

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
02/27/2020 12:03 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8				Dear Committee Members,Please vote in favor of the Feb 25 working map that doesn't divide communities, doesn't isolate one particular community as an ISLAND, hits the 2021 objective, fills Timberland, prioritizes regular kids over options programs and doesn't subject kids to unnecessarily long commute away through unsafe roads.There is no perfect map that hits all the goals for 2025 while getting all these positives. A COMPROMISE slightly over capacity is manageable with a few portables (if its EVEN necessary) or a slightly bigger class. As one of the committee members said, [?]We can't have a perfect picture but we can do the best picture we can given the limitations.[?] The working map from Feb 25 meeting was it.Thank you to the committee members who are in favor of this map and who propose solutions that is fair for ALL, not just for their own interests.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
02/27/2020 12:08 PM	A Richell Chiu-Yap	richellchiu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Mr. Sparks and Committee,How come the SUMMA numbers are not changing even when the population is growing? If we average the summa numbers with the growth and no SUMMA in Stoller, then Stoller will be under permanent capacity even in 2025. Please check the data you are giving to make sure the decisions are made based on ACCURATE assumptions that will affect the lives of our children. Please vote in favor of the Feb 25 working map that doesn't divide communities, doesn't isolate one particular community as an ISLAND, hits the 2021 objective, fills Timberland, prioritizes regular kids over options programs and doesn't subject kids to unnecessarily long commute away through unsafe roads. Thank you!Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
02/27/2020 11:20 PM	a.sidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A reduces the load in Stoller and Five oaks. The initial intention of this meeting was to reduce the load on Stoller. Also as per BSD anaylsis MAP A redices the load in Stoller in 2021 and 2025.In addition move SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland fully which will further reduce the load on Stoller.Also transporation costs can be further gained by moving entire Findley and SUMMA to Timberland and Springville to StollerYou can refer the analysis by transportation. Springville to Five oaksSpringville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 There is savings of 25,584 moving Springville to StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$30,742 Increase of 8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.
02/27/2020 8:45 PM	a.sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPA SHOULD BE REVISTED. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term &amp; sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which then declines nd will get below permanent capacity.
02/27/2020 9:00 PM	a.sidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.Instead of making large scale changes why can't some portion of Jacob Wismer moved toTimberland. It will serve multiple purposes. Proper utilization of Timerland. Also JWcomplains about not being together with their friends will reduce and they will alsohave SUMMA at Timberland. Finally also reduce the load on STOLLER further more.
02/27/2020 11:23 PM	a.sishu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		RECONSIDER MAP AMAP A reduces the load on stoller as per BSD analysis. Also the transportation cost of sending Springvillestudents to Stoller is much less than sending them to Five oaks.In addition the load on stoller can further be reduced if SUMMA program and entire Findley is moved completely to Timberland.Springville is in an unfavorable position with no middle schools nearby. Please don't punish our kidsby making them moving far way to Five oaks.You can refer the analysis by transporation. Springville to Five oaksSpringville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 There is savings of 25,584 moving Springville to StollerFindley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$30,742 Increase of 8288Even if you net out the difference(\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000by moving Springville to Stoller.PLEASE LISTEN TO CONCERNS OF SPRINGVILLE.
02/27/2020 11:28 PM	a.varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER. SUPPORT MAP A - Sato and Springville students and families make up the North Bethany community. Splitting some of Springville to go to Five Oaks disrupts this community as we currently share the same parks, trails, and local activities. Stoller is the only neighborhood area middle school for North Bethany students. There are 14 OTHER Elementary schools which are closer to Five oaks compared to Springville. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. PLEASE DONT GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.
02/27/2020 11:30 PM	a.varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		BRING ON MAP A. KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHERThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Le us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives. PLEASE DONT GIVE PRIORITY TO SUMMA SOVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute 40% of Summa students in Stoller.
02/27/2020 8:52 PM	a.varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term &amp; sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which will then declines and will get below permanent capacity.
02/27/2020 8:56 PM	a.varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		RECONSIDER MAP ATHERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TOFIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travelsuch a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designatedas the highest prone accident route in Washingtom county.Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road andthey don't have to travel thru 185th.BELOW SHOW THE VARIOUS SCHOOLS TAHT ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS RATHER THAN SPRINGVILLE.SPRINGVILLE IS AT TEHY BOTTOM WITH THE MAXIMUM DISTANCEElmonica ElemMcKinley Elem Beaver Acres ElemOak Hills Elem Rock Creek Elem Terra Linda ElemBethany Elem Barnes Elem Aloha Hubel.C Tobias ElemJACOB WISMER Elem FINDLEY Elem William walker Elem Bonny slope ElemSPRINGVILLE Elem
02/27/2020 7:51 PM	a.vijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A for Feb25 is the PREFERRED ONThe map shows reducing Stoller overload and filling up Timberland. In addition theentire SUMMA should be moved to Timberland. SUMMA is just an optional program so it shouldnot be given preference over regular programs. JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets thE objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.Please keep us with North Bethany community even if it needs using a couple of portables if needed in 2025, as these are at it best far out estimates and BSD has always over projected across the district..so this 2025 issue might be NON-EXISTENT.The estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. In last budget review meeting, BSD indicated that number of students across BSD have been stable last year and tend to drop in future due to smaller members per household in new generation. Sending entire Springville to Stoller should be the decision. THERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travel such a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have lead time for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designatedas the highest prone accident route in Washington county.
02/27/2020 7:54 PM	a.vijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A shows reducing Stoller overload and filling up Timberland.a) Move entire SUMMA to Timberland. This will fill the new 61 million dollarschool and keep entire Findley community together.FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025b) JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets thE objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.c) Please note: for 2025 projections, these are merely projections and estimates.There is no new growth in Springville now. Also there are many houses with more than 3-4 rooms nut with one one kid.Please don't sacrifice our children's well-being over what-ifs and empty lots. Please note: BSD also tend to overproject enrollment rates, as evidenced by the recent over projection that caused the budget deficit.
02/27/2020 9:01 PM	a.vijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		BRING ON MAP AThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Le us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives.
02/27/2020 1:19 PM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			MS boundary objective is 90% of Stoller in 2021. 2025 is not OBJECTIVE
02/27/2020 1:21 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			114% in 2025 at Stoller is far better than current 170% permanent capacity. 2025 is not MS boundary OBJECTIVE.
02/27/2020 1:29 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Do not punish SPV kids for just over 14% (unrealistic projections) of permanent capacity at Stoller. It's like using 2 portables in 2025 versus 14 portables using by Stoller currently. 2025 is NOT MS BOUNDARY OBJECTIVE. 2021 IS.
02/27/2020 3:35 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear MS Committee,Do not punish SPV kids immediately by showing 2025 future projections. BSD's projections were never close to the real numbers. Please do not punish REAL KIDS over EMPTY LOTS just for an additional 14% of permanent capacity in 2025. This overflow doesn't need to redraw the MS boundaries.Also Stoller will be under permanent capacity in 2027.
02/27/2020 3:38 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Dear AC Committee,It really doesn't make sense to break kids friendships in 2021 for something that may or may not happen in 2025. OBEJCTIVE is 90% Stoller Capacity in 2021 NOT 2025
02/27/2020 3:43 PM	aarnav	AArnnav85@outlook.com					Dear MS committee,I request you not split communities just by showing 2025 projections which may not be real. SPV&#43;Sato&#43;JW - Summa meets 90% Stoller objective in 2021. Discard 2025 as it's far better than current situation in Stoller.I request you not to take hard decisions on SPV KIDS

02/27/2020 3:49 PM	aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear MS committee,I request you not split North Bethany communities based on unrealistic aggressive 2025 projections. Feb 25th is the perfect map for North Bethany communities as SPV&#43;Sato&#43;JW - Summa meets objective 90% Stoller in 2021. If you vote for other map it creates splits in every neighborhood of North Bethany schools i.e Findley, Rockcreek, Bethany and Springville. Please do not split our community and kids friendship..
02/27/2020 3:53 PM	aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear MS AC Committee,Do you choose a map that splits Rockcreek, Bethany, Findley and Springville or A Map that keeps these neighborhood together.
02/27/2020 6:05 PM	aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Deal BSD MS AC,I request you to look at the MS boundary objectives.1. Fill Tmberland 2. Bring Sato to 90 permanent capcity in 2021 (Not in 2025). 3. Reduce/avoid split Feeder patterns Only Working map will achieve this. None of the map c variations will not achieve.
02/27/2020 6:13 PM	Aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear AC Committee,Keeping Springville in Stoller will make the Free reduced lunch and diversity numbers better. Keeping Summa, Walkable Findley at Stoller and sending Springville to other school will make those numbers much worse in Stoller.Please vote for Working Map by moving Summa and Walkable Findley to Timberland. No need to take HARD DECISIONS on 450 SPV kids.
02/27/2020 6:35 PM	Aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			MS AC Committee,Moving SPV along with Rockcreek and Bethany will make Fiveoaks overcrowded. We shouldn't be having margin or error at Fiveoaks as they don't have portables like Stoller.Please vote for Working map
02/27/2020 6:38 PM	Aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			SPV has the highest number of Free Reduced Lunch in Stoller. Moving SPV to Fiveoaks makes Stoller a rich school and burden on these kids and families in the case of emergencies.Vote for Working map
02/27/2020 9:14 AM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			2021 objective Stoller 90% permanent capacity. No 2025 in decision making.
02/27/2020 9:47 AM	Aarnav	Aarnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Mr Sparks, Please clarify why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school ?
02/27/2020 9:49 PM	Aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Mr Sparks & AC members,There was a lot of confusion among AC members in Feb 25th meeting by having both 2021 & 2025 numbers. For the next meeting Could you please present 2021 numbers first with the existing map and take vote. Later present 2025 numbers.
02/27/2020 9:52 PM	Aarnav	AArnav85@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Mr Sparks For the next meeting could you please remind the AC MS objectives at the beginning of the meeting. AC was confused on feb 25th meeting with 2021 and 2025 numbers.
02/27/2020 4:28 PM	aarun	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPC IS UNDESIRABLE. DOESNT MEET OBJECTIVES. BRING ON MAP AThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Le us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles.Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives.
02/27/2020 4:29 PM	aarun	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPC IS UNDESIRABLE. DOESNT MEET OBJECTIVES AND INCREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTSMOVE ENTIRE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDBSD built 64 million dolar Timberland facility to relieve middle school congestion.So ideally the kids closest to it should move to Timberland. Move entire Findley and SUMMAstudents to Timberland. It doesn't make sense to have Springville students travel sucha huge distance to Five Oaks, just to make way for some SUMMA students and concerns about walkability.MAP C doesn't meet 1& 2 objectives. Objective 1- fill Timberland. MAP C utilizes Timberlandonly at 74%. As per MAP C, Stoller is at 100% in 2021 which doesn't meet objective 2.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.There are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.
02/27/2020 4:32 PM	aarun	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MOVE ENTIRE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDEvery middle school walkable kids where SUMMA is not offered have to take BUSTO go to middle schoole where SUMMA is offered. So it is happening for otherSUMMA kids in BSD due to the nature of how SUMMA program is offered only at few middle schools. Jacob Wismer SUMMA kids won't be the FIRST and ONLY WALKABLE KIDS to takeBUS for SUMMA program, This is EQUITABLE.Also SUMMA is an optional program. Optional means Optional. In general for any activity,in any sphere of life, first preference should be given to regular stuff which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.
02/27/2020 4:41 PM	aarun	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SUPPORT MAP A. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025. MAPA SHOULD BE REVISTEDwhy is the committe going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongstyourselfes before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shouldn'tcommitte be focusing on it with certain revisons like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland.When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce.Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND B FOR 2025. There are other schools with more than 90% capacity in 2025. So why only Stoller is being brought into picture.FINALLY THERE WAS MORE THAN 7 VOTES FOR MAP A, YET WHY IS THE COMMITTEE TRYING TO THINK BACK INTO MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS FOR WHICH THERE WAS LESS THAN 5-6 VOTES. WITH RESPECT TO SPARKS STATEMENT IF THE % OF VOTES IS A CRITERIA THEN THE MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AT ALLBASED ON VOTES.There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.
02/27/2020 4:03 PM	aarunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MOVE ENTIRE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDEvery middle school walkable kids where SUMMA is not offered have to take BUSTO go to middle schoole where SUMMA is offered. So it is happening for otherSUMMA kids in BSD due to the nature of how SUMMA program is offered only at few middle schools. Jacob Wismer SUMMA kids won't be the FIRST and ONLY WALKABLE KIDS to takeBUS for SUMMA program, This is EQUITABLE.Also SUMMA is an optional program. Optional means Optional. In general for any activity,in any sphere of life, first preference should be given to regular stuff which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.
02/27/2020 4:44 PM	aavijay	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		support MAP A. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025why is the committe going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongstyourselfes before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shoudln'tcommitte be focusing on it with certain revisons like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland.When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce.Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND BFOR 2025. THE FACT IS NO and is clearly indicated in previous maps.There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.So doesn't the number of votes become 9.
02/27/2020 10:45 PM	Abby	abbysteep06@gmail.com	Barnes Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		UNCONSCIOUS BIAS!! I love the diversity in this committee as its a great representation of our communities. Now, there are 2-3 committee members very biased in favor of Springville in Stoller. I don[?]t understand why this is happening, but I[?]ve noticed this for a while. Last meeting was too obvious at the end, when they all talked after Jason to shutdown Map C2.1 (Abdul and Abhijit proposal). Thankfully, the 2-3 guys are not the committee, there are 17 very diverse folks in the committee. I wanted to share my observation and I admit I don[?]t know how to address it, other that raising the awareness on this. WATCH OUT for unconscious/hidden bias and unintended consequences.
02/27/2020 9:16 PM	Abhilash Akula	abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Mr. Sparks,In the last committee meeting, for several questions, most members did not vote. Next time, it would be appropriate for public to know why a member does not vote for a particular option. We would like to know what good , valid reasons they have for saying NO to multiple questions/options...There were few great ideas (incremental changes) raised by committee members Jason, Abhijit but its sad that other members did not vote for them. One particular member has clearly Elementary School specific agenda/vision. I cannn't believe even after exhibiting this behavior in several past public meetings (you would have received many similar complaints), why there is no corrective action from BSD? We need broad-minded people in these public committees. It appears like this particular elementary school wants both Summa and Stoller and wants to be at the center of universe without even thinking the ripple effects created on neighborhood schools. For the most part, this member forgot that he or she represents the middle school, NOT elementary. We never heard a single statement from this member in all the past meetings on the effects of long commutes of SPV kids if SPV were assigned to Five Oaks. It's sad - we neither have presence in the committee nor our comments get posted even if we criticize a valid concern and suggest some corrective actions...As a citizen of this great, 2nd largest democratic country, I thought constructive criticism is well appreciated in general in public committees. It is easy to say &#34;All is Well&#34; all the time, but is that good???My proposal is, Start with Map A -& Move Findley and Summa to Timberland (this should be obvious choice given the fact that MS is built at Timberland and it is closest to Findley) -& Move portions of JW that feeds into Sunset to Timberland for consistency -& Keep RC, Bethany in Meadow Park. Review numbers again and fine tune further. Let's do incremental changes as we are almost there. Your goal is 90% of permanent capacity in 2021, NOT 2025. 90% in 2025 means 70% in 2021??? Why does BSD come up with such a drastic change, or unrealistic requirement even after building Timberland at a wrong place, far away from growth? Let's stay focused on 90% capacity in 2021.In future BSD committees, if you are fixing north school boundaries, please elect/select committee from Southern side of BSD and vice versa. Do not only take members from few schools - this creates unfair advantage to few regions over others. Many thanks to you and all other committee members....
02/27/2020 3:29 PM	Akhil Sharma	Sharma.akhil@yahoo.com					Dear committee members, I have been hearing that some of you were sent direct emails and the content was derogatory. Please note that this whole process is turning nasty. It is hard to know who is emailing under whose name. I also heard that people are creating fake email ids, only to vent out and post comments. We found some parents from one school stated their school name wrongly, just to portray a dirty picture of other schools parents. It is unfortunate to see all these things happening. Ideally, parents should be allowed to comment only from their registered email ID with BSD. Please do not get distracted. Majority of my school folks, respect you, your privacy and only asking for your help so that our kids aren[?]t sent miles away instead of nearby school. It is possible that the bad emails were sent by parents disguising as our school parents. And people forget that you are parents like us. There is an increasing feeling that our community which is very united, is being not liked for our stance. We all have a right to express our opinion. We don[?]t have any one in the committee. Our unity is not being appreciated. We are at the risk of having our kids sent the farthest distance. What do we do? Only we can do is comments and we are doing that. Please help. Thanks.

02/27/2020 10:27 PM	Albert Chen	Albertbkchen@yahoo.com.tw	Springville K-8				From the boundary meeting on February 25th, I feel that there is some bias in the committee and chairman. We as American lives in a Democratic society and we are proud of that, in this meeting, I feel that we are losing the heart of being democracy - Mainly due to the voting process is very unfair. In the first voting of the map, the chairman ask for supporting votes, 4 people raised their hand, the chairman immediately calls for a reverse vote. Then, someone raised a question to the vote and the chairman clarified, now the supporting votes becomes 8 votes(half of the committee), at this moment, the chairman immediately called it a draw. This is very obvious of the chairman's preference. Please be respectful to the people and also to democracy, American has walked a long way to earn respect from the world, and we should not make shame of ourselves.
02/27/2020 11:36 AM	Alekya	Pedapudi@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Findley to Stoller Balance Summa as neededBENEFITS:(1) It solves the Oak Hills Sunset problem. (2) Rock Creek 5.5 mile commute to Meadow Park is improved significantly. (3) JW gets more Sunset students in Stoller. Stoller splits nearly 50-50% between Westview/Sunset. Current map split is ~85-15%.(4) Stoller is not overcrowded and we don't put in bu\$e\$ ~250 kids that can walk to Stoller.(5) Five Oaks enrollment without Springville suffers a decline by 2025. Its enrollment is stable with Springville in there.
02/27/2020 9:26 PM	Alex sutton	Alex_p_sutton@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Sending only rock creek kids to five oaks will completely disrupt our community and doesn't even pretend to be equitable. Not ok!!!
02/27/2020 8:50 PM	Allu	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Friendships and community bonding lost in 2021 for an unpredictable 2025. AC members and BSD, please do not jeopardize our SPV community friendships and bonding we have created with SATO and some JW kids for an unpredictable 2025 projections.Stoller without Summa already meets an over projected 2025 objective. Please do not uproot an entire community from its friends and neighborhood for just the sake of it.
02/27/2020 10:07 AM	Alyssa	alyssa.nelson.cab@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			ACMA	I just wanted to reach out and say thank you committee for hearing and understanding the concern for the OHE community. I know as a parent I want back and forth on my feelings, but I think its best for the kids to go to MS with their HS bound friends, unless their ES all goes to HS, I think that MS split is less harmful, but our kids with a HS split, needed the MS split to keep them with their HS bound kids.As a W OHE parent, I've thought more about the harms of my neighbors in E OHE, not wanting them isolated. As a Beaverton community member and looking at the map, and hearing the thoughts community members. I wonder about MP hosting Barnes and Aloha Huber dual emersion programs and moving aloha huber to BHS? I'm not so familiar with their communities concerns, but they both brought up this concern at the last meeting, and I didn't see anyone address it after, but there is probably more to it than I know, but wanted to bring it up. At the same time, I wonder where my puzzle piece fits if the above were the case, and it seems we would shift to W OH to Five Oaks so that we can keep our MS feeding with our HS, I don't want my children feeling isolated like our E OHE neighbors have felt that burden. Westview is walkable. and Five Oaks seems more likely will primarily be a WHS feeder since it is its closest MS. Please consider keeping W OHE with its WHS MS feeder.
02/27/2020 10:02 PM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone is not acceptable. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 6:55 PM	Anand	Anandk5@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Committee and Mr Sparks,I attended the boundary meeting on 2/25 and was disappointed that the best possible working map with least disruption and filling all JC criteria could not be finalized. I think there was some confusion on moving SUMMA out of Stoller and the 2021 capacity plan. This got later clarified by Mr Sparks that SUMMA will be moved out of Stoller and the JC policy for 2021 is 90% of permanent capacity.If you move Summa out of Stoller and move all of Findley to Timberland the utilization will be 88% for 2021.RockCreek and Bethany schools can be moved together to Meadow to keep the communities together.Also there was a discussion of moving Springville and Rock Creek to Five Oaks. Doing this will have ramifications that affect mutple schools. This will lead to splits in McKinley and Elmonica, pushes Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow Park. This in turn can overcrowd MP,make MP feed to three different high schools and causes the free lunch ration to skyrocket in Meadow Park.Due to all these cascading affects,the working map on 2/25 is the best solution to go forward. My humble request is to finalize the working map and do the right thing for entire BSD population.Regards,Anand
02/27/2020 10:20 AM	Andrew Barrett	smooth906@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem				After attending the Feb 25 meeting I think it is clear that the latest workmap was (thankfully) not deemed viable by the committee. The explosive growth in the north Bethany area - which Steven Sparks mentioned has been EXCEEDING the already-hgh projections - is something that has to be addressed when it comes to mitigating the overcrowding at Stoller, which is one of the primary goals of the committee.Although some members of the committee and many parents have put an (understandable) emphasis on not splitting ES-&MS feeders, the maps that prioritize keeping ES feeders together are simply not working. I know that there are also many parents who don't mind ES-&MS splits and are more concerned about other important factors such as walkability, diversity, and MS-&HS feeders.Therefore, I urge the committee to return to a workmap that is similar to map C 2.0 from the Feb 13 meeting. Although this map introduced several splits, it had a number of advantages over other maps:• Generally improved walkability, including keeping walkable Findley at Stoller• Lower transportation costs than other maps, based on data presented at the 2/13 meeting• Improved MS-&HS feeder pattern - no MS feeds more than two high schools• Improved diversity - it is perhaps the only map we have seen that actually increases Stoller's free/reduced lunch percentage - many proposed maps actually cause this percentage (already lowest in the district) to go down even further - and it maintains a decent balance of F/RL at the other middle schools• It does not significantly under-utilize Timberland or other middle schools• The mitigation of crowding issues at Stoller in 2021 and particularly 2025 are at least as good if not better than most other proposed maps, and of course look even better if SUMMA is movedMap C 2.0 was not perfect and might benefit from a couple tweaks, but if splits are inevitable - and I believe that they are, based on the committee's discussion at the last few meetings - it is the closest solution that has been presented to date that meets the stated priorities of BSD.
02/27/2020 11:46 AM	Andrew Moen	andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			Thank you for reading the comments from Cooper Mountain ES families. I have been attending the MS Boundary Advisory Committee Meetings since the beginning. The vast majority of discussion throughout the process has been focused on developing feeder patterns for Timberland MS and addressing the overcrowding at Stoller MS with little to no discussion about the south end of the district. Then during the Feb 25th meeting, with no discussion by the committee about free and reduce lunch numbers as a priority consideration for the entire district, the committee requested to re-evaluate the status quo feeder pattern for Cooper Mountain ES. Please give the south end of the district the same level of respect/attention/discussion given to the north end of the district before proposing changes. Please preserves the current Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS feeder pattern.
02/27/2020 4:06 PM	Anees Sutarwala	anees.s@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I want to just reiterate that the objective is to achieve 95% capacity of Stoller by 2021. The projections for 2025 do not seem accurate to warrant splitting the Springville community. Please do not remove Springville from it's North Bethany community. All elementary school children need to belong to their neighborhood middle school. Do not make our children travel and feel isolated from their neighborhood community. They want to be together!
02/27/2020 3:56 PM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid's]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!
02/27/2020 3:57 PM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid's]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!
02/27/2020 3:56 PM	Anil Sreeramsetty	anil.sreeramsetty@dignityhealth.org	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid's]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!
02/27/2020 11:15 PM	Ankita Srivastava	ankitasaxena30@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Goal is 90% for 2021. Dear Committee Members,Please do not focus on 2025 estimates which are not even part of objective for this boundary adjustment. Please hear to our concerns and do not separate our community or split our community to create space for some future growth which is hard to predict accurately.Thank youAnkita
02/27/2020 9:35 AM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please explain why are numbers for Options and Summa constant in all schools during 2021 and 2025?
02/27/2020 9:40 AM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		By moving the Summa Program to Timberland, we are solving multiple issues:We better accommodate students in the Summa Program, majority of whom come from Findley. Cedar Mills, Terra Linda and Bonny Slope could all feed Timberland. We can look forward to a much more conducive environment for the Summa Program where our children's achievements are only limited by their imagination. The Summa Program deserves a better host school that offers more space and better facilities with more updated features. In an overcrowded environment, Summa's features are minimized, its activities are constrained, and its overall results bounded and hemmed in. Exceptional children deserve a first-rate Summa Program that's not possible if the program remains at Stoller.When Summa is in Timberland, the congestion in Stoller is outright relieved. The 2025 projected congestion at Stoller is actually a non-issue if correct numbers are taken into consideration. Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer will keep Stoller's student population as projected at 90% permanent capacity in 2021.Stoller will keep a diversified student population. Lower middle income families in Springville help Stoller keep its socio-economic diversity. This simple solution assures that communities remain intact and children are within close proximity to home. Children will be among peers, friends and neighbors during and off school days.
02/27/2020 9:57 AM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The 90% permanent capacity for Stoller is a goal for 2021 ONLY. Some in the committee stated that Stoller must never reach permanent capacity. Why is Stoller being singled out? The best solution we have seen so far is the February 25 Working Map as it keeps all communities intact. Meanwhile, why are the numbers for Options and Summa constant for all schools during 2021 and 2025?
02/27/2020 10:46 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		2025 is completely sustainable with these three schools Jacob Wismer, SATO and Springville minus SUMMA . It is 88% permanent capacity in 2021- objective 2 reached right there.....BSD 2025 numbers are over estimated and way more than actual enrollments in Kindergarten , 1st and 2nd grade that will go to middle school in 2026. These actual ENROLLMENTS PLUS A MARGIN FOR GROWTH IN A ALREADY BUILT OUT AREA ARE WAY LOWER THAN BSD PROJECTIONS.....Please do not sent Springville to a FURTHER SCHOOL based on inaccurate estimations away from their North Bethany community for NO REASON .
02/27/2020 11:00 PM	Anshul	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		BSD has clearly said in their FAQs released in Earlier in nov or December that if we acheive 90% permanent capacity in 2021 all their estimates say you can effectively handle the growth because most of North Bethany has been built out/ planned. So if that is the case we should work on achieving 2021 objective rather than go in circles with 2025..... 90% was listed to accommodate the whatever remaining growth in North Bethany..... now then why should North Bethany be removed? There are so many neighborhoods south of us that has more than 1 option for middle school that is proximal for them. NORTH BETHANY HAS ONLY ONE SCHOOL PROXIMAL TO THEM.

02/27/2020 2:45 PM	anu	anoo_paapu@yahoo.com				It is a pity that the current BSD boundary drawing exercise is in a situation that we are looking at solutions that defy common sense and logic. Communities and neighborhoods are having to advocate for walk-ability and that people who roughly live in proximity get to keep their school. the district is proposing solutions to bus 100s of kids when they can open the door to a school across the street? this latest map ignores reality and basic math. are we going to drag everyone back through this 5 years after again??While the committee is dragged from meeting to meeting without a map that they can align on, 100s of parents are trying to do the best for the kids, panicked and resorting to ways they know best to deal with the situation. the #s of hours being spent on this is mind boggling.all because why? We have district decisions about closing schools that should not have been made (Springville Middle school), ill planned new school construction where it was not needed (Timberland) , and appeasing communities bullying with red shirts, and falsifying transportation data, bringing lawyers and news reporters to these meetings, refuting every single data point with just vocal bullying when it does not fit their narrative. Why is the committee and district so afraid of Springville? What are we teaching our children when we cower and give in to adult bullying?The last meeting was so refreshing! Hats off on asking the right questions. You have the support of all the other parents who are waiting and rooting for the committee and BSD in the hope that in the end truth and logic will prevail.
02/27/2020 10:15 AM	Apuroopa Yerramilli	apurupa.pec@gmail.com	Springville K-8			STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If we can't use portable in Stoller now in 2021 or in 2025, then we shouldn't be using them in other middle schools either. Stoller isn't that special . There are other middle schools in the district reaching 104% in 2025 but yet there is no hustle about them. If anything, they should divert crowding to the wealthier areas(like Stoller) and keep the lower-income areas with smaller numbers to allow for smaller classes and more attention to kids. Instead, they are doing the opposite.
02/27/2020 9:18 AM	Arucha	rucha23@gmail.com				Dear AC - most of AC members agree the current map is as good as it can get. I had an impression that if vote was called after the discussion on Feb 25th, majority would have voted for the map. If we target an unrealistic goal of 95% in 2025, we will have to severely split schools and communities. Stoller is going from ~1700 in 2020 to ~1200 in 2025. What more could we ask for a school and neighborhood that is severely overcrowded. Please dont split friendships. I am sure kids will be happier together in one or two portables, than in different schools and buses.
02/27/2020 7:49 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP A for Feb25 is the PREFERRED ONE NEED TO BRING IT BACKThe map shows reducing Stoller overload and filling up Timberland. In addition theentire SUMMA should be moved to Timberland. SUMMA is just an optional program so it shouldnot be given preference over regular programs. JW , SATO and Springville minus SUMMA meets thE objective in 2025 and is totally sustainable in 2025.Please keep us with North Bethany community even if it needs using a couple of portables if needed in 2025, as these are at it best far out estimates and BSD has always over projected across the district.so this 2025 issue might be NON-EXISTENT.The estimate FOR 2025 NEED FURTHER CLARIFICATION. In last budget review meeting, BSD indicated that number of students across BSD have been stable last year and tend to drop in future due to smaller members per household in new generation. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025
02/27/2020 8:18 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yhao.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Moving Springville and Rock creek to FO has ramifications that affect multiple schools.To reduce load on Five oaks, It likely splits McKinley and Elmonica. It pushes out Beaver Acres and Aloha Huber to Meadow park. It can overcrowd Meadow park,make it feed 3 high schools and causes the free lunch to skyrocket in Meadow park especiallyif Oak Hills is moved out as well.So better to consider MAP A to avoid all these cascading affects.Instead of making large sacle changes why can't some portion of Jacob Wismer moved toTimberland. It will serve multiple purposes. Proper utilization of Timerland. Also JWcomplaints about not being together with their friends will reduce and they will alsohave SUMMA at Timberland. Finally also reduce the load on STOLLER further more.
02/27/2020 9:04 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	REVISIT MAP AThere are many options to relocate SUMMA program but THAT IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM. First preference should be given to regular program which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL.Le us not lose sight of the top two objectives. Fill Timberland and Reduce Stoller.While reducing stoller, please don't punish Springville, which has only one middle school under4 miles.Jacob wismer has two options Stoller and Five oaks under 4 miles.Findley has five options under 4 miles Stoller, Timberland, Five Oaks, Cedar park and Meadow park.Terra Linda has 4 options under 2.5 miles. Stoller, Timberland, Five oaks, Meadow park.Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education.Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.You would be punishing the only school with no other neighbourhood alternatives.THERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TOFIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.I find it very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travelsuch a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designatedas the highest prone accident route in Washington county.Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road andthey don't have to travel thru 185th
02/27/2020 4:37 PM	Ashutosh Misra	ashutoshmisra@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear MS Boundary Committee members,I would like to Thank You for your time and effort that you have put in the Middle School Boundary update process. Hang in there... we are almost to the end of this process.I am a Jacob Wismer parent and my younger son will be starting 6th grade in Stoller Middle school in 2020.I attended the last meeting held at Whitford Middle School in person and towards the end of the meeting it became clear to everyone that Stoller can not take 2 big schools whose population is going to explode in coming years due to planned development in North Bethany Area. I also thank you that in your latest proposal you listened to Terra Linda community and assigned them to Timberland. I request you to also listen to concerns of OakHills elementary East side community and if needed split them and assign them to Timberland where the students can be with other Sunset bound students.No one has right to one particular school but it makes no sense that older neighborhoods around Stoller where the kids go to both Jacob Wismer and Findley elementary who can walk to Stoller now be asked to take bus to go to a different school just to make room for another school where there is going to be population growth in coming years.In order to solve Stoller[?]'s overcrowding problem we should start from Stoller as the center point and start drawing circles from that center and see how many students can we take. This would include all students from Jacob Wismer as they are 100% in walk zone followed by Findley walking students. Since Jacob Wismer and Findley walking students alone cannot fill Stoller then Sato students can be brought in since some part of Sato is within walking zone of Stoller. Although no one likes to split elementary schools but in certain cases it might be the only option. Students in Findley elementary area that will need to be bussed to Stoller can also be bussed to Timberland.For students in Sato area that needs bussing, Stoller is closer so they can be included. Also, since there are about 200 SUMMA qualified students in the immediate vicinity of Stoller it makes no sense to bus these students to another Middle school. SUMMA program should be housed in the school where it would serve majority of students. This would also mean that Springville SUMMA qualified students would also be able to come to Stoller if they choose to. Thank you for your time.
02/27/2020 4:38 PM	asidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAPA SHOULD BE REVISTEDThere was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.
02/27/2020 4:40 PM	asidhu	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	STOLLER PROJECTIONS FOR 2025 IS INCORRECTThere is no further growth in Springville. But BSD projection is showing even more growthfor Springville than SATO. How is that possible.Move Jacob wismer SUMMA to Timberland or full of Jacob wismer to Timberland.It will serve multiple purposes:a) Make proper utilization of new middle school Timberland which is ONE OF THE MAJOROBJECTIVE OF BSDb) Jacob wismer complaints about splits and their kids losing friends will be reduced, since they will be with Findely every time SUMMA or not.c) Reduce the load on Stoller.Just for an optional program, lof of time being wasted to talk about retaining it inStoller and going over walkability issues back and forth. Stoller capacity required is 90% for 2021 which is already met by MAP A. For 2025 theprojections are over estimated besides none of the maps A, B nor C drastically reduces Stoller capacity to less than 100% by 2025.
02/27/2020 7:45 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which then declines nd will get below peramant capacity.serves the objectivesa) Reduce Stoller to 90% of permanent capacity in 2021b) Fill Timberland
02/27/2020 7:46 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAPA SHOULD BE REVISTED. STOLLER PROJECTIONS FOR 2025 IS INCORRECTThere was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.
02/27/2020 7:48 PM	asidhu	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	STOLLER PROJECTIONS FOR 2025 IS INCORRECTThere is no further growth in Springville. But BSD projection is showing even more growthfor Springville than SATO. How is that possible.Move Jacob wismer SUMMA to Timberland or full of Jacob wismer to Timberland.It will serve multiple purposes:a) Make proper utilization of new middle school Timberland which is ONE OF THE MAJOROBJECTIVE OF BSDb) Jacob wismer complaints about splits and their kids losing friends will be reduced, since they will be with Findely every time SUMMA or not.c) Reduce the load on Stoller.Just for an optional program, lof of time being wasted to talk about retaining it inStoller and going over walkability issues back and forth. Stoller capacity required is 90% for 2021 which is already met by MAP A. or 2025 projections, these are merely projections and estimates.There is no new growth in Springville now. Also a house with 3-4 bedrooms doesnt mean it has 3-4kids. There are many houses in Springville with only one kid. Please don't sacrifice ourchildren's well-being over what-ifs and empty lots. Please note: BSD also tend to overprojectenrollment rates, as evidenced by the recent over projection that caused the budget deficit.The 2025 numbers are merely projections. and don't get anywhere close to total capacity andcan be managed.
02/27/2020 4:46 PM	avarsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025. support MAP A. why is the committe going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongstyourselfs before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shoudln'tcommitte be focusing on it with certain revisons like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland.When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce.Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND BFOR 2025. THE FACT IS NO and is clearly indicated in previous maps.There was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.So doesn't the number of votes become 9.
02/27/2020 10:14 PM	Baoyan Liang	liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 12:25 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	SPRINGVILLE KIDS MATTER TOO: We don't have representation on the committee. Please be the voice for Springville kids.
02/27/2020 2:13 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	THE OBJECTIVE IS FOR 2021, NOT 2025: There are no objectives for 2025. 2021 is when we want Stoller to have 90% permanent capacity. It is an objective because it allows for growth. This growth can take place by 2025. No, we don't redraw boundaries when we hit permanent capacity.
02/27/2020 9:24 AM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Options and Summa: Please explain why the BSD maps shown in the last agenda packet (2/13 packet) show that Summa and options programs numbers do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school?
02/27/2020 9:38 AM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	2025 NUMBERS: Please explain why in the 2/25 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.
02/27/2020 9:48 AM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: Do not under-enroll Stoller while you overcrowd other schools. Keeping Stoller perpetually under capacity is not a good long-term plan for the district.

02/27/2020 9:15 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: Do not under-enroll Stoller while you overcrowd other schools. Keeping Stoller perpetually under capacity is not a good long-term plan for the district. Why are portables okay at Meadow Park, but not Stoller?
02/27/2020 9:16 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			2025 NUMBERS: Please explain why in the 2/25 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.
02/27/2020 9:19 PM	Beth Moyer	Bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			1. JW and SATO AND SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% in 2021- objective 2 met right there2. 2025 estimations are over projections as most of Springville is already built out BUT BSD is Projection even more growth for SPV than SATO..... totally incorrect... 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY3. Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential.Findley has the most SUMMA STUDENTS so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of JW SUMMA is also in the east which is also considerably close to Timberland4. ECONOMIC DIVERSITY with ALL OF SPRINGVILLE is the best it could get AT STOLLER..... if SUMMA moves out, economic diversity WILL ONLY GET BETTER FROM HERES. JUST BECAUSE OUR KIDS RIDE THE BUS , it is not acceptable to make them commute FURTHEST and LONGEST in BSD... Springville to Five Oaks takes our kids away from our community alone..... congestion and traffic issues on 185th 6. NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn't be separated from our Eastern neighbors sato whom we are closely intertwined with... On the west there is a big geographical divide with PCC and farmlands.... SPRINGVILLE ISLAND
02/27/2020 12:25 PM	Bharad	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I endorse the message below that one of our Springville parents posted. No matter what we do Springville is being put at a disadvantage. There was one applicant for AC from Springville and he/she was not picked and we feel we have no voice. Please read the anguish expressed below.I am totally disappointed and hopeless about this process. I am not sure if anyone is even reading these comments but I will write anyway to introspect - Springville parents have been chastised for coming in large numbers, got branded as being loud and for drowning smaller schools' voices. I find it extremely judgmental and critical of this community. We are a big school, and is that our mistake? YOU asked us what Community meant for us. We all wrote 100's of comments that we are an integral part of North Bethany, because we believed you will read them.WE got chastised for using signs and posters. Our intention was NOT TO DISRUPT BUT TO BE HEARD. We had no representative voicing our concerns. You go to any town hall meeting, they use these Yes/No paddles for non disruptive acceptance or disagreement. We heard complaints for showing up in large numbers. We did that because our strength is unity in numbers. It shows how invested these parents are in their kid's education that they are able to make time and show up and we are glad we are in a position to be able to do that. That DOES NOT mean in anyway that we want to drown the other communities who are not in a position to attend.Please do not dent our spirits and judge us based on some incidents. We are close to 1000 diverse families and mobilizing them is no easy job. Some parents went overboard considering what was at stake, please do not judge the rest of us based on that.
02/27/2020 12:05 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear AC and Mr. Sparks,I have seen may comments that misrepresent what Springville is asking for and also have seen comments minimizing the Springville to Five Oaks travel time based on One data point that even Mr. Sparks admitted was not representative of actual times which could be a range from 25 - 40 minutes. Google actually shows 30 min based on traffic conditions with start time of 8:36 to make it at 9:05, without factoring for pick up and drop off of kids.I have seen a lot off outrage on JW and some Findley parents on Summa being moved to Timberland as it effects 97 kids from JW area. But they are fine splitting Springville as those kids are not like their kids.Maybe it is time to think why we have SUMMA program that is filled mostly by Findley and JW kids? If you do a map of where these kids come from, you see that disproportionately (230 out of 622) come from these neighborhoods. There are no kids in SUMMA who qualify for free or subsidized lunch. SO what does the distribution tell us? Clearly the kids are in the program not purely because of being talented or gifted, but because their affluent parents could provide better coaching for qualifying tests.Also note that there is a significant budget and lot of resources for Summa program, which is not available to rest of middle schoolers. So 622 kids are being subsidized for all these services by parents of 9300 kids most of whom cannot afford to be this generous.If you remove the scores of the SUMMA kids, Stollers test score averages will not be significantly different from Five Oaks or Mountain View. Maybe we should analyze that piece of data. This shows that while the SUMMA kids benefit from the program, the rest of BSD DOES NOT benefit.Maybe it is time to END SUMMA PROGRAM and give back the resources to schools like FIVE OAKS and MOUNTAIN VIEW to get them to on par with rest of schools. Please END preferential treatment to these premium neighborhoods of high wealth and show equity by spreading resources fairly to all BSD schools.RegardsBharad99 percent of SUMMA kids are in that program are in the program because of
02/27/2020 12:56 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear AC and MR. Sparks,Subject: SPRINGVILLE k-8 and Map of 02/25Springville K-8 was K-8 and is now only fully elementary, because Springville community was asked to go to Stoller instead. I have seen a lot of comments from JW and Findley that paints Springville community as being unreasonable and pushing for Stoller, while they feel indignant about having SUMMA kids take a bus.I would like to remind the whole community that Springville was named K-8 as there was an option available to Springville to send their kids to a middle school in their community. BSD took away that action, first claiming it was temporary and them claiming as we have Stoller MS, this would not be necessary.We are only asking for what is fair to normal middle schoolers. We also have Summa kids, who unfortunately will have to choose longer commute along with other SUMMA kids in Bethany.Please weigh these comments with what you must remember, that Springvilles OPTION MIDDLE SCHOOL WAS SNATCHED AWAY BY BSD.About the Map of 02/25 - the map met BSD stated objective:Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle schoolthat provides a projected student population sufficient forcomprehensive middle school programming.2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projectedstudent population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity ofStoller MS.3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools,as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle schoolboundary.4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementaryand/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile splitfeeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendanceboundaries between all school levels. As you can see, Number 2 objective was met by MAP of 02/25 for 2021 clearly.Yet it did not get votes as some AC members misundertood this objective to be for 2025, based on projections that were in the previous meeting agreed to be somewhat questionable. You don't see any growth in SUMMA/options in those projections whatsoever, and at the same time you see 200 students higher than the actually enrolled Springville students(363) who will be in MS in 20-25. Where are these 200 additional kids coming from, when there is no new construction? There could be small increase but not 50%!!Objective 4 clearly says &#34;Minor Boundary adjustments&#34; if warranted should be considered. Painting Springville move to Five Oaks is not Minor Boundary adjustment!! You are isolating Springville from rest of Bethany MS, and by even proposing splits, making it worse for that small numbers. I have seen insensitive comments about why these small number of kids will do well to go farther than what JW and Findley will ever need to. I hope AC members will be fair on this as they have committed to be thinking for ALL students of BSD and not just for school/community they are part of.RegardsBharad
02/27/2020 2:15 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear Mr. Sparks,In your address to Springville, there was a question on why we cannot call out new developments in North Bethany to have the Middle school as Timberland. Of course, Mr. Rose, then Superintendent for BSD in 2014 Springville/Stoller overcrowding committee, had also proposed that as a solution, to keep this from happening.Your reply was in contrast to Mr. Rose's solution, and you mentioned you would not consider calling on New developments to mark their Middle school as Timberland.1. Is it a policy of BSD to not have new developments go to schools where is room and not impact current schools?2. In all other states , for example California, they do have new developments go to schools wher ethere is room, without impact current resident students. WHY is that not good for BSD as well?3. When did the Policy change from what Mr. Rose recommended to what you have stated?I hope you will address this question in the meeting on 03/12/2020. RegardsBharad
02/27/2020 11:30 PM	Biswajit Sarkar	bisu.sarkar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Mr Spark and BSD MS Boundary adjustment committee, Let me start by thanking you for rejecting the very ineffective Map. The map neither has potential solution nor it optimal. The map require to Bus all SPV children to stoller middle and all Findley kids to TL middle. This would add additional transportation cost as well as environment cost. While we are teaching our kids in school on how to save our mother nature, how could we propose a solution that would need extra bussing. During public testimony multiple parent from different school area mentioned that there are several school with kids who does not have better access to food. Instead of increasing Bus, BSD should focus on providing better education and free meal for those kids. I want to reiterate my request for BSD to consider seriously walkability as one of main important factor which can address multiple factors. Walkability can (i) enhance the convenience of many school kids, (ii) reduce the risk of travel, (iii) minimizes bussing cost which can be used for education purpose, (iv) save many travel hours of many student, (v) save environment. Before goes to redraw another map, I would highly recommend BSD school committee to take tour to all MS and investigate the boundary physically which immediately reveal many drawbacks of the present maps. For example, Oakridge Estate neighbor people are just across the Laidlaw road very very close to Stoller Middle. Forcing Oakridge Neighbor community to Timberland Middle is ruthless. The last working map completely failed to consider those. It only suggest that people who are involved in map drawing activities has no idea on physical boundary. They are just playing with some number without realizing physical significance. My earnest request to BST MS boundary adjustment committee to reevaluate and consider walkability very seriously. Bringing Map C.2 and update upon this map will help many students and allow BSD to save money for education purpose. Also since MS boundary adjustment is inevitable, BSD should seriously consider readjust all MS boundary and split as many elementary school as needed to maximize walkability. Thank you.
02/27/2020 10:10 PM	Bradley Wright	rtin3@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Capacity4NeighborhoodKids. I appreciate the committee[?]s work to find a reasonable solution to the capacity challenges springing from our growing northern BSD communities. I trust that you will consider MS feeder patterns for a school that support neighborhood children with an emphasis on equitable attendance as it moves away from its center. If Stoller is a split feeding MS than it should reasonably include representation from each school it serves. Current proposals create a small pocket of JW students that account for a small portion of Stoller MS and even less if JW Summa children were to move away to another Summa location. Such an action would essentially orphan neighborhood JW kids in favor of newer growing communities. Please consider supporting a sustainable Stoller feeder pattern that provides firstly for neighborhood students, equitable attendance from within nearby neighborhoods and a cohesive matriculation pattern from ES to MS to HS. Capacity4NeighborhoodKids
02/27/2020 11:19 PM	Bradley Wright	rtin3@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Summa4FairFeeders. .Proposals should prioritize proximity and walkability. JW families work and play in the Stoller area and it is unreasonable and wasteful to bus our kids elsewhere or to bus kids in with safe walkable neighborhoods nearby.Proposals should support healthy feeder patterns. JW accounts for an anemic 17% of Stoller. This percentage will reduce demand through 2025 needs to be bolstered, not reduceD, by its ES feeders. Clear matriculation patterns for JW from ES to MS to HS are possible with the inclusion of walkable Findley.Proposals should maintain SUMMA at Stoller. iml am not a SUMMA parent, but roughly 50% of our JW kids would follow SUMMA to a new MS if the program were to leave. This further reduces the feeder percentage from 17% to SINGLE DIGITS and is unacceptable and unhealthy for academic development..Summa4FairFeeders.
02/27/2020 2:57 PM	Brandy Carpenter	brandy.masters.carpenter@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Thanks to the committee for understanding the plight of the small minority of students in Oak Hills East. Thank you for being open to realigning Oak Hills East with Timberline rather than Meadow Park. I am hopeful this will be reflected in the next published map.As for our Oak Hills West students- please do not isolate them from their Bethany area schools when reconsidering whether to send them to Five Oaks or Meadow Park. If they are the only school north of 26 going to a particular middle school, it[?]s potentially setting up the same issue we had with our Oak Hills East students. Please strive to keep them with Bethany/ Rock Creek schools feeding into either middle school.Thank you for your hard work and open- mindedness to not just what looks pretty on paper but what impacts it has to our children as a whole.

							Hello, I am submitting comment because one of the comments from the recent adjustment board meeting was a request to obtain data if Cooper Mountain Elementary fed into Mountain View for the express purposes of: &#34;requested to see numbers if CME were to feed entirely to Mountain View and have Chehalem feed to Highland Park in an attempt to reduce the percentage of students on Free and Reduced Lunch at Mountain View&#34; This suggests manipulation of attendance to artificially reduce the percentage of students on reduced/free lunch programs. What is the purpose of this? Is this an attempt to make district numbers to appear better than they are, at the expense of overloading a high volume middle school? I have grave concerns about the impartiality and subjectivity of the committee if such considerations are being used to re-draw boundaries, instead of proximity, access and balanced attendance with staffing. Overcrowding and keeping core student bodies together through their learning experience should weigh more than reducing the percentage of students on programs like the free or reduced lunch.
02/27/2020 10:57 AM	Brent Hara	echolynch@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				
02/27/2020 12:16 PM	Brianne	Fleuranddot@gmail.com					STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: Why is Stiller allowed to be under-enrolled while you overcrowd other schools, particularly those with children from lower-income families with fewer resources? This is not a good long-term plan for the district, for our schools, for our kids, or ultimately for our communities. Do the job you set out to do: Fill Timberland as a primary goal, pulling from Stoller to help reduce enrollment there, without (and this is key) OVER enrolling schools already at or above capacity to protect wealthy neighborhoods.
02/27/2020 3:56 PM	Casey Lang	caseyterryn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		Hello Committee,Keep West Oak Hills, Bethany and Rock Creek to Meadow ParkMy comments are based off the Map which was published on Friday, 2/21 and the last comments which were made the last few moments at the 2/25 meeting regarding Meadow Park. For 90 minutes, the discussion was regarding Stoller and how to align elementary schools, but no real decision was decided, as the votes were not there. The main goal is to reduce Stoller and it is time to take this action and make the hard decisions to that may not be liked by everyone. Option Schools should not be a discussion within these meetings as another team within BSD decides it. Each MS will have split schools in their Elementary School level, there seems to be no way around it. These decisions are tough but we as parents have to realize hard decisions will need to be made and the decisions should be the least disruptive to the learning environment, which is what schools, should be focused on. It appears the community of Stoller is exempt from this decision and scenario because the parents are the loudest, make the most comments, etc. Most comments are not being made because the other schools are satisfied with the current map and no comment needs to be made. The decision to bring back unpopular Map C with Rock Creek, Bethany and West Oak Hills to Five Oaks was mentioned with little thought in the last few minutes of this meeting, but as Stoller discussion was 90 minutes with no changes or agreed suggestions. Please stop changing maps and presenting them to the community with no decisions then being made, per the committees comments from 2/25 it seems like it is going backward and decisions are not being made and this will lead into the 2020 school year with no decision. The current map from 2/21 was the most agreed upon map with great diversity with all schools except for Stoller. Please do not change the map from 2/21 except for the majority voted on point where East Oak Hills goes to Timberland. The main focus should be reducing Stoller to 90%. Please leave West Oak Hills, Bethany and Rock Creek going to Meadow Park as OH and Bethany currently go to this school. As many comments from all 3 schools best to keep together at Meadow Park as they feed into Westview. As now East Oak Hills moves to Timberland please keep West Oak Hills with their neighbors of Bethany and Rock Creek.
02/27/2020 7:17 AM	Chandana	chanadanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/27/2020 12:36 PM	chandu	chandu35cp@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD,please do not split OH. Moving East of OH to Timberland will overcrowd Timberland.Instead we can change HS pattern for East of OH students to go to Westview. That way those kids can be with their friends from ES, MS and HS.
02/27/2020 5:32 PM	Charlie	Charlesbet8@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Current map is unfair to Rock Creek. My neighborhood is over 5 miles to Meadow Park. Why can[?]t we go to Five Oaks with Springville. BRING BACK MAP C!!
02/27/2020 5:32 PM	Charlie	Charlesbet8@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Current map is unfair to Rock Creek. My neighborhood is over 5 miles to Meadow Park. Why can[?]t we go to Five Oaks with Springville. BRING BACK MAP C!!
02/27/2020 11:40 AM	Chethan Srinivasa	schethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD, Why are portables okay at Meadow Park, but not Stoller? It should be a uniform justice.
02/27/2020 11:02 PM	Christine	christygttravel@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please do not separate Rock Creek from our community and neighboring schools. Because of the geographical location of the new school, we understand there needs to be a commute. But with that commute, no students should be isolated from their neighboring communities. Please keep Rock Creek with Bethany, Springville, and/or Oak Hills as these children are our children's neighbors, rec. league teammates, and preschool companions. You began this process asking about our natural boundaries of our community. Since then, it has become apparent that Rock Creek will be pushed outside of our community boundaries. Please don't add to this by isolating our children. Please keep Rock Creek with at least one Bethany area neighbor.
02/27/2020 11:10 PM	Chung-Han Lin	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I am a rock creek parents, please (1) DO NOT send Rock Creek to Five Oak alone if this is the direction. This will create academic inequity and have kids suffer. Rock Creek would like to go to Five Oak with multiple northern neighborhoods. (2) If the direction is to split in order to meet capacity goal, PLEASE have each portion of the Rock Creek neighborhood accompany with multiple north 26 neighboring schools. (3) Please consider maximizing walkability to save transportation cost and benefit other kids within BSD.
02/27/2020 11:46 PM	David Lee	ralliart_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi committee, I went to your Feb25 meeting. I think the current working is very clever and it meets the public demands of Springville. I hope the committee will continue to listen to the public and Springville concerns. I heard some members are still unsure about whether Stoller will overcrowd in 2025 with JW Springville and Sato feed to Stoller. If you trust your own statistic then you will see it is manageable. Also I want to suggest another idea. Since Sato is mostly empty, we can amend a Springville elementary adjustment to move part of Springville elementary to Sato to better utilize Sato. Then let us restore our K8 middle school 6 to 8 and have Stoller teacher teaching at Springville 6 to 8 that way Stoller 2025 issue will be solved and no school need to move to the already crowded and far FiveOaks.
02/27/2020 10:03 PM	Deniz Gezer Oguz	deniz.g.oguz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Hi, You are not objective committee. I think you care Springville, Finley, but you don't care Rock Creek Elementary School's voice. Rock Creek doesn't deserve to be alone. Rock Creek is really old neighborhood, and it is really high quality school. You are not fair to every school as we can see in your recent useless maps.Thank you...
02/27/2020 11:11 PM	Devesh Srivastava	vedirs@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear Committee Members and BSDPlease keep Springville in stoller as this is the only reasonable option for us. We are part of same community as Sato , please do not focus on 2025 numbers which are at best an estimation and they appear to be aggressive. And even those would look better by 2027. Please do not move a community out and leave empty space for 5 years for likely hood of creating space for slight overcrowding in 2025 which is unlikely, and even if it happens, it would get better in couple of years. Also consider the fact that Springville has no representation in committee so please hear to our pleas.Thank youDevesh
02/27/2020 10:30 AM	Dong Xi	dongidx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		If all of us really want to solve the overcrowding for stoller long-termly, why we continue proposing stoller to include those two fast growing communities, despite all the number shown beyond the capacity even this year or next year?If all of us really do not care the walkability for the students, why every school all have their walkable zone?we want to continue walking for the benefit of our kids, BSD and the earth ! I support all Jacob wiser kids and walkable findley kids in oakridge community should be welcomed to stoller.
02/27/2020 10:35 AM	Dong Xi	dongxi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I support: all JW kids and findley kids within oakridge estates community should be included in stoller, because not using the benefits of walkability is totally a waste of resource, and against the benefits for BSD children. I also support: stoller should welcome the north portion of sato and springville community to join the family because of convenient transportation for them, also successfully solving the stoller overcrowding.
02/27/2020 10:26 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				SomeUnreasonableMiddle school parentsMayAggrandizeBut please don't be distracted by their treatrics. Objective is 90% Stoller in 2021. Fill up Timberland.
02/27/2020 10:29 AM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If we can't use any portables at Stoller in 2025 or ever, can the committee assure us portables won't be needed at any other school? Stoller isn't that special.
02/27/2020 4:21 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				To the AC members:At the end of last meeting, I heard of producing a testmap using Map C 2.0 as baseline that shows Rock Creek, Bethany, Springville, and West Oak Hills into Five Oaks and East Oak Hills to be added to Timberland. Also moving South Aloha Huber to Mountain View.That brings me to these questions: (1) Will that make Five oaks be over-utilized? (2) Will that make Meadow Park reduced lunch rate greater than 50%(3) Stoller will continue to be slightly over utilized. (4) Timberland continues to be severely underutilized.Map C 2.0 does not appear to hit our goals of 90% STOLLER in 2021. Nor does it fill Timberland.Please reconsider Feb 25th map. That was the best map thus far. We just need to make small adjustments to hit our goals. Thank you for reading this.
02/27/2020 5:27 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I've heard of parents proposing Bethany and West Oak Hills to be sent for Timberland.How will this work? Timberland is pretty far from these locales (4-5 miles) through a terrible Cornell/Murray commute. It also causes a high school feeder split for these kids. Please keep Map A (February 25th working map) as it is with minor tweaks.
02/27/2020 6:11 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I do want to clarify the point made by the Terra Linda public testimony in the Feb. 25th meeting. She was concerned that the walking-distance Stoller SUMMA kids will be sent to Timberland but then only will be send back to Westview once they reach high school. I don't know which high school feeder map this comment was based upon. Please double check the map. You can see that all of Jacob Wismer except the very northern end up in Sunset. If you check on the SUMMA heat map, most SUMMA kids are in the Sunset feeder region.Please consider the welfare of the general education kids in the North Bethany kids. These kids have no fault of their own other than that their area is perceived to be fast growing (not true). Sending these kids 5 miles away through commercial traffic and a highway interchange to an area with no common community is far worse than being sent to a brand new school 3 miles away with kids of the same community.
02/27/2020 9:34 AM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please explain why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.
02/27/2020 10:21 PM	Ehoy Lawless Lewerenz	lawless1@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 11:01 PM	Elizabeth Su	ywelizasu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				The newest map is a reasonable option for Rock Creek. It keeps our school together, but most importantly, it keeps us from moving to Five Oak alone. I urge the committee members to create a reasonable feeding pattern that pair up similar social and economic community. Our kids need a good middle school education as all other kids in the district and they should not be sacrificed for the overcrowding problem in school. No small elementary school wants to be sent by themselves to a middle school without at least one neighbor school who feeds to the same high school. There are a lot of aspect need to be considered but most importantly we can[?]t accept the solution that isolate Rock Creek.
02/27/2020 11:28 AM	Gayatri	Gayatri_Thakore@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	(1)Current and future availability of space: Enrollment DATA (2021 and 2025), Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a STABLE and sustainable enrollment to Stoller. (2)Neighborhood proximity and accessibility:Sato/JW/Findley boundary avoids putting in buses over 250 students that walk to Stoller today. This provides Transportation cost savings. (3)Student body composition:Stoller[?]'s demographic data shows no significant difference with Findley or Springville in Stoller. (4)Feeder patterns:Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a near 50-50% split between Sunset and Westview. Otherwise, it becomes 15-85%, not fair to Sunset students at Stoller.
02/27/2020 9:09 PM	George Yang	gyanghere@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Like many other parents, I urge the committee to keep schools close to home. Students within walk-able distance to schools should go to the nearest schools. This saves transportation costs for both BSD and the families. Saving money for BSD could hire more teachers and help more families in need.Re-open Springville Middle School to serve the nearby communities. Stop using &#34;Ethnicity&#34; as a criteria. Stop the racial re-balancing practice. Racial quota is illegal.Stop dividing families into two groups: one is sensitive to transportation cost and the other is not. The truth is all families are sensitive to the cost.

02/27/2020 11:20 AM	Giles	banochanggiles@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		If BSD intended to sacrifice SPV community kids, please consider to send SPV kids to Timberland MS so at least we can avoid dangerousCornell, US-26, and west union intersections.
02/27/2020 10:03 PM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone is not acceptable. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 12:42 PM	guru	gurugunda933@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please kindly consider Map A along with summa in Stoller.2021 will be looking with this combination.For east OH students, please do not split them at MS and send to Timberland. That will over populate Timberland.Instead we can send them westview for HS rather than sending to Sunset HS.That will help those kids to be with their friends from ES to HS.
02/27/2020 11:41 AM	H. L	henry2k@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committee, (1)Current and future availability of space: BSD Enrollment DATA (2021 and 2025), Sato/JW/Findley bounday provides a STABLE and sustainable enrollment to Stoller. Feeding both Sato & SPV to stoller MS will break Stoller capacity in just a few years. It would be really short sighted not taking this into account. (2)Feeder patterns:Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a near 50-50% split between Sunset and Westview. Sato/JW/SPV will break this balance to 15-85%. it's not fair for the small portion (15%) of students feeding to Sunset HS, and they lose most of their friend during MS to HS transition and feel isolated.(3)Neighborhood proximity and accessibility:Keeping Sato/Findley in Stoller MS avoids putting additional 250 students (while they are walkable to MS now ) into buses. This way, BSD don't need to buy more buses, hire more drivers. And it also reduces average BDS students bus commute time overall. This makes lot of sense.
02/27/2020 11:29 AM	H. Li	henry2k@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committee,Thank you for your efforts working on this. I'd like to take this opportunity to bring my concerns to your attention. 1. I am concerning BSD plans to bus all Findley kids to another MS which is far away while many of FE kids currently can walk to Stoller MS. This leads to bus hundreds additional kids and demands BSD to buy more buses and hire more drivers. As a tax payer, I'd like to see BSD using our tax money wisely. We should take this into account when we consider new MS boundary. We should utilize these money (to bus kids around) to hire more teacher and update our facility in BSD. It doesn't make any sense to bus hundreds Findley kids to TL middle school while most of them can walk to MS. Keeping all Findley kids in Stoller makes lots of senses.2. Currently all SPV kids are taking buses to Stoller MS. It would be minimum change to BSD if they are taking the same buses to another nearest MS if it doesn't increase much of traveling time. BSD transportation's study has shown the ride time from SPV to FO MS is within 25 minutes. This data suggests strongly it will be a minimum impact to SPV commute time and also minimize the overall BSD kids' commute time to route SPV buses to FO MS. And this is also the most economical solution to save much needed money to build a strong school district.2. We need to look at long range plan for this boundary change. It would be irresponsible for BSD to just look at the current enrollment on each school, and overlook the growth of enrollment from each school. Both Sato and SPV enrollment are growing rapidly while Findley and JW enrollment are stable. BSD data also shows that it will overcrowd the stoller MS in just a few years by putting both Sato and SPV (rapid growing area) into Stoller MS. Please do not be shortsighted, We do not want to come back to this in 3-5 years down the road. BSD committees please make the thing right. 3. Please DO NOT split feed any schools. BSD should minimize school split for any schools, ( SPV, Sato or Findley). Studies have demonstrated that split feeding has detrimental impacts on kids mental health and their academic performance. Please keep kids wellbeing in mind, and minimize the school split if all possible. It makes sense to keep all Findley in Stoller MS.&#61;Thanks.
02/27/2020 2:18 PM	Hansini	Hansinip@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I have two children that currently attend Jacob Wimser. We had bus service from our neighborhood that was discontinued 5 years ago because we are too close to Jacob Wimser, putting us within walkable distance to the JW. Our neighborhood is even closer to Stoller and definitely within walkable distance to the middle school so we do not have bus service to Stoller. So let's keep JW feeding into Stoller so my kids can keep walking 45% of Summa students for the 2020 and 2021 school years are from Jacob Wismer and most are within walking distance to Stoller. We should let the Summa Kids walk to Stoller and not be bussed. After all, Summa is a program and not an option school. These kids worked hard and tested into the Summa program under the assumption that they will be attending the Summa program at Stoller. Most kids that I have talked to that are accepted into the Summa program only want to go to Stoller and not to Timberland. Some of the Summa qualified kids are even willing to not be a part of the Summa program if it means walking to school with their friends and attending their local neighborhood middle school, Stoller. Keeping Summa in Stoller will also help with the high school feeding pattern and not isolate the JW Summa kids in high school.Keep all of Jacob Wismer and the Summa program in Stoller.Thank you so much for your time.
02/27/2020 10:16 PM	Haoquan Zhang	Liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 11:38 PM	Helen Chang	portlandhelen@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS			Why? I saw a map sending Rock Creek ES to Five Oaks alone again. Please stop creating maps that propose Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier comments, we want to stay with at least one northern schools. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek.
02/27/2020 4:52 PM	Jagadevi	Jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Thank you for your attempt to address overcrowding issues at stoller.But it makes sense to do nip and tuck farther communities from stoller not nearest ones. And also communities which are and will be causing the problem not stable ones
02/27/2020 10:25 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Thank you committee and BSD in your effort in solving many schools problems.For fixing Stoller problem, please concentrate on trimming growth areas which is throttling Stoller.Trimming stable areas wont help Stoller.There was a proposal to move part of JW to Timberland.Please note that area has a VERY SAFE walking path for kids.They walk through full residential area with very very few vehicles.
02/27/2020 3:31 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Thank you for considering to reduce overcrowding at Stoller.I have only one suggestion to BSD.When you have a problem in a tree, you need to trim the branch which is causing the problem, not the branches which are not causing any harm.If you trim a branch which is not causing problem is as good as you are not solving the problem.Please be practical.
02/27/2020 8:51 PM	jasb	j Rathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPA SHOULD BE RECONSIDEREDThere was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A.SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.a) The Feb25 MAP A with summa moving to Timberland is the most balanced approach forStoller and Timberlandb) Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into Stoller in a long term & sustainablemanner declining after 2025.c) It avoids multiple community splits into elementary school splits. Has support acrossa large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.d) Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025 wellunder total capacity which then declines nd will get below permanent capacity.
02/27/2020 11:52 PM	Jean Chang	jean5933303@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please listen to us! NO sending RC ES alone!
02/27/2020 9:55 PM	Jeff Curtis	cornelllake66@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	To be clear, the main function of BSD is as following: Use the tax money from the community to serve the community's education. Stoller is currently over 160% of the capacity. The community is okay with that. For the planning, we have come to the current map without splitting Sato, Springville, and Jacob Wlsmer after taking the feedback from the community. This is a great work for AC and community. So, with this new map, stoller is 88% of capacity in 2021. The community is totally good with the estimated (likely over estimated) capacity of 114% in 2025. We are fine with 160% of the capacity at Stoller now. We are definitely okay with 114% in 5 years. BSD should listen to the community. The community prefer to deal with the estimated 114% capacity in 2025 than to split the community to go to a school a lot further away. BSD should listen to the community. AC should listen to the community. Do NOT make the wrong decision like years ago BSD did to Oak Hills Community.
02/27/2020 10:30 PM	Jennifer Edwards	jenniferpedwards@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Please, please keep Springville students at Stoller and please plan ahead for overcrowding. We need this community.
02/27/2020 8:25 AM	Jennifer Fossatti	Jenniferfossatti@hotmail.com	Nancy Ryles Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside		Good morning- first off, thank you for all of your hard work during this boundary making decision. I know it hasn't been easy. This is my input. As a parent that live in the Murrayhill HOA area (Heron Circle), I have 3 children at all of the above school. I may be in the minority but I am okay with my children splitting from the Nancy Ryles pack and attending Conestoga over Highland Park. Both of my oldest 2 (8th and Freshman) have survived this split. Those Nancy Ryles kids all merge back at high school and they have maintained those friendships. Both of my kids have been in year long choir and have even taken it to MHS for choir. I know HP is a great school, but we love the teachers and community at Conestoga. Thank you for listening. Regardless of what happens with this boundary shift, the children and parents will survive. This is life. Jennifer Fossatti
02/27/2020 12:31 PM	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem				It was stated by a committee member at the 2/25 meeting that they just need to have their grid maps in front of them, and they can determine where to draw the boundary lines during the meeting. This should be obviously false to everyone. While the grid maps may determine the number of students, it provides no socioeconomic information, demographic information, transportation cost information, nor any details about the number of students in summa or other special programs. This information is critical to the successful boundary adjustment process, and the committee needs a way to asses all of that information at once.
02/27/2020 10:35 AM	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset		North Bethany is Springville's community. Please don't separate us. Arbor Oaks is the first community in north Bethany and where Springville ES locates in. Please don't split Arbor Oaks from our community. Five Oaks is a NOT Springville's community. Highway 26 is a big boundary to separate the communities. Springville should stay at Stroller. If not, if some people don't like the 61 million shinny new MS, please bus Springville to Timberland, instead of send us across 26.
02/27/2020 10:48 AM	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset		The biggest issue with current map is that JW is the only ES in Stroller which would go to SUNSET high. I think we should keep SUMMA at stroller and send all JW-SUNSET students to Timberland. In this way, the high school pattern is maintained. And JW stays with their buddy school, Findley. JW and Findley has high concentration of SUMMA, so the number of students that is repaired to be bused is even less than the cost of moving entire Findley to Timberland.
02/27/2020 11:05 PM	Jlpa	Jlpa.111211@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			So Mr. Sparks, a very important question. Does the community who have a representative in the board get untouched? As far as I can see, OPTION SUMMA is becoming the talk again. So since Springville and Rock creek communities do not have a community representative, just move all the kids of that community irrespective that they are being pulled out of their neighborhood. What is that JW and Findley community are compromising? Does it mean the voices of schools without a representative are drowned by the loud voices of the respective community representative (irrespective of them being Stoller representative). Please Mr.Sparks, please be the voice of Springville and Rockcreek communities. Springville needs to be with the north neighborhood Sato. Rockcreek should be with Bethany and Oakhills.
02/27/2020 7:49 PM	judy yang	judy_yang2002@hotmail.com					SAVE TAXPAYER MONEY, WALK-ABLE NEIGHBORHOOD SHOULD RETAIN IN STOLLER MIDDLE SCHOOL.To be specific, Banister creek , Bethany heights, Oakridge are three neighborhoods within walk-able distance to stoller middle. With years there are no school bus, no complaining so far. BSD should work on how to efficiently use the current resources, not allocating extra transportation cost. Please make our tax dollar work !Splitting Findley and Springville students into Stoller middle is the only solution to the boundary adjustment. Walk-able neighborhoods should be in the priority list.
02/27/2020 9:28 PM	Julie Sutton	Juliegsutton@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please do not send Rock Creek alone to any school. Please hear that community and equity is important to us. [?]Equity[?] is not only for rich people who want to walk to school or who don't want to be on the bus for five extra minutes. It should be for making sure that schools around the district are improved. Rock Creek is seems to be an easy target for the committee to move around to whichever school is left after meeting other people[?]'s needs. Please hear that our kids are important too and matter too. Please send us with a neighboring school to whichever middle school you land us in.
02/27/2020 11:46 AM	Jyothi	nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		DO NOT SPLIT Findley. Entire Findley to Stoller. This is the only way to keep Stoller stable. SATO growth will be compensated by the decline in Findley and Jacob wismer.
02/27/2020 10:08 PM	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please stop sharing maps that proposes Rock Creek ES going to Five Oaks alone. This creates a huge academic inequality!! As we stated in the earlier meetings, we want to stay with west bethany neighbors. Please keep our kids together with their friends. We strongly object proposals that isolate Rock Creek.



## 2.27.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENT

02/27/2020 10:36 PM	Kal Vuppamandla	kal.vuppamandla@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hello BSD committee,I am heartened to see Terra Linda being moved to Timberland MS. As one of its closest neighbors it makes sense to do so. The public testimony from Terra Linda parent as affirms that they are happy with this.I would like to bring to your attention again on what Mr.Sparks has mentioned in last committee meeting that Summa is not an Options program and using it as flexible option to move around between schools is not an option. Also, I am gladdened to see that the committee voted to consider splitting one of the North Bethany schools or moving them to FO. This is the only sustainable option for Stoller MS in the long run. Growing neighborhoods in North being accommodated by schools in South which are shrinking is only plausible way to manage the costs for BSD in the long run. Hopefully, the committee can see through the heavy emotions and make sensible judgements based on facts and not on false facts being provided by various parents just to advance their cause.
02/27/2020 1:40 PM	Kamini	kaminimoorthy@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset	ISB	My son walked to Jacob Wismer and I am a big proponent of walking to school. I saw so many benefits of walking to school and I want to see that continue for the Jacob Wismer community. Do no put these kids on a bus when they can walk. Keep all of Jacob Wismer together and do split our school community. Keep Jacob Wismer together and feed it into Stoller along with the Summa program.
02/27/2020 11:18 PM	kate Yip	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I am a rock creek parents and I don't understand why sending Rock Creek to Five Oak alone is always on agenda. (1) DO NOT send Rock Creek to Five Oak alone if this is the direction. This will create academic inequity and have kids suffer. Rock Creek would like to go to Five Oak with multiple northern neighborhoods. Committee member recognize Oak Hill case is a concern but in similar way why Rock creek is not the concern. (2) If the direction is to split in order to meet capacity goal, PLEASE have each portion of the Rock Creek neighborhood accompany with multiple north 26 neighboring schools. (3) Please consider maximizing walkability to balance out the transportation cost to benefit other kids within BSD.
02/27/2020 9:00 AM	Katherine Lang	kshae8@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			Where is the voice of Cooper Mountain within the committee? Why are split schools not allowed to have 2 voices during public comments? What is the point of making these comments if they are not taken into consideration during the meetings? I understand that this is a difficult, thankless job for committee members and that there are tough decisions to be made. However, the most recent maps kept Cooper Mountain with no changes and then the committee once again brought up revisiting switching Cooper Mountain to Mountainview after saying we will not move backward in this process. If this switch is made, what are the reasons for it? If its for equity as suggested, why is there not more discussion around resolving those issues at Whitford and 5 oaks? Why then is the committee not focused on balancing out economic diversity at Timberland and Stoller? Why arbitrarily pick one school that was never part of these boundary changes until recently? This process has become increasingly frustrating and is causing so much negativity within our community when it seems so many decisions revolve around keeping other communities together in the northwest with no regard to the majority of our kids at Cooper Mountain who just want to remain at their current middle school. Please keep the current map going forward as it pertains to Cooper Mountain and understand the chaos it brought our school in the last month.
02/28/2020 3:26 PM	Katherine Lang	kshae8@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I fail to understand after the release of the newest map for 2/25 how the committee undertook revisiting a Cooper Mountain switch as it does not meet any of the objectives that they set. The 70% of our school that currently attends Highland Park has been united in their comments of not changing schools. I do not believe current parents of the Mountainview split would appreciate at public testimony if a Highland Park parent suggested it would make more sense if their area was considered for different Elementary or High School boundaries to provide a better feeder pattern unless they have asked for that to be represented. The fact that switching Cooper Mountain to Highland park wasSuggested by a parent that would not be impacted by the moveDuring public testimony and that the committee decided to revisit that issue is infuriating to the parents that spent hours hoping to have their voice heard. Keeping Cooper Mountain as a split keeps capacity at an acceptable level with room for all the new builds in our area. I believe the current map is very close to meeting the committee's objectives. I understand there is probably another school in the NW that will have to be split in order to reach capacity goals. Thank you for your hard work and I hope that the committee will disregard A change for Cooper Mountain in line with the objectives that have been set.
02/27/2020 9:43 PM	Katie Paul	klitkie@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				The recent map posting that Rock Creek should go to five Oaks alone, and move lower Huber park further south, as irresponsible. Rock Creek should be moved to five Oaks with Bethany and the Westview feeding Oak Hills kids.
02/27/2020 12:38 PM	Kavya	kavya.kommisetty@gmail.com					Please do not split east of OH . Please keep them as it is now and instead move them WEstview for HS.So that OH kids can be together with their friends from ES,MS and Hs.also Timberland , sunset wont be overcrowded.
02/27/2020 1:46 PM	Kayleen Welbourn	kwelbourn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		One option that wasn't discussed at this week's boundary meeting was an option proposed at the end of the last boundary meeting. The option was to send all of the Oak Hills students to Timberland, and keep them all at Sunset, rather than splitting, as we are now, between Westview and Sunset. This is a great option that keeps our entire student body unified through middle and high school and helps fill Timberland.
02/27/2020 9:43 AM	Kelly Osowski	kellyjosowski@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I have to say that I was quite shocked to hear that our boundaries could potentially be hugely impacted this late in the process. I[?]ve been keeping up on the [?]working maps[?] and prior to the last meeting, it appeared to be status quo or close to it. Now I am hearing at the whim of one parent you are considering changing our entire boundary with another elementary school. I am sorry, but in my opinion this is totally impulsive and without proper vetting. From my understanding of your objectives how does this represent creating an attendance boundary for the Timblerland area or provide relief for overcrowding at Stoller Middle School? How does this represent a MINOR adjustment to elementary and/or high schools? I can answer that for you, it does not!!If the BSD district truly wants to look at equity among the middle schools (a worthy issue) then that needs to involve the WHOLE district and not just thrown at two elementary schools last minute. That is not going to solve any kind of problem, other than causing quite a bit of stress on about 1000 kids. If you want to look at the equity issue, bravo, but do it the right way and involve everyone in the discussion and take the time to make the proper decision. That means starting this whole process over or starting a completely new process. This last minute tossing around of our school, without giving us the proper amount of consideration is unjustifiable. Keep Cooper Mountain Elementary status quo!
02/27/2020 11:24 AM	Kelsey Townsend	kelsey.townsend@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem		Sunset		Dear committee,Thank you so much for listening to our community! As you have seen from support from other communities as well, Sunset bound Oak Hills needs to be with it's Sunset neighbors. I hope this decision holds as you continue to work the map over coming weeks/months. This is a very important decision for our community and one that does not create issues for other communities. I would like to continue to advocate for our sister communities- Westview bound Oak Hills students need to continue on in this process together with other Westview bound schools such as Bethany. They are essentially one big neighborhood with an elementary school boundary down the middle. Do not split them and break up this community. Additionally, I want to continue to advocate for Jacob Wismer. Their plight is a complicated one and very similar to that of the Sunset bound Oak Hills community. I emlore you to listen to their objectives and follow through with what is being requested by their community. They are a small voice (just as Oak Hills is) in a sea of much larger communities with a much larger voice. Don't let the big voices drown out the legitimate concerns of these smaller communities. Their concerns should be discussed despite their smaller voice. Thank you for your time in this exceedingly complicated task!
02/27/2020 10:18 PM	Kexin Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 10:06 PM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 11:28 PM	Kyong Zu Park	star217@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				During last community meeting on 2/25, I believe there was a brief discussion about possible ES boundary adjustment, namely moving some JW neighborhoods to Findley.As a Arbor heights neighborhood residence, I think it would be reasonable to move our neighborhood from JW to Findley for following reasons; closer to Findley compare to other JW feeding neighborhood, Arbor heights has 107 projected MS students in 2021-2025 which can significantly alleviate the overcrowded Stoller population.
02/27/2020 9:09 PM	Leda Mareth	ledamareth@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Thank you for listening to the concerns of Rock Creek Elementary School families. We are ready to and willing to attend a different middle school, but we beg of you to make sure we attend school with our neighbors. I believe the map presented at the Feb. 25 meeting is the best option we have seen so far. I support this map.
02/27/2020 11:48 AM	Leela	Leelu_123@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Please DoNot split any ES school unnecessarily this will make huge impact on kids emotions. Encourage walkability that saves BSD transportation cost and can make use of this money in a worthwhile way.
02/27/2020 1:52 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee - At the 2/25 public meeting, it seemed the only thing your group was able to achieve a majority of agreement on, is that it would be acceptable to consider a split within Springville if any inroads towards reducing the overcrowding at Stoller can happen. As a parent of one 4th grader and two 3rd graders at Jacob Wismer, it was nice to sense some acknowledgement from the committee that the two largest communities today and those with the largest projected growth in the VERY near future, just simply CANNOT co-exist in the same middle school. The only way they can co-exist is if we are willing to split other schools, bus hundreds more students who are NOT currently being bused, allow 40 students to 1 teacher, keep students and teachers in portables, directly take tax dollars out of the classrooms and onto the roads for increased and NEW transportation. I cannot imagine ANYONE believing these would be acceptable results of this boundary adjustment process. This is NOT about JW parents trying to kick anyone out of Stoller, nor is it about creating an elite school. This is purely about ALL children in ALL schools across the district being offered a safe, positive learning experience. I encourage all of our hard working and dedicated committee members to please consider ALL of the data that has been presented to you. Walkability has significant advantages for every member of the communities we live in. It reduces traffic, offers environmental benefits and gives students additional opportunities to interact with neighboring friends. For those suggesting most walkers do not walk, please take a walk through my north Bethany neighborhood between 815 and 930 Monday through Friday and you will see the hundreds of groups of students walking, biking and even skateboarding to school. Some difficult decisions need to be made to reach the objectives, but I remain hopeful that together we can achieve sensible, positive outcomes for all. Thank you!
02/27/2020 12:52 AM	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee - thank you for your continued work through this boundary adjustment process. I remain hopeful that you will be able to agree upon a recommendation to superintendent Grotting that addresses the objectives put forth. The data provided over the last few months has been clear: in order to relieve Stoller of its overcrowding, any recommendation that puts Springville and Sato into the same Middle School will be a complete and utter failure. It is clear from every possible angle that these two growth areas CANNOT fully feed into any BSD Middle School. Not today and not in 2025. Please do NOT agree to 40 students in a class. Please do NOT agree to portables and please, do NOT put more unnecessary buses on the roads by sending hundreds more students miles away from a school they can walk to. There are less students already on a bus that surely could be kept on a bus for 5 extra minutes. 5 extra minutes alleviates overcrowding and offers a more evenly split feeding pattern for ALL students. Thank you for efforts.
02/27/2020 2:32 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee, the purpose of my comment is to bring to light a suggestion around mature neighborhoods versus new neighborhoods. Over the last few years, certain parts of North Bethany have exploded with new home construction. The development of these new neighborhoods has caused major spikes to middle school enrollment at several of our schools, but specifically at Stoller. This has been one of the hottest topics at most of the meetings throughout this boundary adjustment process. Has the district ever considered working with home developers in the area to suggest that NEW homes in NEW neighborhoods not be guaranteed to be placed in the school closest to their homes until space becomes available? Or until the district can secure land and bond money to build new schools in the areas they are needed. Please consider this suggestion. It can be a temporary way to fill underutilized schools in the south, until the district is able to plan out the north. New home buyers will buy being aware of the schooling situation and will make their purchasing decisions accordingly. Perhaps this can solve some of the overcrowding today and in the future. Thanks for your continued dedication to the process. I remain hopeful that our objectives can be met.



02/27/2020 7:19 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem				I've advocated for what I thought was the common sense idea that people who live roughly adjacent to a school go to THAT school for this entire process. I was supportive of potentially splitting or even adjusting the elementary boundaries to achieve that. I think that it makes sense to maximize walkability across the district. We know it's better for our kids, better for our environment, and better use of our financial resources. Not everyone CAN walk to school, but where they can we should do our absolute best to make it viable. I've also tried to advocate FOR what I thought was best for my family and neighborhood rather than against any one else. Unfortunately, this latest map ignores reality and basic math. The two largest and most quickly growing elementary schools won't fit alone together in any single middle school within 5 years according to multiple projections. Rather than face that fact and act accordingly, we're now trying to push more and more people out of their own immediate neighborhood to try and accommodate that growth, an impossible task. It's unsustainable and the district needs to do better than set the community up to rehash this again in such a short time.
02/27/2020 4:46 PM	Maanasa Kasturi	mkasturi@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please bring back map MapC2.1 SPV and SATO together in stoller will cause overcrowding in 2021 and 2025. Please keep all JWE, walk-able findley and Summa in stoller. About 30% of summa is within walking distance of stoller. The SUMMA program must exist at Stoller. This facilities a good HS feeder pattern, thus providing a healthy % of kids feeding into Sunset HS. This will ensure the mental well-being and success of the kids in the high school. Please make decisions based on keeping elementary school students and communities together. Keeping programs like SUMMA in-tact in Stoller will allow the kids to succeed in the most important formative years of their lives.
02/27/2020 7:55 AM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				OBJECTIVE FOR STOLLER IS 90% IN 2021: There was a lot of confusion on if the objective is 95% in 2025 which seems kind of random. The FAQ from Dec that Mr Sparks released is actually crystal clear below:When is the 90% enrollment at Stoller MS supposed to be achieved?The objective of a student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS is to be reached in September 2021. September 2021 is the first year of the new middle school boundaries
02/27/2020 7:58 AM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com					WHY IS THE OBJECTIVE FOR STOLLER 90% IN 2021?Mr Sparks is once again crystal clear on this in the FAQ below - THIS ALREADY TAKES INTO ACCOUNT NORTH BETHANY GROWTH and should not be used against Springville.Why did the Board adopt an objective placing enrollment of Stoller MS at approximately 90% enrollment of the building capacity of Stoller MS in order to reduce student enrollment to a size comparable to other middle schools and to allow for student enrollment increases that are projected to occur over the next several years. Increased student enrollment is associated with the recent and future residential development in the North Bethany area.
02/27/2020 11:26 AM	Manish	Manish_Thakore@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	(1) Current and future availability of space: Enrollment DATA (2021 and 2025), Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a STABLE and sustainable enrollment to Stoller. (2)Neighborhood proximity and accessibility:Sato/JW/Findley boundary avoids putting in buses over 250 students that walk to Stoller today. This provides Transportation cost savings. (3)Student body composition:Stoller[?]'s demographic data shows no significant difference with Findley or Springville in Stoller. (4)Feeder patterns:Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a near 50-50% split between Sunset and Westview. Otherwise, it becomes 15-85%, not fair to Sunset students at Stoller.
02/27/2020 7:08 PM	Margaret W	maggieinpdx@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		At the last minute during the last meeting, it was suggested that it be looked at switching Cooper Mt. and Chehalem for middle school feeders. This makes no sense. Chehalem currently can walk to Mountain View. They would have to be bussed to Highland Park. Keep Cooper Mountain at Highland Park. This is our community and our school. The Cooper Mountain community wants to stay at Highland Park!
02/27/2020 10:37 PM	Marie Knapp	lmknapp@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It seems like the 2/25 map came the closest to keeping communities together. Please don't replace the 2/25 map with a different map that splits more communities apart. We can live with slightly higher numbers at Stoller in five years if it means that our kids will stay with their friends.
02/27/2020 10:08 PM	Mary Lynn Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 11:56 PM	May	mrwang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Move Summa out of Stoller! Regular kids over Summa! Summa is an option program!
02/27/2020 10:40 PM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee Members And BSD,Since we are still unable to find a solution for Stoller overcrowding and decided on splitting some of the elementary schools to make the numbers work.. I hereby suggest, that may be sending one of the growing community to the new Middle school TL may work for the long term. The school is north of US 26 and the commute to the new school will not be far more than an additional 10-15 minutes which the community was worried about! The North Bethany neighborhoods have to be bussed to a middle school be it Stoller/ TL/FO. This will keep at least the walkable communities closer to their neighborhood middle school and save on the tax dollars. It might be a good idea to run the numbers for this idea if you like it!Thanks
02/27/2020 9:36 PM	Michele	Michele.simante@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Viewing the maps made in response to the feb 25th meeting are completely unacceptable. The map showing rock creek to five oaks is not in line with any committee member request. The request was to show a portion of springville and rock creek - this map does not align with the request and isolates and marginalizes the Rock Creek community. We have accepted that we will not be at Stoller but we cannot accept being sent out of our community to five oaks alone. This map is unacceptable.
02/27/2020 2:46 PM	Mimi Wu	miciwu@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Yesterday, My 1st grade boy said his teacher wants the students to vote what kind of activities they want to do as a reward of their good work. During the voting, some people want to have extra recess time, and most of people want to see a movie. He added the numbers of voting people, he found that there were some people who didn't vote. He asked me why. I said, maybe some people can't make their minds or they are fine with either way. That's what I am thinking about the Feb 25th meeting. When Mr. Sparks asked the committees to express if they are agree or disagree some given maps. The voting process didn't fully represent the committees' intentions. I am very worry if the final results would be made in a fairness and justice process.
02/27/2020 9:57 PM	Mimi Wu	miciwu@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I see some committees have concerns about the growing population in 2025. As a Springville parent, I have same concern. Population is increasing. Commuting time is increasing, too. Not to mention the traffic accidents are increasing as well. People who anticipate the population growth in 2025. Can you also anticipate the traffic situation and commute time in 2025 as well. There are many papers study how commuting time affects a person. It can affect satisfaction with life overall and job satisfaction, satisfaction with leisure time availability and self-reported health..etc. These papers are talking about adults. When it comes to the middle school students, can you imagine what kinds of effects would happen to these kids? Once Springville kids are decided to Five Oaks. They would suffer the longer commuting time and suffer unequal school and afterschool lives. It may cause them become the disadvantage group. Who can responsible or correct the mistake?
02/27/2020 8:12 PM	Min Kong	kongmin_1@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Five Oaks MS	Sunset		My child is a 7th grader at Rachael Carson. Middle school boundary adjustment outcome won't affect our family, but I am very concerned that so many schools got involved. Parents are angry, and our community has never been so divided. The issue is very simple. New development area on North side of the Springville Rd needs a middle school located within that neighborhood. That is the ultimate solution to the problem. BSD previously placed Springville middle school program at Timberland for a few years. In my opinion,that is a much better temporary solution than moving other school boundaries around. It has been done before, and It has zero impact on any other schools. Our parents in Bethany area are so passionate about education and very supportive of the district. I believe we can resolve this issue peacefully and make our community strong again.
02/27/2020 11:20 PM	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear members, do you know current Stoller has a capacity of 168%. I don't understand why 114% is not acceptable in 2025 and that's based on an assumption! The map on February 25 is the best for most schools and communities. Please keep it and move forward from it!!!
02/27/2020 8:13 PM	Nancy Collett	nancy@norwesters.net	Cooper Mountain Elem				Unfortunately the parent who spoke on behalf of Cooper Mountain Elementary at the last boundary adjustment meeting wasn't speaking on behalf of the majority of Cooper Mountain parents. As a parent of 3 Copper Mountain children, I strongly feel we should keep the Cooper Mountain boundaries status quo - split between Highland Park and Mountain View. Moving part of Chehalem to Highland Park and part of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View doesn't make any sense. From the current working map, it appears, based on the grayish coloring, that Chehalem is within walking distance of Mountain View. Switching them to Highland Park just creates more busing headaches.
02/27/2020 8:50 PM	Naresh	nareshr08@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Summa out of Stoller meets 2021 and 2025 objectives with minimal splits. With Summa out of Stoller, 2021 and 2025 objectives are already met with just 14% over permanent capacity which could be easily solved by portables.Without a single voice in advisory committee for us, we are looking up to make an informed, wise decision. Please look at the numbers, working map for Feb 25th does work.
02/27/2020 9:14 AM	Naveen Kasam	kasam_naveen@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				BOARD OBJECTIVE IS 90% IN 2021. PLEASE KEEP SPRINGVILLE WITH ITS NEIGHBORS IN THE NORTHThe map that was discussed on Feb 25th met the objective of 90% for stoller. Many committee members has said that this is best version of the maps we had considering the situation we are in. I request AC to please not discard this map based on 2025 numbers which are just estimates. BSD had wrong estimates before. Stoller has portables. Worst case scenario, we can use couple of portables for one or two years and the student population in JW is expected to reduce.
02/27/2020 10:22 AM	neeti verma	neetiverma09@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			I would like the committee help preserve and if possible promote walk-ability in the community.I believe this helps inculcate the sense of independence as well as community in kids. If it can be made a contributing factor in the decision making for the boundary adjustments, it would benefit the kids.
02/27/2020 10:27 AM	neeti verma	neetiverma09@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			it is highly disheartening that we are trying to make the numbers work with any assumption possible in the last meeting. We should not forget suma is an optional program and not every parent or kid who qualifies for it chooses it. Making such sweeping assumptions as moving all of SUMA to Timberland brings Stroller from 104% occupied to 88% occupied is nothing but mathematical adjustment to fit the model to desired outcome. I see no testimonies of the community choosing SUMA over proximity.
02/27/2020 10:07 PM	Nichole Scire	Nknak1016@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I want my daughter to be able to attend Mountainside High School. With that being said, I want her to go to whatever middle school leads to Mountainside High school.
02/27/2020 10:02 AM	Nilesh Pande	nilzpande@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Why SPECIAL TREATMENT and EMPHASIS to SUMMA and STOLLER?The objective is for 2021 but we are still looking at 2025 and the options and SUMMA number dont change for any schools between 2021 and 2025...why is this so?Keeping Stoller to 90% capacity forever is a far fetched objective I would say.Why is Stoller being given special treatment, not just in 2021, not just in 2025, but for all eternity? Will it come at the expense of overcrowding elsewhere?
02/27/2020 11:17 AM	Nishita Sapra	nishi.dalwadi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committee members and BSD, thank you so much for all your continuous effort for middle school boundary adjustment issue. I am a JW parent, I request committee to work with data that support walkability, equity and most importantly provide solution towards overcrowding for long term. I would like my kids to talk, having fun with friends while walking to school and in this way they will be more connected to nature rather than machine. No split for JW. ThanksNishita Sapra
02/27/2020 10:11 PM	Olesya Baykalova	olesyabaykal@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.

							BSD[?]s objective is to aim for 90%ish utilization at Stoller. The community does not want Stoller to be overpopulated (&gt;100%). The only way to achieve both of the above is indeed very obvious. Proximity to school and capacity are both Policy JC factors for valid reasons and both hold true in the case of JW and walking Findley. SUMMA is a big part and parcel of both these school communities. The current year 2020-2021 6th grade summa numbers are in- there are 60 kids from Findley and 60 from Jacob Wismer. Include walking Findley into JW and that no. is 86 kids. These kids are already accounted for in the totals for these schools because they are not going to an option school, rather simply taking classes better geared to their level of understanding at their resident MS. Its almost like being pulled aside for some extra math skill practice! Not only are these schools walk-able to Stoller, what[?]s more is that both these schools are stable communities, with no growth looking all the way to 2025. After this, bring in Sato or SPV, basically what fits, but do not overpopulate Stoller. Given the growth in North Bethany, I am not certain if the numbers projected are even going to hold true, one just has to take a drive and see what its going to be to believe it!
02/27/2020 3:28 PM	P. Telang	priyatelang@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
02/27/2020 9:10 AM	paige jones	paiger_jones@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		To the committee members, I am a Springville, Stoller and Westview parent. I have 4 kids that go to those 3 schools and we have had a great experience since we moved here 6 years ago. We have loved being close to all of the schools in case of an emergency, in case we need to deliver a forgotten item or just to go volunteer. The neighborhood that feeds into Springville is basically one giant neighborhood. We play sports together, we go to the park together, we see each other on walks. We are friends. I would hate to see friends broken up by a middle school split - especially since middle school is so hard for kids as it is, they need all the support they can get. While I can see why maybe redoing some elementary school boundaries to help kids feed into the same middle and same high school would be challenging, I also see the benefit of keeping kids together. If they go to middle school together, let them go to high school together. This would be for some of the kids at Wismer and Oak Hills who end up going to a high school with only a few of their middle school friends. So I can see why maybe redoing the boundaries of Sato or Wismer would work and keeping Springville at Stoller. There have been comments and reactions by members of the community that do not represent all parties. There are many of us who want what is best for the kids and for the community and I would hate for people to think that the people that speak up for schools are the ones that should be the examples for the entire school. We understand how hard of a job you have and we appreciate the time spent on this but please understand that some of us want the same thing as our neighborhood but are not happy with the delivery of the comments that have been posted or spoken. Thanks.
02/27/2020 12:10 PM	Pallav Tandon	Shipra.Tandon@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hi. I do not support splitting elementary schools and having kids separated from their childhood friends. I also do not support bussing away walkable community to far away school. As a result I strongly propose that Findley as a whole be in Stoller. (Solves walkability and splitting issues with the current maps)Springville be sent to FiveOaks. (Solves SPV splitting issue)The above options also meets the BSD objectives of Reducing long term overcrowding in Stoller. I do understand it may add 5-7 mins of extra commutes for some kids In SPV but I also understand it[?]s additional 5 mins VS a whole community being put on a bus. Please do the right thing.
02/27/2020 7:28 AM	Pallav Tandon	Pallav.Tandon@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I am very concerned with BSD not sticking to its original objective of reducing overcrowding by considering large growing schools such as Springville and Sato to feed into Stoller and not solving the problem of overcrowding. I strongly believe that all of Findley should continue to go to STOLLER middle school. This solves the purpose of overcrowding and keeps the students together which is so important for emotional health of students.Also Findley community being one of the oldest community feeding into Stoller has invested so much building that school. Now suddenly ripping the community apart without meeting the said objective would be really a failure of this whole exercise. Please do not split Findley and send Findley to stoller.
02/27/2020 10:19 PM	Paul Lewerenz	Paul.lewerenz@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 2:42 PM	Pavan Akkisetty	avspavan@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Please donot split Findley. With a greater chance of walkability improvement to Stoller, all Findley in stoller should help reduce BSD transportation costs in the coming decade. Unsplit findley, if it means feeding to Timberland is acceptable too. Thanks
02/27/2020 10:02 PM	Penny Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear AC Members,Somebody publicly declared on the last meeting that SUMMA is not optional program, but I would like to remind you that by definition, SUMMA is optional. The students who are admitted to SUMMA can choose to go or not. I cannot believe 50% of students from some school go to SUMMA. Would you believe all these kids have over 99% proficiency level than other kids? I feel that SUMMA has already lost its primary purposes. Please DON'T prioritize SUMMA over Regular education. MOVE SUMMA to Timberland!
02/27/2020 10:09 PM	Penny Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear AC Members,Can you please explain in details how you project 2025 numbers? It seems to me that 2025 projection becomes one of the key factor to make the decision, I would like to know the assumptions and the logic behind the projection. In my opinion, there are a lot of uncertainties and inaccuracy growth factors and we may create a problem by looking at this 2025 projected number.
02/27/2020 9:49 PM	Piradee	piradeet@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear Committees,We were only 1 vote short for the latest map in last meeting, meaning that this map just needs a little adjustment to get a consensus. Please don't waste time by going back to any option that may bring old issues up again.Moving Summa out will totally ease capacity issue at Stoller both in 2021 and 2025. I think this is the best choice. Some said that many Summa kids live near Stoller. Moving them elsewhere is unfair to them. However, Summa kids, at least they have choices! Riding the bus and getting education advantage or stay and walk to normal school. However, by keeping them there and pushing Springville kids out to Five Oak, it's more unfair. Those kids don't have any choice! All of them have to go. And they will be sent the furthest away from their homes compared to others. PLEASE KEEP SPRINGVILLE AT STOLLER. Thank you.
02/27/2020 12:34 PM	Prabha	krchandraprabha@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear Committee members,Please kindly consider Map A , feeding stoller with SPV,Satto and JW along with Summa.Please kindly keep kids/schools near to home . Map A fulfills all JC criteria and fills Timberland.
02/27/2020 10:25 PM	Prashant Dewan	prashant.dewan@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee members, Please consider Map C 2.0 or 2.1 again with the addition of East Oak Hills to TL! Why do we keep generating newer maps and still are unable to solve the overcrowding long term! Please modify the above Maps as needed instead of generating newer maps altogether! Thanks
02/27/2020 9:27 PM	Prashant Kowtal	prashant.p.kowtal@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hello Mr. Sparks and AC members,I am writing to you about the meeting that concluded on 2/25. It was disappointing to see that even though the Map as presented on 2/25 met all the boundary process objectives of meeting 90% capacity at STOLLER in 2021 and established feeders to Timberland, but still didn[?]t get the majority votes.A large part of this was due to confusions raised by one AC member regarding the 95% capacity for 2025 at Stoller. It was disappointing that an inordinate amount of time was discussed about 2025 though the objectives very clearly stated 90% capacity as of 2021. Request Mr. Sparks to clarify to the AC members at the earliest so the next meeting is all about 2021 capacity and not 2025. Thanks!
02/27/2020 9:40 PM	Prashant Kowtal	prashant.p.kowtal@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STOLLER - BSD CROWN JEWEL?: According to the many in the JW community, as well as the AC members representing JW - Stoller must never hit permanent capacity as it[?]s supposedly the BSD crown jewel? Such tall claims by JW are beyond any rationale thinking, as it[?]s clear from any of the Maps presented till date that any other middle schools may go over capacity in 2021. Meadow Park and/ or Five Oaks and/ or Timberland and/ or Whitford are all shown as over their permanent capacity based on whichever permutations & combinations are chosen. So why is JW AC member insisting that Stoller being given special treatment, not just in 2021, not just in 2025, but for all eternity? Will this rhetorical analysis come at the expense of SPV kids being pushed out to the farthest school from our neighborhood where they cannot have any other friends in middle school other than their ES friends living on the SPV island? We request your help Mr. Sparks to be voice of SPV as there[?]s no AC member representing SPV. Thanks!
02/27/2020 1:35 PM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In the 2025 projected numbers, the option school and SUMMA numbers do not change in the maps even when the number of students grow ... for example, in 2025, number of SUMMA kids are same as it is today eventhough overall there is student growth in these years..... if we proportionately calculate the student growth in SUMMA as well, we will see that with SUMMA moved out of Stoller, Stoller utilization comes down . Also at the best these long range estimates are conservative and over projected than the PSU studies.... 2025 might just be a non existent issue and it will definitely not call for another boundary adjustment. Sato and Springville and Jacob Wismer minus SUMMA is 88% permanent capacity. OBJECTIVE 2 is MET in the Working Map.Thank you for listening to us
02/27/2020 3:40 PM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please do not break our kids from their NorthBethany community in 2021 for something that may or may not happen in 2025.... and in the worst case , we are talking about 1 portable maybe in Stoller in 2025 and declining again in the years after.
02/27/2020 7:46 AM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The BOARD OBJECTIVE was 90% permanent in 2021.... and we achieve it really well with Jacob Wismer and Sato and Springville minus the summa...this map DOES GET US TO 88% permanent capacity in 2021. In 2025, it is totally sustainable. The projections are over estimated in 2025 and we have a HISTORY OF BSD OVERESTIMATING, in the high school process , in regards to Scholls Heights and overestimation led to the budget fiascoPlease do not put unnecessary commutes and break up communities in North Bethany, just because in 2025 we are a tad bit over permanent capacity but WAYYYY UNDER TOTAL CAPACITY..... Also based on PSU numbers, and based on CURRENT ENROLLMENTS IN KINDERGARTEN (ACTUALS) , we will HAVE NO ISSUES AT STOLLER IN 2025.... CALCULATION PRESENTATIONS HAVE BEEN SENT also as part of the comments
02/27/2020 9:32 AM	Prithvi Narina	prithvi.narina@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hello Mr SparksCan you please explain why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.RegardsPrithvi
02/27/2020 1:13 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,It feels like committee is looking into different ways to split Jacob Wismer to accommodate Springville in Stoller. Keep JW together and say no to changing any ES boundaries. Your objective is to bring Stoller numbers down to around 90%. There is no way you get there with both Springville and Sato. Take a bold decision and move one of these schools to different MS. Just looking into 2021 numbers will not enough, think long term. We don't want to end in same situations in few years. This whole process has already caused lot of divisiveness along the community lines.
02/27/2020 1:30 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,I would ask the committee to discuss and consider making the following recommendation. Given the Stoller capacity issues, any new construction in 2021 or later in North Bethany will be assigned to Five Oaks or Timberland MS based on their capacity. This will address the long term overcrowding issue at Stoller and also set the expectations to new home buyers about their school assignments. They will not be guaranteed to go to the nearest school but will be assigned a school based on capacity.Just a thought to consider!!
02/27/2020 8:21 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,Thanks for your efforts in trying to come up with a reasonable solution for middle school boundary. I have seen committee discuss various factors and there seems to be no clear consensus on the priority on these factors. I consider that walkability should be a primary factor for a school boundary. BSD heavily promotes walking to school on their website and in elementary schools. SRTS is one such program and Jacob Wismer has one of the highest number of kids who walk to school. I am hoping the same walkability should be priority for Stoller middle school. All of Jacob Wismer, Findley walkable kids across Stoller, kids from Sato who are within walking distance should be considered first. This should also include Summa eligible kids as most of these kids are within walking distance. Latest numbers for Jacob Wismer show that around 45% of the class of 2021 qualified for Summa program. It doesn't make sense to bus all these JW and Findley kids when they can just walk to school. This also greatly reduces transportation costs and promotes safety by putting less kids on the roads. We have seen the school budget deficits the past few years and would not sense to spend unnecessary tax dollars on transportation when the same could be used for classrooms and teachers. I would urge committee to strongly consider walkability as a factor when making decisions on boundary adjustment. Thanks!
02/27/2020 9:37 AM	Ram Tadepalli	sitarmt@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Hello,Please explain why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.Thank you!!
02/27/2020 9:31 AM	Ramanath Vysyaraju	ramanath.raju@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please explain why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.

02/27/2020 9:44 AM	Ramanath Vysyaraju	ramanath.raju@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: If we can't use any portables at Stoller in 2025 or ever, can the committee assure us portables won't be needed at any other school? Stoller isn't that special.
02/27/2020 10:09 PM	Richard Klafka	Pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 12:00 PM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHEWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members, Please vote in favor of the Feb 25 working map that doesn't divide communities, doesn't isolate one particular community as an ISLAND, hits the 2021 objective, fills Timberland, prioritizes regular kids over options programs and doesn't subject kids to unnecessarily long commute away through unsafe roads. There is no perfect map that hits all the goals for 2025 while getting all these positives. A COMPROMISE slightly over capacity is manageable with a few portables (if its EVEN necessary) or a slightly bigger class. As one of the committee members said, [?]We can't have a perfect picture but we can do the best picture we can given the limitations.[?] The working map from Feb 25 meeting was it. Thank you to the committee members who are in favor of this map and who propose solutions that is fair for ALL, not just for their own interests.Best regards,Richell Chiu-Yap
02/27/2020 9:46 AM	Roopa Yerramilli	roopa.yerramilli@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STOLLER, OUR CROWN JEWEL: According to some in the commitee, Stoller must never hit permanent capacity. This is interesting, as we are clear that other schools may go over capacity in 2021. Why is Stoller being given special treatment, not just in 2021, not just in 2025, but for all eternity? Will it come at the expense of overcrowding elsewhere?
02/27/2020 4:11 PM	Ryan	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We keep looking at a proposal with Springville and Sato both in the same schools. These are the two schools with the most explosive growth with the least predictability and stability as to the numbers in the future. By splitting them up, we can spread the unpredictability across two schools, greatly reducing the risk that numbers will far exceed what we are forecasting when making these decisions. We seem to have a history of forecasts coming in low as pointed out by Mr Sparks in last meeting. Stoller is already 114% in 2025 even if you remove SUMMA, any unforeseen growth and we are in serious trouble with overcrowding again. We need to pursue a stable and predictable solution with less risk.
02/27/2020 10:16 AM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Everyone throughout this process has lamented about how Timberland was built in the wrong spot. Let's imagine for a second that we could snap our fingers and build a brand new middle school across the street from Westview HS. Let's call this Westpoint middleschool which is now in an ideal location. Which schools would we proposeattend Westpoint? I think it would make sense for it to be Springville, Rock Creek, Bethany, OH West, and northern Mckinley. If this makes sense for Westpoint, then why not make Five Oaks be this new school. Five Oaks 2.0 would be an excellent school as it is taking half of Stoller with it to start. If we are all being honest, the quality and composition of FO is the biggest objection SPV has to going to FO. It is not the 5 minute increase to transportation time. Five Oaks 2.0, Stoller, Timberland would be trio of excellent middle schools with room for all.
02/27/2020 3:01 PM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hi, Looks like community is torn between Map A and Map C. What if we work to make each map the best it can be and then submit both to BSD and let them be the tie breaker? Then we will all have to live with the decision but not have to spend endless weeks trying to achieve an impossible consensus.
02/27/2020 3:38 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The Feb 25th work map meets the board objective: 90% of permanent capacity in Stoller in 2021 and well under total capacity in 2025, and declining after. Please move forward without splitting and isolating communities and children who are powerless in this whole process.
02/27/2020 3:52 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: Do not under-enroll Stoller while you overcrowd other schools. Keeping Stoller perpetually under capacity is not a good long-term plan for the district. Why are portables okay at Meadow Park, but not Stoller?
02/27/2020 6:17 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			To the AC members:At the end of last meeting, I heard of producing a testmap using Map C 2.0 as baseline that shows Rock Creek, Bethany, Springville, and West Oak Hills into Five Oaks and East Oak Hills to be added to Timberland. Also moving South Aloha Huber to Mountain View.That brings me to these questions: (1) Will that make Five oaks be over-utilized? (2) Will that make Meadow Park reduced lunch rate greater than 50%(3) Stoller will continue to be slightly over utilized. (4) Timberland continues to be severely underutilized.Map C 2.0 does not appear to hit our goals of 90% STOLLER in 2021. Nor does it fill Timberland.Please reconsider the Feb 25th map. That was the best map thus far. We just need to make small adjustments to hit our goals. Thank you for reading this.
02/27/2020 11:39 AM	Santosh	ksantosh@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD committee members,I would like you to consider following points for north Bethany middle school boundary adjustments.1. Stoller should be fed by communities with sustained growth rates over next 5 years. Thats the solution to solve overcrowding issue. Numbers indicate this can happen only with Findley, Sato and Jacob Wismer feeding To Stoller. Springville and Sato cannot both feed into Stoller. That's not sustainable in the long run. 2. Do not split any elementary schools. Towards the end of this week's meeting this came up as a path forward and I strongly urge BSD to not break communities by drawing such boundaries. We can meet numbers by re adjusting school feeding patterns. 3. Walkability is the key and it should be accommodated at all costs. That results in overall cost reductions and I would prefer BSD spend money on our kids education rather than spend them on shuttling kids to schools. This needs to be accounted keeping in mind the number of walkable kids per elementary school who are in close proximity to middle school. If a given elementary school has high walkable student ratio to total students then that particular elementary school should be fed into the close middle school. Going by such logic means Findley, Sato and Jacob wismer are best candidates for feeding to stoller. This also supports my point 1 above. We cannot bus the entire north bethany kids to schools when we have many of these kids can walk to their schools.4. I hope we limit discussion on SUMMA kids in the meetings. Gives an impression that SUMMA kids are more important than other kids which is not true. My opinion on SUMMA is not any different. Move all SUMMA students together . Don't split them after this year as well. These students will end up experiencing middle school transition two times in a year and it will not be fair. If Findley, Jw and sato are in stoller than entire SUMMA program can remain in Stoller.So bottom line all scenarios and numbers provided by Advisory committee indicate keeping Findley, sato, and JW will provide stoller with a long term stable solution. The numbers add up .I urge BSD advisory committee to take right decision and not get distracted by emotional responses from other school communities. We need to do the right thing and have a clear problem statement which stoller over crowding reduction. So we need to fix this problem and not get distracted by posters, banners and media coverage. Santosh
02/27/2020 6:42 AM	Santosh Krishnamurthy	santosh.krish2480@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD committee members,I would like you to consider following points for north Bethany middle school boundary adjustments.1. Please ensure stoller is fed by communities with sustained growth rates over next 5 years. This can happen only with Findley, sato and Jacob Wismer feeding To stoller. Springville and sato cannot both feed into stoller. That's not sustainable in the long run.2. Do not split any elementary schools. towards the end of this week's meeting this came up as a path forward and I strongly urge BSD to not break communities by drawing such boundaries. We can meet numbers by re adjusting school allocation.3. Walkability is the key and it should be accommodated at all costs. That results in overall cost reductions and I would prefer BSD spend money on our kids education rather than spend them on shuttling kids to schools. This needs to be accounted keeping in mind the number of walkable kids per elementary school who are in close proximity to middle school. If a given elementary school has high walkable student ratio to total students then that particular elementary school should be fed into the close middle school. Going by such logic means Findley.[?], sato and Jacob wismer are best candidates for feeding to stoller. This also supports my point 1 above. We cannot bus the entire north bethany kids to schools when we have many of these kids can walk to their schools.4. Move all SUMMA students together . Don't split them after this year as well. These students will end up experiencing middle school transition two times in a year and it will not be fair. If Findley, Jw and sato are in stoller than entire SUMMa program can remain in stoller.So bottom line all scenarios and numbers provided by Advisory committee indicate keeping Findley, sato, and JW will provide stoller with a long term stable solution. The numbers add up .I urge BSD advisory committee to take right decision and not get distracted by emotional responses from other school communities. We need to do the right thing and have a clear problem statement which stoller over crowding reduction. So we need to fix this problem and not get distracted by posters, banners and media coverage. Santosh
02/27/2020 7:41 AM	Sarah Miles	kmilesmiles@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		This change is very complicated, I urge you to stick to the Objectives of the Boundary Committee. 1.Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school 2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. 3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary. 4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels. Adjusting South Beaverton Middle school boundaries is at best loosely tied to the Boundary Committee objectives as the focus is on Timberland and Stoller overcrowding. Moving Cooper Mountain to Mountain view is not a minor adjustment as it affects 70% of CM population. The majority of CM voice has not been heard in the meetings (despite trying) please put more weight on our online comments. If you want a clean feeder pattern (which I support, but not one of the boundary committee objectives) please move all of CM to Highland Park. The majority of Cooper Mountain is okay with the current middle school boundary. We should be trying to address over-population in the north with minimal disruption to students outside that area.The discussion / decision on economic disparity needs to go through the appropriate forum and thoughtfully applied to all the schools across the Beaverton school district, not just Cooper Mountain. Please don't haphazardly change the Cooper Mountain feeder pattern based on socio-economics without a full study across all of Beaverton to determine the best courses of action. Do not put the undue pressure on a boundary committee that does not have this level of expertise. True non-partisan experts should be involved rather than a hand full of voices in the part of the community that sees personal benefit in making a change to 70% of Cooper Mountain's students future middle school. If Mountain View really needs this much help, then Beaverton School district should devote more resources toward fixing the school not just sending them more students. This is not a simple issue of transferring students.
02/27/2020 10:36 AM	Shah J.	jahin29@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I continue to emphasize that we should focus on 2025 projections for boundary adjustments so that we do not have to do the exercise again in couple of years. As seen in BSD data, feeding both of the growing North Bethany elementary schools to Stoller does not solve the overcrowding issue at Stoller (rather uses 130% of its permanent capacity). On the other hand, Findley has a declining student population and a good number of students who can walk to Stoller. So, I suggest to start with map C2.1 and: i) feed Findley entirely to Stoller (I oppose any split feeder as it directly splits friends & OBOB/FLL teams, causing emotional and psychological distress to children); ii) increase utilization of Timberland capacity by adjusting elementary feeders and possibly moving Summa from Meadow Park to Timberland.
02/27/2020 8:51 PM	Shanti	sant3095@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Both objectives met by Feb 25th working map AC committee, working map for 25th solves Stoller overcrowding in both 2021 and 2025. Timberland is fully utilized making tax payers money properly used . Please do not punish an entire community by uprooting in from their north Bethany neighborhood for an unpredictable over projected 2025 numbers.
02/27/2020 1:12 AM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Schools and educational institutes are focusing on Active Learning and Community Based Learning.Project Based Learning or Community based learning involves school kids and local community workingtogether. To encourage practical experiences, problem solving and social skill most teachers encouragesgroup projects and partnerships with community . For example Westview Preschool program. Mydaughter attended the program and I was super happy with it. Most school encourage STEAM projectsand students collaborate with local businesses and non-profit organizations. My question is how will theextreme northern edge kids in the weekend and weekdays evening participate in the community basedprojects neighboring Five Oaks? Do you think it is feasible for middle schoolers to walk or bike 5 milesdistance and cross a major highway? Did the committee read the crash/safety report ?

02/27/2020 1:24 AM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Data not revealed is data hidden. If decision needs to be made all data should be shared.Yesterday the committee didn't have the data for Stoller without Summa. The committee was not supplied with this data, Springville &#43; Jacob Wismer &#43; Sato without Summa is 88% in 2021. It is possible to have Springville &#43; Jacob Wismer &#43; Sato together in Stoller. The objective to keep Stoller at 90% of permanent capacity in 2021 is met.
02/27/2020 1:47 AM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I want to thank the BSD staff and committee members for preparing and publishing the map and data. I really appreciate the final working map. Many parents in Bethany (Rock Creek, Sato, Findley and Springville) agreed that it is the most optimal map. Many committee members said this is the best map. Everyone appreciate it for the following reasons:- 1) Minimizes Split2) Relieves Stoller Overcrowding Issue by moving Summa3) Fills Up Timberland and Improved Diversity in Timberland than map C2.1If you move away from this map, you will introduce splits. There will be no time to hear feedback whether communities want those splits. Please remember not everyone have representatives in the committee. Some are lucky to have two, while others have none.
02/27/2020 12:05 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	The committee spent one entire evening to argue on a map that does a good job of solving the puzzle with minimum number of splits. Two committee members even said it is the best map possible. Reducing school splits was the main priority of the committee from the beginning of this process. Finally, a map was created that did minimize split, kept communities together and perfectly worked. This map with Springville, Jacob Wismer, and Sato minus Summa reduces Stoller to 88% in 2021. But we were left with hours of discussion over 14% in the year 2025. Currently, Stoller is the Largest Comprehensive Middle School in the State of Oregon (by student population). Stoller has been nearing double its permanent capacity for years and no one has blinked an eye. Yet a POSSIBLE 14% at max growth is unacceptable in 2025. It is also clear from the data, enrollment trend is declining after 2027.
02/27/2020 2:11 AM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Middle school is a critical time when peer groups influence starts to exceed parental influence in terms of happiness, mental health, hobbies, academic achievement. At Middle School having a Strong Social Circle is Important. Most social circle is built after school and on weekends.How will Springville kids feeding Five Oaks socialize with their middle school friends across US 26 ? Springville kids cannot walk 5.3 miles to Five Oaks in the evening. Springville kids cannot bike 5.3 miles to Five Oaks crossing US 26. Springville kids do not have walkability or bikeability. Also by public transportation it takes an hour. How many kids have the committee members seen biking on US 26? We are an integral part of North Bethany. Our kids Social Circle is in Bethany.
02/27/2020 12:26 PM	Sheng	lishenggz@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thank you all AC members,1. 2025 is sustainable with these three schools.... 88% permanent capacity in 2021- objective 2 reached right there. 2025 numbers is over estimated and way more than actual enrollments in Kindergarten , 1st and 2nd grade that will go to middle school in 20252. in FAQ released originally, It was clarified that Stoller at 90% in 2021 is actually to help with growth in North Bethany ...so if we reach that in 2021, BSD themselves thinks it is sustainable3. SUMMA numbers are not changing even when the population is growing, if we aversege the SUMMA numbers with the growth and no SUMMA in Stoller, then we will be under permanent capacity even in 2025 in Stoller. 4. North bethany is our community, please do not separate us from our neighbors and make Springville kids an ISLAND up north5. We are northermost and just because our kids have to step on a bus to Stoller, please do not make them commute further and longer when there are other equitable solution6. Springville brings ECONOMIC DIVERSITY to the otherwise wealthy Stoller
02/27/2020 9:14 AM	Sirisha	123@gmail.com	Findley Elem			BsD committee members and Mr.Steven Sparks, i m a findley parent. My kid will go to stoller MS summa next year. We live in Bethany Heights community right next to Arbor heights. First of all, we would like to see a 2min slot to be given to walkability findley community at the meetings for public testimony. This community is a minor population and has not gotten a chance to speak up since the beginning of this whole thing. We feel like we have been suppressed all along. Secondly, please dont try to remove one walkable area to accommodate another. Please first start off by including ALL walkable community before adding in any others. We appreciate your conscious effort to not split ES. To further support these 2 points of walkability and no ES splits, please include entire JW and findley in Stoller instead of removing walkable community. Thirdly, the committee has falsely assumed that the area east of stoller does not have safe walking path on laidlaw to stoller. While there is a safe path after construction of a small bridge, NOTE that there are safe roads that go from within our communities to the backside of stoller. Greenwood drive. Our kids dont need to get on laidlaw. Perhaps the committee should put more effort in knowing the district areas before making decisions? Perhaps bring up a map of the area in the next meeting to closely study trials and roads frm walking communities to stoller.Safety, walkability and environment first please. Kids have walked safely forever frm out community Bethany heights to stoller safely. Why do u want to change this?We have patiently waited for you to make the right decisions for our kids and the environment but we fear this is not happening in this case. stoller should first cater to immediate neighborhood before you bring in outsiders. Current map is against the purpose of a neighborhood school foundation, its against the environment. Please bring back map c2.1. Please don't be threatened by the red tshirts. We do appreciate your time reading comments. We will appreciate more if you start taking them into consideration.
02/27/2020 12:12 PM	Sirisha Kothuri	smkothuri@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee Members,Thank you so much for all the time and effort that you have invested in this process. One of the primary objectives of the boundary adjustment process is to reduce the overcrowding at Stoller Middle School and I fail to see how moving Summa to Timberland will achieve this objective in the long run. Both Sato and Springville are high growth areas and the numbers show that both cannot feed Stoller in their entirety considering future growth, even if Summa is moved to TL. The projected numbers show overcrowding in 2025 and if we have to repeat this process again in less than 5 years, it is an absolute waste of time and resources, which could be better spent towards education instead. Summa is a program and not an option and was designed to exist in the neighborhood schools. So, moving it away from the neighborhood school runs counter to the stated purpose. Summa at Stoller is a well entrenched program and moving it away from Stoller will split the JW community, reducing the proportions of Sunset bound kids to single digits. The committee has heard how the small proportions of kids from Oak Hills East feeding Sunset has created all sorts of issues and am encouraged that there is consensus to fix that problem. Let us not go down this route again with feeder patterns that are very small and not sustainable. Also, majority of the Summa students are located within the walkable areas of Findley and JW communities and moving them to Timberland will incur additional transportation costs.Please consider the facts and long term implications of the decisions that you make. I trust that you will make recommendations that work for the majority of the students. Thanks again for your service.
02/27/2020 11:00 PM	Sophia Coats	Johnbmh@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	I[?]m a concerned parent from Rockcreek Elementary. Many of the proposed maps shuffle only Rockcreek students to different a middle school south of hw26. Just because we are a small older community and not as vocal as other newer expanding communities it doesn[?]t mean we can be randomly disposed. Even though we are underrepresented and outnumbered, we strongly request to either stay with Stoller MS or move to Meadow park MS along with other northern neighbors including Bethany and Oak Hills ES. We would like to keep our communities together and ours kids not to lose their close friends.
02/27/2020 8:34 AM	Sri	srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			The committee should be focussing on 90% Stoller capacity in 2021. This is set at 90% to ACCOUNT FOR STUDENT ENROLLMENT INCREASES OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS. So rather than focus on inaccurate projections for 2025, the committee should set its priorities for 2021, as this 90% (and not a 100%) is to account for future growth. FAQ says the following:Why did the Board adopt an objective placing enrollment of Stoller MS at approximately 90%?The Board identified approximately 90% enrollment of the building capacity of Stoller MS in order to reduce student enrollment to a size comparable to other middle schools and to allow for student enrollment increases that are projected to occur over the next several years. Lets please focus on the current and not subject current BSD students to the hardship of commuting and splitting schools and breaking them away from their friendships, with some fluid projections for 2025. HUMBLE REQUEST ONCE AGAIN TO NOT SPLIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WHILE FEEDING THEM INTO MIDDLE SCHOOL PROGRAMS.
02/27/2020 7:18 AM	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/27/2020 3:55 PM	Subhashini Sreeramsetty	s_subhashini123@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles. Thank you!
02/27/2020 5:15 PM	Subramanyam Sripada	ssubra_99@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I m a parent of 2 kids in Bethany heights neighborhood East of stoller which is pretty much an extension of arbor heights and emerald homes which are part of Jacob Wismer. We have neighborhood block parties together with them all through the year. Kids from our neighborhood walk to stoller along with these JW kids using roads from within the community which are very safe. Please don[?]t separate us. Let us continue to walk to stoller. This helps walkabilty and feeder pattern from stoller to sunset.
02/27/2020 12:04 PM	Sujatha Sridaran	sujatha.sridaran@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear BSD Committee,As you work through the boundary adjustments I would like to reiterate some points - I have vested interest in North Bethany area, as my kids are in elementary school in this area and my comments pertain predominantly to this area - however some comments are relevant for all regions in BSD.1) Please do not split any ES school as they feed into MS. Kids of 11-12 years of age are going through lots of changes physically and emotionally as the enter early adolescence/puberty. This is a vital time in their life to rely on strong and reliable friends to bounce off their thought process and confide in trusting friends. At this time in their life, if you break up their friendships, in additional to the changes going on in the bodies they have to cope with making friends and figuring out if they can be trusted. As a mother of a girl child at this age, it is very concerning to me that several members of the committee are ready for ES splits. I sincerely urge you not to split ES as they feed to MS - this is true for all schools in BSD. 2) Please do not consider options where almost every kid in North Bethany area needs to bussed to school. Walkability is an important aspect and I prefer my hard earned tax dollars being spent on funding teachers and improving quality of education rather than on transportation. A considerable part of Findley falls into the walking region of Stoller. Kindly consider feeding Findley as a whole (no splits please) to Stoller. I understand SPV has to be bussed no matter which MS they feed into. At least, kindly reduce the cost of bussing FE students by feeding FE to Stoller.3) In order to maintain sustainable growth at SToller, you will need to include communities that have a flat growth rate. Both SPV and Sato are growing communities - if both these ES feed into Stoller, we have to come back to discuss the same issues again next year. Both FE and JW do not have significant growth projections. These along with Sato will provide a sustainable option for Stoller. I would like to hear at least one committee member stress the fact the a new MS is needed for SPV / Sato regions and give a projected date on when we will see this school take shape.I understand all of the committee is working very hard to find an amicable solution. As you work through this process, kindly consider above points.Thanks,Sujatha

							Dear Committee / Board Members,Best solution keep Findley in stoller and move Springville to five oaks. Even Map C 2 most of the committee members approved and they requested to remove not to split the schools.But next meeting we got complete different map to discuss. Committee disapproved the same. Now they want to see the split. During the last meeting few committee also discussed moving springville to Five oaks best option and ready to do that. Which address the over crowd and also 2025 problem in south boundary school and diversity.Numbers we are discussing now definitely not having right number. Both growing schools keeping in Same school will not resolve the issue. which create more problem like transport, missing friends in high school.When springville mentioned they need to travel long to Five oaks. How come Rock creek community now need to cross two middle schools to go Meadows park. which i didn't understand. More over findley and Jacob decline in registration and keep the stoller count in control. we need to one of the growing community to five oaks which keep enough kids address multiple problems.Best solution we have in place with out Splitting Elementary schoolsStoller -- Findley , Jacob and SatoFive Oaks - Spring Ville, Rock creek and Bethany Timber Land - Bony slope, Terra Linda, Cedar Mill and Oaks hills feeder to sunset and also bring summa here.Above solution will solve transport , Proximity, Diversity , Free lunch.NOTE : Surprise which BSD noticed more registration for kinder garden springville. to avoid all surprise please keep springville in five oaks so that to avoid last moment like this.Basic consideration we can't keep both growing in one place, where we dont have exact numbers.Please consider and do the right decision.
02/27/2020 10:24 AM	Suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			
02/27/2020 10:40 AM	Sushma chennu	schennu9@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		1. Please dont feed growing communities into stoller does not address overcrowding 2. Findley has declining projections data supports staying in stollerUrge to keep findley in stoller
02/27/2020 11:35 PM	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		During the Feb 25th meeting, there were few members confused about the Stoller capacity issue. I am making it clear here. Currently, Stoller has 168% of capacity. The objective for you to sit there for ten meetings is to have Stoller has a capacity of 90% in 2021. The February 25th map give you a capacity of 88% in 2021. That's fully satisfied the objective. If you complain 2025 number, it has 114% in 2025 based on a protection and after that the population is decrease according what the data guy because no development anymore. What do you want? I have never seen or heard anything about 95% anywhere before a member mentioned on 25th meeting. Please check your objectives and all the previous videos yourself!
02/27/2020 11:34 PM	Thomas Lyttle	lyttle@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I truly hope we haven[?]t come all this way to turn around and go back to moving rock creek to five oaks alone. This has been discussed and I was under the impression that the committee was in support of not tearing apart communities. Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 11:55 AM	Tim Ertz	tertz68@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			After listening to the meeting from last night, I am shocked by how poorly rationalized this process has become as it pertains to Stoller feeder schools. The most logical and logistic solutions are as follows:1. Proceed based on assumption SUMMA stays in Stoller. Even if it is moved, you have to assume a good portion of kids who would have done SUMMA at Stoller would opt to stay in Stoller, rather than bus to Timberland. Moving SUMMA is not a dependable population control factor.2. Priority should be on walkability and proximity to Stoller - don't bus kids to another school if they have option to walk to one in their neighborhood.3. Springville should NOT be pushing Findley out of Stoller. This makes no sense from a proximity basis, and it overweights Stoller with a mostly Westview HS bound population.The simple and logical solution is to keep Findley at Stoller, and to send all of Springville to Five Oaks. All Springville students are bussing or getting parent rides, regardless of which MS they attend, so proximity or walkability is not a factor for them.
02/27/2020 9:30 AM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Please continue to focus on achieving 90% capacity for Stoller in 2021. 2025 numbers are not one of the objectives.
02/27/2020 9:32 AM	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Please explain why in the 2/13 agenda packet, the numbers for Options and Summa do not change between 2021 and 2025 for any school.
02/27/2020 9:52 AM	Tina Wang	tina.wt.wang@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STOLLER ISN'T SPECIAL: Do not under-enroll Stoller while you overcrowd other schools. Keeping Stoller perpetually under capacity is not a good long-term plan for the district. Why are portables okay at Meadow Park, but not Stoller?
02/27/2020 11:48 PM	Ting Su	tingjinsu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I'm starting to question that if committee members truly look at those comments. Did you see/know what we said? As a Rock Creek parent, I don't want my children to be kicked out of Stollers and sending to anywhere without other northern neighborhood schools. Why? I still seeing map posting RC ES was sending alone to Five Oaks.Do you want force RC ES to be like other schools to hold the signs in the meeting in order to hear what we say? Please listen to us! NO sending RC ES alone! NO alone! No alone!
02/27/2020 10:12 PM	Tolmachev Nikolay	4228olenik@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek to Five Oaks alone from North 26 is not acceptable and is adding insult to injury. BSD should know better.
02/27/2020 12:39 PM	Vasu Akkineni	vasu.akkineni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I am totally disappointed and hopeless about this process. I am not sure if anyone is even reading the public comments but I will write anyway to introspect -Springville parents have been chastised for coming in large numbers, got branded as being loud and for drowning smaller schools' voices. I find it extremely judgmental and critical of this community. We are a big school, and is that our mistake? YOU asked us what Community meant for us. We all wrote 100's of comments that we are an integral part of North Bethany, because we believed you will read them.WE got chastised for using signs and posters. Our intention was NOT TO DISRUPT BUT TO BE HEARD. We have no representation in the committee voicing our concerns. You go to any town hall meeting, they use these Yes/No paddles for non disruptive acceptance or disagreement. What are we supposed to do when committee members seem to care more for SUMMA without any qualms for sending 400 kids to a far away school?We heard complaints for showing up in large numbers. We did that because our strength is unity in numbers. It shows how invested these parents are in their kid's education that they are able to make time and show up and we are glad we are in a position to be able to do that. That DOES NOT mean in anyway that we want to drown the other communities who are not in a position to attend.Please do not dent our spirits and judge us based on some incidents. We are close to 1000 diverse families and mobilizing them is no easy job. Some parents went overboard considering what was at stake and please do not judge the rest of us based on that.
02/27/2020 3:21 PM	venkata cherkaduvasala	ihari@outlook.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please help keep the kids studying in the same elementary school to be together and do not split them into different schools.
02/27/2020 11:19 PM	Vikram Vemulapalli	vikramv@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We have seen in yesterday[?]s meeting that the committee spent 2 hours trying to FIT in Springville into Stoller and it does not work. 2 large growing schools Springville and sato with a combined projection of 1100 students will not fit into Stoller. Please stop making artificial and forceful fits when simply math numbers DO NOT add up.The real solution is to bring back Map C2.1 which has solved this problem. All of Findley in Stoller and SPV in a different school solves it. Please stop trying to split elementary schools that were being constantly being brought up as solution space when a clean unsplit solution in mapc2.1 exists!!
02/27/2020 11:03 AM	Vinod H	vinod.h@gmail.com					not surprised from the outcome of last meeting. can we make sure we have some concrete objectives listed and clarified from each Advisory committee if they understand. Looks like they keep forgetting and start fresh.BSD set focus on 2021 objectives to be 90% of permanent capacity at STROLLER considering the fact of new growth. I am NOT sure why committee wants 2025 numbers. I don't think there was any VOTE to consider 2025 numbers.SUMMA - Clearly BSD doesn't want you to take any decision and keep doubt in mind about SUMMA numbers. If it's Learning dept to decide why NOT they say it upfront so it's easy for committee to decide.
02/27/2020 12:38 PM	Wendy Stiles	friscostiles@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		As stated on the BSD website: School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming.2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary.4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels. The boundary committee appears to have had scope creep and is now discussing sweeping changes to schools in the southern area of the district, for no discernible reason. Removing Cooper Mountain Elementary School from Highland Park Middle School does nothing to accomplish any of the stated objectives. Even objective #4 only gives the committee the authority to consider making MINOR adjustments to to reconcile feeder patterns. Considering a map which completely realigns a school community is not a MINOR change!!! Changing the minority of students that attend Cooper Mountain and then Mountain View MS to a different elementary school that feeds to MVMS is a MINOR change. I urge the committee to look at that option rather than realigning all of CM with MVMS, or it will be in danger of failing to carry out the objectives stated above.
02/27/2020 4:32 PM	Xiaojiao	stella8561@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Facts that are finally seen by people from the last meeting: Keeping SPV/Sato/JW in Stoller is just not possible! There is no way to work around this combination. SPV and Sato are still growing, no one school has the capability to take both of them together. And if people do not want to talk about split, due to kids social feelings, how about considering swapping? Please try to work around replacing SPV with Findley. Accoding to projection, both JW/FE will have stable or even decreasing enrollment, Sato/JW/FE in Stoller might be a sustainable solution.
02/27/2020 12:58 PM	Xiruo Liu	xiruo.liu@intel.com	Findley Elem				From Feb 25 meeting, we all see that Stoller is not able to hold the whole Springville community. So either the whole Springville or part of it needs to go to Five Oaks. As splitting at elementary school level is bad, I think it is better to feed the whole Springville to Five Oaks. On the other side, from enrollment data (2021 & 2025), Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a stable and sustainable enrollment to Stoller.
02/27/2020 2:34 PM	Xiruo Liu	sissiok@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Stoller[?]s demographic data shows no significant difference with feeding Findley or Springville into Stoller. However, feeding Springville and Sato into Stoller will exceed the capacity of Stoller significantly. On the other side, Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a stable and sustainable enrollment to Stoller and can meet the goal of solving overcrowded issue at Stoller. Meanwhile, Sato/JW/Findley boundary provides a near 50-50% split between Sunset and Westview High school. Otherwise, it becomes 15-85%, not fair to Sunset students at Stoller.
02/27/2020 11:15 AM	Yajing W	yajingyajing@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Our objective is to have Stoller at 90% in 2021. The 2025 numbers are over estimated according to our current enrollment of K to 2nd grade . Having Springville , Sato and Jacob Wismer at Stoller meets our target.
02/27/2020 2:45 PM	Yamini Nimmagadda	Yamini.nimmagadda@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Hello BSD Committee,Please donot split Findley and also Findley and JW have been a close knit community for almost a quarter century. Splitting these schools from each other, when all Findley and 75% JW feed into Sunset is not a good design. Please continue Findley feed into Stoller unsplit. Walkability of Findley should be a big cost reducing reason to keep Findley feed into Stoller.If Findley gets split based on walkability, please consider not to split Findley again based on Summa. If it is decided to split Findley and one part of Findley feeds into Timberland, please have summa program in Timberland. Almost 40% of current non walking Findley community of Stoller is in Summa and likely those numbers will continue. Thats almost 80 kids. Having a Summa program in Timberland, if any split Findley feeds into Timberland makes sense.Thanks,
02/27/2020 10:38 PM	Yanbin Luo	Kaibindu@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I live in Bethany heights and my daughter is a second grader in Findley. Please make walkable to middle school first priority. I am very concerned that so many schools got involved. Parents are angry, and our community has never been so divided. The issue is very simple. New development area on North side of the Springville Rd needs a middle school located within that neighborhood. That is the ultimate solution to the problem. BSD previously placed Springville middle school program at Timberland for a few years. In my opinion,that is a much better temporary solution than moving other school boundaries around. It has been done before, and It has zero impact on any other schools. Our parents in Bethany area are so passionate about education and very supportive of the district. I believe we can resolve this issue peacefully and make our community strong again.

02/27/2020 7:26 PM	Yanbin Luo	Kaibindu@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I am writing this comment to support keeping Findley Elementary within Stoller school zone in that moving students from Findley to Timberland is not a solution to Stoller's crowd problem, which was fundamentally caused by the fast growth of the North Bethany community. If students from North Bethany area continued to feed into Stoller, the Stoller overcrowd problem would only become worse and worse, regardless which MS Findley's students would attend. Therefore, my recommendation is to set up a new MS for students from North Bethany community. If necessary, these students can temporarily use Timerbland until the new MS is ready.
--------------------	------------	--------------------	--------------	------------	--------	--	--

**FW: BSD FAQs on 2021 vs 25 for the AC**

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 2/28/2020 9:08 AM

**To:** deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (70 KB)

2021 Objectives in FAQ.pdf;

please include in comments

---

**From:** Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, February 27, 2020 8:33 AM

**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

**Subject:** BSD FAQs on 2021 vs 25 for the AC

**⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

---

Sorry Mr. Sparks, I am back to sending you many email attachments. I hope you don't mind.

I made a single slide on the FAQs from December talking about the 2021 objective for Stoller and why it was picked, accounting for 2025 growth. Could you please forward it to the AC and make it part of the public record? I am troubled by the focus on 2025 and arbitrary targets like 95% in 2025 that show up.

Thanks!

Madhu



The board objective is **90% of Stoller Capacity in 2021**.  
This objective **already takes North Bethany growth into account**

**Below FAQ from the boundary adjustment website is Crystal Clear**

<https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/long-range-planning/boundary-adjustments/middle-boundary-adjustments/middle-school-boundary-faqs>

5. *Why did the Board adopt an objective placing enrollment of Stoller MS at approximately 90%?*

The Board identified approximately 90% enrollment of the building capacity of Stoller MS in order to reduce student enrollment to a size comparable to other middle schools and to allow for student enrollment increases that are projected to occur over the next several years. Increased student enrollment is associated with the **recent and future residential development in the North Bethany area**.

6. *When is the 90% enrollment at Stoller MS supposed to be achieved?*

The objective of a student population of approximately **90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS is to be reached in September 2021**. September 2021 is the first year of the new middle school boundaries.

**Please Focus On 2021 Objectives That Already Account For Growth  
Do Not Divide Communities, Do Not Split Schools over 2025 non-objectives  
The original Feb 25th workmap with minor changes is the best path forward**

**RE: Middle school Boundary Adjustment FAQ and OBJECTIVE # 2**

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Fri 2/28/2020 9:46 AM

To: batchu ramakrishna <batchu.sap@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby\_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

I have entered your email into the public record. It will be forwarded to the advisory committee.

**Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District**  
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

---

**From:** batchu ramakrishna <batchu.sap@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 27, 2020 12:32 PM  
**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>  
**Subject:** Middle school Boundary Adjustment FAQ and OBJECTIVE # 2

⚠ **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello Spark

I would like to thank you for your best efforts on working Middle school boundary adjustment and working tirelessly. My special thanks to Entire Committee members who are working pretty hard in this process and spending their personal time and listening and reading all our public comments. THIS IS NOT AN EASY JOB. Very Much appreciated to entire team.

I would like to bring your attention and need your support on one of the "**key objective**". I am not sure why we all deviated our focus and moved to 2025 projections whereas our primary objective is to keep Stoller 90% for 2021

As per Objective and FAQ's, it's clearly called out this objective is targeted for 2021 and not for any beyond this.

Please find the copy of our Middle school boundary adjustment objectives and FAQ's screenshot for your quick reference.

Kindly pass this information to all advisory committee members and let's close this giant process by choosing the Feb25th Map.

Please note, BSD has over projected the growth of 2025 for Springville though there is no development space in this area. Please find the reference details as below as well.

The actual kids in 2019 from data shared by BSD for 19th December Meeting KG – 124, 1st Grade – 108, 2nd Grade – 131, Total Kids who will be in middle school in 2025 is 363 Students from SPV. Assume 10% growth for SPV community, the total kids going to MS From SPV should be 363 + 36 = 399. This should put Stoller in 2025 [SPV + SATO + JW] @ 1087 kids which is 100% of permanent capacity

**Conclusion: It is possible to have Springville + SATO + Jacob Wismer without overcrowding STOLLER if we move SUMMA to Timberland**

## MIDDLE SCHOOL BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT FAQ'S LINK:

<https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/long-range-planning/boundary-adjustments/middle-boundary-adjustments/middle-school-boundary-faqs>

## OBJECTIVE# 2 FAQ:

6. *When is the 90% enrollment at Stoller MS supposed to be achieved?*

The objective of a student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS is to be reached in September 2021. September 2021 is the first year of the new middle school boundaries.


7. *What are the enrollment capacity objectives for the other middle schools?*


Each middle school has a different enrollment capacity based on its design. For the MSBA project, the Board has not established an enrollment capacity objective. However, each middle school requires approximately 800 general education students in order to offer comprehensive middle school programming.

## MIDDLE SCHOOL BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT GOAL DETAILS FOR OBJECTIVE#2





resources.finalseite.net/images/v1576104257/beavertonk12orus/ejm9vj6bbhlhonhoqt2ct/BoardObjectives.pdf

Personal Projects Nike Fav AdF.ly - shrink your... Error - Can't log in?... bala hanuman cart... ICICI Bank - Money... Google Booking Details - K...

**BEAVERTON**  
SCHOOL DISTRICT

**WE**

Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning  
16550 SW Merlo Road  
Beaverton, Oregon 97003  
Email: steven\_sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us  
Phone: 503.356.4449

**WE EXPECT EXCELLENCE**  **WE INNOVATE**  **WE EMBRACE EQUITY**  **WE COLLABORATE** 

---

**MEMORANDUM**

DATE: October 16, 2019  
FROM: Steven A. Sparks, AICP  
TO: MSBA Advisory Committee  
SUBJECT: Middle School Boundary Adjustment - Board Objectives

---

At the October 24, 2019 advisory committee meeting, staff will review the Beaverton School Board's objectives for the Middle School Attendance Boundary Adjustment process. The following objectives are to be used in addition to the boundary adjustment factors contained in Policy JC.

The Boundary Advisory Committee's recommendation to the Superintendent should, to the extent possible:

1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming.
2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.
3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to

Thanks  
Ram