

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

DATE	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTION	COMMENT
02/02/2020	Lindy Ersan	lindyersan@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I am extremely saddened to see that sending some of Springville kids to Five Oaks continues to be in discussion with the proposal of the latest map. Sending my children to a school that is the longest middle school commute in the district is unfair, unnecessary, and inequitable. In the process of establishing these boundaries it is impossible to quantify the disadvantage this would give my children for their future. The long commute time and removing my children from their community excludes them from the full benefits of extracurricular activities which are fundamental to their molding into productive members of society. You simply cannot put economic value on my children's well being! I pay taxes like everyone else and my children should be entitled to the same opportunities as others. Putting them on an excessive bus ride twice per day takes away from their homework, safety, sleep, family time, sports, lessons, etc and is unfair. I kindly ask that when you make your decision to consider your own children when you think about the implications this would put on the children of Springville. All children should be given the same opportunity to succeed--putting some Springville kids in Five Oaks puts them at an unfair disadvantage.
02/02/2020	Bharad W	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I learned recently of the MAP C that was presented in the last meeting, that I could not attend. This was a surprise to me, as my expectation till then was that we short listed MAPs A and B that would work for all the community. MAP C is severely unjust for Springville as it cuts this community from North Bethany neighborhoods and ignoring the school nearest to us, sends the kids across freeway, 8+ signal stops, at peak traffic times to five oaks which is neither walkable or have a bike access. Our kids will not have time for after school activities and form teams with communities in North Bethany as these programs are based off of school. The commute from Springville to Stoller is not 40 minutes and the data presented in the chart is so blatantly false that commute time difference from Springville to either school is only 2 min!! That is simply absurd! Please stop tearing apart neighborhoods this way and favor one community over the other from access perspective. We already lost option middle school, and we were bussed to Timberland while we had it. Now we lose Stoller as well. All the while we should have a new school built in this area, but for some reason the new investments have never materialized in North Bethany, even though we pay high property taxes. Please reconsider and do not go with MAP C!! RegardsBHarad
02/02/2020	Sach	hsachin@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Me Sparks and committee members. The previous boundary meetings clearly established that the working map that would be discussed and selected would be for 90% of permanent capacity in 2021. This issue has been discussed and agreed to stick with 2021 numbers. In the previous meeting held on Jan 30th, All the maps displayed were of 2025 projected numbers that has been extrapolated from the communities that do not exist currently. The advisory committee members are given very less time to analyze these numbers with curveballs like 2025 numbers instead of 2021 numbers and hence resulting uninformed decision. BSD needs to stick to agreed framework and not contribute to already chaotic scene.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Mimira Cole	adeedams@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	<p>Hi BSD, Oak Hills Elem cannot be the only school feeding to a southern MS (MAP C). If OH cannot go with RC and Bethany (staff feeder map) into FO or MP, I will suggest you consider OH with Timberland. Based on map C, Timberland has the capacity for an additional full ES like OH with the low student population and also OH might be considered for HS adjustment as suggested. I really do not know what BSD plans are with Stoller since student population will always be greater than 90% if you have summa and part of Findley OR Springville and Sato combined as feeders, but since the committee members are more enthusiastic about map C than A, please eliminate splits as much as possible and OH will be able to feed into timberland which will be underutilized if Findley split to timberland is eliminated. The initial goal of BSD was Timberland will be a comprehensive MS not housing summa (based on FAQs). Also, I do not think Timberland should have summa since all the summa students (excluding part of FE) in Map C were in Meadow park summa. If these points are implemented, Stoller will be an almost equal split between Westview and Sunset and BSD will only have to deal with OH's high school split issue. Please consider that OH does not want to be an island school just like RC in some maps. We want academically balanced school for our kids.</p>
02/02/2020	Lynnsey Sitter	lynnseysitter@gmail.com	Findley Elem			<p>I want to be really clear about the primary reason I support map C. It's BECAUSE it splits Findley, not in spite of it. And I urge anyone who reads these comments and feels similarly to let the committee know that. We live essentially across the street and think it's absurd that we'd be bussed so far away. That is NOT a good use of school resources.</p>
02/02/2020	Emily Williams	emilyewilliams81@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem			<p>The majority of the northern community will stand behind Map C under these conditions (in whatever order you want to communicate them based on your own preferences): Reduce the splits, particularly unnecessary high school splits. The newly introduced splits and those that were established because of the high school boundary adjustment process are unacceptable. Westview feeding schools that are split should be directed away from Westview to mitigate overcrowding at Westview. Schools leaving Stoller would rather do so as a united school than be split to have a portion remain at Stoller. Keep northern schools with their northern neighbors that feed to the same high school Don't split up communities. No northern school should be sent south to either Five Oaks or Meadow Park without another northern elementary school that is feeding to the same high school. No northern elementary school should be an island that is sent to another community, south or otherwise without a neighboring school to join them. Limit unnecessary bus time or travel time by parents to transport children to and from school, especially when the destination is to an extracurricular activity associated with that community. Limit the bus costs and utilize walkability when possible, unless doing so will split up a school. Neighborhood elementary schools come before optional programs. Do not leave Timberland underutilized when there are neighboring northern communities zoning to Sunset that could be added without forcing the school to be overpopulated. Do not force an optional program into a school when it is already overpopulated or there is the potential to unite communities. After communities are preserved via feeding, place optional programs closest to the majority of the expected population to limit transportation time and costs.</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Anonymous	Anon@anonymous.anon	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	<p>I had a comment regarding Map C and the Policy JC: On Map C, the northernmost neighborhood in Springville is ISOLATED and sent alone all the way to Five Oaks without any other North Bethany community. It is also bussed more than 2x the distance travelling over neighborhoods that are actually much closer to Five Oaks. This appears to be a clear violation of the neighborhood proximity and accessibility JC, especially while retaining option programs like summa at Stoller at the expense of these Springville children. Also note that the North Springville community being subject to this is a tiny ISLAND. The adjoining areas marked in pink do not have students: it is PCC Rock Creek and fields. Map C also splits neighborhood elementary schools 8 different ways. This splitting appears to help diversity numbers but appears otherwise arbitrary and splits up way too many elementary schools. That is also a violation of the JC policy that calls for Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school; I urge BSD to begin handling diversity at the elementary level before arbitrarily redrawing maps and splitting neighborhoods in middle school. Some splits are inevitable but must be minimized. Also, Map C splits summa multiple ways without the 270 critical mass the principals asked for at some locations, and once again sends Springville on a much longer commute for Summa but I wouldn't have an issue with the additional transportation part since this is an OPTION PROGRAM that parents choose with their eyes open. Overall, it appears that between the transportation department and Jacob Wismer interests prioritizing Summa over neighborhood children, Springville appears to be getting a terrible deal here. I urge the committee to be fair to Springville children and not isolate us further from our North Bethany community. All the acrimony pitting us against each other is already bad enough.</p>
02/02/2020	Madhu R	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ACMA	<p>REGARDING WALKABILITY: maximizing walkability is a great goal in an utopian world, but the reality is that Timberland was built at the wrong place. It is very wrong to maximize walkability the way it is in map C by isolating a single north bethany community, and the northern most community, north Springville (note there are no middle school students in adjoining PCC) and sending them all alone south of 26 with no other neighboring communities. This will be a grave injustice to Springville children if not addressed. Remember that all 3 maps reduce transportation costs from where they are today, and there are many intangibles regarding children's time. My older child is able to go to jazz band because we are able to drop him off before hours in his neighborhood school, Stoller. With map C, my youngest child will not have these types of opportunities and will be isolated from all of his north bethany peers and be part of the lone northernmost bethany community packed up and shipped all the way south to Five Oaks. Btw we did not appreciate the transportation employee treating our kids like packages in his testimony for Map C.</p>
02/02/2020	pallavi mathew	ptambay@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>Dear Committee Members, Thank you for your deliberation through this process. I have a 5th grader at Jacob Wismer who has walked to school every single day since 3rd grade and is fortunate to have made it to SUMMA. If the majority of SUMMA students at Stoller come from the Jacob Wismer and Findley areas, how does moving SUMMA to a different middle school become a financially sound choice for BSD? Doesn't it add transportation cost at the expense of teaching resources, environmental cost at the expense of neighborhood tax dollars and the disruption cost of moving an established SUMMA program to a new location? Thank you again for your time and for welcoming parent input.</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8			CHOOSE REGULAR MIDDLE SCHOOLERS OVER SUMMA I do not understand how BSD can expect to give preference to SUMMA program, over a COMPREHENSIVE MIDDLE SCHOOL program. Isn't MAP C the very definition of an ELITIST solution? It wants to promote walkability for SUMMA kids, while sending regular middle schoolers 45min-1hr, one-way, to a far away middle school. Where is the SOCIAL JUSTICE here? Does BSD only work for the welfare of SUMMA students? Springville kids WILL NOT have time for Homeworks, Extracurriculars or SLEEP, if they are sent to Five Oaks - IS ANYBODY LISTENING? BSD – PLEASE DEFINE WHAT EQUITY MEANS TO YOU – WE ARE LISTENING!
02/02/2020	Naveen Kasam	kasam_naveen@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			SPRINGVILLE COMMUNITY IS INTEGRAL PART OF NORTH BETHANY Our kids have friends and do activities with Sato, JW and Findley kids. SPV is integral part of North Bethany. MAP C is making SPV a big island and isolating it from its neighboring community. YES, THERE ARE NO HOUSES IN PCC AREA.
02/02/2020	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members, another thing I want to ask is that "do you remember EUIITY" , something you mention in every meeting! You know that not all kids are in walkable area to middle schools. You put transportation costs in front of everything, how about the kids who are not in walkable area? Do you think there is equity to the kids who are bused 45 mins x 2 = 90 mins every day? For example, in Map C Springville kids are bused 90 mins per day just because you believe MONEY is the most important thing!!! Map C didn't save that much money for suffering 500+ kids everyday (according to your data, Map C only saves 25K per year, it is NOT even enough for hiring one teacher)!!! But you are taking away ONE HOUR from 500+ kids per day! How much do you get paid per hour? You don't want to pay 25K for 10000 hours for 500+ kids, That's only \$2.5 per hour!!!! How about I pay you \$2.5 per hour to drive my kid to school?
02/02/2020	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members, I know none of you live in our area, so please look at Map C carefully. Please look at PCC on the left side of Springville elementary, PCC is a community college, there is no neighborhood in that area. On left of PCC, there are two farms. Map C created a perfect island for Springville kids. They there no direct way to drive Springville kids to Five Oaks, Springville kids have to be driven around to get to Five Oaks for 45 min single way!!! No school wants to split, you heard that in the past meetings, why do you still want to split 8 schools? So the most important thing is money (map C saves only 25K per year over map A), not our kids? What's your objectives for sitting there? What's your criterias? Please remember them and think about our kids when you make decisions. Thank you!

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Pauline	Uyenl@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>I don't know about your kids but mine prefer to be on the bus going to school instead of walking...they said it's safer to be out of the rain/cold/wind/crazy drivers/kidnappers and also they have more time socializing with their friends in the neighborhood, which is hard to have at the school because people are not in the same hall/class. Of course if the school is right behind my backyard or just a few houses down, I prefer them walking. I agree walking is good in general especially for the adults, who are matured enough to deal with the bad/unsafe situations, but for younger kids, I think their safety and social life are more important to them right now. Yes, Portland rains and it's nature, we can't change it, but we can change the way our kids have to deal with the rain. However busing to school is safe/fun/good socialization but it should be limited to a short distance, not across the crazy US 26. The distance from Springville to Five Oaks is more than double comparing to the distance from Springville to Stoller - 4.6 miles vs. 2.1 miles. The travel time to Five Oaks will definitely be longer if not double especially through the crazy traffic at US 26. Please stay away from working map C. Please fix either the working map A or B to balance out the capacity and avoid splits. The transportation cost in all 3 maps already improved from the current cost. Map C has the least cost but is this meeting about middle school boundaries or is it about reducing transportation cost? Map C is a ripoff for us at Springville, who paid very big buck for the house because of Stoller, its high rating. Just because of creating equity diversity, we're getting moved to a much lower rating school like Five Oaks. It's not fair for us at all. If we definitely have to removed from Stoller, I rather my kids be moved to Timberland. Thanks!</p>
02/02/2020	Leena Bendale	Lbendale@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>Students growing in every elementary school, lots of construction going on Thompson and Saltzman as well.. and there will be new constructions wherever there is big old single house or empty land. What boundary should consider is the time taken by students to reach their middle school. And logical split. New school is always preferable and should consider new MS for students living nearby. The boundary shift should be cascading and not go with entire elementary school.</p>
02/02/2020	Charlie Near	near4182@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			<p>Dear Committee - please consider Map C as the sole map to move forward in the MS Boundary Adjustment process. It is the only map that offers real solutions to the overcrowding of Stoller, the distribution of diversity and socioeconomic backgrounds of students and was put together by experts within our district. Map C also addresses walk/bike ability leading to less traffic on our roads and lower transportation costs. For a district that had a deficit upwards of \$34M less than a year ago, irresponsible spending would simply be an irrational and inappropriate use of tax dollars. It would also take away from teachers, and vital student programs. Map C also provides Summa programs at both Timberland and Stoller, the 2 locations with the majority of Summa students who can walk/bike to school. The facts are clear and the community spoke loud and clear at the 1/30 meeting that Map C is the best option for the majority of students and families. It is time to make the right decision. A decision based on facts. Please keep Map C as the one working map.Thank you!</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Madhusudhan Rangarajan	xxxx@xxxxxx.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Without mentioning specific proposals that pit neighbor against neighbor, my view is that Map C needs to be modified to:1. Fill Timberland. Map C doesn't get there and that would be a waste of a \$61M facility that was unfortunately built at the wrong place2. Reduce Stoller to 90% in 2021 to account for some fairly aggressive legacy accommodations. Take a hard look at option programs : It will be very unfair to give those priority over general education.3. Avoid islands and isolating portions of the community. Ensure that any moves that happen result in multiple neighboring communities still being together.4. Avoid the 8 different elementary splits. Some splits will be unavoidable but we have to balance it against other factors.5. Maximize walkability but balance against other factors including neighborhood proximity and accessibility. Unfortunately we have a middle school at the wrong location and cannot end up with a utopian walkability map.
02/02/2020	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Thank you BSD and Committee members for bringing in Map C on Jan 30 for discussion. This is clearly the most logical and data driven map that delivers the best balance of the Policy factors that were set out to achieve: 1. good mix of student body composition for both Stoller, Timberland, Fair Oaks, 2. current and future space availability by not having two of the largest growing elementary schools entirely feed into Stoller MS, 3. decent feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school for all elementary schools and specifically for Jacob Wismer kids having friends to go all the way to both Sunset and Westview HS, 4. maintaining the sense of community by encompassing the benefits of neighborhood proximity and accessibility -> thereby reducing significant costs to the school district. For a school district that has had multi-million dollar deficits in recent years, every dollar adds up. Map C SAVES the MOST DOLLARS for the district - I urge the committee to focus on this map.
02/02/2020	Lindsey J Estep	lindseyjstep@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Findley parents have resigned to the fact that we will be going to Timberland and quite frankly we are fine with that. Our main concern is to not be split up. Our children are involved in many competitive athletic programs that involve all of Findley Elementary school kids that develop into feeder programs for not only the middle school but also Sunset high school. It is truly important for the building of these young deep friendships, that they not be split up. Please consider this in your decision making that we all just want to be together.Thank you!
02/02/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				CHOOSE STUDENTS OVER BUSES Let us be clear on one thing – BSD planned and invested 61 million dollars of tax-payer money to build a new middle school in a location where walkability is not the primary mode of transport. BSD also removed the middle school program from Springville. The transportation department is a supporting organization to help BSD with mapping bus routes and hiring bus drivers. In what world is it fair to put the burden of bad planning on middle schoolers of the Springville community? Are we just collateral damage in this middle school boundary adjustment process? BSD's decision has the power to impact our children – please ensure it does not negatively impact them, let us not set them for failure by letting them have unreasonably long commutes to and from school. TWO WRONGS DON'T MAKE A RIGHT
02/02/2020	Luis	lobf99@hotmail.com		Stoller MS			Thanks to the committee for listening to the community in the last meeting and adjusting course a little bit. It is important to look at both, 2021 and 2025 enrollment data. We must look at LONG TERM GROWTH and ensure Stoller has STABLE growth and enrollment. Out of the three maps, Map C has the lowest enrollment in 2025 despite having the highest in 2021, please consider this moving forward. Eliminate splits in MapC, and fill Timberland with OakHills and perhaps Bethany.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Mishty P	Anoymous@anyone.com					I prefer Map A (with a few minor, logical tweaks) because of the all round unfairness in Map C. I would recommend moving Summa out of Stoller to be fair to the hundreds of other kids trying to get to their regular middle school. You can't expect kids to travel a longer distance just so that the elite option program is within walking distance of a select few. Please remember that this option program is not lottery based but has very specific criteria for admission which for some of these kids is a couple of years of preparation. If people choose to go down this path they should be able to drive them to Timberland which is far closer to them, than some of the schools are to Five Oaks.
02/02/2020	Bharadwaj Wuppalapati	wbharad@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I found out yesterday that MAP C is being pushed as the favorable option. I also noticed the absurd claim that Springville to Stoller bus time is 40 minutes!! and Springville to Five Oaks is 42 minute!! This is blatant lying and you can use google MAPs or any of the more scientific methods to determine That.Moreover this MAP C is being pushed to avoid SUMMA from being moved from Stoller and push out Springville Kids instead.This is blatantly unfair. Summa program is common across communities and a lot of Springville kids are in SUMMA too. By definition this is for one percent of the population and you should not sacrifice well being springville community middle school kids by subjecting them to longer commutes and inconvenient timings. Moreover MAP C also splits Springville SUMMA kids away from the regular middle schoolers. This is tyranny of the majority over springville community.BSD is creating an acrimonious environment in our schools through terrible planning of where they put the new schools and causing us a lot of grief in the North Bethany. Our years of hard earned savings to buy homes where the kids are closer to the schools is now upended by this short sighted planning.Not only is Bethany not getting additional middle school, it lost it's optional middle school and now a portion of teh community will be busing kids to opposite end of the district!!This is so unfair and I plead with the community representatives to not push for MAP C. RegardsBharad
02/02/2020	Kobika	kobika_gu@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		My kids go to stoller with school bus only 12 minutes.But if you send them to FO, it will take double time, and they don't have enough sleep time. It's a very important growing time for the kids in middle school. Please consider kids' health and growth.
02/02/2020	Fatih Ersan	ersanfatih@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		REASONABLE COMMUTE TIME FOR KIDSIt is suprising and upsetting to see that the district puts another Map (C) for consideration. This puts a portion of Springville kids travelling to Five Oaks 45min one way each day. This is taking away valuable time of the kids which is vital for their development. We as adults change our long commute jobs to a job that's closer to home to spend more time with our families. I heard that there was a transportation representative that went over the transportation aspect of the proposal. I would like to see the district bring in a school psychologist to go over the impact a long bus commute has on a child's development. Kids deserve to be a part of their community and spend time with their families. Sending Springville to Five Oaks is taking away from this.
02/02/2020	Sioban	Sioban.liang@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	DO NOT ISOLATE SPRINGVILLE!!Springville is a part of north Bethany. Please don't make Springville to be isolated from the north Bethany community! Thanks!Sioban, a mom of two kids from Stoller.
02/02/2020	Sing	mylittlesingsing@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Say NO to Springville Island. Keep Springville in Stoller.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Muduganti N	narendermr@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	To balance the schools with school achievements, ratings, and to keep the schools capacity, I think the following should be done:1. Keeping the Findley walkable to Stoller, rest (south of Findley) which is close to Timberland MS should go there, that is a win-win and good for kids with their commute2. If the above is NOT meeting the 90% capacity goal, then move the SUMMA to either Meadow Park or Five Oaks, so that those MS will become balanced with other MS in regards to ratings, capacity and others3. One key thing is that the kids should feel they are part of all other communities and their commute is equal so that the can come back from school and take part in different activities as most of them are in Bethany. 4 of 5 kids have after school activities after school everyday, so please take this into consideration to give EQUAL OPPORTUNITY to all kids in regards to time by reducing their commute times.Hope the BSD will seriously look into it as this will bring all MS's balanced and keep kids commute equal.Thanks in advance.LETS have a fair process to give all kids GOOD life giving equal opportunity.
02/03/2020	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Last meeting BSD explained that to begin with Summa was not in Stoller. But with time they found most Summa kids, a huge majority of them lived around Stoller. So they started a program here at Stoller. Most kids even today, 151 of them live around Stoller at walkable distances. So this argument still holds good. Kindly retain SUMMA at Stoller. Jacob Wismer elementary is the only elementary in North Bethany that feeds into two High Schools. We have accepted this division. Moving SUMMA from Stoller will further divide us.
02/02/2020	Chandana	Chandanabvrl@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Please include JW students to Stoller.
02/02/2020	sean gong	seanwbgong@gmail.com	Springville K-8		Westview	DO NOT ISOLATE SPRINGVILLE!!Springville is a part of north Bethany. Please don't make Springville to be isolated from our north Bethany community! Thanks!
02/02/2020	Cindy	niniding1117@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	PLEASE DO NOT PLAN SPRINGVILE CHILD AS AN ISLAND.We strongly oppose sending children to a commuter car. We demand equal treatment of school time for each school child!
02/02/2020	Varshitha M	Varshitha_m@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Looking at Map C, shocked that making Springville school an ISLAND and sending kids ~5 miles on bus, which is not fair for these kids as they have to be on Bus for 30+ mins minimum ONE WAY. This is NOT FAIR as it is violating BSD policies. The following are flaws in map C:1. SPV kids have to be on bus for 30+ mins one way, which is NOT SAFE environment as kids get mad and all sorts of things happen2. Not giving equal opportunity to all kids3. There are many communities in between SPV and FO and why not sending them to near by schools and sending SPV kids 5.1 miles away crossing 10+ signals, some are busy signals, which is NOT at all right4. There are other flaws with map C like not considering other BSD guidelines set for this MS boundary adjustment So request to correct all these flaws OR Map A and Map B should be considered as that seems they are meeting all set criteria.Be FAIR to the process and give all kids good and equal opportunity Thanks



2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	varsha	a.varsha26@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	- The current MAP C placing Springville into a island for feeding to Five oaks doesn't make sense. There are no houses in PCC Rockcreek area leading upto 185th.- Why should Springville students travel almost 1.5 hr each day to reach a school. Also in MAP C, Springville students have to hop around 2-3 schools (middle and high schools) to reach Five Oaks. - In addition, consider the traffic in Springville road, leading to 185th, US26, MUTIPLE TRAFFIC STOPS IN BETWEEN, they have to beat all this spend additional 45 mins just for travelling. Just doesn't make any sense. Compound the problems for Springville students during winter. BSD Please justify as how will this reduce transportation costs. In fact it will be more because of the additional travel time.- If Springville students have to go to Five oaks, it would be the longest distance a middle school student has to travel.MAP C should be modified to have Springvile feeding into Stoller OR would be BETTER for BSD to retain K8 in Springville.
02/02/2020	Giles	banochanggiles@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members, please • Don't ISOLATE SPV!• CHOOSE REGULAR MIDDLE SCHOOLERS OVER SUMMA • SPV KIDS ARE NOT FEDEX PACKAGES • COMMUNITY BONDING• SPV INTEGRAL PART OF NW BETHANY• HUMANE COMMUTES FOR KIDS • CHOOSE STUDENTS OVER BUSSES • IS NON-WALKABILITY A CRIME?
02/02/2020	Shailesh Joshi	jshailesh@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Filling Timberland was one of the main goals. Map C doesn't cut it, its a waste of appx \$65M facility that was unfortunately built at the wrong place. I would like to know what was the thought process behind building a new school on East side in first place if eastern community doesn't want to go there.
02/02/2020	Shailesh Joshi	jshailesh@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Map C creates Springville as an isolated island and then sends kids to appx 5mile south while other school communities enjoy proximity. If walkability and proximity were top priorities on top of what BSD laid out in first place then bring back Springville MS.
02/02/2020	Pushyami Atluri	atluripushyami@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Hello , with current proposal for Springville to be part of five oaks MS , I wanted to give my two cents. Five oaks is really far away , it's about a 45 min commute with traffic one way. When taking into account this to be five days everyday for a long foreseeable future , I don't see how this will be a sustainable option for my kids. Stoller is the only option for Springville community
02/02/2020	Jinqi Wang	skymile789@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	My children attends Springville elementary school. Regarding middle school boundary, Stoller is my only option as all other schools are too far. I don't accept other middle school no matter how bus route is optimized. Best optimization for me is to keep Springville students in Stoller.
02/02/2020	Mahalakshmi	maha1787@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Commitee members,If you implement Map c, then our kids need to travel a long distance and it will take around 45 mins each side in the peak hours which is not good and obviously will increase the cost and time.Springville kids are pretty much crossing Stroller boundary to reach Five oaks. Crossing US- 26 is no big deal for adults as we have to do it everyday. But if the Springville kids crossing US 26 is not a good deal.(Springville kids are pretty much crossing stroller boundary to reach Five oaks.)Please make a priority and reject Map C.
02/02/2020	Sachin	Sachindun@gmail.com	Springville K-8			It is shocking how Five Oaks Middle school is even being considered for Springville. It will be a big everyday struggle traveling such a long distance. After school activities will also stop. They should be assigned to Stoller middle school only. If this does not work out with BSD, I would recommend home schooling for all the middle school kids.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Dr Yin Yee Ng	karen.yyng@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		While considering the final proposals, the BSD should list whether at least the following two relatively objective criteria are met : 1) proposed capacity of each MS as a ratio of the permanent capacity should be about or below 100% for each school, and 2) the total commute time for *all* students traveling from their home to the MS should be minimized, not just for some students of specific school(s). Otherwise it is hard to convince people that the proposals are fair. Some of the current proposals will lengthen lots of students commute to 45 minutes if not more. Please lower the preference for those proposals as they will negatively impact student experience.Of course other factors should be also considered, like diversity and inclusion, minimizing moving students etc.
02/02/2020	Nagendra Earle	nagendra.earle@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Hi BSD Middle School Boundary Adjustment Committee, not sure why Springville students have to commute for 45 mins one way when there is a middle school (Stroller) closer.Please do the right thing and help kids!!!
02/02/2020	Piyush Srivastava	piyush.0101@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	We are not in favor of Map C as it requires kids in Springville to commute to Five Oaks which is a 45 min commute one way through some of the busiest intersections during rush hours. Springville northernmost communities will go all the way south while other communities get to enjoy really short commutes including the communities which are much closer to Five Oaks than northernmost Springville communities. Eg. Findley and Jacob Wismer are much closer to Five Oaks than Springville and they will continue to go to Stoller.Map C is really unfair for Springville kids.
02/02/2020	Li Lei	leimli.hit@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I disagree moving students from Springville K-8 students to other middle schools except Stoller Middle school. And I don't think students of Springville K-8 attending five oaks middles school is a valid option, due to following two reasons:1) Commute time is largely increased. Most of the parents of Springville are living in North Bethany area. Commuting to five oaks middle school costs 13 min - 16 min., doubling the time of commuting to Stoller Middle School which is 6min. - 8 min., based on google map. 2) for the parents in North Bethany area, they already voluntarily contributed to the Stoller Middle School a lot. Moving to other middle schools is neither fair nor acceptable emotionally.
02/02/2020	Jeff Liu	ljf6362@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Sprinville kids should not be bus'ed for 45min to five oaks. Stoller is the only choice for Sprinville kids. Please make FAIR and RESPONSIBLE decisions when making the school boundary adjustment!
02/02/2020	Bing Hu	binghu.hu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Stoller MS is the only option for my kids. DO NOT send Springville to 5 Oaks because too far away with long time commute. It is unfair.
02/02/2020	Kelly Chen	zhongguoren.xi@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Map C splits so many elementary schools and also moves so many elementary schools just to benefit Jacob Wismer, which is absurd. The only choice for Sprinville kids is Stoller. Other middle schools are too far.
02/02/2020	Chris	ml9182@gmail.com	Springville K-8				To MS Boundary committee,On the Jan30th meeting, majority of you voted to carry forward working Map C.However, you seem to have missed that on the northern boundary drawn on this map, Springville becomes an island as there are no residential homes on PCC rock creek and the adjacent farm lands!To me this seem to be just another ploy to win the walkability race by the privileged few at the expense of sending other kids 45mins each way.You need to fix this map. You must refrain from finding every possible reason to kick Springville kids out of Stoller, an MS with a more reasonable and acceptable commute time for them. I know it has been asked of you one too many times already, but you have to consider and work on what is EQUITABLE to all BSD kids.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Asmi Shinde	459068@bsd48.org	Springville K-8				This is in regard to middle school boundaries being changed in the Abbey Creek neighborhood - specifically from Stoller MS to 5 Oaks/Cedar Park. For the children that live in our area, traveling to these 2 other middle schools would become a huge hassle for time - ranging from 20 to even 45 minutes on simply the bus ride there, with an additional 30 minutes on the way back. When they finally do get home, their day will have been cut short, with almost no time for any extra curriculars or activities of their choosing - not to mention the struggles of the parents that need to pick their student up out of school early for whatever reason. This boundary change will cause an unnecessary and incredibly detrimental shift in both the kids lives as well as the parents. I do agree that Stoller is getting overcrowded and am not dismissing that fact, but I believe instead of changing those boundaries for this area, the boundaries should be changed based on distance. In this way, no one will have to travel any farther than 20 minutes. I ask that you all take this account into consideration. Respectfully, Asmi Shinde
02/02/2020	Jay	Jeys24@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear AC, Advantages of Map C: 1. It improves Equity for all the middle schools in the district and making BSD overall in Equity. 2. Improves diversity, reduce transportation cost, improve free lunch eligibility distribution. Improves Walkability. 3. Map C provides Rock Creek with a substantial Portion of Springville at Five oaks. Both Rock Creek and Springville go to Westview HS. This will tremendously improve Five Oaks Middle school. Suggestions: 1. Move Oak Hills from Westview HS to Sunset HS to maintain MS to HS feeder pattern. This is a very valuable move. West view is over crowded moving part of oakhills to Sunset will relieve some pressure at Westview. 2. Move Oak Hills from Meadow Park to Timberland. This will help them remain with the Sunset bound elementary schools. This move will help utilize Timberland better. 3. Also Move Barnes Elementary from Cedar Park to Meadow Park. Meadow park offers dual language program. This would be great for Barnes. Thanks Jay
02/02/2020	Zhengchun Lu	lzc2046@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		We live in North Bethany area and it only takes 12 min for my daughter to take bus 103 from NW 170th Ave go to Stoller every day. Moving our kids to Five Oaks will take about 45 min one way! How can you make such decision as a public school district? The recent Map C has emphasize easier transportation for the school district, which is unfair for our students! The BSD has to listen to parents' voice and STOP bring new maps every single time after many maps have been discussed and discarded.
02/02/2020	Yufang Chen	Joeychen131@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		PLEASE JUSTIFY MAP C Map C failed to achieve the top 2 objectives that this boundary adjustment is aimed for. Why do we want to go with a solution that does NOT solve the original problems? 1) Create an boundary to fill up Timberland new middle school. - Map C only fill up 75% of Timberland[?]'s capacity. A huge waste of the \$60M new school capacity. This waste outweighs all the gains from transportation savings. 2) Relieve Stoller Population to 90% of the capacity. - With Map c, Stoller capacity is at 100% by 2021. It is a Failed map!
02/02/2020	Ning He	ning.he@intel.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	I strongly recommend working map C. This is best option of all. My reasons are: 1. the lowest Stoller 2021 and 2025 students of all 3 options. This is the goal of district boundary adjustment. 2. the option with the lowest added cost. The lowest number of students require school bus which is most friendly for all students and parents. The most neighborhood friendly option with least amount of traffic. 3. the least impact to existing students.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Jane Hoh	Jane.hoh@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Dear Committee Members - I would like to show my support of Map C which meets the long term stability and sustainability at Stoller M.S. This map addresses the overcrowding issue at M.S. and keeps the communities surrounding Stoller M.S. in tact. In addition, it provides more social support for students transitioning from middle school to high school (Stoller to Sunset). I'm grateful this map has received committee approval from the last meeting and I strongly urge you to carry forth with the plan. Sincerely, Jane Hoh
02/02/2020	Elena	bryntseva@gmail.com		Stoller MS		Please, not sending Springville to 5 oaks middle school.
02/02/2020	Sharon	Li.xlian@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	It has to be Map C. It's the most reasonable one from many points. One of them is that over 150 Summa kids are in and the number is growing in 5 years. Keep current program in Stoller will save transportation cost and save students time on road. Which definitely benign the school and community in long run.
02/02/2020	Bhavithra	Sbhavithra@hotmail.com	Springville K-8			To BSD boundary committee, One of your maps ( Map C) in the boundary change process is to send Springville kids to Five oaks middle school instead of nearby Stoller middle school, will only bring detrimental effects towards kids' well being both physically and mentally. Their commute time to Five oaks is 45 mins to one hour one way and this will result in not having enough time to do their home work or extra curricular activities because of their mental and physical strain that they will face in the long commute in the school bus. There will be more chance of bullying in the bus when the middle schoolers spend more time in the school bus. As per State of Oregon's educational policy that 'no child left behind' is not applied here when you consider feeding Springville kids to far away Five oaks. You are challenging our kids ability to perform well and become a better citizen and this is a total discriminational act. Please put yourself in our kids shoes and the pain of commuting of 2 hours back and forth every day and please go towards an unbiased decision. This should not happen to any kids in any part of the world. Thank you.
02/02/2020	Carmen Steen	steenc@ohsu.edu	Rock Creek Elem		Westview	In reviewing the the most recent maps (A,B,C), I am curious about the possibility of SUMMA being entirely housed at Timberland. It seems that may be the solution to the overcrowding at all of the schools that are overcrowded in all other maps where they also house SUMMA. It would also boost the numbers of Timberland. Just another option. And speaking of option, perhaps even consider sending all of the Options schools (with the exception of ACMA, which apparently will have its own school, and is a 6-12 school) to Timberland as well. Since both SUMMA and Options are (for the most part, I believe) being bused anyway, this may be another solution to the busing issue. It would certainly decompress Stoller. I will also continue to reiterate that, while it seems inevitable that Rock Creek be moved away from Stoller, we should not have to go to either Five Oaks or Meadow Park as the only school from North of 26. Bethany seems like the logical choice, as they are also a smaller ES, and closest geographically.
02/02/2020	xinjie yang	xinjieyang001@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I totally disagree with MAP C!!! For the map C of last meeting, So students living around Springville school will be isolated? PLEASE BE AWARE there's a huge PCC rock creek campus between Springville school and 185th roads, and large farm lands west of 185th and north of west union, and Springville students are divided in two parts, with south part continue to stoller and only students who lived north will go to 5 OAKS! WE are so sad to see our kids are treated like this, all neighbors around will go to the same school except students at the very northeast part of north Bethany!! This is such a thoughtful proposal!!!

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Prakash Reddy	ratnaprakashreddy@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear Committee members,I would like to express my disappointment in pushing map C. Map C fails for the following reasons:1) Stoller is at a 100% in 2021 and even greater in 2025 again this does not meet the objectives of what BSD set out to at the beginning of the process.2) Springville should not be cut off from the surrounding community. Springville is an integral part of north Bethany. Map C is making Springville an island and isolating it from other communities at North Bethany. 3) The Island part of Springville has got to be fixed in map C. All the adjoining areas are PCC, a nursery and fields, resulting in the northernmost neighborhood being sent all the way south without any of its neighbors to over 2x the distance with no actual bus route time provided by transportation.4) I am worried that our kids must commute double the distance each way everyday. It is sad that our concern is ignored.I would urge the BSD to think more from the point of view of children's day to day struggles that this map would bring in and not from the transportation department,s perspective.
02/02/2020	Siddappa	siddappa.attur@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Map C is perfect for longer range planning for Stoller.(1)100% of Jacob Wismer is walkable to Stoller ( According to walkable map)(2)Jacob Wismer's Westview feeder kids have overlapping kids from Sato.(3)Jacob Wismer's Sunset feeding kids have overlapping kids from walking Findlay.(4)Keeping Summa at Stoller totally makes sense, as 151 kids out of 233 summa kids are walking, that's 65%. Just use that money saved in transporting these 151 students for development.(5)Moving summa out of Stoller is like breaking Jacob Wismer.(6)According to 2019 summa kids map, all 86 summa kids from Jacob wismer are walking. That's 100% of Jacob Wismer summa kids.(7)District already has made mistakes in drawing boundaries, like walking Findlay kids to Stoller can very well walk to Jacob Wismer for Elementary, but now they are bussed to Findlay.(8)Now in the process of fixing things we should also make sure we are not breaking other things.
02/02/2020	Harsha Yelisala	harsha.yelisala@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			PLEASE RETAIN SPRINGVILLE WITH STOLLERKindly do not disturb the North Bethany community's spirit by separating springville and feeding to 5 Oaks. NO for the long commute.NO for plan C

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Margaret Garcia	peggy.garcia@nike.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			<p>Dear Board members, I am writing to ask that South Beaverton be given a voice to this discussion about middle school boundaries. The initial maps that you provided at the beginning of this process left South Beaverton virtually untouched and therefore many of us felt that our boundaries would not be changed. There is no reason to be active in discussions if your area is not being changed. As the maps have progressed through this process, the Middle School boundaries in South Beaverton have moved and working maps A, B and C (that came out on 1/29/20) have some very major changes for the South Beaverton area. My ask is that you give us a chance to weigh in through your comments process and in the meetings and do not let the opinions of the North dominate the decision making process. Steven Sparks came to our PTO meeting on 1/28/20 and said to us that approximately 90% of the comments on this website were from the North Bethany area. We all have a vested interest in making our schools better, and to understand what the future holds for our children. Even for us parents who can rarely make it to evening meetings. We should all have a voice to figure out what is best for Beaverton Middle Schools. We, as parents, also deserve to better understand how these changes to the Middle School boundaries may affect how the High School boundaries change before our elementary school students reach high school. If one of these 3 Maps goes into affect you are solving the issue of keeping Cooper Mountain Students together when they transition to Middle School. You are creating the problem that Middle School Students will be separated when they go to different High Schools. For social purposes, I would say that it could possibly be more important for Middle School Students to have each other for support when they go to larger high schools than the transition from Elementary to Middle. Since my child is currently mapped to go to Mountain Side High School, I would prefer her to go to Highland Park Middle School. More children from Highland Park will be mapped to Mountain Side High School. For this reason I would vote for Map A. Thank you, Margaret (Peggy) Garcia</p>
02/02/2020	Hua Wen	huawener@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>I was not in the last meeting (1/30) and was shocked to hear that Map C was brought to the table without broad discussion within committee members as well as with public. It just came out of blue. While it is good to see an analysis of bus routes and operational cost on Map A &amp; B, but it went too far with proposing a Map C solely based on these analysis, and completely disregard all the previously agreed consensus on the boundaries. In my opinion, the transportation analysis should be done on the final candidates agreed within committee (Map A &amp; B) to make a better judgment on either A or B will move forward as final map, and it is totally inappropriate to bring up a completely new map (Map C) that is just based the transportation analysis alone.</p>
02/02/2020	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>Neighborhood elementary school should take precedence over any option program. Stoller is Springville's neighborhood school. Springville should be given preference to stay at Stoller over keeping a SUMMA program at Stoller.</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Shannon	Jmhsu1217@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I support Map C. I deeply believe that the decision should be made best for the equality of all area throughout Beaverton School District creating every Middle school of better compositions of ethnic and economic diversities. Mac C of Jan. 30th meeting is by far the most well balanced one and makes the most sense by its supporting numbers. Map C not only meet the School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment but also has some important keys that make it stand out:1. Lowest CostMap C beautifully lower the expense in transportation. In this difficult moment of shortening education fund, we urgently NEED more fund to put into education itself for the whole district to benefit from it. According to the 1/30/20 meeting, not only Map C, also Map A and B have students busing long distance, but Map C can pick up a large amount of students in one stop from the north with the convenience of 185th (fewer stop signs and traffic light compare to other maps), the efficiency will makes travel time optimistic. With that being said, Map C will be the best choice to benefit whole BSD.2. Best equality: Map C best provides equitable learning environment across the middle schools. BSD students should have equal right and opportunity by BSD making all middle schools academic balanced. That means more ethnic and economic diversities in each middle school. Map C is the most balanced map of all. We know that one of the School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment is to provide a projected student population of "APPROXIMATELY" 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller. With that being said, Map C is very close to the target by having only 69 kids over permanent capacity in 2025. Consider other maps with higher cost and not so balanced competitions. Map C serves the best for creating the beat future for the district. All kids deserves an equitable learning environment across the district. Therefore I support Map C.
02/02/2020	Peng Li	lipengit@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Map C doesn't make any senses for Springville families. For Springville families, Stoller is the only option, since all the other middle schools are too far. It is unfair to send Springville families to five oaks. Please discard Map C.
02/02/2020	Kara	karaneighbor@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				As a member of the Rock Creek community, I plead that you disregard Map A and focus on modifying Map C. Map A produces the most expensive and least equitable result of the two maps the committee is considering. Map A shifts Rock Creek to Five Oaks with no other northern schools, moving the most economic disadvantaged students away from Stoller. In addition to leaving our neighboring schools, our students will then be placed with an entirely new and already established community of students -- many of whom won't even be attending Westview with them. Though it is hard to leave Stoller, I recognize this is what makes most sense for BSD as a whole. However, Map A completely marginalizes Rock Creek. We must have at least one other school from north of Hwy 26 move with Rock Creek to allow us the opportunity to build up Five Oaks from its current status. We simply cannot do this alone. I also ask that you allow those Rock Creek students who will be attending Stoller during this boundary change the option to remain at Stoller. One of my children will be a 6th grader at Stoller next year, which will require him to navigate a new school and group of peers, and the following year be shipped to an entirely new school with a whole new group of students. He will then graduate 8th grade, move on to a high school where few of his middle school peers will attend. This is far too many changes to put upon a middle schooler -- especially during the awkward, insecure and emotional middle school years.
02/02/2020	Jing	Jingwangclay@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Please don't choose map c! It is too far for Springville kids to go there. It will be very hard on kids.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Jennifer Hoffman	krh0923@msn.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	<p>I wrote a comment at about 1:30 on Sunday, Feb. 2nd and didn't receive a confirmation email, so I'm worried, it didn't go through! My main question and concern was Why Mr Sparks presented at the Cooper Mountain PTO meeting different maps, from what he presented just 2 days later at the boundary meeting? Up until the PTO meeting, families weren't even aware that Cooper Mountain would even be affected by the boundary change. And now it feels like final maps are being studied and reviewed. I've listened to the live broadcasts since last fall and I hardly ever heard anything about south Beaverton, and certainly not cooper mountain and changing HS, from Mountainside to Aloha. The culture and community at MHS has been established by the help of many Cooper Mountain Families. Almost every kids at Cooper regularly wears MHS sweatshirts! When MHS boundaries were established, the district and MHS invited the community to a fun run, open house, food truck night, football games, concerts, PTO meetings, band performances, the community, including Cooper Mountain families have connected to MHS and we feel this is our school. There was no booster club or PTO, and now there is! It would be shocking, heart wrenching and heart breaking for this area not to be able to continue at Mountainside. Thank you for understanding my concern and fear. -Jennifer</p>
02/02/2020	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Dear committee members and Mr. Sparks, We need to work together to generate a plan works best for BSD. I fully acknowledge that map c has lower transportation cost than map a, but it is a concern to me that map c doesn't solve the two goals of this MS boundary discussion: stroller is still over-crowded, and new MS is not well-utilized (utilization under 75%). From the perspect of meeting these goals, map a clear did a better job. Thus, I proposed to combine the benefit of map c and map a. Based on map c, we can 1. move all Findley to timberland 2. move all stroller summa to timberland 3. move springville to stroller 4. move rachel carson back to five oaks There are a lot of benefit of this plan. 1. The transportation cost is EVEN LOWER than map c. Based on data from BSD, it costs \$68K to bus springville and stroller, and \$109K to bus springville to five oaks, so \$41K transportation cost reduction by moving springville from five oaks to stroller in map c; On the other land, it would cost \$21K more to move entire Findley from stroller to timberland. Since map c already moved part of Findley, so actually cost increase would be less than \$21K. Overall, it would save BSD at least another \$20K a year by moving Findley to Timberland, and keep Springville at stroller, compared with map c. 2. Another downside of map c is that it splits 8 ES. In my proposal, Springville and Findley won't split. 3. Springville won't become an island. In map c, springville doesn't appear to be an island, but in fact the east part of springville is farm land without any people, which makes springville an island in map c. In my proposal, this is fixed. 4. Stroller utilization might be lower than map c. In current map c, stroller utilization is 100% at 2021. In previous maps, stroller utilization is 88% with springville, sato and jw. My new proposals added part of rock creek, as map c suggested, to balance stroller diversity, but stroller utilization might still beat map c. (need BSD to process the data) 5. Timberland utilization is clearly improved. In current map c, timberland utilization is less than 75%. It is a huge waste of money to build a \$60M school and not use it. 6. Rachel carson program won't need to move. Moving Rachel carson requires to build new garden at new site. This is a waste of resource and we should keep Rachel Carson at Five Oaks if possible.</p>
02/02/2020	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao@gmail.com				<p>I need to remind everyone that these discussions have a few goals to make with consideration of several facotors. Goal 1: Fill Timberland Goal 2: Reduce Stroller Utilization to 90%. It is true that map C has better transportation cost, but if it does not meet the goals, no need to think about it. In addition, transportation is only one factor to be considered. Bottom line is to MEET THE GOALS.</p>
02/02/2020	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao841123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>The goal of this meeting was to reduce stroller utilization to 90% and fill timberland. It is not to minimize transportation cost. Map C should be excluded right away.</p>



2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Andrew Moen	andrew11395@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS		Dear Committee members, Thank you for volunteering your time and I appreciate your dedication to a fair process. I am a parent of a Cooper Mountain ES and a Highland Park MS student. I have been attending the MS Boundary Advisory Committee Meetings since the beginning of this process. The majority of maps discussed to this point showed no change to the current feeder pattern of Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS to Mountainside HS, as in Map A. As a result, there was no perceived need to make verbal Public Comment at the meetings because there was no discussion of impacts to the south end of the district. In the Jan 30 meeting, I was very concerned with the lack of discussion regarding the significant impacts of Map B and Map C to the south of the district. I request that the Committee focus on Map A to keep Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS as this is our community and desire. A change to this pattern would send Cooper Mountain ES to a middle school that does not feed their high school, which would be extremely impactful to the students. I urge the Committee to spend their remaining time focused on refining Map A as it relates to the south of the district. Map A preserves the Cooper Mountain ES to Highland Park MS feeder pattern and our Cooper Mountain community.
02/02/2020	Cindy	Siso@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	If the only purpose is to save money, we can figure out a better idea. Map C is just way too ridiculous for Springville kids.
02/01/2020	Jasmine Kwatra	Kwatrajasmine@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	We have been part of Jacob Wismer and Stoller community for the last 8 years, both my kids have walked and biked to school and love it, our neighborhood have numerous kids that walk and bike to school everyday and brings our community together, this also leaves less carbon footprint since that many less cars on the road. My younger son is going to Suma at stoller this coming year and looking for the same community experience that his sister is having, please keep Suma program at stoller.
02/02/2020	Helen Chang	portlandhelen@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem			After attended last adjustment meeting, I was with mix feeling that map A and C were pick to be left for next meeting. When I looking into map A, I feel deeply that RCES is cast away from other northern schools. Why is RCES? Why only RCES? For me, If a school has to be kicked out of Stoller, that is bad enough. That school should not be cast away without any northern neighbors. All northern schools should be with at least two other northern schools. I don't like/support the idea of Map A. If I have to pic one between map A and C, then map C will be my choice. The reason is that RCES will be going to 5 Oaks with some other schools. Even I don't 100 % like the idea of split RCES into 2 different MS, I still go with map A just because of sending multiple northern ES to southern MS. I believe this will make southern MS more successful in the future. Also it will be more beneficial for Beaverton SD and our children . Please consider map C.Thank you!
02/02/2020	Lisa Perry	lperry@doink.net	Findley Elem			A vote for Map A: We want schools to remain together. Please do not split Findley! We originally wanted to stay in Stoller and, per Map C, we are split so that we would remain at Stoller. However, given the choice to stay with Stoller and only half our school or go to Timberland with our full Findley student body, we highly prefer the school stay together and we all move to Timberland. Thank you.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Megha Gupta	writetomeghagupta@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Hi,I am a mom of 2 kids who have high aspirations in life. With due respect I want to state that map C is a commute debacle for Springville, it is not acceptable in its current form. Springville kids are being forced to commute 30-45 mins one way on a busy route crossing a highway at peak time. Kids would hardly get time for after school classes. We can't settle on a map which is too harsh on a neighborhood even if it makes everybody else happy. NO, MY KIDS REFUSE TO BE THE SACRIFICIAL GOAT just for some BSD numbers to look good.Below is a quote from a research done on ill effects on long commute:Each additional minute of commuting is associated with an even greater 1.3-minute reduction in sleep. To put that in perspective, if one student had a 10-minute commute, and a second had a 30-minute commute, the second student would get an average of 26 minutes less sleep.<a href="https://www.citylab.com/life/2019/05/high-school-commute-drive-sleep-exercise-children-teens/588850/">https://www.citylab.com/life/2019/05/high-school-commute-drive-sleep-exercise-children-teens/588850/</a>In the end I suggest to amend map C and make space for Springville kids in Stoller MS by moving the options program to Timberland or any other means.Thank you so much,Megha</p>
02/02/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>CHOOSE STUDENTS OVER BUSSES In the boundary adjustment process, BSD has to clarify what are its primary objectives – is it to find a solution path with the greatest reduction in transportation cost (the numbers provided are dubious, at best) OR is it to provide an equitable solution for all BSD students? What is the end goal of the boundary adjustment process? BSD – What do you want your legacy to be? Help hundreds of kids from an extra-long commute, Be the reason that they will have a balanced life during their middle school years, just like their peers in other communities – Not more, Not less, JUST EQUITABLE. Now, that is a fine legacy to live with.</p>
02/02/2020	John	johnmichaelroberts11@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>REMEMBER THE COMMITTEE OBJECTIVES:A very obvious issue with Map C is the clear fact that it doesn't meet any of the JC objectives! Stoller still remains overcrowded, Timberland still remains underutilized, and feeder patterns are an absolute wreck (8 elementary school splits and only 2 of 6 high schools have just two middle school feeders, leaving well more than half the high schools with 3 feeders!). We have TWO other maps currently on the table that the committee had already narrowed down that bring us significantly closer to the objectives given by the district. I do not understand why we are suddenly beginning with Map C despite its being the furthest map of 3 from where we need to be. We must begin with alleviating crowding at Stoller and the first thing that must go is optional programming. At no other time and place has optional programming taken precedence to general programming. If overcrowding must be eliminated at Stoller and underutilization must be managed at Timberland, we must first remove summa from Stoller and transfer it to Timberland. At no other place in the district is walkability a factor in determining where to offer optional programming. Walkability is not a protected right under JC objectives nor BSD policy even for general education students, let alone for optional programming such as summa. The ongoing conversation to protect walkability at the expense of actual listed objectives must stop. Another listed objective is to develop clean feeder patterns as much as possible. However Map C creates the GREATEST NUMBER OF SPLITS at both the elementary/middle and middle/high school levels. Again, why are we entertaining this map?! Timberland is being underutilized and Findley is being split in an effort to maintain walkability despite clean feeders being the objective, NOT walkability. Let's increase Timberland's utilization (a JC objective) and create a clean feeder (a JC objective) by sending all of Findley to Timberland. Not only does this meet two of three JC objectives, it also SAVES the district money by allowing Springville to attend Stoller instead of being bussed to Five Oaks (an overall district savings of nearly \$40,000!). Map C also only provides diversity at Stoller by creating an island of Springville, marooning it in the north to attend Five Oaks with no local peers, and excising its most disadvantaged population creating yet another split feeder (in violation of the JC objectives!). Map C has Stoller at 100% in 2021 when the committee objective is 90%. We have 2 other maps with Stoller at 92% and 83% but we are working with map c which is at 100%! Map C is entirely illogical in terms of meeting any of the objectives of this boundary adjustment. I greatly urge the committee to RETURN to its original determination that Maps A and B are the most logical starting points.</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Dong Xi	dongxidx@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee, Thanks for the excellent work from all of you on discussing about MS boundary adjustment. I sincerely recommend us to move forward with Map C because it fits the best interests of our whole BSD. By keeping summa program intact at Stoller in map C, it dramatically maintains the walkability for nearly 150 students. This not only provides the best learning and safe environment for them and their siblings, but also significantly reduces transportation cost for BSD. It maintains friendly, stable MS to HS pattern for all graduates especially JW, Findley sato and Springville kids because everybody is easy to find a company when goes to high school. It will also dramatically improve the national rankings of BSD middle schools - especially for timerland and five oaks because of adjustment of ES to MS feeding pattern. Great work ! I believe the final decision that is suitable for all students can be made based on map C. Regards, Dong Xi
02/02/2020	Madhu Rangarajan	Mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING THE ISOLATION OF SPRINGVILLE IN MAP C: Map C isolates Springville from the rest of the fellow North Bethany community and is sent all alone to Five Oaks with no neighboring communities. The area in pink next to Springville in Map C is empty farmland and PCC Rockcreek. Please do not isolate and ostracize Springville from North Bethany. We know there are folks constantly speaking up for other schools, but Springville is feeling voiceless in the committee and helpless looking at what Map C subjects it to. The reality is that BSD built a middle school in the wrong location relative to growth. Do not punish Springville children for this by isolating and ostracizing them and shoving them down to Five Oaks all alone without any neighboring communities.
02/02/2020	T White	dealsnaggingsix@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		Thank you for all your work on this hard subject. So far it seems, every step forward still looks like a step back. The biggest concern is the MS to HS transition. As a parent watching our introverted freshman leave MPMS and begin Sunset with almost no friends, we have to pay attention to this crucial transition in our children's lives. It is evidenced that the transition from ES to MS is not as crucial as seen by the dramatic amount of students vying for options schools. But the big picture would be to ensure a smooth and balanced transition from MS to HS. This problem seems to keep being lost for our Oak Hills proper neighborhood students. We simply ask that there be a logical feeder from MS to HS. AT MOST it should be 50%/50% from any one MS to HS. Best case scenario these schools will be direct feeders. But in no way should any school ( especially Oak Hills which is half Sunset & half Westview with Bethany being the border) have such a small feed from MS to HS. Consistently maps seem to miss this mark with Oak Hills being cut off from other Sunset feeder schools like Terra Linda. Please pay special attention to these numbers and scenarios when deciding these boundaries. Even if dividing an ES. If that ensures continuity between MS and HS for these teens, it should be done. Thank you.
02/02/2020	Santosh Paricharak	santosh_sp@icloud.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Committee members- This is absolutely of concern that Disconnecting Springville school From Stoller MS. Transportation is a very big issue and concern. In recent times my son needed urgent medical help and I was able to reach to stoller school and help him out considering the distance proximity. Other proposed Middle School is far away and considering traffic it is absolutely not possible for parents to reach on time for emergency. I request committee members to consider transport issues and avoid disconnecting Springville from Stoller

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Sara	Sara8011@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear BSD, Is your school's Summa an optional program? Summa can't override normal education, right? Do you know the utilization rate of each school on Map C? Is every school divided? New school Timberland utilization rate is more than 70%! Why the new school is not fully utilized. What is the purpose of construction? Planning us as an island? The staff of the Ministry of Transport only considers costs. Does the school bus serve children? Are children treated equally? Please consider carefully that combining maps A and C can reduce costs. Make full use of the new school. I think all Findley parents are willing to go to the new school together. Summa It can arrange any school that can be accommodated. Please do not sacrifice the cost of more than 500 children to complete the selfishness of a small number of people. We believe that the staff of the BSD and the Ministry of Transport will treat our children in the island (Map C) fairly and thanks.
02/02/2020	Raghuveer Ganesna	Rganesna@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Hello committee members-Springville elementary school is part of North Bethany. It is unfair to partially isolate Springville from Stoller MS. I request you not isolate it and remove from North Bethany and send it to far across school.Thank you,Raghu
02/02/2020	Winnie	winniewong56@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Move Findley to Timberland and keep Springville in Stoller can save almost 20K in transportation cost. There is no reasons for BSD spend 40k + to send Springville to Five Oaks. Besides that, Map C is asking to send all Springville Summa students to Cedar Park MS which is at least 7.1 mile each way (totally unacceptable travel distance and commute time).Why do we need to sacrifice Springville students???
02/02/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS		MAP C is a very dishonest map.It cherry picks diversity students from Springville and Rock Creek boundaries and feeds them to Stoller to make the student composition numbers look good ! Deceiving !It isolates and sends an entire neighborhood school SPV on a 1.5 hour commute that no other regular schools In all of BSD has to endure ! It showcases transportation savings of \$300K but shows complete apathy to the social costs it levies on 500+ students by denying them neighborhood proximity, accessibility and most important of all neighborhood friendships !Where is the Compassion and Sympathy for SPV ?Why is SPV the only school punished for bad BSD decisions ?Why is walkability given more importance than busing ?All children should be given the same opportunities regardless of their parents homes. They should be given opportunities to dream and develop ! MAP C fails miserably :- ( Committee members please focus on refining MAP A as your choice from Jan 16th is far more balanced and reasonable !
02/02/2020	Karen	karenlynnroberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	CHOOSE GENERAL PROGRAMMING BEFORE OPTIONAL PROGRAMMING:The entire purpose of this boundary adjustment is to fill Timberland and alleviate overcrowding at Stoller. Despite these clear objectives we have found ourselves holding onto an optional program (summa) at Stoller for absolutely no other reason than Jacob Wismer and Findley students who are within the walking zone want to protect their luxury of walking. Where else in the district do we prioritize the walkability, or even proximity, of students to optional programs?! If there is overcrowding, the very first thing that must go is OPTIONAL programming. Summa is OPTIONAL. It is not a right, not a given, not a requirement, it is a CHOICE. When you attend an optional program you forfeit convenience for the opportunity to attend a program you CHOOSE. General education students do not have a choice in where their home middle school will be, therefore they are NOT the ones to ask to make sacrifices. At any point in time optional programming can be cut (as seen throughout the district with the elimination of k-8 programming). General education programming will not be cut because all students have a right to a basic education, everything else (such as summa) is gravy. Optional programming never comes before general programming. This must not be forgotten as we look to alleviate overcrowding at Stoller.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Penny Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com	Springville K-8				DON'T ISOLATE SPRINGVILLE There are no middle school students living in PCC area and it will be misleading to other committee members by including that area. Therefore I suggest we should not include PCC for further discussion. The biggest problem of Map C is, ( if PCC is excluded). Springville will be an island. Our kids don't want be islanders we want to be part of North Bethany.
02/02/2020	Von Reiniger	von.reiniger@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I sincerely hope Map A is selected so that all of our kids (3) will be attending the same schools. Transferring all of Cooper Mountain Elementary to Mountain View seems very disruptive to me. Our two youngest who attend Cooper Mtn have been planning on going to the same school as their older brother. He went to Highland park and really enjoyed the band program there.
02/02/2020	Penny Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com	Springville K-8				DRIVING SPRINGVILLE TO FIVE OAKS IS a Significant IMPACT to US Currently, it takes about 15 mins for Springville Kids to go to Stoller. If we have to drive to Five Oaks, it will take about 45 mins to go to Five Oaks. It's three times the travel time and 1 whole extra hour on the school bus. It IS a significant impact to us.
02/02/2020	Rabia Ishaq	rabiashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear BSD . Springville is not an island . According to map C that was proposed on Jan 30 meeting . It's shows springville is an island .. the area from PCC to 185th it's all farmland . It is so unfair for the kids of springville. There are 3 elementary schools in north bethany . Why only springville is targeted . They are much closer to south side middle school . Why only springville is pushed all the way from north to south . Our kids deserve the same . Stoller Middle School is only 2 miles always form my home . why my kids is forced to travel 5.5 mile . To reach to five oak they will be crossing PCC then west view high school then rock creek elementary then high way 26 . Can you imagine the impact being on the busy for longer commute. you might be aware of bullying on bus is increasing day by day . Put your self on my spot then think will you take that for your kid . I am sure 100% no . Then why my kids . Please think sensible . Find a solution that is fair for all the communities. Solution :take walkability phenomena aside . For the Sake of 80 students we can't put whole community on a longer commute. Take optional program summa to timberland That will creat enough space for springville school .
02/02/2020	MF	castle6284@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Thank you for your time and effort on this matter. I strongly urge all committee members to move forward with map C as it meets the board objectives more than other maps. -Map C offers the least operating cost and bus routes needed. Considering BSD's budget constraints, this option makes the most sense. -It eliminates the need to bus walking SUMMA students who make up a large portion of total SUMMA students at Stoller.-It provides an overall better utilization of schools capacity.-It increases diversity and free and reduced lunch eligibility across the district.As taxpayers we expect the tax money to be spent effectively and for the right purpose: teachers, classroom and extra-curricular activity. I believe map C is the best starting point for achieving all these objectives.
02/02/2020	Raj Grandhi	Prince.grandhi@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please do not split schools while assigning to middle school Findley is more closer to Stoller than any other North Bethany schoolsThe delta change to North Bethany schools moving from Stoller to other school is less compared to moving the Findley to new school Considering this please keep the Findley assigned to Stoller
02/02/2020	Sireesha	Sireesha.pisipati@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				My kids go to findley. My son will be in summa next year and we live within a walkable distance to stoller. Map c is a good starting point. Please consider the different possibilities of having entire findley in stoller and entire summa in stoller. Please consider thprd development plans to have trails from close to findley to laidlaw putting in a lot more findley kids within a walking distance to stoller. Also please take into account the number of summa kids who live within a walkable distance to stoller. Thank you for taking the time.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Vijay Kadgi	vkadgi@Hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	I strongly believe Map C is best plan for BSD considering below points 1. 500 students can walk with this option and other options need 500 students to be bused. 2. It provides better MS-HS feed pattern3. It achieves better student population balance across all BSD schools compared to other optionsMap A seems to have lot of deficiencies.
02/02/2020	Penny Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com	Springville K-8				NO DISCRIMINATION TO Students who DO NOT live in Walk ZoneMAP C discriminates the springville students who do not live within walk zone of any middle school by sending them further to FIVE OAKS so that other students can walk to school to save the transportation costs. Springville students will have to travel 90 minutes every day to school, TRIPLING the travel time. We demand equality!
02/02/2020	S M	shipanmis@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members,Please retain JW and Summa in Stoller. The main reason to start Summa in Stoller was because the majority of Summa kids lived near and around Stoller. That still rings true today. As the numbers show the majority of Summa kids are at a walkable distance of Stoller. There is no sense in bussing those kids out to and adding that extra expense. This can be easily avoided by retaining Summa in Stoller.Thanks!
02/02/2020	Swapna	Swapnamulapudi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	HS2 / SST	Below are key benefits Map C provides From what I see:1. 500 less students need to be bused2. Better MS-HS feed pattern3. Stoller overcrowding issue is resolved and better balance of capacity among all schools
02/02/2020	Jayaprakash	JAYAPRAKASHNS@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee - Please consider map C as it does propose a reasonable feeder pattern for the JW kids.
02/02/2020	Bon	lubon9946@hotmail.com			Westview		I hope the AC makes adjustments to Map C thinking about HS boundaries too. It was concerning to me to hear that Westview is already over capacity. There is a kids tsunami going to Westview. Look at the 2025 Stoller enrollment in every map where Springville and Sato are in Stoller, all of that is going to Westview. Some changes to MAP C: 1- Oak Hills to Timberland with eventually all of Oakhills to Sunset. 2- look at Elmonica area and move more of that school to Meadow Park with eventually more of Elmonica going to Aloha. We should look at existing splits, then eliminate some of them and use some existing splits for the MS boundary adjustment. Adding new split feeders should be avoided. No need to split Rock Creek, Springville, and Findley.
02/02/2020	Bobby	Bobk2u@gmail.com	Findley Elem				PLEASE LISTEN TO THE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT Please focus on walk ability to Stoller and it's ok if you have to Split Findley between Stoller and Timberland based on walk ability. We are walking distance from Stoller and would prefer to walk to Stoller.
02/02/2019	HY. T	LilianeTeng@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Map C is by far the most efficient map to solve overcrowding issue in Stoller. Putting all SPV and Sato, two rapidly growing communities, into the same middle school just won't work to solve the overcrowding issue in north Bethany. Map C is also the most budget and environmental friendly proposal to maximum walkability score and to reduce bus transportation cost. Kids living around Stoller community can follow the HS feeder to grow with their neighborhood friends. I strongly support map C for a healthy thriving community around the Stoller neighborhood.
02/02/2020	Prassu	thallaashri1@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Modify Map C to feed Springville (400 students excluding Summa) to Stoller and achieve walkability by keeping walkable part of Findley with stoller.1. Move Summa to Timberland : 233 students2. Move entire Rock creek to Five Oaks : 120 students3. Move non walkable part of Findley (South of Bronson creek) to Timberland : 50 studentsBy doing this we can solve below map C issues :1. Avoid Springvile long commutes to Five Oaks and Cedar park (Summa)2. Avoid Springville island3. Avoid Rock creek split4. Still achieve walkability (keeping walkable Findley in Stoller)5. Fill Timberland

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Steve	soo13579@gmail.com		Stoller MS		<p>It is a bit disappointing that it looks like they miss something very important. The party that is handling our money should have a long-term plan/vision and be more careful. BSD suffered from the budget cut, which impacted overall quality of education. And, now is it ready to waste our money by bussing students living in the walkable area to a farther school? 1. First of all, when BSD decided to build Timberland MS there, what was their plan? To solve the crowding issue of Stoller, they should have built it in the NW side of Bethany. They cannot just say, "oh, that was the only land available." You can not spend our money just like that without a proper plan. If they had built the middle school at the right place, we would not need to go through this kind of headache. 2. Anyways, the bomb was dropped. Then what? How come people think walkability is luxury? It is the essential part of the school transportation system. Look at the numbers and try to understand how buses are shared and operated. I agree that it does not make sense to send far North side of Springville to Five Oaks. I also strongly agree that we need to maximize the walkability. 3. Dividing feeders? There are already schools that feed more than one school. If BSD wants to listen to people saying they have to feed only one school, BSD should fix all the other boundaries. And, going to a middle school, especially big schools like Stoller, there is very little chance to be with your best friend from ES anyways. They have different halls. And, many students choose options schools. This is the last thing to consider. 4. Over-capacity? Some people say that Stoller will have the over capacity issue if it includes all the walkable zone area students. What is wrong with going with a bit over-capacity? It has survived with even more students with no issues. The portables are already there. It costs more money to remove them. Students do not stay at the portables all day long like some ESs. Even ESs have portables. Then why not Stoller? I hope BSD does not waste our money when there is a way to save it. If they bus the current walkable zone area students, they have to answer where they will get the money with all the budget cut. No taxpayers will say yes to any decision that creates unnecessary expense.</p>
02/02/2020	Sree	sreek32@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		<p>As a Springville parent, here are my objections to Map C. We have only one neighborhood Middle school and that is Stoller. When we have great options to have equity for all kids in Map A, I don't know why Map C was forcefully brought into the picture in the last minute. Map C creates a SPRINGVILLE ISLAND and sends the kids from the northern end of Springville to Five Oaks, the longest commute of 45 mins to 1 hr all because Transportation guy Mr Beavera created this one sided and influencing the committee by taking 30 mins to defend the Map C. I am calling this forceful because: 1. We are crossing southern part of Springville that feeds to Stoller. 2. We are crossing southern part of Sato that feeds to Stoller. 3. We are crossing PCC Rock Creek, a community college having a headcount of 20k + students. 4. We are crossing WestView, the most crowded BSD high school having 2587 students. 5. We are crossing Rock Creek, another elementary school with 600 students. 6. We are crossing a highway, US 26. 7. Our school is split to make the diversity numbers at Stoller look good. -Sree</p>
02/02/2020	Satoko Watt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>The January 30 meeting was about transportation costs, and for children in Springville, distance and travel time were not taken into account, which was very disappointing. Are Springville children being sacrificed for children close to Stoller? Does BSD consider fairness in commuting children? What do you think if your children are in the same situation? It takes 45 minutes each way. Five Oaks is not an optional school for Springville children. If you want to adjust Map 3, move all Springville to Stoller. Move SUMMA to Timberland. Rock Creek wants to enter the same middle school without splitting. Move all Rock Creeks to Five Oaks. And please try to move all Findley to Timberland without splitting. I saw many comments that they did not want to split. This adjustment map should be created. SUMMA is an optional program and will need to be moved if the Stoller is crowded. It is most important to send regular students to the appropriate distance middle school. Do not send children to distant middle schools to reduce transportation costs only. Please look back to equality. Please move all Springville to Stoller on Map C.</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Ramya	Ramyanjana@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi BSD, I think Bsd has done a mistake by building timberland school, when north bethany is growing so much we needed a middle school towards north bethany. Bsd had to organize these meetings before building a new school and take public opinion, now they are pitting parents against parents which is creating a lot of stress in the community, to cover up your mistakes please do not punish our kids by sending them elsewhere. Give north bethany a new school or keep our kids in stroller. Thank you
02/02/2020	Sandeep Kumar	sandysrivastava@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		#NothingIsMoreImportantThanKidsDear BSD/Committee Members, I have personally attended all the Boundary Adjustment Meetings and i felt proud to be part of School District where both the Staff and Committee Members listen and address to the concerns of Kids. Few examples: Dual Program, Feeder Pattern, Walkability, No Split. Now, Springville Community is looking with the same hope and results from Staff and Committee Members that they will continue to Prioritize the Kids and don't let the Springville Kids suffer the longest commute in the BSD District. The options are between \$\$\$ and Kids and hence decision is simple. Let's continue to work for future of Kids. Thanks in advance!!! - Concerned Parents of BSD Kid
02/02/2020	Satya	Satya.mulapudi@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Below are key benefits Map C provides From what I see: 1. 500 less students need to be bused 2. Better MS-HS feed pattern 3. Stoller overcrowding issue is resolved and better balance of capacity among all schools
02/02/2020	A G	Anshul.us@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		SPRINGVILLE TO FO COSTS WAY MORE THAN FINDLEY TO TIMBERLAND. ITS WHAT TRANSPORTATION SAYS From all the transportation data that was handed out in the last meeting, you can clearly see that sending Springville to five Oaks cost Way more than sending all of Findley to Timberland. 1. If we take map C and move all of Findley to Timberland and move Springville back to Stoller, the cost saving will be more.. a little walkability is lost but it SAVES MORE to NOT move Springville to Five Oaks. 2. No new routes needed too as per transportation data . So no new bus drivers etc etc 3. BEST PART is that we will have a better economic diversity at Stoller too. 4. Equity in commute is attained as well.... There is no sense to make Springville kids commute for soooo long on the bus when there is an alternative solution as above to save cost and be equitable.
02/02/2020	Himabindu Puligadda	puligadda.himabindu@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2 / SST	Working map C gets our vote. It was really refreshing to see a map which has the backing of data, than arbitrary discussion. It would have been super helpful to have started this process with this information from the district, which takes into account equity, capacity in the forthcoming years and transportation costs among others. The best thing about this map are getting all walkable areas within the nearest middle school boundaries across the district, solves the Stoller overcrowding situation in 2025, addresses the diversity issues facing all North schools. There are tweaks to be made to this map due to multiple elementary splits, but most are due to the walkability which are logical. Thank you for all the statistics attached to these maps... like many pointed out maps A and B are almost identical and are not solving the long-term overcrowding issues in the north; so please park both of them in favor of C.



2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Natalie Johnson	N.bella.jo@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				Our family, school family, and South Beaverton neighborhood community would like to be heard and considered. We may have yet to have a notable voice as consideration maps from early and even December barely touched our area if at all, thus a delay in urgency for our interests/ opinions to be out and counted. With the newest depictions, we find it evident that Map A would best serve not only our children and our Cooper Mountain peer group, but for the balance of surrounding elem/ middle school populations as well. We are not opposed to the idea of all elementary moving on to the same middle and then high school, but since a split is no longer in the running, it seem a much less disruptive transition to Highland since that is already the site of bulk of the feeder percentage at present .In avoidance of being too verbose and unnecessarily emotional, in short, our vote is for Map A....Cooper mountain to Highland Park to Mountainside High. Thank you for valuing the new and incoming South Beaverton commentary and concern as deeply as you have the rest of the BSD regions. Kindly and hopefully, Former BSD grad, wife to BSD teacher, and mom to BSD children.
02/02/2020	Leena	Leena.balakrishnan.nair@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Please avoid the horrendous commute that Springville kids would have to make five Oaks. All we ask is equity in commute time set up for all kids. Except for the Springville community , no other school in North Bethany has to spend long hours in bus. BSD, Please put yourselves in our shoes. Would you like your child to spend long hours in bus or spend that time with the family? This is their prime age. Also as we can see , Map C isolates Springville as an island. The areas near PCC are farmlands and there are no students coming from that area. It's highly unfair to pull away a set of students away from their immediate community neighbors. Please BSD, act wisely! Be fair in this process!
02/02/2020	Swathi	eswathi2708@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Middle school Committee members,*****KIDS NEED TO BE CONSIDER KIDS, DO NOT CONSIDER PACKAGES OR PARCELS*****Thanks for your meetings and collecting feedback from public and finding the right approach towards for all BSD kids.I have watched live coverage of Jan 30th meeting and noticed that BSD transportation member talked about few points about kids.1) He mentioned they will pack 60 kids in one time at Springville and drop them at five oaks and need 10 trips to drop all of them at Five Oaks, These are kids and they are not parcels to move. Kindly respect them.2) On average it will take 45 mints drive for one way to pick and drop kids from Springville to Five oaks, so total commute time is one and half hour. this would be longest commute in the BSD.3) This will impact our kids growth and focus due to th,e long commute. DO NOT MOVE SPRINGVILLE to FIVE OAKS and DON''T STRESS KIDS ON COMMUTE.
02/02/2020	Magdalena A	avilamagdalen@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		It's a very important for us maintaining walkability to schools as a way to facilitate connections among peers and increase sense of community. Other important aspect to be considered is to avoid overcrowding at Stoller.Thank you.
02/02/2020	Ramakrishna	Batchu.sap@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Hello Middle school Boundary Adjustment Committeel have attended Jan 30th meeting and felt there is no equality in this process.1) We should not give preferences to OPTIONAL education while compare to REGULAR education, There are total 236 SUMMA kids at Stroller.2) Rock creek has been splited to move some of them to Five oaks.3) Springville has been completely moved to Five Oaks without considering their commute efforts and how does this long commute impact kids growth, focus and their development.what would be best ?Please move optional program (SUMMA) kids from Stroller to Timberland and Rock Creek students to Timberland, This will generate plenty of space for Springville to accommodate kids at Stroller and they will focus more on studies rather on commute.Kindly avoid MAP-C or Make the above requested changes and have equality for everyone.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Satoko Watt	satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Map A and B should be considered as well. On map A, please move Rock Creek to Meadow Park and move sothern Springville to Stoller. Stoller will be under 90%. It looks Rock creek will prefer going Meadow park with Bethany and Oak Hills on Map A. If Map A is still considerable, please move Rock Creek to Meadow Park with Bethany and Oak Hills. And then adjust Five Oaks. On map B, I would like you to adjust population of Meadow Park and Whitford. And move all Sato to Stoller and move Stoller SUMMA to Timberland. Although Oak Hills is splitting, they can feed Westview and Sunset. MS-HS feeding can be done. HS boundary won't needed at this time. Map C is just saving transportation cost. Please don't proceed this map to just save transportation cost. While other kids can save commute times, our kids have to spend much commute times if they go to Five Oaks. Our kids will miss most of their after school activities. And if I volunteer, I have to take time to get there. Parents will be involved also. I want to say that our kids are not stuff. Please treat all BSD kids equality. Please consider equality other than transportation cost.
02/02/2020	Krisi Guerra	krisiguerra@yahoo.com		Meadow Park MS	Westview		I was surprised to hear that high school boundaries were up for discussion again in the middle school boundary process. The west side of Bethany does not want change to Sunset High School. Keep the west side of Bethany at Westview. I vote for map A to keep Oak Hills divided between the two high schools. The kids that have grown up together will still be friends regardless of the high they school attend.
02/02/2020	Preeju	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		STOLLER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR HIGHER COSTS IN MAP A AND B . LOOK CAREFULLY AT TRANSPORT COSTS DATAAs per transportation cost data, moving Springville to FO costs way more than All of Findley to Timberland. So if you take map C and keep Springville in Stoller and Findley to Timberland, it will save BSD more....Stoller is NOT the school to blame for higher costs in Map A. Do not punish Springville kids for walkability lost in MoutainView and Highland Park as in Map A and BOur kids ARE WORTHY of your attention eventhough we are not walkable. #EQUITY
02/02/2020	Vimal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear AC & BSD ,Springville's proximity middle school is Stoller and not Fiveoaks.Do not punish regular programs over Optional programs.Sending Springville to 5 oaks as per MAP is against to BSDs own policies.Please do not isolate Springville community Kids from NorthBethanyh Neighbourhood.
02/02/2020	Avery Sanders	averymsanders@gmail.com			Sunset		Hi, my name is Avery Sanders and I am a freshman at Sunset High School. I wanted to leave a comment on here to say how I feel about going to a high school where I hardly know anyone. I went to Meadow Park Middle School, and was part of the small number of kids who moved on to Sunset. The majority of Meadow Park goes to either Beaverton or Westview. It's really hard to go to school each day and not be able to be with my friends. I'm the oldest of 4 kids in my family. One of my sisters will be joining me at Sunset this fall, and the same thing will happen to her. I don't want my other sister in 6th grade and my brother in 2nd grade to have to go through this. Please rearrange the middle school boundaries so that they will move from middle school to high school with kids they've spent the last three years with. I don't think its fair that, I, and a few other kids have had to be the ones to be split from everyone we know and grew up with. Thank you.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Girish Ramiah	girishram@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I am writing in to express my shock and disappointment at the way the middle school boundary alignment is being handled. Based on the process we have been through over the last several months, there were clearly 2 plans (current plans A & B) that had the best coverage and most support. Now citing some transportation costs (BTW, the math doesn't add up for me as to how Plan C saves on transportation), a new plan C has been included. The Springville kids have been isolated in an island and a lengthy commute to 5 Oaks is being proposed in Plan C. This does not satisfy the fundamental objectives lined up by BSD. The new middle school should ideally have been opened in the North Bethany area considering the population increases. Now that it has been started where Timberland is, we must minimize travel distances and reduce duress for all kids including the Springville kids. Hope we will be heard in all fairness through this process and right decision would be made. Regards, Girish
02/02/2020	Vimal	Vimalan.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			KIDS OVER DOLLARS Dear BSD and AC ,I request you to think a moment when sending 500-550 Springville kids just to save few dollars. All the proposed maps saves transportation costs than current. I believe you treat Springville kids as your kids and proposes a map that Doesn't punish our kids Do not detach Springville kids from North Bethany community.
02/02/2020	Eric X Yang	ericxyang2018@gmail.com	Springville K-8				NO ISOLATION. We noticed that the recent proposed map C isolated Springville from the rest of Bethany area. It deprives the equal education opportunity for Spingville kids compared to other adjacent neighborhoods due to much longer travel time, especially during incremental weather or severe traffic jam conditions. The travel time could be more than one hour for students living close to the north school boundary. We can not create such an artificial island to isolation students from Springville.
02/02/2020	Saurabh Jain	hundredrabh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hi, Looking at last meeting for boundary adjustment. Seeing map c selected was shocking. Not only it adds super long transit time for spv ( longest then any other school by a margin), also is not optimized for transportation cost ( at least they is how it was sold to the committee). Just keeping the cost as the primary motive ( which it is not), you can further reduce transportation cost by \$20k if you move Findley to timberline and spv to stoller. So even by cost reduction metric sending spv to fo does not make sense. Apart from other issues of transit time, creating an island of a community in North and splitting 8 elementary schools sending spv to fo does not make sense in any logical way. Thanks
02/02/2020	Frank H	frh83@outlook.com					Why are committee members asking Jamie Williams for help to create maps and not BSD? Time and again his maps put the burden of any impacts on many schools and programs except Springville. All the data points to Springville being the fastest growing community north of 26 and yet this. Why?
02/02/2020	Lara Oluwafemi	larafemi@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members, Can you please help me understand how an options program like Summa, can be prioritized ahead of neighborhood children receiving standard education from the only middle in proximity to their homes? Map C, for this reason (among others) is not an equitable map. Summa should be moved to where there is space after standard education for all neighborhood kids has been accounted for. Thank you.
02/02/2020	Madhu Rangarajan	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING SUMMA ON MAP C: It would be wrong to prioritize Summa over neighborhood kids in Map C, where North Springville is split, becomes an Island and is subject to the longest commute in BSD. Here is an alternative to fix that: Move all Northern Summa to Five Oaks, Move Springville Back to Stoller and avoid splits, Move split parts of Rock Creek into Five Oaks and avoid that split, and move Terra Linda to Timberland to fill it up. It will also fundamentally alter the landscape of Five Oaks and turn it into an awesome school while not unduly penalizing general education children with unreasonable commutes.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Vivek	vivek.t@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	WALKABILITY FOR SUMMA STUDENTS AT THE EXPENSE OF A 2 HOUR COMMUTE FOR REGULAR STUDENTS?!!! ..WHERE IS THE EQUITY?Dear committee members,map C makes Springville students go across town to Five oaks MS on a 1.5-2 hour daily commute. The Springville students are isolated on an island in North Bethany.This appears to be done so that SUMMA students can have the luxury of walkability to Stoller. I beg to ask this - why would walkability for an options program in Stoller be more important than proximity for regular students which live close to Stoller?An easy first step would be to move SUMMA option program out of Stoller and move some Findley areas to Timberland which is much closer to the Findley community than Springville to Five Oaks and is also the reason Timberland was built.Please consider the future of our children and make changes to map C to bus Springville to Stoller or Timberland ( which is actually closer to Springville compared to Five Oaks)Thanks.
02/02/2020	Cici	forsale.s.h1000@gmail.com				It is unfair to make Springville as an ISOLATION ISLAND! It is unreasonable to DEPRIVE the walkability of Springville students just for easier transportation and lower cost. If moving Springville students to Five Oaks, the transportation will be incredibly long, especially under incremental weather or traffic jam. If only for the cost to make such an inhuman decision, it is a SHAME...MOVE SUMMA to the new MS, KEEP Springville in Stoller. This is a win-win solution and BSD will have two great middle schools.
02/02/2020	Naveen Kasam	kasam_naveen@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			EQUITY IN COMMUTE TIME FOR ALL KIDSMAP C is making making springville kids travel the maximum distance in BSD. Please do justice to Springville kids. Bring back springville to stroller and move Summa to TimerLand or Five Oaks, SUMMA IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM
02/02/2020	Ravali	ravali_c8@yahoo.com	Springville K-8			DO NOT PRIORITIZE SUMMA OVER NEIGHBORHOOD KIDS.Map C is keeping Summa at stroller and making Springville an island. Please don't priorotize SUMMA over neighborhood kids.SUMMA IS AN OPTIONAL PROGRAM
02/02/2020	Sachin Hasthantra	hsachin@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	During 2014, Superintendent Jeff Rose had promised to move out Summa out of Stroller. BSD is now bailing out of the promise. <a href="https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;36220754">https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;36220754</a> Also, Based on Jan 30 meeting. The guiding principles of past are washed out and brings in transport costs as a main criteria. The plan guided by these five values with careful consideration of our current and future capacity needs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student safety is our highest priority</li> <li>• Providing the best learning environment for all students is our common goal</li> <li>• Minimizing transitions for students eases their learning and social growth so moving students from a school would not be optimal</li> <li>• Enabling students to maintain a peer group from elementary to middle to high school increases their comfort and learning</li> <li>• Minimizing the amount of time for student transportation benefits students and reduces costs.</li> </ul>
02/02/2020	Mahesh mudigonda	Mahesh.Mudigonda@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8 Dear committee members,Please consider the irony of NW Beaverton area is the most growing area and to relieve that there is a plan to construct a new school so the community can go to the new school however after everything is said and done the north west community kids (Springville) are the ones that would be doubling their travel time, so much so that these kids will be traveling more than any other middle schooler ever has traveled to their home school. Please consider being fair to the north west kids. Please consider keeping the Springville kids at the only middle school which is closer to them (Stoller). Please do not punish One of the Largest elementary school kids by sending them to the farthest school just because there is no walkable middle school at the community. Please consider equity across BSD. Please support northwest Springville kids as you would your own. This is a plea for fairness.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Thomas Lyttle	Lyttle@hotmail.com				<p>Unfortunately, I cannot report feeling more confident in this process following the boundary meeting on Thursday night. Multiple times it has been mentioned by committee members that it is of the utmost importance to keep communities together and maintain clear feeder patterns, however, the actions indicated by the updates to the maps do not support this at all. There also continues to be a mob mentality at the meetings, with grown adults cheering and clapping, being disruptive and disrespectful. Is this really how we are going to make decisions in this community? I continue to feel strongly that the Rock Creek community is NOT being heard by the committee. Not once did the committee voice concerns about the way map A treats the Rock Creek Elementary kids, not even after a parent from Rock Creek made it clear to the committee that this map specifically pulls our children OUT of their community. I cant believe we are still talking about this as an option. How has RC been overlooked and how is this ok? Map A does to Rock Creek specifically what was pointed out by the committee as reasons why other maps were not liked. This map completely fails in 2 priority concerns that the BSD identified in their own memo from the January 30th meeting: feeder patterns and equity. In multiple ways, Map A produces a severely inequitable result for the rock creek community, moving the northern school with the highest percentage of reduced or free lunch to a middle school with even less to offer financially. This increases the concentration of resources, which is not equity. It is deeply troubling to the RC community to see map A remain a plausible choice. In my opinion, the #1 priority should be to reduce splits. We should be trying to keep communities together. This includes individual schools and also should be considered with regards to high school splits. We should keep northern elementary schools with their northern neighbors that feed to the same Highschool. This means that if rock creek, or any other northern elementary school is sent south to Five Oaks or to Meadow Park, they should be coupled with at least one of their sister schools from the north. This will help with both of the previously stated goals of the BSD: equity and feeder patterns. Priority #2 is to reduce/limit travel time as much as possible. The purpose of this should be to make a better BSD. Limit unnecessary bus time or travel time by parents getting children to and from school, especially when the purpose is an extracurricular activity associated with that community. Limit the bus costs and utilize walkability when possible, unless doing so will split up a school. This is also a green initiative, limiting inecessary driving (and worse, creating more traffic for more cars to sit in for longer periods of time, biting more fuel). Lastly, neighborhood elementary schools should come before optional programs. Why leave Timberland underutilized when there are neighboring northern communities zoning to Sunset that could be added without forcing the school to be overpopulated. Why force an optional program into a school when it is already overpopulated or there is the potential to unite communities. After communities are preserved via feeding pattern, place optional programs closest to the majority of the expected population; limit transportation time and costs. As a rock creek parent, I was initially upset about the likelihood of leaving Stoller. Now I find myself concerned with much more. At this point, I am legitimately worried about my children having any semblance of a community by the time they reach Highschool. Please consider all the children in the community, not just a select few with the loudest voices.</p>
02/02/2020	Sanjoy Ganguly	sanjoy.ganguly@kp.org	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		<p>I would like to once again voice my support for Map C very strongly and also want to offer a small tweak towards it. I suggest to move Oak Hills to Timberland from Meadow Park. This will not only increase utilization of the new Middle school but also will help Oak hills students remain with the other schools that are Sunset high school bound. Overall Map C addresses various issues in other maps that we have pointed earlier such as keeping the neighborhoods within walking distance (of Stoller) in Stoller. Also there are many Summa students live within the Walking distance of stoller - sending all Summa students as in Map A to Timberland is definitely not a good idea. This will increase BSD transportation costs which we all heard in one of the meetings that will hit the BSD budget and may initiate elimination of teaching position - not a good idea at all. Map C also puts Springvill and Sato in two different Middle school which is the best option given they are two biggest growing neighborhoods in N Bethany. Pls vote to move forward Map C (with minor tweaks as the end result. Thanks for your understanding.</p>
02/02/2020	Santosh Paricharak	santosh_sp@icloud.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		<p>Hello Committee members -By moving Springville to five oaks you are making kids to do unreasonable commute everyday. It wouldn't be less than 45 mins one way considering traffic on bethany blvd or 185 th. I am quoting the time with my everyday commute on those roads. This will put kids under undue stress as they have to finish their home work everyday. Please Make a decision to keep Stoller as middle school for Springville</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	kate Yip	kateyip2009@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I encourage committee consider Map C in Jan 30's meeting due to (1) Walkability: Map C maximize the walkability and reduce the bus cost. Walk/Bike to school is also aligned with BSD's recent focus. (2) Equity: Map C have more equity than Map A. (3) Feeder pattern: According to data, Map C have a little better middle to high school feeder pattern which is a main guild line from BSD. (4) Split/Capacity: The proposed boundary in Map C utilize each school better than Map A. The capacity also roughly match BSD guide line. (5) SUMMA: The SUMMA student distribution is more uniform in Map C than A. (6) Safety/Academic/educational resource balance: Although with splits, Map C have better academic balance than Map A, especially for Rock creek and school north Hwy 26 According to the reason above, I encourage committee to consider Map C in Jan 30's meeting as a choice moving forward.
02/02/2020	Tina Wang	gnaw_anit@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Please do not prioritize option programs like SUMMA over neighbor kids. Let's fill the middle schools first with neighborhood kids and if space available, option programs. Our kids at Springville do not have another neighbor middle school in close proximity. Our only choice is Stoller. Sending our kids to any other middle school subjects them to long commute and isolate them from their community in the North Bethany. Many other schools are closer to Five Oaks than Springville is. If taking school to school distance on Google Map, Oak hill and Bethany are all under 3 miles to Five Oaks. Jacob Wismer and Findley are all under 4 miles to Five Oaks. Why is one of the northernest part of the district is being sent down a whopping 5+ miles one way for middle school? For the sake of our kids mental health, staying in Stoller is not a want, it's a need.
02/02/2020	Ram R	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Map C released on Jan 30 comprehended walkability across the school district and was a clear winner with over \$372K or 14% savings compared to current expenses. It was more than 2X better than Map B and 4.3X better than Map A. Per the FAQ outlining the Policy factors, TRANSPORTATION is a key variable for this evaluation. Specific to Stoller MS, the reason this works is that 100% of Jacob Wismer (both summa and non-summa) fall within the Stoller boundary. The benefits of walkability go beyond cost with regards to kids getting some exercise, the environment gains from fewer buses and preserving the sense of community. When faced with deficits having an option that clearly saves dollars is desirable.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	DSil	sdwaipayan@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>In the last meeting, everyone at the advisory committee jumped at the 'walk ability' theme. I looked at the walkable zones for each middle school zones including Timberland.  <a href="https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik=45749897">https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik=45749897</a>The newly built school Timberland has the LEAST walk able zone among all middle schools. IF WALKABILITY WAS SO IMPORTANT TO BSD, WHY DID THEY DECIDE TO BUILD THE SCHOOL IN SUCH AN UN-WALKABLE PART OF THE DISTRICT where most kids have to be bussed? If walkability and transport cost was NOT the most important driving factor at that time, it should NOT be a factor right now. If you ask a 5th grader between split up from their friends and taking a bus to school, they will gladly prefer the later. How come BSD be so inhumane in proposing maps where ES are split just to show lower transport cost? The map C which got a lot of applause from the advisory committee is a cruel map for northern part of the district. The map showed complete LACK of empathy for Elementary kids by creating unnecessary ES splits. It demonstrated a clear walkable vs bussed biasness that is almost akin to being called 'discrimination'. If transport data was so important, then it should have been given to the public 3 months back at the beginning of these meetings. It seems like BSD waited until the end for 'unknown' reasons. Now lets talk about Map C. The way it was introduced can be termed as arbitrary and undemocratic. This was NOT the map that was voted by the advisory committee to move forward. It is surprising that this types of maps with unnecessary ES splits came into being after being rejected by the committee previously. Two things in this map stood up:1. This map was solely created to help certain schools around Stoller (Jacob-wismer specifically). BSD preferred to keep the SUMMA in Stoller, which is an OPTIONS program and split THREE elementary school boundaries. I can understand the walkable portion of elementary schools going to Stoller. That makes sense. But to split 3 elementary schools, just to keep SUMMA program shows the LACK of empathy from BSD. This is in spite of Steven Sparks commenting on the Jan 9th meeting that the SUMMA program is an Options program and there are precedence of options schools being further away from home schools. Please move the SUMMA from Stoller so that Elementary schools are NOT split. 2. The summa numbers for Stoller on map C looks too high (233) and the summa numbers for Timberland looks to low (108). Also I am not sure what the "***" means for summa in Timberland in Map C. Based on the boundary maps in map C, the Springville+Rock Creek summa should go to Meadow park. Also Findley bussed portion + Bonny slope + Cedar Mill summa should be much higher than 108 in Timberland. if these numbers are not right, then the transport cost estimates are wrong as well.3. Findley sends the 'HIGHEST NO. OF KIDS' to the SUMMA program based on the numbers provided by BSD (133). Of that the majority comes from the bussed portion of the district as per BSD (~80). So ideally the entire summa should move to the same home school where the bussed portion is going. Frankly map C looks like a gerrymandered map to make up numbers. Please refect map C and try to address the deficiency through map A.</p>
02/02/2020	pramodh	pb97229@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Why SUMMA given more Priority than regular Kids Dear BSD,The Map C gives more priority to Summa than regular kids or disabled kids and trying to bus SpringVille Kids to FIVE Oaks over busy 185th ave and over 26 intersection for more than 45 minutes commute time just to show transportation cost saving in MAP C. Creates ISLAND for SpringVille which is against priorities set forth during the committee discussions. Please do the right thing and save 500 kids from long commute and save them from having to go through bulling in the long commute</p>
02/02/2020	Tanya Abou-Elmajd	ctanya20@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		<p>Please keep Jacob Wismer Elementary feeding into Stoller Middle School. I have a 5th grader at JW and an 8th grader at Stoller. Being able to walk to school has been great and it reduces the traffic and need for busses. Having to bus the students to a middle school farther away would spend money the district could use in a better manner. That money for busses would be spent unnecessarily, as there is the middle school closer to allow for walking. Furthermore, it would be emotionally and psychologically positive for the students from JW to go to Westview High School, instead of being split. Please keep JW feeding into Stoller.</p>
02/02/2020	Rithvik	c.ravali@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>In MapC, why is the Springville community that is farthest from Stroller and closest to Five Oaks is going to Stroller but the community that is closest to Stroller and farthest from Five Oaks is going to Five Oaks? Is there a reason why BSD came up with such a solution? Something doesn't sound right here. PLEASE DO JUSTICE TO ALL KIDS,</p>
02/02/2020	Ajay Garg	ajaygarg1980@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Hello MS Advisory Committee,It highly makes sense to keep SUMMA program at Stoller MS. Most of SUMMA kids live within walking distance of Stoller MS. This help in minimizing BSD's transportation cost.Thanks,Ajay</p>
02/02/2020	Akshita Garg	agarg115@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Hello Committee Members,I really like map C and recommend it to be moved forward. One of the positive aspects of this map is it keeps SUMMA program at Stoller MS. It is highly desired as majority of SUMMA kids are within walking distance. Hence please move with map C.Thanks,Akshita</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Ghana Priya Seshadri	ghanapriya_s@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I strongly think that JW should be a feeder school for Stoller, and Summa should also be part of Stoller. When my older daughter was in 8th grade, when the lockdown happened, I was able to walk with my neighbors to Stoller since roads were blocked. It would be a much bigger hassle if our kids were stuck at a school that was farther away from home. In addition, Summa has been in Stoller for years and has helped to increase Stoller's competitive nature, and is what drew many parents to the surrounding neighborhoods.
02/02/2020	Dhanashree Damle	Damle.dhanu@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Looking at the maps brought forward in the last meeting, I support map C. It solves most of the problems in consideration.1) Map C favors walkability which is going to save transportation costs. 500 kids less in need of any transportation, thats a big deal! The main problem- overcrowding at Stoller will be solved.2) Over 150 kids in the SUMMA program can walk to school. So keeping this great program at Stoller should not be a problem. In fact happy to know that bringing this program to more schools is being considered. This is very encouraging.3) Map C also has a good middle school to high school feeder path as well.All in all Map C is the most Desirable and fair map. Thank you and hoping to see this map go forward.
02/02/2020	Harish Chegondi	harish.chegondi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			Please prioritize regular middle school program over optional summa program when drawing the middle school boundaries. Timberland MS is underutilized in all the latest maps. Moving summa program from Stroller MS to the Timberland MS would help satisfy both the criteria of this MS boundary redrawing exercise - completely utilize Timberland MS and reduce crowding at the Stroller MS.
02/02/2020	charla graepel	charla@graepel.us	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS		I strongly disagree with the proposals which include sending all Cooper Mountain students to Mountain View middle school. All along, we have heard the boundary changes are to help students have a cohesive peer group as well as balance enrollment at schools. These proposals do neither. Currently all Cooper Mountain students are in boundaries of Mountainside High School. Only a very small percentage of our students attend Mountain View middle school. To move our entire population to Mountain View would have our students at a school where everyone else goes to Aloha high school while they will be attending Mountainside. With this model, there is no continuity with peers. Currently 70% of Cooper Mountain students attend Highland park, where most students also go on together to Mountainside. This continues the community our students have already built through youth sports and activities. If you choose to have all Cooper Mountain students go to a single middle school, it is by far the best decision to have our students attend Highland Park. High School boundaries have already been adjusted. Please consider our feeder high school when deciding which middle school is best for the Cooper Mountain community. Additionally, our school community feels blindsided by these changes as the previous boundary change options did not impact Cooper Mountain. The proposed changes to Cooper Mountain boundaries have been added in very late in the process, leaving our school feeling like we do not have a voice. It is not in the best interest of Cooper Mountain students to send them to Mountain View M.S. They need a cohesive peer group, which they already have at Highland Park.



2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Gauri Trivedi	Gauritri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Mr. Sparks & Committee Members,I have attended most of the boundary change meetings and appreciate all your time and efforts.DOES ANYBODY CARE ABOUT SPRINGVILLE??During the course of all the meetings till date I have heard committee members and BSD officials talk about and express concern over Walkability, Transportation costs, Summa Program, Rachel Carson and the related gardens at five oaks, dual Language program, split feeders and even Elementary friendships. What I have NOT heard is -1) how 500 Springville students will be affected by the boundary change proposals 2) how Springville students who currently have a 15 minute commute to school will be on the bus for THRICE that time if sent to Five Oaks.3) how out of 5 schools currently feeding into Stoller, Springville is the only one getting a raw deal in terms of community and commute 4) how it is also the only school being singled out and separated from its North Bethany Neighboring communities.5) how map C literally turns Springville area into an island 6) how Springville is the only school made to bear the brunt of Timberland being built in the wrong location by putting forth lame excuses of transportation costs.7) how Stoller is THE CLOSEST middle school option for Springville and yet proximity JC factor is not applied for the same.There are 2 more meetings to go and I am Still hopeful somebody in the committee or you Mr.Sparks will talk about Springville, a Very large community of 500 kids whose concerns somehow never made it to the discussion on your table.
02/02/2020	Anand	krecmech@gmail.com				NO SUMMA over regular resident kids. Please move SUMAA out of stroller. No more priority to OPTIONAL programs over regular kids. NO MORE LONGER COMMUTE. Why Springville kids travel more for BSD mistake of building school at wrong place. BSD gets our money to build school at wrong location and wants to travel even more. Someone is not doing their job.
02/02/2020	Eshani	Eshani_velhal@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee members,Thanks to committee for considering Map C and moving forward to recommend it to committee. Please note Map c Improves walkability a lot and helps BSD resolve transportation issues for 500 less students . It also has Better MS-HS feed pattern and resolves overcrowding issue among all schools. In addition to above please bring back Summa to stoller. Thanks
02/02/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS		The simple job that the transportation department had to do was to provide transportation costs for maps A and B.I don[?]t understand what was the need for Map C ? Who asked for it Mr. Sparks ? And whats up with cherry picking of neighborhoods to make Student composition numbers in Stoller look good ?Is it Not double standards to only treat walkable communities with compassion than bused communities ? If so all neighborhoods would demand a MS within a 1.5 mile boundary. Is it feasible for BSD ? If no then walkability should be an outcome of a process not a criteria.
02/02/2020	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com				Map C is the best proposed map so far.I like the way how it takes care of socioeconomic balance, feeder patterns, cost etc...Thank you Mr Steven Spark and transport department for coming up with an optimal map.For Stoller, it can never get as optimal as this Map C. No other maps justifies the balance proposed by Map C.Kudos to BSD.

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

						<p>I attended the Middle School Boundary Advisory Committee Meeting on January 30th. I am disappointed that towards the end of the meeting, the meeting was hijacked and has diverted from the School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment. May I please remind you of the school board objectives, which I pasted below. Suddenly some advisory committee members started talking about walkability, diversity, and other factors which while are no where mentioned in the school board objectives (please see below). For example, Map A utilizes the Timberland MS better than Map C. Utilizing the Timberland MS is THE PRIMARY OBJECTIVE (again, please see below) for this boundary adjustment. But a couple of advisory members stated that Map C is better than Map A due to walkability, diversity and other factors which are no where mentioned in the objectives. I would like to request the advisory committee members to please, please, please stick to the school board objectives when filtering the maps. Even though Mr. Sparks reads the objectives in order before every meeting, it is disappointing to see advisory committee members deviating from the primary objectives and giving higher priority to secondary objectives. Thank You! 1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming. 2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. 3. Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary. 4. If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels</p>
02/02/2020	Harish Chegondi	harish.chegondi@gmail.com	Springville K-8			
02/02/2020	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>School Board Objectives for the Boundary Adjustment: Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS. Map A satisfies the JC Objective 2. It has Stoller at 83% in 2021 and 108% in 2025. Map C doesn't meet the JC Objective 2. Map C have Stoller at 100% in 2021 and 106% in 2025. Map C will require Stoller to use portables from 2021. After hours and days of discussion to keep Stoller at 90% of its capacity in 2021, the committee selected map C that have Stoller at 100 % in 2021. Why is the committee changing objectives?</p>

2.2.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comment

02/02/2020	Nobu Suzuki	nobumac.suzuki@gmail.com					<p>I need to post my comment once again. I really wonder how the committee was coming up with the Map C. Thinking that the Westview HS is the highest population HS in the BSD north, how come the feeder MSs are only 2, whose capacities are not high. I seriously wonder if the committee is seriously thinking about the feeder patter from MS to HS and the consequent of that. Again, looking at the feeder pattern slide - I again wonder if any bias only Timberland MS is solely fed to the Sunset HS. Yes, it is ideal, but only the Timberland MS - all other MSs are split into the different HS. I again seriously wonder if the committee is fairly treating all the MS. I understand the address to the bus management cost. But the fact is, all options are more affordable than the current situation. And looking back the material in the very first meeting, there was no priority for the bus cost, but the Neighborhood proximity and accessibility, which will be totally killed by the Map C for Spriingville K-8. I would highly recommend the committee to revisit where they started = the feeder pattern to HS and the accessibility. Anything opposing it shall not be the option, i.e. the Map C shall not be. Also I can see some intentional modification on the Map A and Map B, to merge several different agenda into one to mislead the discussion clearly. e.g. swinging Terra Linda ES between Meadow MS and Timberland MS across the Maps, Dividing the Springville K-8 and Findley ES attendance across the maps, they just cause the unnecessary bias. Once again to the committee, prioritize what you listed up in the discussion start, suppress the unnecessary noise, and stop biasing one view - discussion diversity is clearly listed in the meeting start material. Stop prioritizing Timberland MS in the feeder pattern. Stop penalizing Springville K-8 by the long commute.</p>
------------	-------------	--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

**From:** Aishwarya Sreenivasan <aishsreeni@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, February 2, 2020 10:11 AM  
**To:** steve sparks <Steve\_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>  
**Subject:** Middle School Boundary adjustment suggestions.

---

**This is from a Non-BSD Email address:** Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe ⚠

---

Dear Mr. Sparks

Greetings. My name is Aishwarya Sreenivasan. I am a second grade parent at Jacob Wismer elementary school.

Thanks to you and your team at BSD for the patience and making this whole process a success and transparent. I am happy our comments are being considered.

It has been stressful for all of you with so much noise all around. My apologies for all the parental stress we subjected you and your team to.

We have all become overnight Mapping experts and have been bombarding your team with suggestions. I have a few suggestions based on conversation with parents from many other schools. Kindly consider them if they are viable.

**Map C is overall very good for most communities. I have a few suggestions to fix a few issues. Map C has a footnote - Move Oak Hills from Westview HS to Sunset HS to maintain MS to HS feeder pattern. This is a very valuable move. West view is over crowded. Moving a part of Oakhills to Sunset will relieve some pressure at Westview and all of Oakhills will go to Sunset.**

**I suggest moving Oak Hills from Meadow Park to Timberland on Map C. This will help them remain with the Sunset bound elementary schools at Timberland. This move will help utilize Timberland better. Currently Map C has only 74 percent of Timberland being utilized. Timberland will become a strong and diverse school.**

**Also Move Barnes Elementary from Ceder Park to Meadow Park. Meadow park offers dual language program. This would be great for Barnes. Also moving Oak hills to Timberland and Barnes to Meadow park will not affect Meadow parks numbers as both schools are of similar size. Also Meadow Park has Beaver Acers which feeds into Aloha HS. So they will have good**

**MS to HS companionship when they go to Aloha HS.**

**Please move Racheal Carson to Ceder park from Whitford. Ceder Park is ready to accept Racheal Carson.**

**Map C provides Rock Creek with a substantial Portion of Springville at Five oaks. Both Rock Creek and Springville go to Westview HS. This will tremendously improve Five Oaks Middle school. Springville and Rockcreek are great schools. Together they will make Fiveoaks a great Middle School.**

**Map C improves the equity in Middle schools. This will help create many good Middle schools all around BSD. Our aim to make the overall BSD system a great school district. Map C is a good starting point. Diversity is important as it reflects whats going on in the real society. Kids need to interact with other kids from different backgrounds. This will help them evolve as better human beings.**

Last meeting they explained that to begin with Summa was not in Stoller. But with time they found most Summa kids, a huge majority of them lived around Stoller. So they started a program here at Stoller. Most kids even today, 151 of them live around Stoller at walkable distances. So this argument still holds good. Kindly retain SUMMA at Stoller.

Map C reduces transportation costs and has 500 fewer students being transported. This is good as it economically as well as environment friendly. Every penny saved can help us hire more educators and improve the school facilities.

Kindly consider my humble suggestions. As a BSD parent I want to help in anyways possible. So many suggestions, demands and requests, I feel the pressure on BSD.

Thanks Regard