

SUBMITTED	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
02/24/2020 6:31 PM	aahlad s mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I support the current working map for February 25th meeting. It eliminates splitting Elementary school feeder patterns. I strongly support not splitting Findley Elementary school. The challenge for BSD is to reduce overcrowding in Stoller in 2025. Summa program in its entirety can move to Timberland to solve the overcrowding. Thanks for providing a map that does not split Elementary schools.
02/24/2020 1:17 PM	Alex N	alexnorthjr6566@yahoo.com					Dear Committee,I have NO KID in ANY BSD SCHOOL. But I am worried how childish communities are behaving and its direct potential impact on my tax dollars. Why is SPV misrepresenting and manipulating facts? Why are they showing off power and potentially bullying committee members mindsent and there by decision making directions by inviting TV news crew to the committee meetings and to their neighborhood? Are they trying to show SPV is more special and more entitled compared to rest of schools and neighborhood? I have lived in 4 states, have been part of multiple ES, MS and HS re-boundary discussions elsewhere as well but have never seen such desparate efforts or premature actions anywhere else. Isn't it obvious to think that only about 125 or so 5th Graders from SPV neighborhood who would join MS in 2021 would be easier and cheaper to be bussed to Five Oaks MS as compared to close to 250 SUMMA students AND close to HALF OF ENTIRE FINDLEY ES? SPV put in a great show last week, but what they are advocating is not good for rest of the district. Have you thought of JW splits and impact on hundreds of students in that area due to that? Have you thought of COMPLETELY UNNECESSARY bussing of SUMMA students from Sato and JW to new MS just to keep small % of community happy? Obviously SPV going to Five Oaks is the only way to draw long term solution for boundary.. If BSD has to waste tax payers money and valued time of parents again in yet another MS boundary discussions in 2021 or 2022 with same agenda item to reduce load on Stoller, it would be really stupid (if I may use our presidents fav word).. We owe it to ourselves, BSD, committee, parents, students and in general ALL tax payers in this area that we would act responsibly and take long term decision. Remember, there would be so many families out there who has NO STUDENT in BSD, but decision that this committee makes could impact them badly for no reason.
02/24/2020 10:25 AM	Alyssa	alyssa.nelson.cab@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			ACMA	Board objectives are pasted below, emphasizing some parts in caps the part that I'm questioning: The following objectives are to be used IN ADDITION TO THE BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT FACTORS contained in Policy JC.The Boundary Advisory Committee[?]'s recommendation to the Superintendent should, to theextent possible:1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides aproected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming.2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected studentpopulation of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, toaccommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary.4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or highschool attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwiseimprove the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels. The above objectives are to be met with addition to BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT FACTORS WHICH ARE:-Student body composition;-Current and future availability of space at a school;-Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school; and-Neighborhood proximity and accessibility. I have one question that I think the committee is overlooking with regards to the feeder pattern factor. They keep saying we need to fill Timberland, and reduce capacity of Stoller those are our only goals we need to meet first. But I thought the agenda was to also look at the other boundary adjustment factors with the decisions made about filling those two objectives?The boundary adjustment factor looking at Feeder patterns - what purpose does this factor serve? From the OHE perspective, for us - its not about reducing the number of feeders, its about reducing the impact of kids entering new/large schools (highschool being the largest) not feeling isolated in their schools. If this isn't the purpose for looking at feeder patterns, than what is the purpose? Look specifically at the ratio of the high school population for its MS feeder split, and then look down at the ES split. If you have an ES split at HS level and not at MS level and then have that MS represent 14% and 23% of their HS population then you have a problem with your feeder pattern and this issue should be addressed. Please tell us why this is not a feeder pattern issue? And why this issue is not important.
02/24/2020 8:30 PM	Amelia Carnahan	carnahancamp@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				As we approach our next boundary meeting tomorrow night, I sincerely hope that finding a remedy for Oak Hills Elementary East is at the forefront of your minds. Oak Hills Elementary East should go to Timberland with Terra Linda.This feeder pattern makes the most sense for Sunset-bound Oak Hills Students. These students should not go to Meadow Park as a handful of students feeding into Sunset. I know the district wants to see every student thrive. Help the students of our small community (OHE-east) thrive by allowing them to attend Timberland where they will be among peers feeding into Sunset together.
02/24/2020 9:24 PM	Aminath Nazhath	nazu03@gmail.con	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.I wish we had all the northern school battles. Some are fighting for SUMMA and some for remaining at Stoller whereas poor Rock Creek is happy if we get to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.

							Thanks again for all of the work you've done during this process. I am writing in support the most recent working map for the February 25th meeting. This map does what one of the committee members mentioned during the last meeting, it makes simple, straightforward changes and minimizes disruptive elementary school splits. I support the map for these reasons: DO NOT SPLIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS - Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. I support this map as it minimizes ES splits.KEEP COMMUNITIES TOGETHER - Sato and Springville students and families make up the North Bethany community. Splitting some of Springville to go to Five Oaks disrupts this community as we currently share the same parks, trails, and local activities. Stoller is the only neighborhood area middle school for North Bethany students. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. SUMMA SHOULD NOT TAKE PRIORITY OVER NEIGHBORHOOD STUDENTS - The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. The entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute ~40% of Summa students in Stoller. There are still issues with the working map such as high school feeder patterns for Oaks Hills and Jacob Wismer and hopefully the committee will also look at ways to address those issues. I also support our neighbors in Barnes to not be the only Beaverton or Sunset feeding school at Meadow Park. All BSD families understand that we all have to sacrifice something for the greater good. But, in balance, this map provides the most optimal path forward, given all the difficult constraints.
02/24/2020 8:39 PM	Amity Overall-Laib	amityoveralllaib@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		
02/24/2020 2:49 PM	Amy Zhang	yue0921@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I don't quite understand the latest map from the district, how it was dramatically changed from the proposed maps from last meeting? I am a parent living in the oakridge community which is >4miles away from the new Timberland school. We are fully walk-able to the Stoller. To me it really doesn't make sense that I let my kids get on the school bus, then pass by the Stoller, and then take >20mins bus to the new school everyday. We understand that there are several factors need to be considered for the boundary adjustment, but is that walk- able distance the most straight-forward reason to be considered? Oakridge community already suffered lots of traffic impacts for the last a few years, with this new map we will be impacted more due to increased number of buses. Again, we are already at the furthest location to the Findley school, with this new middle school map proposal, we will be again at the furthest location to the new middle school. When location/distance became the key arguing point for SPV, why it doesn't work for us, especially for the families north to the Findley school.
02/24/2020 7:31 PM	Andre Mcbee	mca6579@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		SPV + SATO will cause stroller overcrowded. MapC2. Please.
02/24/2020 9:05 AM	Andrea Popovich	andrea_popovich@yahoo.com	Scholls Heights Elem				I attended the last boundary meeting at Five Oakes Middle School. I attended because of a flyer that came home with my student urging parents to attend and to stand in support of Not Splitting Scholls Heights Elementary students between middle schools. I happened to sit next to a woman who said that she was there to advocate for the poorer schools, specifically to advocate against poorer schools having further economic disadvantage heaped upon them because they lack a relatively activated and vocal parent body to advocate for them. I had to shortly after 8pm. There was no opportunity to stand in support of keeping Scholls Heights students together. I am for whatever map supports the majority of district students in accessing the highest quality of education available to them, despite their socio-economic destiny! If our elementary classes must be divided to provide better access to other kids who need it, let's do it! My priority, and I think the priority of the entire district should be, without exception, the most equitable distribution of economic and educational opportunity. Anything less is to neglect the very purpose of public education. I hate to think that any parent would advocate for their child at the literal expense of other children. Being responsible for such allocations is a difficult and somewhat undesirable position to find ourselves in. As the a member of a first generation born in the US to immigrants, a proud public school graduate and an engaged citizen, I urge the committee to prioritize equal opportunity and economic class equilibrium over all other less important priorities.
02/24/2020 8:49 AM	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee, Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community. Respectfully the proposed map still requires more work and so below are comments to move us closer to a workable solution. a. Our goal is to improve the school feeder pattern for Oak Hills Elementary by designating another Sunset bound feeder school at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning, which is what they agreed to through 12th grade when they enrolled. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those kids are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. In addition, Barnes students due to the dual language option, will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids, therefore, not allowing them to assimilate with other Sunset bound students. b. Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program. c. The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map. d. Shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for busing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage. e. And finally, moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will reduce the isolation and depression that the proposed map will continue to perpetuate for Oak Hills-Sunset bound students. Avoid sending more kids into this isolation trap. Thanks!
02/24/2020 5:11 PM	Anisha	Spisipat@gmail.com	Findley Elem				When everyone is trying to buy electric vehicles, when we are all trying to fight global warming, teach our next generation about GREEN Portland, why is BSD trying to teach kids opposite? Walkability is important. Its not a luxury. Its a blessing. Its an effort we put in. Many of us who are aware of global warming and physical and mental wellbeing of kids have chosen to buy homes within a walking distance to stoller. And they didnt come for free. We put in a lot of money to make this happen. Please dont erase this purpose by making our kids take buses to timberland. Support walkability. We need to watch what we teach our next generation. Please keep walkable findley in stoller. about 30% of summa is within walking distance to stoller. Please keep summa in stoller if not all, atleast for walking communities. Please opt to use our tax dollars wisely. Its not free money. It comes with hard work.

02/24/2020 5:00 PM	Ankit Shah	tofanmasti@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				I am writing to voice my opinion in the hope that it gets heard. There have been several comments from several parents emphasizing the walk-ability and HS feeder pattern aspect. We must ensure that the kids in walk-able range to Stoller stay in Stoller. This includes SUMMA kids. The SUMMA program must exist at Stoller. You can create a new SUMMA program at TL if needed, but do not take away SUMMA from Stoller. This also provides a healthy % of kids feeding into Sunset HS. Without SUMMA and other walk-able Findley kids, the % of kids feeding into Sunset from Stoller will be extremely low. This has shown to adversely affect kids well-being and success in the high school. Please make decisions based on keeping students together and keeping programs like SUMMA in-tact to allow our kids to flourish. Please do not be swayed by theatrics and excessive publicity stunts by some of the parent groups. The decision making process has to be data driven and kids-centric. It must focus on walkability and HS feeder pattern.
02/24/2020 9:17 PM	Anu	anoo_paapu@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	It has been mentioned in the last meeting that demonstrations are not allowed and SS mentioned clearly that he understands Springville is responsible. However the fact that this current proposal is just yielding to that pressure shows that those words don't mean much when the committee and district is only looking to please the group that made the most noise. what kind of an example does it set to the communities that are asked to give up a school that is a mere 5 min walk away who have patiently waited for the district and committee to do the right thing??Maybe we should have protested in the first place!
02/24/2020 9:12 PM	anu Balu	anoo_paapu@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	I don't support the current map that is being proposed. The numbers speak for themselves to demonstrate very clearly that the minute you put Springville or just north Springville in Stoller, there is just no way to bring the numbers below 121% capacity for Stoller. Moving SUMMA as a solution will just compound the problem by busing even more students who can walk. Instead, please consider a solution that retains walkability and reduces costs.The bottom line is having two rapidly growing communities in Stoller is just not sustainable. That is the fundamental problem that needs to be fixedThis process is very skewed with a committee that is primarily from the south that is not even looking at the #s in the proposed maps. I also don't support the petition http://chnng.it/M4Xvw27X which does not represent a lot of the walking communities of Findley and Jacob Wismer.
02/24/2020 9:14 PM	anu balu	anoo_paapu@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Forming Boundaries based on distance and encouraging walk-ability which reduces transportation costWe have heard repeatedly from the Administrator for Transportation Mr. Craig Beaver and the Director of Programs that walkability is important, since transportation and programs come from the same budget. The latest map does not give any regard for walkability and takes money from programs to fund transportation. Additionally these maps don't take into account future walking pathways which will increase the % of Findley and Jacob Wismer homes that fall within this area. Any taxpayer would not want their tax dollars used for busing 100s of students who can walk. Why are we taking money from programs and taxpayers to bus walkable students? BSD owes it to the community to use tax dollars wisely. How does BSD justify this in the face of a \$35M shortfall in budget where over 200 teachers are cut and every year additional programs are being removed due to no funding?In order to fit two rapidly growing communities - Sato and Springville in Stoller, the current suggestion in the AC report is to move all SUMMA to Timberland. This would not only bus all the Findley students who can walk to Stoller but also bus about 25% of JW to Stoller. Additionally 52% of the district wide SUMMA is in the Stoller attendance area out of which 27% are currently walkable. Surely, there is a better solution than this, which increases the wastage of taxpayer dollars and BSD's budget. Can you please include the transportation numbers for the map to be discussed on Feb 25th? It is important that the committee have the full information about what they are voting for. Further, if you can put the numbers in terms of programs, that would be more useful since without a frame of reference, those numbers don't mean much.
02/24/2020 12:01 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Split SpringVille and feed some to Stoller and most to FiveOaks. That's only way overcrowding at Stoller can be reduced. If committee and BSD will decide to put entire SpringVille into Stoller then there is absolutely worst overcrowding at Stoller.
02/24/2020 12:03 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		What committee and BSD thinks about JW MS to HS feeder pattern especially for the 75% kids who will end up in Sunset HS and will hardly know any kids there. It will be traumatic for these kids. I urge you to consider some better solution where either they will be joined by more Stoller kids when they go to Sunset or rather have entire JW into Westview HS.
02/24/2020 12:08 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		If BSD and committee is seriously considering SpringVille, Sato and JW into Stoller MS based on feeder pattern why they are not thinking same feeder to go to Westview HS? Why JW kids will suffer by going to Sunset HS with no friends there? Why only SpringVille and Sato will get everything without any compromise. I see in this entire process only JW and Findley is going to make adjustment and suffer but i see that SpringVille and Sato is not losing a single thing. Decision should be fair for everyone. Every kids deserve better then why partiality by giving all good things to SpringVille and Sato kids and not doing justice to JW and Findley kids?You need to absolutely cut down/split both SpringVille and Sato population to most go to FiveOaks and MP respectively. That's only way Stoller overcrowding to be resolve.
02/24/2020 12:13 PM	Ashish Sapra	ashishsapra@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As per new map, i see that Fiveoaks will be at 90% in 2021 and 73% in 2025 v/s Stoller will be at 88% in 2021 and 114% in 2025. Not sure why committee and BSD cannot see this. This data easily says that either move entire SpringVille to Fiveoaks or split SpringVille and send most kids to Fiveoaks. If there will be capacity remain in Stoller after making those changes, then allow some SpringVille into Stoller.But i see that committee is playing other way around where they want to put entire SpringVille into Stoller even if it's going overcapacity. Why committee cannot start with entire SpringVille into Fiveoaks and work backward? Or is that favor being done to SpringVille by committee and BSD????
02/24/2020 8:49 PM	babak	Babak17@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS			Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits.Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.

02/24/2020 10:30 PM	Bala chamarthi	Baluchamarthi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		JW and Findley walkable zone to Stoller MS is best option for BSD and JW residents. Spring Ville can[?]t logically be part of Stoller MS and they are best with five oaks.
02/24/2020 9:38 PM	Baoyan Liang	Liang.g.f@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 11:52 AM	Beth Ann rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Do not divide communities!! Rock Creek needs to be paired with another northern neighboring school!
							I grew up in Terra Linda in the 1960[?]s and 70[?]s. I currently live in Oak Hills, and I lived through what the students here are currently experiencing. I cannot speak to transportation costs or socioeconomic issues when it comes to the school boundaries, but I can speak to feeder patterns. I went to 4 different elementary schools without moving. The most years were at Oak Hills elementary followed by one year at Terra Linda after that school opened. After being reunited at Meadow Park with my OHE school friends, we (and other new MP friends from the Rock Creek/Bethany area) were split up again as they went off to Aloha and I to Sunset. That was hard, and making new friends with the tight Cedar Park crowd (who really seemed to have us outnumbered) was also hard. I still think about that. I even felt some of the same feelings of being on the outside at my 40th High School reunion. Please do your best to have middle schoolers move in a large group to high school. In particular I have looked at the maps and see many cases where the Oak Hills neighborhood kids will definitely be at a disadvantage moving from Meadow Park to Sunset. It seems that the East OH Elementary boundary could fit in the new Timberland school which would mean a strong peer group for them. I also saw one map that had Terra Linda Elementary joining OH at MP which made sense to me for creating a peer group (it seemed natural to me as a return to the early 70[?]s boundary for TL). In summary, please prioritize not isolating small groups of students. Having lived it, I assure you it really does matter.
02/24/2020 4:39 PM	Beth Arcuri	beth.arcuri@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		
							I continue to express deep concern over a FRL rate >40% proposed for Cedar Park. As the Cedar Park PTC President I can tell you that our community can maintain resources for students in need if that number stays under 30%. If it goes higher we will struggle tremendously and it will dramatically change the nature of our school. I am also deeply concerned about a cut of 20% in student body numbers and what this means to our elective programs. How about moving the Summa program back to Cedar Park? I don't see how else to lift the numbers without moving in an additional specialized program. It's important to look at the poverty rates at the middle schools north of the 26 and see how you can create balance in all environments. If we all share the responsibility for supporting students who need extra resources, then we all win. Thank you.
02/24/2020 10:44 AM	Bettina Jeszenszky	bjeszen@yahoo.com	Ridgewood Elem	Cedar Park MS	Beaverton		
							[?].United Bethany voices. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.The new map so far is the best solution. I support the new map that Rockcreek goes to MP along with bethany
02/24/2020 8:43 PM	bobby	Samirar20@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		
							Please consider bringing back a map with a more viable feeder solution. By allowing SPV to feed to Stoller, you are isolating any Sunset bound kids whose only high school peers would be a small group of kids they know from ES (non-Summa, non-Westview) feeding kids. This solution also displaces the most kids in the district and is the most disruptive. Previous committees have already split JW for high school and if Summa and other Sunset feeders are also removed, this leaves approximately a 90/10 split which is unacceptable. Stoller should not be made to accommodate the western most elementary school in the area while displacing kids who can walk to school or surround it.
02/24/2020 8:07 AM	Bradi G	bradio78@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		
02/24/2020 9:00 AM	Brandon	brandonfrankel82@yahoo.com	Findley Elem		Sunset		Please DO NOT split Findley ES! Send all students to Timberland and help ease the overcrowding at Stoller.
							I am posting on behalf of friends at Oak Hills with whom I agree and want to support. It does not make sense to send the east half of Oak Hills to Meadowpark (1% of Oak hills kids) and then feed them into Sunset. These kids play sports with my kids and they will eventually be together in HS. So why not let them develop stronger friendships in middle school so they don't feel isolated when they start high school. Thank you for your time.
02/24/2020 9:52 PM	Brenda Mayland	bjbuttrick@yahoo.com	Bonny Slope Elem				
							With the map proposed, percentage of kids from stoller to sunset is only 17. Removing SUMMA will reduce to down to single digits. This is disrupting the feeder pattern and walkability for the district. You have already removed walkable findley from stoller. Atleast keep JW together. half of JW is SUMMA; splitting them again is a slap to the non-summa kids who will not have friends at sunset.
02/24/2020 4:06 PM	chandana	chandanak08@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			
02/24/2020 7:26 AM	Chandana	chandanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
							I strongly support map C discussed in the previous meeting. The main reason of this boundary adjustment is to solve the over-capacity problem of stoller. Feeding both Springville and Sato students into Stoller doesn't solve this problem in long run. As we can see now, boundary adjustment costs significant amount of resources for both the school district and parents. No one wants to do this every year. Springville and Sato area are the two most fast growing areas in the BSD district. I think the obvious solution to the over-capacity problem of Stoller is NOT to include both of these two areas in the same middle school boundary.
02/24/2020 6:00 PM	Chenlu Wang	frombluesummer@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				
							I started feeling sorry for non-Summa JW kids...There must be a lot of pressure to go to Summa at JW and many kids must have gone to prep school...Probably, lots of kids were pushed to study but not all didn't pass 97th line and were dubbed as failure kids. Summa out of Stoller may be actually good for non-Summa JW kids.
02/24/2020 11:46 PM	Chieko Y	pdxsalecy@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			

02/24/2020 11:23 AM	Cindy McHenry	Cindy.McHenry@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Please don't overlook our small community of Oak Hills Sunset Bound kids. We thrive in community. Please split us with Westview bound Oak Hills kids prior to Middle School so we may form a foundation of friendships and community prior to entering High School. It is unreasonable to isolate these few kids in this way. Please re-examine your numbers. The school you have us paired with to enter high school together from middle is actually extensively involved in a dual immersion program and will likely return to said program making your numbers inaccurate. Meadow Park has given us the number of 13 students in their current 8th grade class that will move on to Sunset out of our Oak Hills community. There may be a handful more for those coming from Barnes who opt out of their dual immersion program. This is an insignificant number of kids who will be lost in a school as large as Sunset. This is an unfair burden to place on these kids to have to start over at every school transition. Please move us to a middle school with a stronger feeder pattern into Sunset. It makes the most sense to move East Oak Hills to Timberland so we can begin building our community in middle school.
02/24/2020 10:38 AM	Currie Reese	creese76er@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem			Springville K-8	I am pleased to see Terra Linda stays with Cedar Mill and Bonny Slope from CPMS to the new Timberland MS. This helps continuity, sense of community, proximity and encompasses the JC Policy directives.I think Sunset bound Oak Hills students should go to Timberland as well, there is room and it would alleviate MP a little. This would be beneficial for students to build friendships and have continuity.The current map doesn't alleviate the overcrowding at Stoller sufficiently. Retaining a SUMMA program for Westview bound students at Stoller is imperative. Uprooting these children, bussing to Timberland, being displaced among Sunset bound students for 3 years and then transferring to Westview doesn't sound very continuous, feasible, efficient or ideal since it's a well attended established program with many students able to walk to Stoller.I'm not sure how Findley feels about wholly attending Timberland. Bussing walkable Stoller bound Findley students to Timberland seems a little ludicrous since many can see their current MS from their homes.
02/24/2020 9:55 PM	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear committee, this boundary adjustment has not been easy and can feel the daily challenges you are facing. Thank you for your persistent and listen carefully to Springville Rock Creek and other schools concern. The Feb 25 work map adequately considers the safe walk routes of JW, as well as meeting school board primary objective to fill Timberland with students and make wise use of the 64million dollar investment and relief the overcrowding at Stoller. Springville is grateful to stay with the closest middle school to us Stoller while keeping the overall transportation operation cost lowest and unite with Sato our sister school as a single extended community. We finally feel that our voice is being heard since Springville K8 was removed as our option school. Findley will be happy to join their Summa talent should the district decide to move Summa to Timberland which will be an excellent move to ensure all the middle school including Stoller more or less meet their 2025 enrollment forecast. Rock Creek will be happy to join their Summa and Oregon MESA Day talents at Meadow Park which is a wonderful school. Feb25 brilliantly meets the needs and solve concerns of many communities and meeting school district goal for this boundary adjustment process to create diversified student bodies, low cost, walkable, fair, friendly and best utilize the new school. Let us hope this work map will prevail and let everyone hard work come to fruition. Let the north and southern district finally settle down and agree to a single map arrangement and not let any individual destroy the consensus that has taken us 3 months to achieve.
02/24/2020 8:50 AM	Debdatta Bhattacharya	debdatta2k@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Work map for the Feb 25th meeting ticks most of the boxes set forth by the committee. As a Rock Creek parent I love the map. I will however be looking forward to the transportation analysis. I hope we can go to Meadow Park with neighborhood schools. Please don't abandon this map and go back to something like Map A, where Rock Creek is treated like an outcast.
02/24/2020 7:47 AM	Devi	Devikatari@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please do not take away the walking ability to school for the people who are near it. Also no portables please.Regards Devi
02/24/2020 1:32 PM	Diksha Kakkar	dishi16@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Hello Committee members ,I want to thank you for all time and efforts that everyone is putting through to get through this middle school boundary adjustment process. Current Feb25 working map does not solve over crowding problem and it does not maintain a healthy and balanced feeder pattern for High school .As per this current map Sato , springville and JW will be main feeding school to stoller. SATO and springville are assigned to Westview and But only JW which would be split to two high schools (sunset and Westview).out of which a small number of JW neighborhood is assigned to Sunset.So for better High school feeder pattern , we should include walkable Findley and Walkable springville to come to stoller to maintain a balanced feeder pattern.As kids get into high school , their emotional and social health plays important factor. We have seen so many cases in high school where kids get into bad companies in high school and indulge into some nasty things like drugs , vaping. At this Age , friendships really play important part in life to do better at school and college. that's why i really want to committee to think about of those small number of kids who will be shoved to Sunset and all their friends will go to westview .Either Committee should send all JW to either Westview or Sunset or fix feeder pattern for Middle school including findley walkable kids so that atleast we can have more ratio of kids going to Sunset.PLEASE DONOT SPLIT JW AND BE FAIR TO LITTLE KIDS for maintaining balanced feeder patterns.Thanks !
02/24/2020 9:47 PM	Ehoy Lawless Lewerenz	lawlesse1@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.

							Dear Committee Members & BSD representatives, I am sorry if my comments are blunt or rough to some, but they are objective and in favor of saving tax payers dollars and valuable time spent thus far and in future to discuss boundaries. (1) Splits - Just so that SPV can save extra 10 mins of commute, multiple other neighborhoods are being bused farther, including SUMMA students of JW and Sato who have no reason to be impacted. (Remember, Transportation Dept data shows it takes only 23 mins from any area in SPV neighborhood as opposed to 45 mins as cried by some parents to distort the picture by playing feelings card) (2) Walkability - This needs to be re-looked. Only one committee member (I think her name is Divya?) is on point to advocate with clarity how important walkability is.. for school, parents, volunteers, students and for the district to keep their dollar in check. Those dollars would be better spent on hiring valuable staff then on unwanted bus route resulted by unplanned and not well thought-out boundary (3) Dollars - If I read correctly BSD has reduction of \$20 million in their 2019-20 budget. They have already transfered \$18 million from their rainy-day fund to cushion from further reductions. This impacts class sizes, ability to keep programs running, ability to hire or retain staff, so many programs throughout the school year that provides well rounded real life experiences and opportunity to learn, help, compete and grow together IRRESPECTIVE OF which school they are in. If you do not come up with boundary that saves transportation dollars, it would impact every enrolled child in district NOT JUST MS STUDENT. You have responsibility to keep dollars in check rather than being responsible for impacting students who has nothing to do with MS boundary. (4) Demography - Did you check how badly balanced demography is at Stoller as compared to district averages? Please review this again.. No school should be an elite place for certain demography or limited subset of one. Especially in these days when most valuable lesson this generation can learn is equality, inclusivity and respect towards varied cultures (5) SPV to Five Oaks - Lets be realistic - Transportation time going from 15 mins to 23 mins.. SPV represents about 1-2% of total of 39,000 students in district. Bussing this small % to keep ALL types of balances intact for REST OF THE DISTRICT. Where is the debate? Why would one community be so selfish that they do not care about tax payers dollars, neighborhood and walkability aspects, BSD transportation impact, multiple other students being bussed? And if showing up in numbers, showing strength and power of community is the way to go for committee and district officials to be pressured or bullied by using news media and premature press appearances is correct, what kind of example are we setting for our kids to see and learn? (6) Two Growing ES in North - Lets be real, only one growing school which is geographically located can stay in Stoller. Natural feeder for Stoller is - JW, part of Findley and Sato.
02/24/2020 12:48 PM	Eishani H	iameishani77@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			
02/24/2020 2:05 PM	Elizabeth Su	ywelizasu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				The new map published Feb 21st is promising. It reflects the committee have heard our voice and keep our northern community together. However, one key point concerns me is our commute to Meadow Park is a little longer than ideal. Another concern is the capacity of both Meadow Park and Stoller. The total student number of SUMMA program in Stoller is 172. If they all relocated at Timberland, there should be enough space at Stoller. I understand the number of Rock Creek sending to Stoller is merely 200 or a little more. I believe that Rock Creek brings diversity to Stoller is an advantage. Also, it reduce transportation cost and time is much reduced if Rock Creek/Springville/ Sato/ Jacob Wismer allowed at Stoller and make less negative impact to the community. It[?]s a fact that this area has been a sought after location for young families to moving in. Therefore, if Rock Creek has to leave Stoller. BSD should use this opportunity to create surrounding middle school as great school as possible. As a parent, we all try our best for our kids. So far the BSD has been an absolute disaster when it comes to drawing all these boundaries. I am frustrated and disappointed with the community involvement so far. I think it[?]s important that kids have equally opportunity to have comparable education experience with our neighborhood school. Our kids shouldn[?]t be toss around and be sacrificed for the growth of the bethany area. I would accept map that can keep our north community together with clean feeder pattern as much possible.
02/24/2020 2:47 PM	Emily Crk	emily.cr@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		If you look at the data, to keep SPV (approx 123 5th graders) into Stoller, district would have to bus more than 50% of SUMMA students (approx 153).. This means SPV students continues to be bussed, no changes there and ON TOP OF THAT district adds routes for Findley split and ALL Stoller SUMMA students.. I am not expert and cannot tell how many buses BSD would need.. but I can tell that objectively and in unbiased manner, SPV should be bussed to Five Oaks, it makes financial sense, it makes walkability sense, it allows neighborhoods to stay together, ES to MS to HS splits are at least not deteriorating further for JW Working Map C with RCC feeding fully (without that island split) into Five Oaks AND SUMMA continued at Stoller for in-boundary students.. This is the only solution to balance out the north of the boundary, specifically Stoller. Feeders for North should be:Stoller: JW, Findley split, SatoFive Oaks: Rock Creek, SPVNew MS: Findley south/east, Bony Slope, Terra Linda, Cedar Mills
02/24/2020 5:02 PM	Esther Atwill	Esther.atwill@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		The current proposed map, to be honest, is a disgrace for all people involved. How can any one think that it is OK to bus kids who can walk to Stoller to another middle school? This does NOTt save cost, address overcapacity issue, and pretty does nothing good.I hope BSD (and the committee folks) can have some basic sense to do the right thing. When you send your kids to Stoller everyday, think about those kids who live right across the street are being bused away to accommodate your kids. I hope that you can find your inner peace again.
02/24/2020 9:25 AM	Eve	every999@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Having a school close to home that kids can walk to is a practical consideration. There are many of us with 3 or 4 kids and 2 working parents, and we don't have the luxury of driving our kids to every after school activity. In fact we buy houses close to schools just so they will be able to participate in after school activities on their own. If you take walking kids and put them on a bus, you are destroying their chances of participating in after school activities which is just a important for their all around growth. It is not always just academics but their overall social development which is important. Please keep the walking Findley kids in Stoller even if the non-walking Findley wants to go to Timberland.
02/24/2020 5:31 PM	Gabriel Montero	gabe.montero@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep Rock Creek at Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Map C 2.0 is great too. Please do not consider anything else besides these two maps for Rock Creek. We are sacrificing more than all schools in North even with these two options so please give us one of the two options. Both of these two options send any part of Rock Creek that is moved from Stoller to another school with two northern schools and this is what we need to ensure that the new school will be somewhat academically balanced.
02/24/2020 9:26 PM	Gregory Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.

02/24/2020 1:23 PM	H Li	henry2k@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			Dear committee, Thank you for your efforts working on this. I am concerning BSD plans to bus additional hundreds of kids to MS. As a tax payer, I'd like to see BSD using our tax money wisely. We should take this into account when we consider new MS boundary. We should utilize these money (to bus kids around) to hire more teacher and update our facility in BSD. It doesn't make any sense to bus hundreds Findley kids to TL middle school while most of them can walk to MS. Keeping Findley in Stoller makes lots of senses. BSD should also make sure ES doesn't split feed into MS. Studies have been demonstrated split feeding has detrimental impact on kids mental health and their academic performance. Please keep kids wellbeing in mind, and minimize the school split if all possible. It makes sense to keep all Findley in Stoller MS.
02/24/2020 9:42 PM	Haoquan Zhang	liang.b.y1974@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.I wish we had all the northern school battles. Some are fighting for SUMMA and some for remaining at Stoller whereas poor Rock Creek is happy if we get to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 2:10 PM	Heather McMahon Wadia	heather.wadia@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				As you consider these middle school boundary changes, please correct a previous mapping issue by sending Sunset-bound Oak Hills East students to Timberland Middle School where they will feed into Sunset High with others. As of right now, they are isolated going from Meadow Park to Sunset. It is an easy fix. The Oak Hills community wants to be split after elementary school because we feed into different high schools, and this easy mapping change will be a tremendous help to our community while also increasing your objective of smarter feeder patterns from middle to high school. Please make this easy map adjustment to have Sunset-bound Oak Hills East feed to Timberland (middle) and Sunset (high). With deep appreciation,Heather Wadia
02/24/2020 5:20 PM	Hiromi Okazawa	okazawahiromi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		After many weeks of discussion, Feb 25 map is still 104%. Please remember the initial purpose , which is to reduce the number of Stoller at 90%. Springville and Sato will continue to grow. The map published for Feb 25 meeting is not meeting the goal of 90%. If committee members cannot come to conclusion reflecting different community[?]'s desire, please submit multiple maps to BSD and let BSD decide. Please do not pick a map just because of one community which protests, or present a map as [?]community agreement[?] because Feb 25 map doesn[?]t solve the overcrowding issue at Stoller. Please keep the initial goal of reduce Stoller to 90%.
02/24/2020 7:20 PM	Hsien-kai Hsiao	hkhsiao@umich.edu	Jacob Wismer Elem				Dear committee members,You might be aware there is a petition on change.org claiming united Bethany community support of Feb 25 working map. I would like to point out that is a false claim. Whoever set up this petition has no right to represent that opinion on behalf of all Bethany community members. As far as I know, there is a group of parents of walkable Findley, most JW parents and even Sato parents won[?]t support Feb 25 working map. I still strongly support Map C 2.0 or its tweaked variant to be the best solution for BSD in terms of walkability and transportation budget, balanced HS feed patterns, and most importantly, solving Stoller overcrowding issue and reasonably utilizing TL capacity. The job of the committee is to not waste tax dollars and avoid unnecessary future re-adjustment if we just give in to whoever shout out the loudest on Feb 13 meeting. Please do your job fairly and recommend the best to the BSD board. Thanks for reading.
02/24/2020 11:02 AM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Please note Sunset feeding from Stoller is dropping from 41% to 17% according proposed map published on 2/21.We can live with this, but I request to not to decrease it even further.Otherwise JW kids will be impacted despite being co-located with Stoller
02/24/2020 11:17 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Please bring back Map C.Map proposed on Feb 25 is not sustainable for Stoller.One of the main goals of reducing the overcrowding is not met in this proposed map.
02/24/2020 11:27 AM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com					Thank you for publishing data to public about the poverty indicator.It[?]'s so UNFAIR to increase Cedar Park from 23% to 42%Five Oaks = 59%Whitford = 50%.Mountain View = 47%BSD has the opportunity now fix problems and NOT introduce new ones.
02/24/2020 3:38 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Its very unfortunate to see a ES which is as closest as possible to a Middle school, is suffering from the feeder pattern,JW has only 17% attending from Stoller at Sunset.Please please do not reduce it to a SINGLE DIGIT to make space for other schools and make our kids leave their backyard school and take bus.
02/24/2020 3:52 PM	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				BSD,What happened to the Map C created by transport department ? Which was saving a lot of money. Is that gone under the rug because of "RED T-SHIRTS" and SIGN BOARDS and LOT OF NOISE ?
02/24/2020 7:28 PM	Jasmine Hsiao	jasminehsiao99@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				I am very disappointed with the decision to even consider the Feb 25 working map. This map not only doesn[?]t address Stoller overcrowding issue but also increase a lot of unnecessary busing and transportation cost. To make matters worse, this map makes JW Sunset HS bound kids feeding percentage drop to single digit if Summa moves to TL. Even not, it a poor 17% feeding. Please bring back the map C 2.0 or variant for consideration and recommend it instead to BSD.
02/24/2020 11:14 AM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Sear AC Members,The Feb 25th proposed map is UNFAIR to JW and SUMMA. Now the kids who walk to school will be in long commute. You are punishing the hard working SUMMA kids to accommodate 424 Kids to a overcrowding school. Do you think that sending 185 Kids to a different school wil solve the objective? Laidlaw and Saltzman is a single lane road and it will increase traffic. The increase in transportation cost could be wisely spent on schools. This is OUR TAX Dollars. Only a small portion of JW elementary will feed into Sunset HS, these kids will not have a familiar faces, NOT A GOOD FEEDER pattern.Please convenience the public how these 2 rapidly growing community can feed to a single middle school. You are Punishing hard working SUMMA kids. I think eventually you all KILLING SUMMA at Stoller. Thanks for doing this.
02/24/2020 3:18 PM	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		After Seeing the Map for 02/25, I am loosing the confident that i had with AC members, Most of the AC members fell for the LOUDEST Noise and not listening to the comments from respectful parents from other schools. DATA don't lie, please make recommendations based on factual data. SPV growing 6-8th grader population will not fit into Stoller. Thanks for Making a point that SUMMA is a SCHOOL PROGRAM and nor AN OPTIONAL. By moving SUMMA out of Stoller you are creating TAX DOLLARS wasted, when JW kids go to HS, they only know 9 students out of 100. By making this mistake you already hurting these kids future. JW Has sacrificed and compromised a lot during the last HS boundary adjustment. Please DON'T hurt JW kids more.

02/24/2020 11:37 AM	Jeff Brinkerhoff	jephbrink@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS			Thank you for your diligence in helping create a positive learning experience for our children! :-) End isolation of Oak Hills students. Send Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany.Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program.
02/24/2020 12:35 PM	Jennifer	moonflower26@hotmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				I really would like to advocate for the approx. 20 kids from Oak Hills that go to Sunset. They really need to go to Timberland. They are such a small group of kids there is no reason to split these kids into a different middle school only to split them again in High school. We want to support our youth, you need your social support to help in hard times. It is one thing to make a choice to move but when your are not your our school district should support your mental health.
02/24/2020 1:36 PM	Jennifer McNair	jenmcnair25@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Mountain View MS			Hi! Currently I have a daughter at Cooper Mountain and a son at Mountain View. The most important thing to me in these boundary issues is that I would like to see all the kids from Cooper Mountain go to the same Middle School. I really like Mountain View but I feel it is unfair that we are one of the very few families that go there when everyone else from Cooper Mountain goes to Highland. My first choice would be for kids to go from Cooper Mountain to Mountain View to Mountainside. It would be nice to not have a few kids separated for middle school but be able to keep them all together. So whether it is Mountain View or Highland I would like to see them all at the same middle school.Thank you for your time, have a great day! Jennifer
02/24/2020 11:54 AM	Jeremy Rear	bethrear@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Do not divide our community and force Rock Creek kids to bear the brunt of this boundary change! Rock Creek needs to be paired with another northern neighbor school! The working Map from Feb. 25th which sends us to Meadow Park with our neighboring school has our support!
02/24/2020 8:47 PM	Jey M	jeyasekar.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				1. Map C (moving springville kids to Five oaks) will easily make the commute time 30 mins in the morning and 30 mins in the evening. BSD already did a test run with school bus at 9 AM (not at 8:30). Important things to be noted is that the bus did not do at peak 8:30 am. Secondly it did not account the breaks for picking and dropping kids off. 2. Springville kids bring higher diversity rate3. Being higher property tax payer, it is our right to expect our kids not to suffer by spending 1 hr on road.
02/24/2020 11:12 AM	Jing Xiao	jxiao03@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		My son is a 5th grader at Jacob and will join Summa program at Stoller. We want to emphasize that SUMMA is a program not an option and Stoller has enough kids to run its own SUMMA program just like other schools. Moving SUMMA out of Stoller doesn't address the overcrowding issue at Stoller, since fast-growing neighborhood of Spriville or Sato will put Stoller in the overcrowding situation quickly again in a couple of years. Plus moving SUMMA out of Stoller is creating lots of problems for the community,and lots of SUMMA families will opt out the SUMMA program to stay at Stoller instead for many reasons - friends, commute, high-school option.Please keep SUMMA at Stoller.Thanks for listening.
02/24/2020 9:31 AM	Jon Roby	jroby8@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Hello. I just reviewed the 2/25 meeting materials posted on line. Have to admit, I'm truly shocked in that it appears the "neighborhood school" concept has been abandoned, particularly when it comes to Stoller MS. In order to include the North Bethany neighborhood at Stoller you have removed the Oakridge Neighborhood. This is extremely difficult to understand. Here are some stats:Oakridge to Stoller: across the street. Students walk to school, no cars and busses added to traffic, etc.Oakridge to Timberland: 3.8miles, 10 min drive. Students no longer able to walk. Cars and busses added to traffic down the extremely busy Kaiser, Saltzman, Cornell and Barnes corridors. Springville Elementary to 5 Oaks: 4.3 miles, 13 min driveSpringville Elementary to Meadow Park: 6.0 Miles, 15 min driveSpringville Elementary to Stoller: 2.2 Miles, 8 min driveTo sum it up, the current MS maps are effectively saying that in order to save North Bethany students 5 minutes (2.1 miles) of commute, you are going to force Oakridge students to drive 10 min (3.8 Miles) and put EVERYONE on the on the road/in a bus. This simply doesn't make sense, from any perspective. Certainly not from the student and neighborhood perspectives. North Bethany students will be in a car/on a bus in all scenarios, this doesn't mean we should force all students to do the same. When you buy a house across the street from a school, you should be able to feel extremely confident your kids will attend that school. In the end, I ask that the committee please step back, take the politics and lawyers out of it, and look at this from a student and neighborhood quality of life perspective. The "right" thing to do is clear. If you live in a neighborhood that is clearly within walking distance (~1mile?) to a school, with no physical impediments, you should attend that school...if you are across the street, there should be absolutely no question. Please do the right thing.I appreciate your time and attention to this.Regards,Jon

							As a member of the Oak Hills Elementary community, I join with others in this community in support of this course of action: End the isolation of Oak Hills students by sending Oak Hills (East) to Timberland with Terra Linda Elementary and Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park with Bethany Elementary. First, I applaud the recommendation in the latest map to send Oak Hills (West) to Meadow Park along with Bethany Elementary. This supports unity in the deeply-interconnected geographic neighborhood that serves both these schools. However, I ask the committee to reconsider its recommendations for the Oak Hills (East) students. Only a very small number of Oak Hills-Sunset-bound students go to Sunset High School each year; this year according to Meadow Park officials, it will be just 13 students in a high school of 2,100. It will be much better for these students to attend Timberland High School with their Terra Linda neighbors, where the children will be able to form social and academic connections they will carry through middle and high school. The latest proposed map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map. Additionally, shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for busing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage. I also encourage the committee to consider expanding the Barnes High School boundary to Beaverton High School where there is a dual language program. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such, including placement within the district where there is capacity to support it. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning through 12th grade, an expectation set when they enrolled in the dual language program. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those students are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. They all have the option to attend Beaverton. Due to the dual language option, these Barnes students will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids at Meadow Park, so this would minimize their ability to meet and assimilate with the small handful of other Sunset bound students at Meadow. All in all, routing a fraction of Barnes to Meadow and then Sunset does a disservice to these students. They will be far better served by keeping Barnes unified and attending Beaverton High School. This course of action also simplifies the feeder pattern for Meadow Park, which currently feeds three high schools unnecessarily. Thank you for your consideration of these points.
02/24/2020 3:39 PM	Julie Curtis	juliecurtis@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		
02/24/2020 9:18 PM	JUNJIE ZHOU	HARIS.BEG@HOTMAIL.COM		Stoller MS			I live in the Oakridge Estates neighborhood, which is right across the street from Stoller MS. It wouldn't make sense for my kids to take a long bus route to a new MS when they can just walk to Stoller MS in 5 minutes. I would like our neighborhood's students to have the option of walking to Stoller MS. I would also prefer our neighborhood to be in the Jacob Wismer ES, since it is closer and walkable for our kids. Thanks
02/24/2020 1:55 PM	Karen Bos		Oak Hills Elem				Please strongly consider sending students who live in east Oak Hills to Timberland, so that they can be with a group of peers who will be going to Sunset High School. This would help in establishing clean feeder lines from middle school to high school, removing the third feeder high school (Sunset) from Meadow. Barnes dual language program students participate in an option school (dual-language) within Meadow Park and do not take the same curriculum as other Meadow Park students. This group of students should not be considered in establishing feeder school patterns. Oak Hills east side students are the only students who are in the general Meadow Park curriculum and then go to Sunset High School. This is a very small group of students (approximately 15). Sending this group to Timberland with peers who will be going to Sunset would be a small change but would make a big difference to those few students.
02/24/2020 3:02 PM	Karen Steinberg	karen.k.steinberg@gmail.com	Nancy Ryles Elem				Having two children who currently attend Nancy Ryles Elementary School, I am concerned with the upcoming adjustments to the middle school boundaries. Nancy Ryles currently splits into two middle schools, Highland Park and Conestoga. I would very much like to see all students at Nancy Ryles feed into one middle school. With the current middle school boundaries, my children will attend Conestoga Middle School and then continue on to Mountainside High School. This will split them from many of the children they have attended elementary school with for middle school, and then split them from a significant number of students from Conestoga Middle School who will continue on to Southridge as opposed to Mountainside. I would like to see the middle school boundaries adjusted to allow all kids from an elementary school to continue to the same middle school.
02/24/2020 3:39 PM	Katie Curtis	kpcurtis11@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map.
02/24/2020 11:22 PM	Katie Liu	huaiyu@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		With the newest working map, we appreciate that committee and BSD staff listened to the concerns of moving Oak Hills west from Westview to Sunset high school and restored the community back as Westview feeder in the newest map. We applaud this update. Please keep this decision as is. We as parents will continue our support and engagement effort to the Westview community.
02/24/2020 6:55 PM	kelly roby	robby.kelly@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hello bsd, I am externally concerned about the new map published today 2/24. It has no resembling qualities of any of the previous map and doesn't seem to represent any of the parameters you set as part of the middle school boundary process. You said neighborhoods and transportation would be top priority and that is not what is in this map. I hope at the end of the day you do what is right and logical and stick to your principles. It appears the angriest voice is winning which is not always right. Do the right thing for our neighborhood students. Best Kelly
02/24/2020 9:43 PM	Kexin Zhang	484888@bsd48.org	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me. I wish we had all the northern school battles. Some are fighting for SUMMA and some for remaining at Stoller whereas poor Rock Creek is happy if we get to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 9:28 PM	Khamouane Lawless	lawlessgf@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me. Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 8:14 PM	Khrystyna Porseva	khrystyna_1@yahoo.com	Bonny Slope Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	ISB	Dear committee members, first, I would like to point out that the current Feb 25 working map is the best one to date. I urge you to proceed with this map unaltered to make your preliminary boundary recommendation. Please refrain from any more changes. I'm alarmed by the recent surge of ridiculous comments allegedly from Oak Hill ES, seems like another attempt at manipulation. In order to facilitate smooth MS-to-HS transition for a small number of Oak Hill students ending up in Sunset HS I suggest a minor adjustment to HS boundaries: all students from Oak Hill should attend Westview so nobody is separated from their friends.

02/24/2020 11:03 AM	Kim Moen	andrewandkim@comcast.net	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Thank you for reading all of these comments and taking into consideration the concerns raised by those at school communities in the South end of the district, as seen in the most recent maps. Please continue to feed Cooper Mountain Elementary to Highland Park Middle School. We have an established community with other schools that feed to Highland Park and would also like to minimize unnecessary disruption to students. This would allow these students to then feed with a greater number of their peers to Mountainside High School during a socially important time.
02/24/2020 11:46 PM	Laura Parrett	laura.myre@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		Please move East Oak Hills to Timberland Middle School. The are only about 20 students or less each class. They will no less than 1% of the students at Sunset if they stay at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language program so they will have minimal interaction with them. Sending them to Timberland will allow them to integrate with the other Sunset feeding elementary schools. It will also alleviate the crowding at Meadow Park.Please do not send SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland. About half of JW in enrolled in SUMMA. The Westview feeding JW SUMMA students will have the same hardships as E OH if they attend Timberland. They will know next to no one at Westview. The Sunset feeding non-SUMMA JW students who would stay at Stoller will know next to no one at Sunset. If you do this, you are KNOWINGLY creating unforgivable hardships for the JW students. Do better for the JW students and keep them together by keeping SUMMA at Stoller.
02/24/2020 8:20 PM	Lina	Linasonghe@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	I heard that there is option is remove Jacob wismer from Stoller. I don[?]t know why this option even in the plan but doesn[?]t make any sense since we are living one block behind JW and 3 minutes walk to Stoller. When I heard this option I even don[?]t know how to explain to my kid Why she shouldn[?]t go to Stoller but other Middle school even stoller just front our house
02/24/2020 8:51 PM	Lina	lg72cu@yahoo.com		Stoller MS	Sunset		It is not right that only the squeaky wheel gets the grease. Springville community is the most vocal, but the maps they support do not fit BSD[?]s goals and are not sustainable. Springville is still growing, which will make Stoller over-crowded again soon. It is a waste of resources and everyone[?]s time to settle for this option now, knowing that the same issue will arise soon.
02/24/2020 8:30 PM	Lindsay brownell	Brownel.lindsay@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I continue to have concern for the various maps that show the potential for reallocation of students to either Highland Park or Mountainside. We are Cooper Mountain parents and either scenario where all students from Cooper Mountain go to 1 middle school will sway the student population at either middle school to over population. The current pal dot have Cooper Mountain split makes the most sense to not have classroom over crowdedness.
02/24/2020 9:27 AM	Ling	Lw_g@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Whitford MS	Sunset		I[?]m a resident at Oakridge estate, noticed kids in our neighborhood will move to timberline In the new boundary map. That[?]s is very unreasonable because stroller MS just cross street from our neighborhood. My kids used to walk there. Now kids have to take school buses, it will add extra cost to the school district and make the traffic worse in this area. Besides kids/parents will waste More time on the road. I strongly suggest School district don[?]t move kids within one mile distance area away.
02/24/2020 4:25 PM	Liong Lim	inimacam@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The new working map posted for 2/25 meeting is troubling. Committees ignored many of the comments posted by stoller and JW parents that we need to meet the primary goal of solving current and future overcrowding problem at Stoller while keeping its high academics standard. By having 2 growing communities SPV/Sato at stoller with JW lead to 104% and 130% overutilization in 2021 and 2025 respectively. Why would committee propose such a temporary solution to a known long term problem. It's not fair to SPV, Sata and JW communities because they will need to do this process all over again in another year. Furthermore, the suggestion to move SUMMA out of stoller so that we can bring down the utilization # is also irresponsible. It's never about SUMMA vs regular students. It is all about overcrowding. Moving SUMMA out is essentially moving a big chunk of JW out of stoller, essentially displacing JW students so that we can accommodate growing communities. In addition, by removing walkable Findley, Sunset bound Stoller students (JW only) will become like Oak Hills East which everyone seem to sympathize. The decision made now will have future consequences. I strongly suggest that committee members consider longer term data when making these decisions. Hard decision is, well, hard to make. But at least we should make it decisively so that we don't keep iterating the decisions year after year.
02/24/2020 8:35 AM	Liqiong Wei	zchen8@yahoo.com					Based on current proposal, stoller is 130% at 2025. it is over capacity.Current proposal will increase transportation cost and create heavy traffic.We live across the Stoller, but kids have to go to a MS that is 4-mile away.

02/24/2020 10:51 AM	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>Dear Committee - I thank you for your commitment to this process and want to share some important points about the recent map that was released to the public. This map is flawed in many ways and does NOT address the objectives of filling Timberland and bringing Stoller closer to 90 percent permanent capacity with Summa. I urge you in these final months before making your recommendations to the Superintendent, please consider the following:1. A Temporary Solution is NOT the Answer: This map has Stoller at 104 percent perm capacity on the first day of school in 2021. Each year, that will continue to worsen and by 2025, over 300 students and their teachers will be stuck outside in an unsafe portable. Even moving Summa students to other schools across the district will STILL NOT solve the problem.2. The 2 largest communities with continued projected growth CANNOT fit into the same Middle School. There is no creative way to manipulate or change the data to refute that fact. It is an irrefutable fact that Sato and SPV are NOT shrinking. Not today and not in 5 years from now. Having them both feed into the same middle school, regardless of which one, will only hinder every BSD student in North Bethany, including those from SPV and Sato. Moving Summa completely out of Stoller may help today but it will NOT help in a year or two down the road. In fact, this current map shows that 172 students live in the Stoller boundaries, more than a third of who are JW students and can walk to Stoller. Many more of those are Findley students who can also walk to Stoller. I implore you to please continue to offer Summa in neighborhood schools where Summa students live. Summa belongs in both Timberland and Stoller.3. Transportation: This map completely takes transportation for granted. It adds increased cost for buses and bus drivers all across the district, creates traffic on single lane roads like Saltzman and takes vital funds out of the classrooms. How can it be refuted that re-routing students already on a bus a few minutes further, is adding more transportation costs than putting more buses on the roads to bus hundreds of walkable students to schools several miles away? It CANNOT be refuted because it makes no sense. Walkability matters and should NOT be taken for granted because one community feels it appropriate to create an uproar.4. Although this new map may have addressed some ES to MS feeder patterns, it has only worsened the HS feeding patterns. Please go back to the drawing board and consider HS feeder patterns. These friendships matter, especially at such a vulnerable age. Please consider speaking with both MS and HS principles and teachers. I'm sure they will offer valid reasons as to why MS to HS feeding matters.This process is not about what is fair, nor is it about home value, it is about what is best for our students and teachers. It is about offering an education across all BSD schools that will help our students thrive and give our teachers a great place to work. Please don't put 300 students and their teachers in portables in 2021 or 2025. They deserve better. Thank you!</p>
02/24/2020 11:00 PM	Lori NEar	lori.near@yahoo.com					<p>The current proposed map DOES NOT MEET THE OBJECTIVES in any way. Stoller is overcrowded in 2021 and significantly overcrowded in 2025. Please consider the following: 1. Two growing communities CANNOT be assigned to the same Middle School. It will NEVER solve the overcrowding. Students and teachers deserve better.2. Walkability keeps VITAL funds where they belong, in the classrooms with teachers and students. Walkable Findley families want to walk. Since the majority of Summa students are in the Stoller walkable zone, how does it make sense to bus 200 plus kids several miles away? It is an irresponsible use of taxpayer dollars. 3. Sending SPV students to FO is a mere 5 minutes longer than their current commute to Stoller. This was proven by the trial runs conducted by our transportation experts. It is a fact that cannot be refuted. 4. Consider the significant improvement in diversity at Five Oaks with Springville included. Taking into account the future growth of Springville, it is expected that this will result in significant improvement of academic standards at Five Oaks over time. 5. Split feeder patterns are inevitable in a process like this. Almost all communities have accepted this, so long as the feeder patterns are as evenly split as possible. Please consider that 11-12 year old kids going from ES to MS are far more resilient than 15-16 year old kids going from MS to HS. Middle School to High School Feeder patterns matter. I am hopeful we can get back on track and make recommendations based on common sense that benefit ALL BSD students.</p>
02/24/2020 5:12 PM	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>Dear Committee Members - Thank you for your dedication to the MS boundary adjustment process. The new map that has been proposed fails on so many levels but I remain hopeful that adjustments can still be made. Adjustments that solve the issues. Adjustments that keep money in the classrooms and not on buses, that offer an acceptable spread of equity across our schools, where feeding patterns can achieve even splits and finally and most importantly, adjustments that address the overcrowding problem at Stoller. The issue of overcrowding has been completely ignored and MUST be looked at. Students and teachers deserve to be in classrooms and NOT in unsafe portables. Today, Stoller has a healthy 41% representation at Sunset HS. The proposed map reduces that to an unhealthy and unacceptable percentage of 17% now that all of Findley has been removed and sent on buses at a hefty cost, to Timberland. As a vital point of reference, Oak Hills is at 14%, a situation everyone recognizes as unhealthy and in need of fixing. If the number of Jacob Wismer students feeding to Stoller is reduced any further, Stoller representation at Sunset will decline down to SINGLE DIGITS. That means, for every 100 Sunset HS kids, there will ONLY BE 9 students from Stoller. I implore you to please see the irrefutable fact based on data provided by BSD that Springville and Sato cannot both occupy the same Middle School. It is unjust to all Stoller students Summa or not, to ignore the overcrowding. Please consider a solution that can exist beyond 2021. Our students, our staff and our communities deserve recommendations that address the issues and meet the objectives. Thank you.</p>

02/24/2020 12:08 PM	Lori White	lwtalkautism@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Hello Committee. My plea has been going on for sometime now. Send the east half of Oak Hills (east of Bethany) to Timberland. No school is better than the other. This is about isolation! The current East side goes to a high school as 1% of the population. My brilliant freshman son had such a hard time in the beginning of the year not knowing anyone in his classes. Everyone else was talking and claiming each other for group projects and whatnot. He felt like an outsider, like he didn't belong there. The more depressed and alone he felt, the worse his grades. Many studies show the importance of MS to HS feeder lines and keeping kids together thru these stages. My daughter is doing the high school junior metro sports teams. She is the only one from Oak Hills in this and will continue to be in MS if she is not at Timberland. Coaches pick up on the friend groups and who obviously plays at recess/ lunch together. They have a bond. My daughter would be isolated on these HS feeder teams being the only Meadow kid. The west side has a whole school going with them at Meadow. They are all Westview feeders. This hinders her chances of making these teams, and forming bonds are hard when not together daily. We want the best for all students to thrive! Dividing the school at HS has made it so we now must divide MS too. Hopefully you understand why we cannot stay together as the district has put themselves in this position. May I add they solved this with Map A awhile back having Meadow be half Sunset and half Westview, but then quickly undid this with the new map. Their fault and theirs to remedy. Easily. To truly help all kids not just survive, but thrive in these rocky waters of puberty and the teen years, please do not let one group continue to be isolated. Place a line down Bethany and send East Oak Hills Elementary students to Timberland.Thank you.
02/24/2020 1:28 PM	Lydia	lidyalee@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Dear committee, as a rock creek parent, I love the original Map C2.0 best, which has the lowest transportation cost and keep all the neighborhood together, so that it's not only walkable to school but also walkable to other schoolmates. As the northeast part of Rock Creek, our kids were isolated from other rock creek neighborhoods (we can actually get to Bethany blvd faster than to get to 185th Ave). Plus, it can significantly balance the academic in Five Oaks, Stoller and Timberland. If May C2.0 won't be accepted, I love the new map to feed Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hill to Meadow Park as well. Our neighborhood was assigned to Oak hill, then Bethany and last to Rock Creek elementary school. basically we are all the neighbors. Even thought that is a 1.6 miles vs 4.3 miles commute comparing to go to Stoller, we would happy to stay with our neighbor schools. My only concern is as a 1st grade parent we don't want to go through this craziness again in 5 years. People arguing moving Summa, moving walkable area to fit Springville in Stoller, I don't see they have a long-term plan for the kids in the future. The new map has a 130% overcrowd in Stoller in 2025. Rock Creek has already try our best to comprise our commute distance. we don't want to be affected again in 5 years, just because our school is too small to be heard.
02/24/2020 7:56 AM	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem				This new map literally drives my children out of their own neighborhood. We live essentially across the street from Stoller within the Findley boundary. It's maybe a 5 minute walk. This map takes my neighborhood PAST a school kids could walk to easily to another 4 miles away. I would never have demanded that people who live next to a school be bussed away from it so that my children could go there instead. What does neighborhood proximity even mean if we bus kids PAST schools they can walk to? Next time (which will be soon enough as we haven't solved the problem), the district should consider hiring professionals and using data to underpin this process rather than pit the community against each other and give in to whoever makes the most noise.
02/24/2020 10:49 AM	Maanasa Kasturi	mkasturi@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please Keep JWE community along with SUMMA and other walkable kids feeding to Stoller. It is not fair for kids who can walk to school be bussed to far away schools. This will not only cost money, but also increases traffic and pollution around the schools. The district could totally use the funds in other necessary areas like investing in teachers and technology-centric classrooms.With the current chosen map, only a small portion of JWE will feed into sunset and will not have any familiar faces when they enter high school, which is not good for the psychological well being. Also, the current map does not solve the over-crowding problem for Stoller with two growing communities, Springville and Sato feeding into Stoller.
02/24/2020 9:50 PM	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				Dear committee, it has been said many times that two growing communities cannot be together in Stoller. Here is the reality: Springville is built out and growth is flat. Sato is growing, and Jacob Wismer Summa is growing exponentially from 20 to 30 and 50 percent in some of the comments. For longer term sustainability, Summa has got to be moved away from Stoller.
02/24/2020 9:33 PM	MadhuR	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				To those seeking to keep Summa in Stoller while kicking neighborhood kids out: what you are saying is that kids who do not score 99 percentile in COGAT as measured by the amount of money spent on test prep should be kicked out of their neighborhood school, while their neighborhood school is turned into a privileged enclave. I hope you listen to yourselves and reflect.
02/24/2020 7:45 PM	Mamta & Ravi Sahita	msahita@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Mr. Sparks, BSD Boundary Adjustment Team and Advisory Committee,Sunset High School[?]'s current composition is made up of 41% Stoller Middle School children. The current proposed map for Feb 25th drastically reduces this percentage to 17%! This is completely unacceptable. Sunset[?]'s current composition is made up of 14% Oak Hills children. Fixing this devastating feeder for Oak Hills is almost unanimously agreed upon by the committee, district and all the communities. So, how is it acceptable to now put Jacob Wismer in a similar situation? Please fix this massive over-sight in the proposed map for Feb 25th. Please bring back walking Findley to Stoller. Between walking Findley and Jacob Wismer Sunset feeding students, the percentages will be better, and our children will not have devastating experiences in the most formative years of their lives. Although the percentages won[?]t be at the healthy levels of 41% like today, they will at least have acceptable number of students from their own middle school going into the high school system with them.The proposed map for Feb 25th takes this drastic step of alienating the Sunset feeding students of Jacob Wismer and yet no one seems to want to carve out any portion of the big elephant sitting in the middle of the room – Springville! Splitting Springville even in half will still ensure plenty of familiar faces for the children of that community regardless of which school they feed and yet this is not being considered at all. What are we missing here?Jacob Wismer is the school closest to Stoller, has one of the highest percentages of SUMMA kids and is 100% within Stoller[?]'s walking boundaries – and yet – the proposed map for Feb 25th has dealt the worst hand to Jacob Wismer among any of the current Stoller feeding elementary schools. In which world is this fair or logical? We sincerely urge you to bring back walking Findley to Stoller Middle School and restore some fairness and logic including the Sunset feeder from Jacob Wismer.Sincerely,Mamta & Ravi Sahita(Current Jacob Wismer & Stoller Parents)
02/24/2020 9:30 PM	Mary Lynn Klafka	pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.

02/24/2020 1:11 PM	Meena	meenakshib@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				The current map reduces the Sunset representation from Stoller to a mere 17%. Isolating the kids in HS severely impacts/restricts their academic growth. OH elementary is currently living the repercussions of this unhealthy feeding pattern. Kindly do not add another such feeding pattern knowingly. Ensure due diligence is done for a healthy feeder pattern that gives a shot at academic growth in the most significant formative HS years of our students.
02/24/2020 9:41 AM	Meena	meenakshib@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Dear Committee, Thanks for your time and continued effort. The new working map does not provide relief to Stoller Middle School overcrowding. It further disintegrates the MS to HS feeder for Jacob Wismer kids. Kindly reconsider the working map to address the overcrowding and have a better high school feeder pattern. FriendsAndFeeder4AllJW
02/24/2020 4:22 PM	Meenakshi Dewan	meenakshi_dara@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee members and BSD,Presently, we have about 40% students feeding Sunset from Stoller. The proposed working map is reducing it to a low17%. Moving Summa elsewhere will make it miserable for the Sunset feeding students.We have already seen the repercussions of this kind of feeder pattern with Oak Hills community! Moving Summa out will be a big mistake! The new working map has no way helped in the overcrowding issue faced by Stoller! Please do the right thing! Sato and SPV can[?]t co exist in Stoller considering the capacity!Please don[?]t bus the walkable kids to miles away increasing the cost! Please use tax dollars for the improvement of education programs across the district rather than spending on transportation!Thankyou
02/24/2020 9:40 AM	Megan Needham	megan.m.needham@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				I live just barely on the west side of Bethany Blvd, but in the Oak Hills Elementary school boundaries, where my son walks to school each day. Our son is currently assigned to eventually attend Westview High School. Solely considering the impact of the current working map (released on 2/21) on my children, the map seems no better or worse than the current middle school boundaries. It generally addresses the important concerns raised by parents at Oak Hills and Rock Creek about being isolated in attending middle school (re: former Map C). But our neighbors who live on the east side of Bethany Blvd and are slated to attend Sunset High School and will be incredibly isolated if they attend Meadow Park for middle school. According to parents who have spoken with administrators at Meadow Park, only 13 students living in the Oak Hills neighborhood will be attending Sunset High School next year. My understanding is that the students attending Meadow Park from Barnes elementary school have the option of attending Beaverton High School to continue their dual language program. So the 13 students from Meadow Park starting at Sunset High School next year will constitute only 0.6% of the Sunset High School student population. In essence, they won't know anyone. Surely it would be possible to move this infinitesimal number of students to Timberland Middle School, which is already going to be underutilized at 88% capacity, while Meadow Park will be overcrowded at 107% capacity.
02/24/2020 8:39 PM	Melinda Carlson	mcsings@live.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Please allow Sunset HS -bound kids on the East side of Oak Hills elementary to attend Timberland with their future high school classmates. Sending them to Meadow Park with everyone else bound for Westview is isolating and disruptive to friendships built in middle school. Thx
02/24/2020 12:16 AM	Melissa Tom	melissatom45@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Wasteful Busing of SUMMA to Timberland:The map put out this past week once again places all of Springville (SPV) with Sato together in Stoller thus ensuring that Stoller will not meet their 90% board mandated enrollment target. these are the two largest potential Stoller feeders and there is NO combination of both intact elementary attendance areas feeding into Stoller that allows for any hope of meeting this 90% target. The February 25 AC document references that the possible solution for this perennial overcrowding is to move the Stoller SUMMA program out of Stoller to Timberland. Instead of identifying part of SPV (or all of SPV) and sending it to Five Oaks, the committee seems to feel busing fully 52% of the ENTIRE district[?]s SUMMA students from their neighborhood SUMMA program down to Timberland is the answer. The SPV commute to Stoller in contrast to Five Oaks difference is not longer than many other bus commutes in the district; in any case SPV children must board a bus – why not simply leave those who are walkable in a scenario that maintains walkability and bus those who are already bused? This represents an irresponsible waste of already limited district funds. It has been estimated that it will cost roughly \$370,000 for busing walkable kids to Timberland, which is money that WILL come out of our classrooms per the district transportation official. Wasteful Busing of Walkable Findley to Timberland:This February 25 map has as well all of Findley ES heading to Timberland. Once again, leveraging the flawed logic noted above, the committee feels it would be better to unnecessarily bus Findley to Timberland instead of allowing these children to continue to walk to Stoller. This represents yet another irresponsible waste of already limited district funds.No [?]Showstoppers[?]: I look forward to the district supplying a transportation analysis that shows the busing costs of their February 25 map when compared to, for example, the working map C from January 30 that by design specifically focused on walkability and which would save the district nearly \$370,000 from its baseline busing outlays currently. It should be noted that during this meeting at Meadow Park Middle School, one of the committee members categorized this nearly \$370,000 savings as not being a [?]showstopper[?] and which alone would not be an adequate savings to influence him to vote for map C (that which preferences walkability and busing savings).Responsible School Board Leadership:I challenge all middle school parents to ask their principals how many teachers they could hire for \$370,000. The district is facing a \$35 million budgetary shortfall and with more than 200 teachers being cut, it is the responsibility of the committee, Mr. Sparks, and the BSD board to act responsibly to avoid unnecessary busing when possible. Given the irresponsible behavior of the committee and the BSD administrators who are charged with directing this process, it's not hard to imagine why we as parents are now facing a \$35 million budgetary shortfall and having to cut 200 teachers.In summary, I feel it is prudent to:1) Avoid unnecessary busing and preserve walkability to responsibly save money given the district[?]s deficit2) Assure Findley walkable remains walkable3) Assure Stoller SUMMA remains at Stoller4) Take the necessary steps to assure Stoller MS enrollment targets of 90% are best met by moving some or all of Springville ES to Five Oaks MS

							Please do not overlook the emotional welfare and academic success of the very small group of Oak Hills Elem. students living east of Bethany Blvd. The current feeder path through Meadow Park M.S. is detrimental to these Sunset High School bound students. This TINY number of students (less than 15 per year) starts high school with no peer group in place. The small group of Barnes Elem. students at Meadow Park attend separate dual immersion core courses and their social time with other Meadow Park students is limited. For purposes of feeder statistics, the Sunset-bound students from Barnes should be classified as a "special program". That leaves only a minute percentage of Sunset bound H.S. students attending Meadow Park in the general middle school courses. In a high school of over 2 thousand students, these Oak Hills East students enter high school knowing practically zero students. Please consider fixing this 3-feeder problem at Meadow Park now, and moving this small group of approx. 15 Oak Hills (east) students to Timberland, a full feeder school for Sunset - so that they can form a stable peer group. It will meet the objective of filling Timberland, lessen overcrowding at Meadow Park, and is a cost-neutral solution since these Oak Hills students are currently bussed to Meadow Park. Thank you for your attempts to address the needs of ALL BSD students, from elementary schools large AND small.
02/24/2020 2:44 PM	Michelle Apel	momof.energy@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				
02/24/2020 10:06 PM	Michelle Bisgard	mich_bisgard@hotmail.com		Stoller MS	Sunset		Don't spend money bussing students who live across the street from Stoller. Walkability should be a priority.
							Please, please, please do not repeat history and isolate East Oak Hills again as they travel from Oak Hills Elementary, to Meadow Park Middle School, then on to Sunset High School ALL BY THEMSELVES. High School is difficult enough. Now imagine going to school with only 13 of the kids you grew up, heading into a school of 2200 students that have already established deep friendships, knowing each other through elementary and middle school, not really interested in making new friends.Please consider these facts as you make your decisions: 1. 58 students are slated to matriculate from Meadow Park to Sunset High School this year. Of those 58: only 13 are from Oak Hills, 45 are from Barnes.2. Barnes is headed towards a whole school dual language program and produced a 100% bilingual kindergarten class this year.3. Families sign a commitment to keep their child in the dual language program through 12th grade when they enroll.4. Sunset High School does NOT have a Dual Language program.5. Dual language programs in High School are offered at Beaverton, Southridge and Aloha.6. Beaverton and Southridge both have open enrollment creating a beautiful feeder pattern for the Barnes Elementary kids to all stay together not only elementary to middle school but also middle to high school.7. Come School year 2025 Barnes Elementary will no longer produce a single Sunset bound student because of the Dual Language program and commitment asked from families when they enroll.8. Meadow Park is THE ONLY tri-feeding school left in the district.9. Meadow Park minus 75 Oak Hills kids (roughly 25 kids per grade, which is a generous number) sits at 98% capacity, Timberland plus 75 Oak Hills kids sits at 95% capacity. IMPROVING not only the feeder pattern but also a more balanced attendance.10. This is a cost neutral bussing move for the district as we are equidistant to both Timberland and Meadow Park.Those are 10 cold hard facts that you cannot argue with. There is also a clear and marked improvement to 2 of the 4 committee objectives (feeder pattern and school utilization) that you cannot argue with. We are not marginalizing or displacing any community with capacity sitting at 95% with our move from Meadow Park to Timberland which you also cannot argue with.Lastly, you need to look at graphic [?]Committee February 25th workmap High School feeder patterns[?]. You have Meadow Park listed as feeding to only 2 schools, Aloha and Sunset and your [?]feeds to[?] column however if you look across the row it clearly feeds to Sunset, Westview and Beaverton. So, 2 things need to be corrected:1. The number of schools listed needs to be three2. AHS needs to be removed and it needs to read [?]BHS SUN WHS[?] The Oak Hills to Meadow Park to Sunset kids have felt slighted and tossed aside. We brought this to your attention in previousmeetings. We spoke of our kid[?]s desire to attend a Middle school with a larger majority of students moving on to High School together. We said we would be happy to make any school great as long as we were with more Sunset Bound Kids as to avoid entering High School as an outsider. Please, please, please do the right thing and realign the boundaries to include the East side of Oak Hills heading to Timberland Middle School. Thank you again for all your time, energy and attention throughout this process. Best, Michelle Carver
02/24/2020 5:59 PM	Michelle Carver	anothermichelle@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		
02/24/2020 9:51 AM	Michelle Tegenkamp	michelletegenkamp@gmail.com	Raleigh Park Elem				I wanted to comment on the latest map that keeps Raleigh Park elementary all together for middle school. I realize a lot of attention is focused on the northern schools and boundaries in the district but we are a small tight knit school and we appreciate what all our families and students bring to our community. I think it is really important to keep our elementary school in tack and allow all the students to attend the same middle school. I think it is very important that this portion of the current map remains as is moving forward.
							[?].United Bethany voices. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits.Do not divide communities[?]. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.
02/24/2020 8:44 PM	Naser	Samira_ranjbarian@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		
02/24/2020 9:34 PM	Olesya Baykalova	olesyabaykal@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 12:50 PM	Patel	Hpdad@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Hi,Walkability should be one of criteria and goal to reduce cost. Middle school is more with post school class/activities when bus service not provided. Parent pickup getting must , if walking kids force to go on bus for distance school. Walking is must with current generation where physical activities are reducing day by day for kids. Walking also help to develop stong bonds with friends. Springville kids anyway is on bus and good to have them 5 to 10 minutes more on bus compare to have walking finely kids force to be on bus.

02/24/2020 1:38 PM	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Based on recent committee decisions/suggestions over the last few meetings, it would seem like the priorities are utilization and clean feeders as co-first priorities. Secondary priorities are costs and diversity/equity. Does BSD agree that there are different tiers of JC policy factors and they are not equal?The working map for Feb 25th would certainly seem to suggest so given that they continue to promote having multiple schools with 50 percent or higher needing free or reduced lunches. We have seen other Maps (Map C2.0) that had no school above 50% or in single digit.Can BSD confirm that the \$300k/year savings shown by the transportation department a long time ago when comparing Maps A and C don't really matter given the overall size of the BSD budget?
02/24/2020 9:45 PM	Paul Lewerenz	Paul.lewerenz@oregonfirst.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 6:31 PM	Pavan Akkisetty	avspavan@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Please donot split Findley elementary school. Stoller or Timberland, I dont want my kids to miss their friends in the middle school. Findley has been requesting NO SPLIT for the past 4 months in 1000s of comments. Please consider. Thanks
02/24/2020 7:28 PM	Peng Yu	Pengsk982@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		SPV + SATO = stroller overcrowded Please MAPC 2.0
02/24/2020 4:33 PM	Prashant Dewan	Prashant.dewan@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD,Please consider Map C once again! Map 2.0 does meet a lot of objectives for the BSD keeping the costs low! It helps neighborhood families whose older sibling walks with the younger and drops them to their elementary school and then go their own middle school. We see a lot of neighbors who do that! Stoller bound walkable Findley students also walk to school in groups! Transportating all the walkable students just doesn[?]t make any sense (including Summa). All these Summa students bring excellent rating to the school too. Would it be fair for the Summa students to travel to a far away school when they can just walk next door? Aren[?]t they neighborhood students first? Please don[?]t divide JW by moving Summa out. Please don[?]t move out walkable Findley for goof feeder patterns! Apparently, there are a lot of walkable Findley families oppose the idea of being moved to Timberland!Thanks for taking the time for reading my comment.
02/24/2020 6:34 PM	prashanthi yeredla	prashanthi.yeredla@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Emotional health of our kids is of the most importance. Thanks for not splitting Findley Elementary School.
02/24/2020 12:17 PM	Preeju Philip	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In the comments released today , there was an Oakhill Parent who said that Oakhills to Medow is 30 to 35 mins . THIS IS REALITY and Not the flawed BUS TRIAL done for Springville . WHEN YOU HEAR REALITY for Oak Hills to Meadow is 30 - 35 mins , how can ANYBODY SAY THAT SPRINGVILLE TO FIVEOAKS IS ANYWHERE CLOSE TO 20 min IN REALITY? It is sad that the Bus trails were done to prove a point and not done to really know what the real time from SPV to Five Oaks . PLEASE DO NOT PRESENT HALF BAKED , and MANIPULATED DATA in the NAME OF Bus trials
02/24/2020 11:19 PM	Priya Mani	Heypriya@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear Committee members, It[?]s important in your position to do the right thing and look out for the best interests of all communities no matter big or small. Oak hills East is deserving of being with other sunset feeding schools at Timberland.
02/24/2020 4:59 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I am so disappointed to see the latest map. It looks like committee caved to springville propaganda without looking at factual data or the longer term capacity issues with Stoller MS. Having Springville and Sato feed to Stoller will result in the same overcrowding issues by 2025. You have completely disregarded the walkability of students. You chose to bus students around Stoller (Findley walkable students and Summa kids) to Timberland instead of promoting walkability. You chose to spend on transportation rather than classrooms. You completely disregarded the split feeder patterns. And most importantly you have completely ignored the community feedback. You have treated summa kids as if they are not part of this neighborhood and completely ignored their perspective. I hope you publish the latest transportation numbers to justify these changes. I hope BSD will come to senses and make relevant changes to map. -Frustrated parent
02/24/2020 7:04 PM	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,Please take a look at 2025 numbers for Springville and Sato ES. They account for 1100 students which is more than Stoller permanent capacity!! I know I am not accounting for summa and any option programs. But the point is, these numbers are astronomical and will result in Stoller overcrowding very soon(unless you move Jacob Wismer also which would be ridiculous given these schools are right next to each other). Please take a long term view. What is the point of this boundary adjustment if you haven't addressed primary objective of reducing Stoller overcrowding. Please take a long term view.
02/24/2020 4:12 PM	Ragesh	rageshp@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			The new map proposed for Feb 25 meeting shows that loud noises prevail over common sense. This map is putting walkable kids(KIDS WHO CAN FLY A PAPER AIRPLANE FROM THEIR BACKYARD TO STOLLER) on a bus to timberland. Putting SPV + SATO in to same middle school is short sighted since stoller will be over capacity in 2012 and 2025. BSD has already made short-sighted decision of building timberland in the wrong location. Another short-sighted decision to please the real estate people of SPV using our tax payer dollars is not acceptable. MAP C was the best solution. Listen to logic now instead of MOB.
02/24/2020 7:59 AM	Raj Grandhi	Prince_grandhi@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				Please keep the Elementary school together and not split the elementary schoolsConsider the walkability to schoolsConsider developing neighborhoods growth over years and do not add all the growing neighborhoods to same schools Consider delta changes in transport, people already bussed may not cost to travel additional 5 more min than newly bussing the walking kids or close by kids for 20 more min
02/24/2020 4:54 PM	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Reading the AC report from Feb 25th, it clearly calls out "Ken Struckmeier, Executive Administrator for Middle Schools, stated at the February 13th meeting that the SUMMA program is a school program, not an option". I would like to remind the committee to keep this under consideration. My kid is a 5th grader at Jacob Wismer and qualified for the SUMMA program. If it were to be moved out of Stoller I would seriously consider sending my kid to the regular program. It makes no sense to bus our kids to another school when they can walk. Please keep SUMMA and non-SUMMA intact at Stoller.
02/24/2020 8:43 AM	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		In the recently released data on MS to HS feeder patterns, Stoller MS students feeding into Sunset HS went from current 41% to 17% with only the Jacob Wismer school feeding that 17%. This is a huge drop and will put Jacob Wismer in a very similar position to east Oak Hills that feeds Sunset. Please keep strong high school feeder pattern for Jacob Wismer kids by keeping them intact going to Stoller and Sunset.
02/24/2020 9:26 AM	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	BSD and the committee,Very happy to see the spirit behind the 25th Feb meeting working Map. As requested by all parents being impacted by this boundary change, it was very heartwarming to see Findley kept intact in this map.Please MOVE SUMMA to Timberland, as maximum SUMMA feeders are coming from FINDLEY elementary. It is not JUSTIFIED if Findley elementary is moved, WITHOUT SUMMA.As Numbers dictate in the working map, STOLLER overcrowding can be SOLVED only BY MOVING SUMMA to TL

02/24/2020 5:46 PM	Ravi	ravidath@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	Springville+SATO is a large enough and growing community that it needs its own neighborhood middle school. Due to this, any boundary adjustments that involve putting current walking community on a bus will only result in unnecessary cost increase and time-waste, yet will not really solve the overcrowding in 2025. . Specifically concern is - if walkable Stoller kids from FE (including SUMMA) are moved to TL, it will result in a) >\$400k per year cost increase just in busing, See transportation estimatesb) >65000 hrs lost per year due to new travel for kids who were walking (60 minutes each day *260 days *250 kids). Today Kids start the walk at 8:40am for the 9am class, instead they have to get on the bus at 8:10am.c) JW will only have 15% companion schoolmates to Sunset High School vs. 50% today with Findley kids.d) Increased Westview overcrowding due to higher mix of SATO/SPV- Already at 105% with 2554 students in 2022, WV will face increased pressure from SATO growth.Request to committee to look at these in order1. Please try to find a solution to get a neighborhood school for SPV. Either expand SPV-K8 OR lease the PCC Rockcreek building OR Portables in Stoller OR a combination of all2. Move SPV and RC to Five Oaks to build another good BSD school- This is the lowest cost increase option for BSD- Adds 5m travel time to kids who are already bused, It provides a clean feeder to WestView. All other communities can be status-quo 3. Split Findley to let Walkable Findley go to Stoller - MapC2.1 SUMMA52% of the county's summa students are within 1mile of Findley and JW communities. This is a big success story for BSD AND was only possible due to a decade of close-knit community effort. It is hard to replicate this success once dismantled. Please retain Stoller SUMMA as-is due to its central location for SPV/FIN/JW communities.
02/24/2020 5:39 PM	Rebecca Matz	Rpitsch@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS			End Oak Hills Isolation!Please strengthen the middle school to high school feeder path by sending our Oak Hills East students to Timberland with Terra Linda. Oak Hills parents agree that splitting Oak Hills East/West at middle school instead of high school is the right decision. Please support our children!
02/24/2020 9:32 PM	Richard Klafka	pdxthree@comcast.net	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me.Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 11:11 AM	Richell Chiu-Yap	RCHWEY88@YAHOO.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I support the Feb 25th working map and ask that the progress that has been made so far be taken forward:Do not split elementary schools---Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. I am strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits.Do not divide communities---Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.Summa should not split communities---The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go in order to minimize splits. I agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute ~40% of Summa students in Stoller.I realize that this map isn[?]t perfect, but nothing ever is, and this is the most balanced path going forward with broad support across a large group of northern families. I realize that there are still some issues with high-school feeder patterns for Oak Hills and Jacob Wismer and hope the committee will also look at ways to address those issues. I also support our neighbors in Barnes to not be the only Beaverton or Sunset feeding school at Meadow Park. I understand that all of us have to sacrifice something for the greater good. But, in balance, this map provides the most optimal path forward, given all the difficult constraints. I hope that the committee and the superintendent will move forward with the Feb 25th workmap with minimal changes.Thank you for considering these points in your decision.
02/24/2020 7:27 PM	Rick Lee	ricklee1983@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		MapC 2.0SPV + SATO = stroller overcrowded
02/24/2020 1:54 PM	Rowan Wepener	Rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee MembersI am writing in support of the latest February 25th working map. While our commute from Rock Creek is longer than I would like, it does not divide our community. We are naturally tied to Springville and Bethany and we must move on to Middle School with one of these elementary schools. I am concerned about Oak Hills; its western population should be with Bethany and Rock Creek and the eastern section should stay with Terra Linda at Timberline in order to keep high school feeding patterns consistent.
02/24/2020 9:34 AM	Sally	Sally9645@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		In elementary schools, the kids are shuffled between their classes every year. I initially thought this was cruel, but then realized the schools did it so kids at a young age learn to make friendships with more children and learn to get along better with more kids. More social exposure is a good thing. In some sense, when a school is split, it is a similar experience, while I am not intentionally advocating for splits, there are social benefits to it that parents and teachers can use to make it an overall positive experience for the child. I had always known my son to be shy. His school teachers thought so too. We all worked hard to help him get out of his shell but only saw marginal improvement in his class. He then went to a camp with completely different kids and all of a sudden we saw that he was not shy anymore. Being with a different set of kids, gives kids a fresh start and shed bad patterns. It is not all bad when schools are split.

02/24/2020 12:03 AM	Sameer Ruiwale	sameer_ruiwale@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		There are many problems with the latest work-map that has been released. Stoller MS remains at 130% capacity in 2025 and Meadow-Park, Whitford and Stoller at all over capacity in 2021. This Map is also the worst case for the proximity criteria set in the JC. It moves all of Findley into Timberland - which means more than 1/2 of Findley will be bussed to Timberland. If Summa from Stoller is moved entirely to TL - Stoller still remains at 114% over capacity in 2025 - so we are back to square-one -- while also spending more money on bussing costs for an additional 25% of Jacob Wismer students for SUMMA at TL. This is an irrespsible use of Taxpayer dollars for a school district that is cash-stapped nad has a \$35 million deficit. And it DOES NOT solve the problem. Walkable Findley needs to stay at Stoller; SUMMA should stay at Stoller - at least for the walkable Findley students - with the option to add a SUMMA program at TL. Split feeders are not ideal - but if walkable Findley (which is 1/2 of Findley) is kept at Stoller - they form a decent contingent of Sunset feeding students along with Jacob Wismer. Without Findley - the currently published map isolates Jacob Wismer students as the only Sunset feeding students in Stoller. This current map causes more issues than it fixes. Springville and RC should be moved to Five-Oaks (the hoo-haa over 45 minute bussing times is false and BSD trial runs have proven that this bussing time is within average). SPV+RC will balance Five-Oaks and reduce the %age of Free-reduced-Lunches there. Rachel Carson could be moved to Cedar Park (or Meadow Park) - with a potential move of MP Summa to Timberland along with eastern Oak-Hills. This would create much better feeder patterns for all the schools involved. The committee must reject this current and very flawed map.
02/24/2020 3:02 PM	Sami	Samipdx@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		UNITED BETHANY VOICES: We are a large group of Springville, Sato, Rock Creek and Findley parents who strongly support the Feb 25th workmap. This is the most balanced path forward for our kids and our community. This has been an emotional roller coaster for most of us. I'm so stunned with the lack of specialists for planning this mater. I was hoping that BSD have the cost of bussing and commute calculated with some experts not just parents.Although after so many maps drawn and stress we have all carried this year , this new map looks more promising for Rockcreek not going alone to south.
02/24/2020 3:30 PM	Sara Gardner-Smith	saragarsmit@gmail.com	Raleigh Park Elem	Cedar Park MS	Beaverton		I would like to comment in support of the soft decision made at last meeting to keep all of Raleigh Park ES together. A significant priority for all of our RP community has been to keep our school intact as we move to middle school and high school. It is also a logical long term selection considering the low student projections we have seen for our neighborhoods in future years. We are thrilled that this decision was tentatively made and agreed upon by board members and would strongly advocate that it remain intact as part of the final recommendations. To strengthen why we support this decision:When it comes to the different areas and neighborhood groupings of Beaverton, Raleigh Park is most often combined with students from Raleigh Hills, McKay and Montclair, so to join those neighborhoods at Whitford keeps classmates and teammates together. This is so important to us as parents because it retains familiar friends and faces while moving into the unfamiliar territory of middle school. A large number of those students also move on to Beaverton, which is an added bonus!We are part of the neighborhood that will travel the furthest to attend Whitford, living just a few streets away from Cedar Park MS, and we are more than willing to make that trip in order for our kids to move on to middle school together in the community they[?]ve built in their early education. Many many thanks for all you do to complete this difficult process.
02/24/2020 12:07 AM	Sarah Gradis	Sarah.e.gradis@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Sunset bound Oak Hills kids should go to Timberland. Keep Westview bound Oak Hills at Meadow Park. We support this split after elementary school.In addition as Barnes becomes full dual language it should feed to Beaverton High School as the dual language program is there. If there are a few Barnes kids who are walkable to Sunset and want to drop the dual language program after elementary school, allow then to opt into Timberland. This modification improves upon the current map as it:-cleans up the Meadow Park three way split into just a two way split (Beaverton and Westview)-works toward filling Timberland-relieves Some Meadow Park over enrollment-solves the isolation issue for both Sunset bound Oak Hills as well as Barnes (removes the split)-is cost neutralThis isolation that is currently happening for the very small number of Sunset bound Oak Hills kids is completely unacceptable and should have been fixed as soon as it was identified.If, for some reason, Sunset bound Oak Hills kids remain isolated at Meadow Park, the district needs to offer an immediate solution—waivers to attend Timberland, or Stoller or potentially Westview. These kids need to be able to enter high school with some familiar faces. Speaking with middle and high school counselors, depression, suicide ideation, anxiety are all at remarkably high levels. There is no excuse for putting kids in this scenario. It[?]s going on now. And it cannot continue.
02/24/2020 3:38 PM	Shalini Sivas Sivasubramanian	shalinikaruppiah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee,Please keep JW in Stoller. The latest map shows no healthy Feeder pattern for Sunset bound kids. Also, this current map does not solve the Stoller overcrowding issue in a long term. On the other hand, this map allows only a few sunset bound kids (approx 9)from MS to Sunset High school which may affect their emotional well-being. A good feeder pattern would encourage a healthier transition and emotional growth of children and less isolation among students. Adolescent period is crucial in framing their own personality and self worth . Most of the teenagers have enormous social pressure in fitting into a group which could end up being so stressful and will eventually affect their grades. Therefore I do not agree with the current Feb 25th work map. Sadly, this map encourages an unhealthy feeder pattern. It not only traumatizes the teenagers transition from MS to HS, but is also the worst nightmare for the JW parents. Thank you for your consideration!KEEP JWtogetherFriendsAndFeeders4ALLJW
02/24/2020 12:14 PM	Shannon	Jmhsu1217@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Can BSD provide the Transportation Analysis of 2-25-20 Committee Working Map so we know what cost we're looking at. Thank you!
02/24/2020 9:31 AM	Shari Norris	shnorris@yahoo.com			Westview		I am a former summa parent so I have no [?]bad blood[?] towards the summa program, but I can understand why neighborhoods would be upset that their children might be sent to a different school to accommodate an optional program. Please move summa out of Stoller and send the program to a school that is close and has room. Most kids who get into the summa program accept, so the argument of schools being overcrowded with students who don[?]t accept a summa offer so they can attend their neighborhood school don[?]t seem valid. People have traveled for summa for years (Remember when it was only at Meadow Park?) and families figured out a way to make it work. Thank you.

02/24/2020 8:49 AM	Sharon Zell	sharonzell@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I support this current map. It is not perfect. The kids from Rock Creek still bear an unreasonably high share of the community burden (long bus time to Meadow Park; being moved from a high performing school to a struggling school). However, this map does address our consistent request for our community to go to school with a northern neighbor—a request that was ignored in so many other maps. I feel like the committee listened to our pleas on this issue, and for that I am grateful.Furthermore, this map does not introduce new splits for any elementary schools and does not divide communities.This map isn[?]t perfect, but it is the most balanced path going forward with broad support across a large group of northern families. There are still some issues with high-school feeder patterns for Oak Hills and Jacob Wismer. I hope the committee will also look at ways to address those issues. I also support our neighbors in Barnes to not be the only Beaverton or Sunset feeding school at Meadow Park. All of us have to sacrifice something for the greater good. But, in balance, this map provides the most optimal path forward, given all the difficult constraints. I hope that the committee and the superintendent will move forward with the Feb 25th workmap with minimal changes.
02/24/2020 12:15 PM	Sireesha Akula	siriakula@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The current map proposal takes the 41% feeder pattern for JW -sunset bound kids to 17% . It is important for JW to stay united at Stoller to create minimal feeder pattern for our kids.. Please donot further break it as Summa vs Non-Summa. This solution doesnot solve overcrowding and further disrupting the feeder patters for JW -sunset students at Stoller.
02/24/2020 10:39 AM	SM	Misrashikha@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee members,Please keep Summa at Stoller. My son is going to Summa in 6th grade next school year, he needs to be able to go to school with his JW friends who will also go to Stoller. Please do not move Summa from Stoller.It looks like that overcrowding at Stoller is not being solved in any of the new maps. What has this committee done for those kids going to overcrowded Stoller then? Just changed the crowd? It[?]s very disappointing, really sorry to say. :(Giving in to loud and obnoxious behavior (by SPV at the committee meetings) will set a precedent for others to also behave that way because that[?]s how one[?]s voice will be heard. BSD should now prepare to encounter demonstrations and loud behavior instead of logical ideas because the committee won[?]t listen to the logic.Thanks for all you work though!
02/24/2020 9:43 PM	Sonia Lall	Sonia1.lall@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		It seems very strange that despite the main goal for Stoller(talking about Stoller here) being reducing overcrowding, the committee has overlooked that requirement. Having both young, growing communities feed into Stoller will only take Stoller to 125% by 2025. That will not be useful more any community. We will be in this position yet again. This process is taking an emotional toll on the communities and the committee members too. It is also costing money to the district. Please bring your focus back to the issue at hand.... Stoller overcrowding. To address this, you have to keep in find, a key factor, reduce transportation cost or leave it at current cost. 1) I think the simplest solution is let Findley walkable stay in Stoller, let walkable Summa stay in Stoller. Let Sato and Spv summa stay in stoller. 2) address meadowpark overcrowding by sending oah hills East to timberland. This also helps fill timberland. Thank you staying focused Spare our children from going to school in Portables some of which don[?]t even have bathrooms.
02/24/2020 12:32 PM	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Today, Stoller has a healthy 41% representation at Sunset. The proposed map reduces to an unhealthy percentage of 17% given that the entire Findley is removed. For reference, Oak Hills is at 14%, a situation everyone recognizes as unhealthy and in need of fixing. If the number of Jacob Wismer students feeding to Stoller is reduced any further, Stoller representation at Sunset falls down to SINGLE DIGITS. That means, for every 100 sunset HS kids, there will ONLY BE 9 students from Stoller. Oak Hills is living the repercussions of this situation- with students having to eat lunch alone and do projects all by themselves, severely restricting their academic growth. We need to fight for Jacob Wismer students to not become isolated in Sunset. We demand that Jacob Wismer be kept together at Stoller both Summa and non Summa.
02/24/2020 10:03 AM	Spring Patterson	spring.patterson@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Dear Advisory Committee,Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community and kids. Respectfully, the proposed map published on 02.21.20 requires more work as it falls short of meeting the 4 objectives set out by the Board and Steven Sparks:1.Timberland-area middle school remains underutilized at 88%/83% in the proposed map 2.Stoller Middle School is still well over 90% capacity utilization at 104%/130% 3.The redrawn middle school boundary lines to accommodate Timberland are still resulting in over and underutilized schools, specifically Meadow Park 107%/96% and Timberland 4.Poor feeder patterns still exist, with Meadow Park splitting into 3 high schools and continuing to isolate Sunset Bound-Oak Hills students Sunset Bound Oaks Hills students remain isolated in high school. Barnes ES is an insufficient feeder school to pair with Oak Hills because it is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL comprised of students that will likely go to Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning. In addition, Oak Hills students won[?]t have the opportunity to assimilate with Barnes ES students because the classes they will share are limited. Below are three different proposals to improve the boundary map. 1.Adjust the boundary for Sunset Bound Oak Hills students to attend Timberland. This is the preferred option for my community and improves three of the Committees objectives. It improves Timberland[?]s loading and reduces Meadow Park[?]s, while strengthening the feeder pattern for kids from middle to high school. Further, if you propose adjusting the high school boundary for North Barnes to go to Beaverton HS, which aligns with their dual language preference, Meadow Park[?]s high school feeders reduce to two.2.Keep all of Oak Hills at Meadow Park and adjust the Sunset High School boundary to strengthen the feeder pattern from elementary to middle to high school. Implement this change simultaneously with the middle school boundary update and provide existing Westview-Oak Hills families the option to stay if they choose. This improves the feeder objective, however, doesn[?]t help alleviate the capacity issues at Meadow Park or Timberland.3.Balance the general education population first without SUMMA, then figure out how many schools to have SUMMA at and where. It was stated at a prior Committee meeting that the optimal SUMMA size at a school is 50-90, which is over at all schools with SUMMA in this map. Removing SUMMA would allow the Committee to fulfill it[?]s core objectives first and then build from there.Thank you again for your tireless commitment to this process and work on this Committee to find the best workable solution for our kids, while enabling Beaverton School District to continue to grow and remain a highly rated District in the state. Thank you.

02/24/2020 10:32 PM	SR	lshilps@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a Findley cum Stoller parent living less than a mile from Stoller and very much within the walkable zone to Stoller, I urge BSD to consider that Stoller continue to be the Home school for families who live within walking distance and still wish to have the flexibility to have kids walk to school. Adding more number of buses for 100s of kids who can pretty much walk to their neighborhood school taxes the community, the kids, the environment and is just not a good use of BSD resources. Findley/Stoller community must be given the option of continuing to opt for Stoller as Home school. It is unfair practice for Findley community to be displaced and to pay the price for lack of planning by the County and BSD for not providing enough middle schools in the right locations.
02/24/2020 7:27 AM	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/24/2020 8:49 AM	Subhashini Sreeramsetty	s_subhashini123@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Dear Advisory Committee, Thank you for volunteering on such an important committee for our community. Respectfully the proposed map still requires more work and so below are comments to move us closer to a workable solution. a. Our goal is to improve the school feeder pattern for Oak Hills Elementary by designating another Sunset bound feeder school at Meadow Park. Barnes is a dual language OPTION SCHOOL and should be regarded as such. Barnes students will attend Beaverton HS to continue dual language learning, which is what they agreed to through 12th grade when they enrolled. Adding a small part of Barnes as another Sunset-bound school at Meadow Park is a flawed plan because those kids are unlikely to attend Sunset HS. In addition, Barnes students due to the dual language option, will only have one 1 out of 3 core classes with the mainstream kids, therefore, not allowing them to assimilate with other Sunset bound students. b. Meadow Park said that only 13 Oak Hills-Sunset bound students will go to Sunset HS this Fall. 13 in a school of 2,141. The current map does not create a reasonable feeder plan for the Sunset bound Oak Hills students. Meadow Park currently feeds 3 high schools unnecessarily. Move the Sunset bound Oak Hills students to Timberland and propose expanding the Barnes HS boundary to Beaverton HS where there is a dual language program. c. The current map still fails to achieve balanced capacity across schools. Timberland remains under-utilized at 88% and Meadow Park over-utilized at 107%. Moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids to Timberland, helps support both objectives and improves the overall map. d. Shifting Oak Hills-Sunset bound kids from Meadow Park to Timberland is cost neutral for busing because it is already built into the budget with similar mileage. e. And finally, moving Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to Timberland will reduce the isolation and depression that the proposed map will continue to perpetuate for Oak Hills-Sunset bound students. Avoid sending more kids into this isolation trap.
02/24/2020 8:46 AM	Suddhashil Chattopadhyay	beji123@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		As a Rock Creek parent I strongly support the work map for the Feb 25th meeting. We will be happy to go to Meadow Park with neighborhood schools. I am interested in knowing what the commute times look like for Rock Creek to Meadow Park. I am sure we fix the overcrowding issues at Stoller (by moving SUMMA to Timberland) and Meadow Park (by using portables for a year or two as populations come down after that). This map achieves almost all the objectives set forth by the district.
02/24/2020 11:54 PM	Suhui	suhui.lee@gmail.com		Stoller MS			I'm a parent of 2 kids. my older one is a student of Stoller, and the second one is going to go Springville this year. I appreciate all the people involved in School Boundary committee. I was relieved to see a new released map. I just hope the children in my neighborhood-Springville ES boundary-can keep going to Stoller. The reason is simple. Stoller is the closest MS to my neighborhood. Not because it has SUMMA. My number one priority for the school is just a distance. Our kids are young. They have right to go to school located in reasonable distance. Thank you for hard working, Thank you for making a new map.
02/24/2020 4:20 PM	T. Ertz	tertz68@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Regarding Stoller MS boundary, I am disappointed to see that the latest recommendation from the committee is for removing Findley ES as a feeder to Stoller, and instead retaining Springville ES as a feeder. My child does not attend either Findley ES or Springville ES (they are at Jacob Wismer and Stoller), so I consider myself to be a neutral third party - but I live close to Stoller and I am concerned with traffic congestion on the roads around the school, and as such I am a proponent of providing "walk to school" accessibility for the students whenever possible. Approximately half of the Findley ES population live within reasonable walking distance of Stoller MS, while virtually no Springville ES students would be within reasonable walking distance (thus, will either bus or be driven in parent's cars). I can't see how that possibly fits with the goals of [?] neighborhood proximity and accessibility[?] that were stated to be key to this boundary adjustment process? Please reconsider this when making the final decision - Findley ES makes sense to stay in remain in Stoller, while Springville ES does not. Thank you.
02/24/2020 9:36 PM	Tolmachev Nikolay	4228olenik@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please at least give us the option to go to Meadow with Bethany and Oak Hills. Also map C 2.0 also is great for me. Please any move that we make should be with two northern schools. Else, we will suffer significantly disproportionately when compared to everybody else in North.
02/24/2020 2:54 PM	Vaishnav	g_vaishnav@yahoo.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		This is a request for BSD Data.. Could you please come up with a map - especially for Stoller feeder ES schools - a single map that shows (a) SUMMA students numbers grid wise (b) Walkability zones This map would be extremely helpful for committee to stay objective while deciding for bus vs walk, moving SUMMA vs keeping at Stoller and it would help immensely to transportation department to ensure changes are those that can be met by available budget without creating a hole in general fund. Thanks.
02/24/2020 12:18 PM	Xiaojiao	stella8561@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	How come, a questionable map can be proposed? Looking at the 2025 numbers, there is zero possibility that this map will work, it might barely serve the need for 2021, but 2022 and onward? And then why we are wasting out time on this? This new map showed BSD change the direction dramatically, why? Scared by SPV's aggressive moves? or something is actually beneficial to our kids and communities? if there is anything, please publish! What are the goals of the boundary adjustments? and what are the priorities? What I see during the last couple months is we are wasting our time, since this most recent map just circled back to the original non-workable plans! And where is the justice? Why do I see double criteria towards different elementary schools? 1. Travel time: if SPV is not ok to add another 5-10 minutes travel time to FO, why it is OK to bus walkable Findley kids to add 20-40 minutes travel time? 2. Neighboring schools: so, Rock Creek asked to be together with neighboring schools to the same MS, and now they are going to Meadow park with Bethany Elementary school, how come Findley is separated from other North schools?

02/24/2020 1:41 PM	Xiruo Liu	sisxiok@gmail.com	Findley Elem				I am a question about how the map for discussion is generated. The recent maps changed a lot from one version to another version. And it obvious that the committee does not stick to the rules and guidelines and is impacted significantly by some aggressive groups. Now it looks like the committee does not make plans and maps from the best interests of BSD students as a whole, but from the interests of a particular group of students. From the newest map, I can see we are wasting the last couple of months as we are returning to the original plan that is proven to not working. And different criteria are applied to different elementary schools. No justice at all. For example, 1.Travel time: if SPV is not ok to add another 5-10 minutes travel time to FO, why it is OK to bus walkable Findley kids to add 20-40 minutes travel time? 2. Neighboring schools: Rock Creek asked to be together with neighboring schools to the same MS, and now they are going to Meadow park with Bethany Elementary school, how come Findley is separated from other North schools?
02/24/2020 11:21 AM	Xiruo Liu	sisxiok@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Findley is an integral part of Bethany community. Sending Findley students to Timberland that is 3 miles away will definitely break this bonding and lead to a lot of issues with kids' commute, after care, extra curriculum, community activities, etc. And the commute distance for Findley increases from almost zero going to Stoller to 3 miles going to Timberland. On the other side, the distance from Springville to Five Oaks is just 1 mile father than from Springville to Stoller. From the perspective of saving our tax money and overall commute time for BSD students, feeding Springville to Five Oaks and Findley to Stoller makes most sense.
02/24/2020 3:41 PM	Yong Yang	Yang.yong1026@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Many findlay elementary school students living close to Stiller, and some of them can walkable to Stiller. Why need to push FE students to TL? SPV students cannot accept more 10mins school bus. So they can push other whole schools students more 20min bus even many students are living the cross road of Stiller? By the way there are more than 130% overload if SPV feed Stiller in 2025. Hope BSD pay more attention proximity students data and how to change the lounge that can improve more FE Students can walkable to Stoller. Which can save more money to BSD. Thanks
02/24/2020 11:57 PM	Yunfei Wang	yunfeiwangyw@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		It is obvious to me that Feb_25_workmap is not going to work, Stoller MS will be over-crowded again by 2023 or so. Why BSD made such dramatically changes between Feb_13 and Feb_25 workmaps? we want truth and transparency. I believe any neighborhood which are within half mile circle from a school should go to that school, the more students walk to school, the less tax dollars we need to spend on school buses, the less traffic congestion we see, and the less safety concerns we have.
02/24/2020 1:38 PM	Yuning Zhang	zynms@msn.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Bussing walkable northern FE kids to Timberland makes no sense. If southern FE students want to go to TL, they are free to go, but not the kids super close to stroller
02/24/2020 8:51 PM	Zhongtao	ztfu@yahoo.com		Stoller MS	Sunset		Findley is a natural feeder school for Stoller. The point of neighborhood schools is “NEIGHBORHOOD”. Sending kids living one street away from Stoller to other middle schools is not the right solution to other schools' problems. At least it is not fair to the Findley neighborhoods when people living there are not WILLINGLY making the sacrifice to help other schools.

RE: Middle School Boundary

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Sun 2/23/2020 9:14 AM

To: Cindy McHenry <cindy.mchenry@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. McHenry -

Thank you for your email. I have entered your email into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449


District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Cindy McHenry <cindy.mchenry@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 21, 2020 7:42 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Middle School Boundary

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

End the isolation of our Oak Hills kids! Our kids deserve better than this! Send Oak Hills East to Timberland so they may know more than 1% of their peers going into High School! Oak Hills East and West indefinitely split so please split us before middle school so we can build a community before entering high school! These new maps are not acceptable for our kids well-being! Show some compassion and fix this mess that we have been put in!


Middle School Boundary

Cindy McHenry <cindy.mchenry@gmail.com>

Mon 2/24/2020 11:28 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

 **This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Please don't overlook our small community of Oak Hills Sunset Bound kids. We thrive in community. Please split us with Westview bound Oak Hills kids prior to Middle School so we may form a foundation of friendships and community prior to entering High School. It is unreasonable to isolate these few kids in this way. Please re-examine your numbers. The school you have us paired with to enter high school together from middle is actually extensively involved in a dual immersion program and will likely return to said program making your numbers inaccurate. Meadow Park has given us the number of 13 students in their current 8th grade class that will move on to Sunset out of our Oak Hills community. There may be a handful more for those coming from Barnes who opt out of their dual immersion program. This is an insignificant number of kids who will be lost in a school as large as Sunset. This is an unfair burden to place on these kids to have to start over at every school transition. Please move us to a middle school with a stronger feeder pattern into Sunset. It makes the most sense to move East Oak Hills to Timberland so we can begin building our community in middle school.

FW: Isolation of Oak Hills students and Middle School Boundaries

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 11:42 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Lindsay Brems <lsbrems@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:59 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Isolation of Oak Hills students and Middle School Boundaries

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Steven Sparks

Please end the isolation of Oak Hills students and send Oak Hills East to Timberland and Oak Hills West to Meadow Park with Bethany. We moved into the area in the last year and have been really impressed with the Oak Hills and Bethany community. One of the reasons we moved into the area was because of the reputation of the schools and we have found that Oak Hills has lived up to its reputation of quality education. We are about two years away from having our first middle schooler, but we are concerned with the new proposed boundary changes. We live on the West side of the Oak Hills elementary school boundary and hope that our children will get to attend Meadow Park with our Bethany Elementary school friends, and then eventually attend Westview. However, we are particularly concerned for our friends on the East side of the Oak Hills boundary and stand with them in their desire to attend Timberland.

Friendships are critical to personal well being and educational success during elementary, middle, and high school. Ideally every student would be able to form friendships and establish social connections in elementary school that will continue through their entire educational experience. However, Oak Hills does not have that luxury because of the split high school boundaries. We propose aligning the middle school boundaries so that students will attend middle school with the same peer groups with which they will go to high school. Even though this will mean splitting up our elementary school, we feel that social connections established in middle school and high school contribute more to educational success than at any other time in life. We feel it is in the best interest of the school board to not just look at numbers and dollars when creating boundaries, but to truly consider what impacts the social and emotional well being of the students and allow for the best educational experience.

We feel this proposition makes sense financially and logistically as well. Oak Hills East is not a huge population of students. We understand that only 13 Oak Hills students will be going to Sunset HS in the fall. Adding 13 or so students per grade to the Timberland middle school should not make a significant impact on numbers, but it will make a huge impact for the social well being of these 13 or so students. Transportation costs should remain about the same busing these students to Timberland vs Meadow Park.

Sending Oak Hills East to Timberland and Oak Hills West to Meadow Park with Bethany seems like the easiest way to improve school feeder patterns for Oak Hills elementary and help achieve more balanced capacity across all the middle schools in the district.

Sincerely,
Lindsay and Paul Brems

FW: Urge the committee to please read this e-mail

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 11:51 AM

To: kiran.a.patil@gmail.com <kiran.a.patil@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (52 KB)

BSD Alliance.pdf;

Mr. Patil -

I have made your email and file a part of the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Kiran Patil <kiran.a.patil@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:39 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; kiran.a.patil@gmail.com

Subject: Urge the committee to please read this e-mail

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

SORRY for resending, earlier e-mail did not have subject, hence resending.

Respected Sir,

I am Findley Parent and I have full faith in system and in process that eventually process would produce the balanced solution going forward. I have been part of all meeting and has been submitting comments. We all know that there is no perfect solution for this problem but some sort of balanced solution (means not skewed only in one direction) which is fair (logically data driven) which aligns with stated goals.

Based on that, **we (UNITED FINDLEY VOICES) support Feb 25's working map.** I know, you might have received such e-mail already but want to make sure, Findley's non-walking community voices are heard and addressed, hence forwarding you attached PDF which at high level addresses following:

1. **DO NOT SPLIT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL** and try to **keep linear feeding from elementary to middle to High school.** Hence please do not DO NOT SPLIT FE, SP, RC.

2. Stick to the goal of solving overcrowding at stoller and fill up Timberland (TL)

3. **Only ONE NORTH SUMMA at Timberland**, that will not only help to maintain the quality of the program but will also help to save the BSD costs compared to having two SUMMA program in North. Hence we (majority of communities SPV, SATO, RC, and majority of FE) support only

ONE NORTH SUMMA. I know main goal is not about SUMMA but would like to highlight it and number suggest that SUMMA at TL make sense. It also aligns the goal of filling up Timberland.

4. As much as possible, remove/reduce portables since kids safety is priority

5. Give the best possible to every kid possible

At the end, we would have like everything best possible for FE but technically it is not possible, hence we are OK with balanced solution provides there is only one NORTH SUMMA at Timberland.

FYI: Findley feeds approx. 40% kids to SUMMA, hence it make sense to have full north SUMMA at school wherever findley goes to.

Sorry for long e-mail and please pardon me if it comes out that, I am repeating myself.

Thanks,
-- Kiran P.

United Bethany Voices

We represent over 200 families across Sato, Rock Creek, Springville & Findley Elementary schools. Our members are from walking and non-walking areas of Stoller. **This note is in support of the latest Feb 25th working map.** We have come together as a community to advocate for each other in support of a solution that will not isolate or marginalize any of our schools. We understand the various tradeoffs between walkability, split feeders, equity, proximity and community. We support the Feb 25th working map as the best balance across these vectors and ask that it be recommended and the progress that has been made so far, be taken forward:

Do not split elementary schools. Springville, Sato, Findley, Rock Creek and Oak Hills have all been shown split in various maps proposed by the committee or BSD. Studies have consistently shown that minimizing transitions results in better academic performance and emotional health for children. We are strongly supportive of the current Feb 25th working map which does not introduce any additional ES splits.

Do not divide communities. Springville cannot be separated from its eastern neighbors, Sato, whom they are closely intertwined with. Rock Creek is naturally tied to Springville and Bethany; it should not be removed from both. If any portion of Rock Creek is removed from Springville, it should be placed with Bethany. West Oak Hills is part of the Bethany Elementary community and should remain so. East Oak hills is part of the Terra Linda community and should remain so. We should also not use uncertain 2025 projections to divide existing communities especially when populations decline after 2025.

Summa should not split communities. The Summa program should go where the highest concentration of feeding school kids go, in order to minimize splits. We across these four schools agree that the entire North Summa program should go to Timberland with Findley who constitute ~40% of Summa students in Stoller.

We realize that this map isn't perfect, but nothing ever is, and this is the most balanced path going forward with broad support across a large group of northern families. We realize that there are still some issues with high-school feeder patterns for Oak Hills and Jacob Wismer and hope the committee will also look at ways to address those issues. We also support our neighbors in Barnes to not be the only Beaverton or Sunset feeding school at Meadow Park. We understand that all of us have to sacrifice something for the greater good. But, in balance, this map provides the most optimal path forward, given all the difficult constraints. We all hope that the committee and the superintendent will move forward with the Feb 25th workmap with minimal changes.

FW: February 25th Working Map

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 1:23 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Yagna Jyothy Vemuri <yagna.vemuri@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 12:00 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: February 25th Working Map

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe


Dear Mr. Sparks and Ms. Bryan.

First of all, I would like to acknowledge the professionalism with which current MS boundary adjustment process is conducted and how informed we as community feel throughout this process. My special thanks to Anne Bryan for attending the Oak Hills community meeting over the weekend and answering our questions.

My name is Jyothy Vemuri, parent of a 5th grader at Jacob Wismer Elementary school. I have reviewed the map published on Friday for consideration at February 25th meeting and wanted to share my thoughts with you.

1. Poverty Indicator:

I sincerely hope I am in the majority when I say that Student body composition is important in deciding the boundaries for schools.



Today, Five Oaks is the school with the highest percentage of free and reduced lunch eligible students (58%). What we have now is an excellent opportunity to balance that better. In Timberland and Stoller, we have two of the lowest schools in terms of poverty indicator. The proposed map puts Springville, a neighborhood with very low poverty level, into Stoller, a school with 10% of students receiving free and reduced lunches. It is in the best interest of the entire district if Springville is sent to Five Oaks, their 2nd closest Middle School. It accomplishes multiple items on BSD agenda-
1. It brings down the poverty indicator significantly for Five Oaks

2. It facilitates a North to South displacement of district student population, and positioning the northern schools to better absorb the future North Bethany developments
3. It relieves Stoller's overcrowding long term

B. Feeder Patterns:

Committee February 25th Workmap

High School Feeder Patterns

		Aloha	Beaverton	Mountainside	Southridge	Sunset	Westview
Existing Boundaries		Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from
Feeds to:		↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
Cedar Park	BHS, SUN		41%			45%	
Conestoga	MHS, SRHS			39%	45%		
Five Oaks	AHS, WHS	51%					24%
Highland Park	BHS, MHS, SRHS		7%	41%	18%		
Meadow Park	BHS, SUN, WHS		22%			14%	23%
Mountain View	AHS, MHS	49%		18%			
Stoller	SUN, WHS					41%	51%
Timberland	N/A						
Whitford	BHS, SRHS		11%		17%		
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		Aloha	Beaverton	Mountainside	Southridge	Sunset	Westview
February 25th Meeting Map		Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from
Feeds to:		↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
Cedar Park	BHS		52%				
Conestoga	MHS, SRHS			17%	47%		
Five Oaks	WHS	52%					24%
Highland Park	MHS, SRHS			45%	18%		
Meadow Park	AHS, SUN		12%			14%	17%
Mountain View	AHS, MHS	48%		18%			
Stoller	SUN, WHS					17%	39%
Timberland	SUN					69%	
Whitford	BHS, SRHS		16%		14%		
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: Figures represent K-12 totals for SY 2019-20

Today, Stoller has a healthy 41% representation at Sunset. The proposed map reduces it to an unhealthy percentage of 17% given that the entire Findley is removed from Stoller. For reference, Oak Hills is at 14%, a situation everyone recognizes as unhealthy and in need of fixing. If the number of Jacob Wismer students feeding to Stoller is reduced any further (by sending SUMMA kids elsewhere), Stoller representation at Sunset falls down to SINGLE DIGITS. That means, for every 100 sunset HS kids, there will ONLY BE 9 students from Stoller. Oak Hills is living the repercussions of this situation- with students having to eat lunch alone and do projects all by themselves, severely restricting their academic growth. We need to fight for Jacob Wismer students to not become isolated in Sunset. I don't want my Jacob Wismer child heading to Stoller be set up with odds severely against her at Sunset. I don't wish this for any student in any community. We implore you to not divide Jacob Wismer further. SUMMA is not some elite program set to displace students of any one community out of Stoller. SUMMA is the only hope Jacob Wismer non-SUMMA students have, in maintaining a tolerable feeder pattern at Stoller.

I urge the committee and the district to pay attention to the poverty indicator and feeder patterns. While we have this opportunity, we must do everything we can to better balance the Poverty Indicators across the district, and ensure healthy feeder patterns into High Schools, and thereby give all of our students a better chance at academic success.

Thanks,
Jyothy
Thanks,
Jyothy

FW: February 25th Working Map

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 1:23 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

please include in comments

From: Yagna Jyothy Vemuri <yagna.vemuri@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 12:00 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: February 25th Working Map

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe


Dear Mr. Sparks and Ms. Bryan.

First of all, I would like to acknowledge the professionalism with which current MS boundary adjustment process is conducted and how informed we as community feel throughout this process. My special thanks to Anne Bryan for attending the Oak Hills community meeting over the weekend and answering our questions.

My name is Jyothy Vemuri, parent of a 5th grader at Jacob Wismer Elementary school. I have reviewed the map published on Friday for consideration at February 25th meeting and wanted to share my thoughts with you.

1. Poverty Indicator:

I sincerely hope I am in the majority when I say that Student body composition is important in deciding the boundaries for schools.



Today, Five Oaks is the school with the highest percentage of free and reduced lunch eligible students (58%). What we have now is an excellent opportunity to balance that better. In Timberland and Stoller, we have two of the lowest schools in terms of poverty indicator. The proposed map puts Springville, a neighborhood with very low poverty level, into Stoller, a school with 10% of students receiving free and reduced lunches. It is in the best interest of the entire district if Springville is sent to Five Oaks, their 2nd closest Middle School. It accomplishes multiple items on BSD agenda-
1. It brings down the poverty indicator significantly for Five Oaks

2. It facilitates a North to South displacement of district student population, and positioning the northern schools to better absorb the future North Bethany developments
3. It relieves Stoller's overcrowding long term

B. Feeder Patterns:

Committee February 25th Workmap

High School Feeder Patterns

		Aloha	Beaverton	Mountainside	Southridge	Sunset	Westview
Existing Boundaries		Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from
Feeds to:		↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
Cedar Park	BHS, SUN		41%			45%	
Conestoga	MHS, SRHS			39%	45%		
Five Oaks	AHS, WHS	51%					24%
Highland Park	BHS, MHS, SRHS		7%	41%	18%		
Meadow Park	BHS, SUN, WHS		22%			14%	23%
Mountain View	AHS, MHS	49%		18%			
Stoller	SUN, WHS					41%	51%
Timberland	N/A						
Whitford	BHS, SRHS		11%		17%		
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		Aloha	Beaverton	Mountainside	Southridge	Sunset	Westview
February 25th Meeting Map		Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from	Receives % of students from
Feeds to:		↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
Cedar Park	BHS		52%				
Conestoga	MHS, SRHS			17%	47%		
Five Oaks	WHS	52%					24%
Highland Park	MHS, SRHS			45%	18%		
Meadow Park	AHS, SUN		12%			14%	17%
Mountain View	AHS, MHS	48%		18%			
Stoller	SUN, WHS					17%	39%
Timberland	SUN					69%	
Whitford	BHS, SRHS		16%		14%		
Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: Figures represent K-12 totals for SY 2019-20

Today, Stoller has a healthy 41% representation at Sunset. The proposed map reduces it to an unhealthy percentage of 17% given that the entire Findley is removed from Stoller. For reference, Oak Hills is at 14%, a situation everyone recognizes as unhealthy and in need of fixing. If the number of Jacob Wismer students feeding to Stoller is reduced any further (by sending SUMMA kids elsewhere), Stoller representation at Sunset falls down to SINGLE DIGITS. That means, for every 100 sunset HS kids, there will ONLY BE 9 students from Stoller. Oak Hills is living the repercussions of this situation- with students having to eat lunch alone and do projects all by themselves, severely restricting their academic growth. We need to fight for Jacob Wismer students to not become isolated in Sunset. I don't want my Jacob Wismer child heading to Stoller be set up with odds severely against her at Sunset. I don't wish this for any student in any community. We implore you to not divide Jacob Wismer further. SUMMA is not some elite program set to displace students of any one community out of Stoller. SUMMA is the only hope Jacob Wismer non-SUMMA students have, in maintaining a tolerable feeder pattern at Stoller.

I urge the committee and the district to pay attention to the poverty indicator and feeder patterns. While we have this opportunity, we must do everything we can to better balance the Poverty Indicators across the district, and ensure healthy feeder patterns into High Schools, and thereby give all of our students a better chance at academic success.

Thanks,
Jyothy
Thanks,
Jyothy

RE: Feedback on Feb 25th Working Map - Letter from Findley Walking Parents

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 6:52 PM

To: Anu <anu.balu@gmail.com>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Ms. Balu -

Thank you for your email. I have entered your comments into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Anu <anu.balu@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 10:26 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Feedback on Feb 25th Working Map - Letter from Findley Walking Parents

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Steven Sparks and Committee Members,

As we enter the last phase of the boundary adjustment process, we realize that the voices of the Findley families that can walk to Stoller have probably not been heard strongly enough. This letter is an attempt to do the same in the hope that it will make the process go smoother and help reduce costs for BSD, without sacrificing the emotional well-being of the kids.

All of the proposals provided in this letter are with the intent of meeting the key goal of this re-boundary drawing exercise which is to reduce overcrowding at Stoller. The proposed current working map for February 25th has many gaps in getting there and that is what this letter hopes to outline.

The letter is focused on three main themes in the same order of priority

- (i) Reducing Overcrowding at Stoller
- (ii) Forming Boundaries based on distance and encouraging walk-ability which reduces transportation cost and
- (iii) Emotional Well-being of Kids - Split vs. Not

Reducing Overcrowding at Stoller


The optimum way to reduce overcrowding at Stoller so we don't have to redraw boundaries again in a couple of years is to keep stable, non-growing, walking neighborhood communities continue to feed into it. The minute we bring in any of the rapidly growing communities -esp. Springville, then the #s begin to speak for themselves.

Even though you are not currently considering the A and C maps, those numbers show us something:

Here are the capacity numbers for Stoller in 2021 and 2025 from the A maps:

1.


- 2.
3. Map A (all of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 98% and 123%;
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Map A2.0 (most of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 113% and 133%;
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
11. Map A 2.1 (Northern most part of Springville and Sato in Stoller): 101% and 121%.
- 12.



This clearly shows that having even a small part of Springville like North Springville in Stoller causes the numbers to spike up. Map A 2.1 has only a small part of Springville in Stoller. However, these are the new developments in the north which are going to see a lot more boom in the coming years, plus is home to many young families with younger kids. In 2025 Stoller is already at 121% in Map A2.1. We think this negates the whole purpose of this reboundary and this is unacceptable to BSD and the community who has to send their kids to an overcrowded school with safety risks. The worst part is this number will be even higher in 2026 and beyond. Data clearly shows that there is no way to make the numbers work even if part of Springville is in Stoller, especially if the part of Springville is from the north.

Now, let us look at what the capacity numbers are for Stoller in 2021 and 2025 from the C maps:

- 1.
- 2.
3. Map C(small south section of Springville+Sato in Stoller): 100% and 107%;
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Map C 2.0 (small south section of Springville +Sato in Stoller): 100% and 107%;
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
11. Map C 2.1 (no Springville in Stoller, Sato in stoller): 99% and 106%,
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
15. Map C 2.2 (north Springville + Sato in Stoller): 101% and 121%.
- 16.



The numbers speak for themselves to demonstrate very clearly that the minute you put Springville or just north Springville in Stoller, there is just no way to bring the numbers below 121% capacity for Stoller. Moving SUMMA as a solution will just compound the problem by busing even more students who can walk. Instead, please consider a solution that retains walkability and reduces costs.

The bottom line is having two rapidly growing communities in Stoller is just not sustainable. That is the fundamental problem that needs to be fixed

Forming Boundaries based on distance and encouraging walk-ability which reduces transportation cost

We have heard repeatedly from the Administrator for Transportation Mr. Craig Beaver and the Director of Programs that walkability is important, since transportation and programs come from the same budget. The latest map does not give any regard for walkability and takes money from programs to fund transportation. Additionally these maps don't take into account future walking pathways which will increase the % of Findley and Jacob Wismer homes that fall within this area. [Any taxpayer would not want their tax dollars used for busing 100s of students who can walk. Why are we taking money from programs and taxpayers to bus walkable students?](#) BSD owes it to the community to use tax dollars wisely. How does BSD justify this in the face of a \$35M shortfall in budget where over 200 teachers are cut and every year additional programs are being removed due to no funding?

In order to fit two rapidly growing communities - Sato and Springville in Stoller, the current suggestion in the AC report is to move all SUMMA to Timberland. This would not only bus all the Findley students who can walk to Stoller but also bus about 25% of JW to Stoller. [Additionally 52% of the district wide SUMMA is in the Stoller attendance area out of which 27% are currently walkable.](#) Surely, there is a better solution than this, which increases the wastage of taxpayer dollars and BSD's budget.

Can you please include the transportation numbers for the map to be discussed on Feb 25th? It is important that the committee have the full information about what they are voting for. Further, if you can put the numbers in terms of programs, that would be more useful since without a frame of reference, those numbers don't mean much.

Emotional Well-being of Kids - Split vs. Not

We all depend on familiarity and routine and having the same friends year to year. So in an ideal case we would not want any feeder schools to be split and that is not our first preference if all of Findley and SUMMA can be kept in Stoller. However considering that the alternative proposed map puts the entire walking Findley community + taxpayers at a huge disadvantage (safety, travel times, increased transportation cost and waste of taxpayers money) we are willing to accept a split Findley (walkable vs. not) with the former feeding into Stoller.

We believe that even if Findley is split since most of the children are in the same neighborhoods and will meet during after school activities these friendships will continue outside of school. This is similar to how today children are shuffled year to year in different sections as they move into different grades.

The reason this is done is so kids get exposed to more children and grow socially. While hanging out with the same set of friends your whole life is comforting, it also stunts the social/emotional development of kids where they are not making new friends and getting along with others. More importantly, being exposed to new children teaches them to embrace diversity of opinions which is critical in today's world.

The only argument against Findley walkable going to Stoller is splitting Findley. In the current proposal, Stoller feeds WestView for 85% of kids and feeds Sunset for remaining. This is a very narrow split. Adding Findley walkable to Stoller makes it more even. The split from elementary to middle school is less likely to have an impact on kids as 10 year olds are more resilient and make new friends easily, but middle and high school splits are more emotionally draining as they are mini adults who are forming plans for undergrad based on bonding with friends on similar interests and academic pursuits.

In closing we would like to request the committee to consider these suggestions and bring back Map C 2.1 with variations to address any open gaps. The main reason that Springville parents desire to continue in Stoller is the academic standard of Stoller. So the committee should focus on what can be done to improve the standards of schools like Five Oaks. In other words rather than busing students from communities like Findley away from neighborhood schools, the focus should be on strategies to improve the standards and ratings of schools like Five Oaks. Or reopening Springville Middle school which is the cause of all this overcrowding at Stoller.

Thank you for giving us an opportunity to voice our thoughts and we hope that you take these concerns into consideration and provide a solution that not only meets your objective but is not wasting taxpayer dollars and inconveniencing 100s of children and parents whose school is a mere 5 min walk away.

Thanking you,

Parents in Findley Walkable Area

Electronic Signatures

- 1.
- 2.
3. Anupama Balasubramanian
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
7. Karthik Subramanian
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
11. Sameer Ruiwale
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
15. Sheetal Ruiwale
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
19. Milind Nadkarni
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
23. Anju Nadkarni

- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
27. Ravi Mantri
- 28.
- 29.
- 30.
31. Shipa Mantri
- 32.
- 33.
- 34.
35. Anil Yadalam
- 36.
- 37.
- 38.
39. Vani Bandekodige
- 40.
- 41.
- 42.
43. Bala Kumar
- 44.
- 45.
- 46.
47. Surabhi Kumar
- 48.
- 49.
- 50.
51. Ravi Gurudath
- 52.
- 53.
- 54.
55. Geetha V Rao
- 56.
- 57.
- 58.
59. Tejasvi Rao
- 60.
- 61.
- 62.
63. Samudyatha Kaira
- 64.
- 65.
- 66.
67. Biswajit Sarkar
- 68.
- 69.
- 70.
71. Mitali China
- 72.
- 73.
- 74.
75. Sujata Thakurta
- 76.
- 77.
- 78.
79. Dipto G. Thakurta
- 80.
- 81.
- 82.
83. Arvind Kumar
- 84.

- 85.
- 86.
87. Mark Behbehani
- 88.
- 89.
- 90.
91. Kathyayani Srikanteswara
- 92.
- 93.
- 94.
95. Subramanyam Sripada
- 96.
- 97.
- 98.
99. Sireesha Pisipati
- 100.
- 101.
- 102.
103. Lavanya vemula
- 104.
- 105.
- 106.
107. Kiran Vemula
- 108.
- 109.
- 110.
111. Anjana Rao
- 112.
- 113.
- 114.
115. Viji Pokala
- 116.
- 117.
- 118.
119. Michael Jugo
- 120.
- 121.
- 122.
123. Melissa Tom
- 124.
- 125.
- 126.
127. Samatha Shekar
- 128.
- 129.
- 130.
131. Chandrashekar
- 132.
- 133.
- 134.
135. Rupa
- 136.
- 137.
- 138.
139. Venugopal
- 140.
- 141.
- 142.
143. Shakuntala
- 144.

RE: End isolation of Oak Hills

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Mon 2/24/2020 9:09 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

include in comments

-----Original Message-----

From: Lisa Greenke <lisa.greenke@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 7:34 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: End isolation of Oak Hills

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe _____

Our small community needs your support. Our limited students from oak hills east of Bethany are begging for us to go to Timberland middle School with Neighboring Terra Linda students to meet the following objectives:

- > 1. It will support the middle school to high school feeder pattern 2.
- > It will add students to the lower population of Timberland and reduce
- > the over capacity at Meadowpark 3. It will end the isolation of our students and help ensure our students have the best chance for success and emotional well being for our students.

Thank you,

Lisa Greenke

Oak hills parent of 2nd and 5th graders.

FW: Incorrect 2025 numbers for SPV and clarification on transportation cost

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 2/25/2020 1:11 PM

To: Arun G R <arun.gr@gmail.com>

Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (952 KB)

SPV Clarifications.pptx;

Your email and file have been entered into the public record.

Steven A. Sparks, AICP | Executive Administrator for Long Range Planning | Beaverton School District
16550 SW Merlo Road, Beaverton, Oregon 97003-4348 | (503) 356-4449

District Goal: WE empower all students to achieve post-high school success.

From: Arun G R <arun.gr@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 24, 2020 9:54 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Incorrect 2025 numbers for SPV and clarification on transportation cost

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hello Mr. Sparks,

There have been a lot of comments regarding the 2025 numbers in STOLLER and also the cost of transportation and how savings can help BSD. The attached presentation has some hard facts for the AC members to consider and help drive to an appropriate decision based on real data.

Sending SPV to Five Oaks is only going to increase cost to BSD and not save. The new feb 25th map is in the right direction and request AC to continue with SPV @ Stoller MS.

I kindly request you to forward this to all the AC members and also share it as part of public records for everyone's consideration

Thanks,
Arun G R



HARD FACTS TO BE CONSIDERED



2025 SPRINGVILLE NUMBERS – IS BSD OVER ESTIMATING?

School Year	Grade	Grade	Grade
2019-2020	KG	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade
2020-2021	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade
2021-2022	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade	4 th Grade
2022-2023	3 rd Grade	4 th Grade	5 th Grade
2023-2024	4 th Grade	5 th Grade	6 th Grade
2024-2025	5 th Grade	6 th Grade	7 th Grade
2025-2026	6 th Grade	7 th Grade	8 th Grade

- What does this table indicate?
 - It shows that the current year KG, 1st and 2nd Grade kids are the ones who will be in Middle School in 2025-2026 school year
 - We already have the actual numbers for these
 - We can add additional % to factor growth in SPV if any
- Below is the data based on actual kids in 2019 from data shared by BSD for 19th December Meeting
 - KG – 124
 - 1st Grade – 108
 - 2nd Grade – 131
 - Total Kids who will be in middle school in 2025 is **363 Students from SPV**

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Springville					
(2019-20 Boundary)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Kinder	55	70	86	98	124
1st Grade	74	72	89	102	108
2nd Grade	78	79	86	111	131
3rd Grade	64	86	97	105	120
4th Grade	78	60	107	111	116
5th Grade	62	77	85	118	118

- BSD has projected 543 kids** going to Middle School from SPV [data provided for 9th Jan meeting used in all maps]
- BSD is assuming a 50% growth for SPV** from 2019 to 2025 which is unrealistic and more than

2025 SPRINGVILLE NUMBERS – Using True Numbers

School Year	Grade	Grade	Grade
2019-2020	KG	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade
2020-2021	1 st Grade	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade
2021-2022	2 nd Grade	3 rd Grade	4 th Grade
2022-2023	3 rd Grade	4 th Grade	5 th Grade
2023-2024	4 th Grade	5 th Grade	6 th Grade
2024-2025	5 th Grade	6 th Grade	7 th Grade
2025-2026	6 th Grade	7 th Grade	8 th Grade

- Below is the data based on actual kids in 2019 from data shared by BSD for 19th December Meeting
 - KG – 124
 - 1st Grade – 108
 - 2nd Grade – 131
 - Total Kids who will be in middle school in 2025 is **363 Students from SPV**
- Assume 10% growth for SPV community, the total kids going to MS From SPV should be **363 + 36 = 399**
- This should put Stoller in 2025 [SPV + SATO + JW] @ **1087** kids which is **100% of permanent capacity**

Conclusion:

It is possible to have Springville + SATO + Jacob Wismer without overcrowding STOLLER if we move SUMMA to Timberland

	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual
Springville					
(2019-20 Boundary)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Kinder	55	70	86	98	124
1st Grade	74	72	89	102	108
2nd Grade	78	79	86	111	131
3rd Grade	64	86	97	105	120
4th Grade	78	60	107	111	116
5th Grade	62	77	85	118	118

Transportation cost shared by BSD for Feb 13th meeting

Springville Boundary to Five Oaks MS

	Springville to Five Oaks		
	AM Ride Time	PM Ride Time	Cost
Rte 1	20:06	21:30	\$ 10,688
Rte 2	19:59	20:58	\$ 10,792
Rte 3	21:08	22:38	\$ 11,198
Rte 4	21:05	21:47	\$ 11,383
Rte 5	22:58	22:37	\$ 12,009
Total	01:45	01:49	\$ 56,071

	Springville to Stoller		
	AM Ride Time	PM Ride Time	Cost
Rte 1	15:15	16:56	\$ 6,179
Rte 2	14:09	15:46	\$ 5,866
Rte 3	15:05	15:21	\$ 5,784
Rte 4	15:18	15:15	\$ 6,364
Rte 5	17:44	15:06	\$ 6,294
Total	01:18	01:18	\$ 30,487

Net increase in cost for sending Springville students to FiveOaks is
\$25,584

Findley Boundary to Stoller & Timberland

	Findley to Stoller		
	AM Ride Time	PM Ride Time	Annual Cost
Rte 1	11:01	10:13	\$ 4,312
Rte 2	11:56	15:59	\$ 6,260
Rte 3	14:53	11:18	\$ 6,109
Rte 4	12:29	12:26	\$ 5,773
Total	00:50	00:50	\$ 22,454

	Findley to Timberland		
	AM Ride Time	PM Ride Time	Annual Cost
Rte 1	12:22	10:52	\$ 6,445
Rte 2	13:23	14:52	\$ 7,790
Rte 3	18:29	12:53	\$ 8,242
Rte 4	14:09	17:12	\$ 8,265
Total	00:58	00:56	\$ 30,742

Net increase in cost for sending Findley students to Timberland is
\$8,288

It costs more for BSD to transport Springville Kids to Five oaks, it impacts Springville kids in a negative way due to the location and distance

It makes logical sense to have SPV @ Stoller , Findley @ Timberland , SUMMA @ Timberland