

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
2/25/20 11:25 PM	Akhil Sharma	Sharma.akhil@yahoo.com					Today was the worst day of meetings yet. After coming all the way up to here and in spite of several committee members saying, that we don't need another map and we don't need more data, several members were so confused that we literally made 0 Progress. Then the height of confusion was when one member said that we should target 95% of the permanent capacity utilization in 2025. Asking questions and trying to push committee to move forward also failed because committee members got even more confused. At the end of the meeting we heard that we will stop worrying about 2025 numbers now. We should press a reset on Feb 25th meeting and look at the current one single final working map. If we were to not get confused by 2025 numbers, then this map is a pass. We have portables in Stoller and we can use them to accommodate extra 14% in Stoller if it ever happens. After 2025 the numbers will decline in Stoller too. I do not understand when will they use 100% of Stoller capacity, if even in 2025 it would be 95% utilized. Please don't worry about putting SATO, Springville and JW in Stoller. A small little population over 100% permanent capacity can easily be accommodated.
2/25/20 11:58 PM	Allison Alt	allison2688@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Let's have the committee members who are in favor of bussing Springville students far away step up to the plate and lead by example. I am sure they have no problem bussing their own children 4 miles out of their neighborhood through 14 red lights to middle school, when a middle school already exists near them. Right? If it is right to do with other people's children, then it is right to do with your own children.
2/25/20 12:09 AM	Amber Zhang	woshizhangxiaoyan@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I see too many double standards in the latest map. If Terra Linda should go to Timberland because it is close, then why can't Findley stay in Stoller for the same reason? If Terra Linda should feed Timberland to keep cedar mills community together, then Findley and JW are together for so many years and Stoller is technically in between Findley and JW, why split Findley JW community? Please use the same standards so that you can justify the map. I hope Findley can stay in Stoller, if Findley and Summa move to Timberland, sorry Terra Linda cannot stay in Timberland simply because the number doesn't work and Timberland will be over capacity in 2021, next year!
2/25/20 9:21 AM	Amy	tomahappy@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Putting SPV from Stoller to Five Oaks would only add 5-10mins to bus routes, but sending the entire Findley to Timberland would not only add time but also require add more buses for those families who can walk to the Stoller. It will increase the cost and create more traffic. Also the current map doesn't completely solve the over capacity issue for Stoller, why it is being recommended? What is the goal to have this boundary adjustment for the last 6 months or so? With the current map, Findley will be the only impacted school in the north bethany area to meet everyone's needs? We are not asking for special treatment, but equal treatment!
2/25/20 10:41 PM	Andrew Moen	andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS			Dear Committee members, Thank you for volunteering your time and your dedication to a fair process. After attending a majority of the MS Boundary Advisory Committee Meetings, I am concerned that the Committee is weighing comments provided in public testimony during meetings more than the comments provided online. The majority of comments and the maps have supported no change to the current feeder pattern of Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS. In the Feb 13th and 25th meetings the verbal comments selected by the District for Cooper Mountain ES only represented the students that feed Mountain View MS, when a majority of the students feed Highland Park MS today. I request the Committee seriously consider the entirety of comments provided online by Copper Mountain ES families and not just those that were selected for verbal comments during the meetings. Please keep Cooper Mountain ES feeding to Highland Park MS.
2/25/20 9:35 PM	Andriy Kot	kot7ya+bsd@gmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		Disappointed by the outcome of Feb 25 meeting. The only decision that was made introduced another split. The problem of East Oak Hill is caused by split HS pattern. Fix the problem at the source, make a minor adjustment to the HS boundary and have entire Oak Hill go to Westview. At the very beginning it was said that minor HS boundary adjustments are acceptable. Feb 25 working map was as close to perfect as this process can achieve. Do not split elementary schools it forces more points of confusion which results in less consensus.
2/25/20 11:34 PM	Aneeket	rutanee@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Mr. Sparks, BSD staff and the AC, Thank you for your time and effort into this seemingly never ending boundary redrawing process. I really do appreciate your personal time that you are dedicating for this work. But it does sadden me to observe that a few committee members (you can watch the video recording and observe them, even if their back is to the camera) their phones while public testimonies were in progress - please hear out these testimonies with all your focussed attention, since there are many elementary schools that do not have a representative on the committee. Mr.Sparks, is it not fair to ask the AC members to refrain from texting and using phones while the meeting is in progress, just as it is fair to ask the audience to not clap or have signs.
2/25/20 10:05 PM	anil	anil.ec6@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			SPV parents seems to be spending a lot of money and effort during the MS boundary process; Wearing custom t-shirts, arranging bus for parents, hiring attorney, TV channels. Feeding this elite population to FO will make FO better MS and equitable to stoller. This voluntarism/activism will definitely benefit the FO community which is struggling to get volunteers.
2/25/20 11:30 PM	Anil	Anilkumar333@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Please consider the walking crowd from findley along Laidlaw , bannister to keep in Stoller. Most kids in this neighborhood are in Summa. Stoller is our home school. Move them to timberland is a big compromise they have to make.
2/25/20 7:42 AM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee, Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community. Respectfully the proposed map still requires more work and so below are comments to move us closer to a workable solution. a. Our goal is to improve the school feeder pattern for Oak Hills Elementary by designating another Sunset bound feeder school at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning, which is what they agreed to through 12th grade when they enrolled. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those kids are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. In addition, Barnes students due to the dual language option, will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids, therefore, not allowing them to assimilate with other Sunset bound students. b. Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program. c. The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map. d. Shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for bussing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage. e. And finally, moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will reduce the isolation and depression that the proposed map will continue to perpetuate for Oak Hills-Sunset bound students. Avoid sending more kids into this isolation trap. Thank you,
2/25/20 11:01 AM	Anna Francois	Anna.d.francois@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				Timberland is already too elite. Please consider placing west view bound Stoller summa into meadow park or five oaks.
2/25/20 1:20 PM	Anne von Haartman	anne.vh76@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The latest map prepared for February 25th meeting concerns me, for many reasons. The objectives are still not being met, Stoller will be overcrowded in 2021 and will be severely overcrowded only a few years later. In 2025 Stoller will even be overcrowded with portables being used. About feeder pattern MS to HS. Today Stoller has a healthy feeder representation at Sunset at 41% but the proposed map reduces the Sunset feeder to 17% if all of Findley is removed. The already unacceptable low 17% is with SUMMA still at Stoller. Without SUMMA the numbers would be in single digits, deeply affecting JW students feeding into Sunset. Rightfully so, it has been pointed out during this process how severely Oak Hills students are affected today where they're in a similar situation, something that should be fixed this time around. BSD should not make that same mistake again with JW.
2/25/20 2:30 PM	Anne von Haartman	anne.vh76@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Fact: Entire JW and Findley (at least the walkable part, but preferably whole school) should be assigned to Stoller because Stoller is a stone's throw away where these students live. Transportation money saved and transportation logistic problems solved because kids walk to school. Or have their parents drive them, but taxpayers money don't go to paying for transporting kids living within walking distance. Fact: Both Sato and Springville cannot be in Stoller, numbers back that up as well. Fact: Bussing SPV students to FO would be 5-10 minutes longer than their commute today not 2 to 3 times longer as they keep saying despite the proven facts. Question: Is this process about facts and logic or is it about pleasing schools making the loudest noise? Is this process about facts or is it about being intimidated and scared of making the tough decisions that will upset some schools. This late in the process, I have to wonder... how come before the second to last meeting at February 25 there isn't even a map where the two objectives are being met? The proposed map for 2/25 has Stoller at over capacity in 2021. In 2025 Stoller would even be extremely over capacity, even with portables being used the school would be over capacity. If this whole process was about facts, numbers and logic. We would already have maps with the two objectives being met.
2/25/20 11:24 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		support MAP A why is the committee going back and forward between maps. I assume you would have discussed it amongst yourselves before showing it on the site. Today there were 7 votes in favour of MAP A. Shoudn't committee be focusing on it with certain revisions like moving some part of Jacob Wismer or SATO to Timberland. When you move the entire SUMMA to Timberland, then load on Stoller will reduce. Why is map A considered not favourable for 2025. There were some members saying they want 90% capacity for 2025 in Stoller. WAS 90% STOLLER CAPACITY EVER POSSIBLE/MENTIONED IN ANY OF THE PREVIOUS MAPS C AND B FOR 2025. THE FACT IS NO and is clearly indicated in previous maps.
2/25/20 11:40 PM	arunk	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		DONT SUPPORT MAP C. Why is committee changing their decisions in every meeting. They decided to put MAP A, even on the site and now thinking of going to MAP C. Here are the distance of various elementary schools to Five Oaks. Springville is at THE BOTTOM WITH THE HIGHEST DISTANCE. THERE ARE MORE THAN 14 ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS WHICH ARE CLOSER TO FIVE OAKS THAN SPRINGVILLE. Elmonica Elem to Five Oaks 1.1 McKinley Elem to Five Oaks 1.3 Beaver Acres Elem to Five Oaks 1.9 Oak Hills Elem to Five Oaks 2.0 Rock Creek Elem to Five Oaks 2.1 Terra Linda Elem to Five Oaks 2.4 Bethany Elem to Five Oaks 2.8 Barnes Elem to Five Oaks 2.8 Aloha Huber Elem to Five Oaks 3.4 L.C Tobias Elem to Five Oaks 3.8 JACOB WISMER Elem to Five Oaks 3.7 FINDLEY Elem to Five Oaks 3.8 William Walker Elem to Five Oaks 4.0 Bonny slope Elem to Five Oaks 4.2 SPRINGVILLE Elem to Five Oaks 4.3 I will be very strange and UNLAWFUL to expect Springville to travel such a huge distance, hop around 14 TRAFFIC SIGNAL STOPS, have leadtime for bus stop pick ups, spend time on 185th road which is designated as the highest prone accident route in Washington county. Even Findley and Jacob Wismer are closer to Five Oaks via Kaiser Road and they don't have to travel thru 185th.
2/25/20 9:27 PM	ASHISH SAPRA	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Surprise to hear some strong comments from committee members in tonight's meeting like "splitting Springville is unacceptable". Why is that? Why splitting JW is acceptable then. What Springville has committed to you to speak for them?
2/25/20 9:29 PM	ASHISH SAPRA	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		One of the ridiculous proposal in tonight's meeting was to split JW? WHY????? Why not Springville even if Five oaks is at only 73% of capacity. In fact, you can fit whole Springville in Five oaks...#OneJW#SplitSPV
2/25/20 9:33 PM	ASHISH SAPRA	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Neighbourhoods which was proposed to move to Findley in tonight's meeting from JW are in walking zone to both JW and Stoller while is in commute/transport zone from both Findley and Timberland. As a committee, don't you see this? This is call common sense. #OneJW
2/25/20 9:23 PM	Ashley Jones	ashelenijones@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Why isn't there a clear direction to committee members from Leadership? Summa, 2025 number with or without portables, all these things should be clarified clearly at the beginning of the meeting. It is already hard to ingest so much info and comments for committee members and BSD makes it even harder for them by giving right information. Working map for Feb 25 was the closest ideal map we could have and you guys made a joke of it again in this meeting. So unfair!! one step ahead 2 steps back.

2/25/20 10:35 PM	Ashley Jones	ashelenijones@gmail.com	Springville K-8			So JW Summa is going to be 50%, what a Joke??? This was from JW testimony. So what are you guys doing to be 50% Summa of entire school. I don't believe that smart kids are born only in JW area? Can some one in JW area tell me how this is happening? Summa should be moved out of Stoller, if JW parents are producing so many smart heads from their community, they should probably get a bigger, nicer school. Move them out of Stoller to Timberland.
2/25/20 11:26 PM	Asravan	gkk_uict@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I attended BSD boundary meeting to experience lack of clarity on multiple issues. Why Sparks did not clarify that numbers for 2025 projections are not the objective at the beginning of the meeting. Why did he agreed at the end of meeting that 2025 numbers are not the BSD MS boundary adjustment objective. Sparks, I urge you to at least provide a clear guidelines and unbiased data and information to Advisory committee members. Please avoid inducing uncertainty as this would create more confusion. Can you please let me know the variability on 2025 projected numbers? Unless BSD constructs a new middle school, it would not be possible to bring any MS population to under 100%.
2/25/20 11:09 PM	Bret	Bret1974osu@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Thank you for addressing oak hills East feeder. Committee you are doing great job. Can you Please also fix Jacob Wismer feeder percentage or at least make it worse by causing unnecessary splits?
2/25/20 1:51 PM	Cara Coates	caracoates@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Here we go again another meeting tonight. Can't wait to see parents booing and cheering at other parents. As I look at the newest map, I see that BSD and committee is not concern with overcrowding or the mental health of their students. With the release of the new map, it seems like a desperate attempt appease the parents who are waving signs and yelling. I understand why the other parents are upset. One parent on the news said that why should his kid suffer since BSD failed to plan. He is right you did fail the kids. Now I ask that you don't fail the future middle schoolers and Stoller staff by submitting a plan that will not address the overcrowding. No matter how you do the math, you can't have both North Bethany communities in the same school. You keep manipulating the numbers to appease the screaming parents and you will never get to the goal. BSD you can't allow a small amount of parents control the district decisions. You have to look at growth projections and make a decision that equitable to all. Once you take Summa out of Stoller you will only have 50 kids going to Sunset. That 3% percent of the current population. Why is okay for my child to go to high school knowing a handful of kids okay, but having kids on the bus for 5 more minutes is not. There has been numerous parents testify that their children suffered depression and anxiety from high boundaries. Please give make equal feeders a priority. Have Springville go to Meadow and move a static community that feeds into Sunset to Stoller. If Springville is going to Stoller even though it does not make financial sense, you have to adjust the high school boundaries to include Jacob Wismer in its entirety to Westview. You owe our kids some stability especially in a crucial stage of their lives.
2/25/20 9:59 PM	Chandana	rageshp@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Part of findley to timberland and part of SPV +rockcreek to FO is the best solution to keep stoller population consistent. Keep walkable findley in stoller. Do not rely on kids moving out of stoller due to some options program like SUMMA. SUMMA needs to be at a school which has kids qualifying for it. (may be both at stoller and timberland)
2/25/20 3:58 PM	Chandresh Mahawar	shalu.mahawar@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Hi, I would like to put my two cents forward, We know that districts main goal is to fill all of their middle schools to balanced number. And overcrowded stoller has been same for past many years. And another community which was also in the works for many years and it was a given that it will bring more kids to stoller as stoller being the only middle school in that region. It[?]s in the walking distance of Findlay and Jacob wismer, so it doesn[?]t make sense to start sending these kids on the bus to some distant school. But it does make sense to keep a new community together and send all their kids to the same middle school. And it make sense to send them all to five oaks as it will boost number at the five oaks middle school and those kids will all go to the same high school i.e. west view. The bonds that these kids form during their middle years are very important for their future endeavors. They form a community of the sort of growing up together and have same experiences. Please try to understand, So not base your decision on emotion. think logically. This is a growing community and if the numbers at stoller are not dealt with now we will be taking about the same thing and couple years down the road. As there is no new middle school proposed in near future, stoller will be overcapacity agin and it will be overwhelming for kids and teachers alike. Plus kids will not get the choice of electives as per the number of kids per club and electives will be very high. We should look at the facts and transportation, we should try to implement tax money to a good use. Kids need to thrive. Please consider wisely, and put forward the most logical map forward. Thanks and regards
2/25/20 10:14 PM	Chieko Y	pdxsalecy@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		I was very disappointed at today's meeting at Whitford MS. First off, the wrong objective was discussed. One of the objectives should be approximately 90% capacity at Stoller MS in 2021. But Mr. Sparks and committee members repeatedly discussed using projection in 2025. Second, a lot of time was spent discussing Jacob Wismer ES boundary change. This should not be a part of discussion of this committee at all. Third, I was also disappointed to hear that one of the committee members said that the meeting was more organized or smooth. Is it because that you were given easy to answer yes or no questions? It was very clear that Mr. Sparks were steering this committee to where he wanted to go. First, you were steered to agree JW split. Then you had to agree to split all schools. Now, we are going back to the map with 8 splits which people did not like.
2/25/20 11:13 PM	Chung-Han Lin	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			2/25 working map allows Rock Creek go to Meadow Park with neighboring schools as well as consider the equity of all school in BSD. But this map have capacity issue at Stoller at 2025 (> 130%) and Cedar Park is < 800 students. Committee agree to consider split" become an crucial ways to relieve and redistribute the student population to prevent capacity issue happens again at 2025. One of the idea was to switch between "high growth area" and "low growth or decreasing area". Map C 2.0 does provide such option to prevent Stoller capacity issue at 2025. Map C 2.0 also maximize the walkability zone that can reduce transportation cost. Toady one parent mentioned that south communities will also need bus route for student to go to school because of financial reason. It would be great that the "northern" boundary adjustment can be achieved in cheaper way that others people can benefit from that. Map C 2.0 also provide shorter commute time for both south and north Rock Creek community as well as provide better academic balance. Both school also go to new middle school either unchanged or with their neighborhood school. The equity in Map C 2.0 is also better. Although Oak Hill feeder issue needs to be address as well as some southern schools Map C 2.0 should be a better starting point to move on."
2/25/20 6:24 PM	Elizabeth Su	ywelizasu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			In my opinion, the north Bethany/ Bethany area has been influx with a lot of high-tech and Nike employees families. It has the advantage of wealthy and diversity. It also causes problems of growth in the area that the BSD wasn[?]t ready for. I have read numerous map and comments regarding the boundary adjustment and it seems like who has a louder voice would get more attentions. Voices from small elementary schools (specifically Rock Creek, Bethany, Oak Hills and Terra Linda) should be considered as well. I think it[?]s extremely unfair to split small schools because they are already less in student number. Therefore, it should have a solution that everyone would compromise to solve the capacity problem. For example, Findley all move to Timberland and make the utilize the new building by having SUMMA program relocate to Timberland. It probably won[?]t about minimize transportation time, because the saltzman road and cornell road congested around 8:30-9:00 in the morning. However, it does not impact of the community greatly. I hope the BSD and Washington would cooperate in the future to improving the issue. I also want to point out the importance for all the students in the district should have best learning environment possible. If there[?]s no much differences between middle schools: Stoller, Five Oak, Meadow Park, I wouldn[?]t believe the argument would be like the situation now. On the other hand, I also believe it is the people make the school great. I encourage the committee and BSD take the opportunity to make right this time and to create another great middle school in the NW part of the district out of Rock Creek, Bethany, Springville, Sato, Oak Hills, McKinley, Elmonica, Terra Linda and Barnes. Please create a reasonable feeding pattern that pair up with similar social and economic community. Please budget wisely, and create a sustainable solution. Wherever the committee recommends Rock Creek goes, we want to stay with our northern neighbors.
2/25/20 9:05 PM	Eric Bolken	bigbolk@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem		Mountainside	Unfortunately for the second meeting in a row, a Cooper Mountain community member randomly selected did not represent the vast majority of families at the school. Please do not, I repeat, DO NOT ignore the hundreds of Cooper Mountain family comments that have been posted to the comment pages supporting either feeding the full school to Highland Park or keeping the boundaries as-is. While I respect the opinions of Community members requesting shifting all of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View, those in support of this path are fewer than you can count on one hand. Thank you for your consideration, Do Not shift all of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View.
2/25/20 11:26 AM	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Please do not split up Findley! We fully support the February working map with all of Findley together at Timberland! Please keep Findley together! Thank you!
2/25/20 11:19 PM	F Hardy	Frh83@outlook.com				Was it just me to notice this...the discussion on Stoller was how to break up Jacob Wismer N number of ways and no/little discussion on splitting The elephant in the room - Springville. What is happening here? Is the committee being pressured by all the red shirts in the room? Kids and families across the district are being discussed here so why all this pandering to one community? I hope the committee and bsd is not being pressured and will be more objective.
2/25/20 9:53 AM	Grace	khooemeiee@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	The latest map leaves many problems unsolved. Pls bring back the walking Findley kids - they deserve to be part of the Stoller community. They are our neighbours. We need a long term solution. I hope BSD will work on closing the gaps between middle schools which i think is the main cause of the problem and preference. We need good schools and good programs for every child and not a specific neighbourhood.
2/25/20 11:21 PM	Haddy El-Mansy	elmansym@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			At the very last minute the committee is again considering making changes to Cooper Mountain elementary middle school boundaries. I understand that there are a vocal few that want this change but it's very important to recognize that those advocating for an all Cooper Mountain to Mountain View change DO NOT LIVE IN THE BOUNDARIES THAT WOULD BE AFFECTED. All the comments on here advocating to keep the Highland/Mountain View splits ARE from families who would significantly be impacted by this change. I understand a lot of changes are needed in the district but this is not one of them. It does not solve classroom size nor does it solve transportation. It seems like a very haphazard switch in an effort for equity (which is 100% important) but this is not being addressed district-wide. Five Oaks is at a whopping 59%, with Whitford at 48% (increasing to 50% based on the current map) and Mountain View trailing at 47%. Also to consider: Stoller is only at 10%. Since these considerations aren't being made, it makes me think that Cooper Mountain is a latch ditch effort at equity, just like it's a last ditch effort to make changes in the South after spending countless hours speaking about the North situation. I appreciate your time, but please leave Cooper Mountain alone. Those of us who would be affected by the changes do not want these changes.
2/25/20 2:59 PM	Hansini	Hansinip@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Let the facts and the numbers dictate the new boundaries. Letting a large growing community such as Springville come into Stoller will causes overcrowding again in a few years. Moving Summa out of Stoller and letting a large growing elementary school like Springville into Stoller is a temporary fix and we will be back in the same overcrowding predicament in a few years. Moving Summa to Timberland will yield an isolation problem for a small number of JW Summa Students feeding into High School. High School is tough enough for most, let's not isolate and make the 4 yrs harder for these kids. This will have a negative impact on their academics. Why bus kids when they can walk? There is a large number of Summa kids from JW and Findley that can walk to Summa in Stoller. Let's not transport them and add on to the already growing BSD budget deficit and cause more cars and school buses to be on the road. We teach our kids in school about carbon footprint, let's decrease the carbon footprint by letting the JW (Summa and Non-Summa) kids walk to Stoller. Let's come up with a more permanent solution: Keep Jacob Wismer in Stoller! Keep Summa in Stoller!

2/25/20 10:22 AM	Haritha	Havarthi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I am a Jacob Wismer parent with children going to Stoller MS. Highly disturbing to know that my children will potentially NOT walk to school that they can see from their backyard. Watching this process of school boundaries has been a quite alarming as most of the problems of overcrowding are not being resolved with putting SPV at Stoller. Large classroom sizes, HS feeding patterns leaving children in potentially challenging situations with no familiar friends. Not to mention the increased transportation costs with bussing children from North bethany to Stoller and children to Timberland when most of the these kids could walk to Stoller. It seems like a fiscally irresponsible decision to put forward a plan that increases costs when all could be avoided and funds could be directed to much needed educational programs instead of fighting over an extra 5min commute.
2/25/20 8:22 PM	Harsha Grunes	hgrunes@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			Thank you for all the time you've dedicated to this process. The current map (Feb 25th) would be acceptable to what I perceive as the majority of the Cooper Mountain families. Many of our kids have known children at Sexton Mountain since they were babies, we play on the same sports teams, go to the same after-care and want them to continue to go to the same middle school and high-school, maintaining and growing the community connection. The capacity of the latest maps for Mountain View and Highland look very reasonable. Given the relatively late impact to our schools, please maintain status quo since we really haven't had the time the Northern schools have had to digest any changes and make our voices heard. Even though this hopefully wouldn't impact us, based on the last two committee meetings I ended and the comments, I urge you to consider giving current students the option to finish their middle schools years at their current middle-school to minimize transitions for them.
2/25/20 6:28 AM	Heather Clement	heather.mclement@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem		Westview	Please support balanced capacity for Meadow Park and Timberland by sending Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland, and send Westview bound Oak Hills students to Meadow. It's a cost neutral decision that helps an overcrowded Meadow Park.
2/25/20 1:29 PM	Himanshu Shah	himanshu.shah.00@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Thank you for the efforts on the middle school boundary adjustment. Current committee proposed map has only 17% JW students feeding into Sunset. This is unacceptable. We need to make sure Walkable community around Stoller including Findley and JW continues to feed into Stoller to keep greater % of Middle School students feed into Sunset HS. Committee and BSD board need to keep focus on all kids in our community and not go by which group has loudest voice or co-ordinated t-shirts or booing/clapping during the meetings or possible realtor/developer funded lawsuits.
2/25/20 7:16 AM	Jagadevi	Jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Do not disrupt old and stable JW community. Why nobody is thinking about JW and hearing only loudest voice. HS feeding has drastically dropped from 41% to 17%. I request it not to reduce it further. Please keep JW community together. Summa And non-summa, we want our community together. One third of our kids are summa. Taking them out divides JW. Summa is a program for resident students and it should be homed where there is more concentration of summa kids.
2/25/20 9:27 AM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com				There is no way other than splitting Springville to keep students/class ratio at healthy rate in Stoller. It doesn't make sense to cram 40-50 students in a class just to avoid 5 extra minute travel.
2/25/20 11:57 AM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Split Springville to keep healthy student to teachers ratio. and to achieve the main goal of this boundary adjustment process.
2/25/20 1:12 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Majority of Jacob Wismer kids are Summa, Moving them out leaves us at Stoller with SINGLE DIGIT Sunseet feeding from Stoller. DONT ISOLATE US, DONT SPLIT JACOB WISMER.
2/25/20 8:56 PM	Jennifer H.	Krh0923@msn.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	I had no intention of commenting again, but once again the committee did not get to hear from a parent who is in favor of keeping the majority of cooper mountain students at Highland. Well over the majority of families at Cooper Mountain want the boundary map to stay how it is currently is with most of the students staying at Highland. Since the committee has not been able to hear a Cooper Mountain parent speak on why it is so important to the majority of Cooper Mountain families to have their children continue at Highland, please continue to read the comments that have been posted since January when Cooper Mountain first heard that there was even a chance that our boundaries would change. Please keep Cooper Mountain status quo. Thank you.
2/25/20 8:36 PM	Jennifer Politsch	marisky23@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Thank you for your efforts on this difficult problem. A few requests - 1. Can you publish the transportation numbers showing the number of walking students and number of bussed students in each option for the middle school. It is very difficult to understand how many students are affected and connect to the costs. 2. Would you please define with each published map the reasons for each change made to help inform the community on why changes were made and please connect to number of comments made to support the change. My home school is Jacob wismer and my kids go to Stoller. I agree with one of the key priorities is reducing the crowding at Stoller. The current environment is not safe for our students nor does it provide a safe learning environment. In the last map published the only option which reduces Stoller below 100% is moving all of Summa to Timberland. Some concerns with this option include: 1. Stoller capacity planning should include all of Jacob Wismer students and not for a reduced fraction going into an option program. If all of the students from Jacob Wismer were to go to Stoller this would again lead to over crowding. For no other option program is the potential number of local elementary feeder students to local middle school reduced. 2. Creating a mega Summa program at Timberland would replicate that currently at Stoller which garners criticism for being a segregated block of smart kids". Separating and providing Summa at each middle school will keep elementary schools together and be easier to integrate in the local middle school community. Both schools would have a large enough number of students to support a summa program and would each be larger than some programs elsewhere in the district. 3. Bussing all of Summa Stoller will reduce the number of kids who can walk to their local school. 4. Stoller is a split feeder to high school with the current map showing a small fraction to Sunset. Moving Summa would potentially further decrease the number of students from Stoller to Sunset to a number that is approaching 50 or less. It would also pull a very small fraction of Westview students to Timberland which is a Sunset only feeder. The friendships formed during middle school are critical to the next major life change of going to high school. I recommend all of Jacob Wismer goes to Stoller. Stoller and timberland both have their own Summa programs. I think the high school and elementary boundaries for schools in the North should get reevaluated to enable the creation of more equal fractions going from middle school to high school. A Fraction of the bussed students going to Westview in the schools North of Stoller can be redirected to another weather middle school. Completing this middle school exercise without a holistic look at the elementary and high school boundaries is only going to superficially fix a problem and is not year long range planning. Another reason to create the local Summa at Stoller and Timberline is that will leave space at Timberline for the sunset Oak Hills students to move to Timberline. They are a group of students <20 that currently go to Measure Park which is predominately Westview. The Barnes Sunset students predominately are in the immersion option program and go to Beaverton high school. This small number of students going into high school without many middle school connections is completely wrong and should be fixed by BSD regardless of the middle boundary process. BSD should also ensure that this situation is not replicated with this process where middle schools feed two or three high schools."
2/25/20 8:22 PM	Josie Jarnagin	jmkeck16@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	This is yet another meeting where the only voice from our school does not represent the majority of Cooper Mountain. We have bought our homes knowing the boundaries of which Middle School our children would go. Keep Cooper Mountain as the status quo. 70% go to Highland while 30% go to Mountain View. The focus needs to be on our children, preventing overcrowded classrooms, and the need to have stability. Going to different middle schools will be very difficult.
2/25/20 11:31 PM	Jyothi	Nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	DO NOT SPLIT Findley. Feed Findley to Stoller. Tonight's meeting is all about trying to fit springville in Stoller. Clearly it wont work out.
2/25/20 11:34 PM	Jyothi	nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	There are multiple ways to fill Timberland. But only ONE WAY to fill Stoller to avoid overcrowding. Findley + Jacob wismer + Sato Findley and JW decline with compensating growth of SATO
2/25/20 10:46 PM	Kal Vuppamandla	kal.vuppamandla@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	2025 Matters too, not just 2021 Dear BSD committee, Thank you for navigating the tough choices ahead of you! Having just now attended the committee meeting, I am a bit concerned when some of the committee members mention that we need to get the numbers right only for 2021 and not 2025. This is so counter to wise decision making! We really need to make long term decisions not resolve to fixing things in the short run! How many of us would want another painful discussion regarding boundary adjustment in a few years? I can bet none of us! If so, how can we say that 2025 does not matter, how can we allow Stoller to get overcrowded in 2025 and resolve to portables again? If that happens, has this committee really solved the issues it started out to solve? Please do not make decisions to get this out of your way, be bold and make decisions for the long run! That's really solution, not kicking the can down the road!
2/25/20 12:16 PM	Kamini	kaminimoorthy@gmail.com				My son walked to school as a BSD student and I can not stress the importance of that. We should keep all of JW together, allow the walkable Findley kids walking to Stoller all while keeping Summa in Stoller. This seems to be the most practical solution. We can not bring a growing elementary school such as Springville to Stoller as this will not help with the overcrowding and we will be back in this same overcrowding predicament in a few years. KEEP JACOB WISMER IN STOLLER ALONG WITH THE SUMMA PROGRAM.
2/25/20 11:06 PM	Karen	karenlynroberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Capacity at Stoller with Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer is absolutely sustainable in 2025. There is NO CHANCE of being where we are now and redrawing boundaries in 2025! Being at 89% total capacity in 2025 is nowhere near the same as being at 113% of total capacity like Stoller is now. Being 14% over permanent capacity in 2025 is absolutely nowhere near the same as being 44% over permanent capacity like Stoller is now. Having 150 students over permanent capacity in 2025 is nowhere near the same as being 479 students over permanent capacity (and 185 students over total capacity) like Stoller is now! These boundaries are not only sustainable, they allow for the decommissioning of up to TEN of the portable classrooms currently at Stoller. With just 2 portables or an extra 2 students per class, Stoller can sustain these boundaries in 2025 and beyond.
2/25/20 11:43 PM	kate Yip	kateyip2009@gmail.com				2/25 working map is an acceptable plan to Rock Creek, but this map have capacity issue at Stoller at 2025 (> 130%). Committee addressed that split" become an crucial ways to reduce the student population to prevent capacity problem happens again at 2025. Map C 2.0 provide potential options to prevent Stoller capacity issue at 2025. Map C 2.0 also reduce transportation cost by considering include community within walking Zone for a given middle school. Toady one parent mentioned that south communities will also need bus route for student to go to school because of financial reason thus reduce transportation cost in north during boundary adjustment become a key to reach better equity level among BSD. Map C 2.0 also provide shorter commute time for both south and north Rock Creek community as well as provide better academic balance. Both school also go to new middle school either unchanged or with their neighborhood school. The equity in Map C 2.0 is also better. In short I strongly encourage committee to consider Map C 2.0 to work on."
2/25/20 9:19 PM	Kayleen Welbourn	kwelbourn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	It does not make sense to move Bethany and Oak Hills west to Oak Hills. This was already proposed on a previous map, and the numbers don't make sense. Our kids are already starting at Meadow Park as 6th graders, and now they are going to have to move again to Five Oaks as 7th graders then move again as freshman? This doesn't make any sense. Several board members said tonight that they wanted to move forward and not move back, so why are we moving back to old ideas? The main concern is decreasing the numbers at Stoller, so it makes more sense to move kids out of Stoller, not move Oak Hills out of Meadow Park to send us to Five Oaks.
2/25/20 8:36 PM	Kelly Osowski	kellyjosowski@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			Thank you for taking the time to help with this decision and reading all of our comments. I continue to request that you keep status quo for Cooper Mountain Elementary. It would be great if all of Cooper Mountain fed into Highland Park and then into Mountainside High, but am aware that is a difficult task for various reasons. Therefore, I continue to request you keep the majority of CM feeding into Highland Park to cause the least amount of disruption to our families. Thank you.

2/25/20 11:09 PM	kelly roby	roby.kelly@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Hello bsd,I just attended the committee meeting tonight and am frankly so disappointed in the process. This new map proposed 2/25 achieves none of the objectives set to achieve, keeping walkable kids at their neighborhood school, reducing overcrowding at stoller, a key focus on transpiration cost, and ensuring a strong feeder program to all high school. To learn this map came out of no where stated by several committee members and then said this is the map we move forward with seems like we have made no progress. I also was sent social media post by springville parents that they will call names and marginalize groups of families to ensure their school goes to their choice middle school and would I quote[?]save them from horrendous five oaks[?]. We need to get Back to the basics here:1. Feeder patterns: this new map reduces jw kids to be such a small population of sunset it creates another oak hills which we want to fix.2. My children and most kids(Findley) feeding to stoller/sunset now can walk this is our established neighborhood community. Why am I kicked out of a established neighborhood school to ensure someone that just built a house far out of town can choose their middle school?3. Springville kids will be bused no matter where they go why are my walking kids asked to now bus to another school so springville can again choose their school?I ask you make the right choices Findley and jw need to feed together to stoller for proximity to school and strong feeder numbers.This would mean springville goes to five oaks and it appears oak hills and rock creek could then feed to timberland.Thank you Kelly
2/25/20 9:54 PM	Khrystyna	khrystyna_1@yahoo.com	Bonny Slope Elem			No more splits! Keep whole Oak Hills in Meadow, whole Springville in Stoller, whole Findley in Timberland!Proceed with Feb 25 working map. No changes are needed for north.
2/25/20 10:47 PM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	The public comment made at tonight[?]s boundary meeting by the parent from Cooper Mountain stated that Mountain View Middle School has the highest poverty rate in the district. Based on the information provided in the 2/25 meeting packet, Mountain View actually has the third highest free/reduced lunch percentage in the district. There has been no discussion around significant changes within other middle school boundaries in the district solely for the purpose of changing the free/reduced lunch percentage, so please don[?]t single out the Cooper Mountain community. At the 2/13 meeting, the committee came to a preliminary consensus on an acceptable Whitford Middle School boundary with a free/reduced lunch percentage higher than that of both the current Mountain View boundary and the proposed Mountain View boundary in the 2/25 working map. Please keep Cooper Mountain Elementary feeding to Highland Park Middle School.
2/25/20 8:25 AM	Kristin	mccartneykr@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem			Please end isolation of Oak Hills students as they go into high school. Send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany. This will allow each group of students to have a buddy school when they enter high school. This move would help support objective #4 of strengthening the middle school to high school feeder path for Oak Hills students. I would hope that ALL BSD students begin their high school journey with a sizable peer group. Our Oak Hills Elementary Community agrees to an East/ West split at middle school to ensure that students continue onto a high school with a decent size peer group that is greater than 14% of the overall school population.
2/25/20 1:35 PM	Krupal Shah	krupshi@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Current proposed map from committee should be changed to add walkable community from Findley and JW to feed into Stoller to allow reasonable number of students from Stoller continue to feed into Sunset HS. Current map feeds ONLY 17% students from Stoller to Sunset. Lack of known faces/friends in HS will have significant impact on those kids development.
2/25/20 11:25 AM	Kyle Patterson	kyle.patterson64@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem		Sunset	Committee, Thank you for taking on such a challenging process. There are many factors to consider. However, I am confident that the committee will continue to push and adjust maps to achieve all of their objectives and do what is best for EVERY student in the district.After reviewing the current map, there is a lot more work to be done. I am a parent of Oak Hills Elementary Sunset Bound students. This map fail to achieve 2 of the 4 objectives set out by the committee. This map also incorrectly considers Barns ES for Sunset. All of Barns ES is now a Dual Language Option School. Parents participating in the dual language option program are required to sign a contract committing enrollment in the option school through 12th grade. Beaverton High School is the closest High School to Barns ES that has the Dual Language program. As such, all kids at Barns ES will enroll at a High School that offers a Dual Language Program. Most likely that school will be Beaverton HS. Sunset does not have a Dual Language Program and is not currently planned to have this option available to its students. Considering this overlooked fact, please review these 3 recommendations as you continue to rework maps.1. Adjust the boundary for Sunset Bound Oak Hills students to attend Timberland. This is the preferred option for my community and improves three of the Committee's objectives. It improves Timberland's loading and reduces Meadow Park's while strengthening the feeder pattern for kids from middle to high school. Further, if you propose adjusting the high school boundary for North Barnes to go to Beaverton HS, which aligns with their dual-language preference, Meadow Park's high school feeders reduce to two.2. Keep all of Oak Hills at Meadow Park and adjust the Sunset High School boundary to strengthen the feeder pattern from elementary to middle to high school. Implement this change simultaneously with the middle school boundary update and provide existing Westview-Oak Hills families the option to stay if they choose. This improves the feeder objective; however, it doesn't help alleviate the capacity issues at Meadow Park or Timberland.3. Balance the general education population first without SUMMA, then figure out how many schools to have SUMMA at and where. It was stated at a previous Committee meeting that the optimal SUMMA size at a school is 50-90, which is over at all schools with SUMMA in this map. Removing SUMMA would allow the Committee to fulfill it's core objectives first and then build from there.Thank youKyle
2/25/20 10:33 AM	Lina Gao	lg72cu@yahoo.com		Stoller MS	Sunset	Findley elementary boundary stops at the doorstep of Stoller. Based on proximity, walkability and a stable population count, Findley is a natural feeder school for Stoller.
2/25/20 12:12 AM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee - The new map that was published on 2/21 fails to address the issues and is a major step backwards rather than a step forward in the process. It does not in any way address the overcrowding issue at Stoller in 2021 or beyond. The numbers clearly show that Springville and Sato cannot both feed into the same middle school. Not in 2021 or any year thereafter. Please make recommendations that relieve overcrowding at Stoller. Keep Summa in neighborhood schools and make walkability a bigger priority than what the current map offers. Walkability keeps taxpayer dollars where they belong, in our schools with our students and their teachers.Thanks.
2/25/20 2:59 PM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee - Over the last few weeks, this boundary adjustment process has divided communities, brought about negativity and brought one community to a point where logic and common sense has been tossed away, and replaced by an unwillingness to make any rational compromises or accept any of the proven facts that have presented themselves. Today I watched a clip that was done on KATU News about the process. It broke my heart to hear a parent suggest that his child would have to SUFFER immensely at the expense of others because the district planned the locations of their middle schools so poorly. It is easy to point fingers and place blame but none of that fixes or addresses the problems we face. I would NOT call being on a bus for 10 extra minutes a day as SUFFERING, especially when those 10 extra minutes allow 1200 Stoller students and an additional 1,000 FO students - including theirs - an opportunity at a quality education. Is it fair to have 1,200 students and hundreds of teachers and administrators subjected to 40 students in a classroom? According to Mr. Sparks, what we have to do in this situation is look at the entirety of the district and what's right for the district. If that holds true, then I urge you to consider that we are in a situation where 2 communities - Springville and Sato - simply CANNOT feed into the same Middle School unless we believe that 40 students to one teacher is an acceptable outcome. Walkability matters. Students on a bus will NOT be harmed if on that bus for 5 extra minutes. Please bring walkable Findley back to Stoller along with JW. Summa students deserve to be at their neighborhood school and move to HS with their peers. Do not allow noise and distractions to veer you from the true data points. I remain hopeful in the process. Thank you!
2/25/20 11:31 PM	Lorie Bartee	Geologychick@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Current Sato students should not be moved from Stoller because of future construction. The board should recommend a hard stop into Stoller for newly constructed homes. It will be the new homeowners[?] choice on whether or not they want to buy a home there. We moved to this area 7 years ago for Springville and Stoller. We were moved to Sato - I urge you to keep all current Sato students feeding in to Stoller. The Pirate Park Community has been moved 5 different times. Remember that... FIVE TIMES!!
2/25/20 5:38 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			When the district inevitably revisits the boundary process in a short time because it has not solved the overcrowding at Stoller and has opened another elementary school in an absolutely absurd location, I hope that more people will demand that it be handled in a more professional manner. The Beaverton School District serves well over 40 thousand students and impacts hundreds of thousands of people with its decisions. Objective professionals should be hired to gather and analyze relevant data. That data should be used to produce numerous maps that balance REAL long term educational and logistical goals of the district. They should align ALL the levels at once from the elementary schools up to create clean feeders. That is the point at which a handful of the best maps should be released for public comment and refinement with the help of a committee that represents ALL impacted schools and options. This isn't that difficult. School districts that are much larger do it all the time. Do better.
2/25/20 5:53 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			When will the district release the transportation costs for bussing ALL of Findley to Timberland instead of half of it to Stoller? Unless roughly 400 kids are going to ride 4 buses, there's a problem with all the comparisons released so far. People latched onto inaccurate numbers and used it to bolster their claims. The district needs to be transparent about the real increase in costs that comes from bussing kids who can walk.
2/25/20 8:41 PM	Margaret W	Maggieinpx@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	Please continue to keep Cooper Mountain at Highland Park. At today[?]s meeting the only thing discussed was Stoller. Please hear the voice of the majority of Cooper Mountain. We want to stay at Highland Park.
2/25/20 4:36 PM	Marla Hay	marlaannleahy@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			Thank you for the well thought out map proposed by the committee and all the work you've put into this process. Thank you for keeping Terra Linda at Timberland and the commitment to walkability, transportation costs, feeder patterns, and demographic diversity. One more request for this latest map - if possible it would be great to pull the eastern kids from Oak Hills into Timberland as well. They'll be headed to Sunset and this gives them an opportunity to align with their high school class in middle school, instead of splitting later on. It further improves diversity at Timberland and it improves capacity between Meadow and Timberland. Thanks!
2/25/20 2:29 PM	Maura Wick	mochawick@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	My kids are out of school now but we live in the Oak Hills neighborhood and we're surrounded by families that feel strongly their kids should be sent to Timberland so they will form connections with the kids they'll be attending Sunset HS with. Given how strongly they all seem to feel about this, I said I would throw in my 2 cents! I don't envy your decision. We were impacted by the shift from Westview to Sunset. I only wish it had happened years earlier!!
2/25/20 10:49 PM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee Members, Thanks once again for your time today for the meeting! I just had one more suggestion which may be looked at.. Why are we not thinking about moving part of SPV closer to Stoller to move to TL? There was a split proposed fir splitting SPV with part if it going to FO. May be the other c add n go to TL to utilize TL. Why are we trying to split the mature neighborhoods instead of the newer growing ones? Please try to look into this option as well! May be keep all Findley/ JW and Sato at Stoller n move SPV to TL.. Thanks
2/25/20 8:31 PM	Melinda Carlson	mcsings@live.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Exactly as Katie Rupp summarized tonight - fix feeder pattern for Oak Hills east side and send them to Timberland with their Sunset-bound peers.
2/25/20 7:12 PM	Michelle Mastalski	Currentvintagelivn@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			My son is in special ed at Terra Linda. But is expected to change placement to home school Oak Hills next year. We feel that East Oak Hills kids that feed into Sunset should go to Timberland to help keep some students together as well as help with the capacity issues in the middle schools.
2/25/20 11:19 PM	Pavan Akkisetty	avspavan@gmail.com	Findley Elem			Please Donot split findley elementary. My request is to keep all findley together. We prefer stoller but if it comes to split vs stoller, i prefer no split findley into Timberland. Also to keep Stoller numbers around 90%, please have all north summa in timberland.

2/25/20 12:40 AM	Phil	parandigt@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Idea based of latest working map dated 2/25/2020Problem cited: Rock Creek ES students will be transported farther than they are currently transported to Stoller MSSuggest solution: Move communities closest to Springville ES to Stoller MS. These areas are currently sandwiched between two communities that feed Stoller MS. These communities right across from PCC rock creek park (between Green space / West Union road on the south and Springville Road on the north).There are strong and valid reasons for adding these community to Stoller MS1.These areas are closest & have strong connection to the North Bethany communities a.The kids of these neighborhoods (especially area 1) walk to the PCC park, play with kids from Springville ES & Stoller MS area. b.Walkable distance to Springville ES (via PCC walking trail)2.The distance from these neighborhoods increases significantly (around 7 miles) from current 2.2 miles to Stoller MS if Meadow Park is middle School. 3.This re-org does not add any transportation as the current buses that access the communities east and west of this area already go past this area.4.This area adds to the socio-economic diversity to Stoller MS which is much required (as has been demonstrated in Map C)5.Adds only minor increase of student count to Stoller MS that is easily accommodated (especially if the Summa program is moved out Stoller MS).
2/25/20 3:02 PM	Pooja	Pooja928@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	please do the correct thing for the kids. The entire JW and Findley (at least walkable part but preferably whole school) should be assigned to Stoller because the school is a stone's throw away from where those students live. Transportation money saved and logistic problems solved because kids walk to school or have their parents drive them but taxpayers money don't go to paying for transporting kids living within walking distance. The other Fact is that Both Sato and Springville cannot be in Stoller, numbers Have backEd this up. Fact: Bussing SPV students to FO would be 5-10 minutes longer than their commute today and not 2 to 3 times longer as they keep saying despite the proven facts. is this process about facts and logic or about pleasing schools making the loudest noise and refusing to acknowledge ? We need a map to support JW going to stoller
2/25/20 3:57 PM	Pramodh	pb97229@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD and Committee members,2025 projection shows sprinville growth between 2020 to 2025 is about 55% and this baffles all in our community. The SVP is much stable community like JW or Findley is. the projections are outright misleading and incorrect to say the least. The discussions around 2025 numbers for Stoller waste of time if the projections data is incorrect.The PSU projections does not see the same growth projections for SVP , so there is conflicting data between them.
2/25/20 11:14 PM	Pramodh	pb97229@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Mr Spark.What happend today in FEB 25th meeting is unbelievable. The committee clear stated what they want to see as a map in FEB 13 meeting but some of the committee is now debating that what they want is different than what is presented. What a pure waste of time and resources. There are committee members who are clear about how the boundary should look and trying to be equitable where as other that did not participate clear miss using their powers . They are not coming forth with right questions for the BSD to provide the right tools to move forward ,they just simple missing the importance of the decision they are making. The following are the issues that clear made this meeting go back few steps back in progress.1. BSD did not clear stated objectives for 2025. This is by far the big issue today as few committee members trying to fixated on the 2025 number instead of working on objective 2 for 2021 numbers. 2. Few committee members did not have clear understanding of what they looking for in terms of boarder adjustment and why they are disagreeing for the questions raised.3. The may have been strong lobbying behind the scene from one of the committee members to not to vote on the map presented today.4. Not to mention , the topics and discussion that committee had debated so many times since NOV , they are raising the same questions again and back stepping the progress made so far .I would hope that this process still be fair for all not just the ES schools they are representing.
2/25/20 9:56 PM	ragesh puthenkovilakam	rageshp@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			JW + SATO + Walkable findley + SUMMA(for kids from these region) will keep stoller population constant in the long term. Please dont make short sighted decisions with JW split in to 3 (elementary to summa/non-summa, the MS->sunset/westview); Please keep walkable findley +summa at stoller.
2/25/20 4:57 PM	Raghu Yannam	rnryannam@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Just for 20% summa student walkability, it does not make sense to move entire Springville to Five Oaks and make the kids travel that long and go thru this hardship. Simple solution is to move Summa to Timberland and feed Springville to Stoller.
2/25/20 10:27 AM	Ragu Venkatesan	vrugu98@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	The Feb 25th map, as is, puts Stoller at 130% in 2025. If we take the Feb 25th map and move Summa from Stoller to Timberland, it becomes similar to Map A of Jan 30th, which had the highest transportation cost : 2.6M vs 2.3M for Jan30th MapC. So the Feb 25th proposal will end up costing the district 300K more per year, which is 30K per middle school, per year. That is probably a big chunk of many PTO annual budgets, and I dont know how many BoxTops we will have to clip to make up for it. Seems like a colossal waste of money to me. I believe BSD staff is best suited to come up with a reasonable, impartial, fair and cost-sensitive Boundary map, that meets the Board objectives of (1) reducing overcrowding at Stoller (2) starting Timberland with ~800 kids. The community wants walkability, the transportation department wants walkability. The northern boundaries of Jan 30th MapC are the most logical and save the most \$ for the school district.
2/25/20 12:09 PM	Ram	lochi1948@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Let's keep all of Jacob Wismer in Stoller including the Summa program. This will help with the walkability factor. Why put the JW and Findley Summa kids on a bus and increase transportation costs when they can just as easily walk to school. Keep all of Jacob Wismer and all of Summa in Stoller!
2/25/20 10:54 PM	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		today[?]'s meeting clearly called out that summa is a school program. One of the committee members highlighted that some summa parents/kids may opt out of the program to stay at stoller ms if summa moved to a differer school. I am one of those parents who would rather have my kid be with her neighborhood friends rather than be sent to a different school for the program. I know several other Jacob Wismer parents who would do the same. Please keep summa at stoller. Please keep Jacob Wismer intact for high school feeders.
2/25/20 10:09 AM	Rama	ramap10@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		[2/25, 12:05 PM] Sangeeta: https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/long-range-planning/boundary-adjustments/middle-boundary-adjustments/ms-boundary-comment-form[2/25, 12:05 PM] Sangeeta: Walkability....a very important factor that should be considered while determining the elementary school boundary. So many of the JW and Findley kids (Summa and non-Summa) walk to school now. Why would we change this and put them on a bus? Let's take advantage of the proximity and keep all of Jacob Wismer in Stoller along with the Summa program. This will help with the high school feeding issue and not isolate some the JW kids feeding into Sunset. Keep all of Jacob Wimser and Summa in Stoller !!!!!
2/25/20 10:03 AM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I'm very perplexed as to why transportation costs and the head of transportation's explicitly expressed concerns over busing an additional 100s of kids is all of a sudden a moot point. He said he cannot find enough bus drivers and yet we just want to throw this huge problem in his lap and tell him it's your problem to solve. I sure hope we are not left to drastically lower the bar on who BSD will will accept as bus drivers because we have no choice. Sensible and sane proposals must be restored to this process.
2/25/20 10:18 AM	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The proposed map has 69% feeder from Timberland and 14% from Stoller. This is without moving Oak Hills to Timberland and/or all of SUMMA to Timberland, which would worsen the imbalance. Everyone just says, the kids will just make new friends. Take it from parents who are living this nightmare right now. Social cliques formed since childhood are not easy to break into, even for the most outgoing of kids. Seeing your kid left out is heart breaking and this isolation is something no child should endure. The proposals make it as if whole populations are moving to a new school district and having to start over with friends. Life is never perfectly fair, but don't place the kids at severe disadvantage, the solution needs to be more in the middle. Every kid deserves a fair shot at happiness, fond memories of high school and academic success.
2/25/20 8:59 PM	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				2/25 testimony from Sato as well as the one on 2/12 clearly stated that Sato and Springville should stay together. We are part of the same north Bethany community. Please keep these schools together. Our kids do not care about portables in 2025 - the capacity per the working map published for the 2/25 meeting is 114%. Our kids would deal with this rather than be split and sent to different middle schools.
2/25/20 4:06 PM	Sagar	sag91765@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD and Committee members,Summa should be located where there is place to accommodate the program . since there is only one middle school in the north , SUMMA can not be in stoller. If this is higher priority , BSD is setting a bad example for equitable learning for all objective. Thank you
2/25/20 4:11 PM	Sagar	sag91765@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD and Committee members Thanks for your service . JW community think they have entitlement over stoller and since stoller is with in their neighborhood and requesting demands that are not fair for other communities , since JW thinks its not big deal to goto FO from SVP , i would recommend that, JW should be sent to FO since they don't see this as a big deal . they should be able to upbring the FO schools as they think it is them who made stoller great. :) Thanks
2/25/20 10:47 PM	Sally Badawi	sally.badawi@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I am very disheartened by the meeting tonight. This was my first one to attend and while I have been following the changes in the maps, seeing the meeting conducted tonight was quite eye opening. The entire meeting was spent primarily on Stoller families and I understand that their over-crowding issue is a problem. However, during the public comments one parent spoke on behalf of cooper mountain parents when in reality she does not represent ANY of the families who would be affected by a change to the current middle school boundaries. She does not represent the 80% of Cooper Mountain families who are currently slated to attend Highland Park Middle School. However, she had every right to express her opinion. I do not take issue with that at all (except maybe for some of the incorrect stats that she presented). What I do take issue with is after the public spoke, the committee then tried to quickly discuss moving all of Cooper Mountain to Mountain View. This was a TWO MINUTE discussion in comparison to the 90 MINUTES spent on Stoller. They then voted to see information on the change for the next meeting. This is a change that would affect approximately 440 kids. And this change was not on the table at all with the current map, that is until one person spoke to advocate for a change (again, a change that does not affect her family's middle school boundary). The committee has made it quite clear that it is heavily swayed by public opinion (judging by how they were doing everything to avoid a Springville split in the North). Cooper Mountain showed up at the last meeting in droves because we were told the committee really listens. The majority of the comments on here have been in favor maintaining a Cooper Mountain split and the most recent map seemed to leave us alone (which is all many of us want-- just to be left alone, especially since these meetings seem to be heavily weighted on what's happening in the North rather than taking real consideration and analysis into what's happening in the South) and then again at the 11th hour our fate is once again on the table because of ONE comment today. Please don't be swayed by one in-person plea. Please look at the written comments. We don't have a voice in that room if we don't get picked to speak. So I truly hope you're taking into consideration everyone's comments and not just the lucky few who got to speak at the meeting tonight.
2/25/20 1:04 PM	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Springville and Sato Summa kids in Timberland will have no friends at Timberland, because they are the only few who will feed to Westview in HS. Springville and Sato is not complaining. Why is JW complaining when they will have around 200 kids feeding to Sunset from stoller? Also JW summa kids will have friends at Timberland all of them will feed to Sunset.
2/25/20 11:51 AM	Sara Krueger	sschmie@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		PLEASE keep SUMMA at Stoller Middle School. This will help to ensure that there is a higher number of students at Stoller who will feed into Sunset HS. My child is not necessarily a SUMMA student, but she (and her brother) are currently zoned to attend Sunset HS. Transitioning to high school is hard enough, it will be even harder for Sunset students who feed in from Stoller, if SUMMA is moved out of Stoller. KeepJWTogetherSUMMA@Stoller4HSFeederPreserveWalkability
2/25/20 8:46 PM	Sarah	Smcfletcher@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Dear Committee Members, Thank you for taking the time to read all of the comments and look at what is best for our kids. I have looked over the demographic data you provided with the agenda tonight and I feel that you have done a good job addressing both the needs of MVMS and HPMS. MVMS and HPMS free reduced lunch are in much better balance with this newest map. You have also let us know you care about the feeder patterns for kids from middle to high school transitions too. Thank you for your hard work and dedication for all our students!
2/25/20 10:29 AM	Satokowatt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				If Oak Hills East wants to go to Timberland, please move Stoller Summa to Meadow park Summa. Thank you

2/25/20 10:56 AM	SHALINI GUPTA	DOCSHALINIGUPTA@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear Committee members - I am not sure what was the reasoning for the map A proposed as it is. It is not solving the problem of overcrowding at Stoller. Taking out SUMMA is not going to put a dent on the original problem statement that is to keep Stoller pop less than 90 percent. Plus lots of SUMMA parents will chose to not send kids to a faraway MS by dropping out of SUMMA when there is a MS in their backyard. We need to give equal weight to the problem of fair feeder program for HS. with proposed map, only 10 MS kids from JW, Stoller will go to Sunset HS in a class size of 500 and will be completely lost. Lastly, two growing communities with 500 kids can't simply feed into same MS. they themselves make Stoller go to its capacity of 1000. Hope you listen to the voice of reason above all the noise, bells and whistles and make right decision for the long term future.
2/25/20 9:22 PM	SHALINI GUPTA	DOCSHALINIGUPTA@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem			Dear Committee members, please keep a fair feeder pattern from Stoller to Sunset by keeping JW and walking Findley together in Stoller. Also, it does not make sense to feed two growing ES communities (SPV and Sato) to same middle school which will eventually lead to overcrowding at Stoller within next couple of years, no matter what all other permutations we try. One of the more reasonable solutions will be to move JW, Sato and Findley at Stoller while move SPV to FO. Thanks.
2/25/20 10:12 AM	Shannon Sherry	shannonsherry@comcast.net	Ridgewood Elem	Cedar Park MS	Beaverton	The most recent map looks like the best equity for meadowpark and cedar park. I'm sure no one is happy around Stoller, but so challenging as they are a very populated area up there. We need to have equity in overall school sizes, we need to try to reduce multiple high schools from one middle school. I think this is the best we are going to do unless you build another middle school And high school up by Bonny slope. I think Rachel Carson at Cedar Park makes most sense.
2/25/20 9:14 PM	shanthi s	nareshr08@gmail.com	Springville K-8			I am here at the boundary meeting? What just happened here ? I am confused at why the committee cannot reach to 9 votes.Mr Sparks would have asked what changes other committee members want to see to have their vote. I guess other people did not vote because of 2025 numbers. Sparks at the end says again that we care about 2021 numbers. What a conflicting information and waste of everybody's time.
2/25/20 9:44 PM	shanthi s	sant3095@gmail.com	Springville K-8			NO SPLITS - Summa out of Stoller and NUMBERS WILL WORK. So everyone in the committee is ok with SPV being split but not JW or SATO. Such a bias !!!What has SPV done to take this kind of treatment. FEB 25 working map was the best one with minimal splits and with Summa out Stoller, numbers work for 2021 and do for 2025 and it is declining afterwards. Why doesn't the committee or leadership or BSD get this ??
2/25/20 10:31 PM	shanthi s	sant3095@gmail.com	Springville K-8			HELLO, ANYONE READING COMMENTS HERE ???2025 numbers for Stoller do work with Summa out of Stoller. I was at meeting and did not understand what some AC members issue was with the current working map. It felt like everyone ganged up to either split SPV or send us to a school other than Stoller.114% permanent capacity in 2025 without Summa. Numbers do work!! BSD please make an equitable unbiased decision. These meetings are one step ahead and 10 steps back.
2/25/20 9:18 PM	Shanti	sant3095@gmail.com	Springville K-8			MAP C does not fill Timberland.Why are we talking about this over and over again when we had almost perfect working map Feb25 meeting.In this map minimal splits, communities are together. Did you guys even hear our testimonies ?? No splits and with Summa out of Stoller, numbers do work. Timberland properly utilized. Why does BSD want to punish a school/community for their mistake of building the middle school at wrong location ? either by splitting it or by sending them through farthest commute south of 26 uprooting them from their community , their sport teams with other school and their friends.KEEP springville close to home in Stoller. That is the closest middle school to us.I cannot believe we take one step ahead and 10 steps back
2/25/20 9:15 AM	Shauna Rakshe	Shauna.puhl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			I am very disappointed in the maps for today's meeting. Even if summa is moved to timberland, leaving JW-sunset in a bad situation as far as familiar peer group, stoller will be severely overcrowded again within a few years.The simple fact is that sato and springville just can't feed into the same middle school!
2/25/20 11:27 PM	Shauna Rakshe	Shauna.puhl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Please find a solution that works long term, not just one that considers 2021 numbers. My eldest will not be in middle school till 2022-23. If you don't consider 2025 numbers, stoller will be overcrowded again by the time she finishes middle school. If you only think a year in the future, I'll have to go through this process again with my middle child. (...and once more for the youngest?) Please think ahead --don't send sato and springville to the same middle school. Thank you!
2/25/20 1:45 PM	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville and Sato Summa kids in Timberland will have no friends at Timberland, because they are the only few who will feed to Westview HS. Springville and Sato is not complaining. Why is JW complaining when they will have around 200 kids feeding to Sunset from Stoller? JW should not compare themselves with the 20 kids from OakHills. It is 200 vs 20. Next, JW Summa kids will have many friends at Timberland all of them will feed to Sunset.I am not sure why JW is complaining?
2/25/20 5:16 PM	Shawna Williams	Johnshawor@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem			I urge the committee members to keep the need for a good middle school education for all BSD members at the heart of their decisions. That starts with keeping communities united! I am vey happy to see that in this new working map, Rock Creek is at least being allowed to remain with some northern Westview feeding schools.We are adamantly opposed to being removed from both our Springville neighbors and our Bethany neighbors, as has been proposed in several other working maps. At Rock Creek, we value community and quality education over everything else. We want to be with our Westview feeding northern community. And we do not want our small elementary school split.Please keep Rock Creek with one of our two closest neighbors in Springville and Bethany.
2/25/20 9:50 AM	Sherri	sherri.lucas@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	End isolation of Oak Hills students. Send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany.From my understanding the small section of Barnes that goes to Sunset HS has the option to go to Beaverton High School and continue in the Dual Language Program if they are currently in it.--† It seems that that program acts more like an option school. They have choices. Maybe it should be treated as an option school and their numbers not included in the number of kids from Meadow Park MS feeding into Sunset HS? --† Please figure out and know the numbers, if you have not done so already, with regards to this on a district level before making the final boundary decision. By moving Oak Hills East it would greatly benefit those kids as well as the district.1)it would reduce over-utilization of Meadow Park as now seen on the map 107%2) it would help fill Timberland which is at 88%3) it's cost neutral, the district would need to bus kids to either school4)it would help reduce Meadow Park from feeding into 3 high schools potentially, dependent on the small section of BarnesPlease consider moving the small number of kids in Oak Hills East to Timberland on their way to Sunset High School.
2/25/20 9:45 AM	Sireesha	ssubra_99@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Please bring walkability back. Walkability is not a luxury. Its the future. Walkable kids deserve to go to stoller. Its their neighborhood school. There is a reason why house was bought within a walking distance to the school. Safety is important. During emergency situations, its important that kids are able to safely get home with minimal complications. This is only possible when they go to school in their neighborhood. If not, it will cause chaos in the area where walkable folks are trying to get out of the area and back in since they have been deprived of their neighborhood school, far away folks are trying to get into the area and out since they were given our neighborhood school. Please avoid all this trouble. Do we really need this? Please keep things simple.Why cant we just look at it as a school and folks who live within certain radius get to go to this school since it makes sense. How are people supposed to guess and buy homes now if BSD keeps changing the rules all the time? All we can do at the best is to buy a home close by and now if BSD rules out that option too, how do kids get to go to the school they want to? My son has maintained friendship with his best friend from kindergarten although they never went to school together after that. And my daughter changes friends every year although she has been going to the same Findley school since kindergarten. Sometimes they change many friends within the same year. Kids have the ability to make new friends very easily at this age. Middle school to High school is where more stability is needed in this area. And this is totally being ignored. Communities have tight bonds like JW and walking Findley. This is being ignored as well. It almost seems like everything is being ignored about walkable communities.
2/25/20 6:14 PM	SM	Shipanmis@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee members,Thanks for all your work! I hope that you will understand and think about all the BSD communities not just the loudest one. I hope you will actually solve the very-2 long-standing issue of overcrowding at Stoller and give the Superintendent a proposal that he can actually select. Please keep JW, Summa, Walking Findley at Stoller. All these are walkable to Stoller. No need to send them in buses to TL. Our kids do not deserve to be in an overcrowded school again where nothing has changed except the crowd. What was all this effort for? To fill TL only?Send SPV to FO, so that everyone can have small class sizes and short distances to travel to their schools. Thanks!
2/25/20 10:19 PM	SM	Shipanmis@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee members,Stoller has a long standing history of being overcrowded. This community deserves better. Our kids deserve smaller class sizes in their neighborhood school where they can walk to and do not need to be bussed to. I thank you for your efforts! Please choose a solution that is sustainable for long term. JW and walking Findley are stable neighborhoods with minimal growth in coming years. Summa needs to be at Stoller because it is a neighborhood program not an option program. Do not remove Summa from Stoller. Do not send SPV and Sato both to Stoller. Send SPV to FO which only adds 10 mins a day to their commute. Do not give in to bullying and do not encourage their outlandish behavior!Thanks a lot!
2/25/20 12:00 PM	Sonia Lall	sonia1.lall@gmail.com				I would like the committee to go back in the historical paper work of BSD and see how overcrowding has been a problem for so long. This is the chance to make that right. Just because it is a chronic problem does not mean it should not be managed or alleviated. If we do not do anything to tackle the Stoller overcrowding problem head on, (and be squeamish that we may hurt a single community's feelings,) we will be at this again in a couple of years. Stoller will be at 125% by 2025 no brainer, if we allow the two growing communities to both feed into Stoller. BSD is one of the best school districts in educationally poor Oregon. However, there is room to make this even better and make it better district wide. More schools can be in A ranked. Please take your role as the change maker responsibly and bring about the change despite the emotional tension around you. I implore you to look beyond 2021. I would like to ask you if you are enjoying this process of redistricting. With all the public drama and emotional toll on you and of course time taken out of your personal lives!!If not, please think about the committee who will have to do this again in a couple years! It is not someone else's problem, it is our problem at the end of the day. This is what someone thought in 2014 and decided to let someone else (You) take care of Stoller overcrowding at another time (2020). This is your chance to make a difference and solve this problem and while at it, you can make 2-3 more schools more equitable.
2/25/20 1:26 PM	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		From following the middle school boundary discussion over the past few months, it is clear that space is available across the district but not close to growing neighborhoods. And it is a goal of the district to utilize all schools at around 80 to 90 percent. So why is Stoller being kept overcrowded at more than 100 percent in the current map? It is clear from the transportation data that it would only add 5 minutes to the commute of Springville school. And we get a chance to create multiple exemplary middle schools with the way we balance equity and demographics. But all the conversation about this and healthy feeder pattern for high schools has been drowned by one communities insisting on attending Stoller. The committee so far is letting the noise win over substance. There is still time to course correct and rectify it.
2/25/20 6:58 PM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Please do not split elementary schools. Middle school transition is hard. This is a request to the committee. Kids need to be together. PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE.
2/25/20 8:50 PM	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			All schools in the community have strongly raised concerns over split feeder patterns. Please do not split elementary schools. Please feed schools as a whole into their middle schools. Findley, Rockcreek, Sato, Springville have all requested this. Let's NOT go ten steps backwards and introduce splits.
2/25/20 7:53 AM	Stacie Tokunaga	oshiros@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			Oak Hills, West supports new, single, current working map with minor change. Please send Oak Hills east to Timberland. The Oak Hills community, both west and east, agree to split at middle school. Timberland can accommodate those students and this would streamline the feeder pattern for those students to continue onto Sunset High. Please don't isolate Oak Hills east.

2/25/20 9:51 PM	Stephanie Roitstein	Stephanie12@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	I do not envy the position this committee has. The time and dedication is greatly appreciated. However, tonight's meeting was ALL about Springville/Stoller and potential splits in the North. Yet By the end of the meeting now someone wants to see numbers for what Mountain View will look like if Cooper Mountain is moved there? Seriously??? The bottom 1/2 of the map looks pretty equal in where the kids should be. Status quo
2/25/20 7:42 AM	Subhashini Sreeramsetty	s_subhashini123@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Mountain View MS	Sunset	Dear Advisory Committee, Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community. Respectfully the proposed map still requires more work and so below are comments to move us closer to a workable solution. a. Our goal is to improve the school feeder pattern for Oak Hills Elementary by designating another Sunset bound feeder school at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning, which is what they agreed to through 12th grade when they enrolled. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those kids are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. In addition, Barnes students due to the dual language option, will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids, therefore, not allowing them to assimilate with other Sunset bound students. b. Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program. c. The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map. d. Shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for busing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage. e. And finally, moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will reduce the isolation and depression that the proposed map will continue to perpetuate for Oak Hills-Sunset bound students. Avoid sending more kids into this isolation trap. Thank you,
2/25/20 11:38 AM	SUBRAMANYAM SRIPADA	ssubra_99@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Please send Findley Walkable to Stoller: 1) Clean and Green Portland: Oregon state and PGE give discounts on various things like solar panels, electric cars etc....here, we are polluting environment bussing walkable kids 2) Physical fitness of our kids 3) School district budgets will be under control as Transportation costs are lower 4) If we dont split Findley, we are splitting Stoller in very bad way - as it sends most kids to WestView and very few to Sunset. This is more balanced if we send Findley walkable to Stoller
2/25/20 3:56 PM	Suresh	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS		Data points in Race and Ethnicity is wrong. Asian in Stoller increased by 5% which is wrong. 80% from Asian in Springville and Sato. Kids in Springville is also wrong.
2/25/20 4:14 PM	Susan Visintainer	vispdx@msn.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I'm writing the committee again to reiterate that Stoller needs to be at or under capacity. Keeping both Sato and all of Springville is not a feasible solution without putting the kids who will attend Sunset at a significant loss of their peer group. By moving Summa and Findley out of Stoller the facts are that Stoller will be now be compromised of only 17% of Sunset and 83% Westview bound students. That is a complete detriment to the kids who will attend Sunset. Other grade schools that will be detrimentally affected by this are Rock Creek and Oak Hills East. Rock Creek will not longer have a peer group that lives on the same side of HWY 26. Oak Hill East should go to a middle school that is has students that will attend Sunset. It's seem to me the committee is only listening to Springville parents and not taking in to consideration the other schools that are a part of this community. Our daughter currently goes to a school that is split between Sunset and Westview. Does she really need to go a middle school that splits her peer base even more? Kids need a strong established peer group when going into High School. Peer groups affect a child's attitude towards school, achievement, and connectedness. I have copied and pasted the following information from an article that I found. The Importance Of The Right Peer Group In Your Child's School Environment Connection, it has been proven, is vitally important to the student's health and well-being. Robert Blum, MD, MPH, Ph.D., shows that students who feel more connected to their school community are less likely to engage in risky behaviors including vandalism, absenteeism, bullying, and fighting. These behaviors have a direct negative influence on the student's future and create habits that impede the student's likelihood for success. They can be easily alleviated with positive social relationships within the school community. This connectedness, according to Blum, is fostered through elements such as feeling a sense of belonging in the school, having good friends at school, and participating in extracurricular activities. These elements create a social structure that allows students to thrive. I'm afraid that dropping the percentage of kids that will attend Sunset will have a negative impact on those children 17%. More impact than an extra 5 minutes on the bus. I think some of you are not looking at the entire picture and only seem to be focused on one specific school not to mention your original agenda goal was to adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. Both maps that were put out last week are not staying with that objective. Stoller will not be at 90% if you keep all of Springville attending.
2/25/20 9:04 PM	Sushanthi Allu	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			I am writing this comment from Boundary meeting. The fact how SPV is being treated here. No one says a thing when other schools clap. But when SPV does, we are being disciplined by saying not to do that. What kind of show is this? Where is the equity?
2/25/20 9:11 PM	Sushanthi Allu	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Why does this boundary adjustment seem so hard and confusing to everyone on committee? The working map we had for Feb 25 was close to perfect we can get to with Summa out of Stoller. Minimal splits with 88% capacity in 2021 and 114% in 2025 in Stoller with declining numbers afterwards. Timberland is properly utilized as well. Just make a minor adjustment to oak hills and it is all set.
2/25/20 10:26 PM	Sushanthi Allu	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			2025 NUMBERS FOR STOLLER will work with Summa out of Stoller Why is everyone so fixated on 2025 numbers? which is 4 years out. Even if they are, they do work with 114% decommissioning 2 out of 7 portables and there is decline afterwards. With Summa out of Stoller, numbers will work. Please don't split and punish SPV by sending them to far off school for a mistake that BSD did by building the school at wrong location. what were they thinking when all the growth was coming from SATO and some from SPV??
2/25/20 9:31 AM	Tom chain	Tomchaim@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Feel so bad for those Findley kids who live right across the street from Stoller. Those kids grow up with our kids and will be bused away just to make room for Springville kids. People who propose this current map should think twice of their actions. You are NOT raised and are NOT supposed to raise your kids this way
2/25/20 10:59 AM	Uma Iyer	ravsums@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Hello, I'm supporting @KeepSUMMAAtStoller and also Map C for the boundary adjustment. This is considering walkability to Stoller MS, reduce over-crowding in Stoller in future and keep JW elementary school students together. Thanks, Uma
2/25/20 11:24 PM	Vani	Bandekodige@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	The committee is only considering the oak ridge estates as walking findley. How about the community in bannister and next to arbor heights along Laidlaw? Our kids have been walking and biking to Stoller using inner greenwood trail. The entire walking findley crowd should move to Stoller. Split Springville and keep findley walking kids in Stoller.
2/25/20 10:43 PM	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS		Today the committee held the recommendation by 1 vote and it was based on 2025 numbers. The BSD numbers are projections and if I calculate the class size of KG, 1st and 2nd grades today the enrollments add up 363! The community is built out so even if we see a spike of 10% the high bound would be 400 students. The BSD has projected 543 for the same batch. That is a 25% bump which cannot be explained away without new construction and there is none. The worst case scenario with Summa out is 114% or 1231 which is well within the 1375 total capacity. The best case scenario would be 1068 (assuming 400) SPV enrollments. Worst case scenario is doable at SPV but can the committee live with a decision of splitting a neighborhood to meet error prone projected numbers esp. if the actual enrollments turn out to be much below projections?
2/25/20 9:19 AM	Xiaohai Li	lixh97@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Apparently Feb 25 workmap doesn't solve stoller overflow problem at all. By 2025 stoller will be at 130% already. Given so much time and efforts have been spent by the communities, we shouldn't end up with a short term solution and go back to the same issue in less than five years! So the current workmap needs some major revisions. Considering the walkability, findley, jacob and sato should go to stoller; springville, rock creek go to five oaks; current south part of the five oaks go to mountain view.
2/25/20 10:41 AM	Zhongtao Fu	ztfu@yahoo.com		Stoller MS	Sunset	SPV community initially used exaggerated numbers for commute time increase to go to FO, then [?] The Beaverton School District contests that allegation, having run a trial over the course of two days showing that it would only add five to ten minutes to bus routes. [?]. Now SPV is still not ok with the realistic 5-10 minutes travel time increase to FO, then why is it OK to bus walkable Findley kids to TL, which adds 20-40 minutes commute time?

FW: MS Boundary - OAK HILLS, PLEASE FIX OAK HILLS FEEDER PROBLEM, #COMMUNITYMATTERS

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 2/25/2020 8:13 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Anil Kumar Sreeramsetty <anilkumar246@yahoo.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 7:46 AM

To: donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: MS Boundary - OAK HILLS, PLEASE FIX OAK HILLS FEEDER PROBLEM, #COMMUNITYMATTERS

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Advisory Committee,

Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community. Respectfully the proposed map still requires more work and so below are comments to move us closer to a workable solution.

a. Our goal is to improve the school feeder pattern for Oak Hills Elementary by designating another Sunset bound feeder school at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning, which is what they agreed to through 12th grade when they enrolled. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those kids are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. In addition, Barnes students due to the dual language option, will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids, therefore, not allowing them to assimilate with other Sunset bound students.

b. Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program.

c. The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map.

d. Shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for busing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage.

e. And finally, moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will reduce the isolation and depression that the proposed map will continue to perpetuate for Oak Hills-Sunset bound students. Avoid sending more kids into this isolation trap.

Thank you,

Anil K Sreeramsetty

Email: anilkumar246@yahoo.com

Mobile: (971)-998-4175

- www.linkedin.com/pub/anil-kumar-sreeramsetty/2/4ab/6b0/

FW: Summa vs Poverty concentration

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 2/25/2020 12:41 PM

To: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (463 KB)

Summa vs Regular Education.pdf;

Mr. Rangarajan -

I have entered your email and map into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Madhusudhan Rangarajan <mrangar@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 12:13 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Summa vs Poverty concentration

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Mr Sparks,

I would like to request and urge the middle school boundary committee to prioritize regular kids over Summa. I have created a map that superimposes the BSD poverty map and the Summa map and you will see that they are essentially mutually exclusive. We need to make sure regular middle school kids have accessible and proximate education with their communities and not prioritize the most privileged among us.

Thanks,


Madhu

Summa and Poverty Maps From BSD Superimposed

Blue areas indicate concentrations of poverty: Darker = More poverty

Red/Yellow areas indicate concentrations of summa: Red = Max Concentration

**Prioritize
Regular
Kids
Over
Summa**



Summa concentrations and areas of increased poverty are **mutually exclusive**

FW: Middle school boundary: One Findley and One SUMMA

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 2/25/2020 12:42 PM

To: jyothi murla <nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com>**Cc:** deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (28 KB)

United Findley.pdf;

Ms. Murla -

I have entered your email and file into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: jyothi murla <nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com>**Sent:** Tuesday, February 25, 2020 11:54 AM**To:** donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>**Subject:** Middle school boundary: One Findley and One SUMMA

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello Mr. Sparks,

I am a Findley parent. My son is currently in 5th grade and he is selected in the SUMMA program. On behalf of several other Findley parents, I would like to voice out our thoughts:

- ***Stoller has been our neighborhood school for a quarter century.*** Jacob Wismer, Sato, Springville, Rock Creek have been our close neighbors feeding into Stoller and we have developed deep connections with these communities.
- We understand that BSD and the committee is working tirelessly to address concerns from each and every community and trying to come up with ***a solution that is equitable and fair for all of us.***
- Given the current situation, we understand that some hard decisions have to be made and ***Findley is being sent to Timberland away from its closest neighbors.***
- In this event, please kindly make Findley's transition more easy by ***not splitting the tight-knit small Findley community.***
- Please ***move entire north SUMMA to Timberland so that Findley kids have more friends and familiar faces at Timberland***

This solution not only reduces overcrowding issues at Stoller and underutilization at Timberland, but also establishes a great bond between east and west portions of North BSD.

We have compiled a list of Findley parents in support of this proposal (please see below). In just few hours, we have support from >80 Findley parents and many more coming.

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1xr2JqAseifDejR9nOq51BJEnp1RWDlvxYIfwUoqg43E/>

We also support the below [change.org](https://www.change.org/p/bsd-united-bethany-communities-support-for-feb-25-working-map) petition that is supported by several schools from North BSD. Hope our voices are heard and committee reaches a fair and equitable solution for all BSD.
<https://www.change.org/p/bsd-united-bethany-communities-support-for-feb-25-working-map>

We understand that you see two factions in Findley, but all of currently bussed communities and >50% of currently walking communities are in favor of "NO SPLIT".

Please find attached document for the united Findley supporters.

Thanks,
Jyothi Murala

We (below Findley people) stand for "United Findley and United Summa" in Stoller or
Timberland

- 1) Aahlad Mallajosyula
- 2) Prashanthi Yeredla
- 3) Yamini Nimmagadda
- 4) Pavan Akkisetty
- 5) Kiran Patil
- 6) Minal Patil
- 7) Divya Arakali
- 8) Arun Rao
- 9) Ritochit Chakraborty
- 10) Poulami Mitra
- 11) Jyothi Murala
- 12) Kiran Yarlagaadda
- 13) Alivia Sil
- 14) Dwaipayana Sil
- 15) Rashmi Mudiyanur
- 16) Deepak Rao
- 17) Ameya Limaye
- 18) Poonam Borgaonkar
- 19) Nikhil Penmetsa
- 20) Priya Gadiraju
- 21) Srinivas Gudavalli
- 22) Swathi Katragadda
- 23) Parbati Kumar Manna
- 24) Pradeep Yalamanchili
- 25) Jahnvi Ancha
- 26) Curie Kalagara
- 27) Raghu Madala
- 28) Usha Ketineni
- 29) Hrushi Ketineni
- 30) Erica Frankel
- 31) Brandon Frankel
- 32) James Bock
- 33) Vicki Bock
- 34) Jason Bock
- 35) Alyssa Bock
- 36) Jeff Mosser
- 37) Corinne Mosser
- 38) Jessica Donehoo
- 39) Donovan Perdue

- 40) Megan Smith
- 41) Tim Smith
- 42) Jordan Frankel
- 43) Sheila Frankel
- 44) Todd Frankel
- 45) Vikram Vemulapalli
- 46) Sudha Pidikiti
- 47) Padma Kumari
- 48) Jenny Williams
- 49) Jason Williams
- 50) Brandon Donehoo
- 51) Sanjay Thodupunuri
- 52) Saritha Thodupunuri
- 53) Matthew Bock
- 54) Dorit Shapira
- 55) Ido Shapira
- 56) Deepa Shah
- 57) Prashant Shah
- 58) Visala Sanka
- 59) Murthy Sanka
- 60) Sowmya
- 61) Girish
- 62) Bhoomika
- 63) Lakshmi Yalamanchili
- 64) Jayaprakash Gali
- 65) Narayana Rao
- 66) Leela Nalamalapu
- 67) Ankireddy Nalamalapu
- 68) Lindsey Estep
- 69) Brandon Estep
- 70) Annabella Castrege
- 71) Atul Shah
- 72) Rupal Shah
- 73) Disari Naidu
- 74) Satya Naidu
- 75) Sanjay Bedre
- 76) Nivedita
- 77) Sanika
- 78) Nikhil
- 79) Marcelo Castrege
- 80) Dinesh Sarupuri
- 81) Priyanka Katta

RE: Moving Oak Hills West to Five Oaks

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/26/2020 1:01 PM

To: Kayleen Welbourn <kwelbourn@gmail.com>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmut <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. Welbourn -

Thank you for your email. I have entered your comments into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Kayleen Welbourn <kwelbourn@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 9:33 PM

To: Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Steven Sparks - Exec Admin

<Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Moving Oak Hills West to Five Oaks

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Committee Members,

One of the main goals of the committee is to decrease the overcrowding at Stoller middle school.

Please do not move Oak Hills west to Five Oaks. This was an old idea on an old map, and the numbers don't make sense. Several committee members tonight expressed the goal of moving forwards, not moving back to old ideas.

Our kids are currently attending Meadow Park, which means they would move to Five Oaks after 1 year then move again 2 years later to Westview, which is a lot of transition for kids who are still adjusting to the idea of being split from their Oak Hills east friends.

Currently our school is already being split now for both middle school and high school. This is devastating for all our Oak Hills kids.

For my children specifically, we moved into our current neighborhood for 2 reasons 1) to move out of the Five Oaks neighborhood, and 2) for our kids to attend school with their cousins. As of right now, our kids are being split from their cousins for middle school and high school because of the new boundary adjustments, and the current proposal puts us back at the Five Oaks middle school.

Again, to reiterate the main point and goal of the committee, Stoller needs to be less crowded, so moving kids who are slotted to attend Stoller rather than moving Oak Hills west makes more sense. Please keep Oak Hills west at Meadow Park.

Sincerely,
Kayleen Welbourn
Very Concerned Oak Hills Parent

FW: Feedback on Feb 25th Working Map - Letter from Findley and Jacob Wismer Walking Parents that live within Stoller Middle Proximity

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 2/25/2020 1:50 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please add to comments

From: Vani 3462 <vani22520@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 25, 2020 1:49 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Feedback on Feb 25th Working Map - Letter from Findley and Jacob Wismer Walking Parents that live within Stoller Middle Proximity

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Steven Sparks and Advisory Committee Members,

This letter is from many of the Findley and Jacob Wismer parents that live within proximity of Stoller Middle. Previously, we sent a letter from the Findley walking parents.

We do not agree with the current proposed Map for February 25th, as it is not a solution that meets the main goal of this boundary exercise, specifically it does not resolve the overcrowding at Stoller. In the making of this map, none of the **Board Policy JC factors** have been supported, not the *capacity at schools* (Stoller MS remains overcrowded), not the *feeder patterns* (Jacob Wismer's sunset feeding population is <17%), not the *student body composition* (as no attempt was made to bring in any schools that bring socio-economic diversity) and definitely not *neighborhood proximity and accessibility* (Walking Findley and JW would not be writing this letter).

The root cause of the problem is the feeding of two rapidly growing communities into Stoller; a fact that all committee members have acknowledged openly and yet nothing seems to be done about it.

It seems a very poor and hurriedly provided option where kids are being moved halfway in their middle school year with absolutely no regard to the environment (reducing carbon emission), walking areas (physical and emotional well being when kids walk), transportation costs, taxpayers money or dwindling budget.

While we look for a solution to come from the Advisory Committee, the current committee members are primarily from the south and the schools and communities being affected are from the north. It is unfortunate that the committee members have not taken up the drive from SPV to FO themselves or have not seen how massive the North Bethany expansion is. While the current mode of operation is to find a solution through the committee, it is disappointing to see that the majority of the committee is not proposing solution based on numbers or doing basic number crunching to see if the solution meets the basic constraints put forth by BSD. Their proposed solutions need to be based on data, and yet every map has the entire SATO and SPV feeding into Stoller. There are wide swings in maps even as we are supposedly at the end of

the process. How are we explaining the decision on how we arrived at this version of the map when this was voted down two meetings ago? How does BSD explain this decision?

It has been mentioned in all of the previous meetings that demonstrations are not allowed and Steven Sparks openly admitted that he understands who is responsible. However the blatant fact that this current map yields to that pressure, shows that those words don't mean much when the committee and district is only looking to appease the group that made the loudest noise! What kind of an example does it set to the communities and neighborhoods that are asked to give up a school that is a mere 5 min walk away, who have patiently waited for the district and committee to do the right thing?? What kind of an example does it set for our kids, where currently they are taught that bullying is not to be tolerated? BSD needs to step up, intervene, and do the right thing!

Please re-consider the proposed map and bring forth a solution that addresses the problem long term and not a short term band-aid approach to stick and move forward.

Concerned,
Findley and Jacob Wismer Parents

Electronic Signatures

- 1.
2. Sameer Ruiwale
- 3.
- 4.
5. Sheetal Ruiwale
- 6.
- 7.
8. Milind Nadkarni
- 9.
- 10.
11. Anju Nadkarni
- 12.
- 13.
14. Anil Yadalam
- 15.
- 16.
17. Vani Bandekodige
- 18.
- 19.
20. Bala Kumar
- 21.
- 22.
23. Surabhi Kumar

24.

25.

26. Ravi Gurudath

27.

28.

29. Geetha V Rao

30.

31.

32. Tejasvi Rao

33.

34.

35. Samudyatha Kaira

36.

37.

38. Biswajit Sarkar

39.

40.

41. Mitali China

42.

43.

44. Sujata Thakurta

45.

46.

47. Dipto G. Thakurta

48.

49.

50. Arvind Kumar

51.

52.

53. Ravi Sahita

54.

55.

56. Mark Behbehani

57.

58.

59. Kathyayani Srikanteswara

60.

61.

62. Subramanyam Sripada

63.

64.

65. Sireesha Pisipati
- 66.
- 67.

68. Lavanya vemula
- 69.
- 70.

71. Kiran Vemula
- 72.
- 73.

74. Anjana Rao
- 75.
- 76.

77. Viji Pokala
- 78.
- 79.

80. Michael Jugo
- 81.
- 82.

83. Melissa Tom
- 84.
- 85.

86. Samatha Shekar
- 87.
- 88.

89. Chandrashekar
- 90.
- 91.

92. Rupa
- 93.
- 94.

95. Venugopal
- 96.
- 97.

98. Shakuntala
- 99.
- 100.

101. Shilpa Rameshchander
- 102.
- 103.

104. Srikanth Ragam
- 105.

- 106.
- 107. Vijay Rao
- 108.
- 109.
- 110. Swapna Chennareddygari
- 111.
- 112.
- 113. Raj mummadi
- 114.
- 115.
- 116. Hsien-kai Hsiao
- 117.
- 118.
- 119. Arie
- 120.
- 121.
- 122. Jay Kumar
- 123.
- 124.
- 125. Sheela
- 126.
- 127.
- 128. Aditya Kumar
- 129.
- 130.
- 131. Shravya Kumar
- 132.
- 133.
- 134. Maanasa Kasturi
- 135.
- 136.
- 137. Bala Krishna Kodarapu
- 138.
- 139.
- 140. Pramod Pesara
- 141.
- 142.
- 143. Mamatha Balgur
- 144.
- 145.

- 146. Vivek Ajharapu
- 147.
- 148.

- 149. Revathi Ajjarapu
- 150.
- 151.

- 152. Ramya Ajjarapu
- 153.
- 154.

- 155. Rohan Ajjarapu
- 156.
- 157.

- 158. Uma shandilyan
- 159.
- 160.

- 161. Francis Joe
- 162.
- 163.

- 164. Thulasi Alapakam
- 165.
- 166.

- 167. Yashodhara sundar
- 168.
- 169.

- 170. Soma sundar
- 171.
- 172.

- 173. Ramesh Kannan
- 174.
- 175.

- 176. Raji kannan
- 177.
- 178.

- 179. Deepti Ravi
- 180.
- 181.

- 182. Jayaprakash shanmugam
- 183.
- 184.

- 185. Paul Alappat
- 186.

- 187.
188. Rose Loney
- 189.
- 68.
69. Priya Mani
- 70.
- 71.
72. Adwait Telang
- 73.
- 74.
75. Aishwarya Sreenivasan
- 76.
- 77.
78. Raghunathan Dhananjay Vinjamur
- 79.
- 80.
81. Mamta Sahita
- 82.
- 83.
84. Bhaskar Mandala
- 85.
- 86.
87. Yagna Jyothy Vemuri
- 88.
- 89.
90. Jasmine Kwatra
- 91.
- 92.
93. Venkata Suryadevara
- 94.
- 95.
96. Naga Harika Chinta
- 97.
- 98.
99. Sireesha Akula
- 100.
- 101.
102. Bapi Viyyuru
- 103.
- 104.
105. Puja Jain
- 106.
- 107.
108. Pooja Madan
- 109.
- 110.
111. Abijeet Dhar
- 112.
- 113.
114. Hansini Prasad
- 115.

- 116.
- 117. Jagan Srinivasaraghavan
- 118.
- 119.
- 120. Abhijit Singh
- 121.
- 122.
- 123. Ravi Rangarajan
- 124.
- 125.
- 126. Aruna Ravi
- 127.
- 128.
- 129. Tanya Abou-Elmajd
- 130.
- 131.
- 132. Sonia Lall
- 133.
- 134.
- 135. Diksha Kakkar
- 136.
- 137.
- 138. Bharat Kakkar
- 139.
- 140.
- 141. Hiromi Okazawa
- 142.
- 143.
- 144. Richard Tseng
- 145.
- 146.
- 147. Anurag Jain
- 148.
- 149.
- 150. Lori Near
- 151.
- 152.
- 153. Meenakshi Dewan
- 154.
- 155.
- 156. Prashant Dewan
- 157.
- 158.
- 159. Ryan Le
- 160.
- 161.
- 162. Ghana Priya Seshadri
- 163.
- 164.
- 165. Kamini Moorthy
- 166.

- 167.
- 168. Suresh Moorthy
- 169.
- 170.
- 171. Neeti Verma
- 172.
- 173.
- 174. Caryn Crosthwait
- 175.
- 176.
- 177. John Birtles
- 178.
- 179.
- 180. Ramesh Subramaniam
- 181.
- 182.
- 183. Shivani Goel
- 184.
- 185.
- 186. Ram Ramakrishnan
- 187.
- 188.
- 189. Sunita Ram
- 190.
- 191.
- 192. Madhuri Kasukurti
- 193.
- 194.
- 195. Sreenivas Kosaraju
- 196.
- 197.
- 198. Shikha Misra
- 199.
- 200.
- 201. Ashutosh Misra
- 202.
- 203.
- 204. Chetan Hiremath
- 205.
- 206.
- 207. Rajeshwar Patil
- 208.
- 209.
- 210. Judith Kap
- 211.
- 212.
- 213. Stacey Nagata
- 214.
- 215.
- 216. Ajay Garg
- 217.

- 218.
219. Akshita Garg
- 220.
- 221.
222. Himanshu Shahm
- 223.
- 224.
225. Krupal Shah
- 226.
- 227.
228. Pankaj Aswal
- 229.
- 230.
231. Sonika Aswal
- 232.
- 233.
234. Soumya Sah
- 235.
- 236.
237. Sachin Shah
- 238.
- 239.
240. Padma chamarthi
- 241.
- 242.
243. Balasubramanyam chamarthi
- 244.
- 245.
246. Sriram talluri
- 247.
- 248.
249. Pattabhi ram
- 250.
- 251.
252. Sri krishna
- 253.
- 254.
255. Pallavi Krishna
- 256.
- 257.
258. Vanita kurse
- 259.
- 260.
261. Suhas kurse
- 262.
- 263.
264. Krishna talluri
- 265.
- 266.
267. Giridhar Holla
- 268.

- 269.
- 270. Kal Vuppamandla
- 271.
- 272.
- 273. Aruna Payal
- 274.
- 275.
- 276. Ramya Rajagopal
- 277.
- 278.
- 279. Shalini Sivas Sivasubramanian
- 280.
- 281.
- 282. Kanaga Karuppiyah
- 283.
- 284.
- 285. Varun Juneja
- 286.
- 287.
- 288. Sonali Puri
- 289.
- 290.
- 291. Chandresh Mahawar
- 292.
- 293.
- 294. Meena Venkat
- 295.
- 296.
- 297.
- 298. Sathish Venkat
- 299.
- 300.
- 301. Ankit shah
- 302.
- 303.
- 304. Darshika Shah
- 305.
- 306.
- 307. Gayatri Kaushik
- 308.
- 309.
- 310. Kumar Kaushik
- 311.
- 312.
- 313. Arpita S Nanda
- 314.
- 315.
- 316. Hemanshu Parikh
- 317.
- 318.
- 319. Hetal Parikh

- 320.
- 321.
- 322. Usha Ramanujam
- 323.
- 324.
- 325. Brent balou
- 326.
- 327.
- 328. Kristie balou
- 329.
- 330.
- 331. Katia Kaiser
- 332.
- 333.
- 334. Mellisa Tom
- 335.
- 336.
- 337. Pavan Chopra
- 338.
- 339.
- 340. Ramya rajagopal
- 341.
- 342.
- 343. Ali faheeh
- 344.
- 345.
- 346. Gil Anya
- 347.
- 348.
- 349. Mitali China
- 350.
- 351.
- 352. Dipto k
- 353.
- 354.
- 355. Bharat Chennu
- 356.
- 357.
- 358. Shushma chennu
- 359.
- 360.
- 361. Aparna Kanabar
- 362.
- 363.
- 364. Bhavik Kanabar
- 365.
- 366.
- 367. Sonia Sahu
- 368.
- 369.
- 370. Manoranjan Acharya

- 371.
- 372.
- 373. Rekha Nair
- 374.
- 375.
- 376. Shyam Raghavan
- 377.
- 378.
- 379. Hirren
- 380. Patel
- 381.
- 382.
- 383. Rashmi
- 384. Patel
- 385.
- 386.
- 387. Manish
- 388. Thakore
- 389.
- 390.
- 391. Gayatri
- 392. Thakore
- 393.
- 394.
- 395. Luis Bonilla
- 396.
- 397.
- 398. Yaritza
- 399. Lopez
- 400.
- 401.
- 402. Ravi Mantri
- 403.
- 404.
- 405. Shilpa
- 406. Mantri
- 407.
- 408.
- 409. Somnath
- 410. Paul
- 411.
- 412.
- 413. Anwasha
- 414. Basu
- 415.

- 416.
- 417. Anu Balu
- 418.
- 419.
- 420. Karthik Subramanian
- 421.
- 422.
- 423. Jayakar Adla
- 424.
- 425.
- 426. Nirmalatha Teepireddy
- 427.
- 428.
- 429.
- 430. Rajlaxmi A
- 431.
- 432.
- 433.
- 434. Liana L
- 435.
- 436.
- 437.
- 438. Grace Khoo
- 439.
- 440.
- 441.
- 442. Boon Cheong Lim
- 443.
- 444.
- 445.
- 446. Minii Jairaj
- 447.
- 448.
- 449.
- 450. Jairaj Eacharath
- 451.