

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
03/02/2020 4:09 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,Please vote for the Feb 25th working map. It takes Stoller numbers from 1600 down to less than 1000 in 2021, and only up to ~1200 in 2025 after which it drops again. This is very sustainable especially in a school that already has portables on site, IN CASE it is needed.Please do the right thing, and go forward with the Feb 25th workmap with minimal changes. It is the best map for all communities - so far no other map has come as close to keeping Communities together as this one. Diversity and economics are nicely balanced out. 14% is not a huge number of students worth breaking communities over. Our communities will forever be grateful that they could be together instead of the other way round. With the slight change of moving East oak hills to Timberland, this map will be the best possible solutions under the given circumstances.Thank you for considering these points.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
03/02/2020 4:40 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8		Westview		Dear Committee Members, Please do not make Springville an ISLAND. Keep us within our North Bethany Community at our only middle school option, Stoller. DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE. Do not send any of our kids on an unnecessarily long commute through unsafe roads for uncertain projections. If the projections are indeed accurate, Stoller can handle the 14% in 2025, with the portables already on site. Furthermore, the growth tapers down after 2025, so there is no need to panic and we will NOT be back to adjusting boundaries anytime soon. All of Findley fills Timberland and gets Stoller to the 2021 objective of 88%. Summa can be moved to a school that has space, at Teaching and Learning's discretion. Regular students should be prioritized over Options programs especially when space is limited.Thank you for considering these points.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
03/02/2020 9:02 AM	A.Sankari	sankaribaby@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Here is what the Feb 25th work-map does:1. Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212. Even the increase in 2025 is only 14% for a couple of years and after which it falls and gets below - Even with aggressive projections3. Maintains FLR for Stoller at 10% : Any other changes will only bring it down even further.It does NOT make us have another boundary adjustment process in a few years. It does NOT make it overcrowded again.. The numbers are clear even with aggressive projections. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller in a long term and sustainable manner. I really think SUMMA & JW had more time in the committee discussions than just about everyone else put together.
03/02/2020 7:13 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			2021 objective Stoller 90% permanent capacity. No 2025 in decision making please
03/02/2020 7:17 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					114% in 2025 at Stoller is far better than current 150% permanent capacity . Also the grow goes down after 2025. Do not punish SPV kids immediately by showing 2025 numbers which is not MS objective
03/02/2020 7:19 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Do not punish SPV kids for just over 14% (unrealistic projections) of permanent capacity at Stoller.It's like using 2 portables in 2025 versus 14 portables using by Stoller currently.2025 is NOT MS BOUNDARY OBJECTIVE. 2021 IS.
03/02/2020 7:19 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Dear AC Committee,It really doesn't make sense to break kids friendships in 2021 for something that may or may not happen in 2025.OBEJCTIVE is 90% Stoller Capacity in 2021 NOT 2025
03/02/2020 7:21 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Dear MS committee,I request you not split communities just by showing 2025 projections which may not be real.SPV+Sato+JW -Summa meets 90% Stoller objective in 2021. Discard 2025 as it's far better than current situation in Stoller.I request you not to take hard decisions on SPV KIDS
03/02/2020 7:22 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Dear MS committee,I request you not split North Bethany communities based on unrealistic aggressive 2025 projections.Feb 25th is the perfect map for North Bethany communities as SPV+Sato+JW - Summa meets objective 90% Stoller in 2021.If you vote for other map it creates splits in every neighborhood of North Bethany schools i.e Findley, Rockcreek, Bethany and Springville.Please do not split our community and kids friendship..
03/02/2020 7:23 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					I request you to look at the MS boundary objectives.1. Fill Tmberland 2. Bring Sato to 90 permanent capcity in 2021 (Not in 2025). 3. Reduce/avoid split Feeder patternsOnly Working map will achieve this. None of the map c variations will not achieve.
03/02/2020 7:24 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8				Dear AC Committee,Keeping Springville in Stoller will make the Free reduced lunch and diversity numbers better.Keeping Summa, Walkable Findley at Stoller and sending Springville to other school will make those numbers much worse in Stoller.Please vote for Working Map by moving Summa and Walkable Findley to Timberland. No need to take HARD DECISIONS on 450 SPV kids.
03/02/2020 7:25 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8				Mr Sparks & AC members,There was a lot of confusion among AC members in Feb 25th meeting by having both 2021 & 2025 numbers.For the next meeting Could you please present 2021 numbers first with the existing map and take vote.Later present 2025 numbers.
03/02/2020 7:27 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8				Stoller[?]S 2021 MS 90% permanent capacity objective accounts for sustainable growth of Sato & Springville Through 2025 and beyond.Please do not punish SPV kids showing over projected inaccurate growth as a reason.Please let Sato, SPV and JW feed Stoller.
03/02/2020 7:28 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Stoller gets the ECONOMIC DIVERSITY from SPRINGVILLE. Moving Summa from JW to Timberland makes this diversity even better.None of the other maps doesn[?]t provide better economic diversity at Stoller.Moving part or entire Springville to Fiveoaks will drastically reduce economic diversity in Stoller.I hope BSD cares about economic diversity and provide equal opportunity to every kid..
03/02/2020 7:42 PM	Aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Mr Sparks & AC,It appears that one of the AC members is under the impression that Springville is the farthest school to Stoller and showing it as a reason to split Springville.I live 2.1 miles away from Stoller which is much closer to Stoller than some parts of Sato and Findley. Also other parts of Sato and Findley are closer to Stoller than SPV.I request you to correct the AC members when they make false statements and using it to take the decision on our precious kids.
03/02/2020 4:33 PM	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		When I bought my home in 2014 May, our schools were Jacob Wismer, Stoller and Westview. We bought our home with the walkablility aspects for both Jacob Wismer and Stoller. Also for Westview Advance placement programs. But during the 2016 highschool boundary adjustments my home was redistricted to Sunset highschool. Reasons given were there is heavy growth in North Bethany. The North Bethany communities Springville and SATO are closer to Westview then Jacob Wismer. So you guys have to accept the desicions. Without any drama or protest we accepted it. Jacob Wismer was split between two high schools. Today when middle school boundries are being redrawn, again our neighborhood is asked to take one for the team to accommodate Springville. JW is being butchered. Our JW to Stoller to Sunset feeder pattern reduced to single digits. We can't accommodate SATO and Springville together. They are huge schools which are rapidly growing. We need entire Jacob Wismer elementary at Stoller as it's 100 percent walkable school. During highschool boundary adjustments we were moved from Westview to Sunset citing distance. Stoller is in our backyard. All our kids walk or bike to Stoller. We request all our Jacob Wismer elementary kids feed into Stoller as a whole.

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 5:02 PM	Ajay Garg	ajaygarg1980@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,Kind request to you all is to consider all facts and logic. Entire Springville and SATO cannot come to Findley. And because of them Jacob Wismer cannot be split as JW has a well established community. Please don't split JW and keep SUMMA at Stoller.Thanks.
03/02/2020 5:05 PM	Akshita Garg	agarg115@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Middle school committee members,I would like to kindly reiterate the fact that both Sato and Springville cannot feed to Stoller and it will not serve BSD's objective either. Additionally, Jacob Wismer cannot be split as it is already a well established community. Entire JW needs to stay at Stoller along with SUMMA program.Thanks.
03/02/2020 9:31 AM	Alivia Sil	alivia2001@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We wanted United Findley either at Stoller or Timberland, which ever matches optimally with BSD's budget, capacity, Equity, Emotional Health and Walkability...
03/02/2020 8:56 AM	Anandha	hanandhakrishnan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				JUST BECAUSE SPRINGVILLE KIDS TAKE A BUSJust because springville kids take a bus doesnt mean they can be bussed 2.5 times their current distance. Please remember that BSD has taken away 6-8 option from springville. Stoller is our only proximity school. Please vote for a map that provides equitable commute for all BSD kids. 86 SUMMA kids shouldn't be give priority over nearly 400 regular education kids.
03/02/2020 7:04 PM	Anil	Vani22520@gmail.com					I am a Findley parent who lives in the Bethany Heights region, and who[?]s kids walk to school through the Greenwood trail. I think it is unfair that our kids have to go to some school many miles away while they could just be happily walking with their friends to Stoller. Moving to Timberland does not make any sense because more buses are needed, which increases costs and is also hurting our planet with all the CO2 emissions. If BSD has more money please use it on getting more teachers.The main purpose of moving to this neighborhood was the walkability option.Please consider the walkability factor, and make the most reasonable choice.
03/02/2020 7:50 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Considering all the different scenarios based on any map, Stoller will never meet the goal of 90% capacity unless Summa is moved to another school. Since Findley has the highest number of students in Summa along with JW in the east, it is just realistic that Summa be moved to Timberland.
03/02/2020 7:51 PM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Stoller will have the highest level of socio-economic diversity with Springville in the equation and with Summa in Timberland.
03/02/2020 6:53 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents donot want to spliteven most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMA5) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any other config brings it down to less than 7%even further in an area where it is hard to fix beacuse of the geographic distribution of poverty.This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't mnake Stoller overcrowded.7) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instaed of Five Oaks
03/02/2020 6:56 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Feb25th MAP A does the following. SO IT SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Maintains free lunch at Stoller at 10%. There is no further growth in Springville. Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.4) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/02/2020 9:08 AM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPA IS THE BEST. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainable manner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUC THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.
03/02/2020 7:10 PM	Arvind	Arvind3462@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			I[?]m a findley parent and we live in walking distance to Stoller. Please keep the walking portion of findley in Stoller. I think keeping all of walking findley, all of Jacob Wismer and all of Sato is the best option . This would work out even if Sato strength increases.Part of Springville and non walking findley can move to timberland.
03/02/2020 1:28 PM	asidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents donot want to spliteven most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMA5) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any otehr config brings it down to less than 7%even further in an area where it is hard to fix beacuse of the geographic distribution of poverty.This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't mnake Stoller overcrowded.

03/02/2020 6:58 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. PLEASE DONOT SPLIT COMMUNITIESIN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainable manner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.e) BSD will gain in transportation costs by moving Springville to Stoller instead of Five OaksSo better to consider MAP A to avoid all cascading affects.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUCE THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/02/2020 9:09 AM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MOVING STOLLER TO Five OAKS ONLY INCREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTS.ITS UNDESIRABLE.MAP C doesn't meet 1& 2 objectives. Ojective 1- fill Timberland. MAP C utilizes Timberlandonly at 74%. As per MAP C, Stoller is at 100% in 2021 which doesn't meet objective 2.Below analysis is by transporation in BSD site.Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 ----NOTE THIS AMOUNTBSD will be losing \$25,584 by moving Springville to Five oaks instead of StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 . Increase of \$8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.
03/02/2020 3:34 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Feb25th MAP A does the following and SHOULD BE SUPPORTED1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) MEETS OBJECTIVE 1 Fills Timberland efficiently with all of Findley and most parents donot want to spliteven most of the walkable areas4) MEETS OBJECTIVE 2- 80% permanent capacity with all of Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville minus SUMMA5) Even the increase in 2025 is only using 1 or max 2 portables, this is even with the over projections.6) Maintains free reduced lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any otehr config brings it down to less than 7%even further in an area where it is hard to fix beacuse of the geographic distribution of poverty.This combination will not call for another boundary adjustment in 2025. It doesn't mnake Stoller overcrowded.
03/02/2020 3:35 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 3:36 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainable manner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUC THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.
03/02/2020 3:39 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MOVING Springville TO Five OAKS ONLY INCREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTS.ITS UNDESIRABLE.MAP C doesn't meet 1& 2 objectives. Ojective 1- fill Timberland. MAP C utilizes Timberlandonly at 74%. As per MAP C, Stoller is at 100% in 2021 which doesn't meet objective 2.Below analysis is by transporation in BSD site.Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 ----NOTE THIS AMOUNTBSD will be losing \$25,584 by moving Springville to Five oaks instead of StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 . Increase of \$8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.
03/02/2020 9:07 AM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Feb25th MAP A does the following1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Miatains free lunch at stoller at 10%. There is no further growth in Springville. Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.So better to consider MAP A to avoid all these cascading affects.

03/02/2020 12:41 PM	avijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Do not split elementary schools[?]. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits. Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025. Summa should not split communities[?]. [?]The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go, in order to minimize splits. We across these four schools agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute ~40% of Summa students in Stoller. In last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....but we are also the northernmost..we are the farthest away from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 6:54 PM	avijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 9:11 AM	avijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUC THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.
03/02/2020 8:04 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Dear committee,Please go forward with the Feb 25th workmap for Stoller while moving out SUMMA . You will find out very quickly that you can either make a much richer Stoller with ripple effects across the entire district. Or, you can accept the Feb 25th workmap, with a marginally over permanent capacity Stoller in 2025, still significantly UNDER total capacity after which the population drops, even based on the most aggressive OVER projections. This is not even remotely comparable to todays situation. Today Stoller is OVER TOTAL CAPACITY. I know there are concerns about JW High School feeders but I do want to point out that there will still be OVER 220 Sunset kids in Stoller AFTER SUMMA MOVES OUT . It is by no means comparable to the OH-E situation and must not be used to unravel the maps.Thank you for your consideration.
03/02/2020 8:05 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		In the Feb 25th meeting as one AC member pointed out that Why is everyone behind splitting SPRINGVILLE? when clearly there are other areas in Stoller that are Further south and closer to Five Oaks and Meadow Park and Timberland. It feels sad to see that Springville is made to be the one school that can be ALLOWED TO BE SACRIFICED eventhough those kids have to commute the longest to any other MS if they move out of Stoller. MOving Springville out, also reduces FRL from 10% to less than 7%PLEASE please be the voice of these kids who has no direct representation in the committee like some other Stoller schools.Thank you for your consideration.
03/02/2020 8:06 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		The February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING1. Fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent Capacity4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.....THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/02/2020 8:07 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLER: This was stated by one of the committee members as a rationale to split Springville and this is just not true. My home in the Springville neighborhood is 1.7 Miles from Stoller. There are other homes in the Sato neighborhood that are 2.5 miles from Stoller! These are intertwined neighborhoods where either could be closer or farther depending on which part of the neighborhood you are talking about! Using that to justify splitting Springville would not be correct. Since Springville isn't part of the committee, it is very hard for us to correct such misconceptions and inaccuracies.The Feb 25th workmap, even with aggressive projections has Stoller at ~1200 kids in 2025. That is well under the ~1600 in 2020 and meets the objectives. I hope the committee will go forward with that as the most balanced path with agreement across reasonable people across multiple communities.

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 10:46 AM	Beth Rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Where is the equity in this process? The latest map casts Rock Creek out of the community alone to an under-performing suffering school. Rock Creek must be paired with another neighboring school to add some educational equity and balance. If you throw Rock Creek alone into Five Oaks you are forcing those kids to make a huge educational sacrifice. Is anyone else making an equal sacrifice on this map? Why should Rock Creek bear the brunt alone? Please add some educational equity to this process! Put yourselves in the shoes of a Rock Creek student before making these decisions. Other students look forward to attending new and high performing schools with others in their community. ROCK CREEK DESERVES EQUAL TREATMENT.
03/02/2020 12:24 AM	Biswajit Sarkar	bisu.sarkar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Mr Spark and BSD MS Boundary adjustment committee, As I am thinking more and more about the boundary adjustment I am more convinced than ever that we should emphasize on walkability. BSD should take this opportunity to readjust all school boundary to maximize the walkability and minimize the bussing. This we BSD will be able to establish the fact that it care about its environment and child welfare. Also BSD can save money for educational purpose. I want to reiterate my request for BSD to consider seriously walkability as one of main important factor which can address multiple factors. Walkability can (i) enhance the convenience of many school kids, (ii) reduce the risk of travel, (iii) minimizes bussing cost which can be used for education purpose, (iv) save many travel hours of many student, (v) save environment. Before goes to redraw another map, I would highly recommend BSD school committee to take tour to all MS and investigate the boundary physically which immediately reveal many drawbacks of the present maps. For example, Oakridge Estate neighbor people are just across the Laidlaw road very very close to Stoller Middle. Forcing Oakridge Neighbor community to Timberland Middle is ruthless. The last working map completely failed to consider those. It only suggest that people who are involved in map drawing activities has no idea on physical boundary. They are just playing with some number without realizing physical significance. My earnest request to BST MS boundary adjustment committee to reevaluate and consider walkability very seriously. Bringing Map C.2 and update upon this map will help many students and allow BSD to save money for education purpose. Also since MS boundary adjustment is inevitable, BSD should seriously consider readjust all MS boundary and split as many elementary school as needed to maximize walkability. Thank you.
03/02/2020 11:58 AM	Carmen Steen	csteen2@wgu.edu	Rock Creek Elem		Westview	I would like the committee members to consider one thing - what other elementary school is pleading to not be the only school moved, alone, to another middle school? I am a grown up, a parent, and my job as nurse has taught me that life is not always fair. However, when we all work together for the greater good of the majority, we can make things closer to being fair and equitable. I implore you to consider that our geographic location alone should not be the impetus for the decision to send our kids off on their own to a school where the first class - my son's class - will be the ONLY school from Rock Creek Elementary School for a whole year, until the next class from RCE arrives the following year. Middle school is a difficult enough time for these kids without the added stress of being the "odd school out". Most of us have resigned ourselves to the fact that we'll need to be moved out of Stoller, but please don't let us move alone. Thank you.
03/02/2020 9:32 AM	Chandana	chandanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
03/02/2020 1:16 PM	Charles Paul	charles.paul1@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			Please keep Rock Creek with it's northern community members and send them together at five oaks. This will require adjustments to current five oaks feeding schools. I hope the committee keeps the small community of rock creek together!
03/02/2020 4:17 PM	Chris Jones	chrissynjones@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I continue to hope the the committee is looking at supporting the walkability within the Stoller community. There are students at Jacob Wismer (and at Findley too) that are NOT bused and can walk or ride their bikes to Stoller. Jacob Wismer elementary students look at Stoller everyday. I just don't see how it would be in these students best interest to NOT attend Stoller. Those who do NOT get bused to Stoller, should NOT be removed from there. This also goes along with healthy feeder patterns as well. Keeping these students together at Stoller will increase the number of students they know as they continue to Sunset.
03/02/2020 10:27 PM	CHUNG-HAN LIN	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			I would like to suggest committee reconsider Map C due to (1) Equity: From student demographics and "free lunch rate"; stand points, Map C is better because it doesn't create "super rich"; school. (2) Transportation cost: Walkability & less transportation cost. We should use money to benefit other kid within BSD in terms of education, not transportation. (3) Academic balance: In Map C, especially 2.0, address academic balance for Rock Creek. Even with split, both portion of the neighbor hood either stay as is or move with other neighbor school. (4) Capacity: Capacity is somewhat acceptable for both 2021 and 2025 that we don't need to have another boundary adjustment meeting in the near future. In my opinion, Map C 2.0 should be the starting point for the future boundary map, or at least a good starting point.
03/02/2020 3:37 PM	Danielle Bade	DanielleBade@BHGpartners.com	Nancy Ryles Elem			In a city the size of Beaverton-kids find themselves in clubs, at parks, on teams with a new set of kids each season. As parents we invested in our kids by purchasing a home, with great schools that we could be in for many years with the hope that our kids will find comfort in the consistency of a neighborhood and community. That hopefully that comfort also results in the feeling of belonging and spurs involvement and contribution. We would love to see Nancy Ryles continue feeding to one Middle school for this reason.
03/02/2020 6:53 PM	Deniz Gezer Oguz	deniz.g.oguz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Hi, as a Rock Creek neighborhood we don't want to go to other middle school alone. Rock Creek students deserve better decision, and they don't want to lose their friends. Please do good decision for everybody. Thank you.
03/02/2020 2:09 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8			SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLER: This was stated by one of the committee members as a rationale to split Springville and this is just not true. My home in the Springville neighborhood is 1.7 Miles from Stoller. There are other homes in the Sato neighborhood that are 2.5 miles from Stoller! These are intertwined neighborhoods where either could be closer or farther depending on which part of the neighborhood you are talking about! Using that to justify splitting Springville would not be correct. Since Springville isn't part of the committee, it is very hard for us to correct such misconceptions and inaccuracies.The Feb 25th workmap, even with aggressive projections has Stoller at ~1200 kids in 2025. That is well under the ~1600 in 2020 and meets the objectives. I hope the committee will go forward with that as the most balanced path with agreement across reasonable people across multiple communities.
03/02/2020 3:37 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8			At the end of last meeting, did the committee accidentally voted to make Meadow Park be fed only by Barnes?The committee voted to see data where: (1) East Oak Hills move to Timberland (2) West Oak Hills, Rock Creek, Bethany, part of Springville to Five Oaks (3) Move Ahoha-Huber and Cooper Mountain to Mountain View (4) Move Chehalem West to Highland Park.All that is left in Meadow Park is Barnes, right?
03/02/2020 3:45 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8			A scenario the committee wants to look: Move East Oak Hills to Timberland and Rock Creek to Five Oaks.Result: This will severely underutilize Meadow Park down to 57% in 2021 and 55% in 2025. Plus, this will increase the Free and Reduced Lunch rates at Meadow Park. MP will just be consisted of kids from Barnes ES.Please keep February 25th working map as it is. It is by far the most equitable and sensible map put forward. We just need some tweaks, such as allowing pre-existing portables at Stoller.

03/02/2020 4:48 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Chehalem West happens to be in the non-transportation (walkable) zone for Mountain View. In the last meeting, there was a suggestion to move Chehalem West to Highland Park. How much will this suggestion cost to BSD in terms of bussing these kids?
03/02/2020 4:54 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I see inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkability preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied? Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.
03/02/2020 6:25 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				At the end of the last meeting, did the committee, in search for space at Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfilled Mountain View? By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain View, my estimate is around 1100±; students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland Park. This 200 more than the 900±; capacity at Mountain View. Please restore the Feb 25 working map. It is the best, most equitable map so far.
03/02/2020 8:02 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com					Mr Sparks, One of the committee members suggested during the Feb 25th meeting that Springville should be split because it is the furthest from Stoller. For the record, I would like to get this factually inaccurate statement corrected: 1. There are parts of Springville that are closer to Stoller than parts of Sato, and there are parts of Sato that are closer. These are intertwined communities. 2. There are parts of Springville that are even closer to Stoller than many parts of Findley. My house is just 1.8 miles away from Stoller, for example. I hope we can get these misstatements corrected before committee members vote to split communities based on incorrect information.
03/02/2020 9:32 AM	Dwaipayan Sil	sdwaipayan@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We want United Findley either at Stoller or Timberland, which ever matches optimally with BSD's budget, capacity, Equity, Emotional Health and Walkability...
03/02/2020 8:56 PM	Eileen	Fz2020777@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Most kids in Findley could walk to Stoller Middle school. Please do not waste money to bus them to another middle school. Please have Findley feed Stoller as always.
03/02/2020 7:29 AM	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Hello, I am a Findley parent from the Bannister Creek neighborhood that is considered walkable to Stoller but it is not. I continue to be very worried about being split from Findley. I strongly support not splitting Findley and am fine going to Stoller or Timberland. My main concern is remaining together. If for some reason the committee decides to split off some of the walkable community please, please do not include the outer areas of the so called walkable community that can not walk like my neighbor Bannister Creek. I still think it makes the most sense for all of Findley to remain going to Timberland like the February working map showed. It seemed to be the most balanced path forward for many schools and definitely for Findley. However we are okay with all of Findley in Stoller if that is the decision made. I just ask that you please keep Findley together and please don't include Bannister Creek in any sort of [?] walkable [?] split. Thank you for all your hard work!
03/02/2020 9:05 AM	Harish Chegondi	harish.chegondi@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Here is what the Feb 25th work-map does: 1. Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 2021. 2. Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students, after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple of years again - Even with aggressive projections. 3. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty. It does NOT make us have another boundary adjustment process in a few years. It does NOT make it overcrowded again.. The numbers are clear even with aggressive projections. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller in a long term and sustainable manner. I urge the committee to come to a decision, and please, please, please, minimize discussions about Jacob Wismer and Summa. I really think they have had more time in the committee discussions than just about everyone else put together.
03/02/2020 12:42 PM	Harry	niniding0909@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD, The recent spread of coronavirus is recommended. It is recommended that BSD close all schools. Because schools are the most dense places. And put together. Close for family and child safety. Regarding the division of school districts, the map on February 25 is almost perfect. Not perfect. But the community is not divided. Don't worry about the forecast for 2025. Stoller is now 168% affordable. The predicted 114% will definitely work well. It is recommended that the most recent meeting be cancelled or postponed. Your health and safety are equally important. Thank you.
03/02/2020 11:37 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Springville cannot be fit in Stoller by moving Summa out of Stoller. Please note 150 kids IS NOT EQUAL TO 500 PLUS kids and growing. Summa NEED to STAY WHERE THERE ARE MORE SUMMA KIDS ARE LOCATED. ITS FOR FACILITATING EXTRA LEARNING FOR KIDS, MOVING IT AWAY FROM SUMMA KIDS DOES NOT MAKE SENSE.
03/02/2020 11:39 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Its possible to solve Stoller overcrowding issue only by NOT KEEPING 2 OVERGROWING SATO AND SPRINGVILLE at STOLLER. Split Springville and Sato growing areas and send to Five Oaks.
03/02/2020 8:09 PM	Jake Moyer	Bam122275@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			At the end of the last meeting, did the committee, in search for space at Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfilled Mountain View? By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain View, my estimate is around 1100±; students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland Park. This 200 more than the 900±; capacity at Mountain View. This is NOT EQUITABLE. Please restore the Feb 25 working map. It is the best, most equitable map so far.
03/02/2020 9:08 PM	James A. Bock	skeeterjab@gmail.com	Findley Elem				We approved of the Feb. 25th map showing all of Findley going together to Timberland. It kept schools from being split up which most people want heading into middle school. Now I've learned that Jacob Wismer and some Findley parents that live very close to Stoller are pushing to have the Findley students in walkable areas attend Stoller, splitting up Findley. I hope they are referring to only Oakridge and the few very close areas to Stoller and not ALL the walkable areas shown on the map because most of those so called walkable areas are truly not walkable. They are driven to Stoller causing much congestion since buses were taken away from areas like Bannister Creek. The majority of Findley parents do not want Findley split up. They would prefer them all at Timberland or all at Stoller, but if you have made the decision to split Findley and send walkable students from Findley to Stoller, please only send those Oakridge and very close in families to Stoller and not the majority of Findley students who cannot walk to Stoller. Let them remain together and go to Timberland creating room for others at Stoller. Thank you very much.
03/02/2020 9:41 AM	JAYAPRAKASH GALI	jp_gali@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	We would like to have united Findley either at Stoller or Timberland, which ever matches optimally with BSD's budget, capacity, Equity, Emotional Health and Walkability

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 10:50 AM	Jeremy Rear	bethrear@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		What is with that latest Feb. 25th map? Are you publishing this stuff just to torture us? We have fought and fought since October trying to make our case to you - and now it is March and we are right back where we started. Rock Creek parents will continue to say it until we're blue in the face - ROCK CREEK CANNOT BE CAST OUT ALONE! We deserve to be with others in our community and we DESERVE AN EQUAL EDUCATION! The only way to achieve both is to pair us with another northern neighboring school. Rock Creek will not be the sacrificial child in this process!
03/02/2020 10:10 AM	Jing Clay	jingwangclay@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I think that sending Springville students to Five Oaks shouldn't be an option. As many parents pointed out, distance is a problem. Stoller is 2.3 miles from our home. Five Oaks is more than twice as far away, at 4.8 miles. Sending Springville students to Stoller means less time spent riding on a bus for students. It also makes after-school activities more feasible due to the shorter drive.
03/02/2020 6:46 PM	jithin	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		At the end of Feb25th meeting, did committe in search of spaceat Five Oaks for Springville, unintentionally overfill Mountain view?By adding Cooper Mountain and Aloha Huber to Mountain view, it would be around 1100+ students even if Chahalem west is moved to Highland park. That is 200 morre than the 900+ capacity at Mountain view.THIS IS NOT EQUITABLE.Please restore the Feb25 working map. It is ths best, most equitable map so far.
03/02/2020 1:38 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 12:36 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....but we are als teh northernmost..we are teh fartghest aay from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justity moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 3:33 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT. Springville is already BUILT OUT in with new further development. Our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025.Some Jacob Wismer parents complaining about walkability to schools but they have no concerns about Springville kids crossing 185th passing 14 STOP SIGNALS, WITH MULTIPLE BUS STOPS IN BETWEEN, IN AN AREA PRONE TO TRAFFIC-ACCIDENT SPENDING MORE THAN 40 MINUTES EACH WAY.IS THIS WHAT EQUALITY STANDS FOR?IN FACT Jacob Wismer is VERY MUCH CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS(3.7 miles) RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE and the route using Kaiser/Bethany Blvd is much safer for Jacob Wismer to reach Five Oaks.
03/02/2020 6:50 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkabilty preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied?WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE.Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.
03/02/2020 7:11 PM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		A scenario the committee wants to look: Move East Oak Hills to Timberland and Rock Creek to Five Oaks.Result: This will severely underutilize Meadow Park down to 57% in 2021 and 55% in 2025. Plus, this will increase the Free and Reduced Lunch rates at Meadow Park. MP will just be consisted of kids from Barnes ES.Please keep February 25th working map as it is. It is by far the most equitable and sensible map put forward. We just need some tweaks, such as allowing pre-existing portables at Stoller.
03/02/2020 9:11 AM	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Looking closer at the 2025 projections by BSD for Springville, you will notice that BSD projections says that Springville has more growth than Sato... which is TOTALLY INCORRECT. Springville is already BUILT OUT in with new further development. Our elementary school current enrollments say a totally different story than what BSD has projected. Lately BSD projected that Scholls heights elementary school will be overcrowded with all the development in that area but in their own words later nothing like that ever happened. With the history of over projection and clear errors in this process too, springville at Stoller with all of Jacob Wismer and Sato MINUS the summa, will in REALITY be TOTALLY SUSTAINABLE IN 2025.MAP A1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Maintains free lunch at Stoller at 10%. Some Jacob Wismer parents complaining about walkability to schools but they have no concerns about Springville kids crossing 185th passing 14 STOP SIGNALS, WITH MULTIPLE BUS STOPS IN BETWEEN, IN AN AREA PRONE TO TRAFFIC-ACCIDENT SPENDING MORE THAN 40 MINUTES EACH WAY.IS THIS WHAT EQUALITY STANDS FOR?IN FACT Jacob Wismer is VERY MUCH CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS(3.7 miles) RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE and the route using Kaiser/Bethany Blvd is much safer for Jacob Wismer to reach Five Oaks.

03/02/2020 10:42 PM	JT	pbs930@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members, thanks for your time and effort. I watched the streaming of the last meeting. You all have worked so hard to get to one single map (map A) Most of you read and listened to the feedback from the parents. And keeping community together. When asked to vote - there were 7 yes, and 2 no. Others no opinions. We were very close to have a map at that night - just a couple more votes. Instead of working with the committee members to get the yes votes, the chair went 180 degree and proposed a completely different proposal of spiting communities and throw away months of work (map A). This was unbelievable. It felt very wrong. Nobody walks away from the negotiation table when the conclusion was so close - just need to be leaders and work as a group to patch the tiny difference. There were at least 7 members saying yes to map A and not spite community. Instead, there was only one person (continues to be that particular one person) proposing spiting Springville, Rock Creek, Sato, and JW. Instead of honoring the majority, BSD actually agreed to draw a spliting map. In our data science field, that one person is an outlier. That data point should be throw away. At the end I saw some light - a few committee members continues to propose solutions to map A - (ex. IF 2025 is over crowded (which is not true . It's only 90%), then can portable be used.) This is sign of hope and work toward a solution. Because map A has near majority of votes. Please listen to all the parents. Please do not spite the community over an option program. Please do not bus my kid a long way, long time, and over the deadliest intersection in Oregon. If my kid missed his bus, there is no way to get to school - no bus, no bike route. Please committee members - we are so close, month of work on map A, just a few tiny loose ends. Please work as a group - throw away the outlier. Thank you.
03/02/2020 9:41 AM	Jyothi	Nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Keep Findley together. Do Not Split. Stoller or Timberland- keep us together
03/02/2020 11:44 AM	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please read all the comments. Rock Creek is a small community and it feels like our comments are not heard sometimes. We want our kids to stay with Bethany neighbors during the boundary change. Please do not send Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone (we keep seeing Map A proposal). We oppose Map A type proposals for Rock Creek students. BSD needs to make sure that all kids get equal education. we dont want our kids to be isolated in middle school.
03/02/2020 12:44 PM	Katie Paul	katiem.paul@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please send Rock Creek to Five Oaks with its northern neighbors (Bethany and west Oak Hills). This allows community to stay together and also creates education parity for all of the northern elementary schools.
03/02/2020 5:30 PM	Kelly Bates	k2hoffman@gmail.com	Barnes Elem				We need to keep all Barnes kids together in middle school rather than splitting them into two schools. We appreciate that the district has supported the dual language program and is moving towards making our school a full dual language school. Currently, all kinder kids at Barnes are learning in Spanish and next year we will grow to kinder and 1st, adding a grade level each year until we are a school wide dual language school. If our kids are forced to go into two middle schools, then the district would need to have programs at both schools, which I don[?]t think is feasible. What they[?]re discussing now is having the program possibly move to Cedar Park and have half of our students go to Cedar and half go to Meadow. The problem is, many of our families live right next to Meadow Park. If the program moved to Cedar, they would opt out of the program to attend Meadow instead. That will dilute the program and not make it as strong as could be. It will also take kids away from a program that is really beneficial to them. In the end, it would be best if all Barnes kids continue to go to Meadow Park and the program stays there.
03/02/2020 5:26 PM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Having attended or listed to every boundary meeting to date, I appreciate the time and careful consideration the committee is taking when discussing possible new boundaries in the North end of the district. I request that the committee provide the same respect and due diligence to schools in the south end of the district. To date, there has been no discussion about the Highland Park boundaries, specifically with respect to Cooper Mountain Elementary as a feeder school. All of the early maps and the revised maps for the last two meetings showed no change to the middle school boundaries for Cooper Mountain Elementary. A single public comment at the meeting on 2/25, prompted the committee to review a boundary change for Cooper Mountain Elementary with no prior discussion. The 2/25 working map does not show Mountain View with a free/reduced lunch percentage above those of other schools in the district, so unnecessarily disrupting the Cooper Mountain community would have a huge impact on students. Please keep Cooper Mountain feeding into Highland Park Middle School.
03/02/2020 7:30 PM	Kiran Patil	kiran.a.patil@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		1. Please do not split Findley, we Findley parents want to stay together wherever it make sense logically, either TL or stoller2. Can we please make priority to first solve basic issue such as solving overcrowding at stoller and filling up TL.4. Can we please limit the discussion about SUMMA in meeting because few committee members are harping on summa discussion and misleading everyone5. We,. Findley parents want to have only one north summa at school wherever it makes sense, and data suggest it to be at Timerberland6. As much as possible, please avoid elementary splits for other school as well such as SPV, SATO, RC. 7. Please encourage only true walkability and tweak feb 25th map based on equity, equality, diversity, etc..8. I think Feb 25th map is good start, can we have more data driven logical decision to tweak it and not allow emotional request such as , want summa at stoller9. Please also minimize portables as much as we can.Hoping to see more educated discussion around Feb 25th map in next meeting with solid output at the endThanks,Kiran p
03/02/2020 5:58 PM	Laura Elvers	macebillsor@gmail.com	Barnes Elem				My concern is to keep the Barnes Spanish Immersion students together. It might support the program even more if Barnes and Vose (the 2 Spanish programs I currently know about) met up for middle and high school. And please consider ways to fully support the language programs through high school like PPS does.
03/02/2020 11:10 AM	Lekshmi Kurup	lekshmikurup1712@gmail.com	Springville K-8				From the last meeting, Committee members who have data points along with their proposals are completely silenced when their proposal lags the count of votes to go forward. Few committee members passively influence others too with their conflict of interest by throwing wrong questions eg: unrealistic targets of 90% - 95% for 2025 which is ludicrous and not an objective of these meetings at all. Not sure if all the committee members have full understanding on the questions you ask. I really appreciate your patience here to repeatedly clarify the questions but still few Committee members didn't seem to understand/care. Some still seem baffled and reluctant to raise their hands to support a decision and few were waffling in their decisions. It may be because they do not have full understanding and don[?]t want to take accountability??I question the credibility of some of the members here.SPV has no representation in the committee, so we are at a state where we rely on others mercy. Let me bring a hypothetical scenario. At some point 8 people voted for Springville in and Summa out of Stoller. If SPV had had a person, we would have got another vote and we would have been done by now! The credibility of the members is extremely sensitive in this case especially when SPV doesn[?]t have one.

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 10:38 PM	Lin M	Linma188@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	My community Bethany Heights is at NE side of Stoller and our kids walk to Stoller for years because we are within one mile from Stoller. When the Boundary Adjustment committee discusse about the walkable area, please don[?]t miss our community. Both our community and Oakridge go to Findley and are walkable to Stoller. Please don[?]t send us to a school 4 miles away when our kids can walk to school. Keep walkable kids in Stoller will benefit the kids, save costs, and reduce traffic.
03/02/2020 12:34 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee - we are coming to the most pivotal part of this boundary adjustment process. The future of our school district is dependent on each of you coming to some difficult agreements on where to send communities to middle school. The one agreement that came to fruition at the 2-25 meeting, was that a split to Springville is a direction that must be considered. I'd like to shed some light on a few key facts.1. Explosion of NEW neighborhoods built in North Bethany - It is no secret that areas around SPV and Sato have expanded over the years. Expanded so much so that Sato Elementary was built and Stoller has grown to a capacity that everyone agrees is unacceptable. Students share lockers, sit on the floor to eat their lunches, teachers cannot offer conferences to all their students and we are to a point where 40 student in a class may be a reality. The data provided by the BSD CLEARLY shows that growth in these areas IS GOING TO CONTINUE. There is no sign of decline or stability.2. Walkability - Some will go to any length to suggest that JW students should be bused to a school far away. I find it exceptionally irresponsible for anyone to make such a ludicrous suggestion. To spend incremental tax payer dollars wastefully on adding NEW buses and NEW bus drivers? How can anyone think that makes any kind of sense? 100 percent of JW families can walk or bike to Stoller. Walkability keeps money in our classrooms with students and teachers, WHERE THEY BELONG. 3. Feeder Patterns - In a perfect world, these would be smooth and the need for splits would not even be necessary. However, we are NOT in a perfect world. Therefore, I urge you that as you look at splitting communities, please be sure NOT to isolate small areas. For example, the map currently being considered has only 17 percent of Stoller students feeding into Sunset HS, while the remaining 83 percent feed into WVHS. If Summa is moved out of Stoller, the Sunset bound Students at Stoller will dwindle down to single digits. Please take this into account. It is unacceptable to have these types of isolations and it CAN be avoided.I remain hopeful in this process. Thank you for your continued hard work.
03/02/2020 9:33 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			Please don't ignore the longer term reality just to appease the loudest voices. The current map ignores the looming issue of putting the district's two largest elementaries in one middle school. It's unsustainable. We'll be right back here in 5 years trying to figure out where to send the rest of the people who live directly across from Stoller to accommodate them. I support the committee members who appear to be sensitive to the reality and the basic math here. What does neighborhood proximity even mean if we bus kids away from their own neighborhood to bus others in? It's unreasonable and it doesn't solve the bigger problem.
03/02/2020 11:05 AM	Madhavi	Wmadhavi@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear BSD and AC members After the last meeting it again felt like the discussion was about how to minimize disruption to Summa and Jacob Wismer students instead of what was in the best interest of a majority of general Ed students. Please remember that as committee members we are counting on you to have the best interest of all kids in mind. Please don[?]t plan to put 400 kids on long bus rides to preserve walkability of a small group.Please don[?]t split up communities and neighborhoods. Remember that you are dealing with children. Put yourselves in our shoes before settling on extreme solutions.
03/02/2020 11:24 AM	Madhavi	Wmadhavi@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear AC members and BSD, at the last meeting one of the committee members commented that Springville should be sent elsewhere because they are farthest from Stoller. Please note that Springville is also farthest from other middle schools including FO. Timberland is the next nearest school for Summa program, and moving summa to Timberland not only puts the program in a new school with excellent facilities, but also keeps 400 Springville kids closer to home and accessible to their parents in case of an emergency. It also meets the 2021 capacity requirements. Please do the right thing by moving forward And trying to reach consensus with the maps from the last meeting and not going backwards and reopening old discussions.
03/02/2020 11:37 AM	Madhavi	Wmadhavi@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	At the last meeting one of the AC members incorrectly stated that Springville was farthest away from Stoller and therefore It was ok to move it out or split. This statement is NOT TRUE. Parts of Sato are farther away from Stoller, so is the school itself. Some parts of Sato are indeed closer to Stoller than Springville . By the same measure parts of Findley are farther from Stoller as well. This is not a valid benchmark to use. Somehow Springville seems to have become an easy target. We are counting on committee members to be the Voice of ALL kids and some of the members clearly are. Please realize the impact of your decision on 400 kids. Please do not take this decision lightly. DO NOT PRIORITIZE SUMMA OVER GENERAL ED. Summa kids are not getting a raw deal By any stretch by being relocated to a new school with excellent facilities
03/02/2020 11:23 AM	Madhu	Mrangar@gmail.com	Springville K-8			There was a very misleading comment made during the Feb 25th proceedings that Springville is furthest from Stoller and should therefore be split..... That is absolutely wrong. There are parts of Springville closer to Stoller and there are parts of Sato further away from Stoller. These are intertwined communities. I ask that committee members please work based on accurate information before splitting and isolating children who are looking to you for representation.
03/02/2020 1:30 PM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLER: This was stated by one of the committee members as a rationale to split Springville up and this is just not true! My home in the Springville neighborhood is 1.7 Miles from Stoller. There are other homes in the Sato neighborhood that are over 2.6 miles from Stoller! These are intertwined neighborhoods where either could be closer or farther depending on which part of the neighborhood you are talking about! Using that to justify splitting Springville would not be correct. Since Springville isn't part of the committee, it is very hard for us to correct such misconceptions and inaccuracies and I hope others will represent our children and move forward with accurate data. The Feb 25th workmap, even with aggressive projections has Stoller meets 2021 objectives, and has only ~1200 kids in Stoller in 2025. That is well under the ~1600 in 2020 and meets the objectives. I hope the committee will go forward with that as the most balanced path with agreement across reasonable people across multiple communities.

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 7:47 AM	MadhuR	mrangar@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Here is what the Feb 25th work-map does: 1. Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212. Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students, after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple of years again - Even with aggressive projections3. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.It does NOT make us have another boundary adjustment process in a few years. It does NOT make it overcrowded again.. The numbers are clear even with aggressive projections. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller in a long term and sustainable manner. I urge the committee to come to a decision, and please, please, please, minimize discussions about Jacob Wismer and Summa. I really think they have had more time in the committee discussions than just about everyone else put together.
03/02/2020 4:25 PM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.con	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee Members,Please look into solutions for Stoller overcrowding for 2025 and beyond!! Bandages may help now but Stoller will start bleeding again soon if we overlook the numbers!Please refrain from adding both northern growing communities together at Stoller!! Please don[?]t give up on Walkability! Please save our tax dollars for better education rather than transportation! Please don[?]t split JW or move away Summa to make the feeder pattern worse!! 17% feeder percentage to Sunset is unacceptable!Thankyou
03/02/2020 4:56 PM	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear members, please look at the February 25th map closely. With that map, Stoller has 88% of pemanet capacity in 2021 which meets the 2nd objective. Timberland is full filled which means the 1st objective and the 3rd. Most schools are not split which meets the 4th objective. Most communities stay together. Though Stoller has 114% of PEMANET capacity, it going DOWN to 100% from 2027!!! Portables are not going to remove anytime soon according to Mr. Sparks. Other map can't even meet the two of the objectives! Please bring back the map that having Sato Springville and JW as whole in Stoller and Summa to Timberland. Thank you.
03/02/2020 6:35 PM	Nancy Collett	nancy@norwesters.net	Cooper Mountain Elem				I am writing to request the Cooper Mountain feeder map remain as is - with a split between Mountainside and Highland. The rationale presented at the last boundary adjustment meeting to rearrange the feeder school pattern to Mountainside is flawed. According to the stats provided in the packet for the last meeting, free and reduced and lunch eligibility using the current working map at MS is not over 60%, nor is it the highest, as claimed. It is in the 40% range and is 3rd, after 50aks and Whitford. Besides, if now the goal is to even out SES amongst all the middle schools, then students at Stoller, which is at 10% free and reduced lunch,need to bused all around town. Thank you.
03/02/2020 12:40 PM	Naveen Kasam	kasam_naveen@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				2025 STOLLER NUMBERS ARE NOT THAT BAD.Dear Committe, Feb 25th working map met all the objectives without causing a ripple effect to the south. 2025 numbers for stoller are just projections and if the projections turn out to be accurate, the numbers in 2026 and 2027 are projected to go down. This will not require another boundary adjustment. Please do not discard the solution this map offers and bus more than 400 kids over 5 miles. Another thing to keep in mind is that Springville and Sato parents are relatively new to BSD and as these families learn about option schools and SUMMA program, they might choose these programs, thus reducing stoller numbers. STOLLER IS THE ONLY PROXIMITY SCHOOL FOR US.
03/02/2020 7:04 AM	Pavan Chopra	pavchopra@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Since the BSD released the Summa map with numbers by subdivision for the entire district (which I believe is invasion of privacy for families who may or may not have a Summa student(s) and is decided only by the Teaching and Learning Dept), it feels like many subdivisions are being volleyed back and forth across proposed boundary lines in hopes of a more stronger argument for Summa at a particular middle school. With so many students in the program, it might make sense to have an additional Summa at both Timberland and Stoller. However, my post is about the Oakridge Estates subdivision. For a committee that appears to support non-splits, it's shocking that it was mentioned that our subdivision Oakridge Estates could be separated from the entire Findley elementary school to not only stay at Stoller (while the rest go to Timberland) but also moved into Jacob Wismer. The idea of annexing my neighborhood, Oakridge Estates, and having it enter the Jacob Wismer boundary does not make sense. Please do NOT take one neighborhood and keep it with Stoller, while all the other Findley walkable neighborhoods go to Timberland. These students not only loose their entire elementary school but also loose their Sunset cohort. Why inflict stress on children who have established themselves at Findley and have them cross a busier street (with all the increased traffic from growing schools of Springville and Sato, buses from all of the other walkable Findley neighborhoods to Timberland, and possible buses for Summa into walkable Findley/Oakridge Estates/Jacob Wismer elementary, etc)? How is that safe? This annex will not save any significant money in busing costs; Oakridge Estates is joined with Kaiser Ridge and adjacent to many walkable neighborhoods that share the same bus. Why pull one subdivision Oakridge Estates away from Findley and arbitrarily join Jacob Wismer? Who does this benefit? My answer is NO ONE. We would like to stay with our Findley School community with the MIDDLE school boundary adjustment.
03/02/2020 10:18 PM	pik kei k yip	kateyip2009@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please consider Map C 2.0 for the starting point for the boundary adjustment. The capacity for each school in Map C 2.0 are more balanced. We don't want to have another boundary adjustment meeting 5 years from now. Even with split, both portion of the Rock Creek either move with its neighbor hood, or stay with current community. No portion move alone to Five Oak. Walkability is maximized in Map C 2.0 which lead to transportation cost reduction. Extra money can benefit other kids within BSD. As close to the decision point, Map C 2.0 should be considered or as a starting point for the future map.
03/02/2020 4:18 PM	Prashant Dewan	prashant.dewan@gmail.con	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee members,Please don[?]t split JW! The feeder percentage to Sunset already looks so low for JW! Moving Summa out will make it worse! Bussing JW to a far away school just doesn[?]t make sense as Stoller is our backyard! Please look into a long term solution! Numbers in 2025 and beyond have to be looked at for long term solution!Thanks
03/02/2020 8:07 PM	Prashant Kowtal	prashant.p.kowtal@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hello Mr Sparks, AC members, One of the committee members suggested during the Feb 25th meeting that Springville should be split because it is the furthest from Stoller. For the record, I would like to get this factually inaccurate statement corrected: 1. There are parts of Springville that are closer to Stoller than parts of Sato, and there are parts of Sato that are closer. These two are intertwined communities.2. There are parts of Springville that are even closer to Stoller than many parts of Findley! hope we can get these misstatements corrected before committee members vote to split communities based on incorrect information.Thanks!

03/02/2020 1:06 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	The February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING1. Fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. 4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.....THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/02/2020 11:35 AM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	In the Feb 25th meeting as one AC member rightly questioned that Why do people support splitting SPRINGVILLE? when clearly there are other areas in Stoller that are Further south and closer to Five Oaks and Meadow Park and Timberland. It feels sad to see that Springville is made to be the one school that can be ALLOWED TO SACRIFICED eventhough those kids have to commute the LONGEST AND FURTHEST to any other MS if they move out of Stoller. MOving Springville out, also reduces FRL from 10% to less than 7%Thank you for being the voice of these kids who has no direct representation in the committee like some other Stoller schools.Thank you for listening to us and helping us through this nightmarish time .
03/02/2020 10:29 AM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear committee, Please support walk-ability to schools. We all agree that walking will promote healthy lifestyle to kids, reduces commute times for kids, reduces traffic on the roads and more importantly reduces BSD transportation cost. BSD has been promoting walking to school using Safe Routes To School/Walking-Bus program etc. And I am hoping committee will consider this when making changes to boundary.My kids keep asking why they would have to go to Timberland when they can walk to Stoller school. What message are we sending to kids, if we had to bus the kids who live nearby school to a different school? Your decision will have big impact on the kids and BSD. Take a long term view and decisions based on data . Prioritize walking to schools!!
03/02/2020 4:50 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear committee, From last week meeting, it was clear that Stoller cannot accommodate all of JW, Sato and Springville. However, note that JW is the only Sunset feeding school with this composition. The numbers provided show that only 17% of Stoller will feed to Sunset. This is too low of number for good feeder pattern. Please fix this feeder pattern by adding more Sunset feeding students to Stoller. I would expect the committee to show same consideration given for OakHills ES for Jacob Wismer ES too. Thanks!
03/02/2020 1:01 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please stop sharing maps that propose Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier meetings, we want to stay with west Bethany neighbors. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek.Thank You
03/02/2020 1:09 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please don't sent Rock Creek alone to five oaks. Please keep Springville and Rock Creek together at one school. Keeping them together will not require undue or expensive travel and travel time will also be almost same. Please enure South Bethany schools are all kept together with Westview feeders.Thank You
03/02/2020 12:59 PM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Please ensure that Rock Creed gets fair treatment when compared to other schools in North 26. We are okay with any school as long as our school is academically balanced . This means that we move with two schools from North 26 to ensure we are balanced fairly academically. Thank You
03/02/2020 2:38 PM	RAMA KRISHNA BATCHU	batchu.sap@gmail.com	Springville K-8			SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERThe above statement was made by one of the committee member during FEB-25th meeting, As this is a rationale to split Springville community and this is just not true. My home in the Springville neighborhood is 1.5 Miles from Stoller. There are other homes in the Sato neighborhood that are 2.5 miles from Stoller! These are intertwined neighborhoods where either could be closer or farther depending on which part of the neighborhood you are talking about! Using that to justify splitting Springville would not be correct. Since Springville isn't part of the committee, it is very hard for us to correct such misconceptions and inaccuracies.The Feb 25th workmap, even with aggressive projections has Stoller at ~1200 kids in 2025. That is well under the ~1600 in 2020 and meets the objectives. I hope the committee will go forward with that as the most balanced path with agreement across reasonable people across multiple communities
03/02/2020 12:51 PM	ravali	ravali_c8@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn't be separated from our Eastern neighbors sato whom we are closely intertwined with. Our kids have many friends in Sato and participate in various activities with them. On the west there is a big geographical divide with PCC and farmlands.PLEASE DO NOT MAKE SPRINGVILLE AN ISLAND
03/02/2020 4:36 PM	Ravi	pr.ravi@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear Committee As evidenced in the Feb 25th meeting there is no way Stoller can accommodate JWE, Sato and SPV. Summa is a red herring. Removing Summa does not solve the issue. Here is the question that we need to ponder when there are rhetoric claims of Summa should not take priority over neighborhood students - are the Summa students not from the neighborhood? As a matter of fact, if we go by data, Summa students live closer to Stoller than SPV students. So yes, neighborhood students have first priority and that includes Summa students as well. Lets not punish/discriminate Summa students, please.We need to replicate Stoller's success and the way to do that to make FO take in high achieving SPV students. Thanks for your time.It kicks Now the kids who walk to school will be in long commute. You arepunishing the hard working SUMMA kids to accommodate 424 Kids to a overcrowding school. Do you think that sending 185 Kids to a differentschool wil solve the objective?
03/02/2020 4:43 PM	Ravi	pr.ravi@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear CommitteeBSD and the community has a fiduciary responsibility on how we spend our tax dollars. We want the tax dollars to be spent on direct costs of education including teachers, lab, books, etc. rather than indirect and logistic costs like transportation - especially when this can be easily avoided. Prioritize walkability not just for the cause of being earth friendly, but also for being financially efficient. We trust the BSD will take their financial responsibility seriously and give due attention to this detail. Thanks

03/02/2020 4:01 PM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,Please vote for the Feb 25 work map. It splits less communities and elementary schools, prioritizes regular students over the SUMMA Options program, and does not unnesscarily tear away Springville from our North Bethany community on a long commute.It hits 2021 targets at 88% at Stoller, without Summa. 2025 projections may show it as slightly over capacity at 114%. There are portables on site to address that (IF NECESSARY) or Teaching and Learning can also opt to have slightly more kids per class. That is not the end of the world, rather than create ripple effects across half the district by pushing the northernmost school down south of 26. The effects of the changes requested at the last meeting, just to try and reduce the 114% 2025 projections is NOT worth it, especially at a school that can handle it. Can you justify all these changes because of 150 POTENTIAL students in Stoller?Keeping Springville in Stoller only POTENTIALLY "hurts" Stoller (if the projections are accurate), but keeping SUMMA /Findley in Stoller will surely "hurt" HALF THE DISTRICT, because of future forecasts that we are not even sure would happen.95% is NOT the goal for 2025, as some committee members have alluded. Even Sparks said it at the end of the last meeting. Please don't be swayed by committee members who have their own self-serving agenda. Thank you for considering these points.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
03/02/2020 3:33 PM	Rowan Wepener	rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem		Westview		Please do not send Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone with no northern neighbors, this would create a huge academic inequality. Rock Creek Must stay with Bethany and Western Oak Hills. Please ensure that your students at Rock Creek get fair treatment during this process when compared to other schools north of Highway 26.
03/02/2020 9:20 AM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		There is often talk of trying to blend N/S of 26 schools to improve diversity. Timberland is a gorgeous \$60 M building with state of the art tech and safety features. Every kid who has been inside the building says they want to go there. Shouldn't we make an attempt to give some disadvantaged communities south of 26 access to this building? The last few proposals draw a distinct line at Hwy 26 on who gets to attend Timberland. These communities south of 26 are communities who otherwise might not be able to fund raise for themselves to create a top tier academic experience. Giving Timberland purely to the north (and the wealthiest areas of the North to boot per the poverty map), seems counter to BSD long term objectives. Not sure if any proposals could solve this but it's a dilemma that's been on my mind. I just wonder if 5 years down the road if anyone will wonder why in the world we gave the most advantaged communities in BSD an additional huge advantage. Why did we further segregate the north and the south when we could have taken steps to give equal access.
03/02/2020 2:22 PM	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				After months of deliberation, the February 25th Working map ACHIEVES what the committee set out to:1. Fills Timberland efficiently - OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity at STOLLER with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent Capacity4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10%. Any other config brings it down to less than 7% .THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT OVERCROWD STOLLER.
03/02/2020 8:50 PM	Sam Jones	jones.sam.d@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		After watching the boundary meeting on February 25th I have a number of concerns as a parent of a Jacob Wismer student. Our children will attend Wismer for years, each day seeing Stoller as their next neighborhood school directly across the street. Now we propose telling them that they cannot attend that school only to make room for people who live considerably further away in Springville. What does this say to our children? That they have no sense of community, no sense of a neighborhood home, and that the solution is a long bus ride. This is our neighborhood! Wismer families have invested in this area to provide the best opportunities for their children. It is not right to take the neighborhood school away from them to appease a vocal minority. Stoller students in this neighborhood who can attend without the added public expense and travel time required by a bus ride should be allowed to do so. This is the best solution for the neighborhood and all the students involved. The growing areas of Sato and Springville will be bused in any school map. I encourage the committee to consider the holistic costs that removing our neighborhood school will have on the students here and leave Wismer and Stoller in this community as they were originally intended.
03/02/2020 1:08 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In the Feb 25th meeting as one AC member pointed out that Why is everyone behind splitting SPRINGVILLE? when clearly there are other areas in Stoller that are Further south and closer to Five Oaks and Meadow Park and Timberland. It feels sad to see that Springville is made to be the one school that can be ALLOWED TO BE SACRIFICED eventhough those kids have to commute the longest to any other MS if they move out of Stoller. MOving Springville out, also reduces FRL from 10% to less than 7%PLEASE please be the voice of these kids who has no direct representation in the committee like some other Stoller schools.Thank you for listening to us and helping us through this nightmarish time .
03/02/2020 3:38 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLER: This was stated by one of the committee members as a rationale to split Springville and this is just not true. My home in the Springville neighborhood is 1.7 Miles from Stoller. There are other homes in the Sato neighborhood that are 2.5 miles from Stoller! These are intertwined neighborhoods where either could be closer or farther depending on which part of the neighborhood you are talking about! Using that to justify splitting Springville would not be correct. Since Springville isn't part of the committee, it is very hard for us to correct such misconceptions and inaccuracies.The Feb 25th workmap, even with aggressive projections has Stoller at ~1200 kids in 2025. That is well under the ~1600 in 2020 and meets the objectives. I hope the committee will go forward with that as the most balanced path with agreement across reasonable people across multiple communities.
03/02/2020 9:14 AM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Here is what the Feb 25th work-map does:1. Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212. Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students, after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple of years again - Even with aggressive projections3. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.It does NOT make us have another boundary adjustment process in a few years. It does NOT make it overcrowded again.. The numbers are clear even with aggressive projections. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller in a long term and sustainable manner. I urge the committee to come to a decision, and please, please, please, minimize discussions about Jacob Wismer and Summa. I really think they have had more time in the committee discussions than just about everyone else put together.
03/02/2020 9:17 AM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Do not split elementary schools. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which reduces split.

03/02/2020 9:31 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		The February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING1. Fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent Capacity4. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down to less than 7% even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty.....THIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/02/2020 9:33 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AT STOLLERFeb 25th Working Map was very close and better than a lot of maps presented earlier atleast for Stoller . WITHOUT SUMMA , That map got us to 88% of Permanent Capacity in Stoller (OBJECTIVE 2 MET) and the Free Lunch Ratio at STOLLER was BETTER than ANY OTHER decent map WITHOUT CREATING ISLANDS AND SPLITS AND LONG COMMUTES . When SUMMA MOVES OUT of Stoller , the FLR will only get better from there . Sato and Springville makes up NORTH BETHANY . SPRINGVILLE does not have another MS that is close by . Please keep Springville at Stoller.Thank You Committee members who stood up for the Sprngville kids . Thank You for being our Voice . We are really close on Stoller boundaries . Springville plus Sato plus Jacob Wismer MINUS SUMMA .
03/02/2020 9:33 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	PLEASE BE OUR VOICE.....Dear AC members..... We at Springville are really counting on you to not let our kids be made an island up north....We do not have another proximal option other than Stoller..... Please be our voice and just because our kids take the bus today, please dont make them commute longer and further to a school 5 miles away. some families stay even further north than that.
03/02/2020 9:39 AM	Satokowatt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Please move SUMMA from Stoller. Please keep regular students of SPV, Sato and JW. Springville is belong to North Bethany community. Please don't split North Bethany community. Stoller is only neighborfood middle school for SPV. SPV to FO, one way 25-30 min. is not reasonle distance at all. How many hours SPV kids have to use travel time a year? What is equity?
03/02/2020 8:42 AM	SHALINI GUPTA	DOCSHALINIGUPTA@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear Committee, I was shocked to hear some of the outrageous ideas being floated at the last meeting which not only were not part of the original mandate of this task force (not changing ES boundaries, not changing SUMMA program location) but are also short sighted solution to the long term problem of overcrowding at Stoller. It also skews the fair feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset negatively as well as take away walkability to MS from lot of kids. I am not sure why the original, simpler solution of moving SPV to a different MS is not being considered when it fixes lot of issues for long terms that we are trying to address. Please consider it as well as part of all options on the table. thanks.
03/02/2020 1:31 PM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee,Bsd objective is to fill Timberland ane ameliorate Stoller overcrowding. The committee is here to balance enrollment and maintain equality of all schools. The proposal to move Rock Creek and Springville to FO has a severe impact on Rock creek, Springville and Meadow Park. Moving Summa from Stoller, have no severe impact on Sato, Jacob Wismer and Springville. It improves Meadow Park and fills Timberland.Please focus on balancing instead of what is best for Jacob Wismer. The Feb 25th working map is the best everyone have seen till today.
03/02/2020 7:53 PM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	One of the committee members suggested during the Feb 25th meeting that Springville should be split because it is the farthest from Stoller. This is incorrect. I am sharing this information because many of the committee members are not from this neighborhood. There are parts of Springville that are closer to Stoller than parts of Sato, and there are parts of Sato that are closer. These are intertwined communities.I hope we can get these inaccurate statement corrected before committee members vote to split communities based on incorrect information.
03/02/2020 8:05 PM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	BOUNDARY DECISIONS ARE NOT ABOUT COST REDUCTION. IT IS ABOUT KIDS FUTURE. LET US DO WHAT IS BEST FOR THEM. Please Stop:LONG COMMUTECOMMUNITY SPLIT
03/02/2020 4:21 PM	Shuba Ramaswamy	shubaram@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem			Please ensure that Rock Creed a gets fair treatment on par with other North of 26 schools Bethany schools. If you are moving Rock Creek students to Five Oaks or Meadow Park but make sure that they don't go by themselves but with Springville or Bethany. I don't think I need to list the reasons -- the Rock Creek Community has been shouting itself hoarse. Please do the right thing here by all the schools and not just the bigger elementary schools.
03/02/2020 1:38 PM	sidgu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE IS NOT THE FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLERIn last meeting one AC member said that Springville should be split because Springville is thefurthest from Stoller....There are houses in Springvile which are just 1.7 miles of Stoller whereasthere are houses near Sato which are more than 2.4 miles. These are intertwined neighborhoodswhere either could be closer or farther depending on which neighborhood you are talking about.So using that as a basis to Split Springville is incorrect.ALSO also the northernmost..WE ARE FARTHEST AWAY from Five Oaks.There are 14 elementary schools which are closes to Five Oaks(Including Jacob Wismer and SATO).So how can you justify moving Springville to Five Oaks.Please keep Jacob Wismer, Sato and Springville at Stoller, moving SUMMA out to Timberland.That will put Stoller at 88% permanent capacity st Stoller in 2021.
03/02/2020 9:12 AM	sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Moving Findley to Timberland instead of Stoller hardly makes much difference in transportation costs.BSD built 64 million dolar Timberland facility to relieve middle school congestion.So ideally the kids closest to it should move to Timberland. Move entire Findley and SUMMAstudents to Timberland. It doesn't make sense to have Springville students travel sucha huge distance to Five Oaks, just to make way for some SUMMA students and concerns about walkability.SUMMA is just an OPTIONAL program not an absolute necessity. Preference should be given to regularprograms first.there was a highly misleading statement in last meeting on Stoller overcrowding amd how the FEB25thmap gets back to the same number of general education students as today. Stoller today has four halls.Red, Orange, Blue and Green. General education children just get 3 halls, and summa kids getorange hall. Once summa is moved, they will have 4 halls and much less overcrowding even with2025 numbers.

3.2.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

03/02/2020 11:34 PM	SM	Shipanmis@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members,Thank you for all the hard work you have put into this task of middle school boundary adjustment. Honestly, we are exhausted trying to prove that JW belongs in Stoller along with Summa and Walking Findley. This should be a no brainer. The closest residents should get to go to the school nearest to them especially, if they live in walkable distance. SPV and Sato both cannot go to Stoller together. Both communities are ever expanding and sending them to Stoller together means that Stoller will be overcrowded eternally. Our kids deserve better than this. They deserve PTO conferences so their teachers can meet their parents and show them their work. They deserve smaller class sizes, so they can receive proper attention from their teacher and learn efficiently. They deserve to go to HS with their friends so they feel comfortable during a tough transition. Keeping walking Findley at Stoller, helps maintain a healthy percentage of Sunset bound kids in Stoller. You have a chance to do it right. Please do it right!Thanks!
03/02/2020 4:37 PM	Spring Patterson	spring.patterson@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you again for your dedication to the boundary setting process and addressing the Oak Hills community concerns about the MS-HS feeder pattern and student isolation in high school. Please continue to keep Sunset Bound-Oak Hills (East) students with Terra Linda ES at Timberland and Westview Bound-Oak Hill's (West) students with Bethany ES.As you continue to modify the middle school boundary map to balance middle school capacity utilization, would ask you also keep in mind the other objectives related to strong feeder patterns and limiting the number of feeder high schools to two. This will help support student success during a critical time in their educational career.Thank you kindly,Spring
03/02/2020 9:54 AM	Sri	srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Sato has clearly stated in their testimonies that they would like to stay with Springville in the middle school. These schools were split during ES boundary adjustment and children have been looking forward to spending time during the middle school years. Working map from 2/25 brings out the most reasonable solution the one in which many ES schools have together with a UNITED stand. WE DOT WANT SPLIT FEEDER PATTERNS INTRODUCED. PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT SCHOOLS. DO NOT SPLIT FRIENDSHIPS. SPRINGVILLE IS AN INTEGRAL PART OF NORTH BETHANY. PLEASE DO NOY SEVER US FROM THE REST OF OUR COMMUNITY.
03/02/2020 9:33 AM	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
03/02/2020 11:55 AM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Please keep Oak Hills West with Bethany and Rock Creek. Please keep Oak Hills West with other Westview feeder schools. Thank you.
03/02/2020 10:31 PM	Sujata Guha Thakurta	sujata_2000b@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Main theme should be to consider walkability to school. My kids are in Findley elementary and we live right across from Stoller in Oakride Estates. It is of utmost importance to ensure that kids who can walk to school and within a mile go to that school and in this case it is Stoller. I would hate for my kids to take the bus and waste time on commute when they can really easily walk to school. That was one of the main reasons we moved to this neighborhood. Now we are faced with this option to move us to Timberline which we cannot agree to. I would urge the committee and the district to take walkability into consideration when making the final decision.
03/02/2020 11:50 AM	Sunita Jogi	jsunita3@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2/SST	Dear BSD,A lot of kids at Springville live even north of the school making it more than five miles to Five Oaks.... I am scared at the very thought that , that commute is even being considered.....we all know that the 22 min bus trials were NOT even close to reality please please do not let our kids commute FURTHER AND LONGER just because we are bussed today. That does not seem equitable at all....There are other parts of Stoller that are further south that are closer to Timberland, Meadow Park and Five Oaks.
03/02/2020 5:58 PM	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear members, Findley has 401 MS students with 134 summa, Springville has 424 MS students with 51 summa, Sato has 396 MS students with 42 Summa, Jacob Wisler has 395 MS students with 85 summa. 1, Jacob Wisler does NOT have 50% of summa students, and not all summa kids are walkable to Stoller. 2. It makes sense to Let Findley go to Timberland with summa because it has most summa students. 3. Summa students are much less than regular students, 424 to 5 miles vs 178 to?2 mils, transportation will be easier and cost will be lower. Please move summa to Timberland and keep Sato, Springville and JW as whole.
03/02/2020 2:42 PM	Swathi ENNAMURI	eswathi2708@gmail.com	Springville K-8				CHOOSE THE RIGHT THING AND AVOID MULTIPLE MEETINGSThe February 25th Working map ACHIEVES THE FOLLOWING and PERFECT to choose for Middle school boundary adjustment process without spending more energy across everyone.1. This map fills Timberland efficiently with All of Findley and most parents do not want to split even most of walkable areas- OBJECTIVE 1 MET.....2. 88% permanent Capacity with all of Jacob Wismer , Sato and Springville MINUS SUMMA..... OBJECTIVE 2 MET3. Even the increase in 2025 is Only using 1 or max two portables for electives maybe , this is EVEN WITH THE OVER PROJECTIONS, that is in the WORST CASE SCENARIO. Portables are being used ACROSS THE DISTRICT. POST 2025, there is a DECLINE and we get below Permanent CapacityTHIS COMBINATION WILL NOT CALL FOR ANOTHER BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT IN 2025. IT DOES NOT MAKE STOLLER OVERCROWDED. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller IN A LONG TERM AND SUSTAINABLE MANNER
03/02/2020 6:51 PM	Vani	Bandekodige@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The walking findley community never got an opportunity to talk in the boundary meetings so far. We live in Bethany heights neighborhood and our kids walk to school through Greenwood trail. Please don[?]t push us out of Stoller . I think all of the walking findley community should go to Stoller .Please make the right decision and be fair to walking kids.
03/02/2020 12:37 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Feb25th MAP A does the following1) Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 20212) Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students after which it falls andgets below 1100 in a couple off years again even with aggressive projections.3) Maintains free lunch at Stoller at 10%. There is no further growth in Springville. Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.So better to consider MAP A to avoid all these cascading affects.
03/02/2020 12:38 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP-A SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025IN FEB25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member (Abdul) who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committeeto focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.I know there are concerns about JW High school feeders but please note thee will beover 220 sunset kids in stoller after SUMMA Moves out.SO PLEASE AVOID UNNECESSARY SPLITS.IF NEEDED ALONG WITH SUMMA, ALSO MOVE A PORTION OF JACOB WISMER TO TIMBERLAND. THAT WILLREDUC THE STOLLER EVEN MORE.

03/02/2020 12:39 PM	varsha	varshasuren@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded schools is important. Findley has the most SUMMA students so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. If SUMMA remains in Stoller it will never meet the objective of 90%. Also moving SUMMA out of Stoller will improve the economic diversity in Stoller. Below analysis is by transportation in BSD site. Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 ----NOTE THIS AMOUNT BSD will be losing \$25,584 by moving Springville to Five Oaks instead of Stoller Findley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$30,742 . Increase of \$8288 Even if you net out the difference (\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000 by moving Springville to Stoller.
03/02/2020 9:06 AM	Venkata C	sarayu05@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8				Here is what the Feb 25th work-map does: 1. Reduces Stoller from over 1600 students in 2020 to less than 1000 in 2021. 2. Even the increase in 2025 is only to around 1200 students, after which it falls and gets below 1100 in a couple of years again - Even with aggressive projections. 3. Maintains Free-Reduced-Lunch at Stoller at 10% : Any other config brings it down even further in an area where it is very hard to fix because of the geographic distribution of poverty. It does NOT make us have another boundary adjustment process in a few years. It does NOT make it overcrowded again.. The numbers are clear even with aggressive projections. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer do fit in Stoller in a long term and sustainable manner. I urge the committee to come to a decision, and please, please, please, minimize discussions about Jacob Wismer and Summa. I really think they have had more time in the committee discussions than just about everyone else put together.
03/02/2020 9:42 AM	VENKATA LAKSHMI YALAMANCHILI	lakshmi9599@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	We want United Findley either at Stoller or Timberland, which ever matches optimally with BSD's budget, capacity, Equity, Emotional Health and Walkability
03/02/2020 9:11 PM	Vijay Agrawal	vijay2103@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better. So please stop publishing maps which shows option to go Rock Creek alone to Five Oaks.
03/02/2020 6:59 PM	vijayk	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There are inconsistencies from the Committee in the direction to push Chehalem to a non-walkable Highland Park middle school. There were several members who previously advocated for walkable Findley Summa (advantaged) kids to be kept at Stoller but the walkability preference wasn't extended to the mostly Free and Reduced Lunch kids at Chehalem. Can we make these rules be more consistently applied? WE NEED TO BE EQUITABLE. Likewise, the non-walkable Aloha-Huber kids are constantly being pushed towards Mountain View, despite that the current population needs to be bussed whether they end up in Five Oaks or Mountain View. I would like the Committee to be consistent for fairness and equity to all communities.
03/02/2020 7:01 PM	vijayk	v_koroth@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A WAY TO GO FORWARD a) It avoids splitting of communities. b) It avoids Springville having to travel the maximum distance along the unsafest route to Five Oaks c) BSD will also gain in transportation costs by keeping Springville at Stoller. d) It will further help to move the entire SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland. e) Rockcreek will need to travel to Meadow park but they will have Bethany Elem with them. Also SUMMA is also there in Meadow park. f) JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets the objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025. g) The 2025 projections doesn't seem accurate. There is no further development in Springville. The estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. IN LAST BUDGET REVIEW MEETING BSD INDICATED THAT NUMBER OF STUDENTS ACROSS BSD HAVE BEEN STABLE LAST YEAR AND TEND TO DROP IN FUTURE DUE TO SMALLER MEMBERS PER HOUSEHOLD IN NEW GEN. So it doesn't clarify how does the Stoller increase drastically in 2025. There is no new growth in Springville. Also there are houses with more than 3-4 rooms but with single kid. PLEASE DON'T GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller. h) The Jacob wismer to Sunset feeder at Stoller is about 18% or over 200 kids in the Feb 25th MAP A. Its not a single digit percentage as MISREPRESENTED by one of the Jacob Wismer testimonies. Its well in line with high school feeders across the district. IN FEB 25TH MEETING we had 8 members voting for MAP A. Towards end of meeting there was an additional member, who also preferred MAP A. Considering that the total vote count will be 9. So request committee to focus on MAP A rather than thinking of different maps at this stage.
03/02/2020 4:22 PM	Wallace Wei	wallace0126@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	It is true that the construction of Timberland is to resolve the north school overcapacity issue, but it does not mean that at least one north school needs to go to Timberland. Removing Findley from Stoller will not resolve the overcapacity issue now and in future, since this community is growing very aggressively. We do not want to come back for another boundary adjustment in a couple of years. Entire SPV can move to FO to end all of this drama. If we do so, the impact on to all other schools from south to north will be very minimum. Findley should be staying in Stoller for the same reason why SPV is to be moved out: Findley communities have really stable population and there is not much home expansion in the areas. Keeping Findley in Stoller, just makes everything easier, number wise, tax payer's money wise, and everything else.
03/02/2020 6:34 AM	Wei Wei	weiwei40@hotmail.com					Dear BSD middle school boundary adjustment commit members, With the coronavirus going on, please don't put our community in danger by holding more of these large meetings which have shown to be not too effective from time to time. We've seen attempted discussion on back the old map C which splits communities left and right. Having new maps will lead nowhere, and having extra multiple meetings is now a safety concern. Also, , the objective and the goal is to hit 2021 number (not the predicted 2025 number). Please, all the members, do have a close review of the Map from Feb 25, and check if the objectives and goal for 2021 numbers are met. If so, please move forward with it. Thanks, -Wei
03/02/2020 4:08 PM	Xiaojiao	stella8561@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Please do not send walkable Findley kids to Timberland, they are from Bethany Heights/Banister heights/Banister creek/Oakridge. Those kids need to stay in Stoller. Buying new buses is not a good way to spend tax payer's money. Please use the money for school programs. I hope South schools can support walkable Findley communities, since transportation shares school program budget across BSD, not only Stoller summa will suffer, a lot of good programs in south schools will also be impacted. Yes, travelling from Bethany Heights to Stoller was hard before, but there was already road construction done to mitigate the problem. If kids from Bethany Heights were sent to Timberland, this only means the money invested was wasted. If you have sympathy for SPV kids to travel long distance to Five Oaks, please take a look at walkable Findley kids, they could have just walked to school within their neighborhood, but now, they need to be bused.
03/02/2020 12:25 PM	Yadi Zheng	yadizheng@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				1. Please let Rock Creek stay with Bethany and West Oak Hills in Five Oaks or Meadow Park. 2. Please lower Stoller capacity to 90% because we really don't want to go through this five years later. 3. Please reconsider establishing an optional middle school in Rock Creek since the population of RC is going down and we need one more middle school in north west BSD.

03/02/2020 1:48 AM	Yunkyoung Lee	kelly112@naver.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hello. I have 2boys who are a 7th grader in stoller and a 3rd grader in Jacob wismer. The map you discussed was so ridiculous. I don[?]t get why we have a new school and new school boundary upon the map. Stoller will have capacity problem again based on the map. Within 5years the stoller[?]s capacity will be 130% and after 5years the capacity problem will be even more serious because around sato and springville are new construction areas. Thus new students are coming to stoller more and more. Stoller should have only Sato and Springville must go to the other middle school. I totally don[?]t understand why you proposed this map. It should be even removed from all possible examples.
--------------------	---------------	--------------------	-------------------	------------	--------	--	---

FW:

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 3/3/2020 8:16 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Sridevi Gullipalli <sridevi.gullipalli@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 2, 2020 11:15 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject:

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Spark and Committee members,

Can you please forward this email to committee?

We were so close in the Feb 25th meeting to identify a potential solution....had we had a solution, there would have been no need for the March 12th meeting, there would have been no dilemma about whether we will have the meeting on March 12th or not due to the Coronavirus.

In my mind, there were 2 things that tripped most of the committee and drove us to not have a solution by end of that meeting.

1. Having SUMMA numbers included for Stoller projections for 2021 and 2025 – later during the meeting, Mr. Spark confirmed that committee should assume SUMMA is OUT for this discussion (he said it at 35min 40 sec in the video recording of 25th meeting please check it out) as teaching and learning will decide where SUMMA will be placed later based on other factors.

2. Since the beginning of this process, Mr. Spark insisted there are 2 main objectives for this boundary change discussion...

- A) Reduce Stoller capacity to around 90% of it's permanent capacity.

- B) Fill Timberland to around 90% to its permanent capacity.

Both **A** and **B** met completely with Feb 25th map, however there was the 3rd objective that caused lot of churn, which is Stoller projections for 2025.....lot of time was spent on this topic and at the end of the meeting Mr. Spark clarifies at 2:24:45 sec in the video that our goal should be finding solution for **A** and **B** and 2025 numbers are just nice to have.

While I understand many committee members' concerns about not wanting to come back in 2025 to do boundary adjustments again, which is a valid point... 2025 is not a scary situation or mess like some of the committee members referred ...let me explain why?

1. 2025 numbers are mere projections and they include SUMMA numbers – So if you subtract SUMMA numbers like we discussed and Steve confirmed in #1 above, there is some capacity added

2. Stoller's current year(2019-2020) occupancy is **1,560** while it's total capacity (permanent capacity + Portables) is **1375**

3. According to 2025 projections, total kids will be enrolled to Stoller are **1491** and if you subtract SUMMA kids from this capacity, they will be way under total capacity **1375**

4. And in 2027, Stoller enrollment will start declining, so if there is a problem at all, it is going to be there for just 2 years and that too 2025 situations is much better than 2019-20
5. Mr. Spark mentioned at 48.18 sec in the video that, there will be 2 possible situations in 2025
 1. Projections are wrong and there will be less number of kids enrolled in to Stoller (this is what many smart people in our neighborhood are predicting and they have submitted their analysis and evidence to Mr. Spark already if you want to check them out) ...Stoller is good, otherwise
 2. If projections hold true, there is little over capacity, Stoller can continue to use portables for 2 years and then it will start declining anyway in 2027

So committee members, please watch the Feb 25th meeting video, all of your concerns are already addressed, please don't take harsh decision of moving or splitting Springville and punish our kids for the problem that may or may not occur in 2025, and 2025 is not BSD objective and even if it occurs, we have a reasonable solution in place already.

Feb 25th map is by far the best map with less splits and less agony attached to it ...please consider making small changes that were requested to make oakhills happy and some other schools.

Thank you for all the hard work you guys are putting in, we all appreciate it truly.

-Sridevi

FW: Attachment on Springville vs Sato distances

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 3/3/2020 8:20 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (364 KB)

SPV vs Sato distance.pdf;

please include in comments

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 2, 2020 9:21 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Attachment on Springville vs Sato distances

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,


In the Feb 25th meeting, one of the AC members suggested Springville is the farthest from Stoller and must hence be split. This is factually incorrect as shown by a few examples in the attached deck. I hope the record is corrected and we do not split communities based on factually incorrect statements.

Thanks,

Madhu

- A statement was made by a committee member in the Feb 25th meeting that Springville should be split because it is farthest from Stoller - **This is factually incorrect.**
- There are **Sato** homes farther from Stoller and **Springville** homes closer to Stoller and vice versa - **These are highly intertwined communities**
- In fact, there are even some Findley homes that are further from Stoller than some of the closer Springville homes!
- The next few slides show you examples of this in the three boundaries


Please do not split communities, neighborhoods and schools with factually inaccurate data.



Springville Home to STOLLER - 1.7 MILES



Sato Home to STOLLER - 2.4 MILES



Findley Home to Stoller - 2.0 MILES

RE: Stoller Visual

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 3/4/2020 11:29 AM

To: Marie Knapp <lmknapp@gmail.com>

Yes. I entered the materials into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Marie Knapp <lmknapp@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 4, 2020 11:17 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Re: Stoller Visual

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Just wanted to confirm this was received and that you were able to view the document.

On Mon, Mar 2, 2020 at 9:39 PM Marie Knapp <lmknapp@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello Mr. Sparks,

Attached is a visual representation of Stoller for the Working Map from 2/25 to share with the boundary committee. This solution is by far the best we have seen and this visual explains why.

Stoller does not need to be perfect. It will be below 90% in 2021 and never reach total capacity, and that is good enough.

It would be helpful if the committee could accept this solution and move on to discuss the needs of other schools and communities, which have not received nearly as much discussion time.

Thank you,

Marie Knapp
Springville Parent

 [StollerIsOkay.pdf](#)



STOLLER IS FINE IN 2025

The 2/25 Working Map meets the objectives for Stoller and will not cause issues in 2025. Here is a visual representation:



THIS YEAR- STOLLER IS OVERCROWDED

This is what Stoller looks like now. Each Lego figure represents ~60 students. As you can see, today we exceed total capacity – there isn't even enough room in the portables for all students.

OVER TOTAL CAPACITY

Stoller is currently exceeding total capacity. There are more students then can fit into the building, and into the portables!

(120 students are shown here standing to the side by the portables filled with students)





2021- WITH WORKING MAP 2/25- 88% PERMANENT CAPACITY

In 2021, we bring Stoller down to 88% permanent capacity. We use no portables and there is extra space in the building.



2025- STILL BELOW TOTAL CAPACITY

In a worst-case scenario, we leave most portables unused in 2025 and perhaps have an elective or two there. We are nowhere near the level of crowding we see today.



...AND IN THE FUTURE

Stoller's population will stabilize and we will no longer need portables.