

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
02/26/2020 11:20 AM	A	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			OBJECTIVE is 90% in 2021 at Stoller. 2025 is NOT OBJECTIVE of MS Boundaty process.DUMBFOUNDED when committee focussed on 2025 numbers in Feb 25th meeting
02/26/2020 11:26 AM	A	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Dumbfounded when part of AC focusing on 2025 numbers which is NOT AN OBJECTIVE.114% in 2025 at Stoller is the far better than current ~200 percent of STOLLER Capacity
02/26/2020 11:47 AM	a	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			2021 at 90 percent
02/26/2020 11:39 AM	Aa	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Objective 90% Stoller in 2021 not 2025.2025 114% projections are far better than current ~200 prmanent capacity of Stoller. There is a decline after 2026.
02/26/2020 11:48 AM	AA	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			2025 stoller at 114% is an improvement over today at 180 percentKEEP SCHOOLS TOGETHER . BETHANY UNITED VOICE
02/26/2020 11:44 AM	AAa	vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Stoller is currently near 200% permanent capacity. Now the committee worried about 14%(projected) over capcity in Stoller in 2025 ? It's like 140 kids more like using 3 portables. Nobody knows if these projections are accurate. You want to punish the whole REAL SPV kids showing fictitious future numbers ?
02/26/2020 2:33 PM	aahlad s mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The committee discussion on Feb 25th meeting was disappointing to say the least. The committee spent the entire time debating Stoller over crowding and ended-up rejecting every variant of the working map. The committee consensus in the meeting prior to Feb 25th was to have no splits in elementary schools but the committee overwhelmingly voted to introduce elementary school splits on Fed 25th. Two meetings have been totally wasted as the committee could have come to same conclusion in the prior meetings!!! I am a Findley parent and I stand by no-splits in elementary schools. Please bring back map c.2.1 which doesn't have elementary splits and try to get a working solution from that map.
02/26/2020 10:38 AM	Abul Pashek	apashek@ymail.com		Stoller MS	Sunset		Thank you committee members for your work. I appreciate it! Map 25 is good enough. No more splits needed.Please concentrate your attention on the main objective which is to reduce Stoller MS enrollment, do not make it a priority to fill up Timberland at all cost. Only splits that are acceptable are the ones that reduce Stoller enrollment. But please make an effort not to have any splits. Once again, Map from Feb 25 is balanced and fair. Vote for Feb 25 Map without changes!
02/26/2020 1:29 PM	Ajay Garg	ajaygarg1980@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Advisory Committee,Please keep entire Jacob Wismer, SUMMA, and walkable Findley at Stoller. This will maintain a perfect feeder balance for high school. Part of Jacob Wismer goes to Sunset and part goes to Westview.Thanks.
02/26/2020 2:24 PM	AK Khanna	thekhannaak@hotmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Mr Sparks and committee members, I would like to request BSD to bring back Map-C (presented on Jan 30), for Stoller it was closest to ideal boundary with some changes required: (a) Oak Hills splits into East and West. West goes to Five Oaks along with Rock Creek and Bethany ES and East goes to Stoller (b) Part of SPV goes to Five Oaks without impacting poverty areas (c) There is no need to split Sato. Sato is very young school and it would be too much for elementary kids to keep shuffling around with changing environment especially in their forming years when they are establishing friendships and getting used to learning environment. While we all are spending so much efforts and time to re-boundary I would like to stress that please boundary in such a way that not just 2021 but 2025 utilization numbers are also in check especially for north area which will witness highest growth
02/26/2020 10:13 AM	Akhil Sharma	Sharma.akhil@yahoo.com					I don[?]t know what happened in the meeting yesterday. 8 votes for spv+Sato+jw in Stoller. 9th member said I can vote if Findley families living right in front of Stoller continue in Stoller. So with spv+Sato+jw+findley[?]s few families to enable walking..... after several repetitions..... 7 votes..... how is this even remotely possible? You are at 8 votes, expecting it to be 9 with next question, and you drop to 7. The way questions were framed and repeated back and forth on questions Could have caused confusion. Unfortunately, after yesterday meeting we reached where we were in November. Abdul, Abhijit, Jason, Michael, John and another gentleman (I forgot his name), all said we should move forward with little bit of massaging of the current map. But other active members didn[?]t say anything. Some how they have frame a misconception that in 2025 also we want the capacity utilization below 100%. I mean we are doing 90% in 2021 so that we can continue to fill it more till 2025. Having 95% permanent capacity in 2025, where did that come from? That is not a JC objective. Too much confusion. BSD should Clarify with committee so that they are not confused and unable to move forward, instead reset back to November.
02/26/2020 1:31 PM	Akshita Garg	agarg115@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Advisory Committee,February 25th meeting did not conclude with an outcome. I would like to emphasize that JW, SUMMA, and walkable Findley needs to stay at Stoller to maintain walkability and high school feeding pattern.Thanks.
02/26/2020 10:22 PM	alia hedges	alia.moody@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			Dear MS Boundary Committee, I am very disappointed with the events that transpired at the very end of last night's boundary meeting. 95% of the meeting was spent making little to no progress on the north side of the district which is where changes are needed to finalize plans for Timberland occupancy & Stoller overcrowding. For some reason, in the final minutes of the meeting a decision was made to revise the map, to move 75% of Cooper Mountain from Highland Park to Mountain View based on nothing more than a lottery drawing of names, where the speaker again represented a minority point of view, asking that Cooper Mountain to be moved into Mountain View to reduce free/reduced lunch percentage (by ~4%). Please do not disrupt the lives of 75% + of Cooper Mountain families in a meager attempt to solve an issue that has not been identified as a district objective for this committee, and is not being addressed at any other middle school in the district through this process. The objectives have been clearly defined and moving Cooper Mountain from Highland to Mountain View does not solve for these objectives.1. Diversity is already a strength of Highland (racial, demographic, etc.) HP has a wonderfully diverse student population!2. There is not a capacity issue at Highland Park that needs to be addressed3. The current feeder pattern is much cleaner (CM->HP->Mountainside), preventing unnecessary disruption to our kids4. There is no cost saving with the new proposed plan (the 75% of CM kids in question cannot walk to either school)!I'm asking the committee to stay true to the district's objectives and to stay focused on the true issue you are trying to solve around Timberland and Stoller. Please do not create unnecessary disruption for 300+ families in South Beaverton when your goal should be to fill Timberland and ease the strain on Stoller. Focus please, for your own sake, so you/we can wrap up this painful process.
02/26/2020 10:31 PM	Alivia Sil	alivia2001@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Findley wants to be united and be at the Stoller, since that is more logical for Findley. Stoller is the absolute neighborhood school for findley and findley being in stoller address three important issues 1) Walkability 2) Emotional Health of the kid 3) Budget. Having said that, if for the best interest of the community a strategic split is required, then other than the oakridge estate who are truely walking, as proposed by BSD entire findley should remain united and be sent to TL. We stand for united findley be it at Stoller or Timberland.
02/26/2020 6:40 AM	Allison Alti	allison2688@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		On the school rating website School Digger, Stoller is rated the 12th best middle school in Oregon. Five Oaks is rated 208th. Please tell me again how this is fair for any student? It doesn't matter how long the commute is, no student north of the 26th should have to go there.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 10:41 AM	Amy Dale	amyvuk@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				As you modify the Stoller boundaries please keep Terra Linda in Timberland! And East Oak Hills with us
02/26/2020 11:07 PM	Anand	anandk5@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hi Committee and Mr Sparks,Please choose the Feb 25th working Map. This Map straight away meets the top board objectives1. Reduce Stoller to 90% of permanent capacity in 20212. Fill TimberlandBelow are some important factors to consider this working map.1. It avoids multiple community splits and elementary school splits. Has support across a large group of Findley, Sato, Springville and Rock Creek parents.2. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit into stoller in a long term and sustainable manner, declining after 2025.Please consider voting on the 2/25 working map and do the right thing for BSD students.
02/26/2020 2:11 PM	Anand	anand.murali@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		The Feb 25 meeting reset several weeks of work and all that is because of the committing giving way to the noise and chaos created by Springville and adding the school back in to Stoller. There was widespread public support for Map C which was abandoned and we are in this situation again.We are part of the stable Pirate Park community that is well within walking distance from Stoller. There was an outrageous suggestion by one of the committee members in the 2/25 meeting to split Sato with moving the part of Sato south of Springville and comprising the Pirate Park community out of Stoller. And this was to accommodate the high growth Springville area that's living several miles away in to Stoller. It should be quite obvious right now that there is no way Springville can be accommodated in its entirety within Stoller without cause major disruptions across the district and also make illogical placement decisions such as moving communities within walking distance of schools to those far away. Pirate Park is a middle to lower income community, and along with Rock Creek, brings the much needed Socio-economic diversity to Stoller. Please don't make it a travesty of justice by moving Pirate Park out of Stoller and making Stoller a homogeneous elite school for the rich. This is against the stated goals of the district and also against the principles of public education.As such, Map C that was proposed by the district checked all the right boxes. The 2/25 map succumbed to the noise made by the Springville community and created so many problems that an entire meeting was dedicated to addressing the issues in the northern district and still had no decision.
02/26/2020 9:32 PM	Anand	anandk5@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Committee Members and Sparks,Here are some considerations for the final meeting in March.1. JW, SATO and SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA will take Stoller to 88% in 2021. This is well below the JC Objective 2 which is to reduce STOLLER capacity to 90% in 2021.2. Any map with any configuration cannot meet the above objective (Keep Stoller at 90% in 2021) with having SUMMA in Stoller. SUMMA needs to move out of STOLLER. This is helpful for this program to thrive where there is capacity for the betterment of whole BSD, so moving SUMMA from Stoller is the only option.Thanks for your consideration.
02/26/2020 9:39 PM	Anand	anandk5@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Committee and Sparks,As a springville parent, I'd like to request you all committee members not to make SPRINGVILLE an ISLAND and move us out of our NORTH BETHANY neighbourhood. We should'nt be separated from our eastern neighbour Sato whom we are closely intertwined with.If you notice the map closely, there is PCC Rock creek campus and adjacent farmland. Moving Springville to Five Oaks makes us an ISLAND and takes us over one of the longest commute in BSD.Just because our kids are on a bus, its NOT OKAY to make them suffer a long commute and take away from our community.Thanks for your consideration.
02/26/2020 9:42 PM	Andrew Moen	andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			Thank you for volunteering your time and for considering this comment. After public testimony provided at the Feb 25th meeting, the Committee voted to review the current feeder patterns for Cooper Mountain ES and Chehalem ES based on free and reduced lunch numbers provided during testimony. The numbers stated were not consistent with numbers provided by the District and miss represented Mountain View MS as the MS with the highest percentage of free and reduced lunch in the district encouraging action by the Committee. I urge the Committee to verify data provided in public testimony before making decisions.Please keep the current feeder pattern of Cooper Mountain ES feeding Highland Park MS.
02/26/2020 3:18 PM	Aneeket	rutanee@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	There was a comment made in the Feb 25 meeting by one of the AC mentioning that parents were ready to get their kids out of Summa program if the kids would have to commute by bus to a new school instead of walking to the current school. The commute time would probably be the same time it would take for the kids to walk to their current school. But that is not the moot point. These parents then threatened that they would rather have their kids forego Summa than have their kids spend 20 mins or so on the bus. And these are the same parents who do not bat an eyelid to send Springville kids to a farther and longer commute. Please, please, see through the ridiculous arguments. Please check the numbers for Sato & JW & Springville - SUMMA & for Stoller for 2021 and confirm whether the committee goal #2 is met. Then the focus can be on the discussion about reduced lunch and economic parity in other schools.
02/26/2020 1:42 PM	Anie Lao	socaldood04@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				As a Findley parent, I don't want my kids to be split with their friends from Elementary school. If there are space in Timberland, then kids should go to Timberland, Summa or non-Summa. If sections of Jacob Wismer wants to join us in Timberland, then that's fine too. We live very close to each other anyway and have the same educational goals for our kids.I do hope that SUMMA program will go to Timberland. And to avoid split feeders. Please make sure Timberland has enough space for Findley before making space for East Oak Hills. Oak Hills decision to split themselves should not take priority in this discussions.
02/26/2020 12:27 PM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]'s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles.Thank you!
02/26/2020 12:30 PM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]'s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles.Thank you!
02/26/2020 12:29 PM	Anil Sreeramsetty	anil.sreeramsetty@dignityhealth.org	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]'s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles.Thank you!

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 9:40 AM	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The Summa Program is an exceptional educational vehicle. It helps transports our children into a vast academic realm designed to maximize their potentials. It is only right that Summa is in a school location that provides the needed space for children to benefit the most from the program. By moving Summa to Timberland, we are giving our children the elbow room they need. Further, Findley has the biggest number of children in the Summa Program and along with children from Cedar Mill, Terra Linda, and Bonny Slope, Summa could be easily populated. Timberland is the best answer. Meanwhile, Springville is at the very last leg of development. There's not much room left for growth as the area is already saturated. The fear of overcrowding when Springville children are sent to Stoller is not valid when projections are made based on actual demographics. Let us make this solution fair to everyone – Timberland is best for a stronger Summa Program, Springville children keep diversity alive in Stoller, neighboring communities are kept intact. We are one village raising our children so let's do this together!
02/26/2020 8:12 PM	Anna	Anna.d.francois@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				Thanks again for all of your hard work. I feel that the committee is comprised of thoughtful people who are all doing the right thing and I appreciate how challenging this must be. Although I do not like taking kids out of the school that is in their backyard, I have an idea to balance school capacity and at the same time clean up feeders. This change preserves most of the new map and should accommodate declining populations in the core area of Beaverton as well as northern growth. I propose to move Barnes to cedar park. Barnes is now the only BHS feeder in meadow park, cedar park does not have enough students, since my understanding is that all dual language will go to BHS and all kindergarteners at Barnes are dual language then cedar park will be a complete BHS feeder in a few years. Now since East oak hills is in Timberland we have a complete west view feeder with capacity to fit some kids in from a potential school split in the Bethany area as well as potential to accommodate west view bound summa kids who don't fit in Timberland without displacing sunset kids from the area and far northern summa kids don't feed sunset. Now we have 3 perfect feeder schools that should have reasonable capacity to accommodate if predicted enrollment is off.
02/26/2020 9:39 PM	Anu	Anoo_paapu@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				I want to thank the committee Esp. Those that addressed the right questions to meet the objective about how keeping springville in stoller does not address the overcrowding problem. Please continue to do the right thing fir the community and show that bullying is never ok as a child or as an adult. Please bring back Map c2.1 with tweaks as those are the only variations that meet most of the JC factors.
02/26/2020 1:35 AM	April Powers	POWERS.VMD@GMAIL.COM	Sato Elem				Before throwing away the Feb 25th map, I would like to committee members to realize that it does a pretty decent job of solving this puzzle WHILE KEEPING SCHOOLS INTACT. We know that keeping communities together helps students with transitions and this adjustment will be a big transition for many. No, this map does not bring Stoller under permanent capacity in 2025, but it's only over by about 150 students while Stoller has been at nearing 200% capacity for years without the blink of an eye. This is massive improvement for Stoller. Trying to achieve a lower capacity (especially 95% or lower) in 2025 is not in the objective for this process. This Feb 25th map meets both objectives and does it without splitting schools. Further adjustments can be made which will make it even better - Beaverton Barnes to Cedar Park, all of Oak Hills made Sunset if there is no room in Timberland, Cooper Mountain to Mountain View, all of JW Sunset - so 14% seems like a very small number of students to squabble over for an adjustment of this magnitude. Mr Sparks also mentioned 5th Grade Fly-ups. My son's is next week. All 5th graders will ride the bus (during school) to Stoller to experience what middle school is like. Imagine doing this in a school with a split feeder. Imagine those 5th, graders midway through their year, realizing just which friends they will be separated from next year. Imagine two different buses or two different days to two different schools. Imagine how the teachers have to handle that and the added cost of double buses. Now think about how easy and less stressful this would be if no elementary schools split. Isn't that worth 14%?
02/26/2020 10:41 AM	April Powers	POWERS.VMD@GMAIL.COM	Sato Elem				Last night the committee spent an entire meeting to argue a map that does a decent job of solving this middle school boundary puzzle WITHOUT SCHOOL SPLITS. Reducing school splits has been the main priority of the committee from the beginning of this process and a map was created that did just that and worked, but we were left with hours of squabbling over 14% - 150 kids - in ONE school FIVE years from now that has AMPLE space to accommodate them. Stoller has been nearing 200% capacity for years and no one has blinked an eye, yet a possible 14% at max growth is unacceptable? The committee members against any growth in Stoller need to realize that the district set objectives for 90% in 2021 and none for enrollment in 2025 because they are expecting Stoller to grow and desire space to accommodate that. They will not remove all portables from Stoller until growth is completed, so that space will remain available. Just think about how the rest of the district views discussions like last night's while they have actual issues about free lunch and poverty to deal with on a daily basis. How do they feel about a committee member *wanting* Stoller at 95% capacity in 2025 if it means that growth is forced down and causes splits in many other schools while portables remain unused? Why is Stoller and the north so special? We are more than capable of handling 14% in 2025 if it means minimizing impacts on the rest of the district. BRING BACK THE 25TH MAP with minor changes but Stoller boundary remaining the same. Splits are NOT necessary.
02/26/2020 2:05 AM	April Powers	POWERS.VMD@GMAIL.COM	Sato Elem				Moving the Pirate Park area (southern Sato) is NOT right. This area has attended 3 different elementary schools in the past 12 years. We have been repeatedly cut off from our communities (split from Jacob Wismer in 2008 and Springville in 2017), used to build several brand new schools, and are bused to our 3rd farthest elementary school despite being within walking distance to two others. To consider moving us from a middle school that we can WALK to after so many forced changes is cruel and unnecessary. Pirate Park is entirely walkable to Stoller, includes more students than walkable Findley, represents a large lower income area of much needed diversity in Stoller, and is an established neighborhood with a declining population that is not a cause of overcrowding. Splitting Sato in the Feb 25th map means our community would not only be separated from all 3 communities we have helped build but it would also tear our school into pieces again (north Sato to Stoller, but south SUMMA to one school and south non-SUMMA to another). How can the committee justify moving low income walkable students from a declining population area away from their walkable school when they have already endured enough changes and other alternatives exist? Maybe the committee is not aware, but current 5th grade students at Sato have already had to switch schools in 3rd grade. Moving them again means they will be forced to switch schools in 3rd, 6th, 7th, and 9th grades, despite living in the same house. Many other scenarios exist that keep Sato together and do not single out this neighborhood again. We need to be able to trust the district and the district should stand up and say it is not okay to move Pirate Park again. Walkability matters. Stability matters. Community unity matters. Diversity matters. If you move Pirate Park, you will be proving that none of these are important - and THAT IS NOT RIGHT.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 9:22 AM	Arucha	rucha23@gmail.com					Dear AC members - the target is around 90% in 2021. If we focus on 90% in 2025, we will have to keep school empty today (70%) for overcrowding in 6 years!!!! And what happens if there is a recession and growth doesnt materialize. Will we send students all over the district and keep stoller empty??
02/26/2020 10:20 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MOVE ENTIRE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDEvery middle school walkable kids where SUMMA is not offered have to take BUS to go to middle schools where SUMMA is offered. So it is happening for other SUMMA kids in BSD due to the nature of how SUMMA program is offered only at few middle schools. Jacob Wismer SUMMA kids won't be the FIRST and ONLY WALKABLE KIDS to take BUS for SUMMA program, This is EQUITABLE. Also SUMMA is an optional program. Optional means Optional. In general for any activity, in any sphere of life, first preference should be given to regular stuff which IS ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED, OPTIONAL comes second..good to have but not an ESSENTIAL AT ALL. Please maintain proximity and community for regular middle school education. Shipping Springville to Five Oaks will be unprecented and a gross injustice.
02/26/2020 10:36 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		STOLLER PROJECTIONS FOR 2025 IS INCORRECTThere is no further growth in Springville. But BSD projection is showing even more growth for Springville than SATO. How is that possible. Move Jacob wismer SUMMA to Timberland or full of Jacob wismer to Timberland. It will serve multiple purposes: a) Make proper utilization of new middle school Timberland which is ONE OF THE MAJOR OBJECTIVE OF BSD b) Jacob wismer complaints about splits and their kids losing friends will be reduced, since they will be with Findley every time SUMMA or not. c) Reduce the load on Stoller. Just for an optional program, lot of time being wasted to talk about retaining it in Stoller and going over walkability issues back and forth. Stoller capacity required is 90% for 2021 which is already met by MAP A. For 2025 the projections are over estimated besides none of the maps A, B nor C drastically reduces Stoller capacity to less than 100% by 2025.
02/26/2020 10:39 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAPA SHOULD BE REVISTEDThere was 8 votes for MAP A during start of meeting. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it rather than bringing some old maps. Also at end of the meeting one of the committee member (Abdul) said he favored MAP A. SO DOESNT THE VOTE BECOME 9.
02/26/2020 8:46 AM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SUPPORT MAP A. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025why is the committee going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongst yourselves before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shouldn't committee be focusing on it with certain revisions like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland. When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce. Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND B FOR 2025. There are other schools with more than 90% capacity in 2025. So why only Stoller is being brought into picture. FINALLY THERE WAS MORE THAN 7 VOTES FOR MAP A, YET WHY IS THE COMMITTEE TRYING TO THINK BACK INTO MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS FOR WHICH THERE WAS LESS THAN 5-6 VOTES. WITH RESPECT TO SPARKS STATEMENT IF THE % OF VOTES IS A CRITERIA THEN THE MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AT ALL.
02/26/2020 3:04 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Why committee cannot find neighborhood in Springville and Sato school boundary for split? And if you really do not want to split schools, easy solution is send entire Springville to Fiveoaks. First committee decides to send Findley to Timberland and now they are trying to rip apart JW neighborhood by proposing to send them to Findley. Is this some kind of Joke? Why committee and BSD do not care about JW's voice???#OneJW#SplitSPV
02/26/2020 3:07 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I have my both kids going to JW and am from eastern side of JW which was on discussion on yesterday's meeting to send it Findley. Right now both my kids walk (most of the time) to JW and eventually to Stoller. If you move this neighborhood to Findley and eventually to Timberland, both needs to be transported. Why my kids take impact for some far away school attendance? Why committee so much eagerly waiting to keep Springville intact and as is in Stoller?#Justice4JWKids#OneJW#SplitSPV
02/26/2020 3:09 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Eastern neighborhood of JW which was in discussion in yesterday's meeting, is anyways decline in attendance while growing schools like Springville and Sato is actual culprit. Move/split Springville and Sato to make room in Stoller and not by moving any JW neighborhood in this process.#Justice4JWKids#OneJW#SplitSPV
02/26/2020 3:13 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Is committee missing point made by Mr. Spark in yesterday's meeting about projection v/s actual enrollment? If actual Springville and Sato enrollment are greater than projection by PSU's study, that's VERY BIG THING TO NOTICE. That means committee needs to even lower Stoller's number so that actual enrollment will be still under 90%. Every kids deserves best but not at a cost of other kids. Transportation tests already proved that transporting Springville kids to Fiveoaks in only adding extra 5-10 commute roundtrip. But by doing that, you permanently going to solve Stoller capacity issue.#Justice4JWKids#OneJW#SplitSPV#SPV2FO
02/26/2020 3:16 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		General rule of thumb: Enrollments are higher than projections in growing neighborhood (Springville, Sato) Enrollments are lower than projections in non-growing neighborhood (JW) Based on this fact, doesn't make sense to even waste time looking at split JW. Either start looking at possible split in Springville and Sato or just move entire Springville to Fiveoaks as there is capacity there.#Justice4JWKids#OneJW#SplitSPV#SPV2FO
02/26/2020 1:18 PM	Ashwini	ashbait@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The objectives are clear - Fill the new school, reduce overcrowding. The Map released on Friday this past week is a very fair attempt at meeting the objectives. Let[?]s not muddy the water up now. BSD has made it clear that 2021 numbers need to be considered. 2025 numbers are projections. We cannot make decisions based on projections that may/may not be accurate. Committee members, I hope you are taking the above into consideration. A fair map is the way ahead.
02/26/2020 1:23 PM	Ashwini	ashbait@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		ECONOMIC DIVERSITY IS IMPORTANT!! Without Springville in Stoller, the divide in the district b/w privileged schools and underprivileged schools gets wider. Look at the map - SUMMA MAP IS OPPOSITE TO THE POVERTY MAP ACROSS THE DISTRICT! Our children need to study in schools with diversity. Let[?]s not increase the divide between the privileged and the not so privileged.
02/26/2020 1:25 PM	Ashwini	Ashbait@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		NO MAP MEETS OBJECTIVE WITH SUMMA AT STOLLER. There are schools with room that the Summa program can be moved to. Don[?]t sacrifice the needs of a majority to meet needs of a few.
02/26/2020 1:30 PM	Ashwini	ashbait@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD and Committee members, Bringing map C 2.1 back into discussion when it has been removed (more than once voted out for its obvious deficits) will be going backwards. We need to move forward. Let[?]s focus on the currently proposed FAIR map and see what needs to be done to make it better vs going back and forth again.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 7:06 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		CLARIFY OBJECTIVES PLEASE: If the goal is to NEVER have Stoller go over capacity, why is it okay for other schools to be over capacity? For example, does the committee think it is okay for other schools to use portables in 2021 or 2025 or whenever, but Stoller has to stay perpetually under capacity? Why?
02/26/2020 9:28 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		ALMOST, FOLKS: We had a map that got Stoller to 88% in 2021. Bring it back. Don[?]t make up random new objectives that aren[?]t going to be consistent across the district.
02/26/2020 9:31 PM	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE NEEDS REPRESENTATION: Please be our voice. We have no representative on the committee from Springville. We are counting on you to be our voice.
02/26/2020 5:57 PM	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear Ac and Mr. Sparks,We were so close on the solution to Stoller with 8 votes. However this was derailed by arbitrary condition assumed by couple of members and this demolished all your hard work. On Feb 13 Mr. Spark was clear that goal for Stoller was 2021 at 90 % of permanent capacity. You meet this with Springville, Sato and JW, and no Summa. The votes were there if Mr. Sparks had chosen to clarify that. We spent absurd amount of time on 2025 numbers which have issues. If you look at. Springville current enrollment that will hit middle school, it is at 363 students who will be in stoller in 2025. But BSD projection is 543, 50% higher. That is absurd that you wil have 50 % growth in numbers in SPV by 2025. This is why we agreed on Feb 13 th that we don[?]t have to discard our solution based on 2025 projections if we have a Workable solution for 2021.It was agonizing to see theat AC could not reach this decision and to see that only a minute before ending the day, Mr. Spark finally said that 95% of permanent capacity in 2025 was not the goal. You can check the recording of the meeting.We in the audience were muzzled by our promise to follow the instructions to be silent observers. Mr. Sparks rewards JW making noise and admonishes SPV every time we clapped even though this was only during and after public testimony.We are really disappointed in the way a few members of the committee who fixated on 2025, which was not the declared goal, could sink the meeting to end with no result for Stoller.I really want to believe everyone has good intentions and the outcome will be fair, but today[?]s meeting makes it challenging given the missteps from Mr. Sparks and the members who kept pushing false goal of 2025. I really hope we correct this in the next meeting.Regards Bharadwaj
02/26/2020 5:26 PM	Byron Jarnagin	btjarnagin@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		So far the only two voices heard during the meetings for Cooper Mountain are from parents who currently live in area(s) that feed into Mountain View MS. Their collective opinions to move all of Cooper Mountain students to Mountain View MS solely to address socioeconomic balance and equality based on the current calculated percentage of free school lunches being distributed is misguided. This position to change the boundary is not what the majority (70%) of Cooper Mountain families want. This change will exacerbate the already overcrowded classroom problem, and create an unstable learning structure forcing students to shift schools. Displacing 70% of Cooper Mountain students is not the answer. The committee should not be rehashing the South Beaverton boundary which has been previously reviewed to maintain the existing 30-70% split.
02/26/2020 10:15 AM	Cara Coates	caracoates@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I wanted to express my alarm about last night's meeting. The committee has suggested revising elementary boundaries. This would have detrimental affect on Jacob Wismer Elementary. It seems as after thought to just cut up different elementary boundaries as a way to appease Springville and still answer walkability. First of all Arbor Heights is walkable to Stoller. There has been no bus service here for over five years. As a member of the PTO board, I know what a cut like this would do to Jacob Wismer. Our development is highly active in Jacob Wismer. From this area they called a peninsula as if it was just land and not a community has produced 6 PTO board members in the last 3 years. The chairs who run our only fundraising event live here. The chairs who run Passport Club and OBOB live here. Arbor Heights produces the majority of our event and school volunteers. You could say that volunteers can be replaced,but I am here to tell that is not the case. In the last few years, a dominant Arbor heights PTO board has supplied JW with chromebooks, a reader board,and supplying every classroom with Smart TVs along with our basic budget. When the district cut teachers funds in the middle of last year due to the deficient,the PTO stepped in so teachers could still get planned supplies. When you hear other schools struggling with poverty,this sounds disgusting,but having access to these extra resources makes a 10 school. By cutting off a huge amount of JW volunteers base, it would leave JW with less funding, and parent involvement. Most of the committee members are not paying attention to growth projections. Trying to get a map voted in that has the population at 130% in four years. How can they be neutral when the growth areas are turning meetings into mob mentality and getting news coverage. I understand that the committee just wants to pass this phase and I honestly can't blame them. So now they are making cuts that do not make sense,our development is not growing so cutting us out is not going to fix your occupancy rate. The committee recognizes that Oak Hills boundaries need to be adjusted because parents testified of depression and anxiety they suffered due to the district sending a small percentage of students to a new school. A JW parents testified by taking Findley out would change the feeder percentage from 41% to 17%. That was the percentage before the committee's proposal to get Summa out and cut out two JW neighborhoods. Please not allow a proposed plan that does not solve the ultimate goal which is overcrowding. Do not make the same mistake with JW as you did with Oak Hills. Please do not allow them to cut various parts of JW and Finley communities up, in sad attempt to squeeze in a population that you know will continue to grow rapidly. The growth is faster than the projections the committee is using. If this strategy goes through,you will have chopped up our communities and still will need to do more moves in a few years. Don't fail our communities and Stoller staff by approving a plan that does not solve the overcrowding. If you were asking us to sacrifice to fix problem I might understand, but you are asking us to sacrifice and allowing the same problem to happen in a couple years again. And then what? Will district just cut development by development each couple years. Doesn't it make more sense to move one of rapidly growing zones instead?
02/26/2020 8:34 AM	Chandana	chandanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/26/2020 11:29 AM	chanduu	chanduu35cp@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD,Main OBJECTIVE IS 90% OF PERMANENT CAPACITY ONLY IN 2021 AT STOLLER AND ITS NOT REQUIRED FOR 2025.With MAP A, We are good with % utilization at Stoller as well as Five OAKS (93%).with MAP C2.1, we will be 100% in Stoller in 2021 and 108% in Five OAKS. C2.1 is not good for us. It over crowds Five oaks as well as doesn't solve problem at stoller.Please consider "NO" to Map 2.1We are in the end of the middle school boundary discussion, we don't have room for Wrong assumptions or New assumptions. The objective of achieving 90% capacity at Stroller in 2025 was not part of the objectives of this middle school boundary adjustment process. Lets focus only on getting to 90% capacity in 2021.
02/26/2020 4:59 PM	chanduu	chanduu35cp@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD,Currently SPV+Satto+JW+Findley are feeding to Stoller and Summa is also there.Now we are moving to Findley to Timberland and south of springville to Five oaks in Map A.What is the problem in keeping rest (SPV +Satto+JW + summa) at stoller? IT should meet the %utilization otherwise there is issue with stats we are having. Please check.Also main focus should be 2021 not 2025.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 10:04 PM	Chandu Thatikonda	Kalasudha1@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi,I am parent to two BSD kids, my kids already went through a lot of elementary school boundary adjustments and underwent a lot of emotional stress when switching schools leaving behind their best friends. We live in pirate park area and are in a 20+ year neighborhood. We are in a walkable zone to Stoller, I oppose Sato split. Preference should be given to established nearby communities and avoid transportation. I request BSD to include pirate park region into Stoller.Thank you,Chandu
02/26/2020 11:32 AM	Chethan Srinivasa	schethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				THE OBJECTIVE IS 90% OF PERMANENT CAPACITY ONLY IN 2021 AT STOLLER AND ITS NOT REQUIRED FOR 2025.We are in the end of the middle school boundary discussion, we don't have room for Wrong assumptions or New assumptions. The objective of achieving 90% capacity at Stroller in 2025 was not part of the objectives of this middle school boundary adjustment process. Lets focus only on getting to 90% capacity in 2021.
02/26/2020 2:58 PM	Chris J	chrissynjones@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The Feb 25th meeting was the first one I attended. I was encouraged to attend due to the map being proposed. I have stayed mostly quiet because common sense to me would be to allow students who can WALK to a school and SEE a school from their current one, to remain at that school. The kids who attend JW SEE Stoller every day and talk about walking there in their future. I imagine the Findley students who live across the street do the same. A lot of talk about COMMUNITY. This is the community for these families. They surround this school. It is their community. The suggestion to take that away from them and give their community to others who live much further away does not make sense. I was shocked at the vote to try and move the ES boundaries last night as well (I[?]m glad they did not pass). The [?]south JW[?] that was spoken of last night is NOT bused to Stoller – this is walkable as many of the neighborhood kids have shown and the elementary kids look forward to doing this soon as well. Let[?]s not spend taxpayer money to send these kids to school somewhere else. Adding a few minutes on to other bus routes to a school a mere 5-10 minutes further away would make more financial sense – common sense as well. The projections of current student enrollment in an area of NEW growth were low. Allowing this trend to continue to feed into Stoller does not meet the objective to reduce overcrowding at Stoller. Please continue to look at other alternatives but please allow those students who can walk, who are NOT bused to their neighborhood middle school, to remain at that school. That is their community.
02/26/2020 10:40 AM	Cindy	niniding1117@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD Last night's long meeting, we thank the Men's Committee and a very small number of women members for their clear and logical research and work. The current map is clear. Why talk about map C again. Why doesn't each meeting go backwards instead? You can never finish this way? Since the committee is so difficult to make decisions. Then listen to the voices and needs of most of our people. It really does not meet the needs of every community. But also treat each child's school time fairly. Why is it so difficult to solve things without dividing the community? What's the problem with BSD? Stoller's Summa gives you pressure? We don't want to see money, equity to hurt our Springville children. There are many things in life, I hope you can speak with conscience and fairness. Think about most of the kids in the community who need help. The 2021 data are already eligible. Are big people talking about a forecast for 2025 for a long time? Stoller's excess can now be carried out so severely. The outcome of the 2025 forecast is much lower. No way. Please do not take predictions and inaccurate numbers to measure and judge. We also strongly discourage this meaningless assumption. Please do not push Springville children out of the community. They need help the most. If you have children don't want them to commute. Be kind to Springville children. Thank you.
02/26/2020 2:57 PM	Debbie Chin	debbienhc@gmail.com		Mountain View MS	Aloha		Reminder: The actual free/reduced lunch percentage at Mountain View MS is much higher than the calculated value shown in the demographics maps. The school has 60% free/reduced lunch today, whereas the demographics map indicates it should only be 47%. Please keep this in mind as you contemplate boundary changes for Mountain View. Any boundary change that increases free/reduced lunch at the school could end up being much higher than you think. The goal should be to reduce the free/reduced lunch percentage at Mountain View. No school should be over 50%.
02/26/2020 10:24 AM	Diraviyam Shanmugasundaram	draghavshan@gmail.com			Westview		The goalposts were moved on 2/25. The main objective of this process is to get Stoller to roughly 90% capacity by 2021. A map doing just that was put forth to the committee for vote on 2/25 and now there is a hang-up in 2025 and statements like "I feel like..." are being used by committee members to voice opposition in the face of data and in the face of proposed compromises and swaps. Please clearly instruct the committee on the criteria they are to be evaluating when reviewing a map- 2021 Capacity, not 2025. At the beginning of each meeting there is a recitation of expectations with one being the committee "will be open to influence and not judgmental." This tenet was not followed by all members on 2/25 and the public is losing faith in this process.
02/26/2020 12:23 AM	Divya	divyaarakali@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Hello Committee members and BSD staff,Thank you for the productive and robust discussion today though we are not yet close to a decision point. I really appreciate most committee members supporting not splitting elementary school communities just to meet numbers. This is not a business proposition just to look at kids like they are mere numbers to be moved around to meet capacity issues. It was clear in today's meeting that in spite of some Findley families (like me who are willing to endure split for the greater good of the community) all of Springville cannot be fit into Stoller. So instead of splitting Springville and isolating some families at Five Oaks it's better to keep them all together at Five Oaks. Keep Findley kids united at Stoller and Springville kids united at Five Oaks. According to school board JC factor of proximity entire Findley community is proximal to Stoller just as much as Jacob Wismer and many areas of Findley are within 1.5 mile radius. The JW-Stoller representative today said in the meeting that Aloha Huber should go to Mountain View on the basis of proximity board JC factor even when Mr Sparks mentioned there are no BSD designated walk zones. Can she then show the same empathy to Findley neighborhoods like Arbor Cascadian which are within 1.5 mile radius but left out of walkability map by arbitrarily stating no BSD designated walk paths currently exist while the truth is we can easily access the THPRD westside regional trail via NW Evergreen street to Stoller. Please be fair !
02/26/2020 11:52 AM	Divya Jain	100rabh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				How,Can the committee be clear on the objective of 2021 and not focus on 2025 "projections"? Feb 25 map fixed so many of the problems and was good for majority of the schools. Can we tweak that a little and get majority 9 + votes and be done with this super long process? I know committee is spending a lot many hours and I thank them for their hard work. But going to New map at this point is like starting from day 1. Thanks
02/26/2020 10:06 AM	Dmitri Nikonov	Dmitri.e.nikonov@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem		Mountainside	ISB	It[?]s very interesting that at both boundary meetings two parents who are in the minority opinion were chosen to speak. The majority of us have students already at Highland Middle School and want to continue that route of Cooper Mountain/Highland/Mountainside. If you had actually taken a poll, the overwhelming majority would have agreed with me. Why not do a monkey survey to actually gather up our votes than [?]random[?] speaking to two parents whose kids already go to Mountain View? Keep our kids at the same schools as their friends and older siblings! You are trying to break up this strong sense of community!

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 11:32 PM	Dong Xi	dongxidx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Dear committee,How fair it is to take away the walkability from the kids who live across the street and bus them 20 min to another school? How fair it is Not to do anything practical to solve the stoller overcrowding issue just to accommodate a fat fast growing community because of pressure from their unprofessional behavior? It is not fair, literally not making any sense. We, the families who live within the walkable region of stoller strongly urge the committee to finalize a map reserving the walkability for us ; and seriously sustain the success on solving the overcrowding issue by comprehensive calculation. Thanks
02/26/2020 8:17 PM	Douglas Byrd	douglasgbyrd@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				Dear Boundary Commission Members,I am astonished to see it being discussed to send Cooper Mountain kids to Mountain View Middle School. This plan has suddenly been revealed with almost nobody in our community even aware of it. The overcrowding at Stoller has been remedied with a new Middle School, and of course this requires boundary changes for those overcrowded schools north of highway 26. But that overcrowding issue is unrelated to these changes. Why single out Copper Mountain if the attempt is to balance out demographics and disparities? If the Commission seeks to balance these things out the more appropriate thing would be to start busing the actual wealthy communities north of highway 26 down to Aloha and Beaverton and vice versa. Of course this will not happen. Nor should it. Such disparities are not the only factors at play. Copper Mountain kids should stay in Highland Park Middle School. Ending this would be a major disruption. It would chip away at the community in an unfair and unhelpful way for anyone. Communities matter as do cohorts of kids going through school together. As you know, boundary decisions are important to communities. It is totally unclear to me why Cooper Mountain kids have been singled out for changes of this type. A process built on fairness requires the entire district to be changed where similar disparities exist. If not, then leave Cooper Mountain in Highland Park. No overcrowding exists in either Highland Park or Mountain View. I speak with certainty for the majority of both communities when I ask you to leave our communities intact and to allow our kids to succeed without being singled out. Dr Douglas Byrd
02/26/2020 1:13 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				1. JW and SATO AND SPRINGVILLE MINUS SUMMA is 88% in 2021- objective 2 met right there2. 2025 estimations are over projections as most of Springville is already built out BUT BSD is Projection even more growth for SPV than SATO.... totally incorrect... 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY3. Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% . Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential.Findley has the most SUMMA STUDENTS so it makes sense to move it to Timberland. The concentration of JW SUMMA is also in the east which is also considerably close to Timberland4. ECONOMIC DIVERSITY with ALL OF SPRINGVILLE is the best it could get AT STOLLER.... if SUMMA moves out, economic diversity WILL ONLY GET BETTER FROM HERES. JUST BECAUSE OUR KIDS RIDE THE BUS , it is not acceptable to make them commute FURTHEST and LONGEST in BSD... Springville to Five Oaks takes our kids away from our community alone..... congestion and traffic issues on 185th 6. NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn[?]t be separated from our Eastern neighbors sato whom we are closely intertwined with... On the west there is a big geographical divide with PCC and farmlands.... SPRINGVILLE ISLAND
02/26/2020 1:14 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				NORTH BETHANY is our community, we shouldn[?]t be separated from our Eastern neighbors sato whom we are closely intertwined with... On the west there is a big geographical divide with PCC and farmlands.... SPRINGVILLE ISLAND
02/26/2020 1:18 PM	Douglas Yap	dougyap1377@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Bringing back Map C 2.0 is deja vu. It brings back the same issues on underutilization of Timberland and Springville ISOLATION. February 25 map almost passed with 1 vote shy of majority. Please remember to think about the general education kids iver the elite summa program.
02/26/2020 10:34 PM	Dwaipayan Sil	sdwaipayan@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a Findley parent we want to be united and Stoller is the best option for us. But having said that, if absolutely we cannot meet numbers and for the greater good of the community we have to move then the entire findley should move to Timberland. If BSD agrees to keep truly walkable portion of fiindley oakridge estate at Stoller then they can work strategically towards it and ensure that saftey in terms of emotional health of the kids is addressed. Since some oakridge parents want to be with united findley, even if that means going to Timberland.
02/26/2020 8:59 PM	Elizabeth Su	ywelizasu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				The original map A version, Rock creek fed to Five Oaks alone is not acceptable. Currently, we feel our voice been heard. Rock Creek could move to Meadow Park with our neighborhood school Bethany and Oak Hill. Although this seems to be a better solution, I am concerned about the transportation cost and commute time. I am also wondering if the boundary adjustment committee and BSD will ever have sustainable solution. I would like to suggest that settle down with Findley and SUMMA program leave Stoller. Minor adjustment for Oak Ridge to JW. Evaluate the capacity for Rock Creek, Bethany, Oak Hill-Westview feeding to Five Oaks. In the future, I strongly recommend BSD set an enrollment cap and hold a lottery process for students intend to go to Stoller in the future. I believe others can go to near by middle school, and there should be enough room at Five Oak, Meadow Park, Timberland. At the end, we all need to compromise and accept the outcome. In conclusion, I value our community and the importance of quality education over everything else. Please do not split our small elementary school. Our kids and all the kids in the district deserve the same chance to have best learning experience in middle schools year.
02/26/2020 11:55 AM	Eric Chang	cccf16915@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Don't let Springville kids sacrifice twice. We already lost the middle school in Springville and now we are pushed to give up the next neighborhood school Stoller. Why? Because the new middle school Timberland is NOT built in the most needed location. Because the SUMMA program is NOT located in an appropriate school. Because BSD is trying to minimize the transportation costs. None of reasons is acceptable when you consider the benefits for Springville kids. Please keep what you note in the beginning of meetings : "WE WANT THE BEST FOR ALL OF OUR STUDENTS".; Don't exclude Springville kids out of your intentions.
02/26/2020 11:11 AM	Eric Simantel	ericsimantel@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		LEGACY!As the dominoes fall into place, something that NO ONE has talked about yet is what to do with LEGACY students. Is this a part of the committee recommendation, or will this be made outside of committee recommendations. As it stands EVERYTHING 5TH GRADER is in danger of being in 4 different schools in the next 5 years. Here is how it could potentially breakout for my son....This Year: 5th Grade at Rock CreekNext Year: 6th Grade at StollerThe Year After: 7th Grade at Meadow Park or whichever school ends up being the answerThe Year After: 8th Grade at Meadow Park or whichever school ends up being the answerHigh School: WestviewIs this the right answer? I really don't know. But I would like to see it discussed - both pros and cons - and see the committee come up with a recommendation.
02/26/2020 10:27 PM	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Hello,I just want to ask that you please do not split Findley up. We are open to going to Stoller or Timberland But please let us stay together. Thank you!

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 2:31 PM	G Vaishnav	g_vaishnav@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Mr Sparks and Committee Members: With appreciation for all the time, energy and efforts you are spending to come out with most balanced solution.. I would like to express that please do not split Sato elementary boundary and keep it feeded into Stoller in its entirety. I have a 3rd grader in Sato. When we moved into our house, she had to move from Jacob Wismer to Springville for few months because Sato was not operational yet.. and then from 2017 she moved to yet another new school Sato. She struggled to let go of her friendships and associations from JW, she took all these years to build new friendships at Sato and as a parent I would really like her to be able to grow with her same friends from Sato to Stoller to HS. It would be too much of stress on young mind and I would strongly recommend to keep Sato undisturbed. Thank you
02/26/2020 8:49 PM	Giles	banochanggiles@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	1.Please No isolation, if Springville goes to FO, it is an island for Springville2. 2025 projection is not accurate, shouldn't sacrifice one generation kids for unpredictable 20253. Regular kids over SUMMA
02/26/2020 1:30 PM	Gretchen Ahlgren	gretchenahlgren@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			Hello, I am writing to provide my input on the upcoming Middle School Boundary Change. Our two boys are at Cooper Mountain Elementary and our address has us attending Highland Park MS and Mountainside HS. They are very active in Mountainside Youth Sports, Flag and Tackle Football, Wrestling and Baseball; (Basketball next year when our oldest is in 5th grade). We as parents are very active in Mountainside Youth Sports as well. My husband and I are both Board Members for Mountainside JBO (Junior Baseball Organization), he is a Coach and I am a team mom, he Coaches both of our boys' Flag and Tackle Football team and we are very connected with the community. All of the kids and families we are all friends with will all be going to Highland Park and Mountainside, however they are mainly at Sexton Mountain. We would not want to lose that connection by changing the Middle School to Mountain View. Please consider the family connections and commitments we have made to the Mountainside Youth Sports Community. Thank you!
02/26/2020 2:41 PM	Harry	niniding0909@gmail.vom	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear BSD&#amp;Committee，Without splitting the community, the data on the map 2021 of the meeting last night was very good. The 2025 data is predicted and can only be used for reference. Not as a factual decision. Bethany is just that big. Springville is not an oil field and can be mined every day. Residence has peaked. No place to build a house. Now Stoller is running well at 168%. It is forecasted to be 114% in 2025. This number will only decrease. Unless 95% of Bethany's schools are controlled. Can it be achieved? No perfect number. Only perfect decision. Communities do not separate. New school Timberland 100% utilization. Findley ranks first in Summa. Can give priority to Timberland. Of course Stoller couldn't bear it. There is room for gain. Why can't BSD try it? Can the number be predicted? Why can't Summa try a new environment and a new atmosphere. We believe that BSD will have a unique vision and insight. Will help children in Springville go to school in the same community and equal time. Thank you.
02/26/2020 9:52 AM	injam	Venky.inam@nike.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Keep Jacobwismer in stroller including summa program . Don[?]t disturb existing communities
02/26/2020 5:39 PM	James Bock	skeeterjab@gmail.com	Findley Elem			The Feb. 25th working map seemed ideal as it did not split elementary schools. But the main problem of reducing overcrowding at Stoller does not seem to be totally resolved. Here are a couple suggestions.1. Ideally, keep all of Findley together going to Timberland as shown on the new map, but some of the Oakridge Estates parents would like to walk to Stoller. They are really the only walkable Findley neighborhood to Stoller. So I suggest sending Oakridge Estates to Stoller and the rest of Findley to Timberland to help reduce numbers at Stoller. Some Jacob Wismer parents have asked for this also.2. To further reduce numbers at Stoller, take the advice of one of your committee members who suggested removing Arbor Heights and another subdivision in the south section of Jacob Wismer south of Laidlaw, and moving them to Findley. Then they would all go to Timberland. 3. Since Findley is 40% SUMMA, remove SUMMA from Stoller and place it in Timberland. 4. The above changes should make room for Springville, who lost their middle school, to attend Stoller. Lastly, I would emphasize that the true, safe walkable areas to Stoller are not actually walkable. Especially the Far East section (Bannister Creek) and the southern areas. These children are driven to school, causing much congestion. My suggestion for Findley is to only send the Oak Ridge true walkable neighborhood across from Findley to Stoller as they have requested. The rest of Findley to Timberland keeping the majority of Findley together as they have asked.Thank you for reading my suggestions and all your hard work.
02/26/2020 9:46 PM	Javier Turek	javierturek@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Dear Committee, we would like to thank you for listening, voting, and considering our request for the East Oak Hills community. Last night, your majority vote showed everyone in the room that things can be done right for the sake of ALL KIDS. Now, we are looking forward to the next meeting. Meeting that we will be present to see the change of the Sunset-bound Oak Hills community feeding into Timberland and the Westview-bound Oak Hills feeding together with Bethany ES. It is the map that Mr. Sparks should prepare. We will be there again looking forward to a better map.Thank you!
02/26/2020 10:23 AM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I thank the committee members who spoke about SATO, another rapidly growing community to send them to another middle school. Two rapidly growing communities should not feed to same middle school, this is not solving the problem. This will create lot of disruption to another school kids. Please consider sending any one of these FARTHEST STOLLER COMMUNITIES to another middle school. SATO has a safe drive via Kaiser and Bethany Blvd.
02/26/2020 10:55 AM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Please Keep walk-able Findley, all of JW and Summa at Stoller, The FURTHEST COMMUNITIES FROM STOLLER (FULL or PARTIAL) should move to different school. Please think that is OK to bus kids who can walk to Stoller to another middle school? This does NOTt save cost, address overcapacity issue, and pretty does nothing good. I hope the AC committee BSD can understand this. When the FARTHEST COMMUNITY FROM STOLLER send their kids to Stoller everyday, think about those kids who live right across the street are being bused away to accommodate your kids. I hope that you can find your inner peace again. Also consider the pain of those kids that they cross the middle school on a bus to go to different school, currently they are walking.
02/26/2020 4:00 PM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear AC members and BSD,PLEASE BRING BACK MAP C2.1 from Feb 13th meeting. It provided the great solution for overcrowding at Stoller, keep Proximity, Walkability, Better Feeder pattern, Socioeconomic diversity, reduce FEL rate, and mainly OVERCROWDING. Map C 2.1 has 99% permanent capacity in 2021 and 106% in 2025 at Stoller. This numbers can be managed by minor tweaks. Fo and Meadow Park have some overcrowd issue with Map C2.1 it can also resolved. MAP C2.1 ANSWERED most of the committee members question and concerns last night. BRINGBACK MAP C2.1.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 7:02 PM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		SUMMA at STOLLER: The JW summa kids are currently walking to Stoller and its a school program it should stay with Stoller. From the 6-8th Grade Summa Grid map that was released on Feb 13th, shows only 38 Summa kids are from SPV out of 424 kids. Thats why SPV can ask to move the summa from Stoller to make room for them. Please pay attention to the Walk area map and Summa 6-8th Grid map, (I have emailed seperately with data), it clearly shows SUMMA belongs to Stoller and all from JW and FE walking zone. I urge committee to spend time analyzing this.
02/26/2020 9:39 AM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear AC members,Thanks for your hard work in concentrating to discuss valuable points for making a recommendation. some members are only targeting 2021 solution, this is short viewed and not solving the problem. We need to think of a long term sustainable solution and provide that recommendation to the BSD board. 2025 MATTERS. The data shows rapidly growing FARTHEST COMMUNITIES FROM STOLLER will overcrowd the stoller MS in few years and we do not want to go through this painful process again and hurt the emotional health of the young minds. Please keep the GROWTH, MS-HS Feeder, PROXIMITY and WALKABILITY, SUMMA the school program at the MS where it has more kids in proximity, and TAX Dollars. LONG TERM SUSTAINABLE solution should be our goal. Thanks for your hard work.
02/26/2020 6:10 AM	Jen S	jenandadamsmith@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Why would we spend the whole meeting on Stoller last night and then five minutes on Oak Hills west/ Meadow. Some of us have 6th or 7th graders who will be at Meadow when the change happens and changing nearly the whole school to Five Oaks means a loss of teaches the kids know, the counselors they know, and familiarity. PLEASE KEEP OAK HILLS WEST KIDS AT MEADOW WITH BETHANY KIDS!
02/26/2020 1:19 PM	Jennifer	arend.jenn@gmail.com				ISB	all new builds after 2021 In the North Bethany could be designated to another middle School with space. Ideally timberland since Sato is really not that far once the roads are built up. It takes care of the projected growth in 2025 without sacrificing existing communities. Plus there is historic precedence with Findley. These students can ride the summa bus to Timberland. The district could also offer open enrollment to all school with Low capacity. This option was given to bsd students to fill Bonny Slope when it first opened.
02/26/2020 1:28 PM	Jennifer	arend.jenn@gmail.com				ISB	Back when Bonny slope first opened The district offered open enrollment to all bsd students to help with low capacity. This could be offered for any schools that have low capacity.
02/26/2020 2:04 PM	Jennifer	arend.jenn@gmail.com				ISB	My biggest grip is for the district. Shame on them for causing some much uproar and almost hatred in the community. It was unacceptable to not be prepared last night with data and solid answers for summa and transportation. That was the biggest problem. If the district did their job and provided the materials needed to make informed decisions I can only assume the results Last night would have been very different.
02/26/2020 7:52 PM	Jennifer	Jlthorson88@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem	Cedar Park MS			With the working map from 2-25 Timberland will open up already too crowded. BSD has a history of not properly calculating new development growth and the number of students that will come from it. The area currently covered by Timberland in the map contains a huge amount of new construction, with more coming. Please factor this in and decrease the coverage area.Also, students within walking distance of a middle school should be attending that school. The Stoller boundary line to the South makes no sense.
02/26/2020 9:40 AM	Jennifer Bell	jennjoybell@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				Thank you for giving us parents an opportunity to comment, we hope you listen. My children currently go to Cooper Mountain Elementary (CME) and are slated to go to Mountain View MS (MVMS) and then Mountainside HS (MSHS). My wish is that we can all go to Highland Park MS (HPMS) then onto MSHS with ALL or MOST of our friends rather than splitting up. Currently, my understanding is the MVMS mostly goes onto Aloha HS and a small percentage going onto MSHS. We would prefer to NOT!!! change our HS boundary at all costs!!
02/26/2020 10:49 AM	Jennifer Phillips	jen_m_phillips@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				I stand with the other concerned Oak Hills Elementary parents in our plea to be heard. My message is consistent with theirs:--End isolation of Oak Hills students. Send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany. --Strengthen the middle school to high school feeder path for Oak Hills students. Fulfill Objective #4. --Currently, only 20 Oak Hills students start Sunset each year in a school population of 2000 where they recognize only 1% of the student body. --The Oak Hills community agrees to an East/West split at middle school to ensure students continue onto a high school with a decent size peer group. End isolation of Oak Hills.
02/26/2020 11:17 AM	Jennifer Sicard	sicard.jennifer1212@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Thank you to the board for your tireless commitment to this process. The most recent map that was released for the Feb. 25 meeting worked well for most of the district, with a few tweaks needed in the North to address overcrowding. My comment is regarding Cooper Mountain and the lack of representation by the chosen speakers at the last 2 boundary meetings. The speakers who were chosen represent that small faction of our school that feeds into Mountain View (the district shows a 30/70 split, but the reality seems to be more like 20/80). Their opinions do not represent the majority of Cooper Mountain families and the lack of representation of the majority has been frustrating for many families. Please take note that the majority of Cooper Mountain would like to keep feeding into Highland Park. While we would love to be a single feeder school, we realize that we have a small fraction of our boundary where walk-ability to Mountain View is a major consideration. It makes sense to keep these families in the MV attendance boundary. There is a large portion of the Cooper Mountain Elementary boundary that extends pretty far South and bumps up with the Sexton Mountain and the Nancy Ryles (North of Scholls Ferry area) boundaries. Both of those schools in those boundaries feed into Highland Park. These adjacent boundary areas around these 3 schools create a community, of which a huge portion of Cooper Mountain families belong. We are play sports together, work near each other and consider each other neighbors. Moving this large portion of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View would disrupt our entire community. We do understand poverty is an important issue and should be addressed by the district with an equitable middle school experience across all schools, however disrupting 80% of a school community and moving them to a different school is just a band aid on a problem that requires better solutions district wide. Keep the status quo at Cooper Mountain. Thank you!
02/26/2020 7:42 AM	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem				If, as stated during the public comments of the 2/25 meeting, 100% of Barnes is going to be part of the dual language track in the near future, and dual language track middle-schoolers will be attending Cedar Park Middle School, why are we even entertaining any maps that have Barnes feeding Meadow Park? That makes no sense to me. Please do as suggested and list the dual language track as a separate line item on the population projections.

02/26/2020 10:14 PM	JITHINR	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAPC IS UNDESIRABLE. DOESNT MEET OBJECTIVES MOVE ENTIRE SUMMA TO TIMBERLANDBSD built 64 million dolar Timberland facility to relieve middle school congestion.So ideally the kids closest to it should move to Timberland. Move entire Findley and SUMMAstudents to Timberland. It doesn't make sense to have Springville students travel sucha huge distance to Five Oaks, just to make way for some SUMMA students and concerns about walkability.The transporation data regarding Springville to Five oaks is not accurate. A) IN SOME OF THE ROUTES, THE BUS DOESN'T START AT THE EXACT LOCATION AS INDICATEDIN THE MAPB) IS SOME BUS VIDEOS THE BUS MAKES ONE STOP THAT TOO FOR 30 secs. HOW IS THATCORRECT. With atleast 12-20 STUDENTS IN A STOP, IT WILL TAKE MORE TIME THAN THAT.C) THERE ARE 14 TRAFFIC SIGNALS LEADING FROM SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS. WE CAN'TEXPECT THE TRAFFIC TO GIVE A PASS THRU ALL THE TIME.Regardless od how long it take to go there, Springville is GEOGRAPHICALLY SEPERATED from Five Oaks by PCC, 7 Dees Nurserta large mass of farmland, PCC, Albertsons shopping center, HIGHWAY, TANASBOURNE. Geographically Springville and Five Oakscan't be the same community
02/26/2020 9:01 PM	Jlpa	Jlpa.111211@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Mr.Sparks.Yesterday[?]s meeting was supposed to have a finalization on the map. Be it any map, there should be a final say. And, you Mr.Sparks should have led that. And yet we are dragging this to another day. As Mike said, a perfect solution is not feasible so come up with something that[?]s aligned. When there is just one vote less for a map, you should have asked the committee members who didn[?]t vote what change can be done then and there! And not dragged into next meeting. The whole meeting On Feb 25th was so confusing and at last after all these months we are back to not even square one but zero! Map A was perfect for no split feeder. And all had agreed to it. It was just one vote less and towards the end one another committee member(Who initially did not vote) had agreed for Map A. So now ideally it was the majority and we could have gone forward. But UNFORTUNATELY, SINCE SPRINGVILLE AND ROCKCREEK DOES NOT HAVE A COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE, one of the committee member is head over heels that SPRINGVILLE and ROCKCREEK should go to Five oaks. Mr.Sparks are you driving the meeting in a proper way? Do you really think about the overall well-being of all the communities or the community whose representatives are present in the board only? 1. You have created enough pitting against neighborhoods. 2. YOU MADE A MESS OF TRANSPORTATION DATA. YOU YOURSELF TOLD THAT IN SPRINGVILLE PTO MEETING.3. SPRINGVILLE IS AND ALWAYS PART OF NORTH BETHANY NEIGHBORHOOD WITH SATO. ROCKCREEK IS PART OF BETHANY AND OAKHILLS.4. SPRINGVILLE ALSO LOSES ITS SUMMA KIDS IF SUMMA IS MOVED TO TIMBERLAND. 5. SPRINGVILLE IS JUST AN ISLAND. YOU HAVE NOT TRIED TO CHANGE THE MAP FOR SHOWING THAT WE ARS JUST AN ISLAND EVEN IF MOVED AS A WHOLE OR SPLITTED. SO NO ONE UNDERSTANDS OR CARES IF SPRINGVILLE IS CUT FROM ITS NEIGHBORHOOD.Each parent have worked so hard day in and day out for the betterment of the whole community. None of the maps so far are perfect, but Map A had max votes. PLEASE KEEP US SPRINGVILLE TOGETHER WITH SATO! We implore you not to just satisfy all the needs of one community Who has a representative In the board at the expense of Innocent kids in other communities without representatives!
02/26/2020 10:47 AM	Jolene	Seacl0418@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			Thank you, Mr. Sparks! Appreciate you push the committee to vote and try to solve the problem. When I saw 2/13 map, I felt really worried that 2025 I need to fight for my kids again. Thank you so much, and hope you get well soon. PS. I know we repeated again and again, but still I need to do my homework: Rock creek wants to go with Bethany and west oak hill. Thank you
02/26/2020 9:47 AM	Jon Roby	jroby8@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			MS Committee Members,During last nights meeting there was a significant amount of talk about realigning Wismer & Findlay. In regard to Wismer, one of the areas identified for potential realignment was "South Wismer". I live in this area and want to make sure you understand that "South Wismer" is easily walkable to both Wismer and Stoller via our wonderful paved trail system. While this is not evident on your maps, the paved trail system goes directly from "South Wismer" to both Wismer and Stoller. My kids and I walk to school (Wismer) regularly. We would not be able to do this if you re-align our neighborhood to Findlay. In watching the committee meetings, It's unfortunately clear that utilizing a committee vote structure/process isn't working. In many circumstances, committee members are being asked to vote on proposals that impact neighborhoods / communities for which they have little/no knowledge. No amount of map study can make up for this lack of knowledge. Given the lack of committee knowledge on neighborhoods/communities I believe it becomes even more important that guiding principles be constantly utilized throughout the process. While you have stated the guiding principles of this committee, these principles have not been consistently utilized, and in some cases have been flat out ignored. Please take a step back, put renewed vigor behind your guiding principles, and take control of this process. You are not here to take direction from the largest, loudest group. You are here to do the right thing for all, even those not in attendance. Regards,Jon
02/26/2020 8:05 PM	Joonhyo Kim	joon.kim@asml.com	Raleigh Hills K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset	I don[?]t really understand why Springville try to join Stoller MS boundary. The capacity will be up to 130%, even higher, if the student from Springville move to Stoller. That[?] will be very stupid decision if it happens.
02/26/2020 9:35 PM	Judith Kap	judithkap@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset	Thank you for your diligent work on this difficult task! I went to the meeting yesterday and was glad to hear your thoughtful and thorough discussion regarding Stoller middle school. It is indeed a very complex puzzle to solve!Please consider the data and facts as you develop the final map and look for the most logical and least painful solution. 1. Keep Jacob Wismer, walking Findley and SUMMA together at Stoller to ensure a healthy feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset. 2. Preserve walkability to minimize transportation cost (and keep more dollars for teachers). 3. Prevent overcrowding at Stoller: JW, Sato and SPV don[?]t all fit in Stoller. Splits are not preferred, but may be the only way to solve it. Thank you for your consideration!KeepJWTogetherSUMMA@Stoller4HSFeederPreserveWalkability
02/26/2020 9:43 AM	Julie	juliedenhamx@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			JW is 17% of stoller in the Feb 25th map. Stoller's incoming 6th grade children from stoller are 40% summa qualified. IF summa moves thats cutting separating 40% of JW incoming children and when they move along to SUnset...these kids at JW will be small group with no friends. We fixed Oak Hills. Now its JW turn! Do not split it further. Don't make it worse for us!

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 3:19 PM	Julie Pfeiger	juliepfeiger@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			It is very unfortunate the representative from Cooper Mtn ES was allowed to influence the boundary committee during the Feb 25th meeting. She publicly admitted that her perspective was not a majority opinion and I hope the committee will weigh that heavily moving forward. Please keep the current Chehalem and Cooper Mtn middle school boundaries as they exist today. The [?]West Chehalem[?] students should continue to attend Mtn View along with their Chehalem peers and the Cooper Mountain students should keep the current boundary split as previously discussed, reviewed and approved. (70% Highland, 30% Mtn View)Please do not create an [?]island[?] issue for a small number of students when it can be avoided by keeping current boundaries intact. A similar problem is being evaluated and hopefully resolved in the Oak Hills area and should not be an outcome from this committee for the Cooper Mtn / Chehalem MS boundary. If discussion continues, we must find a process that allows perspectives from Cooper Mountain and Chehalem to be shared that reflect a majority of parents. One voice was allowed to sway questions last night and that does not seem effective or fair to the families who expected the boundaries to remain status quo per maps, meeting documents and previous approvals. The committee[?]'s valuable time would be better spent focusing on the Stoller overcrowding issue and I hope they will permanently approve the current Cooper Mountain and Chehalem boundaries as they exist today.
02/26/2020 3:54 PM	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Rock Creek is part of Bethany community and should not be separated from it is neighbors north of US26. In the meeting yesterday, it was suggested to move Rock Creek to Five Oaks MS with part of Springville ES. This might help reduce the overcrowding in Stoller but it must not create overcrowding in Five Oaks. Please make sure that the 2021 and 2025 projections of all the schools stay below 100% capacity!Another point I want to make is that, everybody is talking about walkability. it is not our fault not to have MS nearby. It is the BSD poor planning which resulted in a new school in a place where there isnt a need! All the community should accept this and discuss accordingly. we would be happy to go to Timberland if other communities (eg Findley) reject to do so but that would increase the cost and travel time. Therefore, it is logical to transport the eastern elementary schools to go to Timberland.
02/26/2020 10:02 AM	Kamini	kaminimoorthy@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset	ISB	Walkability is a very important factor that should be considered. Keep all of Jacob Wismer in Stoller along with the Summa program.Why bus the Jacob Wismer and JW Summa kids to Timberland when they can just as easily walk....they are already walking to JW so keep them walking to Stoller. Let's keep the transportation costs down and use that money to better our classrooms and teachers.Send all of Jacob Wismer and Summa to Stoller and keep the kids walking.
02/26/2020 8:59 AM	Karie	Kariemattthews10@msn.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Please do not split jw. We are small 17% of sunset bound kids At Stoller. Assuming summa going it[?]'s pretty bad for these kids. If you divide us then I will loose hope on this committee because u would have done injustice To the small group of jw children. Why is oak hills reunited but jw being split?
02/26/2020 1:28 PM	Katherine Lang	kshae8@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I am not aware of any comments from Chehalem parents asking to please switch their middle school feeding pattern, but there are numerous comments from Cooper Mountain families asking for current feeding patterns to maintain. It is unacceptable that the committee is once again considering switching Cooper Mountain this late in the process, especially after updating the most recent map to back to status quo. The committee must understand that it is very disruptive to our community and having current 5th graders move schools twice or siblings possibly go to different middle schools is ridiculous when we did not have a brand new school built in our community.
02/26/2020 12:48 PM	Katherine Lang	kshae8@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I am disappointed by the continued discussion of Cooper Mountain involved in current middle school boundaries. Our school was not involved in any changes until late January and most recent maps have the school maintaining status quo which is the least disruptive to our community. This ping pong maps of moving the majority of our population to a school that they do not currently attend has had a negative impact on out community. I do not know why Cooper Mountain continues to be part of this discussion. If the Boundary committee wishes to move the majority of the population for a small change in equity, I would ask that they go back to the drawing board for the entire district if you are making decisions strictly on equity. The committee does not seem to be concerned at all about the lack of equity in Stoller or Timberland and it does not make sense to displace the majority of the Cooper Mountain students based on one public comment versus the many that have come from our school.
02/26/2020 3:13 PM	Kavya	kavya.kommisetty@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please consider Map A , it is good to go with support of 8 committee members. Map A is good for Stoller for 2021 with SPV + Satto +; JW.also summa can stay in stoller.
02/26/2020 2:20 PM	keith osowski	keith.osowski@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I would like to thank the committee for listening to our comments. However, yet again, a parent who does not voice the views of the majority of the parents in our school, was chosen "randomly" to speak for Cooper Mountain. I would like to know how these these parents were chosen to represent the rest of us. This doesn't appear at all to be random.Please do not destroy the community we built. Please keep Cooper Mountain Elementary status quo.
02/26/2020 2:32 PM	keith osowski	keith.osowski@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		The boundary adjustment meeting last night, again, was dominated by 1 school. If the boundary adjustment committee is planning to adjust the boundaries of other schools, it should at least plan to hear from those other families. It is not just to force a change with out letting the rest of the community have a voice.
02/26/2020 7:17 AM	Kelly Sestero	ksestero@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				At last night[?]'s meeting the public representative of our school, Cooper Mountain, suggested moving CM entirely to Mountainview, the same as the last meeting. At the very end of the meeting the committee decide to look at this as an option. I appreciate that the committee is listening to public opinions, however this opinion is not shared by at least 90% of the Cooper Mountain families. No one in the current Highland Park boundaries (70% of the school) has requested to move to Mountainview. Initially many families requested a clean feed, which I supported. However, only a few families, who do not want their children moved from MV, have been very vocal about pushing for a clean feed to MV. This does not meet the goals of the committee. Switching CM with Chehalem disrupts the lives of nearly 1000 children, makes for a worse walkability & transportation plan and forces both schools into a more disrupted feeder plan into high school. Changing the lives of this group when free and reduced lunch is not being addressed at any other school in the district is absurd. Please keep the current boundaries within Cooper Mountain and Chehalem! A change of this magnitude should not happen at the last minute based on the agenda of a few parents. If equity is the primary goal of the committee, that would be great, but the committee will need to scrap the work of the last 8 months and make the the entire district equitable, not just affect two elementary schools. Keeping the current split at Cooper Mountain would still leave room for SUMMA at HP, or it could remain at Whitford.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 1:50 PM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Thank you to the committee for all of your efforts during this challenging process and thank you to the district for allowing this to be a public process, both with written comments online and public comments during the meetings. Please consider written comments equally to the public comments during the meetings as not everyone can attend the meetings or is selected to speak. The public comments from the Cooper Mountain parents at the last two meetings focused on equity for schools across the district. Please consider all of the comments from our Cooper Mountain community equally before making a decision on what you believe is best for our community and our students. I would like to see no unnecessary disruption and have Cooper Mountain feed to Highland Park Middle School.
02/26/2020 9:47 AM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Please keep Cooper Mountain Elementary feeding to Highland Park Middle School. Throughout this entire boundary adjustment process, the committee has repeatedly reviewed their proposed changes against how they support the JC objectives provided by the district. Moving Cooper Mountain to Mountain View does not support these JC objectives based on the following: (1) According to the most recent working map, there is not an over capacity issue at Highland Park that necessitates movement of an elementary school from the current boundaries.(2)By proximity, the portion of Cooper Mountain that feeds to Highland Park is not walkable to either middle school so there is no transportation benefit to a boundary change. (3)Feeder patterns are better with the existing boundaries as it allows Cooper Mountain students to attend Highland Park and then feed onto Mountainside High School with a larger mixed group of their peers. Please don't isolate these students by having them be the only elementary school that feeds to their High School boundary. (4)Cooper Mountain Elementary is an integral part of Highland Park's community, and brings diversity to the student body composition. According to the 2/25 working map data, Highland Park is the only middle school in the district with four ethnicity groups at 10% or above, so the current boundaries already support a wonderfully diverse community. Please consider the JC objectives in this process and preserve the Cooper Mountain Elementary Feed to Highland Park Middle School.
02/26/2020 10:58 AM	Kishore Verma	k_kverma@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				@@@Boundary changes Process has no clear direction.1. Committee members have no clear direction on how to look at the main objectives. The clear objective is 90% at stoller in 2021 and few of the committee members are worried about the 2025 numbers which is 114% in 2025 with high projections(where the numbers may or may not reach 114%) and it is going to decline after that anyways. There are more worried about 114% in 2025 and not worried about the kids and splitting communities. 114% projections for 2025 situation is way better than current situation. Stoller got all the portables sitting there and it can be used if it is crowded as projections but highly doubt that. Committee is making this over complicated where it can be resolved in less complicated way. Prioritize kids and communities and make no splits.As a parent this is so stressful to go thru. We are back to where we are 4 months ago. Committee ! Don't rush to take a decision in the next meeting with whatever solution/map provided. Use your better judgement ... think about kids first than speculated 2025 projections.
02/26/2020 8:03 AM	Koko	Kobika_gu@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset		SATO is closer to FO than springville, if you want to send SPV to FO, SATO to FO makes more sense. I prefer my kids go to New school which still in the north side.
02/26/2020 11:33 AM	Kristen Ballou	kjballou@comcast.net	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I watched last night's meeting and it was not clear if the Oakridge Estates Wismer swap is still on the table or not. In watching the meeting on-line, it was not clear which grid codes were included in that discussion because the grid code map did not appear to be available on-line, the discussion also mentioned "only Oakridge proper." I do not support the swap. I live in the Kaiser Ridge neighborhood, adjacent to Oakridge. If this concept is still on the table, I wanted to stress that my neighborhood is NOT Oakridge Estates and I, and others in my neighborhood I've spoken with, do not share Oakridge's desire to walk to Stoller. Our preference is to NOT SPLIT FINDLEY and to be bused to Timberland with the other Findley students. Oakridge Estates is located EAST of the Westside Trail. My neighborhood, Kaiser Ridge, is located west of the Westside Trail and east of Kaiser Road, we are not part of the Oakridge Estates neighborhood. We do NOT WANT TO BE SPLIT an we do NOT want to be moved to the Wismer boundary. Thank you.
02/26/2020 1:56 PM	Kundan Chand	kundan.chand@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Keep Sato feeding to Stoller. 1) closer to Stoller than Springville2) Sato just opened in 2017 so kids are still forming friendships,so very much stress to move them to new environment3) closely connected to JW community on other side of Springville.
02/26/2020 4:44 PM	Lin M	linma188@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Boundary Adjustments Committee,I've been living in Bethany Heights neighborhood on the top of the hill located at NE side of Stoller for 5 years. My 3 kids have been riding bus to Findley and walking to Stoller for 5 years since we moved in. We, as well as another neighborhood make up to the only community belong to Findley at the north side of Laidlawd. I was really happy last night when the committee discussed the walkable community to Stoller. However, I was so surprised to hear that our neighborhood was suggested to be "non-walkable" to Stoller. The 2/25/2020 map shows 37 kids (6-8th) kids in our community in 2021 and only 10 kids in 2025. There is no reason for BSD to bus these 37 to 10 kids to another school 4 miles from their home while they could walk to Stoller. This has a negative impact to these kids and here is why: Our kids have already been isolated from Findley during non-school time for years. Although we are within 1 mile from Findley, they cannot walk to school because of the dangerous non-walkable road on Saltzman. They are not able to walk to Findley to excise afterschool or weekend like their friends do. I need to drive them 2 minutes to the Findley playground for a playdate or for any school event afterschool. On "Walk to school" day every year, which we suppose to reduce the car pollution, I either need to drive and drop them at the nearby community so that they can enjoy "walking" a little bit to school, or they have to take bus. When they finally rise to middle school, they are so happy to be able walk to school! Middle schoolers are more independent from their parents. They have more group project HW and need more social time. Both me and my husband are working so we don't have many time to drive my middle schoolers to meet their friends. Currently, my middle schooler not only can walk to Stoller for school, but also can walk to their friends' house around Stoller for group project or playdate. If, like some people suggested last night, our kids are [?]non-walkable[?] and cannot go to Stoller in the future, they have to go to Findley and Timberland. Again, the middle schooler are not able to go to any places without their parents driving them, just like they were in elementary school.If that is the case, I cannot imagine that for six years in elementary school and 3 years in middle school, our kids are only able make friends outside of school mainly in a small community because of our geographic limitation. To solve this issue, the best solutions are:1.Change our neighborhood's elementary school from Findley to JW. However, I understand JW is currently overcrowded and may not have room for them at this time.or2.For now, keep our kids in Findley, treat our community as [?]walkable[?] as well as allowing our kids to go to stoller. This is the best way and the only way our kids have been and will be bonding to their school, their friends, and our Bethany community.To our South, we have Laidlawd Road kids cannot cross; to our East, we have forest and hills kids cannot enter, to our north, it is the THPRD Trail nobody lives in. The only direction our middle schooler can go social and study during school and afterschool is east---- Stoller. Thank you for your time and consideration.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 3:12 PM	Lisa Nishimoto	lisaafoltz@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				I am writing today to acknowledge the committee listening to and supporting the EAST Oak Hills proposal!! Please continue the trajectory of this decision and make it final.Thank you! for ending this isolation of Sunset-bound EAST Oak Hills kids!Lisa Nishimoto
							Dear Committee - Last night was the first meeting I attended in person. Until then I had been watching the live feeds. I have to say, it was quite the experience. The tension in the room was strong. The divisiveness among communities was felt. But what hurt and stung the most, was to come home and see so many awful, hurtful and terrible comments being made on social media. Comments that were derogatory and unsolicited. I hope that the committee can reign that in and see past some of the behaviors that have truly spiraled out of control. I am a parent of 3 Jacob Wismer students. I believe strongly in WALKABILITY for many reasons and not just because my kids walk to school. Walkability is beneficial for ALL. It is healthy, environmentally friendly, reduces traffic on our roads and keeps money IN our classrooms WITH our teachers and students. I believe in CLEAN FEEDER PATTERNS for ALL students and where that cannot be accomplished, splits that are even or as close to even as possible. I believe that MS to HS feeding patterns need to be prioritized over ES to MS feeder patterns mostly because 11-12 year olds are more resilient than 14-15 year olds. Please consider that without any part of Findley at Stoller with JW, only 17 percent of Stoller kids will feed into Sunset. To further worsen the situation, if Summa is moved out of Stoller, that percentage dwindles down to SINGLE DIGITS. Similar to the Oak Hills East problem, about 20 kids from Stoller will be at Sunset wondering why they are eating lunch alone. This is just simply unacceptable. I urge you to please keep this in mind no matter where the direction of your recommendations go. Lastly, 2025 MATTERS. Let's look at feasible, comprehensible, factual data that CLEARLY shows Stoller at 130 percent capacity in just a few short years. The same or worse than it is today. We heard testimony from a committee member who shared an email from a Stoller teacher to a parent stating they could not set up a conference because they had too many to fit in. NO student or teacher should be in a classroom of 35 to 40 students. NO education system should consider that as an acceptable place to teach or learn, and NO parent wants that for their kids. Portables are NOT a solution, they are a bandaid. It is time to remove the bandaid and fix the problem. Two of the largest growth communities CANNOT fit into the same building. Not now and NOT in 2025. I remain hopeful that committee members will come to terms with the fact that although difficult decisions need to be made, that they are made through in depth analysis and consideration of the CLEAR and irrefutable facts that lie in front of them. Thank you for your continued hard work and dedication to this process.
02/26/2020 12:19 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
							Dear Committee - thank you for your continued work. I remain hopeful that sensible, logical solutions can come out of this boundary adjustment process. I wanted to share some food for thought. Has anyone considered that in many states and countries, NEW Home Construction areas are NOT guaranteed to have their kids sent to their closest neighborhood school? I put that out there as something to consider in this process. Having grown up in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, many new neighborhoods were sent on buses to schools 15-20 minutes away because mature neighborhoods were prioritized over new homes. It was a way to ensure that schools with higher enrollment numbers didn't become overcrowded due to builders buying land to build. When the mature neighborhoods left the closer schools underutilized, the newer small neighborhoods were one by one added into their closer neighborhood schools. Perhaps this type of planning is something the BSD needs to consider. We are at a heightened level of new homes being built in North Bethany causing overcrowded schools with portables, class sizes at unacceptable levels, teachers on crowd control and unable to teach, cafeterias having students sitting on the floor to eat their lunches, and lockers having to be shared while underutilized schools with a 20 minute commute time are ready and able to accept increased enrollment. Please consider this concept as the discussion moves forward. Thank you.
02/26/2020 12:34 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
							After months of sleepless nights, it is truly mind boggling what happened on Feb 25th. We started with a map that does a reasonable job of solving a really nasty puzzle with many pieces that do not fit, without any school or community splits. We actually got to a place where we got to 88% of PC in Stoller in 2021 which is the OBJECTIVE. We actually got to a place where over 300 parents from 4 of the Stoller schools agreed on the map with Summa moved to Timberland. We debated the whole time about 2025 numbers WHICH ARE NOT PART OF THE OBJECTIVE.. We then used the 14% number, 150 kids, in one school, 5 years from now, still WAY LOWER THAN TODAY, to tear the whole thing apart, and we are going back to splitting schools and communities??This is not making any sense at all. I hope we return to commonsense. I hope we stop talking about Summa in Stoller. I hope we focus on the actual objectives and not imagined ones like 95% in 2025.
02/26/2020 10:43 AM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com					
02/26/2020 12:10 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				Do not split schools and communities. Springville is part of North Bethany. Splitting a small number of kids based on imperfect 2025 overprojections is cruel and unusal.
							THE OBJECTIVE IS 90% OF PERMANENT CAPACITY IN 2021 AT STOLLER!!It is not 95% in 2025. Let us not shift the yardstick to try and somehow fit Summa into Stoller. You are talking about uprooting a lot of regular children from their communities. Even the over-projected 2025 numbers PEAK at 114% and then drop from there. and it is a far cry from the ~150% today! I hope the committee will do the right thing and not split multiple communities based on over-projected numbers. Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer DO fit into Stoller once Summa is moved to Timberland, and portables can be phased out after 2025.
02/26/2020 9:29 AM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				
							Dear committee,On Feb 25th, a great opportunity to make progress was lost. We had a map that a large number of parents across 4 of the current Stoller schools agreed upon (UNITED BETHANY VOICES) with Summa moving to Timberland. It also met the board objective of 90 percent of permanent capacity in 2021. Instead, we discussed summa again, and we discussed 2025 for an inordinate amount of time although the objective is clear: 90 percent of permanent capacity in 2021. Instead we are back to square 0 with a bunch of changes that create ripple effects and community splits all over the place. It is going to be a lot more sleepless nights for Springville parents it seems. I hope the objective is clear to all. It is not 90 percent in 2025. It is not 95 percent in 2025 like one of the committee members that wants summa in Jacob Wismer said. It is 90 percent in 2021.
02/26/2020 12:03 AM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				
02/26/2020 9:23 PM	Mahalakshmi fnu	Mahalakshmi1978@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	i am opposing to split Sato

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 11:06 PM	Mara Adams	mara.adams@me.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	Writing in support the 2/25 working map with a few adjustments such as east OH to Timberland. Many of us are frustrated that a final working map was brought to the 2/25 meeting and the committee could not come to a consensus regarding Stoller. The objectives are Stoller at 90% in 2021 and Timberland filled. With all of SUMMA at Timberland in this map, both of these goals are achieved. The 2/25 working map did a decent job of solving the puzzle without school splits which the committee said was a priority from the beginning. We spent 2+ hours last night bickering over 14% (150 kids) in one school FIVE years from now that has ample space to accommodate these students. This is an acceptable number if it reduces the impacts on the rest of the district. If major changes are made to this map... please keep east and west OH with a northern neighbor and onto our respective high schools. Thank you for working to keep communities together.
02/26/2020 11:20 PM	May	mrwang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	2025 projection is not accurate, BSD shouldn't sacrifice one generation kids for unpredictable 2025.Any map in whichever configuration, we have seen that if SUMMA stays in Stoller, we can NEVER MEET OBJECTIVE 2 of 90% in 2021. Moving SUMMA out of an overcrowded school is essential.
02/26/2020 5:17 AM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee Members,The new Map should have atleast walkable Findley to Stoller along with Summa for a better feeder pattern! It[?]s unfair for the kids who can walk to school be bussed several miles away! That is agreed upon by walking Findley population. Unfortunately, this small subset of Findley never got a chance to represent themselves separately and their wish was crushed! Also, we are looking for long term solution! 2025 numbers matter! We are not looking for bandaid solution!! Since, BSD has vested all this time since October in all the meetings and probably before that, it should come up with long term solution! Splitting SPV is imminent and so the split should be such that the numbers talk for themselves for 2025 and beyond.Thanks
02/26/2020 11:12 PM	Michael Jugo	mjjugo@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee and Mr. Sparks,I was moved by the eloquence and clarity with which my neighbor, Mr. Ruiwale, succinctly summarized the shocking reality of this committee and its boundary reassignment process and thus feel compelled to post this to assure it is roundly reviewed and understood.Many of us look at what has happened to the courage of an entire national political party in the face of blatantly inappropriate Executive leadership and we wonder, what has happened to the moral compass that compels one to do what is right? At the risk of drawing a parallel to our country's President and his party, there isn't a day that goes by when I don't lament the fact that the contemporary party of Lincoln is filled with elected officials devoid of courage, afraid to do what is right. I would hope that this would not be the case here on the local, certainly more proximate granular level. I ask that the committee have the courage to do what is right. Dear Mr SparksI would like to call out quite a few issues from the middle school boundary adjustment meeting last night. I have been a strong advocate of preserving walkability for my neighborhood - Oakridge Estates. I appreciate the committee's focus on this issue yesterday - However -- they are approaching and solving this problem in completely the wrong manner.Firstly - Oakridge is the not the only safe-walking community to Stoller -- there are several other communities connected to Stoller via either the west-side trail or other inner roads directly accessing Stoller. This is the same for the Arbor Heights community -- this is a walkable community to Stoller MS (and not on Laidlaw) - but through inner trails and Greenwood drive -- part of which is actually gated off to traffic. These are safe walking routes. It does not make sense to take one walking community away from Stoller to keep Oakridge in Stoller.Secondly -- the proposal to fit Oakridge in Stoller involves mapping only our neighborhood to Jacob-Wismer and trading off alternate JW kids to Findley. This is a bad proposal.. It will isolate a very small population of kids in my neighborhood going to Findley when they are shifted to JW -- a group of 40-50 kids who will end up in JW with no friends.. It will do the same to the kids from Jacob Wismer who are then sent to Findley for the swap.. This is the worst idea. The committee voted yesterday to fix this isolation for East-Oak-Hills and move them to Timberland (these are 6th graders we are worried about). And yet - we are inflicting this same scenario on much younger elementary school kids who are in the nascent stages of their social development and will be more greatly impacted by such a swap.You might ask why this is different from when say a Sato boundary was formed and kids in existing elementary schools got moved -- the difference is the sheer number (when a whole school or large portion of it moves) -- v/s just a small pocket of kids.As a parent who has advocated for walkability I urge you and the committee to reject this limited swap proposal.. ALL of walkable (as defined by BSD's walkable map) Findley should remain in Stoller and really to extend upon this -- ALL of Findley should remain in Stoller.Finally -- lets' address the elephant in the room - Springville.. It has been clear over the course of these many meetings -- that SPV+Sato cannot both sit in Stoller without overcrowding it. In fact Cherise arrived at this point in the meeting yesterday -- we might need to consider moving JW to TL to maintain a clean Sunset feeder (and even she herself did not like this idea). So there we have it -- in order to appease a vocal, bullying community who has created a false narrative of 45 minute bus times, who are refuting every single data point the district provides when it doesn't ft their narrative, who
02/26/2020 10:40 PM	Michelle Bisgard	mich_bisgard@hotmail.com		Stoller MS	Sunset	I am confused by the recent boundary meeting. Jacob Wismer should not be considered as a split. It is 100% walkable to Stoller. 100% of Jacob Wismer students are in the walking zone to Stoller. Please first consider walkability.
02/26/2020 12:40 AM	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear members, I am writing to remind the four objectives that Mr. Sparks given in the beginning of every meeting:1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming, 2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. 3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary. 4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels.Please read this, see which one you guys have attached after 9 meetings?
02/26/2020 9:33 PM	Mitali China	mitali.china@gmail.com	Findley Elem			I am a Findley mom and live in Oak Ridge Estate (right across Stoller MS). Thanks to Mr. Sparks and committee members for considering walk-ability in yesterdays' meeting. Some thoughts on defining boundaries: Why are we not pulling up maps that meet all/most of the success criteria such as (i) families with <1 miles of a school should stay in the same school, (ii) minimum cost of bussing for entire BSD, (iii) No school should exceed >90% capacity by 2025, etc., There are maps that meet most/all of these criteria if SPV is not considered for Stoller. However, there was a clear hesitation to talk about moving SPV out of Stoller in yesterday's meeting. On the other hand, splitting JW and FE (two closest ES from Stoller) seems to be such an easy/lucrative option. Why??After talking to neighbors, realized that SPV community has involved law years and media in the picture. This was really disappointing. I am sure Mr. Sparks and BSD will not give in to such kind of pressure and will make the right decision that would be best for the entire BSD and their kids, their safety, and environment.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 3:03 PM	Molly Burdick	mollyburdick@outlook.com	Springville K-8				Hello,As a concerned parent in Arbor Oaks, located in part of the North Bethany community, and parent to a middle-schooler next year, I am concerned over the long commute that my child and his friends would face going all the way to Five Oaks. This middle school is in no way part of our community, and the board seems to be more focus on moving forward with a certain agenda, and ignoring the concerns from parents in the Springville area. There have been more updated maps that keep Springville more aligned with the rest of North Bethany, as this is what is both logically and ethically right. Moving forward with anything other than this really makes me question the motives behind the realignment. Please focus on 2021 projections, and not on a mathematically flawed projection of 2025. Thank you for your time, and I hope to see that the right thing is done for the youth of Springville.Best,Molly Burdick
02/26/2020 9:15 PM	Namita	Bhargavanams@gmail.com	Sato Elem			Springville K-8	Hi,I just want to oppose Sato split. Our kids have already faced a split previously which was so hard on them. It will be unfair on our community to go through a split again.Please keep Sato together
02/26/2020 10:28 AM	Nancy Collett	nancy@norwesters.net	Cooper Mountain Elem				Please keep Cooper Mountain's feeder system status quo with a split between Mountainside and Highland Park. When pervious proposals were made for all of Cooper Mountain to attend a single middle school, the middle school my children would attend would increase in enrollment and be at 99% to 105% of capacity. Please don't add a new school to the district to put my children in a more crowded school! Thank you.
02/26/2020 8:56 PM	Nayung Yu	yun@up.edu	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The boundary map shows that Springville community is somehow connected to five oaks through 185th ave, but in fact, it is not connected. To see the reason, I ask committee members to go the google map page in the computer, type North Bethany and see the satellite map. Please, I will not ask you to drive here. Just turn on the satellite map and see us.To get to the Springville community, you have to go through 185th ave. The left side of 185th ave is miles and miles of farmlands. You need to turn right at 185th eve to Springville road. On your left side, you see the PCC rock creek campus. And then there are 8 tennis courts, PCC rock creek dog park, and 4 baseball fields, and 2 soccer fields. Yes, you see it right: 8 tennis courts, 4 baseball fields, and 2 soccer fields. After passing all these fields bigger than Springville community, inside the Springville road, there is the Springville community: much smaller than the area composed by PCC campus, a dog park, 8 tennis courts, 4 baseball fields, and 2 soccer fields, tightly clustered. All these cookie-cutter houses have small back yards and tightly built; So it looks like a housing version of apartments. In fact, we would be less expensive than the same size houses in the boundary of Sato elementary school and Jacob Wismer elementary school. Because we are nestled north of Springville road far away from the rest of the commercial area, we have nothing here. Pizza delivery guys need to pass PCC rock creek, 8 tennis courts, 4 baseball fields, and 2 soccer fields to get here, so they don[?]t come. Yes, we don't get pizza delivery from Pizza Hut near highway 26 or any other pizza places. It simply takes too long for them to come to the Springville community. You are asking what pizza delivery guys refused to do. Pass the PCC campus, a dog park, 8 tennis courts, 4 baseball fields, and 2 soccer fields, pass the Westview high school, pass the highway 26 where car accidents happen once a month, pass the evergreen road where Washington county set as highly congested traffic, and then there is Five oaks middle school. Please we are not connected to Five Oaks. Please revise the map and take the PCC rock creek campus, 8 tennis courts, a dog park, 2 soccer fields, 4 baseball fields. Then you will see us. A small community far away from everything. Please see us.
02/26/2020 10:16 AM	neeti verma	neetiverma09@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Hi,I am disheartened to see how we are comfortable acting so shortsighted that we are wiling to ignore data showing overcrowding as early as 2025 in some of the options presented to us. If we as a community understand that this is not good for stroller now, then it is not good for stroller in 2025.We have an opportunity with this committee to help our teachers, schools and students to have more sustainable feeder map. I think if we fail to plan even for 5 year with the data we have which shows we would be overcrowded is not prudent and unfair for the school.
02/26/2020 6:36 AM	Nicole Beckham	Nbeckham10@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		It has been very frustrating that only one speaker from each school had been selected to speak. In both cases over the last two meetings a parent from Cooper Mountain has been chosen that has had a view point shared by a minority group and urged Cooper Mountain to be moved to Mountainview. While I can see that Mountainview has a high poverty rate, I don[?]t believe the solution is to uproot Cooper Mtn students and families to rectify that. BSD has this same issues at other schools (Whitford and Five Oaks) and they should be looking at other funding options and programs to funnel in the necessary funds to these deserving schools. Please look at developing fundraising efforts or encourage feeder schools to help support. We have other options. Just because the luck of the draw is shining a light on Mountainview shouldn[?]t take away the attention of a larger issue. Keep Cooper Mtn at HP please!
02/26/2020 3:11 PM	Prabha	krchandraprabha@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear committee members, please focus on 2021 utilization and not an 2025.2025 should not be deciding factor for boundary at this moment.Please consider Map A and "NO" to Map C2.1
02/26/2020 9:21 AM	Prabha chethan	krchandraprabha@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear Committee members, thanks for working tirelessly on school boundary decision.I have watched yesterdays meeting video. It shows we are going back to visit Map C2.1We have worked months to get there and now we are going back month old map ? So all our discussions, emotions, decisions are not worth ?Please do consider Map A and find the real numbers for SPV , Satto and JW.And find real numbers rather than depending on PSU stats. That might help to see the real situation here to keep summa at stoller.
02/26/2020 9:27 AM	Prabha chethan	krchandraprabha@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear commitee members, please do not consider Map C 2.1, it does overcrowds Fair oaks. It becomes 109% at 2021 itself. This is not a good solution.Springville kids are farthest to Five oaks. It is not Fair to send them so far.please consider Map A with Summa at stoller. 2025 predictions might not be correct as per stats given.
02/26/2020 4:50 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		ECONOMIC DIVERSITY AT STOLLERFeb 25th Working Map was very close and better than a lot of maps presented earlier atleast for Stoller . WITHOUT SUMMA , That map got us to 88% of Permanent Capacity in Stoller (OBJECTIVE 2 MET) and the Free Lunch Ratio at STOLLER was BETTER than ANY OTHER decent map WITHOUT CREATING ISLANDS AND SPLITS AND LONG COMMUTES . When SUMMA MOVES OUT of Stoller , the FLR will only get better from there . Sato and Springville makes up NORTH BETHANY . SPRINGVILLE does not have another MS that is close by . Please keep Springville at Stoller.Thank You Committee members who stood up for the SPringville kids . Thank You for being our Voice . We are really close on Stoller boundaries . Springville plus Sato plus Jacob Wismer MINUS SUMMA .
02/26/2020 5:49 PM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		PLEASE BE OUR VOICE.....Dear AC members..... We at Springville are really counting on you to not let our kids be made an island up north....We do not have another proximal option other than Stoller..... Please be our voice and just because our kids take the bus today, please dont make them commute longer and further to a school 5 miles away. some families stay even further north than that.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 7:42 AM	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Yesterday's meeting , was a total moving BACK TO SQUARE 1 meeting . 90% PERMANENT CAPACITY IS ONLY FOR 2021. In 2025 it needs to be SUSTAINABLE . If we move GOALPOSTS every meeting , we will land nowhere . It is really frustrating that BSD does not correct misconceptions right when it is discussed during the meetingThey let it slide and then the train of thought runs in circles for the committee . JW and SATO and SPRIGVILLE minus the SUMMA is 88% PERMANENT CAPACITY, OBJECTIVE 2 MET RIGHT THERE , I am not sure how the comments in the committee saying that objectives are not met? IN 2025 , Please Look at things we pointed out , the PROJECTIONS ARE TOO AGGRESSIVE . SPRINGVILLE IS MOSTLY BUILT OUT BUT BSD is showing that Springville is growing more than SATO....HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE?PLEASE PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT COMMUNITIES AND MAKE KIDS COMMUTE CRAZY BASED ON BSD's OVERESTIMATION . THE same overestimation that LET BSD SLIDE INTO A BUDGET FIASCO JUST LAST YEAR.
02/26/2020 7:05 PM	Priya Mani	heypriya@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			For Jacob Wismer elementary, preventing Stoller overcrowding and having our entire school including our Summa in Stoller is our only request. We are 395 kids from Jacob Wismer to Stoller. We come from stable neighborhoods with no more growth or plans for expansion. We are 100% walkable to Stoller, BSD recently presented us with a walking school bus award! Stoller is in our backyard. We understand the dilemma the Committee faces in balancing capacity with community, and applaud those Committee Members who were outspoken enough to realize what is right for most, rather than some. Slicing Stoller MS by reducing JW feeder composition to Sunset HS places an enormous burden on Jacob Wismer ES students, it takes it down from a 41% to single digit %! Our neighboring Oak Hills East community is also in a similar situation with 14% Sunset HS feeder pattern, a fact that the Committee recognized needs fixing. We urge the Committee to make it right by feeding Oak Hills East into Timberland MS. In the same breath, we also urge the Committee to learn from their past academically isolating maneuvers and to not repeat this isolation with Jacob Wismer Sunset-bound students.
02/26/2020 1:49 PM	Priyanka	priyankasep@yahoo.com					BSD projections can be an issue. Springville area is largely built. There is very little area left to be built. SATO on the other hand is having a lot of land getting ready to be built on. So, if BSD is showing more growth in Springville than Sato, something ought to be wrong. Only way Springville can grow now is if all Springville parents decide to make more babies to fill Stoller. So based on these projections for 2025 which may or may not be true, we are jeopardizing the future of Springville kids in 2021. Please focus on 2021 at 90%. That extra 10% we are leaving is to accommodate future growth. Don't be distracted please.
02/26/2020 11:09 AM	Priyanka Sharma	priyankasep@yahoo.com					Feb 25th meeting. Oh my god! It was like do you want ice cream. 8 votes. And 9th person saying I would take ice cream only if it comes with chocolate. And then next question. Do you want ice cream with chocolate? confusion... confusion... confusion... 7 votes. Community members are in shock. Summa... Summa... Summa... ok Summa removed now, don't worry about it... less than 7 votes. Community members are awestruck.Dear committee members, please check that 2025 is distracting you. These schools have portable worth millions of tax payer dollars. Any possible overage in 2025 can be temporarily hosted in portable. It is not a big deal. Portables are in use for many many years. After 2025 the number are projected to decline. You can't take care of things for eternity. Please follow the members who said we need to make progress and can't continue back and forth forever and you have all the maps and all the data that is ever needed to make the decision. Rejecting this beautiful map is a grave mistake. Please reconsider it.
02/26/2020 11:31 PM	Pronab Das	Pronab.das@qorvo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Please keep Findley ES in Stoller! Thanks
02/26/2020 1:40 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,I would like to thank you first for the work you are doing to come up with a middle school boundary which meets BSD stated goals. We understand it is a hard problem and there are lot of communities that are passionately involved in this process. I hope you take a longer view of the problem so that we don't end in the same situation in few years.One of the objectives of the committee is to adjust the boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approx 90% of permanent capacity(which is ~973 students). And in 02/25 meeting, committee have voted not to split JW and Sato attendance areas for Stoller. If you combine the total numbers for these two schools for 2021(395+396 = 791) and 2025(388+559=947) , we are already at or above 90% permanent capacity of Stoller. This leaves no rooms for an additional school to fit in. We already know some of these projected numbers are conservative as seen by the current enrollments which are above projection. I understand these numbers also include Summa kids for JW and Sato and the decision of Summa location will be decided by Teaching and Learning Department. But the numbers show that there are enough summa kids from these schools to support summa program at Stoller. For year 2021, nearly 45% of JW kids qualified for Summa. Instead of splitting another ES and feeding into Stoller, I would urge committee to consider moving this ES entirely to a different MS where there is capacity. This also helps in eliminating split feeders schools and provide a sustainable MS to HS feeder pattern.
02/26/2020 10:01 AM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		After watching 02/25 meeting, I came away with an opinion that committee is still not clear on it's goals and how to go about to achieve it. We are still in the same position as few months ago and they go round and round discussing the same issue(Stoller overcrowding) without reaching a decision. Whether it is split feeders, meeting 2021/2025 numbers, portables vs permanent capacity, redrawing elementary school boundaries, transportation, proximity, Summa vs Non-Summa, free reduced lunch program, the committee is all over the place. I feel like the committee is not prepared to make bold decisions. Overall, Mr. Sparks did a good job of trying to steer the committee to make some decisions but it is clear that they are not prepared to make a bold decision.The map which was created for the meeting even surprised the committee members who mentioned that they didn't expect this map. This map was supposed to be a result of the committee feedback from previous meeting. I hope committee look at clearly stated goals, start looking at the data and take emotions away and make bold decisions for overall benefit of BSD students.
02/26/2020 11:23 PM	PZ	pzhang.npu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		2025 estimations are over projections as most of Springville is already built out BUT BSD is Projection even more growth for SPV than SATO.... totally incorrect... 2025 might be a NON EXISTENT ISSUE IN REALITY. DO NOT SACRIFICE KIDS FOR THE OVER ESTIMATION 2025!
02/26/2020 10:00 AM	Rachel Vinson	rachelvinson@flash.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I am writing to show support of Cooper Mountain feeding either to Highland Park or to have the boundary remain as it is with the current split. As a parent who children went through Cooper Mountain Elementary, and are currently in middle school, this will provide the least disruption for the least amount of families. Currently, the majority of Cooper Mountain students go to Highland Park. It seems to me that it just does not make sense to relocate the majority of those kids who have already established relationships at their current middle school. Please, I am asking you to seriously consider the needs of the majority of these students and their families.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 10:08 PM	Ragu Venkatesan	vragu98@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	I have closely watched all the debates and followed all the various proposals put forth till now. My main objectives align with the district's policies : Reduce overcrowding, maintain walkability, save \$ for classrooms. I had kept a very open mind and was open to all possibilities including some of the more unpalatable ones such as splitting ES-&MS feeders. I have no problem with moving programs like Summa to other schools where there is more capacity. Over the past several months, we have tried every permutation possible, and now I think one conclusion is inescapable : If we are to make a sincere attempt to reduce Stoller enrollment to around 90% of permanent capacity by 2021, then the entire Springville school cannot feed into Stoller. Either Springville ES must be split up with a part of it remaining in Stoller and the remaining going to FiveOaks, or entire Springville needs to move to FiveOaks. I would like to know which of these two options is more acceptable for Springville. Both have their pros and cons. I do believe that we should try our best not to split feeders, and I imagine that most folks would not like Springville to be split, but I might be wrong. Regardless, this configuration starts to look a lot like one of the Map C variants which was first proposed by the BSD staff. I believe the BSD staff's recommendation is the most non-partisan, fact based solution. It should definitely be tweaked based on local parent inputs, but is overall a great map to use.
02/26/2020 11:32 PM	Ragu Venkatesan	vragu98@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	Based on the Feb 25th meeting, the committee decided to explore splitting elementary schools to reduce Stoller capacity. Moving summa out of Stoller should also be on the table. I have no issue with moving summa out of Stoller. If parents choose summa over their neighborhood schools, they should be prepared to drive/commute longer. But there is another side effect to doing that which has been overlooked. Look at it from the standpoint of the non-summa Jacob Wismer kids, who remain at stoller. Their classroom got split since a big chunk of their classmates had to move to Timberland for summa. Therefore, moving Summa out of Stoller is akin to splitting the JacobWismer ES, not geographically but academically. Even if summa were moved out of Stoller, and Springville + Sato +JW continued to feed to Stoller, it will still leave Stoller over capacity. Similarly, splitting Sato while keeping all of Springville and JW in Stoller also does not reduce Stoller capacity (as shown in the the Map A variants). A better alternative would be to send either whole of Springville to Five Oaks or split Springville (similar to the Map C variants). I say that is better, because it reduces Stoller enrollment the most and has the lowest \$ cost for transportation (saving something like 300K \$ per year, which is 30K \$ per middle school). Conclusion : Neither splitting Sato, nor moving summa out of Stoller reduces Stoller's enrollment as much as splitting Springville or moving springville completely out of Stoller does.
02/26/2020 9:20 AM	Rahul	jagalatap1@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear AC members - thank you for your time and deliberations.Please do not focus on 2025 numbers. It doesnt make sense to split schools in 2021 for 2025 numbers. Also the "overcrowding" is just 114% and other schools like JW are more than 100% today. We are not splitting JW today because its more than 100%, are we? So why would we split Springville today for a potential in 2025?
02/26/2020 8:47 AM	Raj Kumar Andhole	randhole@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MISSED OPPORTUNITY ON ONE MAP VOTINGThe meeting on Feb 25th was a total disappointment. A lot of time was spent on SUMMA program when it is an optional program and should not be prioritized over general education. There seemed to be a lot of confusion on how the questions were asked and voted. There was a missed opportunity when there was a chance to probe why the other 8 committee members voted no on the one MAP that was discussed. There is a possibility that they were unclear on all the aspects of the question.
02/26/2020 11:33 AM	Rajesh Kumar Thakur	rktthakur@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I urge the committee members to keep the need for a good middle school education for all BSD members at the heart of their decisions. That starts with keeping communities united!! am very happy to see that in this new working map, Rock Creek is at least being allowed to remain with some northern Westview feeding schools.We are adamantly opposed to being removed from both our Springville neighbors and our Bethany neighbors, as has been proposed in several other working maps.At Rock Creek, we value community and quality education over everything else. We want to be with our Westview feeding northern community. And we do not want our small elementary school split.Please keep Rock Creek with one of our two closest neighbors in Springville and Bethany.RegardsRajesh
02/26/2020 12:59 PM	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee, the Stoller middle school is located across the road from Jacob Wismer Elementary school. both schools are surrounded by a large number of students and many of these kids WALK TO SCHOOL and wrt Stoller 100% of Jacob Wismer kids are in the walkable zone. My kid is a 5th grader and has been walking for the past year rain or shine along with several friends which would continue when they moved to Stoller. Given middle school start times walking is THE option for them to get to school as parents going to work will not wait to drop kids and get to work by ~10am. It makes no sense to move even a fraction of this huge walking population to a different school. Please make smart decisions on how to use our Tax Dollars for BSD. The more we spend on kids the better it is for our schools and the district.
02/26/2020 11:34 AM	RAMA KRISHNA BATCHU	batchu.sap@gmail.com	Springville K-8				DO NOT SPREAD LIES ABOUT DEVELOPMENT IN SPRINGVILLE AREAI participated FEB25 meeting and noticed that you guys are pointed out there is huge potential development will be there in Springville area. This is TOTAL FALSE statement. Kindly visit Springville area and do the physical checkup rather than showing wrong information. There is no space at Springville for new development and all new development activities which are going in SATO space and not from Springville as there is no space for that. If you need any support to walk through this, please reach out to any one from Springville. we are happy to assist you and show the reality.Since you are showing wrong growth in Springville and this will be having an impact on 2025 numbers as well. PLEASE, DO THE RIGHT THING WITH ACCURATE INFORMATION
02/26/2020 11:15 AM	Ramanath	ramanath.raju@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I attended the 25th Feb meeting and it was highly disappointing.There is a clear cut direction to the Advisory committee that the goal for the boundary adjustment is 90% for 2021. The map presented clearly satisfies this by being at 88% at Stoller if you send SUMMA kids to Timberland. It was an idea of a couple of the committee members that we should look at 95% utilization for 2025 (I don't know how they came up with that numbers). The committee kept on wasting time talking about this fictitious 2025 target of 95%.Please make it clear to the advisory committee that there are workable solutions for this problem. the map clearly got the votes for 8 members. if you consider the portables (which are not going to be dismantled as per BSD) the percentage utilization is at 89%. It is appalling that the committee is willing to waste time and resources to talk about splitting the schools and go back 3 steps from where we are, looking at all the elementary school splits as they are not ready to let Stoller use the portables, that too by 2025. Who knows what is in store for 2025!Please do the correct thing and let the Map of 25th be debated on with ways to move forward with it.
02/26/2020 10:05 PM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,One of your own committe members talked about how how these maps should go beyond numbers.Please ensure that the small knit communities like FE stick together, no matter how these changes are implemented.Change is always hard for any age, specially these younger aged kids and only comfort is atleast they stay together. Please don't make splits that can be easily avoided.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 10:09 PM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committe,I would like to highlight one thing if SPLIT is absolutely unavoidable.Please make very careful choices while splitting elementary.Splitting Findley is a very bad idea, as everyone knows all of Findley feeds into MS together and then proceed onto Sunset as a HS feeder TOGETHER. It makes absolutely no SENSE to split our elementary just to meet Numbers. Splitting an elementary school that eventually feeds into more than one HS eventually MAKES MORE SENSE, than to split an elementary which naturally feeds TOGETHE ALL ALONG
02/26/2020 9:38 PM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee.It was heartwarming to see you all acknowledge our concerns via these comments. This makes us feel like we are being heard so thank you and hope that you will continue to do so.PLEASE DON'T SPLIT FINDLEY. I have 2 daughters in Findley and one is ready to start Stoller this year. And we are in the so called non-walkable area of Stoller from FE. My daughter will be split from all her friends in the middle of the middle school (7th), in the event of a split that is based on walkability. PLEASE DONT ISOLATE NON-WALKABLE KIDS from Findley.
02/26/2020 9:50 PM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Committee,I see a lot of comments pouring in from SPV that they want to stay in STOLLER to maintain their close relationships with SATO and not to be isolated.If Findley was to get moved to TL or even WORSE NON-WALKABLE ONLY, that will create a situation very much equivalent to SPV isolation. So there is going to be isolation no matter how these boundary changes are implemented.Its important to make a decison based on numbers I agree. Please ensure that kids who are the most impacted as part of this proces are kept in mind while making these numbers work!
02/26/2020 11:05 AM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members, I was utterly dismayed at the Feb 25 meeting. We are back to square one. The working map had NO SCHOOL SPLITS AND kept North Bethany COMMUNITIES TOGETHER...And no one community of regular middle schoolers is subjected to an unnecessarily long, unsafe commute away from their ONLY middle school option.Reducing school splits was the main priority of the committee from the beginning of this process and a map was created that did just that and worked, but we were left with hours of squabbling over 14% - 150 kids - in ONE school FIVE years from now that has AMPLE space to accommodate them. Stoller has been nearing 200% capacity for years and no one has blinked an eye, yet a possible 14% at max growth is unacceptable. Just think about what the rest of the district thinks about that. Why is Stoller and the north so special? We are more than able to handle this if it means minimizing impacts on the rest of the district. 95% capacity in 2025 is not the objective! Even Mr. Sparks said this, but he only said it at the very end of the meeting and did not correct misleading statements from a committee member who clearly had their own biased agenda. PLEASE review the growth rates and conflicting information on Springville growth. Springville boundary is already built out. Google maps show it clearly. As one committee member said, we want to have the perfect picture but given the limitation, we can only make the best picture we can. This working map at the FEB 25 meeting does just that. Please revisit it and make sure all the committee members understand the data and have clear directions on the main objectives which is for 2021. Thank you to the committee members who actually had good suggestions to improve the current working map. Those suggestions should be revisited and actual numbers shown to see if they work.Best regards, Richell Chiu-Yap
02/26/2020 11:13 AM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members and Mr. Sparks,I was utterly dismayed at the Feb 25 meeting. We are back to square one. The working map had NO SCHOOL SPLITS AND kept North Bethany COMMUNITIES TOGETHER...And no one community of regular middle schoolers is subjected to an unnecessarily long, unsafe commute away from their ONLY middle school option.Reducing school splits was the main priority of the committee from the beginning of this process and a map was created that did just that and worked, but we were left with hours of squabbling over 14% - 150 kids - in ONE school FIVE years from now that has AMPLE space to accommodate them. Stoller has been nearing 200% capacity for years and no one has blinked an eye, yet a possible 14% at max growth is unacceptable. Just think about what the rest of the district thinks about that. Why is Stoller and the north so special? We are more than able to handle this if it means minimizing impacts on the rest of the district. 95% capacity in 2025 is not the objective! Even Mr. Sparks said this, but he only said it at the very end of the meeting and did not correct misleading statements from a committee member who clearly had their own biased agenda. PLEASE review the growth rates and conflicting information on Springville growth. Springville boundary is already built out. Google maps show it clearly. As one committee member said, we want to have the perfect picture but given the limitation, we can only make the best picture we can. This working map at the FEB 25 meeting does just that. Please revisit it and make sure all the committee members understand the data and have clear directions on the main objectives which is for 2021. Thank you to the committee members who actually had good suggestions to improve the current working map. Those suggestions should be revisited and actual numbers shown to see if they work.Best regards, Richell Chiu-Yap
02/26/2020 9:30 AM	Rowan Wepener	rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee MembersAt the meeting last night I was pleased to see a positive decision regarding splitting Oak Hills in order to be consistent with their Middle and High School feeder patterns. I am still concerned about what the outcome will be for Rock Creek. We value our community and I was pleased to see Rock Creek, Bethany and now the Western section of Oak Hills kept together in the working map of February 25th. As I understand it the committee is now going to be looking at data for moving this community group to Five Oaks, which for us would be the ideal move when considering commute time for our kids and transportation costs for the district. Thank you!
02/26/2020 11:31 PM	Rupali Madhur	rupali_madhur@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Dear committee members, All of Jacob Wismer (including SUMMA) should stay at stoller since Stoller is so close that my kids & most of their friends walk to the school. Also majority of Jacob wismer kids are SUMMA qualified. This will also encourage suitable feeder pattern for all kids. Springville & Sato together in the same middle school is going to cause overcrowding due to fast growth in homes in those areas. Please do not consider any proposals which have both of these schools together. Thanks for your time for coming up with most suitable plan for the district.Rupali
02/26/2020 11:55 AM	Ryan G Imbrie	ryan.imbrie@svn.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		It is unfortunate that last night the voice of Cooper Mountain parents was given to an individual that did not share the same position that the MAJORITY of parents hold. Cooper Mountain parents who currently have children that feed into Highland Park Middle School are NOT interested in seeing a new boundary that filters their children to Mountain View Middle School. Please do not let the one voice you heard be perceived as one that reflects the interests Cooper Mountain parents. If a one-off family prefers to feed into Mountain View, let them take that path through a transfer process.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 6:27 PM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		When moving from Stoller to Sunset, my son lost all but one friend to West View. This made the transition very difficult. Put yourself back in high school. Imagine days of eating lunch by himself, skipping school events because you don't want to go alone, and often being the odd man out when picking project teams. Being left out hurts. When my son hurts, I hurt as well. High school is a time when thriving emotionally and academically requires community and being alone and isolated is a significant disadvantage. Today, Stoller has an equitable 41% feeder into Sunset. Jacob Wismer is already split between West View and Sunset, however this is mitigated by having Findley. By removing Findley, Stoller[?]s HS representation is slashed from an equitable 41% to an anemic 17%. To further compound the issue, some suggest removing SUMMA from Stoller. Jacob Wismer[?]s 5th grade class this year has 50% SUMMA-eligible kids, so this proposal is particularly devastating to our community given that this will drop the sunset feeder % to single digit. We understand some communities must sacrifice to make the numbers work. However, slashing the feeder pattern from 41% to single digit places an outsized burden on the backs of Jacob Wismer kids. For reference, Oak Hills is at 14%, a situation everyone recognizes must be fixed. The committee must make things right for them and move Oak Hills East to Timberland. The committee must also learn from past mistakes and not repeat them for Jacob Wismer. One option to fix this is to bring back walking Findley to improve the 17% feeder for JW and allow those who want to walk to Stoller do so. However, if the committee sees no acceptable options to improve the feeder pattern for JW, then please just don[?]t make it any worse. It[?]s not acceptable for kids to end up lonely and isolated in high school by intentional and insensitive boundary design. Single digit feeder patterns are unacceptable. Keep 100% of Jacob Wismer kids together at Stoller.
02/26/2020 7:18 PM	S Ganguly	sanjoy.ganguly@kp.org	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Hello Yesterday meeting i heard several proposals that got voted by the Committee members including splitting Jacob Wismer school neighborhoods & changing East JW neighborhoods to Findley elementary etc. These are really strange & illogical proposals as without doubt you can't have the two biggest growing neighborhoods SATO and SPV both feeding to Stoller. Also it doesn't make any sense or logic to redraw boundaries for existing neighborhoods just to accommodate new developments. New developments should not be guaranteed their neighborhood schools as is the case in many states including CA. Pls don't start dividing JW neighborhoods for the sake of keeping SPV in Stoller.Thanks
02/26/2020 11:33 PM	Sabita Roy	Sabita.Roy@intel.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Keep Findley ES in Stoller, please!
02/26/2020 12:33 PM	Sara Luo	yl19982012@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We live in Bannister Creek community and my son walk to Stoller MS everyday. Workmap C is the best proposal map for Stoller boundary adjustment since it is based on distance and encouraging walk-ability which will reduce transportation cost and protect our environment. Please make our environment GREEN!!! Thanks!
02/26/2020 11:06 AM	Sarah Gradis	Sarah.e.gradis@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		THANK YOU for voting last night to fix the Oak Hills East isolation issue!!! My small neighborhood is grateful. With this change of East Oak Hills/sunset bound Oak Hills to Timberland this current working map is the best yet in terms of keeping communities together and improving the middle to high school feeder such that no middle school will be split 3 ways. That is assuming that Barnes becomes a full Beaverton feeder as they become a full dual language school. I recognize there may be a small number of kids per year that Might opt out of their dual language program in order to attend Sunset. This is difficult to predict but perhaps if there are students that opt out prior to middle school, they could be given the option to attend Timberland. In any case I do believe the vast majority will choose to stay with their peers and attend School wherever their peers and the dual language program goes. Again, Oak Hills is grateful. West side, westview bound will be with Bethany and other Westview feeding schools and East side, Sunset bound will be with Terra Linda and other Sunset feeding schools. As tough as the split of elementary school was, with both sides being embraced by their Respective high school communities in middle school we are okay. Thank you for understanding our needs and addressing them. My thoughts are with you all as you try and find a workable solution for Stoller. Though there are some relatively small issues with the current working map, it is the closest to meeting the objectives. Perhaps the 2025 overcrowding is worth keeping communities whole. Just my 2 cents.Many thanks
02/26/2020 7:31 PM	Sarah Imbrie	sarahimbrie@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	ISB	KEEP COOPER MOUNTAIN UNCHANGED. The suggestion of moving all of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View that was offered at the end of the meeting is unwarranted and unwanted. First, the speaker who represented Cooper Mountain, while entitled to her personal view, does not reflect the majority view of Cooper Mountain families as seen in the comments section of this forum. Additionally, according to the free and reduced lunch data provided by the BSD in this discussion, Mountain View has the third highest middle school percentage, not the first, as was suggested by the Cooper Mountain speaker. Second, our elementary boundary area is rapidly growing as many new homes are either being built, or land is in the process of being prepared for future development. It is illogical to consider [?]swapping[?] all of Cooper Mountain students for a small portion of Chehalem students, as this would be a large enrollment increase at Mountain View. To make this suggestion in the 11th hour without concrete numbers and without consideration of future growth is unequitable to Cooper Mountain families. In terms of walkability, the current split between Highland Park and Mountain View addresses this aspect, busing would still occur for the majority of students due to proximity and unsafe roads; changing all of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View would make little difference in busing needs. What is clear is that moving Cooper Mountain to Mountain View MS offers the potential benefit to the free/reduced lunch percentages. Where is the data to show that this move is in line with all the objectives as identified by the board objectives and board policy JC? Please consider what is best for both BSD as a whole as well as for the students affected by this change and KEEP COOPER MOUNTAIN UNCHANGED.
02/26/2020 10:24 AM	Satokowatt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD, AC members,I was very disappointed the latest meeting was discussed only 2025 numbers. Why? We wasted time....90 percent Permanent capacity goal is for 2021. JW and SPV and SATO MINUS SUMMA IS 88 PERCENT ...Objective 2 MET RIGHT THERE.....2025 is totally sustainable with this combination because BSD is heavily overestimating for already built out areas.
02/26/2020 8:44 PM	Satokowatt	Satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				No isolation, if we go to FO, it is still an island for Springville. SUMMA needs to be out from Stoller. Please send regular students to Stoller. If East OakHills goes to Timberland, please send Stoller summa to available space. Meadow or Five Oaks. Non-summa students of SPV, Sato and Jacob is 88% PC. Please focus on 2021 because BSD goal is 2021, not 2025.
02/26/2020 12:27 PM	Saurabh Jain	hundredrabh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hi,It was surprising to see that there was opposition to sending Findley 4 miles to timberline but there are no issues in sending spv 5.5+ miles to fo
02/26/2020 7:32 AM	Saurabh Jain	hundredrabh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hi, Feb 25 meeting was confusing as the focus was unnecessarily on 2025 projections which can be grossly off. Even with those projections stoller numbers were few percent off which can be dealt with portables. There were 8 yes votes for proposed map, can committee discuss what tweaks need to be made to get a majority 9th vote vs reopening the whole process all over?Thanks!

							Based on the discussions from the last meeting and the publication of the most recent map, it was a relief to see that leaving current feeder patterns for CME status quo was being proposed on the most current maps. After watching the recording of this weeks middle school boundary meeting, I'm extremely disappointed to hear that changes to the Cooper Mountain feeder patterns are again being considered. I would like to again stress that the addition of a new middle school in the northern portion of BSD should solve the capacity issues also being experienced in middle schools in the northern part of the district and there is no reason for major changes to feeder patterns for schools in the south. Especially not a change that would disrupt 75% of our current families when there is no good reason for the change. Those chosen to represent CME at the last two boundary meetings have specifically said that their viewpoints are not supported by the majority of families at CME so it seems to me like consulting the comments being submitted by CME families to understand the point of view of the majority would make more sense then to once again introduce and consider options presented that would not only create significant impacts to CME but also another school that has not asked for changes (as far as I can tell by reading the public comments.) We are way too late in this process to be flip flopping on huge changes to our school community. If wealth distribution and diversity are a criteria for the boundary discussion then it needs to be a criteria that is consistently used for all the boundary decisions across BSD schools and not a criteria for only the CME feeder patterns that will cause a disruption to 75% of current CME families, most of which are not supportive of this change. The fact that we are this far into the process and the map proposals for CME are still shifting significantly from week to week is frustrating and stressful. This is not healthy for our families and is causing disruption and highly emotional discussions in our own school community that is not productive or good for our community. I strongly believe that leaving things status quo is the best option and is supported by the majority of CME families. Please move forward with the most current map proposal and keep CME status quo.
02/26/2020 9:23 PM	Scoti K Snider	scoti.snider@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		
02/26/2020 4:11 AM	Shannon Budd	Sbuss1@hotmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		Please select map C for walk ability and transportation costs, diversity and balanced middle school capacity
02/26/2020 1:57 PM	Sharon Zell	sharonzell@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		My thanks to the committee for continuing this difficult task. As you work, Rock Creek asks you to keep our small community in mind. Our kids deserve equal consideration—they should not be an afterthought just because our school is so much smaller than some of the newer schools. Rock Creek deserves to be with at least one of its northern neighbors—either Bethany or Springville. A possibility of Rock Creek, Bethany and the Westview feeding portion of Oak Hills going together to Five Oaks is much preferred in our community to the Meadow Park option, given the long bus time and the greater transportation expenses. Care must be taken though, to ensure that we are not removed from an overcrowded Stoller and sent to an overcrowded Five Oaks.Once again, I urge BSD to help the committee to define its priorities. Without those priorities, which the committee has repeatedly asked for, the stalemate will continue. No one wants to leave Stoller, no one will be happy to be re-zoned, and yet, it is a necessity. Solid priorities will help make sense of a complex puzzle and give needed guidance to make difficult decisions.
02/26/2020 11:34 PM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Yesterday there were lot of concerns about bussing distance for the walkable part of Findley feeding to Timberland. The bussing distance was 4 miles. Committee Members Please Do Not Forget Springville Kids, the bussing distance to Five Oaks is greater than 5 miles. Please feel for Springville Kids. Please Keep Springville Kids in Stoller, our Neighborhood Middle School.
02/26/2020 12:19 AM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		WE DO NOT WANT SPLIT.....Please.After so many meeting, the committee came to a map that have minimum split. Majority of the people and school agrees with it. Please do not change it. #KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER
02/26/2020 12:31 AM	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Springville + Sato + Jacob Wismer in Stoller is 88% in 2021. This is the data without Summa.Springville + Sato + Jacob Wismer in Stoller is very much possible. Incase there is overcrowding in 2025, few portables can be used. Majority of Stoller kids will feed in WestView. Westview is the most overcrowded High School and use portables.
02/26/2020 8:51 PM	Sheng	Lishenggz@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		1.Please No isolation, if Springville goes to FO, it is an island for Springville2. 2025 projection is not accurate, shouldn't sacrifice one generation kids for unpredictable 20253. Consider Regular kids over SUMMA
02/26/2020 10:24 PM	Shilpa R	lshilps@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a current Findley and Stoller parent and someone who watched the live event play out yesterday I must admit I felt let down. First - I must acknowledge and thank the committee for doing a very difficult job. However what was disconcerting to me watching the event live, it seemed as though the committee is almost scared to consider even splitting or moving SPV out of Stoller even though the data clearly shows that it has to be considered. Second, they are willing to uproot and displace proximity Findley and even JW (really?) - communities that sprouted around Stoller with the intent to have Stoller as neighborhood school, for new ill-planned development. Why are we paying the price for poor planning on the part of the county and even BSD that built a MS in the wrong location. And no I don[?]t own a million dollar home as some seem to incorrectly point out that we are worried about the price of homes - we bought a modest 350K home close to schools we liked a decade ago with the intent to send our kids to these schools. Three, it is apparent that Sato and SPV together can not be accommodated in Stoller yet the committee insists on using the current - and in my mind inadmissible map as a starting point. This taxes 100s of kids within walking, taxes SUMMA kids from multiple feeder schools and puts a heavy burden on BSD resources to provide additional buses and bus drivers and it does not even solve the Stoller over crowding problem. And I am not sure if committee members are even aware that there is a section of Saltzman, where it meets Laidlaw that is pretty much non-traversable in any ice or snow condition. The committee Cannot and Must not feel helpless against the hype generated by the most populous and loudest crowds. I hope they can rise above that and do the right thing for communities closest to Stoller first and then try their best to accommodate communities that are farther away by providing options of home and away schools so there is balance and equity in both proximity and HS feeder patterns. Thank you!
02/26/2020 10:13 PM	SIDHUA	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SUPPORT MAP A. FOCUS ON 90% FOR 2021 NOT 2025why is the committe going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongstyourselves before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shoudln'tcommitte be focusing on it with certain revisions like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland.When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce.Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND B FOR 2025. There are other schools with more than 90% capacity in 2025. So why only Stoller is being brought into picture.FINALLY THERE WAS MORE THAN 7 VOTES FOR MAP A, YET WHY IS THE COMMITTEE TRYING TO THINK BACK INTO MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS FOR WHICH THERE WAS LESS THAN 5-6 VOTES. WITH RESPECT TO SPARKS STATEMENT IF THE % OF VOTES IS A CRITERIA THEN THE MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED AT ALLBASED ON VOTES.

2.26.20 MS BOUNDARY PUBLIC COMMENTS

02/26/2020 8:51 AM	Siri	Abc@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				I would like to point out to the BSD committee that oakridge estates is not the only walkable community to stoller. The part of findley next to jw on the east part of stoller is also within walkable distance. BsD committee has falsely assumed that there is no walk path on laidlaw you stoller so this area is not walking distanceto stoller. THIS IS NOT TRUE. Kids walk back and forth safely yo stoller frm our neighborhood from within the community using greenwood drive which connects to the back of stoller avoiding all traffic. This is how All Jw and findley kids living in the east part of stoller walk every single day. Perhaps the committee should take time to see this beautiful sight one day. With lots of our community kids JW and findley walking together laughing together. Its just so relaxing to see this. And you propose depriving our kids of this while bringing in some foriegners to go to our neighborhood school. Stoller is in our neighborhood. Its in our backyard. Purpose of a neighborhood scho is to serve the community around it. With the current map you are depriving our kids of their basic rights. And the small things they enjoy daily, thwir qalks quth their neighboring community. This is not fair. These kids deserve justice. They have been ignored sunce the beginning of these meetings. Us, their parents have not been heard since the beginning. We are not given the opportunity to talk till date. And our comments are being clearly ignored. We hear the committee ignore us and make false assumptions about us and our neighborhood and yet, we are not given a chance to correct you.Please wake up. Please do justice to our kids. Don't deprive them of their basic joys of everyday life.
02/26/2020 10:32 AM	SM	Misrashikha@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear advisory committee,Thank you again for all your work! Please think of all the communities and all our children when making your decision. You have a chance to give them smaller class sizes at Stoller which they can enjoy with their friends. Just sending one farthest community to an extra 10 minute bus ride each day will solve overcrowding for all (including that community) for several years to come. Please let JW, Summa, and walkable Findley go to Stoller. These legacy communities deserve to stay at Stoller. Newer communities should be sent to other schools. We have to look at the bigger picture and try to solve this overcrowding issue for long term. We do not want to be back to square one in 2025. BSD deserves better. Do not let your hard work go to waste. Make a wise decision that will actually solve the issue at hand.Thanks!
02/26/2020 12:23 PM	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Dear Committee members, I would urge you to find a solution to Jacob Wismer feeder pattern issue just like you did for Oak Hills East. As our representative speaker mentioned, we would be in a minority single digit number at Sunset and would go to high school without knowing many of our peers. As we have seen said by Mr Sparks during the discussions that high school boundary is a separate discussion by a separate committee. Please do not go this route as it would just leave our kids stranded for another few years. Please use all the data to see that the ideal solution is to make Stoller a healthy mixed feeder into Sunset and Westview and it can be done. Similarly Timberland can be a Sunset only feeder school and Five Oaks a Westview only feeder school.
02/26/2020 10:47 PM	SR	s_ragam@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We live in the Bannister Creek neighborhood with a 1st grader in Findley elementary and a 6th grader who graduated from Findley and going to Stoller. Our 6th grader has walked to school and back though he has other commitments that prevent him from doing it everyday. Our neighbor[?]s daughter who lives across the street walks back and forth every single day, rain or shine. Our immediate neighbor[?]s daughter did the same for the 3 years she attended Stoller - she is now in high school. Bottom line is that Stoller gives us the proximity and ability to walk - do not disrupt that. We strongly feel that communities in proximity to Stoller should not be disrupted just so BSD can accommodate new growth areas that are miles away and because the MS was built in the wrong location. Offer a intermediate solution of bussing SPV and or Sato or some combination there off - that are already on buses to either Timberland or Five Oaks until a more permanent proximity MS is made available for that community. Thank you.
02/26/2020 9:44 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I would like to reiterate that the JC objectives are 90% in 2021. The working map published for the 2/25 meeting meets these objective. JW, Sato and springville can all fit into Stoller with Summa moved to Timberland. Even the 2025 numbers with this scenario is just 114% As published by BSD. Let's focus on 2021 and not draw lines with ambiguous 2025 numbers. It is not 150% like what it is today at Stoller. Let's please not split schools. Let's not have our kids commute to a school all the way to the south. Stoller is our home school and ONLY middle school that's PROXIMAL to springville.
02/26/2020 9:46 AM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				As pointed out by many in the community, I would like to reiterate that Springville is the NINTH FURTHEST school in the Bethany area from FIVE OAKS. The distance to five oaks for the Bethany elementary schools goes in the following order (nearest to furthest):Oak hills (2.0 miles)Rock creek (2.1 miles)Terra Linda (2.4 miles)Bethany (2.4 miles)Cedar mill (3.6 miles)Jacob Wismer (3.7 miles)Findley (3.8 miles)Bonny slope (4.2 miles)Sato (4.3 miles)SPRINGVILLE (4.3 miles)Per BSD's JC factors, PROXIMITY matters. Five oaks is CLEARLY NOT proximal to SPRINGVILLE. So why would we even consider sending Springville kids to Five Oaks?
02/26/2020 6:50 PM	Srilatha	Injam.srilatha@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Keep summa and Jacob wiser in stroller to keep communities together as we are in wall sharing communities .
02/26/2020 9:51 PM	Stacey Nagata	campingmoe@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem		Sunset		Thank you for your hard work on the boundary map! Please consider the facts and use logic as you develop the final map. Keeping Jacob Wismer, walking Findley and SUMMA together at Stoller will ensure a healthy feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset. Preserving walkability will minimize transportation cost and will help reduce traffic around the school. Please look at the exploding growth numbers in Springville and Sato communities. One of the main objectives is to prevent overcrowding at all middle schools. It[?]s a fact that JW, Sato, SPV and SUMMA don[?]t all fit in Stoller. Even without SUMMA, Stoller will be overcrowded within a few years. Splits are not preferred, but may be a necessary step to a sustainable resolution. It would make sense to move the farthest community to another middle school, since those students would need to be bused anyway.Thank you for your consideration!KeepJWtogetherSUMMA@Stoller4HSFeederPreserveWalkability
02/26/2020 1:27 PM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Thank you for hearing and addressing the Oak Hills concerns. Oak Hills West identifies with the Bethany neighborhood. Please keep Oak Hills West with Bethany and other Westview bound schools for a clean, consistent feeder pattern all the way to high school. Please keep in mind that Barnes will become a full Dual Language OPTION school and feeds to Beaverton High. Those families have committed to that program. If those students opt out of Beaverton High, then that's their choice to go to a different high school. Please keep Oak Hills West with Bethany and other Westview bound schools.
02/26/2020 1:37 PM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Oak Hills East to Timberland. Barnes is an option school and feeds to Beaverton High. If there are a few students that opt out of their program to go to Sunset, that's their choice. They committed to their dual language program.
02/26/2020 1:46 PM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Please keep Oak Hills West with Bethany and nothern neighbors - Rock Creek and possibly Springville. Please keep Oak Hills West with other Westview bound schools. Perhaps Five Oaks could have Oak Hills West, Bethany, Rock Creek and Springville as its feeders - to alliviate Stoller congestion - and move other south Five Oaks schools to Meadow Park to make the numbers work. If this were the case, please consider moving Summa from Medow Park to Five Oaks to accommodate the changing needs of the student population.

02/26/2020 3:34 PM	Steffy Julius	Steffyjoseph1910@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			SHAME ON YOU BSD for not building a middle school in the Bethany area where we need the middle school most. On behalf of that, you are pulling Springville kids out from the community and sending them to aMiddle school further south ?????? It[?]s your fault BSD. Take the SUMA program out from Stroller and feed the neighborhood kids into the closest middle school in the community and FIX THIS ISSUE.
02/26/2020 8:37 PM	Stephanie R	Stephanie12@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		My two cents:•No one is saying one school is better than the other. What is being said is, [?]as parents we have our preference on which schools we would like our kids to attend[?]•If MVMS parents do not want HPMS as a clean feeder to MHS then time to go back to the status quo map - Highland Park/Mt. View split is the best option to accommodate ALL Cooper Mountain families. If those who currently have kids at MVMS continue with complaints that their kids get split from elementary peers, then send them onto AHS. HP split continues on to Mountainside HS. Keep it clean & put an end to the back and forth...it[?]s so crazy sad that[?]s this has gotten to this level. •Address equity needs at a funding level. This is NOT a boundary concern! •Changing boundaries doesn[?]t bring the equity needed.•It[?]s a bit concerning that two consecutive boundary meetings both parents that were chosen to speak from Cooper Mountain have children at MVMS. Interesting! •Bringing in a mass quantity of students and pushing capacity over the limits seems like it would be taxing on the school, teachers, students and not bring a positive change. •I agree that some BSD middle schools need attention with funding but take your argument to a board budget meeting or through the Student Success Act. Tackle it properly. Stop overwhelming the boundary committee as they already have a full plate of big decisions. •It would be sad to see a school inundated and overwhelmed. •I[?]ve heard some good things about Mt. View from friends who have kids there, kids that went there...heck, I went there. Doesn[?]t mean that[?]s the choice I want for my kids and it certainly doesn[?]t mean I don[?]t like MVMS. •I truly wish for the best for ALL schools. It[?]s ridiculous that it[?]s even gotten to this point. Disruption of so many families from two elementary schools isn[?]t the answer. Funding and reworking the budget to align middle schools properly is.
02/26/2020 12:35 PM	Steven and Raquel Bookout	incapsyd@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				SUMMA, SUMMA, SUMMA..... It has been frustrating that the subject of SUMMA is monopolizing the time at the committee meetings. To verbalize this with statistics, the time is being spent on discussing approx. 12.5% of the entire student population! At the last committee meeting on 2.25.2020 it was discussed by the committee that the Oak Hills boundary would be discussed at some point....it was not. The comment board suggests that many Oak Hills parents have strong opinions on the matter, yet their concerns are being bypassed repetitively. East Oak Hills needs to be included with Timberland! However, if the community is given no time by the committee, a decision will be made that will not take the communities needs into consideration. If a decision is needed soon, the moderators need to enforce equity during these committees a take precedent. There cannot be this perpetual discussion of SUMMA at every meeting and ignore the other communities. As Terra Linda parents we agree with the newest Map (February 25th Working Map) that was presented. It allows the Cedar Mill community to remain united and facilitates lifelong friendships amongst the students. From a logistics perspective, it would have been foolhardy to request that Terra Linda families that live on Saltzman be bussed to either Meadow Park or Cedar Park, given the proximity to Timberland. On a separate note, it was frustrating that many parents were asked to view the committee meeting in the gymnasium on a projector screen with audio that was at time incomprehensible. The parents who attend the meetings are proactive and care about their children[?]s education. The boundary topic is of great interest in the community and there should be no capacity limitations on the venues. Given the public interest it would be beneficial if an alternative location was selected for the 3.12.2020 meeting.
02/26/2020 8:35 AM	Stinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/26/2020 12:28 PM	Subhashini Sreeramsetty	s_subhashini123@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills (East) joining Timberland along with others!!! Moving East Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will not only end isolation at Sunset but also certainly reduce the depression among the east oak hills kids. As parents our kid[?]s emotional health matters and once again thank you for voting to move Oak Hills (East) to Timberland middle school. We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles.Thank you!
02/26/2020 10:42 AM	SUBRAMANYAM SRIPADA	ssubra_99@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,While you stress that [?]Proximity[?] has equal priority to other factors in the beginning of every meeting, we still see [?]walkability[?] is not getting considered in the Maps. From last evening[?]s meeting, we (as Findley Walking community) wanted to stress [?]Walking Findley[?] includes 4 neighborhoods – not just Oak Ridge. It is ALSO Bethany Heights, Bannister Heights and Oak Meadows.We drafted a small letter with map here, PLEASE PLEASE take time to consider this: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1s4NdlCOUIIWITks6BIHgreZDos00LIXNcaZaikEYdp8/edit?usp&#61;sharing As you said yesterday, community is not formed by school boundaries – as you can see from map, Bethany Heights and Bannister Heights are close to JW community neighbors.
02/26/2020 10:06 PM	Sudhakar Thatikonda	sathyasudha@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I would request the committee not to split SATO and keep us with Stoller. We live in Pirate Park community and our kids have already went through a lot during elementary boundary adjustments in the last couple of years. I hope the committee will read these comments and keep us together with Stoller. I would not support splitting SATO at all.
02/26/2020 3:56 PM	Suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Based on the yesterday meeting two of the committee mentioned to bring Map C 2.1 so that no split happen in elementary. Even committee don't want to see the split, currently they don't have option to look the numbers because summa is not decided number for 2025 is back to 130 % in stoller we are same and other concerns committee is current number is not matching the enrollment in spring ville. for eg given kinder garden enrollment 86 vs 130. strongly feel both growing community can't keep in same school. also decline in south need to match as well. we need change little bit to accommodate in timber land. Oaks hills currently feed to Sunset can move to timberland, Tera linda can be moved + Summa Program from meadow park can be moved.This will provide more walk ability , proximity and reduce transport cost as well. Five Oaks : Spring Ville + Rockcreek + BethanyStoller: Findley, Jacob and SatoWhich satisfy the number in stollers and also solve the numbers decline in five oaks in 2025 numbers.I feel now we should not consider sato and spring ville together in any feature maps other wise it will hard to come final. As committee mentioned moving springville to five oaks which will be right solution. Other wise we need to meet once again for dropping / increasing numbers in other school in another 2 years.Thanks for the opportunities to provide our feedback.
02/26/2020 10:00 AM	Sushanthi	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Applause and yelling from every school is OK but not Springville ??!!! There is no equity or equality here. Why isn't every school asked to stop applause after their speech.So unfair how the meeting went yesterday.

02/26/2020 9:57 AM	sushanthi	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8				2021 objective 90% stoller . I am astound at how yesterday’s meeting was driven. The objective for Stoller is 90% in 2021. Stoller without Summa is 88% , objective is met already. 114% in 2025 which is a far cry from what we have right now and declining afterwards. These are future predictions and we have seen how BSD over-projected and had budget issues last year.Even if they projected right, how does a community that is already built out add more students than SATO ??Do no uproot an entire community based on an over estimated projection and even with the over estimation, Stoller is not overcrowded.
02/26/2020 8:43 AM	Suzi Fei	suzifei@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				Dear Committee,Thank you SO MUCH for voting to support Oak Hills!!! We will be so relieved if, when the dust settles, our Westview-bound kids head to middle school with Bethany and our Sunset-bound kids head to middle school with Terra Linda. Which building they end up in doesn[?]t even really matter to us as long as west is with Bethany and east is with Terra Linda. Since Terra Linda really wants to go to Timberland, please continue supporting Sunset-Bound Oak Hills East in joining them at Timberland. I know this leaves a little bit of North Barnes alone at Sunset coming from Meadow Park. I imagine over time most of those kids will follow their dual language friends to Beaverton HS thanks to open enrollment there. I think leaving North Barnes in Sunset won[?]t hurt them as long as they have the option to go to HS with their friends if they want to choose that. From talking with Barnes parents, it sounds to me like Barnes would prefer their dual language program to stay at Meadow Park with North Barnes feeding to Sunset due to proximity.I am also aware of the concerns that Jacob Wismer families have that they are split between high schools and between summa/non-summa. No matter who ends up at Stoller and where summa goes, it may be prudent to consider recommending that all of Jacob Wismer feed to Sunset to keep them together and to relieve overcrowding at Westview. I know that[?]s a different solution than what Oak Hills asked for to address our similar concern, but that is because JW walks to Stoller whereas in Oak Hills, part of west Oak Hills walks to Westview and east Oak Hills walks to Sunset. Oak Hills wants to be split in MS to stay with our HS-feeding neighbors. JW needs to stay in Stoller but also needs to have enough friends at high school no matter where summa goes. Given Westview overcrowding, perhaps all of JW should simply feed into Sunset. Long story short, please keep an open mind about minor HS boundary adjustments if MS boundaries end up not working well for small pockets of kids. Thank you again for hearing us and voting to end the isolation of Sunset-bound Oak Hills East kids!-Suzi
02/26/2020 11:47 AM	Swathi	eswathi2708@gmail.com	Springville K-8				STICK ON OBJECTIVE OF 2021 AND NOT ON 2025As per discussions on FEB 13th meeting, our goal/objective is to have 90% of capacity at Stroller for 2021 and not to focus on 2025 as we do not have any strong numbers to show what would be in 2025. The whole meeting on FEB13th was discussed on this same note and considered Summa out of Stroller, which will keep Stroller 88% in 2021. We have ignored this PRIMARY OBJECTIVE and started discussions about 2025 numbers again on FEB 25th and whole meeting time was wasted to discuss on this NON PRIORITY OBJECTIVE and Wasted all Committee members time and 400 Family parents time as well who traveled so many miles to participate this meeting.KINDLY FOCUS ON 2021 AND NOT ON 2025
02/26/2020 1:40 PM	Tara Powers	torandpowers@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Dear Steven Sparks and the MSBAD committee,It amazed me that the committee spent nearly 3 hours discussing the plight of Stoller last night. Namely three full hours discussing ONLY Findley and JW, walkable or not walkable sections and, of course SUMMA. What could the committee have accomplished if they had spent three hours on equity? Were there any votes to help Aloha Huber move somewhere with a dual-language program? Did anyone hear the public testimony on the plight of that school? Can we focus on getting them to a closer middle school AND one with a dual language program? The committee is sending a loud signal that poorer schools and smaller schools don't matter. And Rock Creek—a tiny school with the highest percentage of kids from poverty in the North is strewn aside, again and again. "How did Rock Creek end up at Meadow Park?"; committee members ask bewilderingly. (I know they attended the last meeting where at least ONE committee member mentioned that Rock Creek is being marginalized.) Also, there was a resounding, "You can't send Aloha Huber to Cedar Park. Those kids have to pass through a Middle School boundary to do that!" Not one person stated that the district is doing JUST THAT with Rock Creek. Sending kids miles and miles and miles from their closest middle school to Meadow Park. I surmise that no one noticed we were there! But we didn't complain much, because we have no leg to stand on AND at least we were paired with Bethany and Oak Hills. "Bring back Map C 2.1!" where Rock Creek is ALONE at Five Oaks with no community? No one cares. Why, because we don't matter and because the voices of the wealthy matter most. That's why we spent three hours talking about Stoller and what small areas might be swapped. Why doesn't BSD and the committee just admit that Rock Creek doesn't matter. Aloha Huber doesn't matter. No one represents us on the committee from Stoller, Meadow Park OR Five Oaks. Is there any chance to the committee will start caring?Tara Powers
02/26/2020 3:26 PM	Uma	ua123@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Mr. Steven Sparks and BSD Middle school boundary adjustment committee, the Findley and JW community does not understand so far why the committee is not considering putting in the neighboring community for stoller in Stoller. The big giant SPV needs to be moved out. They have been threatening you and us by coming in red t-shirts, holding protests and posters, calling JW and Findley community names online and we urge you to please not be threatened by these behaviors of SPV. Please don't be influenced by their fake data of 45 min travel to Five Oaks when their commute only increases by 5min in reality.100% of JW is in walking distance of Stoller. 50% of Findley community is in walking distance to Stoller most of which is using safe backroutes to Stoller from within the communities and not using Laidlaw. Oakridge estate is not the only walking community to Stoller. Findley community east of Stoller, right next to JW is also within walking distance to Stoller. Why in the world would you take out a 10min walk for these communities and throw them on a 20min bus to Timberland. I. It is very unfair to remove these communities from Stoller. Putting in a piece of Findley into Stoller should not mean taking out a piece of JW. It should mean replacing the far away SPV community with the walking Findley community and for that matter, all of Findley to avoid elementary splits, please bring back map c2.1. Entire Findley and JW in Stoller. Please consider moving out SPV giant out of Stoller. We urge you do justice to the walking communities.And to note, the walking Findley community has never till date gotten a chance to speak at the meeting. We urge you to allow one walking Findley community rep to be allowed to speak at the next meeting on March 12th.
02/26/2020 10:11 PM	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Stoller projections for 2025 is incorrectBSD 2025 projections looks very aggressive. There is no further growth in Springville. However BSD is projecting even more growth than SATO.How is that possible. The number of students per household has dropped in new generation. So ahouse with more than 3 rooms doesn't mean it has more than 3 kids. There are many houses with more than3 rooms but still have only one kid. We urge the committee to focus on 2021 numbers and err on theside of keeping communities together. Even if the 2025 numbers materialize, it is still undertotal capacity and significantly better than the situation today.PSU studies and projections have been more appropriate and matches more with current enrollmentand if you apply those projections, it is clear that Stoller will eb totally fine in 2025with Springville, JW and SATO.

02/26/2020 8:03 AM	Venkat	Venky.inam@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Keep Jacob wiser in stroller and including SUMma .. Don[?]t disturb existing community and bonding of the students .. Stoller is In Jacob wiser community and it[?]s our school .. Summa also needs to be in Stoller as it[?]s program not an optional.Keep Jws and summa in Stoller
02/26/2020 12:45 PM	Vicki Bock	jvbock@comcast.net	Findley Elem				Looking at the new Feb. 25th working map, we can see that the boundary committee has worked hard and put a lot of thought into reducing numbers at Stoller (one of the main objectives) and not splitting up elementary schools which is a request of basically all parents. We at Findley were thrilled to see us not being split and all going to Timberland, with the exception of the few in Oakridge Estates across from Stoller still wanting to walk. If Findley must be split, let it be only those in Oakridge Estates who are truly in a walkable area. The map shows a huge walkable area far from Stoller that is simply not walkable. This includes Bannister Creek to the Far East, along with areas far south of Stoller all the way to Whistler Lane. These areas drive their children to school causing added congestion. There is no bus service. Jacob Wismer parents want Findley to go to Stoller so their kids will have more friends going into high school. If Findley were split, those going to Stoller would be split a second time going to two different high schools. Do Jacob Wismer parents feel that is fair to Findley students or care? Not at all fair for the Findley students to be split twice. It is a much more crucial time for the social and mental health of students making the transition to middle school than it is going into high school where the students are a little more mature and have adjusted to the new structure and schedule of middle school leading into high school. The Stoller numbers MUST be reduced. The new map helped to do that by removing all of Findley, and allowing all of Springville to go to Stoller like they want. Putting Oakridge Estates into Stoller raised Stoller[?]s numbers, but it was suggested to offset those numbers, remove Arbor Heights subdivision from Jacob Wismer, and if needed the far southern section of Jacob Wismer that is south of Laidlaw next to Kaiser and add them to Findley. These two added subdivisions to Findley would then all be going to Timberland minus Oakridge Estates across from Stoller. Arbor Heights is right next to Bannister Creek and makes sense for them to go to Findley with their neighborhood friends. This would enable Springville to go to Stoller, make some of the Oakridge Estates parents happy that can walk to Stoller, and satisfy Jacob Wismer parents giving them some more students for their children at Stoller. Please DO NOT go back to an old map and totally split up Findley. Remember that most of the Findley walkable areas on the map to Stoller are not really walkable. Please do not send those outer areas of Findley to Stoller. Reducing numbers at Stoller can be accomplished by removing the majority of Findley from Stoller and sending them to Timberland, and making a couple of elementary school boundary adjustments to help reduce Stoller overcrowding. This plan should satisfy the most parents including Jacob Wismer, Oak Ridge Estates, Springville and Findley. Thank you.
02/26/2020 11:27 PM	Vijay Kadgi	vkadgi@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Dear committee members, Thanks for your valuable time in reading these comments and coming up with most suitable plan for the whole BSD. Jacob Wismer with SUMMA should stay at stoller since majority of Jacob wimser students are SUMMA qualified and Stoller is so close that most of them walk to school including my kids. This will also encourage suitable feeder pattern for all kids. Keeping fast growing communities of Springville & Sato together in the same middle school is bound to cause overcrowding issues in near future, so please do not consider any proposals which create this problem.Vijay
02/26/2020 10:10 PM	vijayar	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Sending Springville to Stoller is the way to go. Support MAP AHere is the distance of various elementary schools to Five Oaks.Springville is at THE BOTTOM WITH THE HIGHEST DISTANCE.THERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TOFIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.Elmonica Elemto Five Oaks1.1McKinley Elem to Five Oaks1.3Beaver Acres Elem to Five Oaks1.9Oak Hills Elem to Five Oaks2.0Rock Creek Elem to Five Oaks2.1Terra Linda Elem to Five Oaks2.4Bethany Elem to Five Oaks 2.4Barnes Elem to Five Oaks 2.8Aloha Huber Elem to Five Oaks3.4L.C Tobias Elem to Five Oaks3.8JACOB WISMER Elem to Five Oak 3.7FINDLEY Elem to Five Oaks 3.8William walker Elem to Five Oaks4.0Bonny slope Elem to Five Oaks4.2SPRINGVILLE Elem to Five Oaks4.3THERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMANTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TOFIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE.I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travelsuch a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designatedas the highest prone accident route in Washington county.Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road andthey don't have to travel thru 185th.
02/26/2020 2:35 PM	Vinayak Sambherao	Vinayak.sambherao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			In yesterday[?]s meeting one of the committee members was pushing to keep Stoller capacity to 95% in 2025. At the end of the meeting it was concluded that the committee should focus on 2021 rather than 2025. I oppose the idea of splitting Springville school or moving it outside Stoller boundary just to meet that 95% long range 2025 forecast. Let[?]s focus on 2021 and even 100% capacity by 2025 should be still manageable. ThankYou!
02/26/2020 6:37 AM	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Dear Committee members,Yesterday[?]s meeting was how a community loses faith in the process. You had made great progress narrowing the focus to one map. We also got to 8 votes and yet many of you got hung up on 2025 numbers. Why ?2025 numbers are for reference. They are not the JC objectives. You cannot wish away the overcrowding in the North by slicing and dicing or sending schools south. You will create the same problem in Five Oaks.The objective was very clear. Approx. 90% of permanent capacity at Stoller. Moving Summa, Rock Creek and Timberland our of Stoller gets us to 88% of permanent capacity at Stoller. Was that not enough ?I was astounded when one of the committee members even suggested 95% permanent capacity for 2025 as an objective. Nowhere has BSD suggested that as an objective then why slice and dice on imaginary targets ?114% should be fine at Stoller even with BSD projections. It will be lot lower looking at the SPV area. Missed opportunity !

02/26/2020 8:49 AM	Wendy Stiles	friscostiles@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		For 2 consecutive boundary committee meetings, the speaker chosen from Cooper Mountain has been a parent of a child that will attend Mountain View MS. It appears as there is an intentional bias in speaker selection that is preventing CM parents with children attending Highland Park MS To have the opportunity to provide input. This bias is discriminatory and exclusionary. The majority of CM feeds to HPMS and has done so for decades. Last night 1 parent suggested moving all of CM to MVMS. How is disrupting an entire community a reasonable solution to a problem that didn[?]t exist until last night? Might it make more sense to rezone the small population of CM to MVMS students to another elementary school to cut down on the number of times those students leave their friend groups rather than moving all of CM to MVMS? It also seems underhanded by the committee to keep introducing massive changes to the map this late in the process. Have you considered Chehalem[?]s preferences? Those parents don[?]t even know to be alarmed because they weren[?]t aware that their school could be affected by rezoning!!! I understand that an equity lens is being used by the committee to look at options. Please remember that BSD has mechanisms for equity available to schools in need. The Beaverton Education Foundation heavily favors schools with higher FRL populations. Also, please consider the negative impact that removing CM from HP will have on HP. Test scores will drop, parent participation, which is already low, will decrease even more, and students will suffer. This robbing Peter to pay Paul approach will likely have disastrous effects on both schools. Respectfully,Wendy Stiles
02/26/2020 2:31 PM	Wenting	luwenting20@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committee,I would like to express my concerns for this whole MS boundary thing. It has stirred so much tension among communities that our JW families are getting email/phone call bullies/name calling on social media by other communities, and certain communities really liked to call JW 'elite' community while their home values are no less, if not greater, than ours. This level of aggressiveness is scary. I hope kids won't pick it up.It was suggested that moving JW out of stoller by certain communities, claiming that their kids would have to suffer with 45mins one way school bus. For this, first, personally I drove on 185 between 5 to 6pm on weekdays, I know the traffic at this busiest time. Even at this time, it on average takes me ~25mins to go from home to pass cornell through SPV road and 185, same route that community will take if they relocate to FO or other middle school. Second, their kids still need bus to go to stoller, while this suggestion creates additional traffic going out of stoller. It only worsens traffic for everyone, not to mention taking away fund that should be used for school.Personally, I don't understand why all those new homes should be given priority over the old/existing communities, and kicking communities that literally surround the MS to schools further away. Please take a walk around north bethany and see all the new houses and the ones under construction. There is no way both SPV and SATO can co-existing under the same roof. Judging by the location, at least part of SPV that is on the out skirt of current stoller boundary needs to go.It is not fair for the teachers too, to have to manage so many students. Too much work for the teachers, and student suffer by not getting enough attention. Also, please look into longer time frame, the growth is not slowing down. Please do not be blinded by 'solving problem only for 2021'. The growth will only outnumber it and came back faster than we think.As this whole process is approaching the end, please look into fact and numbers and don't be distracted by the noise. Appreciated your time and efforts.
02/26/2020 12:20 PM	Xiaojiao	stella8561@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	One disturbing message from 02/25 meeting is that: only Oakridge is considered walkable to Findley. I am questioning the criteria of walkability, distance? favor to BSD? favor to other schools?. If the communities east of Stoller is NOT considered walkable to Findley, meaning, this is dividing Bethany Heights from the whole neighborhood. Our kids walked 5 years together to Stoller and where is the justice if we are not walkable? Kids are playing together, because their homes are just across the street. We drive in and out from the same entrance as Arbor heights residence. There is no way to justify Bethany Heights is NOT walkable .
02/26/2020 11:45 PM	Yajing W	yajingyajing@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Springville belongs to north bethany community. Our tax money was used to build a new middle school to help out but not for our children to be kicked out of our own community.
02/26/2020 7:52 PM	Yingli	Yingli07@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear BSD,Please stop fragmenting the community. Just for Summa? This is unreasonable logic and behavior. As long as the new school Timberland is fully utilized, all Findley go to the new school. Their Summa number can give priority to putting Summa in a new school with space. The other areas need not be changed. Why can't Stoller live without Summa? Is there no other great place at Stoller Middle School? Please keep minimal changes. Please do not easily harm children's equal rights. No school can achieve the ideal goal in your mind. Numbers are alive. But BSD can use resources reasonably. Stoller is now 168%. 2021 has reached a reasonable goal. Forecast data 2025 is 114%. Forecasts can only refer to value. Cannot be used as a key element of decision. The reality is there. Springville is saturated. Unable to develop. Please do not pass all Bethany's questions to 97006. This is unreasonable and irresponsible. Please do not sacrifice the interests of more than 500 children to complete some people? ? If you don't want your child to go to school long distances. Please don't push Springville kids on long-distance school buses. Please BSD think about it. Thank you

FW: Concerns of a VOICELESS parent

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/26/2020 1:19 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

include in comments

From: Arun G R <arun.gr@gmail.com>


Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 9:58 AM

To: Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting

<Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin

<Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Concerns of a VOICELESS parent

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks, Mr. Don, Ms. Anne,

ALL HOPE IS LOST !!!

I write this email in total disappointment after today's BSD boundary adjustment meeting. We were always hopeful that we will be represented even though we don't have any representatives in the committee.

The only way we can express our concerns or voice is through posting comments and use the 2 MIN testimony time to share our concerns. We have provided numerous proposals and ways this can be solved. Since there is no other way or forum for us to clarify or explain to the committee members, we continue to be voiceless. I say this because of the below reasons

1. From Day 1 to till last meeting, it was discussed in the meetings that 90% STOLLER capacity is for 2021. Today's map met that objective, but still a lot of committee members were hung up on the 2025 numbers and wanted 95% STOLLER permanent capacity in 2025. Feels like the advisory committee is empowered to make new policies / change the game of the rule as they go along as long as it is convenient to them.
2. The community has been asking for clarification on the basis of the 2025 Springville projections and has pointed out in many ways that the projections might be overestimated. Today at the start of the meeting, it was clarified that they will stick to those numbers, but never got feedback or explanation of the unexplainable growth numbers assigned to SPV which shows us as the most growing neighborhood even higher than SATO whereas people living here know that there is not much growth in Springville in the coming years.
3. "DON'T SPLIT THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS" and keep the feeder patterns was one of the JC Policy / Objective and every community voiced their support for this. Every committee member saw this appropriate to keep Findley together, other schools together but then came back and voted that it is okay to split SPRINGVILLE. We trusted BSD to do the right thing, but in this case looks like the committee and to large extent BSD will use those objective and policy as per their convenience. Why ask for public feedback and testimony if they are not going to be considered at all or selectively considered.

4. One of the committee member said SPRINGVILLE is the farthest from STOLLER and hence it is okay to move them to another school. There is no one to ask or challenge this by asking "IS THERE ANY OTHER NEAR BY SCHOOL OPTION" for them. If STOLLER is the farthest, then does FIVE OAKS make it any closer to our community? Again, there is no voice for us to ask the right questions in the committee.
5. For other schools like Whitford MS, the committee agreed to go ahead with 105% in 2021, but for STOLLER they want 95% in 2025. If they look at the projections after 2025 the growth stabilizes and starts to decline for STOLLER as well.
6. They focused on SUMMA program extensively and they were worried that a handful of future SUMMA kids might not want to go that program since it is not in their home school. Here on the other hand Springville is worried that they might not even have a home school nearby and they have to sacrifice their current home school to accommodate the walkability of high performing kids. What is the message we are trying to send to the kids? If you are not high performing, be ready to give your space to a high performing kid? What kind of community and future generation are we building by making such examples?
7. Why is 90% capacity in STOLLER only and not in other schools or 95% in 2025 for STOLLER and not other middle schools. Today STOLLER is at may be 160% of permanent capacity. But I don't know why 90% in 2021 or 95% in 2025 is the target and what is the basis of this target.
8. Some of the members said that by sending Springville and other Bethany community down to Five oaks, it improves the equity of those schools. If that is the case, BSD should have a target of 70% in STOLLER and send more northern schools to other southern schools to build better EQUITY there.

I can keep highlighting more such issues. I am not even sure anymore whether this email will be read nor will Springville VOICE will ever be heard. But I still felt compelled to express my concerns as a parent of two kids [one currently in STOLLER middle school] and other who will go to middle school shortly. I am worried about the future of my son who will go to Middle school shortly.

I would sincerely thank those committee members who truly worked hard to take care of the welfare of all kids and not just their own communities they represent. I apologize if this has offended any one and this is not directed at any individual in the committee.

We have only one request: KEEP SPRINGVILLE AT STOLLER as it meets the 90% permanent capacity test for 2021.

This is our home school and don't take it away from us.

Thank you ,
Arun G R

RE: Walking FINDLEY Clarification from LAST NIGHT's meeting

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/26/2020 1:22 PM

To: Subra Sripada <Subra.Sripada@synopsys.com>

Cc: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; 'sireesha.pisipati@intel.com' <sireesha.pisipati@intel.com>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mr. Sripada -

I have entered your email into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.


From: Subra Sripada <Subra.Sripada@synopsys.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 10:43 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; 'sireesha.pisipati@intel.com' <sireesha.pisipati@intel.com>

Subject: Walking FINDLEY Clarification from LAST NIGHT's meeting

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr. Sparks,

While you stress that "Proximity" has equal priority to other factors in the beginning of every meeting, we still see "walkability" is not getting considered in the Maps. From last evening's meeting, we (as Findley Walking community) wanted to stress "Walking Findley" includes 4 neighborhoods – not just Oak Ridge. It is ALSO Bethany Heights, Bannister Heights and Oak Meadows.

We drafted a small letter with map here, PLEASE PLEASE take time to consider this:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1s4NdIC0UIIWITks6BIHgreZDos00LIXNcaZaikEYdp8/edit?usp=sharing>

As you said yesterday, community is not formed by school boundaries – as you can see from map, Bethany Heights and Bannister Heights are close to JW community neighbors.

Thanks - Subra

RE: BSD Middle School Meeting - Feb 25th - -- comment from Oakridge Estates parent

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/27/2020 12:55 PM

To: Sameer Ruiwale <sameer_ruiwale@yahoo.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mr. Ruiwale -

Thank you for your comments. I have entered your email into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | **Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning** | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Sameer Ruiwale <sameer_ruiwale@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 2:45 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: BSD Middle School Meeting - Feb 25th - -- comment from Oakridge Estates parent

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr Sparks

I would like to call out quite a few issues from the middle school boundary adjustment meeting last night. I have been a strong advocate of preserving walkability for my neighborhood - Oakridge Estates. I appreciate the committee's focus on this issue yesterday - However -- they are approaching and solving this problem in completely the wrong manner.

Firstly - Oakridge is not the only safe-walking community to Stoller -- there are several other communities connected to Stoller via either the west-side trail or other inner roads directly accessing Stoller. This is the same for the Arbor Heights community -- this is a **walkable** community to Stoller MS (and not on Laidlaw) - but through inner trails and Greenwood drive -- part of which is actually gated off to traffic. These are safe walking routes. It does not make sense to take one walking community away from Stoller to keep Oakridge in Stoller.

Secondly -- the proposal to fit Oakridge in Stoller involves mapping only our neighborhood to Jacob-Wismer and trading off alternate JW kids to Findley. This is a **bad** proposal.. It will isolate a very small population of kids in my neighborhood going to Findley when they are shifted to JW -- a group of 40-50 kids who will end up in JW with no friends.. It will do the same to the kids from Jacob Wismer who are then sent to Findley for the swap.. This is the worst idea. The committee voted yesterday to fix this isolation for East-Oak-Hills and move them to Timberland (these are 6th graders we are worried about). And yet - we are inflicting this same scenario on much younger elementary school kids who are in the nascent stages of their social development and will be more greatly impacted by such a swap.

You might ask why this is different from when say a Sato boundary was formed and kids in existing elementary schools got moved -- the difference is the sheer number (when a whole school or large portion of it moves) -- v/s just a small pocket of kids.

As a parent who has advocated for walkability I urge you and the committee to reject this limited swap proposal.. ALL of walkable (as defined by BSD's walkable map) Findley should remain in Stoller and really to extend upon this -- ALL of Findley should remain in Stoller.

Finally -- let's address the elephant in the room - Springville.. It has been clear over the course of these many meetings -- that SPV+Sato cannot both sit in Stoller without overcrowding it. In fact Cherise arrived at this point in the meeting yesterday -- we might need to consider moving JW to TL to maintain a clean Sunset feeder (and even she herself did not like this idea). **So there we have it -- in order to appease a vocal, bullying community who has created a false narrative of 45 minute bus times, who are refuting every single data point the district provides when it doesn't fit their narrative, who show up in red t-shirts, demonstrate and bring lawyers and TV news crews to the public meetings, the committee and BSD by extension is willing to move away kids from Findley (more than 50% of which are in the walk zone), are ready to split Sato and even JW (100% of which is walking).. and all of Stoller SUMMA -- more than 1/2 of which is attended by kids within 1 mile of Stoller..** How is this acceptable? How is this fair? Why is the committee so afraid of the SPV community?

This is why the committee could not gain consensus yesterday on any of the proposals - because they are all flawed. I applaud all the committee members that voted these proposals down. Some of the committee members called out that we need to re-review Map-C 2.1 - because Map-C is one that comes closest to achieving Stoller's goals.. Yet - it was disheartening to hear at the end that we are going to bring back the same map again at the next meeting -- what purpose will that achieve? Please start with Map C 2.1 -- and acknowledge the reality that you cannot solve Stoller's problem if both SPV and SATO go to Stoller. This is a **fact and will remain one - no matter the amount of demonstrations, red t-shirts, lawyers and lawsuit threats are made.**

Last but not least - I would really like to thank the committee for their service here - it has been a hard and difficult task and I applaud them for volunteering their time on this highly difficult and emotional process.

Thank you
Sameer Ruiwale
(Findley Parent from Oakridge Estates).

FW: Keep Walkability and SUMMA at Stoller

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/27/2020 2:13 PM

To: Jay N <jeys24@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (278 KB)

BSD Keep SUMMA at SM.pdf;

Mr. N -

I have entered your file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Jay N <jeys24@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 6:48 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Becky Tymchuk <Becky_Tymchuk@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Keep Walkability and SUMMA at Stoller


⚠ **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr. Steven Sparks,
Please make availability of the attached document to the AC members and public comment.


Thanks
Jay

Walkability and SUMMA


SUMMA Students by Grid Code: SY 2019-20 (6-8th Grades)



Middle School Walk-to-School Areas



SUMMA Students by Grid Code: SY 2019-20 (6-8th Grades)



Dear AC members and BSD,

Thanks a lot of considering various data points during the committee discussion. I want to bring walkability and proximity for your consideration by bringing this data. The first map provides the 6th – 8th

grade SUMMA kids by grid, and as you seen East and Northside of JW has concentrated kids that qualify and take SUMMA classes. **This supports this school program should stay at Stoller.** The second map provides the walk to Middle school areas. I have shadowed in **Green** in the 3rd map shows how many SUMMA kids are in the walking area to middle school. The walkable SUMMA kids shaded in the green area are 148 among 233 current SUMMA kids. Which is more than 63 % of total SUMMA Kids are with in walkable distance and most of these kids walk to school rain or snow. **This supports the AC members to consider keeping the walk-able kids to keep at Stoller.** Please Keep SUMMA intact with Stoller Middle school. This will keep the Sunset bound JW kids rate higher and help the kids to have more known faces when they go to high school. This will help transportation cost, and increase walkability.

Thanks for your time reading this document and taking it into consideration.

Thanks

Jay Kumar

RE: Middle School Boundary - Concerns

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/27/2020 2:13 PM

To: Erica Bock <ericabck@yahoo.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. Frankel -

Thank you for your email. I have entered your comment into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Erica Bock <ericabck@yahoo.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 7:58 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle School Boundary - Concerns

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr. Sparks,

My children attend Findley and the majority of us are against Findley being split. So, we were very pleased to see that the new Feb. 25th map shows all of Findley remaining together going to Timberland. It's important for our children's social and emotional health to remain together with their peers and established support system to feel safe and be successful during this crucial and challenging transition to middle school. If Findley were to be split up, those going to Stoller would once again be split up when some go to West View and some to Sunset. We have seen some comments from Jacob Wismer trying to actively split up Findley so their children would know more kids going into high school. Perhaps they didn't realize that they are asking our kids to be split up twice. This is very unfair to the Findley kids.

Next, walkability to Stoller. I live in the Bannister Creek subdivision which is considered walkable to Stoller, although it is not. The only walkable route, which is missing sidewalks, is about 1.8 miles long. We are separated from Stoller, as are some other neighborhoods, such as Whistler Lane. These children are driven and picked up from school while parents sit in a congested line of cars. Please keep in mind when factoring walkability into your decision that the percentage of students in actual safe, walkable areas is much fewer than what is shown on the map. Most Findley students are not across the street from Stoller, however I do know several of those families that still prefer to keep Findley together and go to Timberland. If you must split Findley, please don't include those areas on the farthest edges of the so called walkable areas like Bannister Creek.

Knowing that it is not possible to please everyone, we know you must reduce numbers at Stoller. Sending all of Findley to Timberland may help solve that problem by opening up room at Stoller for Springville. We strongly support the February 25th map for Findley keeping us all together at Timberland. It seems like the best solution for Findley. It keeps us together and we get to stay together going to Sunset also. Though, we are also open to being all together and going to Stoller. We just want to stay together. A large group of Findley, Springville, Rock Creek and Sato parents support the February 25th map as it is the most balanced path forward for our children, and solves many short and long term concerns. Please keep Findley together.

Thank you,

Erica Frankel

FW: Stoller capacity vs utilization in 21 and 25

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/27/2020 2:15 PM

To: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (972 KB)

Springville, Sato, JW Cartoons (2).pdf;

Mr. Rangarajan -

I have entered your file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 8:13 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Stoller capacity vs utilization in 21 and 25

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,

There seems to be a lot of confusion on if the objective is 2021 or 2025 among the committee, with some committee members asking for 95% of permanent capacity in 2025. It seems clear from the packets that the objective for Stoller is 90% of permanent capacity in 2021, and I would appreciate a much more explicit clarification on that. I would also like to highlight that 2025 is by no means overcrowded even with the optimistic projections, and the population drops after that. The narrative that Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer cannot go to Stoller together is a myth. Attached document tries to illustrate the capacity vs utilization in a cartoon for the original Feb 25th workmap.

Could you please get this over to the committee?



Thanks,

Madhu

Stoller over total capacity in 2020

*each group of kids is approx 100 students


2020



Feb 25th workmap meets board objectives (90% in 2021)

Feb 25th
Workmap

2021



The Feb 25th Workmap
Meets Board Objectives
Without Splitting
Communities And Has
Support Across
Northern Communities


Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit in Stoller without Summa and meet board objectives

*each group of kids is approx 100 students

2025 well under total capacity EVEN WITH AGGRESSIVE PROJECTIONS

Feb 25th
Workmap

2025





Well Under Total Capacity,
WAY better than 2020
even with aggressive
projections - No board
objectives violated here



Population drops after 2025, getting back to permanent capacity

Feb 25th
Workmap

2027





The Feb 25th Workmap is
a long term sustainable
solution for Stoller.
**Springville, Sato and
Jacob Wismer Fit In
Stoller without Summa`**

Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer fit in Stoller and sustain long term

Summary

- The Feb 25th Workmap, with Summa moved to Timberland, is the most balanced approach for Stoller & Timberland
- Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer Fit Into Stoller in a Long Term & Sustainable Manner, declining after 2025
- It avoids multiple community splits and elementary school splits : Has support across a large group of Findley, Sato, Springville & Rock Creek parents
- Even with aggressive growth numbers, it shows modest growth to 2025, well under total capacity which then declines and will get below permanent capacity

Feb 25th Workmap vs Top Board Objectives:

- Reduce Stoller to 90% of permanent capacity in 2021 
- Fill Timberland 

Dear Mr. Sparks ,

Most parents have high hopes on BSD and committee, and are trying to work very hard so that a consensus is reached at the earliest. I am sorry to say, for many parent volunteers who have been working actively towards this process and have been attending meetings since November, they were really shocked to see how the committee voted, reacted and answered many direct questions that you had raised. I appreciate your drive and effort towards reaching a consensus, but hate to say the committee needs to really understand the data, demographics and reality well, and make some hard decisions at the earliest even if that means sacrificing something for their kid/or nearby school. As a parent I know taking a decision for the greater good could be difficult but at the committee level it should not be. I hate to say all committee members are not capable of doing that. Some are really great and at this time parents who regularly attend meeting organically know that, but sadly some members really need help and BSD should make every effort to help or train them with their job. We parents are volunteers of BSD and are spending time both for our kids and for the greater good of the community. Since this is not a full time job for most of us, it will be really helpful if BSD and committee can give us a final deadline of this new map drawing process. At every meeting if no one agrees with proposed map and a new map comes up that brings a lot of uncertainty to the given schedule. Therefore given the current situation, can you please confirm along with your next meeting packet a realistic schedule when BSD will stop making new maps and proceed with the best optimal map that matches with the listed primary needs of the community and BSD 1) Budget 2) Emotional Well Being of the Kid 3) Equity 4) Quality Schools 5) Walkability

As a Findley non walking parent both me and my husband has communicated with you before, and you patiently answered our questions. Thank you for the effort. You are aware both about the micro and macro level needs of Findley parents from both Walking and Non Walking Zone. Hence our earnest request, now that you/BSD/committee has all the data and request, please make a decision at the earliest that serves the best need of Findley Kids. Per my understanding, from yesterday's meeting and reading comments/emails from Findley parents, listed are the main needs and my proposal of how they can be addressed efficiently and optimally.

- 1) Do not Split Findley and keep entire Findley at Stoller – Every Findley parent will 100% agree with this. The current working map does not have it. The MapC2.1 as proposed by a committee member has this. Hence making edits to the current map keeping MapC2.1 where entire Findley is united is a great move for Findley.
- 2) Many walking parents in spite of not being in the true walking zone like Oakridge, does not want to go by bus to Timberland for various different reasons. They are fine with the split. Their argument regarding emotional health of kids does not match with many other parents who are against 'No Split'. No argument is right or wrong. It is just different perspective. Having said that, as few committee members very rightly pointed out if we need to split and absolutely cannot avoid that then we should do strategic splits for the greater good of the community. In that case, keeping only Oakridge which is truly the walking portion of Findley at Stoller and swapping it with Jacob Wisemer truly non walking portion, to join with other Findley parents at

Timberland makes more sense strategically and realistically. Emotionally also it will be an unbiased decision because Jacob Wisemer and Findley are neighbours and even if some Jacob Wisemer goes to Timberland they will have considerable kids from Findley as neighbours. Hence the following issues will be addressed optimally 1) Emotional health of the kids 2) Walking proximity 3) Split 4) Budget 5) Equity

I would like to conclude this letter by saying, that given the current situation, all of us in the community should be ready to win some battles and lose some that would create a win-win deal for this middle school boundary adjustment project and ultimately help our kids academically and morally.

Thank You

Alivia Sil (Findley Parent)

Re: Courage to do what is right...from a veteran in your school district

Michael Jugo <mjjugo@gmail.com>

Thu 2/27/2020 2:21 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Thank you, Mr. Sparks, for taking the time to read the email and to kindly respond. I know you are a busy man. All the best to you.

Warm Regards,
Michael Jugo
Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 27, 2020, at 2:16 PM, Steven Sparks - Exec Admin
<Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us> wrote:

Mr. Jugo -

I have entered your email into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton
School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Michael Jugo <mjjugo@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 11:27 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: Sameer Ruiwale <sameer_ruiwale@yahoo.com>

Subject: Courage to do what is right...from a veteran in your school district

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks,

I was moved by the eloquence and clarity with which my neighbor, Mr. Ruiwale, succinctly summarized the shocking reality of this committee and its boundary reassignment process and thus feel compelled to post this to assure it is roundly reviewed and understood.

Many of us look at what has happened to the courage of an entire national political party in the face of blatantly inappropriate executive leadership and we wonder, what

has happened to the moral compass that compels one to do what is right? At the risk drawing a parallel to our country's President and his party, there isn't a day that goes by when I don't lament the fact that the contemporary party of Lincoln is filled with elected officials devoid of courage, afraid to do what is right. As a veteran of the Iraq and Afghanistan conflicts, I love my country and yearn for nothing more than to see it prudently led by honorable men and women with courage to choose what is right even when it is professionally difficult. I would hope that our local and school board officials would have the same moral rectitude to do what is right even when it is difficult.

Dear Mr Sparks

I would like to call out quite a few issues from the middle school boundary adjustment meeting last night. I have been a strong advocate of preserving walkability for my neighborhood - Oakridge Estates. I appreciate the committee's focus on this issue yesterday - However -- they are approaching and solving this problem in completely the wrong manner.

Firstly - Oakridge is not the only safe-walking community to Stoller -- there are several other communities connected to Stoller via either the west-side trail or other inner roads directly accessing Stoller. This is the same for the Arbor Heights community -- this is a walkable community to Stoller MS (and not on Laidlaw) - but through inner trails and Greenwood drive -- part of which is actually gated off to traffic. These are safe walking routes. It does not make sense to take one walking community away from Stoller to keep Oakridge in Stoller.

Secondly -- the proposal to fit Oakridge in Stoller involves mapping only our neighborhood to Jacob-Wisner and trading off alternate JW kids to Findley. This is a bad proposal.. It will isolate a very small population of kids in my neighborhood going to Findley when they are shifted to JW -- a group of 40-50 kids who will end up in JW with no friends.. It will do the same to the kids from Jacob Wisner who are then sent to Findley for the swap.. This is the worst idea. The committee voted yesterday to fix this isolation for East-Oak-Hills and move them to Timberland (these are 6th graders we are worried about). And yet - we are inflicting this same scenario on much younger elementary school kids who are in the nascent stages of their social development and will be more greatly impacted by such a swap.

You might ask why this is different from when say a Sato boundary was formed and kids in existing elementary schools got moved -- the difference is the sheer number (when a whole school or large portion of it moves) -- v/s just a small pocket of kids.

As a parent who has advocated for walkability I urge you and the committee to reject this limited swap proposal.. ALL of walkable (as defined by BSD's walkable map) Findley should remain in Stoller and really to extend upon this -- ALL of Findley should remain in Stoller.

Finally -- let's address the elephant in the room - Springville.. It has been clear over the course of these many meetings -- that SPV+Sato cannot both sit in Stoller without overcrowding it. In fact Cherise arrived at this point in the meeting yesterday -- we might need to consider moving JW to TL to maintain a clean Sunset feeder (and even she herself did not like this idea). So there we have it -- in order to appease a vocal, bullying community who has created a false narrative of 45 minute

bus times, who are refuting every single data point the district provides when it doesn't fit their narrative, who show up in red t-shirts, demonstrate and bring lawyers and TV news crews to the public meetings, the committee and BSD by extension is willing to move away kids from Findley (more than 50% of which are in the walk zone), are ready to split Sato and even JW (100% of which is walking).. and all of Stoller SUMMA -- more than 1/2 of which is attended by kids within 1 mile of Stoller.. How is this acceptable? How is this fair? Why is the committee so afraid of the SPV community?

This is why the committee could not gain consensus yesterday on any of the proposals - because they are all flawed. I applaud all the committee members that voted these proposals down. Some of the committee members called out that we need to re-review Map-C 2.1 - because Map-C is one that comes closest to achieving Stoller's goals.. Yet - it was disheartening to hear at the end that we are going to bring back the same map again at the next meeting -- what purpose will that achieve? Please start with Map C 2.1 -- and acknowledge the reality that you cannot solve Stoller's problem if both SPV and SATO go to Stoller. This is a fact and will remain one - no matter the amount of demonstrations, red t-shirts, lawyers and lawsuit threats are made.

Last but not least - I would really like to thank the committee for their service here - it has been a hard and difficult task and I applaud them for volunteering their time on this highly difficult and emotional process.

Thank you
Sameer Ruiwale
(Findley Parent from Oakridge Estates).

RE: Middle School Boundary Committee - Public Comments Forum - Concern

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/27/2020 2:48 PM

To: Josie Jarnagin <jmkeck16@comcast.net>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. Jarnagin -

Thank you for your comments. I have entered your email into the public record. The comments will be forwarded to the advisory committee. With respect to your concerns about testimony. The most effective way to ensure your thoughts and comments are being considered by the committee is to submit written comments through the public comment link on our web page. Our committee is reading all of the comments. The drawing of testimony cards at the committee meetings is truly random. We have not stacked the deck for or against any view point at any location.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Josie Jarnagin <jmkeck16@comcast.net>

Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2020 2:57 PM

To: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle School Boundary Committee - Public Comments Forum - Concern

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Good Afternoon,

I am writing to you with concerns about the Middle School Adjustment Boundary meetings format. This is a very sensitive topic for the entire community involved. My child attends Cooper Mountain Elementary School and is set to go to Highland Park Middle School. Originally, I was casually following the information because there were no changes. Then in late January, several maps were presented that showed significant changes that would move my child to Mountain View MS. Additional public discussions since then have produced additional map options to leave Cooper Mountain ES status quo (30% to Mountain View and 70% to Highland Park).

During the allowed public comments portion of the meetings to date, only one person from each Elementary school is allowed to speak for two minutes. This random 'drawing' format is not allowing the majority to be heard. It seems Elementary feeder schools should be able to speak from both sides. Families have submitted comments via the BSD online tool, but actually hearing an individual's passion and emotion in a public setting has a greater impact on the proceedings rather than reading a comment behind closed doors.

So far the only two voices heard during the meetings for Cooper Mountain are from parents who currently live in an area(s) that feed into Mountain View MS. Their collective opinions are to

move all of Cooper Mountain students to Mountain View MS solely to address socioeconomic balance and equality based on the current calculated percentage of free school lunches being distributed. This position to change the boundary is not what the majority of Cooper Mountain families want. This change will exacerbate the already overcrowded classroom problem, and create an unstable learning structure forcing students to shift schools.

Based on these two public comments, the Committee is now going to relook at Cooper Mountain going to Mountain View. The Committee should not be distracted by re-hashing the South Beaverton boundary which has been previously reviewed and **Approved** with the Cooper Mountain split remaining status quo. The overcrowding issue in North Beaverton and decisions regarding Timberland MS should be the priority rather than displacing 70% of Cooper Mountain students.

I want my voice to be heard, but the 'luck of the lottery' is not an acceptable format for such a serious topic. I've submitted comments following each meeting, but again I feel the Committee is being swayed by the verbal versus the text.

Please advise on how the 70% of Cooper Mountain can have a voice that is heard?

Again, I understand this is a difficult process, but lately it does not feel feedback is balanced due to the current public format.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Josie Jarnagin