

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

DATE	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENTS
02/12/2020	Mimira Cole	mimiraccole@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		Hi BSD/AC, you have modified Map C i.e. Map 2.1 to remove ES splits feeding to FO and Stoller in the north of US 26. Can you please have West OH feed to Stoller while East OH feeds to Timberland? The number adds up. These MSs are under populated with summa still moving to Timberland. This works out well for OH as this split is similar to the existing HS split. Oak Hills cannot go alone to MPMS without a neighboring ES up north. We want an academically good learning environment for our kids. Thanks
02/12/2020	Prabha Chethan	schethan251980@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD,It looks like you are going to finalize the maps in hurry. There are a lot of comments and analysis shared by springville community via comments and emails but those are not reviewed or shared with AC, in this regard AC needs more time to review and understand the suggestions from public. More over you need to keep in mind that there is no representation on the AC from springville K8, the only way we could share our concerns or suggestions is via comments. So you need give more time to review our comments.As of today i see only comments from 5th Feb. All comments after 5th Feb is missing. Also there is no cut of date announced for submitting comments so our comments are not to be ignored.
02/12/2020	Prabha Chethan	schethan251980@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Until the main objective of filling timberland and bringing down stroller to 90% we can't finalize the maps for south. That will create more flaws in the north.
02/12/2020	jithinr	jrathod81@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A reduces the load in Stoller and Five oaks. Need to bring back MAPA.The initial intention of this meeting was to reduce the load on Stoller. Also as per BSD anaylsis MAP A redices the load in Stoller in 2021 and 2025.In addition move SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland fully which will further reduce the load on Stoller.As per google maps, Findley would be closer to go to Five oaks.Also transporation costs can be further gained by moving entire Findley and SUMMA to Timberland and Springville to StollerJacob Wismer to Five Oaks(via Bethany Blvd) 3.7 milesFindley to Five Oaks(via Bethany Blvd) 3.8 milesSpringville schoole to Five oaksVia Springvilel rd and 185th)4.3 milesFindley to Timberland2.6 milesSpringville to Stoller2.2 miles
02/12/2020	Yang-Chun Cheng	yc.jeff.cheng@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Thanks to the committee members and BSD staff who take efforts in the process. In Map C have Rock Creek goes with at least one of the neighorsing school creates equitable solution. However, I believe a clean feed from one elementary to middle should be maintained. I don't support the idea of the one that split Rock Creek. That is sending a message that half of the kids can go to better school while others can't. According to the transportation data, Rock Creek costs about the same to either Stoller or Five Oaks. I am also surprised by the cost for Springville to go to Five Oaks. That makes me puzzled how Map C is a cheaper solution compare to Map A. I request BSD and committee not to sacrificed Rock Creek students in the process. Although I am concerned sending Rock Creek kids to Flve Oaks, I believe as long as we have at least Bethany Elementary with us at Five Oak and the implement of common middle school experiences. It would an acceptable result for the Rock Creek Community.
02/12/2020	sidar	sidhuarun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A reduces the load in Stoller and Five oaks. Need to bring back MAPA.MAP C DOESNT MAKE ANY SENSE.If Springville students have to go to Five oaks, it would be the longest distance a middle school student has to travel. In addition, consider the traffic in Springville road, leading to 185th, US26, MUTIPLE TRAFFIC STOPS IN BETWEEN, they have to beat all this spend additional 45 mins just for travelling. Just doesn't make any sense. Compound the problems for Springville students during winter.Also as per BSD anaylsis MAP A redices the load in Stoller in 2021 and 2025.In addition move SUMMA from Stoller to Timberland fully which will further reduce the load on Stoller.Proper consideration needs to be given to all communities. BSD should conduct bus trials from JACOB WISMER AND FINDLEY TO TIMBERLAND OR FIVE OAKS. IN FACT IT will save further in transportation costs rather than transporting Springville to Five oaks.Also in some of these videos the buses hardly makes one bus top with a wait time of 36 secs. How is that considered a trial run.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Karen	karenlynroberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	The committee is nowhere near prepared to be finalizing maps at the meeting on February 13th. The committee has asked for maps that have not yet been prepared and the community have submitted comments and documents that have not been dispersed to the committee. THIS PROCESS NEEDS MORE TIME. Time for the comments and data to be delivered to (and digested by) the committee. In addition, NO DECISIONS CAN BE FINALIZED in the south before ensuring that the 2 main objectives of this process (filling Timberland and alleviating Stoller) are secured. This is a district wide process and every change has a ripple effect. This has to be done holistically.
02/12/2020	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Schools and educational institutes are focusing on Active Learning and Community Based Learning. Project Based Learning or Community based learning involves school kids and local community working together. To encourage practical experiences, problem solving and social skill most teachers encourages group projects and partnerships with community . For example Westview Preschool program. My daughter attended the program and I was super happy with it. Most school encourage STEAM projects and students collaborate with local businesses and non-profit organizations. My question is how will the extreme northern edge kids in the weekend and weekdays evening participate in the community based projects neighboring Five Oaks? Do you think it is feasible for middle schoolers to walk or bike 5 miles distance and cross a major highway? Did the committee read the crash/safety report ?
02/12/2020	sanjoy	sanjoy123@gmail.com				Bring Back Map A (8 or 9). Map A was based on feeder pattern and community feedback. Map C started with splitting school and creating island. We want communities to be together.
02/12/2020	Anandha	hanandhakrishnan@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Till the meeting that happened on Jan 30th, cutting down the transportation cost was not an objective on paper. When and how it got added? As far as I understand from the previous meetings, majority of the transportation cost about 70 or 80% is being funded by state. What is that money going to be used for?
02/12/2020	Ezra Richards	ezrarichards@hotmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	Map C is terrible. My kids go to Oak Hills and Meadow park. Seeing them get broken up from 75% their elementary friends is a horrible adjustment and doesn't allow the community those tight relationships that carry into high school. I'm also concerned about the very odd boundaries of Meadow Park. Why are all the other middle schools allowed to be grouped by area while Meadow Park reaches out into four different areas. Again, this hurts the kids at Meadow Park and hurts the community. How am I supposed to connect my child with a friend from school that lives half way across Beaverton? Lastly, Oak Hills and Bethany Elementary parents are currently the strongest volunteers at Meadow Park. If you separate these two schools, the quality of education will drop significantly for everyone at Meadow Park. Bethany and Oak Hills need to stay together to support the quality of education at Meadow Park. My vote is for Map A takes the needs of the community and the needs of the children into account.
02/12/2020	MadhuR	mrangar@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Transportation seems to be ruling the roost in the committee meetings for the middle school boundary change. I wanted to point out that any small transportation savings get wiped out even if a small % of SPV (<1%) goes to private school rather than all the way to Five Oaks because of state funds of \$10000 per student. TRANSPORTATION DOES NOT LIVE IN A VACUUM. MAP C is not cheaper once you take that into account in addition to the intangibles of the Springville children being ostracized from North Bethany and sent on a 2.5x commute. REALITY: Springville has only one neighborhood MS with proximity, Stoller. Timberland was built at the wrong location. There are multiple middle school options at a much smaller commute available to most other middle schools in question. Springville is being unfairly singled out here without a strong voice in the discussions.
02/12/2020	Ashok S	Gan001@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Please DELAY THE VOTE

02/12/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		SAY NO TO MAP C and variations.The Feb 13th memo from BSD expects the committee to vote on locking south BSD ! How can that be done without addressing the overcrowding in Stoller and Feeders to Timberland ?You have to lock North first before going South ! The MAP A variations are not out yet and the committee and community needs more time to process the data.NEED MORE TIME FOR A RECOMMENDATION ! SAY NO TO LOCKING MAP C ON FEB 13th
02/12/2020	Cheyenne K.	cheyannedg@comcast.net	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee,There[?]s been much discussion regarding the length of bus rides. How do the new proposed bus routes compare to existing routes? Are there currently routes for non-option students that are longer? A portion of bus travel time is spent to stop and board children. Bussing children that could walk to a school is not the same as increasing bus times for kids who will need to be bussed regardless. If the committee decides to remove SUMMA from Stoller, then it should be offered at Five Oaks for kids within Westview[?]s boundary and Timberland for kids within Sunset[?]s boundary. The SUMMA program at Meadow Park would be unnecessary as those kids should be attending the Timberland SUMMA program.I don[?]t know if either of my young children will be in the SUMMA program, but I would hate to make the choice between 1) giving up the education they deserve or 2) splitting them from their elementary friends AND later their middle school friends. Don[?]t make SUMMA parents in Westview make this choice. Westview needs a SUMMA school within their boundary.Thanks you.
02/12/2020	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			As part of the neighborhood in the farthest northwest corner of Findley, I support Map C BECAUSE it splits Findley and it keeps our neighborhood walkable to Stoller. Bussing kids PAST a school they can walk to to a school 4 miles away is ridiculous and a waste of money. Map C relieves the Stoller crowding and is better for racial and economic diversity than the other maps. It needs a few tweaks and it could successfully fill Timberland and be a great map. Sending the eastern side of Oak Hills, who have dealt with a difficult MS to HS split, to Timberland so they have more middle school peers heading to Sunset with them is a great example. I'm sure there are others in the south. I'm less familiar with that area, but I see many suggestions in the public comments. So long as the district refuses to align all the schools at once, there will be splits. Let's make them good ones.
02/12/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	Vkpkulkarni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		NO DECISION ON ANY MAP ON FEB13th !BSD has not published MAP A or MAP A variants. The agenda for FEB 13th meeting on locking South makes NO SENSE until all the Maps are provided and the committee and community at large have had a chance to digest BSD proposals.Why is this being rushed ? If there are 2 MAPS shouldn[?]t the committee be given sufficient time to debate the pros and cons ?BSD shouldn[?]t be pushing for any MAP without a committee consent.
02/12/2020	Srinivas	annamaraju@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view JW students.
02/12/2020	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem			Map A must be discarded. The committee has heard testimony from several parents that it is important to keep neighboring elementary schools together at the same middle school. The committee has seemed agreeable to this in several instances, such as in the Cedar Mill area. Rock Creek Elementary students deserver the same treatment. They deserver to attend middle school with at least one other norther neighbor.
02/12/2020	Chandana	chandabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW summa students to Stoller considering walkability to Stoller from Arbor view.
02/12/2020	Madhu	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	THE TRANSPORTATION BUDGET IS A RED HERRING: If BSD chooses to prioritize transportation and disregards all else, and ejects a neighborhood like Springville from their only middle school with proximity, even a handful of people (<30) switching to private school WIPES OUT TRANSPORTATION SAVINGS because state funds to BSD are based on enrollment numbers to the tune of ~\$10k/student. DO THE RIGHT THING. DO NOT LET THIS BE A TRANSPORTATION DRIVEN AGENDA.

02/12/2020	Betty Gerg	bettygerg@comcast.net	Terra Linda Elem				When they built the new school of Timberland every one in the Cedar Mill district was very happy . The children could walk to school and save the tax payers money in transportation costs. Also I have lived in Cedar Mills 52 years and I thought as most people that it would mean our children could go to Timberland. It never occurred to us that you could put them on a bus and increase taxes to send them to another school further away. We all thought that was why they built the school here in the neighborhood in the first place. I for one will not appreciate the miss use of my tax dollars. Also I have seven friends made in grade school thru high school and we are still very much in touch with today. That will never happen if you continue to send them to different grade schools. Please use the tax dollars you have available wisely as I know you have good intentions. Betty Gerg
02/12/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			NEED MORE TIME TO DECIDE !BSD is yet to publish MAP A variants. BSD is trying to push the committee to lock MAP C on Feb 13th which means SPV children will be forced to undertake a punishing commute (yes 35 minutes even with the BSD transportation data which underestimates). This could have a ripple effect of many SPV parents looking at alternative private middle schools options outside BSD.If as little as 1% or 40 - 50 SPV children move out of BSD due to the proposed boundary adjustments, all the so called transportation gains in MAP C could be wiped out and turn it into a significant transportation \$DEFICIT for BSD. SPV is being pushed to the wall here. We have no other alternative to Stoller like other ES Schools Findley, JW, RC do within a reasonable 3.5 mile circle.Committee needs more time to debate MAP A vs MAP C. We strongly support SPV at STOLLER.NEED MORE TIME !
02/12/2020	Chethan Srinivasa	schethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear BSD,We have clearly mentioned via comments there are a lot of options to keep Springville at Stroller but it looks like none are considered. If kids end up with no choice other than you pushing them to commute to FiveOaks then other options like homeschooling and private schooling would have to be considered. Some might take the option of totally moving out of Oregon.
02/12/2020	Xiruo	sissiok@gmail.com	Findley Elem				I strongly oppose splitting elementary school. If we have to split schools, splitting at the age of elementary school hurts students more than splitting at an older age. It is much more difficult for younger kids to handle it as they are weak and less sophisticated. And the hurts on emotion and psychology may have long term impacts on their life. Let's protect our kids and do not put them in a harsh situation like this when they are too little.
02/11/2020	Ting Su	tingjinsu@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				As a father of 3 children, I believe that the better school and community will direct my children into successful future easier. So couple years ago, I moved my family from southern Beaverton to northern just because of the better school and community it is. ow I'm really concern about the MS Boundary Adjustment. For my opinion of talking about map A and C. Definitely, Map A is truly a NO NO to accept. Because RC ES is the only ES to be sent to Five Oak MS without any other northern ES to go with. Why is RC ES? and Why only RC? If a school has to be kicked out of Stroller that is already very bad. And I feel worst in map A that RC is cast away without any neighbors. Please don't let this happen. Map C will be my choose if I have to pic between A or C. The reason is that RCES will be going to 5 Oaks with multiple northern ES to southern MS. I believe this will make southern MS more successful in the future. Also it will be more beneficial for Beaverton SD and our children . Please consider map C as your choose.
02/12/2020	ANDREA BONARD	ambonard@yahoo.com	Scholls Heights Elem				We would like to continue to see all Scholls Heights students attend Conestoga Middle School together. Geographically, Highland Park is a further distance than Conestoga from our home so it would be a longer commute. Additionally, our school community feels strongly that they want Scholls Heights students to stay together. The benefits of schools feeding into one school together is that it builds a greater sense of community, provides a better transition to middle school, and maintains parent networks which can be critical in school and student support.

02/12/2020	Javier Turek	javierturek@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				The new MAPS C.2 are terrible for Oak Hills. You call a meeting saying that you solve the split feeder patterns. Interestingly you never mention the feeder problems for Oak Hills. You are suggesting that Oak Hills to feed from MeadowPark MS to Sunset HS (actually half until the high school boundaries are corrected, which is even worse). In comparison, you are proposing 100% of the students at Timberland MS to feed into Sunset HS. You need to think about this PER YEAR and not in total. Having our 25 kids per year or so feed into Meadow Park and then to Sunset almost alone versus the 200-300 students from Timberland per year makes no sense to us. Also, the last group of these MAPS C.2.0/1/2 are overcrowding Meadow park. moving Oak Hills kids to Timberland will ease this problem and will use Timberland a much higher capacity than your current low numbers. Please, SEND OUR OAK HILLS KIDS TO TIMBERLAND.
02/12/2020	Paul	Paul004@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear BSD, you are moving all our junior high school children to JW and Findley. The end result is a full of Stoller or Timberland. You have to re-plan after 2 years. Do you want to solve the problem of the new school in this way? You put the children of the north so far away. The unfair fact is too obvious. Hope you reconsider not sending your child north. The north and the south will lose balance. This is something we don't want to see.
02/12/2020	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP A REDUCES LOAD ON STOLLER AND DECREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTS Please give proper consideration to MAP A. MAP A reduces the load on stoller as per BSD analysis. Also the transportation cost of sending Springville students to Stoller is much less than sending them to Five oaks. The main objective is to reduce the load on stoller. In addition the load on stoller can further be reduced if SUMMA program is moved completely to Timberland. MAP C causes undue pressure on kids and their activities. Why should they suffer is there is no middle school closer to their area. Findley and Timberland have lot of middle school options nearby but still want to have better options/facilities while voices of Springville are nor heard at all.
02/12/2020	Kelsey Townsend	kelsey@westsidebehaviortherapy.com	Oak Hills Elem			Sunset	My name is Kelsey Townsend and I am the parent of three Oak Hills Elementary School students (5th, 4th, and Kinder). We live on the EAST side of Bethany Blvd. I would like to express that it is extremely important to this community to resolve feeder issues with the boundary adjustment. While I would love to see Oak Hills Elementary move forward as a whole, this will be challenging as our community is split at the high school level. I am advocating for consideration of either Map A or Oak Hills EAST side moving to Timberland with Terra Linda to increase the number of students that can make the transition from middle school into Sunset High School. It's important to remember that although you tag an entire school when thinking about a transition, it's only one grade at a time, and in the case of Oak Hills, that group gets further pared down because half of those students will be going to WHS while the other half goes to SHS. Half may not even be relevant because I believe more than half of the student body at Oak Hills comes from the West side of Bethany Blvd. I am hesitant to rely on a Map option that includes a HS boundary adjustment as the fix to the feeder issue because there are no guarantees that the HS boundary will change. This would leave ES Oak Hills kids and a small portion of Barnes kids transitioning all alone from MPMS to the 2,200-student body of SHS. I hate to see Oak Hills split at ES to MS transition, but I think the more crucial transition is that of MS to HS. In summary, I would like to see Map A or Oak Hills East join Terra Linda at Timberland to feed together into SHS, and Oak Hills West to join Bethany at Five Oaks and feed together into WHS. Thank you for your time and consideration of these options.

02/12/2020	James Bock	jvbock@comcast.net	Findley Elem				<p>We are in support of Findley being kept together as the majority are. We are also fine with busing all the Findley students to Timberland Middle School. However, if Findley students are all being sent to Stoller Middle School, we want to emphasize the need for busing to be reinstated (as it used to be) for our children from Bannister Creek subdivision as it is NOT walkable to Stoller. 1. Sidewalk missing on Laidlaw where the 2 lane, 40 mph road curves around. Only blacktop to walk on next to cars speeding by. 2. Alternate route takes children through Bannister Creek, uphill north through Arbor Heights, then west on Greenwood where the sidewalk ends and children walk in the street, then on Skycrest Pkwy. through another subdivision and finally to the rear driveway of Stoller. This is over the 1.5 miles required for busing and even farther, 1.8 miles for some children in Bannister Creek. It is not a safe or easy terrain to walk or bike on with a backpack. Also, too many instances of cars trying to lure children are happening and many of these kids are only 10, 11, years old. Parents are forced to drive their children to the congested Stoller drop off point. I've talked to no one willing to let their child walk this distance. We are separated from Stoller and not a part of that neighborhood where children can walk to school. Beaverton just posted an article called [?]LoveTheBus.org[?] stating buses are 50 times safer than cars, increases student attendance, and each bus takes 36 cars off the road which is better for our environment. These are just more reasons, besides our children's safety and distance, that Bannister Creek needs busing to Stoller. Price of busing should not be more important than the safety of children. Thank you.</p>
02/12/2020	Annie	Anniawang236@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	<p>Dear Committee members,First all, thank all of you for taking time in working on resolving this issue, it is a very challenging and important matter facing all BSD families and education for the kids. Current map c to split Springville is probably the best solution that I would support. As a fast growing community, and continue growing, it is best to split it into different sections and evaluate them based on criteria to decide which schools best fit them. Cram them into one MS is not a sustainable solution in many of our minds. We Strongly support map C and believe fine tuning it would reach the final solution for this matter!For the future, I still think the resolution lies in better regulations and monitoring when new builders start the new constructions, government s/d exert its responsibility to making sure current residents and family are not impacted by the new, by enforcing the builder to have corresponding neighborhood and community plan, for schools, hospitals, sports facilities, parks, shopping centers, etc. understand it is too late for this round of discussion, however still a critical thing to gradually complete the regulations in this area, so in the future the community will not have to face similar dilemma and situation. It is the county government and builders responsibility to plan on sufficient public utilities including schools, not any of us. Hope current MAP C can get us to the solution that benefits most of us, and in the long run, a better planning/regulation/monitoring system can be implemented to prevent this kind of issue from happening again.With best regards!Annie</p>
02/12/2020	Doreen Bierce	misty3579@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				<p>Please do not approve Map C plans. My son had been looking forward to reconnecting with friends he made in child care - but when to a different elementary school - in middle school. Rezoning Oak Hills families to Meadow Park - with no other schools from north of 26 - would isolate us from our neighbors and friend. Even rezoning us to Timberland (the new middle school) sounds like a better option. PLEASE do NOT approve Map C plans. Think of the children and the bonds they are forming.</p>
02/12/2020	kuan shen	kshen2004@yahoo.com					<p>What's the logic in Working Map C 2.0 vs. 2.2, the Raleigh Park ES is partitioned differently?Can't it be the same like Map C 2.0 where Cedar Park clearly has the capacity? If we do that, Whitford doesn't risk over capacity.</p>
02/12/2020	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			<p>Dear BSD . Please upload the map A . Map C is already being uploaded. It's not fair to upload the map A at last moment. The AC won't have enough time to go over it . This whole process is already a question mark for BSD .</p>

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I am writing this comment as a very concerned parent who lives in the southern section of springville. Map C 2.2 splits Springville and sends the southern part (south of Brugger Rd) to a different school than our northern friends. The southern community is a smaller community than its northern counterpart and this will terribly alienate the children from their friends in the northern part. Please note that springville boundary is small and DOES NOT EXPAND MORE THAN A MILE on all sides. Any splitting will be extremely detrimental to us and would make the southern community even more of an island. The area BOTH North and south of us would be feeding to a different middle school. Please DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE.
02/12/2020	SRIKANTH VULLAGANTI	srikanth.eee@gmail.com	Springville K-8		Westview		Map A is the most equitable map for the kids in Springville. We are the most North area where our option to go to Springville middle school is already taken away. For Map C – it makes no sense that the area south of PCC is kept at Stoller while the area North of Springville road is sent to Five Oaks. If BSD is really concerned about transportation cost (justification for Map C vs Map A), they would take the area south of Springville to Five Oaks instead of the area north of Springville (note – the western half north of Springville are farms and PCC, no middle school student). It really doesn't make sense for BSD to bus the kids furthest from Five Oaks while there are so many others that are clearly closer to Five Oaks. For Map C to make logical sense – keep the Springville portion that is east of PCC, North of Springville Road @ Stoller and move the area south of PCC (that is closer to Five Oaks) to Five Oaks. If this adjustment cannot be made - then please keep Springville a K-8 school.
02/12/2020	Aahlad Mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Thank you for this opportunity to share our inputs. I strongly advocate for not splitting elementary schools or minimize the splits as much as possible. I grew up with same set of friends from grade 3 till high school graduation. Those were the best friendships I developed and cherish. Please try not to split communities and friendships. Please treat Summa as an options program when it comes to adjusting Stoller's limits. Not splitting ES should have higher priority over keeping summa in Stoller.
02/12/2020	Jagan	gagan.kankara@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members, I am a SPV parent who want to comment that BSD did a big blunder by constructing a brand new Middle school wasting 60 million dollars tax payers money in a wrong location that you don't want to move closest crowded elementary schools to that, just in the name of saving transportation costs and walk-ability. Please don't repeat the another big mistake of moving the SPV all the way south to Five oaks. I just can't imagine how much stress the kids go through every single day commuting via one of the biggest intersections. Please make right call and map C does not meet your numbers anyways. Move Jacob wismer and Findley to the new middle school and keep SPV with Stoller. Please stick to your original agenda of what the purpose is in redrawing the boundaries.
02/12/2020	Gabrielle Ostertag-Hill	gabiostertaghill@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem		Sunset		I strongly support current MAP C. MAP C aligns best with the District goal of fostering student diversity and balancing equity. MAP C makes most sense from a walkability and, subsequently, a transportation perspective - rather than unnecessarily spending money on bussing students, that money is much better invested directly in the classroom. MAP C balances out capacity among BSD middle schools, which, going forward, is crucial in order to avoid additional boundary adjustments in the years to come. And last but not least, MAP C continues to keep the Cedar Mill neighborhoods together, allowing all students from elementary schools located in the Cedar Mill neighborhoods to attend middle (Timberland) and high school (Sunset) together, thus promoting continuity and minimizing unnecessary split patterns.
02/12/2020	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				It is clear now from information published by BSD transportation that bussing springville to five oaks costs \$56000 while bussing springville to Stoller costs nearly half the amount (approx. \$30000). So WHY IS BSD SPENDING MORE MONEY TO TERRIBLY INCONVENIENCE SPRINGVILLE KIDS? MAP C and all its variants need to be removed.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	DON'T FORGET ABOUT THE OBJECTIVES: The conversations around this boundary process have completely sidelined the objectives of the committee. Proposed maps at this stage in the process must get Stoller to around 90% permanent capacity in 2021 and provide enough students for Timberland to have a comprehensive middle school program. You can do this by having Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer feed Stoller, and move Summa offsite. This is the only way to get Stoller to 90% permanent capacity in 2021 and keep elementary communities from unneeded splits.
02/12/2020	Ben Levy	zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	TIME TO CONSIDER PROPOSALS THAT WORK: It is now February. We've seen a lot of maps over the past few months, and many still don't do what they are supposed to do- get Stoller to around 90% capacity in 2021 and get enough kids into Timberland. We should only be looking seriously at maps that do these things without seriously splitting communities. No Map C variation does this. Why should any Map C variation be considered when they simply do not work?
02/12/2020	sidhuarun	sidhu.arun@comcast.net	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP A NEEDS PROPER CONSIDERATIONa)All AC members voted for both Map A and MAP C. As per BSD standards they both deserve an equal amount of time and resources for discussion.Please publish MAP A including school utilization data, demographic data, diversity data today soon. The AC members should have atleast oneday to review the map.b) Please revise meeting agenda to consider both MAP and MAPC.Please remove "if time permits". MAP A needs to be fully proper time and consideration rather tahnjust a display one.
02/12/2020	Christi Pickard	pickardfam@me.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	I am concerned about the middle school boundary changes that are being discussed. Currently all schools in our community are close by and therefore allow getting together with friends for fun or study groups very easy. I would like to keep terra linda students slated towards timberland, when it opens, so the kids can stay with their cedar mill community. These are the schools that everyone who lives in cedar mill drive by daily, walk around for exercise, take their kids to play at on the weekends, watch plays & sporting events. If the boundary changes then the kids won't feel the connection with their school if it's not part of their daily drive & play routine all year long. There will be no connection with the schools if they aren't in their own neighborhood. People are more likely to donate, support, volunteer, participate in activities at their school if they are down the street and part of their daily life. Please keep Terra Linda students directed towards Timberland Middle School.
02/11/2020	Jay	Jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	We would also like to bring to your attention, a serious concern we have. We havenoticed that Springville parents are flooding the comments by mobilizing all theirparents to post about the same concern. We sincerely hope that the committee is notswayed by the volume of comments from Springville. It is vital that logic and factscontinue to prevail. We truly hope that the volume of comments is not going to be takenMS Boundary Change Suggestions and Concerns from Jacob Wismer - Feb 7, 2020 Page 5 of 5into consideration. This is only going to set precedence for all future communityinvolvement, that to be heard all you have to do is bombard the district and respectivecommittees with comments and be loud and disrespectful. Please do not penalizecommunities for being rule followers and respecting the process. A significant fact isthat there are several impacted northern elementary schools that are in full support ofMap C. I hope that the committee and district is able to see this and stay focused onfacts; not emotions.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				After reviewing the new Working Maps C posted by the staff, it seems like 2.0 leaves Meadow Park heavily overpopulated in 2021 while it leaves Timberland heavily underutilized. Can't we shift some of the students currently feeding into Meadow Park into Timberland to address the utilization issues at Meadow Park? Both Meadow Park and Timberland are fairly close to each other so it should be possible to move either ES or something else from Meadow to feed into Timberland. Otherwise, Map C 2.0 seems pretty close to ideal. If the committee is concerned about Stoller being close to 100% in 2021, this could also be addressed by moving the small area off of 185th currently in Springville from Stoller to Five Oaks. Unfortunately, this would hurt the equity balance at Stoller, but would fix the utilization at Stoller (~93% in 2021) and also eliminate the Springville ES split seen in Map C 2.0 (Springville would feed entirely into Five Oaks). So the committee will have to decide if they value equity more or utilization and minimizing split feeders.
02/12/2020	Katie DeNucci	Katiedenucci64@hotmail.com	Barnes Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Springville K-8	My grandson is in the Dual immersion program at Barnes. We currently reside 4 houses down from Meadow Park, I am wondering if Cedar Park will have a Dual immersion program and will my grandson still receive special ed bussing to Cedar Park and will all of Barnes really go to Cedar Park when most are closer to Meadow Park.
02/12/2020	sidharth	siddharth.arun11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		WE SUPPORT MAP A. IT REDUCES LOAD ON STOLLER AND DECREASES TRANSPORTATION COSTS. The objective was get Stoller to 90% capacity in 2021 and provide enough students for Timberland to have a comprehensive middle school program. This can be achieved by having Springville, Sato and Jacob Wismer feed Stoller and move the entire SUMMA program to Timberland. ASLO TRANSPORTATION COSTS WILL DRASTICALLY reduce if Springville feeds to Stoller rather than moving them a very huge distance to Five Oaks. Please give proper time, analysis and consideration to MAP A.
02/12/2020	Ayumi Furuya	ayuyamail.g@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS			I'm a parent of students in Rock Creek Elementary and Stoller Middle School. I strongly support Map C 2.0. I'd like my kids stay in Stoller because it's close and it has great environment. I heard that the Maps C 2.0 can save around \$400k from transportation every month so that the money can go back to BSD and provide more classes for students. Thank you.
02/12/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				I noticed that based on Map C 2.0, there are 165 Summa students coming from Five Oaks, Meadow Park, and Cedar Park that all would attend Meadow Park. If those 165 students were instead moved to Timberland, then that would create a stronger Summa program in Timberland with 291 students in 2021. That would also bring Timberland's utilization up to 89% while also reducing Meadow Park's utilization which is currently at 117% in 2021 to 98% of permanent capacity. For 2025, Timberland utilization would remain at 89% and Meadow Park would be at 80% if the Summa program from Meadow was moved to Timberland. This seems like the simplest change that could be made to fix Map C 2.0 utilization issues.
02/12/2020	Gwyn Ashcom	gwyn1@hotmail.com	Montclair Elem				My son currently goes to Montclair, however we are planning a move that would mean a switch to McKay. I was surprised to learn that McKay splits the kids with half going to Conestoga and half going to Whitford. I'd like to advocate that McKay kids ALL go to Whitford. McKay is a small school like Montclair which is wonderful for building friendships and community. Splitting the already small group of kids up, especially with elementary to middle school being such a hard transition already, wouldn't be in the best interest of the kids...and in fact could induce more stress than necessary. Please consider keeping ALL our kids together as they progress through the Beaverton school system.

02/12/2020	Lekshmi Kurup	lekshmikurup1712@gmail.com	Springville K-8				The BSD is trying to hide their planning lacunas and trying to push Springville families to Five Oaks. Transportation people, BSD representatives and all the parents should re-consider the fact that the Springville kids are not machines, they are people with emotions and should not be pushed to a far away school (5 mile) when Stoller is within 2 miles. Why should the kids be punished and hauled to a 5 mile school? If it is your own kid, will you let that happen? Communities should share the burden or changes, but with Map C it doesn't look like everyone is ready to do so, everyone is trying to push out Springville families. Other parents who have been supporting Map C should reconsider and think twice on why only Springville families should be the ones who are being treated differently. Everyone wants to secure Stoller as their middle school, but the fact remains that to some families with Map C the impact will be huge and to some its only going to be a small impact. Removing the Summa program will let other kids to get their basic education. The percentage of kids who get into Summa program is so less compared to the basic education needs of the kids. We need to prioritize what is more important in the community as a whole. Also if BSD is trying to play games here with the community, then the repercussions will be really strong.
02/12/2020	arunv	arun_koroth@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Springville Ride Times- THE TRANSPORT DATA SUGGESTS SAVINGS MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO STOLLER You can refer the analysis by transportation. Springville to Stoller \$30,487 Springville to Five Oaks \$56,071 There is savings of 25,584 moving Springville to Stoller Findley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 Increase of 8288 But Even if you net out the difference (\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000 by moving Springville to Stoller. MAP A reduces the load on stoller as per BSD analysis. Also the transportation cost of sending Springville students to Stoller is much less than sending them to Five oaks. In addition the load on stoller can further be reduced if SUMMA program is moved completely to Timberland. Please bring back MAP A and give proper consideration and time to it.
02/12/2020	Rian Murnen	household@novnine.com	Oak Hills Elem				At Oak Hills we want our children to go to middle school with another school from north of 26 that will go to the same high school as us. It has been extremely hard for the Oak Hills students that are currently going to Sunset High School because less than 50 people go from Meadow Park to Sunset. Also, it's important that we are with at least one other school north of 26 since those are our neighbors. Thank you for your consideration.
02/12/2020	Wendy Bennion	wendybennion@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		Hello! I feel that Oak Hills elementary needs to feed into a middle school with another school that is north of Hwy 26 and that will also feed into the same high school. It would be very difficult for Oak Hills students to be so geographically isolated. As a Bethany parent, I would also hate to see our close neighbors separated from us. Our elementary schools are very close, both geographically as well as in relationships. We've had many years together in middle school and high school and I feel it would be very unfortunate to sever that relationship. Thank you!
02/12/2020	Julie A Alvino	cristochica@yahoo.com	Barnes Elem				I understand that all of the current options have Barnes going to a Cedar Park instead of Meadow Park. Barnes kids are part of the Dual Language program and Cedar Park does not offer the dual language program. This is very important to many of the families at Barnes. We want our kids to continue with Dual Language. Will the Dual Language program move with the kids to Cedar Park or will we have an option to take our kids to Meadow Park (which currently offers the dual language program) to continue the Dual Language program. Please consider the impact this will have on this program and the families it will impact

02/12/2020	Paul Minweh	emechym@aol.com					Thanks BSD for all your efforts, providing a transparent MS boundary adjustment guidelines and process. Apparently, Map C virtually contradicts the existing BSD guidelines. The problem of overcrowding in stoller and ongoing sprawling housing developments in the north of HWY 26 was identified by BSD years ago. It resulted to the building and completion of "Timberland" MS. The Springville, Sato and Stoller are in close proximity in all aspects to one another, and the three schools are collectively close to Timberland MS. Stoller could be decongested either by moving out the SUMA program to Timberland to create a capacity for stoller to take in students from SATO and SPV. Or, move SPV or Sato to Timberland as a last resort, because these sister schools are in closely knit community, and they are all located in North of HWY 26. The Map C does not seem to be a viable and productive option in all ramifications; It radically yanked SPV out of its natural geographical location and away from its neighboring MS. Map C will deny SPV students the benefits of proximity (to stoller and Timberland), ease of transportation, community coerciveness that is already established by their geographical location(North of HWY 26). Five Oak is located in the South of HWY 26 and has its own relative close-knit community and surrounding elementary schools that could conveniently feed into it without much disruption. In view of your pledged "transparency" I implore BSD to make their decisions based on their original and current MS boundary adjustment guidelines/stipulations. Thanks for all you do.
02/12/2020	Dhaneht Naruponjirakul	dhaneht@hotmail.com	Scholls Heights Elem				we want to keep our student body together when going to middle school and then high school
02/12/2020	LeAnn Gentry	leann_gentry@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				The Beaverton School District states collaboration is one of its pillars of learning, but I find it extremely difficult to see the clear collaboration between parents, the committee and the district in this process.1. Meetings are consistently held on the same day/time - making it impossible for some parents to attend.2. Parents were tasked with creating maps without any quantitative data or statistics, resulting in options that represented non-realistic scenarios.3. The district asked for parent input, yet waited to present their version of the boundary adjustments until the last meeting. 4. All scenarios are pitting communities against each other - and in some cases splitting schools quite literally and figuratively. I am in favor of Map C. I know this is a difficult process where we all are being asked to make changes. But, I feel there should be an option that benefits Rock Creek while not throwing my Oak Hills friends and neighbors under the bus. In short, I would hate for the committee and district to make a choice due to time constraints and shouting voices, rather than utilizing true collaboration and what is best for our entire community. There has to be a better way.
02/12/2020	Suzi Fei	suzifei@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				Please let the kids who live in Oak Hills neighborhood (east of Bethany) go to Timberland with the other kids who will be going to Sunset HS. There are only a few of them and it will make their lives so much better if they know many of the kids they will go to HS with. I can't speak for Oak Hills west of Bethany. If they will continue going to Westview, they will probably want to go to middle school with other kids heading to Westview. If they will go to Sunset, please make that change in HS boundaries ASAP and let them go to middle school at Timberland with the rest of Oak Hills. All of the Map C's have ridiculous Meadow Park boundaries. School boundaries should be geographically compact and not split kids up over the years.
02/12/2020	Qi Wang	qsw2019@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Committees should not even consider splitting Findley Elementary school since that is the worst choice based on numerous studies and shared experiences.
02/12/2020	Shawli	Shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Data not revealed is data hidden. If decision needs to be made all data should be shared at the same time. If you see an ad of a product for ten days, you will be more inclined to buying it than a product you just saw last moment. Upcoming meeting is supposed to discuss Map A. All I see is Map C. Where is Map A? When will it be posted. I don[?]t find it fair to update only half of the data and keep the other half hidden. Anything that needs to be discussed should be posted at the same time. Otherwise please do not post at all.

02/12/2020	Song Liu	song.gt.liu@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Dear BSD officials, We have serious concern regarding the current discussion of this middle school boundary adjustment. Findley students should not be split and should continue to go to Stoller for middle school. Findley's walkability to Stoller is currently at 47% and will likely increase in the future with new THPRD trails. MS Long range planning department should be aware of this and take into consideration of long range planning of other departments like THPRD. This supports our position to have all Findley in Stoller. The flip side of split can be tough on kids and logistical nightmare for parents as 3 way split is a possibility, based on walkability and summa. Thanks, Song
02/12/2020	song sun	songsun17@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	2.1 Map is MASTERSTROKE by the committee. Absolute common sense to not uproot contiguous neighborhoods. Walk-ability preserved. FINDLEY/JW-STOLLER-SUNSET theme is the pairing that delivers lowest cost for BSD and the only solution that avoids overcrowding at ES/MS and HS. BSD needs to establish similar theme for Sprigville/Sato/RC & #43; FO & #43; Westview that will deliver long term excellence.
02/12/2020	Long Reid	longreid@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Stoller MS	Beaverton	Early College	2.1 is good map. Great Job committee. If needed, summa can be moved to Timberland or split between Stoller and TL.
02/12/2020	Linlin	Linlin.hou@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		1. All Findley in Stoller is our first preference. 2. Findley's walkability to Stoller is currently at 47% and will likely increase in the future with new THPRD trails. MS Long range planning department should be aware of and take into consideration of long range planning of other departments like THPRD. This also supports to have all Findley in Stoller. 3. The flip side of split can be tough on kids and logistical nightmare for parents as 3 way split is a possibility, based on walkability and summa. Thank you.
02/12/2020	Richard Winkel	winkelrich@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		The goal should be to keep kids and schools together as they progress from elementary to middle to high school. My Oak Hills kids have already gone through being split up for high school as their friends west of Bethany Blvd all continued to Westview while they are part of a very small group of students from Oak Hills that are going to Sunset. Please keep elementary and middle school students together.
02/12/2020	Kelsey Hecker	Kahecker@gmail.com	Scholls Heights Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside		The Scholls Heights Elementary School community feels that it is vital to maintain our distinction as a direct feeder school to middle and high. We are a strong community that depends on each other! It is not in the interest of our children to separate them. Please respect our wishes and go back to the drawing board!
02/12/2020	Kelsey Hecker	Kahecker@gmail.com	Scholls Heights Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside		Please keep our students together as direct feeders to middle and high! We value our community and the friendships that our children have created in their elementary school.
02/12/2020	Deepan Sheth	deepan.sheth@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I want to thank the BSD staff in preparing & publishing detailed data. I would prefer MAP C2.0 & C2.1 for the following reasons:- Resolved overcrowding issue. - Reduced costs incurred by BSD for transportation- Improved socioeconomic diversity I also observed from transportation data posted on the BSD page:- SPV to FiveOaks ride time is 21 min average (AM) & 22 mins average (PM). This means kids will spend only 6 extra minutes on their commute, compared to 37 mins round trip average in the case of SPV to Stoller. I do not favor Map C2.2 for the following reasons:- overcrowding not solved, even if SUMMA is moved somewhere else.
02/12/2020	Ryan Le	ryanvle@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Middle school to High school transition is a critical time when friend influence starts to exceed parental influence in terms of happiness, mental health, academic achievement. Having a strong social network can provide lasting benefits well beyond high school years. I ask that the committee choose a variation of Map C that provides a more even split of students going to Sunset from Stoller. There is talk of removing both Findley and SUMMA from Stoller. Given the high concentration of SUMMA at JW, you are taking a terrible feeder pattern and making it even worse. Non-SUMMA JW students will be a tiny minority of students going to Sunset. Choose Map C which provides a more equitable split on top of lower transportation costs and improved socio-economic diversity.

02/12/2020	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Thanks for latest maps 2, 2.1 and 2.2. In Map 2.0 Timberland is underutilized but Meadow Park is at 117% in 2021. Both of these Middle schools are located just 2.4 miles away, this indicates opportunities to move some kids from Meadow Park to Timberland. This will avoid bussing far students to Timberland.
02/12/2020	Fei Xie	feixie@hotmail.com	Findley Elem			Very Strongly Against Map C!!! The proposal in Map C is contradicting its very own guiding principle of reducing split-feeding an elementary school/middle school to multiple middle/high schools. While it is attempting to reduce such split-feeding in the south region, it is proposing to split feed Findley Elementary School and Springville Elementary School to multiple middle schools in the north region. Also moving Oak Hills west to Sunset High School also does not help solve any real problem, but create hardship for families in that neighborhood by asking their high schoolers to change schools. Please stop this misguided efforts now!
02/12/2020	Cyndie Pelto	Cdpelto@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	I was under the impression that this was a MIDDLE SCHOOL BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT. How did oak hills elementary all of the sudden get looped together again and sent to sunset hs (map c)? We fought to stay together three years ago. You didn't listen to our community and split us up. We've spent the last three years investing in our new high school communities. Now that it's convenient to you, you want to shove us all back over to sunset? This is unacceptable. We are not pawns in your game of boundary chess. You're going to take a huge number of westview walkers and put them on a bus to sunset? Because Cornell Rd needs more bus traffic? How does that make sense? Figure out the middle school boundaries. Leave the HS alone. I am so exhausted still from the fight three years ago. This. Isn't. Right.
02/12/2020	Jay	jeys24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I want to bring out attention that SPV community are commenting about their commute time considering walking to the bus from home and waiting at the bus stop. Don't these kids current not doing it to ride to SM. I did not get their point, please AC should note this.
02/12/2020	Praneetha	Kollab4u@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		BSD transportation data shows the expenses report of buses from Springville to Five Oaks is almost twice the expenses from Springville to Stoller. BSD is spending the amount unnecessarily on transportation and also the amount of time from Springville to Fiveoaks is more than the time from Springville to Stoller. Instead why don't Findley be added to Timberland which is closeby to Findley. Hope the committee makes a decision that is more meaningful and solves the problem effectively
02/12/2020	Brian Adams	adamsbr@up.edi	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	Please consider the following when looking at the current maps being considered: Map A:- Keeps Oak Hills at Meadow Park with another neighborhood school (Bethany)- Keeps our current high school boundaries in tact- Keeps a Sunset feeder with the east side of Oak Hills Map C (all versions):- Isolates Oak Hills at Meadow without any other northern (Bethany area) schools- Changes our high school boundary which just happened to our community a few years ago- Oak Hills is the only Sunset feeder at Meadow Park (which is a very small number of kids) Thank you.
02/12/2020	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville Ride Times- THE TRANSPORT DATA SUGGESTS SAVINGS MOVING SPRINGVILLE TO STOLLER You can refer the analysis by transporation. Springville to Five oaks \$30,487 Springville to Stoller \$56,071 There is savings of 25,584 moving Springville to Stoller Findley to Stoller \$22,454 Findley to Timberland \$ 30,742 Increase of 8288 Even if you net out the difference (\$25584- \$8288) BSD will save more than \$17000 by moving Springvilel to Stoller. MAP A reduces the load on stoller as per BSD analysis. Also the transportation cost of sending Springville students to Stoller is much less than sending them to Five oaks. In addition the load on stoller can further be reduced if SUMMA program is moved completely to Timberland.
02/12/2020	Lynene Hanson	lyneneh@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem			Please consider Oak Hills east to attend Timberland middle school and Sunset HS. Thank you
02/12/2020	Irene Liew	irene_ilsf@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		I do not see Map A revision for the Feb 13th agenda packet. It has all 5 pages of map C revisions. BSD is not fair and transparent on this. Committees had voted for Map A and it should be tabled and discussion to revision on Feb 13th. I want to request for Map A to be revised and discussed on Feb 13th meeting.

02/12/2020	Kaan Oguz	kaanoguzz@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS		Hi Rock creek ES students should stay together with their communities. We oppose map A where only rock creek students are sent to five oaks. We support map C with minor corections. ThanksKaan
02/12/2020	Sid	siddappa.attur@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I agree to disagree that Summa is optional. There are differences between optional and Summa. We cant only predict kids in optional school say next year or the year later. But for Summa you can definitely have a number because kids need to write test to be qualified. There is no lottery for Summa. We cant ignore these differences. Summa makes sense to keep in schools where there is lot of Summa students. Stoller is surrounded by Jacob Wismer Summa kids. It doesn't make sense to move it away from kids far away them and make them follow it by bus. It's not fair for Talented And Gifted kids. If Summa is moved away from summa populated area, then this will be the NEW MISTAKE by BSD. JACOB WISMER HAS 1/3rd OF SUMMA KIDS. Do not introduce a new problem while fixing others.
02/12/2020	Brian Nauert	nauertjb1@comcast.net	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	The glaring problem with the current iteration of maps (Map C 2.0-2.2) is the fact that several middle schools remain over capacity while the newest MS, Timberland, remains substantially under capacity. In all three models Meadow Park is over capacity. As the parent of Oak Hills ES (OHES) students that will attend Meadow Park and then Sunset an easy solution to reduce overpopulation at Meadow Park is to move the Sunset bound OHES students from Meadow Park to Timberland. The benefit is two fold. First it reduces overcrowding at Meadow Park. Secondly, it allows the OHES students to attend MS with students who live in close proximity and will attend the same high school (Sunset, SHS). The transition from MS to HS is well know to be the most challenging of transitions young students undertake. There is numerous research studies showing the importance of peer group continuity in helping students with their social-emotional and academic growth. The importance of these peer group relationships during periods of change such as transitioning to high school from middle school becomes even more important. By moving the SHS bound OHES students to Timberland you are allowing these young students to establish strong peer relationships they can rely on during this transitional period further increasing their social-emotional and academic growth. To leave them at Meadow Park is to abandon them, to remove them from access to peer relationships they can use to ease the tough transition to high school. By keeping them at MP and having them transition to SHS they will enter as a cohort of 20 students out of a ninth grade class of 450. That is just over 4% of their total class; meaning in an average class of 25 they are unlikely to have any friends. This will only serve to isolate them and make harder what is already a difficult time in their life potentially stunting their social-emotional and academic growth. I encourage you to do the rational and right thing by moving the SHS bound OHES students (east of Bethany Blvd) to Timberland. It is a win for MP, a win for TL and a win for those students who seek the comfort of friendship.
02/12/2020	Pamela	Pamela.breum@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			As a Rock Creek parent, I 100% understand that we will be relocated to Five Oaks. However, map A that shows Rock Creek as the sole northern school to integrate there is absolutely unacceptable. It does not meet the standards for equity for our students. We are a small elementary school and our community is isolated from all other schools attending that middle school by distance and with commercial expanse situated between us north of 26 and the other schools south that would be placed with us. There is no crossover of community which creates a perfect scenario for our small contribution of middle schoolers to be viewed as outsiders and set them up for bullying and feeling lonely and disconnected. Moving only one northern school is completely unacceptable. Our students need to be relocated with at least one other northern school (Bethany, Springville, Sato) that are also feeders to Westview High School. As an outlier in the NW portion of BDS, Rock Creek has no options to go anywhere else but we cannot go alone. Our school needs to stay together and not split, which could be better absorbed by a large school vs our small school, and we cannot be isolated from our neighboring schools and communities as we are moved form Stoller to Five Oaks.
02/12/2020	Yichen chang	changyic98@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS		MapC2.0 is the best map. It will help to keep diversity in Stoller MS and reduce cost in transportation compare to other map. Please consider MapC2.0 as final. Thanks.

02/12/2020	Amee	ameegamin@gmail.com	Scholls Heights Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside	ISB	In the Map of January 30th, some portion of Scholls heights elementary is feeding in to highland park middle school. We request you to not split scholls heights elementary school in two different middle school. At this age friends are very important and we don[?]t want to loose friends in division. Please keep the whole elementary school in One feeder school
02/12/2020	Sam	Samirar20@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Map A is not a good solution . You cannot send only one school to south. Bethany is so close to five oaks and it would cost less sending them to five oaks rather than to meadow park. Sending Bethany with Rockcreek would save a lot of money for busing.
02/12/2020	Sarah Yahna	s.yahna@gmail.com	Barnes Elem	Meadow Park MS			I'm concerned about the possibility of the SUMMA program being shifted away from Meadow Park middle school. Page 3 of the February 13 Committee Meeting memo (dated February 7) indicates that the SUMMA program may be relocated away from Meadow Park to another school.I have two specific concerns/questions related to this possibility: 1) Will the current Meadow Park SUMMA teaching staff continue teaching the SUMMA program at a different school? (I believe Meadow Park has been home to SUMMA for many years and the staff have developed a strong SUMMA program.)2) Is any consideration being given to students who are in both SUMMA and Dual Language programs? Currently Meadow Park accommodates Dual Language / SUMMA (combo) students, however if SUMMA is moved away from Meadow Park, would students no longer have the opportunity to participate in both programs?
02/12/2020	Frances Hill	Cheetara31@gmail.com	Scholls Heights Elem	Conestoga MS			It seems that boundaries are way more complicated than necessary. The boundaries should be made for the high schools and then middle and elementary schools should feed into them. School populations should not be split up.
02/12/2020	Megan Needham	megan.m.needham@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Thank you for posting the two versions of Map A. As an Oak Hills parents I love Map A! It works well for my kids. That said, you still have significant overcrowding at Stoller and less utilization of Timberland. I think you should go with Map A but put one or more option programs (SUMMA, Rachel Carson) at Timberland to even things out. We all have to face it, lots of us live closest to Stoller, but there just aren't enough seats. Springville parents have noted that their kids will spend 45 minutes on the bus if they go to Five Oaks. Oak Hills kids already do that. We will all have a less than perfect solution, but the bottom line remains that no elementary school should feed into a middle school without one or more neighboring elementary schools. There are a lot of ways to achieve this goal, but it's of paramount importance.
02/12/2020	Karen Liu	changisme@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Please do not consider option A. MAP C 2.0 is the best option because it keeps all schools balanced. Please also consider that we cannot have five oaks over capacity from the start while timberland is going to be under utilized.
02/12/2020	Pooja	Pooja928@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	prefer MAP C2.0 & C2.1 for the following reasons:- Resolved overcrowding issue. - Reduced costs incurred by BSD for transportation- Improved socioeconomic diversity from transportation data posted on the BSD page:- SPV to FiveOaks ride time is 21 min average (AM) & 22 mins average (PM). This means kids will spend only 6 extra minutes on their commute, compared to 37 mins round trip average in the case of SPV to Stoller. I do not favor Map C2.2 because- overcrowding not solved, even if SUMMA is moved somewhere else.
02/12/2020	Kim Harris	Kmoore620@hotmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				As a parent in the Oak Hills community, I feel that map a best supports our students. It allows both populations of students that attend Westview and Sunset the opportunity to get to know students that will attend high school with people they met in middle school. If map c is our only option, then it would benefit the students from Oak Hills that attend Sunset go to Timberland and the kids that attend Westview go to Five Oaks, then they will be able to attend middle school with people that they go to high school with.
02/12/2020	Shraddha	Shraddha821@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				My opinion Let Sunset-bound Oak Hills go to Timberland.

02/12/2020	Carrie L Sillito	carrie_lefevre@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Mountain View MS		<p>For anyone else interested in the issues of poverty and access to education, this article is a little older, but is a great summary of how poverty influences educational access. While it is an "opinion" piece, it has links to quality research studies, and summarizes most of the Sociological findings looking at schools, wealth and poverty. We live in a unique district because we have such extremes in wealth and poverty. If we really want to make a difference for the next generation of students in Beaverton, Oregon, we can look at school maps that don't isolate low-income students in the same schools. If we can spread out wealth, and spread out poverty, within the district, it really makes a huge difference for low-income students, and it doesn't hurt the educational opportunities for students from wealthier families.</p> <p>https://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2013/12/10/education-poverty-international-student-assessment-column/3964529/ I would like to see ANY decision on boundaries do what is best for the low-income students in our area, since they are the ones most vulnerable. We can make a difference now as a district to help these students get a better education, go to college, and have a chance at a brighter future. And, THAT is MUCH more important than a few thousand dollars in our house values. Or more important than any of the other issues I've seen brought up.</p>
02/12/2020	Torand Powers	torandpowers@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>MSBA Committee, Thank you for pushing forward MAP C for further review. I am strongly opposed to ALL Map A's, and specifically the 2.0 version which segregates Rock Creek Elementary students from its community and sends it alone to Five Oaks. Rock Creek NEEDS the support of our neighboring elementary schools and community to raise the academic standards at a severely troubled middle school with low academic ratings, course offerings and morale. (And yes, I did go to the "Common Middle School Experience at Five Oaks"; however it's going to take more than changing the District-wide curriculum to help that school.) It is crystal clear why everyone is fighting like crazy to stay at Stoller. PLEASE consider how you can create 3 great schools out of Stoller. It's the fair thing to do. Our kids deserve a fighting chance. Thank you, Torand Powers</p>
02/12/2020	Kinyip Phoa	kinyip.phoa@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>I appreciate that BSD is making progress in understanding the parents' critical concern on travel distance from North Springville to Five Oaks MS and therefore ensuring this neighborhood to stay with Stoller MS. In the workmaps shared (workmaps_a_021220.pdf) for the 2/13/2020 meeting, while BSD attempts different ways to split Springville ES and Findley ES to balance the utilization of Stoller MS and Five Oaks MS, it is obvious that both map A 2.0 and A 2.1 would result in over capacity in either MS in either projected time frames. It is also obvious that in both maps, the new Timberland MS would be at 60~62% utilization (if SUMMA stays at Stoller MS), i.e. ~440 seats are idly wasted, or both Meadow Park MS and Timberland MS are at 80% utilization, i.e. similarly ~400 seats idly wasted. Shifting the over-utilization problem from Stoller MS to Five Oaks MS clearly defeats the objective of the 6-month long exercise of adjusting the MS boundary. Regardless whether keeping SUMMA at Stoller MS or not, Map A 2.0 is closer to the objective of keeping the utilization of all MS below 100% than Map A 2.1. The remaining over utilization in Five Oaks MS (29), Cedar Park MS (33) and Stoller MS (139), totals 201 seat, means the focus should be on expanding the boundary Meadow Park MS and Timberland MS to better utilize the 591 available seats, instead of trading seats among the over utilized MS.</p>
02/12/2020	Anil K Sreeramsetty	anilkumar246@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem			<p>We stay very close to Stoller middle school and considering that please assign Oak Hills to Stoller MS from current MS, Meadow Park which is far. Also, kids need to start the day very early and during rush hours the drive time would be anywhere between 30~45 mins and this is really challenging for parents who work in downtown.</p>

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Melinda Carlson	mcsings@live.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Kids who live in Oak Hills neighborhood (east of Bethany) should attend Timberland with the other kids who will be going to Sunset HS. It is a small contingent of kids and it will have a huge impact on them to go to high school knowing more of the kids, rather than entering as outsiders. For Oak Hills West of Bethany, they should attend whatever middle school feeds the high school they will be progressing to. If they will be moving back over to Sunset high school in the near future, it would be wise to have them attend Timberland as well.Thx!
02/12/2020	Huaiyu Liu	huaiyu@gmail.com		Stoller MS	Sunset	Wonder why map C, which receives the most oppositions, also receives more attention and a lot of re-works from the committee and staff. Is it where BSD wants to steer the solution to? School districts once said to minimize rezoned neighborhoods, and not to rezone high school boundary again. Map C creates many rezones of many neighborhoods, very disturbing. Also, map C creates an unprecedented situation that it splits, not one, but two, elementary schools (Findley and Springville), each into two middle schools. Yet at the same time, Map C cites reasons to "maintain MS to HS feeder pattern"; to rezone a few neighborhoods, such as Oak Hills west. If it is important to "maintain MS to HS feeder pattern", it is even more important to maintain elementary to middle school feeder pattern. Whoever proposes map C, please be consistent with your principle! As parents, we are strongly against splitting elementary feeder pattern into MS. Please take it seriously: "One of the requests from the committee at the January 30th meeting was to eliminate as many split feeders as possible.", as cited in the 2/13 meeting memorandum.
02/12/2020	Imal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Dear Sparks & Advisory committee members, Thank you for releasing the Jan 30th Map A versions of Map 2.0 and Map 2.1 Today. Is it intentional that the original Map A of Jan 30th not included? Map A2.1 diversity numbers bad because you assigned south Springville part to 5 oaks and kept Finley walkable and Summa in Stoller. Our Entire community believes BSD had their own map from the beginning of this MS boundary process. We feel this process is a farce other than playing with our emotions. Let[?]s prove they are wrong by bringing Map A. I request the committee members to ask for Jan30th Map A by moving Summa and Findley walkable to Timberland.
02/12/2020	Vimal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Dear Sparks & Ms Advisory committee members, I request you to have more meetings in March as the comments submitted by various Communities are not read by committee members. The last comments posted were a week back.
02/12/2020	Ann G	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	We need Q&A during the meeting. Our pleas fall on deaf ears. Our suggestions are being ignored. What is the reason why the MAP Springville community has submitted is not considered? You asked us parents to work with you and we did, we are. But why are we being ignored?
02/12/2020	arun	vijayanarun@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	WE NEED MAP A2.0 The Springville ride analysis indicates that transportation costs will reduce by moving Springville to Stoller rather than Five oaks. Also BSD will gain more than \$17000 in transportation by moving Springville kids to Stoller and Findley to Timberland. Also move the entire SUMMA and Findley group to Timberland. That will further reduce the load on stoller.
02/12/2020	john englund	ENGLUNDJA@GMAIL.COM	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	My son goes to terra Linda and my other son, Blake, is at Cedar Park. Timberland is only .4 miles from my house. Blake[?]s bus passes Timberland on the way to school. Being able to walk to and from school is positive for his health and the environment. It saves money and reduces traffic around us. I choose to walk and take public transit to work every day. Map C and the new map make the most sense for kids attending schools close to them.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Michelle A. Apel	momof.energy@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem				Thank you for your time and effort on this complex middle school boundary issue. I am writing to ask that you please consider allowing the section of Oak Hills Neighborhood EAST of Bethany Blvd. to attend the new Timberland middle school so these Sunset High School Bound students can meet other Sunset students prior to high school and stay with the same peer group. If the Oak Hills students living west of Bethany Blvd (currently bound for Westside High School) are to remain at Westside long-term they may prefer to attend a Westside feeder middle school. However, if those in the western Oak Hills section may ultimately be moving to Sunset H.S. then please consider allowing all of Oak Hills Elementary students to stay together and attend Timberland to establish a consistent feeder path into Sunset High School. This should lower student numbers at Meadow Park to relieve overcrowding and instead boost enrollment at Timberland. Thanks again for your time !
02/12/2020	Kayleen Welbourn	kwelbourn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		According to the layout in map C, Oak Hills is isolated from the other schools north of Hwy 26. We would not be going to high school with any other students that are included in this current layout. Please rework the boundary lines to consider grouping schools together not only to accommodate overcrowding issues but also to group schools together based on future high school projections.
02/12/2020	Imal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear Sparks & Advisory committee members, Thank you for releasing the Jan 30th Map A versions of Map 2.0 and Map 2.1 Today. Is it intentional that the original Map A of Jan 30th not included ? Map A2.1 diversity numbers bad because you assigned south Springville part to 5 oaks and kept Finley walkable and Summa in Stoller. Our Entire community believes BSD had their own map from the beginning of this MS boundary process. We feel this process is a farce other than playing with our emotions. Let[?]s prove they are wrong by bringing Map A. I request the committee members to ask for Jan30th Map A by moving Summa and Findley walkable to Timberland.
02/12/2020	Kristen Redshaw	kristen@umpah.net		Five Oaks MS	Sunset		Just observation - I don't understand why Rachel Carson is not listed as an option school? The Rachel Carson teachers are terrific, caring and passionate. My daughter is thriving despite the poor conditions (bullying, harassment, fights, drugs, lack of respect towards the teachers by the students at Five Oaks and the ongoing construction). The current mix of students is not working at the Five Oaks Middle School & Rachel Carson. I would vote for Rachel Carson moving out of Five Oaks by this new school year in Sept. 2020! During the last boundary meeting it was stated that Whitford could not handle the Rachel Carson Program (number of students), Cedar Park welcomed the Rachel Carson program and that the learning practices were aligned. Please do not send our children yet again to a place where they are not wanted indicated on Map C. Being a former Terra Linda parent and having friends and neighbors still at Terra Linda I would vote for map C. It keeps the community together- all the way through high school (Sunset). Map C maximizes walking to school saving \$372,000 in transportation costs and helps with the already congested roads around our middle schools. Map C balances student capacity and improves diversity.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Judy Turner	turner.judy11@gmail.com			Sunset	ACMA	The middle school boundaries proposed for the Feb. 13th meeting are concerning because they cause significant disruption to longstanding strong and stable schools such as Cedar Park and Meadow Park. It is very destructive to remove 60% of the current student population when those schools aren't overcrowded. With the heavy continued growth in the north part of the district, Stoller will be overcrowded again in 6 or 7 years. It will be necessary to leave more than enough room at Timberland for the rapidly growing student population in the north. It is important to apply the learnings from the recent new high school boundaries and the fact that they did not resolve the overcrowding at Westview or full capacity issue at Sunset. Meanwhile, Beaverton and Southridge are now under 75% capacity and those areas are not growing. This lack of balance is harmful and can lead to programs being cut or schools having to close. After spending \$185 million on the new high school in the south to solve the overcrowding problem, there were not enough students moved out of Westview or Sunset to actually solve the problem and we're already back at square one 3 years later. It seems like a similar situation will happen with the middle schools if significant portions of the current student populations are removed from schools like Cedar or Meadow Park. The split feeder patterns should be taken into consideration but should not supersede keeping schools balanced in terms of capacity long-term. Thank you for all of your hard work and volunteering your time to this very important effort.
02/12/2020	Glen Marcusen	ghmarcusen@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD and the boundary adjustment committee, After reviewing the most recently proposed boundary maps, Map C- the version with Rock Creek Elementary NOT SPLIT is by far the most equitable and desirable solution for creating a strong and vital middle school for the students dislocated from Stoller. DO NOT SEND ROCK CREEK without other schools (Springville and Bethany). This hopefully can academically improve the struggling Five Oaks middle school and not penalize the higher achieving students from Rock Creek, Springville, and Bethany making a better school all around. Please fund this reconstituted Five Oaks middle school so that it truly can become a diverse and a valuable asset to the district. Sincerely, Glen Marcusen
02/12/2020	Angela Karim	rocko@rockok.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		I support Map A as it keeps our current high school feeders in tact. We are established in our WV community and feel like the high school boundaries shouldn't be changed again since they were just done 3 years ago.

02/11/2020	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				I would like to express my support of working Map C 2.0 and 2.1. These two maps are the only ones that truly address the issue of utilization. Data from the Transportation Department has clearly shown that the bus ride from SPV to FO is not nearly as long as previously thought. In fact, it is only a few short minutes longer than what current SPV to Stoller students are enduring. Ride time is also dependent on where along the route your child is being picked up/dropped off. In 2021, Map A 2.0 has a whopping 5 Middle Schools close to or over 100% capacity. By 2025, those numbers do decrease, but Stoller increases to an outrageous 133% capacity (104% with portables). The fact remains... PORTABLES ARE NOT SAFE and no student wants to be stuck in a portable. The sole purpose of even having portables is to TEMPORARILY house students until space becomes available. With Timberland ready to accept students and address our overcrowded middle schools, there is no reason for any BSD Middle Schooler to be in a portable. Map A 2.1 is hardly any different. By 2025, Stoller will be at 121% capacity (95% with portables). This is NOT a solution. It is quite simply not possible to even come close to solving the utilization problem if SPV & Sato feed into the same middle school. Even by sending 200 or so SUMMA students out, the problem will NOT be solved. All versions of Map A just don't work. Map C greatly reduces the split feeder pattern going from middle school to high school and prioritizes walking creating easier and shorter commutes for more students than any of the Map A options. Map C also puts the most money back in our classrooms by limiting transportation costs. Map C introduces more socio-economic and academic equity across our middle schools and balances disparity more evenly, a significant benefit for all. Despite the splits of ES to MS feeding in Map C, the splits are closer to 50/50 allowing friendships to be preserved. If splits are not even, they can be tweaked. Although some say that Map C does not fill Timberland, Map A is even worse with Timberland at no more than 62% capacity. I urge you to move forward with Maps C 2.0 and 2.1. Some work still needs to be done to tweak them and address a few issues but they are significantly better than anything proposed in either versions of Map A. Thank you!
02/12/2020	Jane X.	Jxiong08@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Support map 2.0! Fewer bus travel and healthier students[?] diversity. For other two options, there are obvious shortcomings, like overcrowded Five Oaks or extra bus routes.
02/12/2020	Madeline	madeste65@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE! If we are going to Five Oaks, please send us all, this way our kids will have more familiar faces. Thanks!!
02/12/2020	Chung-Han Lin	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				With the current working map A and C sets. Based on Data, Map C is more preferred due to (1) Capacity: Almost all the map C sets have better capacity projection than Map A sets. Map A 2.0/2.1 have multiple MS over capacity and other MS below capacity (<800) which is a concern. The biggest issue is at Timberland where the utilization only 60% at some cases. Map C 2.0/2.2 have better capacity map through out BSD. (2) Transportation cost: Map C sets is better than Map A sets based on previous data analysis. The save money can be used for education improvement such as TAG students of helping students whom struggled. (3) Equity/diversity: Map C sets is better than Map A sets. Among Map C sets, Map C 2.0 is the most equilibrium one. Based on the current map on the table, Please consider Map C sets, especially 2.0 to continue.
02/12/2020	Chethan Srinivasa	schethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				The comments and map A for Feb 13 meeting is published after 6PM on Feb 12. How can a AC go thru these comments and understand the concerns of public.They need more time. A decision can be made on Feb 13 meeting. We need another meeting before the final one.
02/12/2020	Prabha Chethan	krchandraprabha@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Springville community do not have a representation in the AC. Our only means of sharing concern is via comments, the comments are published very late on 12 Feb for 13 Feb meeting, how would AC understand our concerns that's a bad sign of support for BSD.

2.12.20 MS Boundary Public Comment

02/12/2020	Vimal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		!!!!!!NO VOTING UNTIL ALL COMMENTS READ BY COMMITTEE!!!!Sparks I request you to either delay the voting until all comments read by MS AC or have more meetings in March. It[?]s impossible for the committee to read all the comments and proposals posted tonight at the last minute.We want our community concerns reached out to MS AC members before they participate in the voting.
02/12/2020	Madhu R	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	MAP A2.0 IS UNNECESSARILY GERRYMANDERED: Move Findley to Timberland without splitting them, along with Summa, and move the apartments near albertsons back to Stoller. You get much more balanced diversity numbers and COMMUTE EQUITY. I'm not sure why Map A was made to look worse than it is.Also, lets stop talking about transportation costs. It is a red herring and gets wiped out even if 30 students decide to find other options because they dont like the option BSD provided them, causing a reduction instate funds.
02/12/2020	Chethan Srinivasa	schethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8			None of the suggestions posted via comments are considered for resolving the problem of Springville kids long commute. Looks like this committee is pre-planned with sending the kids to five oaks. There are a lot of solutions which could be considered to reduce the commute of springville kids, please refer to all the comments about moving out the optional program suma in Stroller and moving findley to timberland.
02/12/2020	kate Yip	kateyip2009@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			Both Map A 2.0/2.1 have some issues need to be addressed: (1) Capacity: On both 2021 and 2025, multiple school are over capacity and others are under utilized. Map A 2.0/2.1 seems to back to the problem encountered couple meetings ago that all the committee member complained about the capacity/utilization issue for all working maps. The request was to re-draw the map if I remember correctly. If Map 2.0/2.1 have similar issue, these maps should be discarded. (2) Transportation cost: Although no data has been shared, it is believed Map C sets is better than Map A sets. The saving money can be used to improve the educational quality for ALL students in BSD. Reduce bus route and encourage students to walk/bike to school will also improve the health of the students. State law require all middle school kids have PE class every day to improve middle school students health status. Why not improve more? (3) Equity: Map A 2.0/2.1 induce a bigger range of free lunch rate among BSD, and make them even worse in the revised version. I personally don't think this match the BSD guide line of boundary re-adjustment. On the other hand, Map C 2.0 mitigate this problem. (4) Academic balance: Map C 2.0 have better academic balance of school north Hwy 26. Since Map A 2.0/2.1 have too many issue need to be addressed, Map C 2.0 is a better map to continue. Please consider Map 2.0 as the best candidate for boundary adjustment.
02/12/2020	Arun	mys.scribe@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		Advisory committee speaks about keeping feeder patterns. But there are some very glaring gaps... Here are couple of examples....Springville kids will be split between Stoller and Five oaks in the proposed maps. Summa kids from Springville will go to Cedar park. This means our kids are being sent to different parts of BSD from one community to 3 Middle schools.Findley kids will have a split from elementary to MS [Stoller & Timberland]. The Findley kids in Stoller again will split going to Sunset when many of their friends go to Westview. So they will never get a chance to get a consistent friendship from Elementary to High school.Hope the committee takes into consideration and stop splitting elementary schools and sends Springville to STOLLER and all FINDLEY to Timberland. This is what the parents are requesting based on all the comments I have read so far.
02/12/2020	Arun Gopalakrishnan	arun.gr@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		we need SPRINGVILLE + SATO + JACOB WEISMER in Stoller. It is possible and the numbers will work for 2021, 2025 and also from diversity perspective. If you move Summa and All of Findley to Timberland then the numbers will work. BSD and AC please review and create a map for this and see how it solves problem and meets JC objective.

FW: Data Accuracy for Data Presented during Middle School Boundary Adjustment Process Meeting

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/12/2020 11:08 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 2 attachments (251 KB)

TransportAnalysisOfMaps.pdf; TransportationCosts.jpeg;

please include in comments
SAS

From: Sandeep Kumar <sandysrivastava@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2020 11:05 AM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Data Accuracy for Data Presented during Middle School Boundary Adjustment Process Meeting

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Sparks,

First of all i thank you and all others involved in this process.

In all "Middle School Boundary Adjustment Process Meetings", BSD present Data (Transportation, Enrollment, SUMMA etc). These Data are then used by Advisory Committee to discuss different Ideas, Maps, Proposals and finally to vote on them. So All the Data presented are very Critical. (E.g. During the January 30th Meeting, BSD provided Transportation Analysis of Map A , B and C. It also provided Transportation Costs for Few Elementary Schools to Middle School route. I am attaching both of those document here so you know what I am talking about.)

I have one basic question: Who is accountable for the Accuracy of those Data that are presented by BSD to the Committee and Community?

Please share your feedback on it.

Thanks in advance.

Regards,
Sandeep Kumar

Transportation Analysis of Working Maps A, B & C

Bus Routes Needed	Current	Map A	Map B	Map C	Map A		Map B		Map C	
		Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif			
Cedar Park	17	11	6	8	(6)	-35%	(11)	-65%	(9)	-53%
Conestoga	11	11	11	10	-	0%	-	0%	(1)	-9%
Five Oaks	13	14	15	13	1	8%	2	15%	-	0%
Highland Park	9	11	5	7	2	22%	(4)	-44%	(2)	-22%
Meadow Park	11	8	13	13	(3)	-27%	2	18%	2	18%
Mountain View	9	12	13	10	3	33%	4	44%	1	11%
Stoller	16	8	7	5	(8)	-50%	(9)	-56%	(11)	-69%
Timberland		11	11	11	11		11		11	
Whitford	13	9	11	8	(4)	-31%	(2)	-15%	(5)	-38%
Total	99	95	92	85	(4)	-4%	(7)	-7%	(14)	-14%

Students Riding	Current	Map A	Map B	Map C	Map A		Map B		Map C	
		Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif			
Cedar Park	865	660	379	499	(205)	-24%	(486)	-56%	(366)	-42%
Conestoga	680	658	663	604	(22)	-3%	(17)	-3%	(76)	-11%
Five Oaks	759	853	901	786	94	12%	142	19%	27	4%
Highland Park	466	650	325	395	184	39%	(141)	-30%	(71)	-15%
Meadow Park	581	506	750	787	(75)	-13%	169	29%	206	35%
Mountain View	508	700	772	659	192	38%	264	52%	151	30%
Stoller	969	495	441	331	(474)	-49%	(528)	-54%	(638)	-66%
Timberland		684	684	675	684		684		675	
Whitford	570	548	689	476	(22)	-4%	119	21%	(94)	-16%
Total	5,398	5,754	5,604	5,212	356	7%	206	4%	(186)	-3%

Operating Cost	Current	Map A	Map B	Map C	Map A		Map B		Map C	
		Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif	Dif	% Dif			
Cedar Park	\$ 464,270	\$ 300,410	\$ 172,508	\$ 227,128	\$ (163,860)	-35%	\$ (291,762)	-63%	\$ (237,142)	-51%
Conestoga	\$ 300,410	\$ 299,500	\$ 301,776	\$ 274,921	\$ (910)	0%	\$ 1,366	0%	\$ (25,489)	-8%
Five Oaks	\$ 355,030	\$ 388,257	\$ 410,105	\$ 357,761	\$ 33,227	9%	\$ 55,075	16%	\$ 2,731	1%
Highland Park	\$ 245,790	\$ 295,858	\$ 136,550	\$ 179,791	\$ 50,068	20%	\$ (109,240)	-44%	\$ (65,999)	-27%
Meadow Park	\$ 300,410	\$ 230,314	\$ 355,030	\$ 358,216	\$ (70,096)	-23%	\$ 54,620	18%	\$ 57,806	19%
Mountain View	\$ 245,790	\$ 318,617	\$ 355,030	\$ 273,100	\$ 72,827	30%	\$ 109,240	44%	\$ 27,310	11%
Stoller	\$ 436,960	\$ 225,308	\$ 191,170	\$ 136,550	\$ (211,652)	-48%	\$ (245,790)	-56%	\$ (300,410)	-69%
Timberland	\$ -	\$ 311,334	\$ 300,410	\$ 307,238	\$ 311,334		\$ 300,410		\$ 307,238	
Whitford	\$ 355,030	\$ 249,431	\$ 300,410	\$ 216,659	\$ (105,599)	-30%	\$ (54,620)	-15%	\$ (138,371)	-39%
Total	\$ 2,703,690	\$ 2,619,029	\$ 2,522,989	\$ 2,331,364	\$ (84,661)	-3%	\$ (180,701)	-7%	\$ (372,326)	-14%

MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 30, 2020

TO: Steve Sparks

SUBJ: Findlay/Springville/Rock Creek/Cooper Mountain Scenarios

The following tables compare time, miles, and cost for transporting students in selected areas:

Findley to Stoller				Findley to Timberland			
Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost	Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost
1	35:05	6.9	\$15,997	1	36:35	8.2	\$ 19,011
2	22:14	4.1	\$ 9,505	2	26:28	6.4	\$ 14,838
3	27:27	5.3	\$12,288	3	29:01	6.4	\$ 14,838
4	29:49	5.6	\$12,983	4	41:33	10.0	\$ 23,184
Total		21.9	\$50,773	Total		31.0	\$ 71,870

Bronson Creek(FIN) to Stoller				Bronson Creek(FIN) to Timberland			
Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost	Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost
1	27:29	6.9	\$15,997	1	29:13	7.0	\$ 16,229
2	24:43	4.1	\$ 9,505	2	26:18	6.4	\$ 14,838
3	33:19	5.3	\$12,288	3	35:04	8.0	\$ 18,547
Total		16.3	\$37,790	Total		21.4	\$ 49,614

Springville to Stoller				Springville to Five Oaks			
Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost	Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost
1	40:34	11.0	\$25,502	1	42:32	11.5	\$ 26,662
2	30:14	5.1	\$11,824	2	43:31	9.6	\$ 22,257
3	30:36	5.2	\$12,056	3	43:53	9.7	\$ 22,488
4	23:06	4.2	\$ 9,737	4	34:52	8.1	\$ 18,779
5	24:31	4.2	\$ 9,737	5	36:53	8.4	\$ 19,475
Total		29.7	\$68,856	Total		47.3	\$109,660

Rock Creek to Stoller				Rock Creek to Five Oaks			
Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost	Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost
1	20:44	4.1	\$ 9,390	1	25:10	5.5	\$ 12,774
2	21:11	4.4	\$10,131	2	19:50	3.6	\$ 8,393
3	27:40	6.4	\$14,745	3	22:10	4.3	\$ 10,015
4	15:14	2.8	\$ 6,399	4	18:07	3.6	\$ 8,254
5	18:17	3.2	\$ 7,326		22:27	4.5	\$ 10,526
Total		20.7	\$47,991	Total		21.6	\$ 49,962

Cooper Mtn to Mountain View				Cooper Mountain to Highland Park			
Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost	Rte	Ride Time	Miles	Cost
1	24:34	5.2	\$12,102	1	28:52	6.0	\$ 13,887
2	34:33	8.2	\$19,011	2	38:54	9.0	\$ 20,796
Total		13.4	\$31,113	Total		15.0	\$ 34,683

FW: Request for change in Map 2.2

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/12/2020 5:21 PM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Please include in comments

From: Prabha Chethan <krchandraprabha@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2020 4:43 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Subject: Request for change in Map 2.2


⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Mr.Sparks,

I have a request regarding Map C 2.2 we are leaving part of springville kids in North Bethany making smaller island. Instead please kindly consider to make changes as mentioned in below snapshot. Area mentioned under red circle (Findley) is not walk able. It is 1.2 miles from Stoller. Also area mentioned in green is 4.5 miles away from proposed MS 5 oaks.

It will look better if we make below changes to provide equity to springville community. Small change in area makes a big difference.

I hope you will understand our concerns and address them.



Thanks,
Prabha

FW: Concerns with Map A 2.1 and Map C 2.2

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Thu 2/13/2020 8:59 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (3 MB)

Map A 2.1 and Map C 2.2 concerns.pdf;

please include in comments

From: Sri B <srib467@gmail.com>**Sent:** Wednesday, February 12, 2020 10:19 PM**To:** Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>; deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Anne Bryan <Anne_Bryan@beaverton.k12.or.us>; Becky Tymchuk <Becky_Tymchuk@beaverton.k12.or.us>; donald grotting <Don_Grotting@beaverton.k12.or.us>; craig beaver <Craig_Beaver@beaverton.k12.or.us>**Subject:** Concerns with Map A 2.1 and Map C 2.2

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Dear Mr. Sparks and the middle school boundary committee,

I am writing to you as a concerned mom of two from Springville ES. I would like to highlight a serious flaw and concern with working maps **Map A 2.1 and Map C 2.2**. This map splits Springville ES thus sending us to two different middle schools - the northern community feeds into Stoller while the southern portions feed into Five Oaks. **This makes the population that is at the southern end of Springville into a tiny island - this tiny island feeds into Five Oaks, while the rest of our friends in the north, our friends to the east and our friends to the south all feed into Stoller** (see attached PDF). The area immediately west of this community consists of PCC RockCreek that has no school age student population. The shaded portion in red in the attached denotes PCC and the farmlands west of this tiny island.


Moreover, in order to get to Five Oaks, we would literally have to jump over communities that are much closer to Five Oaks MS than us. As you know, **Springville ES has a very small footprint and the boundary does not extend more than a mile on any given side**. Given this, **I beg the committee to not split us. This small community should feed into Stoller much like everyone else around us.**

Please do not have a split ES to MS feeder pattern. Please do not divide communities. Please do not split Springville ES.

Thank you,
Sri

•

DON'T MAKE AN ISLAND OUT OF A SMALL COMMUNITY OF SPRINGVILLE IN MAP A 2.1 AND MAP C 2.2



- **If this isolated small community was sent to Five Oaks, every community around this small island will be going to a different middle school.**
- **Moreover, we will lose our Springville friends from the north.**
- **In order to get to Five Oaks, we will be jumping over communities that are much closer to Five Oaks than us.**
- **Springville has a small footprint as it is, the boundary does not extend more than a mile on any given side. It is not right to split such a tight community.**
- **We will be jumping over communities that are much closer to Five Oaks than us, in order to get to Five Oaks.**
- **This small community should feed into Stoller too, much like everyone else around us.**

**DO NOT SPLIT COMMUNITIES
DO NOT SPLIT SCHOOLS
DO NOT SPLIT SPRINGVILLE ES**

FW: Form Submission Received for form: Middle School Boundary Adjustment Comments

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Wed 2/12/2020 8:26 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>

1 attachments (2 MB)

alternate middle school boundary map.pdf;

Please include in comments

From: Alyssa Nelson <alyssa.nelson.cab@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 12, 2020 12:07 AM
To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>
Cc: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmutter@beaverton.k12.or.us>
Subject: Re: Form Submission Received for form: Middle School Boundary Adjustment Comments

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Please see an additional middle school boundary map, attached. I know you already selected your limit of maps and have narrowed down to two, but map C is just unfair if no HS boundary adjustments would be made. OHE is being shafted again, and they don't have the population of other schools that can afford being feeder schools.

Below are the most populated elementary schools in Beaverton, far right column is number enrolled in the school per <https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik=45669186>
It makes more sense for these schools to be feeder schools than Oak Hills Elementary. But because Oak Hills elementary is already a feeder school into Highschool, they need to go to middle schools that make a bigger population of the highschool feeder they are attending. For WS OHE, they should go to Stoller with part of springville and Jacob Wismer. For ES OHE, they should attend MS with Terra Linda, Findley and Bonnie slope where it works out. My map was me playing with it, but i have no idea the exact proportions for demographics data you are looking at. Springville will all end up at Westview, so they can meet more friends during their middle school years by being divided. Jacob Wismer looks to be divided at highschool, so give them some of Findley in MS,

Put McKinnley and SHS bound Elmonica at Meadow Park, and split Barnes to Meadow Park and Timberland with other BHS bound kids. From there, i tried to be fair to the schools on the other side of Beaverton, but I'm not as familiar, so adjust as needed and follow a similar theme with keeping the school fairly matched populations for MS entering into HS, but hopefully the numbers could be adjusted. If only MS boundaries are being adjusted and nothing else is being considered, than please look at the population of kids entering MS to HS, and what that HS population break down is for its ES and MS feeders. OHE is a minority entering HS, especially those on Eastside of Bethany. Thank you for any consideration, also I'm curious if this sort of map meets any of the other criterias - walkability, transportation costs.

Aloha-Huber	Park	Mountain	1,033	893
Springville	K-8	Five Oaks	950	884
Jacob	Wismer	Stoller	749	727
Beaver	Acres	Meadow	893	708
Vose	K-5	Whitford	818	693
Bonny	Slope	Timberland	777	655
Sato	K-5	Stoller	760	649

Findley	K-5	Stoller	855	636
Hiteon	K-5	Conestoga	736	634
McKinley	K-5	Five Oaks	682	634
Nancy	Ryles	Conestoga	731	630
Kinnaman	K-5	Mountain	801	599

