have JW completely untouched in this whole process. Small numbers like this make a difference and need to be corrected so they are not used as an excuse by folks to get their way.
03/03/2020 6:00 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			Why is everyone out to split just Springville? What did the 700+ voiceless children of Springville ever do to you? Why are we using incorrect data to justify splitting these children?? Springville is not the farthest neighborhood from Stoller! Parts of Springville are even closer to Stoller than parts of Sato.. These are deeply intertwined neighborhoods! I am not understanding the eagerness to split Springville children based on 2025 NON-OBJECTIVES. Is it to figure out a way to keep Summa in Stoller and ensure Jacob Wismer does not have to make any sacrifices as all?
03/03/2020 7:31 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller if options are accounted for more realistically. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities. This MATTERS because we are already using aggressive 2025 projections and some are using that as a rationale to split, cleave and damage communities!
03/03/2020 9:26 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			Jacob Wismer is closer to Five Oaks than Springville. Actually, there are nine other schools closer to Five Oaks than Springville. Perhaps Jacob Wismer should go to Five Oaks?
03/03/2020 9:39 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			We have heard many comments from Jacob Wismer folks that Springville to Five Oaks is a reasonable commute. Jacob Wismer to Meadow Park is an even shorter commute. Perhaps sending Jacob Wismer to meadow park should be considered as another option to fix the OH-E sunset feeder pattern issue.
03/03/2020 6:53 PM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			THERE ARE NINE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE:It is just wrong to keep bringing this back again and again, especially when the 2025 numbers with SPV in Stoller are around ~1200, WELL UNDER the ~1600 in 2020, and even that 1200 is based on extremely high projections, and it drops from there after that. All this talk of splitting up Springville over these aggressive projections serves only one cause : An end run to figure out how to keep Summa in Stoller. That only serves a few, and would be a grave injustice. I know we don't have a committee member from our school or neighborhood to defend us, but I truly appreciate all of you who do and thank you for being the voice of the over 700 voiceless Springville kids in this boundary adjustment.
03/03/2020 6:24 PM	Mahesh mudigonda	mahesh.mudigonda@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee,I do understand that you all know we need tough choices made to get to an acceptable map that balances and meets all the objectives. While I understand walkability needs to be preserved please know that anyone beyond half mile from the school does not really walk or bike (there is always a very small minority). If you start prioritizing this small minority you are alienating a majority of kids from their closest neighbors (in this case Springville kids from SATO kids) please do not alienate those communities/kids/friendships. The rhetoric of Springville and SATO cannot be together is not correct, 114% in 2025 should not be a reason to split communities. Please do not split communities, keep Springville as a whole at Stoller. 114% in 2025 is still significantly less than what Stoller has today. 114% in 2025 and then ramping down in population will NEVER warrant another boundary adjustment.
03/03/2020 10:41 PM	Marie Knapp	lmknapp@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	PROJECTIONS OF OPTIONS AND SUMMA NEED TO BE RECONSIDERED: Please verify the method for counting options and Summa students in 2025. The projections should not be flat for areas that are growing or shrinking between now and 2025. The options and Summa numbers should change proportionally to the overall student population.
03/03/2020 9:57 PM	Mary Lynn Klafka	pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don't have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 10:16 PM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear Committee Members,Please consider Walkability for your upcoming map! Please keep the tax dollars in the classrooms and not use for transportation!Please make the JW-Sunset pattern better than 17%! The current feeder pattern is 41% and 17% is unacceptable!Thanks
03/03/2020 9:05 PM	Minal Patil	portlandpatil@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	1. Please avoid splitting elementary school as much as possible, hence being Findley parent, I urge to committee and BSD to avoid splitting Findley, we support "one United Findley wherever it goes, either stoller or timberland";2. Feb 25th map is good start and provides balanced solution, hence please do minor adjustments to based on true walkability such as Oak ridge community can opt-in for stoller, means map them to JW instead of Findley. Neighborhood like Arbor heights really belongs to Findley and it should be mapped to wherever Findley as one United school goes to.3. Please put primary focus on main two goals such as solving overcrowding issue at stoller and fill up timberland instead of focusing on summa. It is a program which should be handled by education department. Emphasis should be only one north summa instead if having two sub-optimal summa program which not only dilutes the quality but also increases costs for BSD. I would prefer to see tax payers dollars to be used wisely4. Maximize true walkability only, minimize usage of portables because kids safety is priority number one5. Hoping to see balanced solution goes forward and not the map addressing only one specific communities ask e.g JW asking for stoller, walkable Findley asking for stoller, and both of them asking for summa at stoller. End of the day, please don't make win-win for one community and loose-loose for other communities.
03/03/2020 1:07 PM	Naveen Kasam	kasam_naveen@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.

03/03/2020 10:00 PM	Olesya Baykalova	Olesyabaykal@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 3:54 PM	Pallavi M	ptambay@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee Members,Thank you for taking the time to read each comment.At the last committee meeting, Mr Sparks put a number of questions to vote that were prefaced with "no SUMMA at stoller". We have a 5th grader at Jacob Wismer who has qualified for SUMMA. When we sit down to have a discussion with our fifth grader to help them reach a decision on whether to opt for SUMMA or not, I am wondering how we should explain their options. After we go over the SUMMA curriculum with them, should we tell our fifth grader:"You don't really have a choice here. You have to go to Timberland and attend SUMMA because BSD is counting on bussing you there. If you opt out of SUMMA you will contribute to overcrowding at Stoller."We live walking distance from Stoller and our fifth grader has been walking to Jacob Wismer every single day for the past 3 years. Isn't it the BSD committee's responsibility to ensure that all children, SUMMA qualified or not, who live within the official Stoller boundary be able to exercise their right to study at Stoller without becoming the cause of overcrowding? Regardless of where "Learning and Development" finally decides to locate the SUMMA program, shouldn't the boundary committee members as one of you (Mike?) expressed, be counting the SUMMA students that live within the Stoller boundary towards the Stoller population in any map?Thank you again for your time and patience through this process.
03/03/2020 11:25 PM	Patel	Sysd@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a Findley cum Stoller parent living less than a mile from Stoller and very much within the walkable zone to Stoller, I urge BSD to consider that Stoller continue to be the Home school for families who live within walking distance and still wish to have the flexibility to have kids walk to school. Adding more number of buses for 100s of kids who can pretty much walk to their neighborhood school taxes the community, the kids, the environment and is just not a good use of BSD resources. Findley/Stoller community must be given the option of continuing to opt for Stoller as Home school. It is unfair practice for Findley community to be displaced and to pay the price for lack of planning by the County and BSD for not providing enough middle schools in the right locations. What changed between last 2 meetings? Why so drastic difference in maps between two meetings? District knows this map is not going to meet objectives..
03/03/2020 11:10 PM	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Today, only 2 elementary schools North of 26 are split when going to High School: Oak Hills & Jacob Wismer. During the last committee meeting, the committee and community agreed with Oak Hills Sunset feeding that they should be moved to Timberland in order to maintain a healthy feeder pattern from MS to HS.When it comes to Jacob Wismer, around 25-30% of Jacob Wismer students have historically been Summa-eligible and closer to 45% of our current 5th graders. Moving Summa completely out of Stoller would essentially be like splitting Jacob Wismer from ES to MS. Findley is the only other school that has such a high percentage of Summa kids but they currently feed fully into Timberland along with Summa based on the Feb 25th map.Why is it ok for Jacob Wismer to have to go through 2 splits when no other school in Northern Bethany has to? How is that equitable? I urge the committee to maintain Summa in Stoller to at least prevent JW's ES to MS split from happening. In addition, if part of walking Findley that has stated they would like to attend Stoller can be accommodated, this would help improve Jacob Wismer's feeder pattern as well (currently 3rd worst in the entire district based on BSD's Feb 25th chart). From private chats, this group has stated that they are more than just Oakridge Estates.
03/03/2020 11:39 PM	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Question: What will be the 2x largest Elementary Schools in BSD by 2025 in terms of student population?Answer: Sato with 565 kids Springville with 544 kidsQuestion: What is Stoller's permanent capacity?Answer: 1,081 studentsQuestion: So Springville and Sato will fit in Stoller, right?Answer: Springville and Sato alone will completely utilize all of Stoller's permanent capacity by 2025. Jacob Wismer, while 30% smaller than Sato, will still be the 3rd largest elementary school in BSD. As Jacob Wismer is also part of Stoller, the overcrowding situation will continue if BSD decides to have the 3 biggest schools in the entire district all feed into Stoller.Question: But don't the numbers work for 2021 at least?Answer: Not really. Either the committee maintains part of Summa in Stoller and not meet the advisory committee objectives to get Stoller to approximately 90%, or they completely move Summa to Timberland and force Jacob Wismer to be the only school to see a roughly 1/3 split in population (would be closer to a 45% split for this years 5th graders). This would also make Jacob Wismer the only school in Northern Bethany to have to deal with 2 splits since it is also split between Westview and Sunset while none of the other Northern Bethany schools have to deal with even one.Question: What is the answer?Answer: Bring back a version of Map C. Send either part of Sato south of Springville Rd or all/part of Springville ES somewhere else. That is the only way to keep Stoller's growth manageable. Most variations of Map C only had a 7% increase in student size from 2021 to 2025 in Stoller. Compare that to the Feb 25th Map which shows a 26% increase in population during that same time period! 26%!!Question: Is it smart to put the 3 largest elementary schools in the entire district all feeding into Stoller?Answer: ABSOLUTELY NOT!!!
03/03/2020 10:06 PM	Paul Lewerenz	Paul.lewerenz@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 8:00 PM	Pavan Akkisetty	avspavan@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Please donot split findley elementary school stoller or Timberland.
03/03/2020 1:01 PM	Pratima Dhoot	pratima.dhoot@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			BSD 2025 projections show aggressive growth for Springville, a mostly built out neighborhood. On the contrary, Sato has lot more construction activity. Given the margin of error for 2025, I request advisory committee to focus on 2021 and strongly consider keeping North Bethany communities together. Even with current 2025 projections, Stoller will still be significantly lower capacity than today.Keep north bethany communities together.
03/03/2020 1:02 PM	Pratima Dhoot	pratima.dhoot@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Logical and Equitable SolutionClean feeder/no splits - all of Findley can go to Timberland. With Findley having the greatest number of Summa students, Timberland can also house Summa program. The greatest concentration of Jacob Wismer Summa students are located at their eastern most boundary making Summa at Timberland even accessible for them. Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville meet capacity objectives at Stoller MS in 2021 and 2025.Springville is integral part of north bethany community. It needs to feed into Stoller which is the middle school for north bethany.
03/03/2020 6:55 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are NINE neighbourhoods closer to Fiveoaks than us Springville. We are the northernmost and MOST OF SPRINGVILLE KIDS LIVE EVEN MORE NORTH THAN THE SCHOOL ITSELF.....It really does not make any sense to ship our kids half way across the district JUST BECAUSE THESE KIDS HAVE TO TAKE THE BUS .It is not about whether Springville can be bussed to any other school or not, IT IS ABOUT WHAT IS EQUITABLE. What will set up these kids for SUCCESS.These kids belong to the North Bethany community, please keep them with their neighbors.Please do not pull our kids steps backward by sending them away from their community and making it difficult for them to participate in afterschool activities etc. Any person who travels that route will tell you that SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS IS FAR to go to a Home MS.
03/03/2020 7:01 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Thank You Committee members for listening to us PLEASE Do not prioritize SUMMA, an option program at Stoller at the EXPENSE of neighborhood kids from Springville who do NOT HAVE ANY OTHER MS AT A REASONABLE DISTANCE. Our next MS option is 5 miles away from my house . Most Springville kids live north of the school itself For NORTH BETHANY KIDS , please understand they DO NOT have another MS at a reasonable distance. All other Stoller areas have multiple options closer than SPV TO FO. All Stoller areas like JW, Findley, Sato are all closer to Five Oaks than North Bethany to Five Oaks or Meadow Park or Timberland . Please do not let our kids be sent to a middle school 5 miles away when there are other equitable solutions.SPRINGVILLE KIDS NEED YOUR HELP IN VOICING THEIR CONCERNS
03/03/2020 7:21 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		JW plus SATO plus SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% PERMANENT CAPACITY in 2021 . IN 2025 , based on the Kindergarten and 1st grade and 2nd Grade enrollment number(actuals) plus a margin of growth , this combination will still be under PERMANENT CAPACITY . The Rhetoric that Springville is growing EXPONENTIALLY NEXT TEN YEARS IS WRONG . We are a mostly built out community and the growth is not so aggressive as PORTRAYED . PLUS the numbers DECREASE AFTER 2025 . This data was provided by BSD . JW plus SATO plus SPRINGVILLE is a LONG TERM SUSTAINABLE COMBINATION AT STOLLER . Please do not SPLIT SCHOOLS AND SEND KIDS 5 MILES AWAY BASED ON UNCERTAIN 2025 numbers . Even if we take the WORST CASE SCENARIO , 2025 WILL NOT CALL FOR A BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT. Please do the right thing for the kids . Last Year's budget Fiasco for BSD was also due to over projections.
03/03/2020 7:23 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 7:29 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Thank You Committee members those who stand up for Springville kids who do not have a direct representation at the voting table. Please please be the voice of our kids so that they are not sent 5 miles away to an MS and made to be an island up northplease understand our concerns.As an AC member rightly questioned, why is only Springville being targeted negatively when there ARE OTHER AREAS IN THE SOUTH WHO HAS MULTIPLE MS CLOSER THAN SPRINGVILLE. I hope you can be our voice in this process.
03/03/2020 9:45 PM	Pronab Das	Pronab.das@qorvo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	No split for Findley kids, please.
03/03/2020 10:40 AM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee, I am writing to let you know that Jacob Wismer community strongly believes that Summa program should be offered at Stoller. Based on demographics, there are enough Summa students around Stoller who can can walk to school and please don't take this privilege away from these kids. Summa is not a option program and neighborhood school program and there are too many kids in this neighborhood who qualify for Summa. This will also help in having a healthy feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset. Mr. Sparks mentioned in last meeting that decision on Summa location will be up to the learning department based on capacity at school. What if in a hypothetical scenario, where both Stoller and Timberland are at full capacity and there is no room for Stoller Summa kids? How did it make sense to bus these hundreds of kids to Cedar park(as a example) or other school which is farther away? I urge you to keep this in mind when making decisions and leave enough capacity at Stoller so that Summa can be offered.

03/03/2020 5:53 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee, Thanks for your time and effort in trying to come up with a solution for reducing overcrowding at Stoller MS which is long overdue. In last week's meeting Mr. Sparks mentioned that Summa program location is at the discretion of the Learning and Development department and committee should be focusing only on the general enrollment numbers. I have to strongly disagree with this. If you select a boundary which fills up Stoller to the capacity, there will no option but to relocate the Summa program from Stoller. This will be a disservice to Summa kids who can walk to their school. These are the neighborhood kids who have treated Stoller as their school and they are not responsible for overcrowding but will be asked to take long commutes to a different school. How does this make sense? How is it ok to bus these kids who can walk to school but not okay to take additional 5 minutes busing time for kids who are already busing? Note that there are large number of summa kids in this neighborhood and would incur in additional transportation costs for BSD, results in putting more cars on the road, goes against BSD principles of supporting walk/bike to schools.When proposing new map changes, please take Summa into account and make sure there is enough capacity for Summa program at Stoller. If absolutely necessary, make two map recommendations to superintendent - one with Summa and one without Summa and let him make the decision. Thanks again!!
03/03/2020 6:44 PM	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Respected AC members. The map given on 25 feb meeting . Is perfect and balanced . It does meet the objectives. Stoller at 90% in 2021.and filled timberland. Please keep that map . It[?]s win win for all kids . Thanks
03/03/2020 6:50 PM	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear members. I appreciate your effort towards finding best solution for over crowding. Working map solves is all . Springville + sato + Jacob Wismer- summa in stoller works the best . It will bring stoller 90% in 2021. The objective is to bring it 90% in 2021 not in 2025 . It will keep north Bethany communities together. Please don[?]t split any school . It[?]s not healthy for our growing kids . Thanks.
03/03/2020 6:54 PM	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			AC members. Springville only has one school neighboring close school which is stoller. Please don[?]t throw our kids 2.5 times more distance away. We belong to north Bethany and we should stay together. Don[?]t risk 450 kids for just the sake of 80 kids . Summa can be placed where the space is available. Please consider 460 kids . Working map works the best . Please keep that map Thanks
03/03/2020 7:04 PM	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear members. I was at the 25th meeting . I would like to thanks to the members who voted for working map as it is . I am so glad they understand the pain of splitting school and breaking communities. I also wish other members also realize the same thing . And support the solution that help across the BSD not only few kids . Please don[?]t split schools and communities. We have a solution that fills both objectives . Working map is the best and balanced map. Thanks
03/03/2020 7:18 PM	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Respected members. Literally there are 8-9 schools much closer to five oaks then springville . Then why few members are after springville to feed in five oaks or split . North Bethany has only one middle school with in proximity. Stoller is the closest middle school to springville. My kids takes bus for 2 miles it doesn[?]t mean they are entitled to bus 5 miles. There are much better options available. equality should prevail. Thanks
03/03/2020 8:25 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I would like to thank the committee members who voted for the Feb 25th map – even though we got only 8/16 votes, it was remarkable that they understood that if we have to meet 90% goal at Stoller in 2021, going slightly above 100% permanent capacity in 2025 is acceptable, because if you project beyond 2025, the numbers decrease in 2030. I am really grateful that you are doing the RIGHT THING, by voting for Springville at Stoller. Thank you!
03/03/2020 8:25 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				FEED NORTHERN ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS TO THEIR NORTHERN MIDDLE SCHOOL. Springville has only one middle school – that is Stoller. Please do not punish hundreds of kids by sending them from the very north of Bethany to all the way south of US 26. This is not the right thing to do. Are you willing to make this decision if your own kid was impacted?
03/03/2020 8:29 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I am very discouraged by the on-going battle between Springville and JW. Please understand that JW already has it all – their regular middle schoolers are already going to Stoller. But I fail to understand why they are willing to speak for another community like Springville and dictate where our kids should go? Why is it okay for our kids to travel 5.2 miles to their middle school? There is a solution where our kids could go to Stoller, alongside their kids. Are my kids not worthy to go to Stoller? Where is the humanity in the JW solution for Springville?
03/03/2020 8:34 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Is JW AND SUMMA the center of the universe? Time and again, we have seen how some maps have been discarded only to make a comeback at the lobbying of some committee members – They have advocated for SUMMA at the expense of regular middle schoolers. Is Stoller defined only the terms and conditions surrounding JW and SUMMA? Is the Springville community an OUTCAST, not worthy of HUMAN KINDNESS?
03/03/2020 8:44 PM	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				[?]I think we all have empathy. We may not have enough courage to display it.[?] – Maya Angelou. Do you know the reason Springville community has sent hundreds of comments, brought in posters and T-shirts? It is not to be disrespectful or disruptive – we want to be heard, we want someone to listen to our appeal, we want someone to empathize with us. Sending Springville kids 5.2 miles vs 2.1 miles is INJUSTICE. I know the advisory committee can understand the pain – please help us by being brave and voting to keep all of Springville at Stoller.
03/03/2020 7:46 PM	Rajesh	Rajeshthallam81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,The February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING1. Fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent Capacity4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.....THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/03/2020 2:22 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please stop sharing maps that propose Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier meetings, we want to stay with west Bethany neighbors. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek
03/03/2020 2:29 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I urge the committee members to keep the need for a good middle school education for all BSD members at the heart of their decisions. That starts with keeping communities united!We are adamantly opposed to being removed from both our Springville neighbors and our Bethany neighbors.At Rock Creek, we value community and quality education over everything else. We want to be with our Westview feeding northern community. And we do not want our small elementary school split.Please keep Rock Creek with one of our two closest neighbors in Springville and Bethany.Thank You.
03/03/2020 11:20 AM	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Overcrowding is a huge problem in Stoller today. At a recent mailer from Stoller it was clear that there are not enough slots for every parent to meet with the teacher to know how their kids are doing and it was limited to a certain no of kids alone. Map A clearly shows that the overcrowding at Stoller is not going to be solved even if SUMMA moves out of Stoller. Clearly having 2 of the high growth schools in north bethany going to Stoller is not going to alleviate the overcrowding problem. So instead of trying to figure out how to carve out the walking kids (SUMMA and non-SUMMA) from Jacob Wismer , Sato, and Findley, the committee and BSD needs to focus on a solution that works and does not include both Sato and Springville at Stoller.
03/03/2020 5:37 AM	Ratika Hansen	ratika.hansen@intel.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Please keep JW students at Stoller. The kids in the Bethany View Estates neighborhood walk to school together each day and learn valuable lessons along the way. Please don't spend money bussing them elsewhere. Our teachers need that money.Thank you!
03/03/2020 1:26 PM	Ravali	ravali_c8@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 9:58 PM	Richard Klafka	pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 4:16 PM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,Please vote for the Feb 25 work map. It splits less communities and elementary schools, prioritizes regular students over the SUMMA Options program, and does not unnecessarily tear away Springville from our North Bethany community on a long commute.It hits 2021 targets at 88% at Stoller, without Summa. 2025 projections may show it as slightly over capacity at 114%. There are portables on site to address that (IF NECESSARY) or Teaching and Learning can also opt to have slightly more kids per class. That is not the end of the world, rather than create ripple effects across half the district by pushing the northernmost school down south of 26. The effects of the changes requested at the last meeting, just to try and reduce the 114% 2025 projections is NOT worth it, especially at a school that can handle it. Can you justify all these changes because of 150 POTENTIAL students in Stoller?Keeping Springville in Stoller only POTENTIALLY "hurts" Stoller (if the projections are accurate), but keeping SUMMA /Findley in Stoller will surely "hurt" HALF THE DISTRICT, because of future forecasts that we are not even sure would happen.95% is NOT the goal for 2025, as some committee members have alluded. Even Sparks said it at the end of the last meeting. Please don't be swayed by committee members who have their own self-serving agenda. Thank you for considering these points.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
03/03/2020 9:41 PM	Rita	Rita.kapoor@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Please form boundaries based on proximity. Keep walking areas walkable. Bus schools already being bussed. Don[?]t feed growing neighborhoods into the same school as a solution for resolving overcrowding. It does not make sense or use taxpayers money wisely.
03/03/2020 9:26 PM	Ryan Martin	Fortlexington@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek deserves to be more than an afterthought. We are a small community, and the schools currently feeding to Five Oaks are not our neighbors—if you look at a map, the highway and a huge shopping complex separate us from these southern schools. Keep Rock Creek with a northern neighbor: keep us with Springville or Bethany.Our first choice is to go to Five Oaks with Bethany and the Westview feeding portion of Oak Hills, if we cannot go to Stoller.Do not allow SUMMA, a program not offered in every school to every child, to push elementary communities out of Stoller.
03/03/2020 7:57 PM	Sami	Samipdx@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee, please don't send Rockcreek alone to five oaks. Please and please send us with another northern school. We are also ok with split if necessary but please don't send us alone.

03/03/2020 8:14 PM	Sanjay Thodupunuri	sthodup@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Hi, I am a Findley and stoller parent currently with 2 kids. I have been following the middle school boundary adjustment process closely and I feel at this time, the most important thing as a parent is to ensure that kids transition to middle school with their friends.Please don't split Findley during this process.ThanksSanjay
03/03/2020 4:31 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		WHY ARE OPTIONS NUMBERS FLAT IN GROWING AND DECLINING SCHOOLS??? if you account for true options numbers, including Summa, the 2025 numbers in Stoller for Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer are even lower! There are folks looking for every pretext to split and carve up Springville in order to make room for Jacob Wismer+Summa in Stoller and have JW completely untouched in this whole process. Small numbers like this make a difference and need to be corrected so they are not used as an excuse by folks to get their way.
03/03/2020 4:33 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	JACOB WISMER WEST OF KAISER ROAD IS GROWING: There are 3 grid codes for Jacob Wismer west of Kaiser road that are slated to grow between 2021 and 2025. Moving this to Findley and Timberland would reduce Stoller by 103 students in 2025.
03/03/2020 4:36 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		In the Feb 25th meeting as one AC member rightly questioned Why is everyone behind splitting SPRINGVILLE? when clearly there are other areas in Stoller that are Further south and closer to Five Oaks and Meadow Park and Timberland.....Moving Springville to Five Oaks will move THE ONLY DARK PURPLE LOW INCOME AREA OUT OF STOLLER . This will reduce FRL from 10% to less than 7% . 30% decrease in FRL is a BIG DEAL in this area . Does BSD stand for Equity or Walkability? You cannot have both at Stoller because of the geography. If you want to maintain equity , Walkability to Stoller has to be sacrificed to some extend .
03/03/2020 4:37 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8		Westview	Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller in 2025.
03/03/2020 4:39 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		In the quest to create space for Springville at Five Oaks, the suggestion was to move Aloha-Huber to Mountain View. The pretext of this suggestion was that Aloha-Huber was "near" to Mountain View, despite not being walkable. This suggestion makes Mountain View, already at 50% Free & Reduced Lunch to greater than 50% FRL. Also, moving Springville out reduce FRL at Stoller. South Springville is the main source of FRL numbers for Stoller. Please refer to Poverty map from Jan. 9th meeting. I would ask the Adjustment Committee to be consistent in applying rules regarding FRL, proximity, and walkability. Moving Aloha-Huber to Mountain View is not equitable. Please keep Springville in Stoller. This gives disadvatanged kids the to access their "nearest" middle school.
03/03/2020 4:40 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	BSD commitment to Equity is challenged by SUMMA concentration amongst those neighborhoods that are very affluent, and this implies that BSD is spending a good chunk of their learning and development budget that favors only a small minority of wealthy students in the district. Based on heat map, almost negligible SUMMA population is from the poverty areas, which means SUMMA program is not equitable to those in the district that are within the poverty zone or those dependent on Free and Reduced Lunch option. GIVE PRIORITY TO THE REGULAR CURRICULUM STUDENTS OVER SUMMA. BASED ON THE HEAT MAP, IT IS CLEAR THAT SUMMA ENTRANCE IS VERY CLOSELY TIED TO WEALTHY NEIGHBORHOODS AND ACCESS TO SPECIAL COACHING CLASSES. Move SUMMA out of STOLLER. Keep Springville in STOLLER.
03/03/2020 4:41 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		PLEASE MOVE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDFindley has the most number of kids in SUMMA so it makes sense to have SUMMA at Timberland... Jacob Wismer SUMMA is also mostly concentrated in the east close to Findley and Timberland.....Please do not prioritize and option program at stoller WHILE DISPLACING NEIGHBORHOOD KIDS FROM NORTH BETHANY WHO DO NOT HAVE ANOTHER REGULAR MIDDLE SCHOOL NEARBY..
03/03/2020 4:42 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	map of 02/25 meets all objectives but missed approval by on one vote. Only objection being 2025 unrealistic goal for stoller to be 90 percent of permanent capacity. That was not even the BOARD OBJECTIVE!! Please go with Feb 25 th map as it meets the BOARD OBJECTIVE OF MEETING 90% STOLLER CAPACITY..
03/03/2020 9:38 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com		Stoller MS	Westview	The Rhetoric that Springville is growing EXPONENTIALLY NEXT TEN YEARS IS WRONG . We are a mostly built out community and the growth is not so aggressive as PORTRAYED . PLUS the numbers DECREASE AFTER 2025 . This data was provided by BSD . JW plus SATO plus SPRINGVILLE is a LONG TERM SUSTAINABLE COMBINATION AT STOLLER . Please do not SPLIT SCHOOLS AND SEND KIDS 5 MILES AWAY BASED ON UNCERTAIN 2025 numbers . Even if we take the WORST CASE SCENARIO , 2025 WILL NOT CALL FOR A BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT. Please do the right thing for the kids . Last Year's budget Fiasco for BSD was also due to over projections.
03/03/2020 9:41 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		We have heard many comments from Jacob Wismer folks that Springville to Five Oaks is a reasonable commute. Jacob Wismer to Meadow Park is an even shorter commute. Perhaps sending Jacob Wismer to meadow park should be considered as another option to fix the OH-E sunset feeder pattern issue.
03/03/2020 1:07 PM	Satokowatt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller in 2025.
03/03/2020 1:08 PM	Satokowatt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8			OPTIONS NUMBERS ARE WRONG: Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.
03/03/2020 4:07 PM	Shannon Lyon Cornelius	shannon.cornelius@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Please keep our north Bethany communities together! The 2021 estimates for Stoller are in track and after that it will be even less crowded than it is now. I know our communities would prefer some portables as opposed to splitting ya and our kids up! We play on sports teams and dance groups together. This whole process has been rough on everyone in our community - please done split our kids up!!
03/03/2020 9:23 PM	Sharon Zell	sharonzell@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please do not split our small school. Our school is much smaller than the newer, larger schools. Our small community deserves a partner wherever we end up. Please keep Rock Creek with either Bethany or Springville. Our best case scenario, aside from getting put back in Stoller, is to go to Five Oaks with Bethany and the westview feeding 1/2 of Oak Hills. This would create a great feeder for Westview, with the Westview feeding portions of McKinley and Elmonica.
03/03/2020 10:01 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville is a community. We are an integral part of North Bethany. We are close knit and we love our Bethany neighborhood and neighbors. Sending our kids to Five Oaks is like throwing our kids out of the community.
03/03/2020 10:02 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	We demand Preference for Neighborhood School.Some parents will justify Summa is not an option program. You may call it whatever you want but it is not a regular program. Only 8% of kids attend Summa. We demand preference for Neighborhood School OVER Summa.
03/03/2020 10:05 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Why are we spending half of the meeting time on discussing Jacob Wismer and Findley Summa kids walkability? Findley and Jacob Wismer are lucky to have Summa in the neighborhood. But they are not entitled to neighborhood Summa forever. If parents want their kids in SUMMA program, the kids should ride the bus like all other Option Program kids across the district. Please move Stoller Summa program to Timberland. This will not increase transportation cost. BSD said like other option program, Summa students will be Piggybacked.
03/03/2020 10:09 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Please move Summa out of Stoller. Summa is not like neighborhood school, it is an option program. Neighborhood kids must attend the school nearest to them. These kids cannot choose school. Summa kids have the option to attend their neighborhood school or attend Summa at another location. As said by everyone it[?]s an option program. Summa shouldn[?]t be given preference over general education offered in neighborhood school.
03/03/2020 10:20 PM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	We have heard many comments from Jacob Wismer folks that Springville to Five Oaks is a reasonable commute. Jacob Wismer to Meadow Park is an even shorter commute. Perhaps sending Jacob Wismer to meadow park should be considered as another option to fix the OH-E sunset feeder pattern issue and FRL.
03/03/2020 10:32 PM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Jacob Wismer is closer to Five Oaks than Springville. Actually, there are nine other schools closer to Five Oaks than Springville. Why Springville to FO?Why not Jacob Wismer to FO?
03/03/2020 9:40 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	The decision to split Oak Hills to minimize transportation cost in high school boundary change did not work. We are fixing that now in middle school boundary change.Please do not split any ELEMENTARY School.Please do not send Springville kids away from their neighborhood school.
03/03/2020 9:49 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville to Five Oaks Is Not Justifiable. Distance is 5.3 miles from many homes. There is a massive geographical barrier between us.We have Portland Community College, farmland, Westview High School, Rock Creek Elementary School, US 26 - a high traffic corridor separating us. Please feel for our kids. We are not asking for a walkable neighborhood school. All we are requesting is a neighborhood school. Please do not send us to Five Oaks. Yes for Feb 25 final working map.
03/03/2020 9:51 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	If a community is growing split the unbuilt homes to another school. This is not a new idea, BSD has done it in the past for Findley School.Springville community was split 3 years back. Springville kids were split into Sato and Springville. Why will Springville and Pirate Park split again and again ?
03/03/2020 9:56 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thanks committee members for discussing moving Summa from Stoller.Lets discuss the negative consequence of keeping Summa at Stoller:1) Neighborhood School Springville is moved from Extreme North to a Southern School2) Springville kids are losing their community3) Springville Kids are commuting for 5 miles or more.86 kids in JW Summa. For them the entire neighborhood of Springville will be removed from the community and thrown under the bus. Proximity does not mean walkability. LETS DISCUSS EQUALITY OF COMMUTE TIME FOR ALL NORTHERN SCHOOL.
03/03/2020 9:59 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Only 8% of students qualifies for Summa. It is a program for only 3 years.After that in high school there is no Summa program. Spending hours on Summa to satisfy the parents of less than 8% kids is not right. Please move on and solve the bigger problem neighborhood kids are facing. Why is that for the walkability of few Summa kids, the entire neighborhood school suffer? All Summa kids have the option to choose whether they want to attend neighborhood school with their friends or they want to ride a bus and opt for Summa. Across the district all Summa kids ride the bus. JW Summa kids should be no exception.
03/03/2020 2:28 PM	Sheng	lishenggz@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities.

03/03/2020 12:04 PM	sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Feedback on scenario the committee wants to look: Move East Oak Hills to Timberland and Rock Creek to Five Oaks.Result: This will severely underutilize Meadow Park down to 57% in 2021 and 55% in 2025. Plus, this will increase the Free and Reduced Lunch rates at Meadow Park. MP will just be consisted of kids from Barnes ES.PLEASE KEEP FEBRUARAY MAP A WORKING MAP AS IT IS. It is by far the most equitable and sensible map put forward. We just need some tweaks, such as allowing pre-existing portables at Stoller.There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkabilty preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied?WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE.Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.
03/03/2020 12:25 PM	sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller.It almost makes a difference of one classroom.
03/03/2020 8:48 AM	Siri	123@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Hi all, my kids go to findley elementary. My older one will be in stoller summa next year. We live within a walking distance to stoller about 0.5 mile in the community east of stoller right next to JW community. Current map proposal is not fair asking my kids to go to a school 4 miles away. My kids can walk to stollerschool faster than the time it takes to get to TL on a bus. We have safe walk route from within our community to the back of stoller. Kids dont need to get on laidlaw. Please don't bus walking communities. Proximity and safety are very important .This also works great for MS to HS feeder pattern from Stoll to sunset.
03/03/2020 10:21 AM	Sonia Lall	Sonia1.lall@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	The last meeting was very encouraging because the committee seems to have understood the real problem at Stoller. I heard quite a few of them say that we have a major overhaul to do, not pick on small numbers like 50 or 100 kids. We need to address the larger numbers from growing populations. I think that stable communities are needed in Stoller and that one growing community can strike a balance. It is very encouraging to see that the majority of the committee understands now that just removing one growing feeder from Stoller can take care of the entire northern district. Thank you for your time
03/03/2020 9:28 PM	Sonia Singh	soniasingh56@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Please do not split Findley.. All of Findley deserves to be together in stoller.. Findley in no way can cause the over crowding issues for future stoller projection numbers.. Growing communities need to be the ones to go to a new school which will be able to take in these numbers..
03/03/2020 1:35 PM	Sri	s_paruvada@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	It was so obvious in Feb 25th meeting that many committee members were bluntly tried to move or split Springville rather try to find a solution to accommodate Springville at Stoller. It makes me wonder if they have heard Sprinville community's desires at all or if at all that community matters to them, I just want to remind all the committee members that they took oath to represent entire BSD[?]'s interests when they accepted this position not just few schools[?] interests. I really hope they come around and do the right thing, please don[?]t crush hopes of the Sprinville, that is struggling to make their point due to no representation on the committee for them.
03/03/2020 10:10 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE has became target for many From day one, Springville has become the target for many committee members, and many neighborhood schools. But why? Because we don[?]t have any committee members to represent us? Because we are not wealthy like other neighborhoods?Our very own middle school(Springville K-8) was taken away from us, we already got hurt by it, and now without any guilt, Springville is being in discussion to get moved to the farthest school or to brutally get split SO amenities like SUMMA and WALKABILITY are preserved for schools that are already privileged.
03/03/2020 6:28 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee members,You will notice multiple references to the Feb 25th meeting video in my comment, just to make it easy for you I[?]m going to mention at what point in the video certain things were clarified We were so close to identify a potential solution in Feb 25th meeting....In my mind, there were 2 things that tripped most of the committee members and drove us to not have a solution by end of that meeting. 1.Having SUMMA numbers included in Stoller projections for 2021 and 2025 –during the meeting, Mr. Spark confirmed that committee should assume SUMMA is OUT for this discussion and should only focus on general education (35min 40 sec in the video recording for your reference) teaching and learning will decide where SUMMA will be placed based on different factors once the general education is decided. 2.Since the beginning of this process, Mr. Spark insisted there are 2 main objectives for this boundary change discussion...A) Reduce Stoller capacity to around 90% of it[?]'s permanent capacity.B)Fill Timberland to around 90% to its permanent capacity. Both A and B are met completely with Feb 25th map, however there was the 3rd objective that caused lot of churn, which is Stoller projections for 2025.....lot of time was spent on this topic and at the end of the meeting Mr. Spark clarifies at 2:24:45 sec in the video that our goal should be finding solution for A and B and implies 2025 numbers are just nice to have. While I understand why many committee members are concerned about 2025 and not wanting to come back to do boundary adjustments again, which is a valid point... 2025 is not that scary or mess like some of the committee members referred ...2025 is looking much better than 2020... Stoller is able to manage over capacity for all these years, and there is no reason to freak out too much when the situation is actually getting better in 2025, also Mr. Spark mentioned at 48.18 sec in the video that, If 2025 projections hold true, there is little over capacity, Stoller can continue to use portables for 2 years and then it will start declining anyway in 2027. Here some other things to consider..... 1.2025 projection on Map A (Feb 25th map) did include SUMMA kids – So like Spark confirmed above, we should subtract SUMMA kids from this projections – So Stoller will gain some more capacity. 2.Stoller[?]'s current year(2019-2020) occupancy is 1,560 while it[?]'s total capacity (permanent capacity + Portables) is 13753.According to 2025 projections, total kids will be enrolled to Stoller are 1491 and if you subtract SUMMA kids from this capacity, that number is going to be way under Stoller[?]'s total capacity, 13754.And in 2027, Stoller enrollment will start declining, so if there is a problem at all, it is going to be there for just 2 years5.If we do little bit of elementary adjustments, that were suggested by few of the committee members to actually increase declining capacity at Findly, we will be ROCK SOLID for Stoller for next 10 years.So committee members, please watch the Feb 25th meeting video, all of your concerns are already addressed, please don[?]t take harsh decision of moving or splitting Springville and punish our kids for the problem that may or may not occur in 2025, while 2025 is not BSD[?]'s primary objective, even if you consider it is primary objective, we do have reasonable solutions to deal with that problem.....Thank you for all the hard work you guys are putting in, we all appreciate your sincere efforts truly.
03/03/2020 9:34 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thank you for all the committee members who have been thinking about Springville. Without you, we would have not made this far, you guys are our only hope and our only voiceswe have been doing uphill battle since the October, every other meeting we get a set back and as a community we are working hard to stay positive and trying our best to make our voices heard...we really really appreciate the efforts you have been making to hear us and represent us. Every one of you are making a difference in each and every meeting. Thank you.
03/03/2020 9:53 PM	Sridevi	sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	WHY CAN'T JW MOVE TO 5 OAKS or MEADOW PARK or TIMBERLANDWe Springville community have been pleading to not separate us from neighboring Bethany schools and communities, requesting to not make us an island, trying to come up with different solutions so no one have to give up big ...however our sister school JW explicitly coming at us and trying to corner Springville at every opportunity it gets. THIS IS JUST NOT FAIR ...JW has been calling distance between Springville and Five oaks is negligible, IF SO we are saying JW is closer to FO and JW is closer to Timberland and JW is closer Medlow Park, why can[?]t JW can move to one of these schools and why this never come up in a discussion?.....this should be considered as one of the options if we talk about move and/or split at all.
03/03/2020 6:59 AM	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
03/03/2020 2:26 PM	Steve	soo13579@gmail.com		Stoller MS		I watched the Feb. 25th meeting and had a feeling that the decision would never be made; it keeps going around the circle.There is no way to satisfy everyone. That is why we look at the DATA. Now we have enough data and it is time to make a decision. Not by the loud voice but by the numbers. Otherwise, BDS WILL NEED TO GO THROUGH THIS WAR AGAIN IN A FEW YEARS, WASTING OUR MONEY AND TIME!Since I do not know about other areas well, I would like to comment on the Stoller issues only. Though Map C had some issues, for Stoller, that map carries a good answer. It got the most votes at the previous meeting, then, what happened? After the opinion board was dominated by a certain community, only one map, which looked similar to the old ones and which all agreed that it did not meet the goals, was on the table. And, again, at this meeting, all agreed that this new map did not meet the goal, which we all already knew. What a waste of time and resources.As the committee agreed, we cannot avoid splitting the big growing communities in order to meet the goals.1. I agree that sending North Springville students to Five Oaks is not a good idea. They should stay at Stoller. But the Southern part of SPV can go to another school unless SV wants to go to FO all together.2. We should understand JW's concerns. Oak Hills wants to split for a better feeder pattern for MS to HS, which is proved to be more important than ES to MS. Yes, sometimes "splits" are needed for a better cause. And, splits from ES to MS does not cause big troubles. My middle schooler's friends are all from different ESs. But, MS to HS is a different story as one of the parents mentioned.3. Walkability: one of the committee members said that the North-east part of Findley, where I live, cannot walk to Stoller because there are no sidewalks in some area on Laidlaw. Wrong. The students living in Bethany Heights (right next to Arbor Heights) and Bannister use local roads (i.e. Greenwood Dr.). 4. Walk/Bike zone: some people say "is it really walkable? A lot of parents offer to ride." This zone is the area that BSD does not need to send school buses. If it is walkable or not really depends on each individual. BSD does not need to waste money to provide bus services to this area in order to move these kids to a farther school.5. SUMMA, the hot potato. We were informed that SUMMA's location is not included in this decision-making process. Considering the number of SUMMA students, it seems that it does not make sense to make a decision without counting the number of SUMMA at Stoller. However, to some extent, it sounds right. We cannot rely on the current SUMMA number anyways because it keeps changing each year. In order to come up with a sustainable solution, Stoller should be under capacity even including the current SUMMA numbers. =' In conclusion, there is enough data on hands as well as THE ANSWER for Stoller. Split SV (North and South) and Findley (at Bronson Creek), while keeping SUMMA at Stoller, where the majority of its SUMMA kids live in the walk/bike zone. It will meet all the goals in terms of capacity, maximizing walkability and minimizing cost, proximity, cleaner feeder patter for MS to HS.

03/03/2020 10:02 PM	Subhashini Sreeramsetty	s_subhashini123@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]'s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!P.S. GENTLE REMINDER - PLEASE KINDLY MOVE EAST OAK HILLS KIDS TO TIMBERLAND TO END ISOLATION AT SUNSET.
03/03/2020 9:30 PM	Sudeep	duttsudeep@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		No split to the Findley boundary.. Findley kids should be with their friends at stoller..
03/03/2020 9:10 PM	suresh kumar	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Please don't split findley or any other elementary schools.Best solution to resolve overcrowding in stoller is to remove one of the growing community from stoller to five oaksAs data shows findley number are decreasing. which is best fit to stoller and reduce the transport cost. going forward as well because THPRD building more walk-able trail to walk to school.
03/03/2020 6:15 AM	Suzi Fei	suzifei@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				The question of who gets Stoller is a hard question because both sides have valid concerns: however, it needs to be solved or this process can[?]t progress. The way to solve it is with unbiased data. Please only present options that have a chance of being accepted by BSD. For each option, please estimate the sum total bussing costs for all affected kids including summa. Minimizing bus costs minimizes time wasted on the bus overall and ought to help with proximity/feeder patterns. It appears it was a high priority to BSD when HS boundaries were drawn or they would not have split Oak Hills at the last minute (a decision we have grown to support since each half has walkable areas). For each serious option presented, if minor changes to ES and HS boundaries are needed to make it more palatable for each neighborhood, please propose them at the same time and then pursue them aggressively when a final decision has been made. Thanks for your hard work on this challenging problem.
03/03/2020 11:48 AM	Tim Ertz	tertz68@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please keep Findely ES, Jacob Wismer ES, and Sato ES as the primarily feeder schools for Stoller MS. Also, please retain Summa in Stoller for as long as that program is maintained by the district.... moving Summa out is not the answer, as that will not have a significant impact on population. Ultimately, it may make more sense for Summa to be discontinued as a program (due to questionable value), rather than shuffled around from one MS school to another.Conversley, 100% of Springville population should be directed to Five Oaks MS to prevent overcrowding and maintain balance in the Stoller population between the Sunsent-bound and Westview-bound populations. This is the only reasonable solution for several reasons, but most importantly, Stoller is a "walking" school for both the Jacob Wismer and Findley populations, and reducing transportation costs and traffic congestion are both worthwhile prioritess for the district. Springville ES population must bus or drive regardless of which school they attend, directing them to Five Oaks does not change that. Also, the introduction of the Springville population should be expected to have an immediate beneficial effect on Five Oaks MS, in terms of achieveing district objectives.Thank you for your consideration.
03/03/2020 6:59 PM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				There are nine elementary schools closer to Five Oaks than Springville is. We are not part of the Five Oaks community. Please do not pluck our kids out of their neighborhood middle school.
03/03/2020 7:03 PM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Given that there is no Springville representative on the committee, I whole heartedly thank those committee members who are standing up for the hundreds of Springville kids. I really appreciate that the committee members are looking at the big picture and making recommendations based on the 4 JC factors.
03/03/2020 7:12 PM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Please keep focusing on the objectives of reducing Stoller capacity to 90% in 2021. 2025 is NOT an objective. Even with BSD aggressive (PSU data has a much lower projection) projections, Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer will fit using a few portables.
03/03/2020 7:15 PM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Springville community provides much needed diversity to Stoller student body.
03/03/2020 10:01 PM	Tolmachev Nikolay	4288olenic@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Rock Creek at Stoller with Jacob Wismer and Sato. This makes sense since Rock Creek is an established community and this way we don[?]t have to displace established communities to accommodate new establishments.This would also reduce the need for split and also balance the numbers out and improve diversity at Stoller.Wondering why is Springville immune from being removed from Stoller when compared to Rock Creek?Or if moved from Stoller move us to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills
03/03/2020 1:58 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Due to INCORRECT FLAT PROJECTIONS in Options and Summa numbers, your 2025 numbers for Stoller are OFF by around 40 kids. This makes a difference! Please check the FLAT PROJECTIONS for Summa and Options and note that when adjusted, we will have 40 students less in Stoller.It almost makes a difference of one classroom.
03/03/2020 12:01 PM	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.e) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUCE THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.
03/03/2020 12:02 PM	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller wherethere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity at Stoller in 2021.
03/03/2020 12:06 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Moving Findley to Timberland instead of Stoller hardly makes much difference in transportation costs.BSD built 64 million dolar Timberland facility to relieve middle school congestion.So ideally the kids closest to it should move to Timberland. Move entire Findley and SUMMAstudents to Timberland. It doesn't make sense to have Springville students travel sucha huge distance to Five Oaks, just to make way for some SUMMA students and concerns about walkability.SUMMA is just an OPTIONAL program not an absolute necessity. Preference should be given to regularprograms first.Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded schools is important. Findley has the most SUMMA students so itmakes sense to move it to Timberland. If SUMMA remains in Stoller it will never meet the objective of 90%.Also moving SUMMA out of Stoller will improve the economic dversity in Stoller.Below analysis is by transporation in BSD site.Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 ----NOTE THIS AMOUNTBSD will be losing \$25,584 by moving Springville to Five oaks instead of StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 . Increase of \$8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.
03/03/2020 1:59 PM	varshas	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025! These should NOT BE FLAT. We will have around 40 fewer kids in Stoller. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities. It almost makes a difference of one classroom.
03/03/2020 10:26 PM	Venkatesh Dh	venkatesh.dhandapani23@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Committee Members & Mr SparksI am not very comfortable at public speaking, so preferring a written comment over testimony. I have my doubts whether each written comments gets its due justice, but I trust all of you and will go ahead with my expression. I live one of highest growing Sato neighborhoods. I am deeply concerned with thought/possibility of splitting Sato Elementary boundary. My son joined Sato in 2018 in 1st grade once we moved here. It is a new school, yet to be rated even. Despite coming from different culture and country, if I think of my own fun years of school, it was the time when I was in late primary and early middle school years. I still distinctly remember some of the moments from those years.. I am still in contact with many of my friends from my primary school years. Like any other parent, I would really want my son to enjoy his primary school years and grow with same friends as he goes from elementary to middle to high school. Being an introvert, I was deeply disturbed by how the reboundary process has become political power show with lawyers involved if I hear this right. I would like to request all of you to please keep Sato boundary untouched.. Please leave the young school alone, this is the newest fish in BSD sea. Please do not disturb feeder pattern for these kids at Sato. And being regular guest at some of my friends at pirates park neighborhood, I would say please keep them with Sato. We go to same birthday parties, school programs, chaperon same events, share rides for classes, playdates, play in the parks.. you name it. SATO AT POLYGON HOMES AND PIRATE PARKS is a SINGLE, UNITED, CONNECTED & FUNCTIONAL ECOSYSTEM. Please do not separate us. Being someone who would not give any public testimony, I count on each one of you to keep Sato united.
03/03/2020 11:18 AM	vijaya	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		A feedback on scenario the committee wants to look: Move East Oak Hills to Timberland and Rock Creek to Five Oaks.Result: This will severely underutilize Meadow Park down to 57% in 2021 and 55% in 2025. Plus, this will increase the Free and Reduced Lunch rates at Meadow Park. MP will just be consisted of kids from Barnes ES.Please keep February 25th working map as it is. It is by far the most equitable and sensible map put forward. We just need some tweaks, such as allowing pre-existing portables at Stoller.
03/03/2020 8:04 PM	Vikram Vemulapalli	vikramv@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please keep Findley Elementary school together and do not split. Please find a solution that does not have any elementary school split.You have mapC2.1 that solves Stoller overcrowding for the most part. I felt last weeks meeting showed that the current map does not work without splitting many elementary schools.
03/03/2020 7:03 PM	vinod a	vinod.a@gmail.com					Whitford meeting was the key moment and the map was really good one. I thank all the AC who voted and want to ask the AC who didn't voted. The only reason they voted down was 2025 numbers was 130%. This is 130% of permanent capacity with NO SUMMA it is only 114% of Permanent Capacity. Even if we use the portables we still have 144 spots left open for any kid who wants to be Stroller. Why don't we use the investment put in to the portables. Again who is responsible for 2025 numbers ? They are just estimation. If BSD was certain they would change their objective to 2025 instead of 2021. There is no point in split or removing Springville to another school just because 2025 numbers. I don't see any other reason why this map can not get 1 additional vote.

03/03/2020 7:07 PM	Vinod A	vinod.a@gmail.com					There are NINE / 9 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS closer to FIVE OAKS than SPRINGVILLE. I hope BSD or board change their objectives to 2025 and AC should then work with them. AC suppose to work with the objectives and not to change as needed. - AC's responsible if 2025 numbers go SOUTH ? - AC's for making 700 springville kids travel even further just because they won't want to use portables for additional 14% of the students in 2025Please FOCUS on the OBJECTIVES. Anything else is just NOISE.
03/03/2020 7:11 PM	Vinod A	vinod.a@gmail.com					SUMMA - Please move SUMMA to TIMBERLAND. We keep talking about EQUITY and differentiate kids. SUMMA kids gets lower ratios as well. This is NOT fair for other kids who struggle to get decent comprehensive middle school experience. 2025 numbers - estimates are simply incorrect the way they are done. Please check Options and Summa numbers for 2025. These should NOT BE FLAT as populations in many areas are changing. This MATTERS when we are discussing splitting off communities. Honest request to AC - Please go through the numbers instead of what was given to you. Badly misrepresented 2025 data. Do you guys remembers if 2025 data request was even VOTED ?
03/03/2020 1:15 PM	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			How are the options numbers not changing for Springville despite BSD showing growth in 2025 projections ?How can the resident out or options students be only ~40 despite growth ? If the option enrollments are a percentage of SPV population then population from SPV feeding into Stoller will decline. BSD projections need to be looked at more closely for SPV. There is no more growth in this community so how are the MS numbers so inflated ?
03/03/2020 7:23 PM	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Thank you Committee members for standing up for Springville and Rock Creek !NW Bethany has no voice in this action committee and we were delighted some of you committee members stood up for our 1000 children!Please do not split SPV on faulty BSD projections. They have over projected enrollments based on incorrect assumptions of growth in SPV area. There is no more new construction here. There is a huge price our children will pay if you split and send them on a punishing commute on faulty projections ! 114% is within a margin of error and will last for almost 2 years. This is completely manageable given the total capacity at Stoller.Please consider your positions and vote for the working map. Thank you.
03/03/2020 7:48 PM	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			I can understand your position committee members, it[?]s a tough vote !But here is my case 1) Sending 400+ kids on a punishing commute and farthest for any neighborhoods school based on faulty over projections would give me sleepless nights for many years.2) Even with the proposed splits RC, Bethany, OH will be closer to Five Oaks, Findley, Bonny Slope, Cedar Mills will be closer Timberland than SPV is to Five oaks !!3) Few committee members pushed for splitting SPV but what is more astonishing is that JW came through without a single alteration to their boundary. How is that possible ? History repeating itself ? Is this committee paying too much heed to a select school at the detriment to other schools ? 4) SPV at Stoller is a NEED while Summa at Stoller is a WANT. Please choose wisely. Thanks!
03/03/2020 8:33 AM	Winnie Pedro	Winniek698@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I am voting for Map c 2.0. The other 2 boundary options are not at all considerate for a family[?]s schedule and organization. Convenience and safety are the primary reasons I bought the home I am in now. As a full time working single mom, I rely on ease of access to the schools closest to to me to be able to achieve a modicum of work/life balance. Boundary changes other than Map C 2.0 is disruptive to the entire community separating childhood friends and SUMMA students from their right to learn with their current friends. Another reason is the [?]walkability[?]of Map C 2.0. Simply put it is better for the environment to walk rather than bus.
03/03/2020 11:22 PM	Yajing W	yajingyajing@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please no isolation of Springville community: we are at the northernmost bethany area doesn[?]t mean we deserve the longest commute from elementary to middle school in the district. On our west side is large PCC campus and farmland which separate us from other schools that feed to Five Oaks geographically. Stoller is our only option. Please move the entire Findley to Timberland which is closer and should be utilized.

RE: Proposed Adjustments to Feb 25th Working Map

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 3/3/2020 10:55 AM

To: Anu <anu.balu@gmail.com>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (759 KB)

Edits to Feb 25th working map.pdf;

Mr. Balu -

I have entered your file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Anu <anu.balu@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2020 10:07 AM

To: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Proposed Adjustments to Feb 25th Working Map

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Don Grotting, Steven Sparks and Middle School Boundary Adjustment Committee,

This attached letter is a proposed Middle School Boundary Adjustment proposal to address the concerns the committee raised in the last boundary meeting on Feb 25th in the working map.

We request the committee and parents to look at the below key criteria along with data to make well informed decisions while proposing middle school boundaries. *The boundary change should avoid coming up with short-sighted solutions that do not solve the overcrowding issue in middle schools; and bring us back into this same situation in a couple of years.* I am sure the district and the committee don't want to repeat this exercise in a few years.

Please review the attached PDF and let us know what you think or if you have any questions or concerns.

Thanks!
Anu

Dear Don Grotting, Steven Sparks and Middle School Boundary Adjustment Committee,

This letter is a proposed Middle School Boundary Adjustment proposal to address the concerns the committee raised in the last boundary meeting on Feb 25th in the working map.

We request the committee and parents to look at the below key criteria along with data to make well informed decisions while proposing middle school boundaries. *The boundary change should avoid coming up with short-sighted solutions that do not solve the overcrowding issue in middle schools; and bring us back into this same situation in a couple of years.* I am sure the district and the committee don't want to repeat this exercise in a few years.

Why Feb 25th proposal was not accepted?

To summarize some of the key reasons the committee could not align on the Feb 25th working map were as below.

1. The proposed map did not solve the School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment of {*Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS*} because it showed all of Springville and Sato (the two largest rapidly growing neighborhoods in North Bethany) feeding into Stoller.
2. The proposed map also over solves this School Board Objective of {*Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming*} because Timberland is already over in 2021 and right at full capacity in 2025.
3. The committee did not like the uncertainty of not knowing where SUMMA will land, and even with SUMMA in Timberland; *Stoller is at 114% in 2025.*

	Cedar Park	Conestoga	Five Oaks	Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total	If SUMMA at Timberland	
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	81%	91%	90%	85%	107%	82%	104%	88%	105%	92%	Stoller	Timberland
Utilization of Perm. + Portable Capacity	71%	80%	90%	78%	97%	75%	82%	88%	105%	85%	88%	104%
Permanent Seats Open	168	89	100	128	(57)	180	(47)	128	(41)	648	125	(44)
Permanent + Portable Seats Open	294	215	100	212	27	264	247	128	(41)	1,446	419	(44)

	Cedar Park	Conestoga	Five Oaks	Highland Park	Meadow Park	Mountain View	Stoller	Timberland	Whitford	Total	If SUMMA at Timberland	
Utilization of Permanent Capacity	78%	94%	73%	85%	96%	70%	185%	83%	96%	90%	Stoller	Timberland
Utilization of Perm. + Portable Capacity	68%	83%	73%	77%	88%	65%	102%	83%	96%	82%	114%	99%
Permanent Seats Open	191	54	280	132	30	294	(322)	185	37	882	(150)	13
Permanent + Portable Seats Open	317	180	280	216	114	378	(28)	185	37	1,680	144	13

This is with acknowledging that the projections for North Bethany are conservative if anything at this point. The growth projection data can be viewed from the PSU study here (pages 36,37,38): <https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1129&context=enrollmentforecasts>

Gaps with current proposal:

Before we go into the proposed solution, let's outline the outlandish actions taken to address the situation and why our solution should be considered and holds merit

1. All versions of previous working maps and sessions have shown that the minute you feed even a small portion of Springville into Stoller you can never ever address overcrowding at Stoller – [FAQ 1 and FAQ 2](#)
2. Forming a boundary not based on proximity or incorrect assumptions for walkable areas (Findley walkable includes much more than just Oakridge. These include Bethany heights, Oak meadows and Bannister heights; areas mentioned without sidewalks are actually very

walkable using trails and backroads) All of the Findley community is within 1.5 miles of Stoller. *Please note the Farthest Findley home to Stoller is still closer than the closest Springville home to Stoller.* Almost 47% of Findley community students walk to Stoller. And for the 53% that cannot walk, Stoller is by far the closest neighborhood school much closer than Timberland. More THPRD trails are expected to be built in the coming 2 years increasing the walkability % further.

3. Misusing Taxpayer Money - We have heard repeatedly from the Administrator for Transportation Mr. Craig Beaver and the Director of Programs that walkability is important, since transportation and programs come from the same budget. Feb 25th working map does not give any regard for walkability and takes money from programs to fund transportation. Additionally, these maps don't take into account future walking pathways which will increase the % of Findley and Jacob Wismer homes that fall within this area. *Any taxpayer would not want their tax dollars used for busing 100s of students who can walk. Why are we taking money from programs and taxpayers to bus walkable students?* BSD owes it to the community to use tax dollars wisely. *How does BSD justify this in the face of a \$35M shortfall in budget where over 200 teachers are cut and every year additional programs are being removed due to no funding?*
4. SUMMA has to move to Timberland - In order to fit two rapidly growing communities - Sato and Springville in Stoller, the current suggestion in the AC report is to move all SUMMA to Timberland. This would not only bus all the Findley students who can walk to Stoller but also bus about 25% of JW to Timberland. *Additionally, 52% of the district wide SUMMA is in the Stoller attendance area out of which 47% is currently walkable.* Surely, there is a better solution than this solution that increases the wastage of taxpayer dollars and BSD's budget.

Proposed Adjustments to Feb 25th Working Map

The adjustments proposed to Feb 25th working map are with the main intent of addressing each of the policy JC Factors and all the feedback from North BSD residents.

Findley vs. Springville in Stoller: JC Factors

Policy JC Factors	Findley and JW in Stoller	Springville in Stoller
Student body composition	Findley to Stoller or Timberland does not impact Student Body Composition	Springville to Five Oaks drastically improves FO student body composition statistics. Springville to Stoller does not cause any impact.
Current and future availability of space at a school	Findley + SUMMA together with JW and Sato: 2021:99% and 2025:106%	Springville + SUMMA with JW and Sato: 2021:104% and 2025:130% Springville + JW + Sato: (Without SUMMA): 2021:88% and 2025: 114%

Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school	With Findley to Stoller, Sunset and Westview split is a healthy: 50-50	With Springville to Stoller, Sunset feeder is only 17% (with SUMMA) barely 10% (without SUMMA) which is below the district median
Neighborhood proximity and accessibility	<p>Farthest Findley home is closer than the closest SPV home to Stoller</p> <p>47% of Findley is currently walkable to Stoller. This % increases to over 85% in near future with Saltzman road improvement</p>	SPV is the farthest Stoller feeding school as of today: 100% of SPV is currently bussed to Stoller.

Feedback from North BSD Residents:

The proposed adjustments meet every concern raised by other North BSD residents:

1. Findley wants to be unsplit at Stoller
2. Oakhills East wants Sunset feeders to go to HS with
3. Oakhills West wants to stay with Bethany ES so they get Westview friends
4. Rock creek wants at least one other north neighbor school with them
5. Jacob Wismer wants Sunset feeders along with them
6. Sato wants North neighborhood school along with them
7. Bonny slope, Cedar mill and Terralinda want to stay together
8. Does not split any of these elementary schools - Findley, Jacob Wismer, Sato, Springville all of who want to stay together.

Proposed Solution:


The changes to the map are only to the Northern schools since those are the focus of this boundary exercise and where there was lack of consensus from the committee.

1. Mountain View, Highland Park, Conestoga and Whitford have no changes from the Feb 25th working map.
2. Cedar Park boundary also has no change except that Rachel Carson option program has been moved there.


Middle school	Feeds to High School	Proposed ES	Proj 2021	Proj 2025
Five Oaks	WHS	Springville, Rock creek, McKinley Westview, Elmonica Westview		
		Total	951	971
		% utilization	91%	93%
Meadow Park	AHS, SUN and BHS	Elmonica AHS, McKinley AHS, Beaver Acres, Aloha Huber, Barnes		
		Summa (FOaks, MPark, CPark)		

		Total	860	724
		% utilization	101%	85%
Timberland	SUN and WHS	Bonny slope, Terra linda, Cedarmill, OakHills, Bethany ES		
		Summa (Timberland boundary)		
		Total	1051	1057
		% utilization	96%	96%
Cedar Park	BHS	WestTv, RidgeWood, William walker, Chehalem BHS		
		Rachel Carson		
		Total	704	681
		% Utilization	81%	78%
Stoller	SUN and WHS	Findley, Jacob Wismer, Sato		
		Total	806	877
		% Utilization	75%	81%
		Summa (Stoller boundary)	294	294
		Total	1071	1142
		% Utilization	99%	106%
Mountain View	AHS and MHS	No Change from Feb 25 th Working Map		
		Total	810	696
		% utilization	82%	70%
Highland Park	MHS, SRHS	No Change from Feb 25 th Working Map		
		Total	743	739
		% utilization	85%	85%
Conestoga	MHS, SRHS	No Change from Feb 25 th Working Map		
		Total	870	905
		% utilization	91%	94%
Whitford	BHS, SRHS	No Change from Feb 25 th Working Map		
		Summa (CON, HPARK, MTNV, WHI)		
		Total	899	821
		% utilization	105%	96%

Proposed Map:



Utilization Data for Proposed Map:



Middle School	Proj. 2021 Total	Proj. 2025 Total	2021 %utilization	2025 %utilization
Five Oaks	951	971	91%	93%
Meadow Park	860	724	101%	85%
Timberland	1051	1057	96%	96%
Cedar Park	704	681	81%	78%
Stoller	1071	1142	99%	106%
Mountain View	810	696	82%	70%
Highland Park	743	739	85%	85%
Conestoga	870	905	91%	94%
Whitford	899	821	105%	96%

FAQs

1. What are the changes in this map from the February 25th working map?

Ans:

- Bethany and Oak Hills move to Timberland
- Elmonica (part that goes to AHS) moved to Meadow Park (helps High School Feeder, since FO feeds into Westview)

- McKinley (part that goes to AHS) moved to Meadow Park (helps High School Feeder, since FO feeds to Westview)
- Beaver acres moved to Meadow Park
- Aloha Huber moved to Meadow Park
- Findley is moved from Timberland to Stoller (improves Sunset feeders in Stoller, improves walkability, reduces budget for transportation and allows more school programs to be funded)
- Springville and Rock Creek moved to Five Oaks. FO now completely feeds into Westview
- No change to Cedar Park boundary. Rachel Carson moved to Cedar Park.

2. Why can't we have Springville and Sato in Stoller?

Ans: Refer to Appendix A

3. Why can't we have at least part of Springville in Stoller?

Ans: Refer to Appendix A

4. Can we split Sato and come up with a solution?

Ans: This is something the committee wanted to see during the last meeting. However, parts of Sato are walkable to Stoller. Further, splitting Sato will not gain anything. If Sato is split, the part that is split will have to go to FO which is too far and will cause further overcrowding there. This is not a path to the solution.

5. Why not just move Oakridge to JW and move other parts of JW to Findley. And then move Findley to Timberland?

Ans: Both Findley and JW have similar demographics, they can both walk to Stoller, they both have declining population. **Essentially FE and JW are similar in every manner. Swapping one for the other will not gain anything.** If you take parts of JW and put them in Findley, those kids that could walk to JW will not have to be bussed to Findley. **Overcrowding in Stoller cannot be solved by exchanging similar communities.** It is solved by removing fast growing communities and putting in declining communities.

6. How many students from Findley can walk to Stoller?

Ans: About 47% of Findley lives within 1 mile of Stoller and can walk to it. This is over 200 students. The proposed walking paths and improvements to Saltzman will increase the % of walking to 80%+.

7. Why is Bethany and Oak hills in Timberland?

Ans: Bethany feeds to Westview and Oakhills west feed into Westview. If the two schools are in there, it ensures proper HS feeding patterns.

APPENDIX A


Reducing Overcrowding at Stoller

The optimum way to reduce overcrowding at Stoller so we don't have to redraw boundaries again in a couple of years is to keep stable, non-growing neighborhood communities continue to feed into it. The minute we bring in any of the rapidly growing communities -esp. Springville, then the #s begin to speak for themselves.

Even though you are not currently considering the A and C maps, those numbers show us something:

Here are the capacity numbers for Stoller in 2021 and 2025 from the A maps:


1. Map A (all of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 98% and 123%;
2. Map A2.0 (most of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 113% and 133%;
3. Map A 2.1 (Northern most part of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 101% and 121%



This clearly shows that having even a small part of Springville like North Springville in Stoller causes the numbers to spike up. Map A 2.1 has only a small part of Springville in Stoller. However, these are the new developments in the north which are going to see a lot more boom in the coming years, plus is home to many young families with younger kids. In 2025 Stoller is already at 121% in Map A2.1. *We think this negates the whole purpose of this boundary and this is unacceptable to BSD and the community who must send their kids to an overcrowded school with safety risks.* The worst part is this number will be even higher in 2026 and beyond. Data clearly shows that there is no way to make the numbers work even if part of Springville is in Stoller, especially if the part of Springville is from the north.

Now, let us look at what the capacity numbers are for Stoller in 2021 and 2025 from the C maps:

1. Map C (small south section of Springville + Sato in Stoller): 100% and 107%;
2. Map C 2.0 (small south section of Springville + Sato in Stoller): 100% and 107%;
3. *Map C 2.1 (no Springville in Stoller, Sato in Stoller): 99% and 106%,*
4. Map C 2.2 (north Springville + Sato in Stoller): 101% and 121%.



The numbers speak for themselves to demonstrate very clearly that the minute you put Springville or just north Springville in Stoller, there is just no way to bring the numbers below 121% capacity for Stoller. Moving SUMMA as a solution will just compound the problem by busing even more students who can walk. Instead, please consider a solution that retains walkability and reduces costs.

The bottom line is having two rapidly growing communities in Stoller is just not sustainable. That is the fundamental problem that needs to be fixed

Electronic Signatures:

1. Anupama Balasubramanian
2. Karthik Subramanian
3. Subramanyam Sripada
4. Sireesha Pisipati
5. Zheng Zhang
6. Xiao Shi

7. Ravi Mantri
8. Shipa Mantri
9. Bikram Patnaik (Arbor Estates)
10. Bala Kumar
11. Surabhi Kumar
12. Geeti Jena P (Arbor Estates)
13. Shilpa Rameshchander
14. Srikanth Ragam
15. Yuning Zhang
16. Zheng Ren
19. Xiaoxiao Wu
20. Baotong Xie
21. Linlin Hou
22. Luke Xie
23. Mark Behbehani
24. Kathyayani Srikanteswara
25. Xiruo Liu
26. Huawei Yuan

27. Sameer Ruiwale

28. Sheetal Ruiwale

29. Zhenlin Luo

30. Lili Zhou

31. Yanbin Luo

32. Yue Zhang

33. Monica Li

34. Fa Wang

35. Lu Han

36 Daitao Chen

37.Qingdong Wang

38.Yang Cheng

39.Shaofan Li

40.Yong Yang

41. Lynnsey Sitter

42. Ryan Sitter

43. Peiwen Russak

44. Ravi Gurudath

- 45. GV Rao
- 47. Jinmei Wu
- 48. Ping Qiu
- 49. Hongzhe Li
- 50. Xiue Jiang
- 51. Wenchong Hu
- 52. Kaylee Hu
- 53. Shannon X
- 54. Kurt Cui
- 55. Ting Jiang
- 56. Shoucheng Du
- 57. Lina Gao
- 58. Zhongtao Fu
- 59. Vani Bandekodige
- 60. Anil Yadalam
- 61. Chenlu Wang
- 62. Sunlin Chou
- 63. Santhosh Krishnamurthy

64. Sujata Sridaran

65. Sonia Singh

66. Sudeep Dutt

67. Hiren Patel

68. Rashmi Patel

69. Pronab Das

70. Anju Nadkarni

71. Milind Nadkarni

FW: JW 2025 growth development and solution

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 3/5/2020 9:01 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (953 KB)

JWGrowth.pdf.pdf;

Please include in comments

From: Prashant Kowtal <prashant.p.kowtal@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 3, 2020 11:29 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: JW 2025 growth development and solution

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello Mr. Sparks,

Good morning!

In the recent BSD meeting held on 2/25, the JW representative in the Advisory committee came up with an arbitrary 95% capacity ask at Stoller in 2025.

However as per original objectives published by BSD - there's no specific requirements for expected capacity at Stoller in 2025. The expectation of 90% capacity in 2021 takes into account the future growth projections.

This arbitrary and late misdirects by JW representative resulted in wasteful utilization of a significant portion of 2/25 meeting by AC members towards discussions around 2025 Stoller capacity projections and SUMMA, that could have been otherwise better utilized for serving the needs of the BSD community.

Kindly request your help to advise on the BSD objectives of 2021 to the AC members as well as parents and members of general public.

Secondly - please consider attachment that shows the JW projected growth area between Bethany and Kaiser.


In the document - the shaded area accounts for the JW growth and development; There's growth in this area from 2021 to 2025 as the new homes are projected to be built and sold during the next 2-3 years.

Moving this area to Findley and subsequently to Timberland would reduce the Stoller population by 103 in 2025. This helps to reduce the permanent capacity of Stoller by 9.5%.


Pls do consider in the discussions. Thank you!

Regards,
Prashant

“TRIANGLE” OF GROWTH- BETWEEN BETHANY AND KAISER ROAD



This area
is growing!



- This area has brand-new, single family homes which are on the market now
- Moving this area to Findley/ Timberland would **reduce Stoller by 103 students in 2025** (Permanent capacity ↓ 9.5%)