

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

DATE	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTIONS	COMMENT
01/31/2020	Chen	Albertbkchen@yahoo.com.tw	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The recent map C proposed seems to solve diversity issues in Stoller, but actually creates even bigger problems in transportation and feeder pattern. We all know that North Bethany area is a fast growing region and with such growth rate, none of the maps proposed can last long, the new map C not only completely destroy elementary to middle school pattern, but also destroy middle to high school pattern. It will also cause same problems in the future if one needs to readjust the boundaries. This map is not only short visioned but also lack justification in terms of accessibility, the area closer to five oaks gets drawn into Stoller, the area furthest to five oaks gets drawn into five oaks, not only inefficient, but also unfair for those who spend 40 min to school in the morning.
01/31/2020	Aahlad Mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		The committee selected map C and map A from today[?]'s meeting. The main problem with map C is that it causes split feeder patterns across 8 Elementary Schools. These are way too many splits. One solution to reduce splits in map C is to have entire Findley go to Stoller. I strongly recommend not splitting Findley Elementary school. The person that spoke during the meeting does not represent entire Findley Elementary school. Splitting FE along walk ability causes the kids to go thru two splits. One from ES-MS transition (as FE would feed into Stoller and Timberland MS) and again from MS-HS (as Stoller feeds into Westview and Sunset). Please avoid as many splits as possible for both Elementary schools and middle schools
01/31/2020	Mimi Wu	miciwu@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I think the adjustment should be aimed at making less changes to define the feeders for Timberland. If we have to break lots of boundaries(geographic) bondings(emotions) or even the high school assignments to fulfill the mission, the cost won't be just transportation. Also, we have to admit that sooner or later north Bethany would face a highly demand for another middle school. For now, it's not a best way to make the map complicated ( map C), and few years later we have to rebuild the boundaries again. Then we will face the same situation like today and split our neighborhood and bondings again. I really hope the committee will consider the future demands when choosing the map.
01/31/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			I don't recollect any committee member asking for MAP C in the previous meeting. Why was it tabled today as a working map C ? The expectation from the Jan 16th meeting was for BSD to provide transportation costs for MAP 8 & 9 and for the committee to fine tune these 2 maps. And here we are today with a new Map C that BSD seems to favor for its cost structure without a care that it splits 8 elementary schools and pits communities against each other. Why is BSD adding to the confusion ? If BSD really wants to save \$300K in transportation costs, then why go through the charade of committee meetings & recommendations ? BSD can make that decision by itself and save us all the collective angst of this MS adjustment process ?
01/31/2020	Erick	esat90@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		Now that we have a map that minimizes transportation cost, can we make adjustments to map C to minimize ES splits? I see Rock Creek, Springville and Findley unnecessarily splitted. Then, Oak Hills and Bethany can both go to Timberland. Bethany to Meadow Park today is 3.8 miles, Timberland is just 4 miles from Bethany ES. Please consider these adjustments in the north. Thanks!
01/31/2020	Gopinath Trichy	gtrichy@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In map C, it looks like Springville ES up north is being shoved into to Five Oaks MS south of H26. Map C fails the basic proximity eyeball test and Springville sticks out like an island, especially since the area west of Springville (farm around PCC rockcreek) accounts for a small number in grid code. In addition, travel from Springville to five Oaks will involve crossing Stoller boundary in map C. I urge the committee to specifically discuss travel times from Springville to Five Oaks at the next meeting. Data shows this travel is upwards of 45 minutes on all bus routes. Forty five minutes on a bus, twice a day, will adversely affect any middle schoolers well being. I also urge the committee to lay down the order of priority for metrics used for map evaluations to avoid confusion and rehash of old maps. There have been so many metrics being discussed without a priority order - 2021 capacity for Timberland and Stoller, 2025 capacity, school splits, feeder patterns, disruption of existing boundaries, proximity, walkability, transportation costs. If transportation cost was a prime metric, why is data and maps driven by this being provided as late as the seventh meeting. Note that all 3 maps lower the transportation cost. The Jan30 meeting looked like a step back, this meeting added a 3rd map with walkability as priority #1 (and it looks like a previous map that was discarded by the committee). At the end of the meeting we are again left with 2 maps just like the end of Jan16 meeting. I would like to appeal to the committee to look forward and proceed with the older map A as opposed to the new map C.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	David Lee	ralliant_kei@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear BSD committee, while I can see why BSD might want to lower the transportation budget, I am seriously skeptical about how BSD comes up with the operating cost. Time and time again you emphasize distance to school, time to school and cost of travel being the deciding factor for middle school decision. I don't see any of that being considered for North Springville middle graders in Map C. (1) Map C distance wise, you have decommissioned Springville G6-G8 from our walkable backyard and move us away from a Stoller 3mi away to FiveOak that is 6mi away and you call that fair to us? (2) Map C travel time from North Springville to FiveOak is 45min on average you mean on a good sunny day? what about during winter when there is snow and travel jam or adverse traffic condition did you want my kids to wake up and wait for school an hour early? You call 1.5hrs roundtrip to middle school is normal and safe? (3) Map C are you only consider BSD bus route operating cost? What about families own expenses when they missed a bus? Or need to rush to school in an emergency? Why North Springville need to jump over the people in South Springville who attend Stoller to attend another school twice distance away to FiveOak? It does not make economic nor common sense to split Springville into North and South like that. In fact, I think it makes more sense to split Springville between Stoller and Timberland by adding a bus route from Timberland to Sato and Springville, that way you can optimize Map C by serving 2x schools with one bus even further and eliminate another bus route from FiveOak. Moreover, it makes more sense to send more students to Timberland because its severely under capacity. Springville and Sato has always been good sister school to each other, in fact Sato just recently established and I think they should go hand in hand. If Springville and Sato can both attend Stoller and Timberland, then all your distance, time and bus route cost and capacity targets can be more efficiently map. Springville is out in the North Bethany honestly the only best option for us is to send all Springville to Stoller closest to us. But considering diversity and helping BSD achieve a fair decision for all of the school district, I think at least myself would be happy to send my kids to Stoller or Timberland. Commute to FiveOak and Timberland from North Springville are more the less same distance but the clear advantage of commuting to Timberland is we can avoid the very congested Hwy 26 and 185Ave. I am not sure if you have been to the intersection of Evergreen and 185Hwy during peak hours its complete stalled and commuting time could far far far exceed the 45min which you are estimating which in my opinion is already unacceptable for a middle school trip. I hope BSD will seriously rethink if you have taken all operating costs of all families in your decision making. Map C is very very unfair to us living in North Springville by sacrificing us to send us to school far far away while making other schools walkable, while saving school district money but increase our own family private expenses from what I can see.
01/31/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			In MAP C, 1) besides Stoller, Highland Park, Whitford and Meadow Park are all above 90% permanent capacity with 2021. What happened to BSD's stated capacity utilization objectives ?2) Timberland - a brand new spanking school will be at 75% capacity in 2021 and 2025 projections. Committee members please take this into consideration.
01/31/2020	Mahesh Chandra	MAHESHCHANDRA1102@GMAIL.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	The Jan 30, 2020 Middle School Boundary Adjustment meeting seemed fixated by the idea of walkability! But not even a slightest thought is given to the plight of hundreds of kids who would be put to a long distance commute. Proximity is not walkability only. Proximity also means minimizing the time kids spent on the bus unnecessarily.
01/31/2020	Bob	Bobk2u@gmail.com	Findley Elem				PLEASE LISTEN TO THE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT Please focus on walk ability to Stoller and it's ok if you have to Split Findley between Stoller and Timberland based on walk ability. We are walking distance from Stoller and would prefer to walk to Stoller.
01/31/2020	Vivian Wu	ViviW87@gmail.com	Springville K-8				for the 1/30 meeting, I was surprised to see a total new map showed up. It split at least 6 elem schools to different middle schools. This is not good for the kids. After spending 5 years with their friends, they are forced to separate from each other. I also noticed that for map C, Stoller MS is at capacity of 100% for year 2021. this is an obviously contravention to BSD's goal which is "The Board identified approximately 90% enrollment of the building capacity of Stoller MS in order to reduce student enrollment to a size comparable to other middle schools and to allow for student enrollment increases that are projected to occur over the next several years. Increased student enrollment is associated with the recent and future residential development in the North Bethany area." Map C also has Timberland at capacity of 74% for 2021, which is the lowest of all middle school. In summary, Map C neither solved the Stoller overcrowded problem, nor fill the new school with enough student. This map should be discarded.
01/31/2020	Qi Wang	qi.stephen.wang@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Committee needs to completely evaluate the transportation cost to come up with a transportation budget optimized map. It's a mistake in the first place to build a middle school at the place where it's not needed the most. It's also a mistake to close the current middle school where it's needed. It's even bigger mistake to change the existing boundaries to cover above two mistakes. Kids should attend the school closer to home. Elementary to middle school should not get split. Use the tax payers money the right way!
01/31/2020	Madhu R	Mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Map C has a few huge flaws:1. North Springville is an ISLAND with a whole bunch of grid codes of 0 around it in PCC, going to Five Oaks all alone.2. Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller3. The cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances in involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time4. Springville is thrown under the bus while option programs like Summa continue to stay in the nearest middle school, Stoller.This is injustice being done to Springville kids and it isn't even meeting the objective of filling Timberland and reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2021.
01/31/2020	Hemamalini Sadasivam	hema.surfam@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Safety should be first concern in all boundary decisions. Boundary decisions should made with long term health of district in mind. Average commute in the district should be minimized. Every effort should be made to avoid any long commutes. NW neighborhoods should go to Stoller. NE to Timberland, Southwest to 5 oaks. Etc. BSD has a responsibility to the district, not certain neighborhoods over others within the same district. If we remove summa kids program the majority and space conception will be enough for future kids .

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Suresh	Suresh.s.babu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Safety should be first concern in all boundary decisions. Boundary decisions should be made with long term health of district in mind. Average commute in the district should be minimized. Every effort should be made to avoid any long commutes. NW neighborhoods should go to Stoller. NE to Timberland, Southwest to 5 oaks. Etc. BSD has a responsibility to the district, not certain neighborhoods over others within the same district.
01/31/2020	Arta Montero	arta.montero@pgn.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please eliminate map A and keep map C. Map C honors distance and moves Rock Creek to Five Oaks with at least one Northern school which in this case is Springville. This is the right thing to do since it is unjust for Rock Creek to be the only school from North 26 to move to Five Oaks all alone with just the Springville apartment area.
01/31/2020	Caroline Hottmann	cjhottmann@gmail.com	Raleigh Park Elem	Whitford MS			I think it is really important to try to keep kids together from elementary to high school. As it stands now the kids from Raleigh Park is split between 2 middle school. Whitford then is also split between 2 or maybe 3 high schools. I know it can't be perfect, but would hope that is something that can be considered. If a school does have to split please keep it to 2 schools and that the ratio is no greater than 40/60%. Also I believe that you should keep Raleigh Hills and Raleigh Park together
01/31/2020	Mohammad A Khayer	makhayer@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I strongly suggest to consider map C, walkable or non-walkable all FE feeding into stoller, no split of FE. Elementary splitting is not recommended for kids comfort ability to be with friends from the same classes. Also moving FE to a far school will impose busing cost, traffic issues, etc. FE is the closest to stoller, so it makes sense to keep it into stoller. Thanks.
01/31/2020	Shailesh Joshi	jshailesh@yahoo.com					if walkability and transportation were important criteria, then bring back K28 at Springville.
01/31/2020	Shailesh Joshi	jshailesh@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			BSD builds a school in East, One community wants only walkability (and we know, nobody walks 0.4 miles in Portland rain and wind), eastern community wants togetherness to balance that BSD is promoting Map C which creates an island in north Bethany, longest commute to those kids, K-8 is taken away. This is highly disappointing...
01/31/2020	Ashwini	ashbait@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		for Springville Elementary - Looks like all options are being taken away to stay close.1) Took away 6-8 grades at Springville2) Small North Bethany island made to look like a continuous part of the map by misrepresenting that people live in PCC Rockcreek3) Objective #1 Of filling new middle school suddenly takes lower priority over walkability and transport costs - which were NEVER on the table before this for discussion.4) Making Springville travel the farthest in the district when we have only one option for middle school. And keeping other schools that have multiple options feeding into schools of their choice. Making a small part of the district pay the price for 1) Bad planning2) Demands of other schoolsMap C is unfair!Keep middle school -high school feeders vs splitting across everythingKeep equity in mind - this includes travel time and walkability! By bringing back Map C, you are saying that travel time for Springville children doesn't matter...but walkability for other children and lower time on buses does.
01/31/2020	Kelly Sestero	ksestero@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				During our PTO meeting this week the BSD presentation on MS boundaries suggested that options for Cooper Mountain included status quo (70% to Highland, 30% to Mountain View, all at Mountainside), a second option where all students go to HP and a third option with all students to MV. The maps released the following day include no status quo. 2 options send nearly all students to MV, and one to HP. If these are the only 3 options, I (and everyone I have spoken to at CM) would like to see Option A. It is by far the least disruptive to our kids who would leave all of their MS friends at MV to go to Mountainside. It concerns me that all going to Mountain View would lead to HS boundary changes after so many in our community bought houses in order to attend the new high school near our home as well. Please choose only option A or reconsider options for South Beaverton. You did not hear as much from South Beaverton during this process because every nap before this suggested no changes to us. This seems that we are being most affected at the last minute to appease other areas.
01/31/2020	Stacey dooley	Devinstacey@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		Map A
01/31/2020	Stacey dooley	Devinstacey@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		My oldest has attended highland and mountainside and I want my younger children to do the same. We moved to this community because of the schools. Changing schools would be devastating to our family & community. Map A
01/31/2020	Luis	lobf99@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		STOLLER IS THE MS IN THE FINDLEY COMMUNITY, even when my kids are bused to Stoller!Consider this... when we drive to the grocery store, we drive by Stoller. When we drive to the drug store, we drive by Stoller. When we drive to the gym, to the library, take kids to karate or dance, WE DRIVE BY STOLLER! This is the school in our community even when my neighborhood is bused to Stoller. Please send an ES 3+ miles away to a different MS. We've heard testimony from Oak Hills, Nancy Ryles, Rock Creek, Sato about not splitting their ES. Same applies to Findley. While Springville area is mostly newer houses/neighborhoods, we have heard testimony about not splitting established communities like Raleigh Park and Raleigh Hills. Also, we've heard how TerraLinda, Bonny Slope, Cedar Mill are one community. Jacob Wismer and Findley are a well established community for over 20 years, please don't split Findley from Jacob Wismer.
01/31/2020	S	Misrashikha@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please accept Map C. It increases free lunches, increases equity, reduces transport expenses, keeps stable (non-growing) communities in Stoller. We support Map C.
01/31/2020	S	Shipanmis@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please let JW, Summa, walkable Findley in Stoller. We support map C. It makes the most sense.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Lara Oluwafemi	larafemi@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Map C makes an island out of Springville, sending its kid with none of their neighbors across the longest commute in the district to get to school. West of the Arbor Oaks community on Springville is PCC and farmland. No one actually lives there. If map C is to be seriously considered, both Summa and walkable Findley should be removed to allow space for the island of Springville in that map. Please do not penalize Springville children for the new middle school being built in the wrong place.
01/31/2020	Karen	john.karen.roberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I am floored by what occurred at the meeting on January 30th. From the beginning the committee has had a clear directive to accomplish 3 things: 1. Fill Timberland, 2. Reduce Stoller to 90%, 3. Balance diversity and feeder patterns. Despite Map C not meeting a single one of these criteria, it became the gold standard map solely because of MONEY. Transportation costs were not listed as part of the criteria in determining the best EDUCATIONAL environment for our students. Transportation costs have also repeatedly been deemed subordinate to the issues at hand (as seen in the Superintendent's final judgement in the case of Elmonica). I understand a desire to save money across the district, but let me remind you that Map A is SAVING the district nearly \$85,000 while also:A. Meeting objective 1 (filling Timberland to 88%; enough to offer a comprehensive program for students, an important factor stressed by principals in their statement to the committee)B. Meeting objective number 2 (83% capacity at Stoller in 2021, and just a tick above 100% in 2025 which is easily accommodated by the portables the district spent thousands to bring to Stoller)C. Creating the cleanest feeding patterns of all maps (Map C has EIGHT elementary school splits alone and 4 of 6 high schools with 3 middle school feeders)D. Creating a more equitable distribution of commute and diversity (Map C has conveniently excised the most disadvantaged and most southern population of Springville to attend Stoller in an effort to improve diversity numbers with zero account for how those 75 economically disadvantaged students will feel attending a school the size of Stoller with ZERO peers)It is also important to note that if transportation costs are suddenly a concern (despite NOT being a criteria for this process and past precedent repeatedly shutting down transportation costs as a relevant factor), the cost to bus Springville students the farthest assigned middle school commute in the district is \$109,660 (\$41,000 MORE than currently spent to bus Springville to Stoller). In contrast, the cost to bus Findley to Timberland is \$71,870 (only \$21,000 more than currently spent to bus them to Stoller). It will cost the district nearly \$40,000 MORE to bus Springville to Five Oaks than it would to bus Findley to Timberland, and \$41,000 MORE to bus Springville to Five Oaks than what the district is already spending to bus us to Stoller.Why are we entertaining a map that meets none of the objectives AND sends Springville to Five Oaks which costs the district MORE money than the alternative of sending Findley to Timberland. I do not understand the logic in any of this.
01/31/2020	Xiaowei Bao	xiaoweib@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Being in the meeting yesterday night, I was deeply disappointed at how the whole room was filled by one single concern - money/transportation/walkability rather than the in school experience of students.First of all, let me question the definition of walkable zone from Map C. Most Findley is within or a little bit more than 1 mile from Stoller. My neighborhood are defined as none-walkable probably because they think there is no walkable trails to Stoller. However, the fact is that my 7-year old ride bikes to Stoller for fun quite frequently during summer time on the sidewalk through Saltzman, Thompson, Evergreen, and the neighborhoods that are defined as walkable. Will I let my son bike to school during winter? No. Whoever has pickup kids during rain days in any school knows the fact. Second, walkability should be one dimension of the whole equation, not even the important one. As a parent of two kids, I care much more about their experience at school than the 15 mins on the road. That's the exact reason that I would give up Stoller that is 1 mile and be ok with Timber so that my kids will be stay together with his friends. My son asked me right after I came home from the meeting will I be together with my friends? Sadly I had to tell him maybe no. Immediately, he asked "How about Ethan? How about Irene? ....". And I know it is not just me facing these questions. Map C does a good job of carelessly splitting whatever school it needs to split.My questions to the committees are - Who should we concern?What the purpose of education?What decision benefit the students most?
01/31/2020	Melinda Carlson	mcsings@live.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	I would like to recommend Map 8 as the best option to keep Oak Hills Elementary kids together through middle school and high school. However, if Terra Linda goes to Timberland, then the sunset HS side of Oak Hills should go there also. That way our kids can have at least 3 years with Sunset HS-bound kids to prepare for the transition from middle school to high school.
01/31/2020	Brandon Frankel	brandonfrankel82@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Please DO NOT SPLIT the children that attend Findley Elementary! We live in an area (Bannister Creek) that is walkable to Stoller MS and our children would have to be split from the majority of Findley students if they have to go to Stoller MS. Additionally, they would then be split from the students when they move onto Sunset HS. Our kids would have to go through that each time they move up to the next level of schooling. Also, our children would not be able to walk to Stoller (even though we are in the walkable zone)...it is not safe, as there are not sidewalks that no the curvy part of Laidlaw that is between our home and Stoller. Thank you
01/31/2020	Rajasekhar Anumula	rsreddy28@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Sunset	The very thought of choosing map c does not make any sense. BSD and its core committee asking 500 kids to be bused everyday with kids spending 1 hr one way commute. This is as good as going to Salem everyday for work. The kids should not suffered because of the biased and unreasonable views of BSD. The cost to bus Springville students the farthest assigned middle school commute in the district is \$109,660 (\$41,000 MORE than currently spent to bus Springville to Stoller). In contrast, the cost to bus Findley to Timberland is \$71,870 (only \$21,000 more than currently spent to bus them to Stoller). It will cost the district nearly \$40,000 MORE to bus Springville to Five Oaks than it would to bus Findley to Timberland, and \$41,000 MORE to Springville to Five Oaks than what the district is already spending to bus us.
01/31/2020	Kathrine Kraft	kathrine.kraft@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS		As an Oak Hills parent, I can only support Map C if we are guaranteed to change the high school boundary as well. If Map C is adopted, and the high school boundaries are not changed, then the Oak Hills students will be isolated at both Westview AND Sunset, as most of Meadow will feed to Aloha (and we are the only elementary school north of 26 at Meadow Park in that scenario). From an Oak Hills perspective, I prefer Map A, with Meadow Park appearing to split fairly evenly between Westview and Sunset. Map A also has the northeast neighborhoods of Springville at Stoller, which seems more logical since they are separated from the rest of Springville by the PCC campus.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Emmanuel Jillela	Jedkr31@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Respected Beaverton School District management, Please do not change our middle school boundary and let it be Stoller middle school itself. Thank you. Emmanuel Jillela
01/31/2020	Luke Chang	lchang256@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Hi:I watched the live stream video of 1/30/20 meeting and paid close attention to comments of transportation director Mr. Craig Beaver (please correct me if I got name wrong). I have the following comments:1. Transportation cost is cited as priority. This was not the impression the public got from previous meetings. Nevertheless, there were several problems with figures presented on 1/30. I will list the following:a.) According to BSD public record information, cost per mile of transport is \$5.70. Cost per student is \$162.19. So I was surprised to see map C had lowest cost. When I looked at the transportation cost summary, I found that estimate for Five Oaks transport for Map C was 786 students, only 27 more than current. I don't believe that figure is accurate as Map C requires both Springville and Rock Creek to go to Five Oaks, whereas Map A had Rock Creek going to Five Oaks and the number of student transported is 853. It seems the district mixed up the data? Can you please double check?b.) Craig stated that school busses cross HWY 26 today and going to 5 Oaks wouldn't be a problem. However, did Craig realize that school busses that cross HWY 26 today do so before 7AM? Is Craig suggesting that in the future Five Oaks start time be moved to 7:30AM and have large group of students being transported at 6-6:30AM? If not, has BSD done traffic simulation of transporting students at 8AM rush hour through 185th and Bethany, both of which are high congestion streets? Has BSD had any discussions with city of Beaverton and Hillsboro on these changes?c.) Craig made some statements that were highly subjective, such as busing around Timberland neighborhood will be harder than busing students across HWY 26 to Five Oaks. He also mentioned walkability again. The public had the impression that walkability doesn't equal proximity. Can he back up these statements with facts?d.) Craig made statements about district planning to better handle inclement weather in the future. One specific example is if Cooper Mountain were snowed in, he could still bus around Bethany if weather permitted. The intent is to keep some schools open when weather pattern permits. If that's the intent, how will bussing students from Bethany across HWY 26 (and several different weather zones) help with that goal? Has BSD done simulations with weather and traffic pattern to show this plan actually achieves the stated objective?Finally, I'd like to comment that I had personally requested transportation data from BSD back in November and gotten the above data. So BSD had that data but somehow didn't present it until 1/30/20, a 2 month delay. I understand there might be some additional work to coalesce the data but to push that data forward publicly so late in a public discussion period seems to be driven by an agenda that's not in public interest and could possibly be a liability in a court of law. I would urge BSD to make all the calculations on the transportation data for maps be as open as possible and as transparent as possible.
01/31/2020	Rupa	rkdevadoss@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	We are living near springville elementary. We would love to stay with stoller MS as this is closer to us than FiveOaks (far and long commute). Kids will get tired of long bus drives in the mornings. But Stoller is very close by and would love to have it as our MS for our kids.
01/31/2020	Hsinju shieh	Erinju503@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Aloha		Map C. Walking to School is precious for our kids and environmental, the school doesn't have to cut budget on teachers instead of going to transportation. Since lots of Summa students live around Stoller, it doesn't make any sense to move them where else, again more transportation cost.
01/31/2020	Xiaoying Zhang	xiaoyinger@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		BSD please reiterate the objectives and JC factors of this boundary change! Yesterday BSD openly discard all of the objectives and JC factors which we have been taking as basis of discussion for months. Instead, transportation costs, which is clearly considered a SECONDARY factor in tons of BSD's precedent cases, suddenly becomes the only criteria. BSD, please explain! If transportation cost is the only thing matters now, just state it clearly on your website, then we don't need to waste our time trying to balance feeder, proximity, etc.It frustrates me that we now lose all of our standards. Our skyscraper is losing its foundation. When the committee pointed out the commute distance from Springville to Five Oaks is long on map C, the transportation officials said so what, there are other points also have long commute. When the committee pointed out map C creates too many splits, the official said so what, we have pre existing splits. What a logic! Because we have problems now, so we don't mind to create MORE ? What are we doing here? Are we trying to make things better or worse? I totally agree with the committee member pointing out we are not comparing the maps apple to apple. Every time a factor was discussed, a new standard was introduced and a new map was created. It has been months and the very basic question is still not answered: what is top priority and what is secondary? It's not an ideal world, we can't have everything. BSD, please define your top priority. If it's all about saving money, just tell us. If you still care about health and education of our kids, stop your employee from throwing out narrow minded opinions that do nothing but make his own job easier!
01/31/2020	chandana	chandanak08@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Appreciate the BSD transportation department to come up with Map C. This map looks good to most elementary schools since it maximizes walkability, equity and also has SUMMA programs accessible to multiple schools instead of it being in timberland(farther to every school for summa kids except findley). The utilization condition is also met with this map. Please consider making MAP C as the final map and disregard MAP A.
01/31/2020	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Thanks for creating a Map C which takes walk-ability as a criteria. As Mr. Beaver mentioned, this map reduces the numbers of students who need to be bused and also reduces the cost of transportation. As you have heard from the public testimonials at the meeting, map C has support from lot of communities north of US26 (Jacob Wismer, Sato, Findley, Terra Linda, Rock creek). I would urge the committee members to take this community feedback into account when refining the maps in future meetings.
01/31/2020	Rowan Wepener	rowan.wepener@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members - Thank you for your continued hard work.I feel that Map A is not an acceptable option for Rock Creek as we will be removed from ALL of our community members of Springville and Bethany. This arrangement would not be equitable for our students.I support moving forward with Map C with one adjustment of keeping the entirety of Rock Creek Elementary together and moving us all with our neighbors to Five Oaks. It is so important to us to not split our school and also to keep our northern community together.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Zhanping Chen	zch888@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Among the three proposals, I would like to see an estimate of the cost due to transportation. Based on the distance from each middle school, Map C seems to be most cost effective. Kids living in Oak Ridge and Terra Linda area can just walk to Stoller and Timberline.
01/31/2020	Anil	anilec6@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		We are pleased with MAP C. It considers proximity to school and diversity in all middle schools. Please accept MAP C just as it is. The testimonies from all the elementary schools prove that MAP C is the most popular for all elementary school except few elites in SPV/findley.
01/31/2020	TP	truptipatil@icloud.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Map c flaws- Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bussed to StollerThe cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute timeThis is injustice being done to Springville kids
01/31/2020	MAHESH CHANDRA	MAHESHCHANDRA1102@GMAIL.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Map C presented during the meeting on January 30, 2020 literally does not make sense to Springville community! It is represented in the map as if North of Springville and Northwest of Springville is one huge community. But reality is Northwest of Springville constitutes PCC Rockcreek and vast farm lands, which makes North of Springville like an island and this map pushes only North of Springville community to Five Oaks which does not makes sense!
01/31/2020	Pamela K Butler	pamela.butler@nike.com					To the Beaverton School District Boundary Committee.Now is a time when equality is deeply threatened nationally. Structuring and ensuring equality in education opportunity is critical for state and local governing bodies. This can only be done by removing political investments in such decisions and turn votes to favor investment in the greater and diverse population equally. The new boundary mapping offers an opportunity for the Beaverton School District to evenly distribute the resources to strengthen our diversity and our community. As a native Oregonian with degrees from NYC and Italy, I am now a 19-year veteran at Nike. I have feared the public school system for my daughter's education as the boundaries strongly favor new schools for prominent income families and exclude lower income families. My daughter will graduate from the German International School in the spring of '21. We live directly on Saltzman Rd in Cedar Mill and have been modernizing one of the original historical Bauer Properties - boarding Bauer Woods. With the new middle school directly down the street, and following initial BSD proposed boundaries published, we intended to enter Timberland MS in Fall '21. Recent changes to the proposed boundary maps (working maps A & B) suggest Bauer Woods and Bauer Estates would be sent to Meadow Park instead of Timberland. Bauer Woods and Bauer Estates is only 2 miles directly down Saltzman Rd to Timberland. Also across Saltzman Rd is the Bonny Slope district with higher income which is slotted for Timberland. These new proposed maps shows a zig-zag boundary separating higher income neighborhoods to Timberland and lower income neighborhoods to Meadow Park. This is painfully clear to the community as reflected on Nextdoor and Facebook websites. The community still complains about the previous boundary change for Beaverton HS when it stretched across highway 26. Such biased boundaries cause families to move to better school districts and in-turn cause real estate and communities to further divide creating pockets of in-balance. Boundaries speak volumes and by investing in the diversity of our communities and offering equal educational opportunity without bias for political investors, I join the community's plea to you to make a diverse decision rather than a divisive decision. Please, vote in favor of the working map C for finalized new school boundaries. Working map C will offer equally distributed educational opportunities as well as nourish our communities to flourish as a whole greater body.Thank you for your time and serious consideration.Pamela Butler646-644-8037 cel
01/31/2020	Geetha Biradar	geethab05@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I am really disappointed and concerned as to why Springville Kids are set to such hardships!1. Remove middle school option2. Send regular Middle School to Five Oaks, with commute of 45min-1hr each way3. Send SUMMA of Springville to Cedar Park
01/31/2002	Sri	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear committee members, At the outset I would like to express my disappointment in pushing map C just in the interest of saving \$\$ for BSD and not thinking of the children who attend this district. This map fails utterly for the following reasons:1) Map C splits eight elementary schools as they feed into MS: Findley, Rockcreek, Springville, Barnes, Raleigh Park, Elmonica, McKinley and Nancy Ryles, while the communities have all along been asking BSD to keep the ESs together as they move into the Middle school.2) Timberland is massively underutilized at 74% in 2021 and 75% in 2025 thus not meeting the board objectives. 3) Stoller is at a 100% in 2021 and even greater in 2025 again this does not meet the objectives of what BSD set out to at the beginning of the process.4) Makes the community around Springville a massive island as communities to the east and south of us would feed to stoller. There is no population living in the north or west of us due to PCC Rockcreek's presence. This disconnects our middle schoolers from the rest of the community in addition to subjecting them to unduly burdensome commute.5) the SUMMA population from Springville is asked to go to Cedar Park which is a whopping 8 miles away from Springville elementary. 6) it costs twice as much \$\$ to bus Springville kids to Five oaks then bussing them to Stoller. And the commuting time has not been presented to us. I can only tell you that bussing kids through PCC, westview high school, Rock creek elementary and busy shopping stretches on Tanasbourne which is by far the busiest in the county, is going to be a huge waste of these middle schooler's time. I would urge the BSD to think more from the point of view of children's day to day struggles that this map would bring in and not from the transportation department's perspective. Why are we engaging in a map that meets none of the objectives and costs more money in bussing Springville to Five oaks?
01/31/2020	ruth glass	rutebabe@hotmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		I am very disappointed at the meeting last night and that yet again Oak Hills students were ignored. I don't believe the high school boundaries should be redrawn yet again. The Oak Hills-Sunset bound students should go to Timberland as this will be one of the feeder schools for Sunset. Based on the data presented, there is sufficient space for the Oak Hills-Sunset students to attend Timberland. The other elementary schools (Bonny Slope, Terra Linda, and Cedar Mill) on the north side of Highway 26 will all be attending Timberland. Placing the Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to attend Meadow Park will isolate the Oak Hills-Sunset students. To be equitable and to help the students build true friendships, I would propose that Oak Hills-Sunset be placed at Timberland.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Preeju Philip	preejusan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Hi Committee Members.....I do want to reach out to all of you who would like to listen to the concerns of the Springville Community . COMMUTE TIME : North Bethany Springville moving to Oaks is 2 HOURS OF COMMUTE TIME EACH DAY for kids just to commute to and from school . The paper handed out clearly says that the kids will be on the bus from 37 - 45 mins EACH WAY . Then add in the bus waiting time and walking to Bus STop and Our kids have TWO HOURS In the day just to commute . How do you think it is ok for North Bethany SPRINGVILLE kids to be asked to commute that long ? COMMUNITY : We at Springville Consider North Bethany as our community . All our afterschool activities and care are all in the Bethany area . For us this is our Community . It is heartbreaking to see that Springville has been made an ISLAND in Map C and have no one except themselves all around them being asked to go to Five Oaks . Email has been send as part of comments to explain the SPRINGVILLE ISLAND in MAP C . PLEASE PLEASE consider. None of the maps , need extra busses or additional routes as shows in the papers given yesterday then why Sacrifice Springville Kids to save MORE DOLLARS? That is wrong at all levels . No maps need any extra bus drivers or extra funds from what is being spent today . Look at the extra cost to bus Springville to Five Oaks . EXTRA COST and EXTRA COMMUTE TIME FOR KIDS . How is that in anyway logical to implement?Please Committee members , we feel that we are not being heard at all . Please listen to our concerns as well . OUR KIDS ARE WORTHY TOO JUST LIKE ANY OTHER WALKABLE KID.
01/31/2020	Ashok S	gan001@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	less time in bus saves kids time, Saves Transportation Costs, keep Springville at Stoller. Five oaks doubles the transportation costs and kids travel time.
01/31/2020	Pramodh Bangaru	Pb97229@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members,Please remember that you have a high responsibility and duty to be fair to all kids of BSD, Not just for the kids who can walk to schools. For majority of the kids walkability is not an option hence this can not be hard stick for your judgement, walkability is a privilege that some kids have and Just because transportation cost is more for a given solution does not mean your judgement should be based on that. The whole Springvill community members really surprised to see the swift in the thinking process of the committee members during Jan 30 meeting. Previously majority of the committee members thought the feeding patterns and capacity fillings are main factors and decided Map A and Map B for further refinement for final decision process but in January 30 meeting, the whole thinking process became narrow and decided that transportation cost and walkability given higher priority throwing the progressed made since Nov under the bus. It seems the process is going backwards instead of going forward just because BSD want to save money on the transportation paying with the lifes of 500 small children. Please note Map C has a huge flaws:1. North Springville is an ISLAND with a whole bunch of grid codes of 0 around it in PCC, going to Five Oaks all alone.2. Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller not sure what was the logic that made for this decision 3. The cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time4. Springville is thrown under the bus while option programs like Summa continue to stay in the nearest middle school, Stoller.5. Map C is not meeting the objective 1 of filling Timberland and object 2 of reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2021.So please remember your role in impacting so many small life's , don't make judgement in hurry or persuade by factors that are only privilege for certain sections of the community or to save money for BSD. We need to use the Timberland school that is built with 61 million tax payers dollars effectively
01/31/2020	Erica Frankel	ericabck@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Hello,After attending the meeting last night I couldn't sleep. I just can't say enough what a large impact splitting up Findley would have on our family. Our children have grown up with these classmates/friends for years. Some since preschool. For them to have to make the transition to middle school which is already tough and on top of it not get to go with their friends would be heartbreaking for them. Then three years later they would again be split when they go to either Sunset or Westview. Since currently we fall into the small group of Findley that would go to Stoller while the rest of Findley goes to Timberland. Please keep Findley together. please send them all to Timberland so they can continue together to high school. Studies show that these splits are very hard on the kids and not advised. Please help to make their transitions easier and keep their mental health stable. In this day in age with everything else they will be dealing with the last thing they need is more instability. Thank you,Erica Frankel
01/31/2020	Charvi	charthal1@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I attended the Boundary adjustment meeting on 01/30. It is very disheartened to see that Transportation savings and Walkability are the primary objectives of this Boundary adjustment process. If it is the case , why should we waste Committee and public time for all these meetings. BSD can directly work with transportation and do the Boundary adjustment process.Why didn't we get the Transportation map early in the process. My understanding is that we discuss the transportation points for the selected maps (map 8 & 9), but what happened yesterday was new transportation map showed up and influenced all committee members with transportation savings and walkability by ignoring below facts1. 8 elementary school splits 2. Small part of Springville (low economy) going to Stoller with out any friends3. Very long commute for SV kids

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Akhil Sharma	sharma.akhil@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear committee members, Mr Spark and all other BSD officials, It was so disheartening to see all the committee members swayed by a few people clapping and a few self-serving testimonies. There are a number of issues with map C which won't be visible to parents who are ready to shove other kids far far away but at least the committee is expected to maintain its sanity. 1.In the last 2 meetings, maps similar to map C was repeatedly considered and discarded by both majority of committee members and majority of community representatives. It was decided that any map looking like Map C should not be considered. It was a surprise to see it in the mix again today as transportation department thinks it is in their best interest. Are we serving the kids in the community or BSD's transportation department? We need to move forward and not backward in these series of meetings. And map C was a big move backward.2.Map C results in splitting of 8 elementary schools into their middle schools – Springville, Findley, Rock Creek, Elmonica, McKinley, Barnes, Raleigh Park and Nancy Ryles. While it is understood that splitting isn't 100% avoidable, any map that introduces so much of disruption shouldn't be considered. Springville's poorer section of the community is being cut of and pushed into Stoller in the name of economic equality. This a small section and those kids won't have anyone who they know with them in middle school. Knowing the kind of bullying that starts in middle school they can be tagged in a negative way, which may have significant issue with their school life and experience overall.3.Map C doesn't adequately fill up the Timberland school and keeps it at 74-75%. Under utilizing a brand-new school continuously year over year, which was built over 10-15 years of effort and used up 61 million of our tax payer dollars, is not in the best interest of our school district. At the same time, it keeps utilization of Stoller in 2021 at 100%, not at 90%, thus not meeting the important objective of this exercise overall.4.Map C creates an island for majority Springville community. Map C as it currently shows it, doesn't appear to have an island, but due to the fact that a big part of that map up north is farmland and Portland Community College. PCC itself has more than 250 acres of land) where no one lives or will ever live. The community further north is being shown to go to Five Oaks, having to cross the Stoller school boundary, more than 5 miles away, going through high traffics roads resulting in unreasonable commute, especially considering that Springville school have 11% kids with some kind of disabilities.
01/31/2020	Prabha Chethan	chandu35cp@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hi, Stroller is right school for springville kids. It is shorter than fiveoaks MS. Kids need to spend more than an hour in both directions everyday to school which will impact their health both mentally as well as physically. Springville kids already loosing middle school option in springville on top of this they have to travel so much distance and traffic in fiveoaks route is too high .Please consider distance and traffic conditions to provide right choice for springville kids..Thanks and Regards.Prabha
01/31/2020	Ariel Fang	Eggroll17@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Map C has a few huge flaws:1. North Springville is an ISLAND with a whole bunch of grid codes of 0 around it in PCC, going to Five Oaks all alone.2. Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller3. The cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances in involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time4. Springville is thrown under the bus while option programs like Summa continue to stay in the nearest middle school, Stoller.This is injustice being done to Springville kids and it isn't even meeting the objective of filling Timberland and reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2021.
01/31/2020	Archit Bhatt	architbhatt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				MAP C will require so many kids get busses from northern most part of Bethany to below the highway. How is that ethical?
01/31/2020	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem				After the meeting, in discussions with some Findley parents, there was a lot of concern that areas listed as walkable for middle school students are not really safely walkable. There are areas without sidewalks and other obstacles, and parents and students may not feel safe walking to school. In these cases parents will drive their kids to school. If the purpose of keeping part of Findley at Stoller is to allow them to walk, we should first find out if the students will actually walk to Stoller from the Findley neighborhoods designated as walkable.
01/31/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				We have heard testimony and comments from several parents about how hard the transition from MS to HS was for their kids. Teenagers at that age already have a lot to deal with (more homework, more social anxiety, body changes, more activities, more pressure, etc) so the committee should prioritize MS->HS transitions over ES->MS transitions.I'm not trying to minimize the challenges that kids face when going to a MS from a split ES, but it will be much easier for younger kids to make friends when they go to MS compared to when they are going to HS. Therefore, I request the committee to not focus so much on an ES getting split into two middle schools - it's not a big deal compared to MS->HS splits.
01/31/2020	Sireesha Pisipati	sireesha.pisipati@yahoo.com	Findley Elem				My kids go to Findley and we live within a walking distance to Stoller. Thank you for supporting Map C in yesterday's meeting. When kids are able to walk to and from school everyday, it gives them some movement, helps them reduce stress, make more friendships within the community. It helps the city with Go Green. It gives parents peace of mind because this reduces depression among kids. It reduces using tax dollars on unnecessary transportation costs.Also I hear that about 177 SUMMA kids from 2020 6th graders are within walking distance to Stoller - that includes mine. Please include SUMMA in your transportation cost maps as well.
01/31/2020	Peichi Liu	Basiciu@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I don't agree Map C because that North springville is the farthest from Five Oaks. Second, cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances in involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time. This is injustice being done to Springville kids and it isn't even meeting the objective of filling Timberland and reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2020.
01/31/2020	Jeremy Johnson	doublej13@att.net	Rock Creek Elem				In map C, Five Oaks is only at 90-93% utilization. Why not reunite the Rock Creek and Springville students that were sent to Stoller so that friendships from elementary school can be maintained through middle school. The students from each school will be attending Westview together, so it makes sense to keep them together through middle school too.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			<p>The Board JC. Policy recommends the following factors for the adjustments:1) Student body composition;The map actually creates an island out of SPV elementary and requires SPV to travel across Stoller boundary to get to Five Oaks. The BSD Transportation map is misleading because PCC Rock Creek campus on the west has 0 ES or MS or HS kiddos.It is devious because it nitpicks on a select apartment map complex area south of SPV and feeds them to Stoller creating an incongruous map. It is a monstrosity in the name of a map. 2) Current and future availability of space at a school;MAP C does not meet the JC policy of 90% Permanent capacity utilization in 4 middle schools with the 2021 numbers. Take for e.g. Highland Park, Meadow Park, Stoller all at 100%, Mountain View and Whitford at 96-99%. Timberland the brand new spanking school is at 75%. Why would BSD spend all that tax payer money to build a MS in a bad location to keep it underutilized ??BSD has spent tax payers money to setup Rachel Carson at Five Oaks and now they relocate that school to Whitford literally at the other end of BSD and spend money again in creating a garden &amp; setup to facilitate that school ? Has this cost been accounted for in MAP C ? No ! 3) Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school; andMAP C is the worst of the proposed Maps. Its disingenuous in splitting many ES schools and MS. MAP A and MAP B do a much better job at feeder patterns.4) Neighborhood proximity and accessibility.First and foremost the only school that is in SPV proximity by any figment of imagination is Stoller (2.2 m iles). All other North ES (e.g. Sato, JW, Findley, Terra Linda, Oak Hills etc.) have at least 2-3 MS within a 4 mile boundary. Even Findley is closer to Five Oaks than Springville is. Just because you can provide a bus that does not make Five oaks accessible. Really BSD you want to think of children as shipping boxes to be carted of without due sensitivities to the time they spend commuting which will be at least 1.5 hours. I drive through 185th every day and its horrible :-(- Committee members - Do the right thing. MAP C does not meet any of the stated objectives right out of the bat. Saving money might be a good goal but not at the expense of children.</p>
01/31/2020	Wanyun Zheng	zhengwanyun@gmail.com		Springville K-8			<p>I am very disappointed with yesterday's meeting. MAP C is unacceptable. BSD did not focus on the four objectives. If BSD wants to ignore them intentionally, I hereby want to reiterate the first two 1) Create an attendance boundary for Timberland area. MAP C will only feed 80% of Capacity of the new school. 2) Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller so it is not overcrowded. MAP C indicates that in 2021, the capacity will be 100% for Stoller.While I understand that busing is a factor to consider with budget cuts, it should not be the only or top criteria. We cannot sacrifice Springville North Bethany kids to take the penalty. They will have to take 90, 90, 90 !!!!! minutes to commute to school every day! And those kids will have to spend most commute time in the district. There are already a lot of issues and concerns. Don't create more!! I have been living in Chicago area and California Sacramento area for the past 12 years. I have never seen such a mess. I moved to this area 6 months ago and I have seen Springville changed from K-8 to K-5 and now the kids would need to spend 90 mins to go to a middle school?!! To solve the issue of budget cut, BSD should come up with other solution, etc, charging transportation costs to the students who take the bus. This has been done in a lot of areas throughout the nations. BSD should not sacrifice the kids from springville north bethany area. It is unethical and I cannot help doubting if BSD has discrimination against our kids.To sum up, MAP C is not acceptable! BSD stop creating new issues. Enough is enough!</p>
01/31/2020	Jennifer Hoffman	krh0923@msn.com		Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	<p>At the beginning of this process it was indicated by the district, committee, and the initial maps that there would be little change in South Beaverton. This is what parents believed. I'm guessing you have received only a few comments from families in the south because the meetings, maps. public comment have been dominated from last September to late January by families concerned with Stoller, Timberland, and changes in those areas. I listened to the entire live broadcast of last's night's meeting (January 30th) and it was again the same thing... yet the maps are now greatly affecting families in the south, including Cooper Mountain.I'm writing to ask your consideration that as little as possible change happens to families in south Beaverton. Disrupting as few kids as possible during the middle school years is crucial for mental and emotional health. Middle school is a very difficult time (I have had 2 children already complete and almost complete middle school. These children are currently in 8th and 10th grade). If my son, especially, had to change middle schools in 7th grade, it would have been a disaster, as he struggles with a social anxiety diagnosis. So many kids these days struggle with anxiety and depression due to the changes in our society. Life for young teenagers is just not the same as it was years ago. Consistency is now crucial. The amount of change that may happen to Cooper Mountain kids in particular, I believe will affect not only their middle school experience, but high school as well. There will be stressors both mental and academic that will follow them to high school. If there is a way to either keep the status quo or have all Cooper Mountain attend Highland Park, the result will be very little disruption. Currently 70% of students from Cooper Mountain go to Highland Park, and 30% go to Mountain View. Please find a way to allow the 70% of kids to continue at Highland. It would be awesome if everyone from Cooper could go to Highland, because that would only change things for a few students, especially when considering how many Cooper Mountain students apply and go to option schools. The only discussion I've heard concerning legacy students is that it sounds like if there are legacy students allowed, it would only be for 8th graders. This is not right for 7th graders. Switching middle schools after 1 year would be awful. Even if the schedules and other experiences are more consistent from school to school, there is no way switching from Highland to Mountain View after one year wouldn't be extremely hard. 6th grade teachers build communities, they go to Outdoor School, they start a 3 year band program, they form friendships with kids they meet for the first time in 6th grade, and a million other things. It just would be very sad. Please make it possible that anyone who starts at one middle school may stay throughout the 3 years. I'm also concerned that if my actual neighborhood gets switched from Highland to Mountain View, there may not be bus transportation. We live about 2 miles from Mountain View, but it may be closer if you consider the back of Mountain View by Champion's Park that quickest access point. If there are no longer buses available for kids that don't live very close to Mountain View, this is going to be extremely challenging for parents to get their child to school. middle school doesn't start until 9:15. By the time parents and guardians have middle school students, almost everyone works outside of the home, including both my husband and I. The walking route my daughter would have to take from home to Mountain View would not only be long, a huge change in elevation, but also crossing several busy streets and walking over a mile on a very busy street. I would need to figure out how to drive my daughter to school, well past the time both my husband, my HS driver, or myself needs to be at work/school. Please don't assume that kids who don't have bus transportation, have a safe and reasonable walking route to school. I was able to stay home during the time my children were in elementary, but now I am working, and having a middle school student start at 9:15 will be a hardship. The area around Cooper Mountain School, is just not walking through flat, quiet neighborhoods. Its truly the opposite. The parents at Cooper Mountain feel blindsided by the current maps. Please understand that we didn't know that drastic changes would affect us, after looking at original and earlier maps and listening to the constant comments and concerns from families in the opposite side of the district. Please have all of Cooper Mountain continue at Highland, or have the few kids assigned to Mountain View, be changed to Highland. Please grant my request of legacy students and look extremely closely at the reality of transportation changes that could happen. Thank you for your consideration and time spent on this project. Jennifer and Ken HoffmanErica (5th grader at Cooper Mountain... going to Highland Park next year)Allison (current 8th grader at Highland Park)Ryan (current 10th grader at Mountainside)</p>
01/31/2020	Rupa	Swarupa.modala@gmail.com		Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>ISB Please keep the Springville school in Stiller middle school boundary because Five oaks is too far from Springville school and if we miss the bus it takes 20-30 mins depends on traffic and kids get tired and they can't focus on classes.It's my humble request to the middle school boundaries committee.Thank you</p>

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			In a Memo dated Oct 16th, Mr. Sparks laid out the objectives of this adjustment process.1. Create an attendance boundary for the Timberland-area middle school that provides a projected student population sufficient for comprehensive middle school programming.MAP C creates an underutilized Timberland with 2021 and 2022 numbers. This is a brand new spanking school and how can we even consider this as a reasonable solution ?2. Adjust the attendance boundary for Stoller MS to provide a projected student population of approximately 90% of the permanent capacity of Stoller MS.MAP C also puts the utilization for Highland Park, Meadow Park, Stoller at 100+%. Whitford and Mountain View between 96-99%. BSD has been driving the community and committee hard on ~90% PC utilization target. Double standards BSD ?3.Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools,as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary.&gt;&gt; MAP C nitpicks apartment complexes to the south of SPV in the Rock Creek boundary and feeds that to Stoller to make the numbers look good. How is this area walkable BSD ? MAP C creates an island to the north and sends SPV students (who have no other school within a 4 mile boundary like other schools in the north - Sato, JW, Findley, Terra Linda, Bonny Slope etc.) to the next nearest 5.2 miles away. Unbelievable and its devious on BSD's part !4.If warranted, study and recommend minor adjustments to elementary and/or high school attendance boundaries in order to reconcile split feeder patterns or otherwise improve the alignment of attendance boundaries between all school levels. MAP C map is incongruous, splits too many ES schools, creates an island out of SPV (Theme 3 was rejected precisely because it created an island out of Bethany ES and did not hit the numbers). Does not reconcile MS to HS feeder patterns (which to principals and parents is a single biggest factor).Its a terrible map.Committee members do the right thing - discard MAP 3 and focus the conversation on MAP A which is a lot more balanced and require minor adjustments to improve student composition in Timberland.
01/31/2020	Carmen Steen	steenc@ohsu.edu	Rock Creek Elem		Westview		I attended the meeting last night and was seriously disheartened by what I witnessed. A group of adults/parents who were clearly only interested in THEIR take on the matter being considered and not at all afraid to be roudy about it, treating the event more like a college football game than a meeting about a serious matter affecting hundreds of children and families. I want the district to consider a few things - 1. WALKABILITY (no matter how often it is mentioned by people who FAVOR this) should NOT be a priority in this case, considering the MAJORITY of kids are actually NOT able to walk to either their elementary school or their middle school. The fact that some people drive by [insert middle school name here] every day to run errands should also not be a factor. 2. EQUITY is the MOST important thing. Stating reasons such as BSD budget and the environment are #1 priorities, while being ok with the district spending an extra \$40K/year to send ANOTHER school twice the distance away just so that *your* kid doesn't have to go to another school doesn't seem equitable, and frankly seems outright dishonest. Same goes for claiming that splitting 2 separate elementary schools is detrimental to the wellbeing of these kids, but being perfectly OK with actually splitting ONE (very small) elementary school and sending those kids to two separate middle schools. I urge everyone reading these comments and posting here to take a minute and put themselves in others' shoes and re-evaluate their priorities - having to choose between 2 great schools, one you can walk to and one you are being bused to, would be a dreamy problem to have compared to having your kid sent to a different high school where their entire class would be the outsider, not only coming in from a different neighborhood entirely, but also going to a different high school than the other peers in their new middle school. Feeder patterns and equity are the priorities here, as listed by the BSD's very own memo from the January 30 meeting.
01/31/2020	Subu Venkatachalam	subramanianv2001@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I want my kid to go to the nearby middle school. Springville to stoller. Other middle schools are far away. This will reduce time spent by her on school bus and will also give her option of riding her bicycle to school. Further also district would benefit by transportation cost reduction which could be spent on other useful things.
01/31/2020	Rucha	ruha23@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Please refer to the Chart submitted by BSD Transportation Dept - It shows - If Springville goes to Five Oaks, Springville students would've to spend 45 mins each way , 1.5 hrs everyday on the bus. Not only that the Miles and cost is double that of Springville going to Stoller The new middle school (Timberland) was built north of Hwy 26 to ease out the ever increasing students in Stoller. If Springville goes to Five Oaks (gets pushed to attend a MS south of Hwy 26) what purpose does the new MS (Timberland) have? How does BSD justify the new MS - Timberland and the cost associated with it.
01/31/2020	Saudamini Paranjape	saudaminic@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	I am a Springville parent. I would like to request BSD to think from kids point of view. In US, having school near to the residence is the most favorable factor that saves long commute time and opens up more productive time for the kids. In current scenario, if Springville students need to travel to Five Oaks MS, they would be spending almost 1.5hrs in commute every day. Also it is required to consider the purpose of building a new school -Timberland specifically in the North of highway 26. If the whole purpose of this school is to accommodate the middle schoolers from increasing number of communities in North Bethany area, then what's the point in sending Springville students to the school (Five-Oaks MS) that is exactly in opposite direction? It has to be fair treatment.I hope BSD would be fair to all kids from different ES and will not just take decision based on the convenience of few handful parents.
01/31/2020	Giles	baochanggiles@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Map C is not acceptable with has huge flaws ignoring below facts :1. North Springville is an ISLAND with a whole bunch of grid codes of 0 around it in PCC, going to Five Oaks all alone.2. Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller3. The cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances in involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time4. Springville is thrown under the bus while option programs like Summa continue to stay in the nearest middle school, Stoller.This is injustice being done to Springville kids and it isn't even meeting the objective of filling Timberland and reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2021.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Sushil D	sushil.dhoot@gmail.com	Springville K-8				FRUSTRATED by Jan 30 meeting when ALL BSD boundary criteria AND everything discussed in prior meetings was completely ignored and all of sudden transportation costs was given highest (and only) priority at expense of bussing Springville elementary students for ~1 hour each way!!!! VOTE DOWN Map C. •Map C is completely useless and against all principles discussed by advisory committee in prior meetings. Map C is totally UNFAIR for 500 kids from Springville. It claims to make the job of transportation guy at COST of Springville Kids. •REJECT Map C: Springville island is effectively created in North Bethany and sends those kids to Five Oaks MS with commute distance of an hour each way!! •REJECT Map C: Springville Summa students are expected to go all the way south to Cedar Park. •REJECT Map C: Map C creates too many elementary school splits which majority of advisory committee was against. All for walkability of select few neighborhoods. TOTALLY INEQUITABLE. •All maps A/B/C reduce transportation costs. Other factors like feeder patterns, equitable commute times is what made maps A, B last through long and fair discussions in previous meetings. It makes NO SENSE to disregard all previous advisory committee votes/concerns and choose Map C so late in the process because of transportation dept recommendations. This is completely outrageous!
01/31/2020	Rohini Uthra	Rohiniuthra@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Moving Springville students to Five Oaks increases the commute time to as much as 30-45 minutes one way which is not going to help the students. Distance should be one of the main factors in deciding the boundaries. Thanks.
01/31/2020	Sheng	lishenggz@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		MAP C is ridiculous in terms of below perspectives: 1. Horrible utilization of the brand new school which is by the way our Objective 12. Stoller is at 100% in 2021 ( nowhere near the objective)3. Makes NorthBethany kids from Springville commute way way more than ANY kid in BSD commuting to their home MS today. Spending unnecessary amount of time on the bus4. The Springville area going to Five Oaks in that map is an island. Even though the boundary looks continuous, nobody lives in PCC RockCreek area. 5. Our SUMMA kids will then also have to go to Meadow park 6-7 miles away, half way across the district6. Couple of schools are over 100% in 2021 itselfPlease move back to the basic decision criterias and don't play with jumping ideas anymore!
01/31/2020	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Dear Committee - Thank you for your hard work, our communities appreciate it! It is overwhelmingly clear from the 1/30 meeting that Map C meets the majority of the criteria/objectives this committee has been working so hard to meet/address. It is no secret that portland is the most walkable/bikeable city in America. Rain or shine, citizens of our communities walk and bike, especially our younger generation. For this reason alone, walkability is VITAL in the boundaries decision making process. It is also environmentally friendly, healthy, reduces traffic on our roads and is cost effective, especially for a school district that had a \$34M deficit less than a year ago.From an Equity standpoint, Map C lowers the number of students on free and reduced lunches across our middle schools who are currently on the higher end of this spectrum and ensures that both Stoller & Timberland are NOT seen as schools for the elite. It spreads diversity across our schools, something that our MS principles have stated is critical to their success.Looking at utilization, Map C offers the most optimal utilization not only in 2021 but also in 2025. It is far better than any of the other proposed maps from a utilization perspective. When it comes to costs, Map C is the most cost effective map on the table. Having been put together by the BSD and Transportation, it offer optimal walk routes, bike routes, bus routes and keeps costs at a minimum. It is an irresponsible use of taxpayer dollars to increase those costs when they can clearly be avoided.Although I feel for communities concerned about commute times and splitting friendships, this process will never please everyone. I urge members of the committee to please take into account the issues that are best for the entire district. The importance of cost savings, diversity, equity and utilization. Those suggesting that the commute from Springville to Five Oaks is upwards of 45 minutes, is simply untrue. This process is not about 3 or 4 students, its about thousands. HS feeders may need to be tweaked and adjusted, especially with Westview in a similar to worse overcrowding issue than Stoller. It is NOT out of question for this committee to suggest/recommend that the HS boundaries be reviewed. Perhaps it will benefit the entire district anyways.Many thanks!

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Amanda Guldager	amanda.hill.guldager@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear Committee Members: The Rock Creek community strongly urges you to abandon Map A and proceed with modifying Map C to more closely align with the priority of avoiding additional elementary school splits. Map A produces the most expensive and least equitable result of the two maps the committee is considering. In short, if the committee moves forward with Map A, it has completely failed in two priority concerns of the BSD community. As I pointed out in the boundary adjustment meeting last night, Map A produces a grossly inequitable result for the Rock Creek community in particular. The Rock Creek community overall has accepted that we will be sent away from Stoller. While we are sad to be leaving a community that many of us have been a part of for many years, we understand that all BSD communities must be prepared to make changes as part of this boundary adjustment for the greater good of all BSD middle school students. It is, however, deeply troubling to us to see Map A shifting the area of the most economic diversity away from Stoller and down to Five Oaks. Even more troubling to the Rock Creek community is that Map A has Rock Creek relocating to Five Oaks as the only northern school in that boundary. We are not opposed to being rezoned to Five Oaks. We are strongly opposed to being rezoned to Five Oaks as the only northern school. The northern schools are geographically separated from the current southern Five Oaks feeder schools not only by Highway 26 but by a large commercial area that separates the two communities. Asking Rock Creek to leave their northern neighbors, integrate ourselves into an unfamiliar community and then rejoin our northern neighbors at Westview just three short years later (with many new Five Oaks friends zoned to Aloha High School) creates an inequitable experience for Rock Creek families. The reintegration of Rachel Carson to Five Oaks after their temporary stay at Timberland during the renovation process has been an unmitigated disaster. Many Rock Creek parents are very worried that our small school of 200 middle school students will have a similar experience integrating with the unfamiliar Five Oaks community. Allowing another northern school to attend Five Oaks with Rock Creek would significantly mitigate this concern and allow the creation of a Five Oaks community that is a more balanced integration of northern and southern schools. We strongly urge the committee to investigate the possibility of allowing one of our boundary-sharing neighbor schools, such as Bethany or Springville, to join us at Five Oaks. Rezoning Bethany to Five Oaks could result in a smaller transportation budget than continuing to bus all Bethany students to Meadow Park. Bethany is literally across Highway 26 from Five Oaks. Why not shorten their commute times and reduce transportation costs in the process, while also preserving our northern community for better continuity with our feeder patterns? Springville joining Rock Creek is another possibility, although it does create an inequitable result for the northern neighborhoods in their community with the bussing commute time of Five Oaks versus Stoller. But allowing Springville to join Rock Creek would at Five Oaks would allow for a better balance of northern and southern schools at Five Oaks and would allow Springville and Rock Creek students more opportunity to form friendships in middle school with students who would continue on to Westview, rather than the majority of their middle school classmates continuing on to Aloha. With regard to the elementary school split advocated by the current form of Map C, Rock Creek strongly desires that the committee refrain from allowing additional elementary school splits. Rock Creek is a small school. We send only about 200 students to middle school. Splitting our elementary school creates a disproportionate disruption to our community for no meaningful benefit to the BSD priorities. The northern portion of Rock Creek will not create economic diversity at Stoller. Nor will it promote walkability as those neighborhoods are not within the 1-mile walkability zone for Stoller. If the committee is able to remove the elementary school splits from Map C, and send all of Rock Creek to Five Oaks with at least one northern neighbor, that result would be acceptable to our Rock Creek community. The majority of community members who addressed the committee made it clear that school splits should be avoided. In conclusion, the Rock Creek community urges the committee to move forward with Map C with the community supported modification of removing elementary school splits from that map.	
01/31/2020	Rashmi Mudiyanur	Rashmi.mudiyanur@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Hello BSD and Committee, At yesterday's meeting (1/31), it was very welcoming to hear some committee members acknowledging our concerns via the comments posted here. So thank you for letting our voice be heard as part of this process. - It was great to see Findley finally being included in a map that is approved by most committee. Findley is becoming a very matured neighborhood with no new construction anticipated and neighborhood kids moving on beyond middle school. So including Findley will only help with numbers as its not a growing school. - While MAP C is a great start, please keep in mind numerous comments that came out of yesterday's meeting. I attended the meeting virtually and it was very heartwarming to see and hear so many committee members and all the testimonies pointing to NO SPLIT. - I heard a committee member even talk about a set of elementary schools being considered as a community and splitting them would be hurtful. I think the same applies to our non-walking Findley kids. They are not only being separated from their community Elementary schools like Jacob Wismer, they are also being separated from their own classmates from Elementary (by splitting walking and non-walking Findley). - Personal Experience - I have a 5th grader going into Stoller this year! She has been super stressed with the fact that she will be separated from most of her friends at Findley, let alone all the JW kids that have always been part of our community. She is dreading middle school as is, and this whole experience leaves our family very distressed. - Reality check - while MAP C does speak for cost, demographics and what have you, please evaluate carefully if we really need to achieve the objective by splitting so many elementary schools. I am sure we can make MAP C work with less to no splits as much as possible. Having said all this, I sincerely believe that this committee that has been tasked with the most difficult job, will look at data, understand our concerns and tweak map as needed, to ensure our kids can experience their middle school without stress and anxiety. Thank you, Rashmi.
01/31/2020	Devdatta Kulkarni	kdevdatta@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		To BSD superintendent & MS committee I heard discussion of Jan 30th meeting. I was just speechless about direction of BSD driving/forcing this discussion. Bsd completely abandoned their own JC rules set up in 1st meeting. Please consider community kids including mine as future Great US citizens and not as dumb, emotionless packages that can be dumped from homes to school no matter what it takes for Bsd. In last meeting, common consensus from AC was to move Findley to Timberland with summa and solve all issues. This was win win for almost all community across BSD. Then why all of sudden you bring this transportation based maps and why not in 1st meeting itself? Who get well served with these discussions- Bsd and surely not kids. Bsd need to change their motto - think about cost of bsd first then think about well being of kids. I hope AC and BSD takes right, ethical steps thinking kids well being first and not cost. Please help them!!!!
01/31/2020	Brian Michael	bmichael.or@netzero.net	Terra Linda Elem				Working map C is the only map presented at the 1/30 meeting that meets all stated goals of the committee. Maps A and B should be dropped as they result in overcrowding, increased number of kids riding the bus, lower diversity and well as poor feeder patterns. Keep Terra Linda with our fellow Cedar Mill neighborhood schools at Timberland.
01/31/2020	Eliza wu	Eliza.wu.teacher@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			As I've read the frequently asked question it seems logical for Springville to continue going to Stoller. Sending kids on a 45 minute bus ride to Five Oaks seems like an excessive amount of time when there is a much closer option. Springville is also the furthest distance from Five Oaks in comparison to the other schools. Also is the district considering the growth in the north Bethany area? Houses are continuing to be built by schools are not. There needs to be plans for a new school to accommodate all of the homes being built.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Sudipto Bose	sudiptobose2010@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The current zoning activities are putting the spring ville neighborhood at a 45 minutes commute to their middle school. a 90 minutes commute for middle school students will take a lot of energy out and impact their focus on studies. mapping the northern most neighborhood to a school that is south of sunset does not seem logical.RegardsSudipto
01/31/2020	Kimberly Sanders	kimberlysanders13@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		If Map C continues to move forward, then Oak Hills Elementary needs to be united once again through middle and high school. If the high school boundary is not moved, Oak Hills kids will be left in the same boat that they are in right now...with no familiar faces at Sunset. If moving the high school boundary does not happen, then Oak Hills kids need to go to middle school (whatever middle school that may be) with other kids who will be moving on to Sunset for high school. We don't care where they go to middle school. We will support that school no matter what. We just want our kids to have familiar faces and friends with them at Sunset.
01/31/2020	Kaustubh S. Gadre	ksgadre@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Thank you to BSD for reducing number of maps to viable 3 options. Also appreciate considerable efforts and data analysis done by BSD committee and staff in producing maps. With all transportation data and pricing included map C seems to be very practical solution. It is dividing communities for multiple schools but achieves goals setup towards adjustment of middle school boundary. I hope this is considered as viable option for future meetings. Thanks.SincerelyKaustubh S. Gadre
01/31/2020	Jamie Williams	jamie.stewart.williams@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				Thanks so much, my committee friends, for all the work you are doing.I am overall very optimistic about this process so I will start with optimism. I think you are finding and will continue to find support for Map C from most of the northern community. As a Rock Creek parent, I am particularly satisfied with how both portions (we will get to that in a second) of Rock Creek are kept with at least two northern Westview feeding neighbors. The northern portion is kept with Jacob Wismer and Sato (along with that small portion of Springville - again, we will get to that) while the southern portion of Rock Creek is kept with Springville and Bethany. We are elated about our community being kept with northern Westview feeding neighbors. Thanks so much for considering that.I think Oak Hills is treated so well in this map, particularly by moving it to Sunset. That will be needed to mitigate Westview overcrowding and correct the disservice done to Oak Hills during the high school boundary adjustment process. If Oak Hills is not moved to Sunset, I don't think those parents are going to be happy with Map C.Regarding splits. I understand that they are necessary in many situations, including to preserve middle to high school feeding. I also understand that they are required for walkability and transportation considerations. Please be careful with this. Rock Creek is a small school; I am not sure this split is justified, especially since just sending them with the rest of the school will help the overcrowding at Stoller by fully removing us (yes, there are people willing to leave Stoller under the right circumstances!). The Findley split is controversial for sure and they do not have a consensus there. I do think about 70% of those people would rather stay together and move to Timberland than split their community. Either way, someone will be upset. Regarding the split to Springville that is present in both of the maps you guys are considering: that split is immoral. Sending the poorest kids away from the rest of their school to a crazy-rich school just to balance demographics will result in their isolation and bullying. That cannot be done. Please don't treat them like numbers; 75 kids in 6-8 is too small to split off and they are literally the poorest people in the entire area, clearly targeted for being poor. Finally, I do think even the transportation representative admitted (and the data confirms - look at the cost), that northeastern most portion of Springville cannot travel to Five Oaks. That is silly and Jason was right to challenge it. If you want to split Springville, just split it up there and have the eastern-most part go to Stoller. You will have some room with kicking us out. Or if Findley stays together and goes to Timberland.Regarding Map A. I understand that you guys support it. I think it is overall a very good option that only really inappropriately treats a couple of schools, one of which is Barnes and one of which is our school, Rock Creek. You will get support from Rock Creek if you just accept this map and switch Summa at Meadow Park with Rock Creek at Five Oaks. Send us to Meadow Park with our neighbors, please. I sent you guys a proposal for Map 8/A that shows it and if you reach out to me, I can resend it or discuss it with you. South of us is a freeway and a huge shopping center. We have no neighbors at all to the south. Elmonica and McKinley are not full Westview feeders. I beg you guys to consider Rock Creek, a school that is almost never mentioned during your discussions. It is clear when you look at Map A that it is an island that has been cast off from Stoller. We don't care if we go to Stoller, Timberland, Meadow Park, Five Oaks or the moon. Please, don't send us to a school without a neighboring elementary school. That was done to West TV during the high school boundary process and you know it was wrong. It is still wrong. Please, let's not repeat the same errors over and over again. If that is fixed, both maps will have our support.
01/31/2020	Anjani	Anjani.katabathuni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	I would like to keep springville to be under stiller regioin since it is easy to commute.Kids will have to be in traffic if they go to five oaks.please consider this.
01/31/2020	Priya	pry_dmv1@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I vote for Stroller
01/31/2020	Prasanna	prasanna.pilot@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Why is Springville being asked to make sacrifices that no other elementary community will be required to make?Map C proposes that many buses for Springville students will be close to 45 minutes one way. When we make proximity a priority, why does proximity only matter for some students and not others?
01/31/2020	Safaa Jasnak	jksaf9@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Map C proposes a one way 45 min commute for Springville students. When we make proximity a priority, why does proximity only matter for some students and not others?
01/31/2020	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear committee,I would like to highlight that the new Map C presented at the meeting yesterday alienates Springville and creates an island out of us. Needless to say, communities south of us who are much closer to Five Oaks are being bused to Stoller but the rest of us in the north have to literally drive past these communities to travel to a school further down south. This is not fair on the Springville kids- we were recently stripped off of our option school and now we are being made to travel unfairly to a school that is so far away. In addition, Cedar Park MS that is 8 miles away is our new SUMMA school? If transportation costs were a priority, why was this not communicated as an objective in the beginning? Shouldn't BSD be looking at these factors even before building a school in Timberland? I urge the transportation department to present various commuting times and not just the costs so that the committee can come up with a fair and equitable solution for all kids across the district. Please do not subject the Springville elementary kids to travel to their home middle school that would require traveling through some of the BUSIEST intersections in the county( <a href="https://www.co.washington.or.us/LUT/Divisions/TrafficEngineering/Programs/upload/2018-Traffic-Count-data.pdf">https://www.co.washington.or.us/LUT/Divisions/TrafficEngineering/Programs/upload/2018-Traffic-Count-data.pdf</a> ) when there are other reasonable solutions that are possible. Thank you.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Sanjoy Ganguly	sanjoy.ganguly@kp.org	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			I want to voice my strong support for map C which is a great solution and addresses various problems / issues at hand. Mainly it puts Springville and Sato the two biggest growing neighborhoods in two different middle schools addressing the Stoller overcrowding issue not just for the short term but for long term as well. Walk-ability is also taken into account thereby not needing BSD to spend \$\$\$ on transportation for students (including SUMMA) who are within walking distance of Stoller. The biggest problem of Map A is that it puts Springville and Sato going to Stoller and that in essence doesn't solve the overcrowding issue of Stoller because they both are the largest growing neighborhoods. Map C puts Springville for 50Oaks for now but if BSD decides to open a new MS in future in the North of Bethany that MS can take Springville (and SATO students north of Springville Rd) that will solve easily. But for now Map C is the best path to choose.
01/31/2020	Vasu Akkineni	vasu.akkineni@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The Jan 30th MS Boundary meeting was very disappointing. The objectives of the boundary adjustment process were sent for a toss to bring new factors like transportation costs and walkability at the expense of long commute times to Springville students. BSD claims to have clear objectives but pushes map C which cherry picks communities for equity and walkability resulting in a map where Springville North students have been alienated in all angles below:1. Long commute from Springville to Five Oaks (The transportation costs are very high for this)2. Springville North is an island in Map C and it is not even shown clearly (PCC campus and farmland)3. Springville SUMMA kids sent farther to Cedar park ~ 8 miles4. Under utilization of Timberland capacity at 75% in Map CMap C does not meet any of the objectives the committee was created to accomplish - Fill Timberland, Reduce Stoller to 90% and Balance diversity and feeder patterns. Transportation costs were not listed as part of the criteria and should be considered as secondary as demonstrated previously in the case of Elmonica.
01/31/2020	Kaustava Roy	kaustava.roy@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Early College	Dear SirsI am quite concerned by what I observed at yesterday's (1/30/2020) meeting. I saw a lack of discipline and decorum among the audience and it was not addressed effectively. Besides this, I feel the structure of the meetings is not doing justice to the cause. I believe no one wants a decision to be made based on voice or other muscle from any particular school zone. Though we are in different school zones let us not forget we are in one America and each zone needs to be allowed to support its residents in the best possible way so it is a win for the community and nation at large. At every meeting we start off expressing the above noble sentiment but the discussions that follow seem to very sadly violate this premise. In my analysis there are three primary reasons for the failure of being able to effectively adhere to the well thought out BSD guidelines laid out to help in the boundary adjustment effort:1. Committee members are not getting the opportunity to be as heavily invested in the process as is required a. Panel members need to have brainstorming sessions among themselves, away from the distractions of an audience so a consensus can be reached on evaluative criteria and their weights. If these change based on zone, this needs to be properly documented and explained. b. Panel members need equal access to data. Any raw data that is used in the decision making process must be available to the panel and audience. Any data that is not shared must not be admissible in the decision making process. Also any data that is deemed critical but is missing must be highlighted and impact understood. c. Even though most panel members are volunteers, this is a very serious responsibility affecting thousands of families. The gravity of the role must be enforced through mechanisms such as public oath of not making agenda based decisions and being open to legal proceedings in case of proof of malintent. Members who can not handle this level of seriousness should be allowed to step down and make room for others who are willing.2. This complex non-linear problem is not being broken down effectively and so time is getting wasted as people are getting bogged down into rat holes. I see this both in the panel discussions and public testimony a. Public sessions must be split by non-overlapping boundary decisions. This is a more effective use of people's time and allows for better focus on a smaller problem statement. b. In addition to the Maps, Charts/ Handouts must succinctly list PROS and CONS of each proposal and how it ties to the BSD guidelines. The handout can be session specific and need not cover all boundary decisions. Also, if possible, interactive data visualization tools should be made available online for effective what-if analysis. c. Public testimony must be filtered out for repetitiveness, non-adherence to identified BSD guidelines, and duration. People who have more to say need to be given the chance to speak up rather than those who wrap up in less than a minute. Testimonies should be combined if they are saying basically the same thing so MORE views can be shared. The only way we can get good testimonies is by instituting a screening procedure that considers these facts and is transparent. 3. Audience members are displaying antagonistic and disrespectful behaviors that are undermining the inherent strength and cohesiveness in our communities. This harms the decision making process which also has a strong emotional component. a. There must be a zero tolerance attitude towards disrespectful behavior. Proceedings must stop and errant behavior called out. If warnings fail, errant individuals must be asked to leave the assembly immediately. b. Residents must feel that their emotions are being considered with compassion so the final decision strengthens all communities - Where it feels like a boundary decision is asking for more hardships in one community, offsets must be explored: a. Can budgets be provided for distance learning, special programs (through community volunteers), or special meal days? - To build bonds across communities, consider floating optional programs and clubs where different schools might host the program for a given quarter. - Enable teachers recognized for their impact to teach in other middle schools for a day so that cross-pollination of best practices happen. All these will reduce anxiety towards boundary adjustments.In conclusion though, I would like to state that with all the above, I feel we are still lacking in a good strategy due to following limitations:1. The short term measures being discussed are not being evaluated against a long term strategy. What is the ideal state we are looking for in the future? Can we have an A4 report made out? Only a long term strategy can put any short term measure in the proper perspective.2. We are limiting ourselves by not discussing about novel ways to increase capacity (why is this off the table?): a. Can we crowd-source funds from the public as a tax deductible donation? b. Can we re-use under-utilized capacity in nearby community colleges etc for optional programs? c. What are costs for increasing capacity and how can they be reduced? Does capacity need to be centralized or can it be distributed? Thank you in advance for patiently considering my observations.Respectfully submitted with sincere regards,Kaustava Roy
01/31/2020	Beth Rear	bethr@amaa.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I attended the meeting last night and have a few thoughts/comments. I am pleased to see that Map C is being considered further. There are several things about the map that the community supports. In regards the Map A which is still on the table - I want to remind the committee that one of the four Pillars of Learning that BSD stands behind is "We Embrace Equity." MAP A does the opposite of Embracing Equity. It takes the most disadvantaged kids out of Stoller (Rock Creek) and drops them into an already suffering, low income school. In the process it makes Stoller more economically elite than it already is. WHERE IS THE EQUITY in this? There is none. Map A is not an acceptable solution. If BSD brings Map A back to the table, then the community deserves clear answers from BSD and the committee regarding how they believe this solution to be equitable. Clear public answers. Because Map A puts Rock Creek parents in the position of having to make some very tough choices - because we are not getting dropped into Five Oaks as it stands today. That school is an absolute disgrace. We demand better - and the only way to make it better is to move more than one northern school over to Five Oaks. The committee says "There are no bad schools" - well, then I challenge you to drop YOUR children into Five Oaks and see how the quality of their education compares. One other thing that became abundantly clear at the meeting last night. Other than transportation, the committee does not seem to have clear priorities or goals with this boundary adjustment. What are the priorities that will inform the final decisions? Equity? Transportation costs? Walkability? Diversity? What? I think BSD needs to clearly identify to the public what its main priorities/pillars/goals are. If it is walkability and transportation costs, then Map C is a great starting place. If it is Equity, then Map A should not be in the mix. At this point in time every committee member seems to have different priorities and no clarity on what BSD stands for and which priorities should come before others. This was the feeling many parents left the meeting with last night. The BSD leadership needs to step in and clearly direct the committee on what the main priorities are and what principles it wants to embrace over others. Otherwise the committee members are just floundering and each operating according to their own priorities.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Akhil A.	akhilrahir@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Map C is unfair to springville students which which make almost all routes have a 45 minutes commute each way. Proximity must be a priority for boundary adjustment.
01/31/2020	Ji Yu	yj_jenny@gmail.com					Map C on 1/30 meeting shows that the cost of transportation became the leading criteria! On the other side this map does not satisfied all 4 Board Objectives mentioned on BSD's website. Map C will only feed 80% of capacity of the Timberland Middle School (not a sufficient feed for new middle school); It still leaves Stoller 100% capacity in 2021; Most of all, map C splits 8 elementary schools (not a minor adjustment)! Overall, map C is not a well-balanced map to consider. We have been spending months to narrow down the maps. Throwing a new map which is not meeting any of the 4 objectives made previous discussion into a waste of time. WHAT IS THE TOP PRIORITY? Map A shows an overall better balance. It fulfills the 2 primary objectives: to adequately fill up new Timberland school and to leave enough room for future growth in Stoller. I want to mention that the 3rd Board objective is "Review and adjust the attendance boundaries of other middle schools, as needed, to accommodate the new Timberland-area middle school boundary." This Objective clearly shows what is more important to consider. We sincerely hope the committee follow the objectives consistently and figure out a balanced solution for all the students.
01/31/2020	Soumya Sah	soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Looking at the three maps, map 3 makes the most sense to reduce overcrowding at Stoller long term. It keeps the walk-able population in its neighborhood school and does not add to transportation cost. It also keeps the Summa program that has such high density around Stoller so that most of the kids would be able to walk to Stoller. It is also the best in terms keeping the schools equitable.
01/31/2020	Suya Zhang	aiyaan@126.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members, I have been following the MSBA meetings since beginning. I believe there must be something wrong now. Remember the four objectives you assigned in the first meeting. Where are they now? Map C doesn't meet any of it at all. How could that map be even put up? In Map C: 1. only uses 75% of the Timberland school 2. Stoller has 100% capacity in 2021 (objective 2 is 90%) 3. moving for filling up to new school? 4. Creating more splits. Overall, Map C is a great example of AGAINST OBLIGATION! All members, if we are going to using transportation costs to create the map, why didn't you tell us in the beginning? Changing objectives means equality?! All members, please remember the four objectives and four criterias to make your decisions. Thank you!
01/31/2020	Beth Moyer	bam122276@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		When reviewing all the current map themes, I see serious problems and a lack of fairness with proposed capacities. For example, in Theme 1, Cedar Park would be at 48% of capacity and Timberland would be at 64% of capacity while Stoller would be at 113%. All other middle schools are within capacity range. It would make sense to shift the boundaries between those three middle schools only. In Theme 2, Five Oaks would be significantly over capacity at 134% while Stoller and Timberland and Mountain view are significantly under capacity. Again, this makes no sense nor is it a fair proposal for people in the suggested Five Oaks boundary. Similarly, in theme 3, Timberland and Cedar Park are significantly under capacity while Five Oaks is again at 134%. This is simply unfair to the children proposed to attend Five Oaks. In Theme 4, Stoller would be over capacity at 131% while again Timberland would be significantly under capacity at 61%. All of these themes are not treating schools and neighborhoods AND CHILDREN fairly. Why should I pay the same amount of taxes towards schooling as other communities when my daughter will be at a school with a much higher capacity which translates to larger class sizes and less attention? Why should my daughter have to commute for 45 mins to middle school because other parents don't want to send their children to a new middle school that is within their community boundaries? It is incredibly selfish and unfair.
01/31/2020	Jie Bian	bianjie@hotmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		At the beginning of yesterday's meeting, I was happy to hear that many committee members emphasized that we are a whole community and need to make decisions based on that principle. That was why I felt shocked at the end of the meeting by hearing that Plan A was not abandoned but kept as one of the two possible plans. In terms of equality, income balance and cost, I don't understand why A is still favored by the committee. According to Map A, Rock Creek Elementary, the school with the highest free lunch ratio in the northern area, is adjusted to Five Oaks, the one with the highest free lunch ratio among all the middle schools in the Beaverton school district. How does that reflect equality, income balance, and the principle of taking the whole school district as a whole community? In terms of the transportation data, 5754 students need riding buses in Map A (vs 5212 in Map C), not to mention the huge difference in the operating cost (2,703,690 vs 2,331,364). I echo what a parent said at yesterday's meeting: I would rather that my tax money be used for hiring more teachers/staff than paying for the unnecessary
01/31/2020	Nilesh Pande	nilzpande@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	It just doesn't make any logical sense that kids from the northernmost part of the district travel to the farthest (in terms of miles) school in the entire district. Not to mention the added travel times and transportation costs to BSD. There is no middle school within a 3 mile radius of Springville besides Stoller. Other elementary communities have various options. North of 26 we have a new middle school. And we are now being told the kids in the very northern part of the district will need to travel 4.5 miles from their elementary to middle school with Map C. This is further than any other elementary-to-middle feeder school in the district. It makes no sense that building another school north of 26 makes kids north of 26 travel further than any other elementary-to-middle feeder.
01/31/2020	Kanika	kanika.k2s@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I would like to understand the thought process behind this decision. As I understand, springville students are being asked to commute 1.5 hours total. Is proximity no longer considered a priority? Please take all these things into account when making a decision. Asking students to spend so much time while commuting isn't fair specially when compared to some other elementary school communities
01/31/2020	Riddhima Pareek	riddhimapareek@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Why is Springville being asked to make sacrifices that no other elementary community will be required to make? Map C proposes that many buses for Springville students will be close to 45 minutes one way. When we make proximity a priority, why does proximity only matter for some students and not others?
01/31/2020	liqiong Wei	zchen8@yahoo.com					we are in the walking distance to Stoller middle school. We fully support map C which has the lowest transportation cost.
01/31/2020	Darius White	Julia_chenjie@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Map C looks best for long term Stoller population stability. It also keeps more walking students at Stoller.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Molly Theurer	mollytheurer@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				After the last middle school boundary meeting and hearing that Map B will not be considered, I am submitting my concern and opinion. Map C is the only option that creates community and equity for Rock Creek Elementary students at Five Oaks. Map A cannot be considered as it will isolate the Rock Creek area from the surrounding neighborhoods and create great inequity in the middle school distribution at Five Oaks. Since Rock Creek elementary students are having to move middle schools, consideration must be given to including surrounding neighborhoods or neighboring elementary schools. Map C needs to be adopted for the new middle school boundaries and creates the best case scenario for all middle schools. It will also allow for busing students in immediate neighborhoods and hopefully cut down on transit time, as this is also a concern since busing will be occurring through busier traffic patterns. Please consider this opinion that Map C should be adopted!
01/31/2020	Anand	anand.murali@gmail.com	Sato Elem				I am part of the pirate part community where kids are walking to Stoller middle school - we are within a mile's radius of Stoller. This community's elementary kids moved from Jacob Wismer to Springville to Sato on successive years - 3 schools in 3 years! Now Map B was proposed to push this community to Five Oaks which is clearly a travesty of justice. We are thankful that in the Jan 30 meeting, Map B was rejected in favor of Map C and Map A. As parents of Sato and also as members of the pirate park community that is within walking distance of Stoller, we completely support Map C. Map C also addresses the concerns of all other communities that have been shoved away from Stoller in spite of living a stone's throw away from the school. Commonsense would dictate that all of those kids that are living within walking distance of a school would be assigned to attend that school. It seems to all of us that there is a desire to lump together all of North Bethany at any cost in to Stoller even if it means that kids living within walking distance to Stoller would now have to catch a bus and travel to another school miles away. Map C applies much needed commonsense in to all of this by logically splitting the growth areas of North Bethany in to two middle schools - Stoller and Five Oaks. The BSD transportation department clearly mentioned during the Jan 30 meeting that they can totally handle the transportation of kids from Springville to Five Oaks and that the transportation time would not exceed the district mean. Hence transportation should not be a factor here. It was also clear from the public meeting on Jan 30 that forcing Springville to Stoller had cascading effects on all communities that stretched all the way up to Timberland. Moving Springville out of Stoller and readjusting feeder zones as Map C did gained overwhelming support of the public. There is absolutely no way Springville could be brought under Stoller without the cascading effects described above. Also, bringing Springville to Stoller as Map B did and Map A currently does completely excludes middle and low income communities around the rock creek area and creates a socio-economically and racially homogeneous elite school in Stoller - something the BSD is striving to avoid. Hence we advise the committee to strongly advocate for the alignment in Map C as far as Stoller and the Bethany area is concerned.
01/31/2020	Madhu Rangarajan	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING Walkability:1. Map A actually has most of the walkable parts of Stoller.. Only the Findley part of Stoller was not in Stoller because the community explicitly pushed for no splits. 2. Map C results in splits all over the place, but somehow the no split arguments seem to have faded away.3. Map C creates a SPRINGVILLE ISLAND that goes on some of the longest commutes to Five Oaks without any of its northern neighbors. It is a true Island.. There are no children in the misleading contiguous map shown by transportation where they color the areas over PCC and a farm as Five Oaks. 4. The transportation person could not provide ANY DATA on median and std deviation on the longest bus rides from Springville to Five Oaks but was yet trying to testify and drive the committee in his direction5. It would be good if the transportation person provides actual data and doesn't spend most of the time testifying on behalf of one of the maps.6. BSD built Timberland at the WRONG LOCATION. Do not penalize Springville by making it an Island and voting it out....Last, but not least, remember that Objective 1 is to Fill Timberland. Objective 2 is to Reduce Stoller. Map C fails on both counts. This is not about making the transportation guy's job easier. ALL the maps reduce the number of bus routes from where they are today. Please focus on the objectives and not the transportation distractions.
01/31/2020	Kelly Osowski	kellyjosowski@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				As a Cooper Mountain Elementary parent, I feel extremely blindsided by the proposed changes. Up until recently, the proposed maps and discussions had little to no impact to our school. Now at the 11th hour you are proposing to completely uproot our families to meet the needs of schools in Northern Beaverton, without giving us adequate time to process and engage in the discussion. I feel you either need to stick with status quo (which Stevens Sparks had said was a proposed option for our school on 1/20/2020) or give us more time to engage in this very important decision!
01/31/2020	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members, At the outset I would like to express my disappointment in pushing map C just from the perspective of transportation costs and not thinking of the children who attend this district. This map fails utterly for the following reasons:1) Map C splits eight elementary schools as they feed into MS: Findley, Rockcreek, Springville, Barnes, Raleigh Park, Elmonica, McKinley and Nancy Ryles, while the communities have all along been asking BSD to keep the ESs together as they move into the Middle school.2) Timberland is massively underutilized at 74% in 2021 and 75% in 2025 thus not meeting the board objectives. 3) Stoller is at a 100% in 2021 and even greater in 2025 again this does not meet the objectives of what BSD set out to at the beginning of the process.4) Makes the community around Springville a massive island as communities to the east and south of us would feed to stoller. There is no population living in the north or west of us due to PCC Rockcreek's presence. This disconnects our middle schoolers from the rest of the community in addition to subjecting them to unduly burdensome commute.5) the SUMMA population from Springville is asked to go to Cedar Park which is a whopping 8 miles away from Springville elementary. 6) it costs twice as much \$\$ to bus Springville kids to Five oaks then bussing them to Stoller. And the commuting time has not been presented to us. I can only tell you that bussing kids through PCC, westview high school, Rock creek elementary and busy shopping stretches on Tanasbourne which is by far the busiest in the county, is going to be a huge waste of these middle schooler's time. I would urge the BSD to think more from the point of view of children's day to day struggles that this map would bring in and not from the transportation department,s perspective.Why are we engaging in a map that meets none of the objectives and costs more money in bussing Springville to Five oaks?

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Sunyong Lee	sunyonglee6@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				I attended the middle school boundary adjustment meeting last night and came away encouraged by what i saw and heard. I really appreciate that the Beaverton School District brought forward a third map, Map C. Based all of the data that was put forward, such as transportation costs, walk-ability (proximity to the neighborhood), socio-economic equality, and school enrollment size being more even across the majority of the schools in 2021 and 2025, it was clear that Map C was far superior and the better choice than the other two. I was also pleasantly surprised by the committee members being able to change their opinions, as most dismissed Map C at the very beginning of the meeting, but then after looking at the data and listening to the concerns of the public, the majority of the committee members came around and actually preferred Map C. For this, I applaud the committee members for having an open mind and willing to change and adapt. Again, moving forward, it was clear and obvious Map C is the one we should use, which some tweaks to address the concerns of the neighborhoods that are located on the fringes of the map. But Map C seemed to have the least amount of concerns overall. Thanks again for your hard work, as the school district is in a very difficult situation juggling the needs of the students and also having to appeal to the parents and their agendas. Regards,Sunyong Lee
01/31/2020	Tsuyu	tsuyuh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller.
01/31/2020	Ajay Garg	ajaygarg1980@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear MS Advisory Committee,I firmly believe that Map C presented on Jan.30 ticks most of the boxes such as walkability, socioeconomic balance, transportation cost, and overcrowding. I request to make this map to be the one to be tweaked (if needed). All SUMMA students can walk to Stoller MS and hence minimizing the transportation cost.
01/31/2020	Rucha	rucha23@gmail.com					Dear AC membersI wanted to highlight certain issues presented by map C and why it looks so balanced. What the Map C did was selectively carve out portions out of Springville elementary and made stoller numbers by moving those to Stoller and sending remaining kids to Five Oaks. Please check the grid map. You will notice north of Springville is a island. We will be leapfrogging the peninsula created artificially. That's not fair. Everyone likes map C for their walkability but what about springville whose commute will double? Why are we being penalized because our nearest school is 2 miles away!! Map A and B can also be made walkable. Terra Linda and parts of Sato and JW can walk. But everyone else should be treated equally!!
01/31/2020	Akshita	agarg115@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Advisory Committee,I fully support map C. Please move forward with this. Walkability and socioeconomic balance is the key along with keeping SUMMA at Stoller MS.Thanks.-Akshita
01/31/2020	Roopa Y	roopa.yerramilli@gmail.com	Springville K-8				This is regarding the middle school boundary adjustment. Walkability is being prioritized. Many parents at the 1/30 meeting claimed their children would walk to middle school, rain or shine, if given the option. Would these same parents please get on board with eliminating the parent pickup/dropoff line for middle schools? Students should either be taking the bus or walking from the walk zone. There should not be a line of cars picking up and dropping off students. Only students with physical limitations should be allowed to be driven to middle school. If we truly value walkability in the walk zone above all other considerations, this is something everyone should support.
01/31/2020	Ramanath Vysyaraju	ramanath.raju@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Walkability is being prioritized. Many parents at the 1/30 meeting claimed their children would walk to middle school, rain or shine, if given the option. Would these same parents please get on board with eliminating the parent pickup/dropoff line for middle schools? Students should either be taking the bus or walking from the walk zone. There should not be a line of cars picking up and dropping off students. Only students with physical limitations should be allowed to be driven to middle school. If we truly value walkability in the walk zone above all other considerations, this is something everyone should support.
01/31/2020	Prithvi Narina	Prithvi.narina@gmail.com	Springville K-8				BSD Staff represented Map C like it is the ONLY Map which considers Walkability and other Maps like Map A and B voted by Committee Members are against Walkability. This was not only factually incorrect but also trying to influence Committee and Communities with Misrepresentation of Data. E.g. Consider Stoller in Map A, It has All Walkable Kids of Stoller still at Stoller except for Findley Walkable Kids, but Findley Walkable Kids are moved to Timberland in Map as Findley Community want NO SPLIT and it also aligns with Feeder Pattern. It was discussed in many meetings was done to preserve Feeder Pattern. So saying Map A is now Walkable Friendly is INCORRECT.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Jennifer Alger	jennalger@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	<p>A couple of housekeeping items regarding the process first: 1. Video Streaming: Still some issues with the video streaming posted - crackled sound as well as black-outs/ no sound. It would also be advantageous for the camera to include the screen/PowerPoint that is referenced and pointed to multiple times throughout the meeting.2. Presentation materials: The public is not given very much time to review the new maps PRIOR to the meetings. They have been posted on the same day and it does not allow for enough evaluation and proper feedback especially when the Committee is making decisions on which maps to move ahead with that night. This is critical as the public is not allowed the opportunity to provide feedback on the new maps at each meeting BEFORE the committee makes their decisions on how to proceed with them. The small public comment portion during the meeting is the only feedback and does not represent the entire community.Now content:I heard multiple times in the January 30th meeting that each Committee member has their own personal/ or individual priorities/values in evaluating the maps and JC Policy Factors. I sincerely urge the Committee members to remember that the JC Policy requires all four factors of 1. Proximity/Accessibility, 2. Student Body Composition, 3. Capacity and 4. Feeder Patterns to be considered (in no particular order) in order to satisfy your objectives of the new Boundary recommendations to the Superintendent. This is not a personal decision and your recommendations should be based on balancing these 4 factors in the best interest of the entire BSD student community - not your personal bias and which factor is more important.I was pleased to see that Map C which seemed to balance most of the factors best out of the 3 was chosen to move forward but it still has a way to go. Please keep in mind the capacity issue of trying to maintain a minimum of 800 students across the schools as that was a strong factor from the middle school Principals Letter that would decrease the quality of education and waste resources if not maintained. I didn't hear as much discussion on capacity at the last meeting and I believe this is one of the most challenging factors to solve/balance.I have one question in regards to the future projections - does it take into account the approximately 2000 households that the Peterkort Property Master Plan intends on constructing north of 26 which adds to the problem of capacity in not only Middle Schools but also other elementary and high schools in the district? The Washington County Cedar Hills - Cedar Mill Community Plan notes on Page 29, Barnes - Peterkort: This area includes the largest amount of vacant buildable land in the planning area. This land also is located close to two regional traffic ways (Highways 26 and 217) and two Arterials (SW Barnes and NW Cornell Roads). As a result, the currently undeveloped area is proposed for intense urban development over time, including high density residential, retail, and office commercial uses. Please note that this 80 acre development does NOT include any land for new BSD schools and it is within walking distance to the new Timberland MS but is included within the West TV Elementary, Cedar Park MS and Beaverton High School areas for school boundaries. It is designated as R-25 (almost 2000 new households in the Peterkort Master Plan found online) which puts this area north of 26 in the same distraught overcrowding situation as Stoller, therefore exacerbating the capacity issue if BSD does not coordinate/plan with the County (as they didn't with Springville) and it is not taken into consideration for reboundary processes.</p>
01/31/2020	Paige Jones	paiger_jones@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>I have attended the meetings for the middle school boundary changes. There are a few maps that make sense to me and others do not. I understand sending Jacob Wismer and Sato Elementary to Stoller because of distance. I do think there are some Findley students that would be able to go to Timberland since they are just as close to that as Stoller. The problem I have with Springville going to Five Oaks is the transportation of the students. They would be on a bus for so long and it would have to travel down 185th - if you have ever driven down that road in the morning it's a complete zoo since we pass so many other schools along the way. I think it would be dangerous for the kids because not only would you have buses but you would have parents driving their children to school as well. Looking at the maps, it does make sense for the Springville students to attend Stoller since they will feed into Westview. I have two kids who have attended Stoller and we have been thrilled with our experience there. We moved to Portland and moved to our neighborhood because we were going to be in stoller boundaries. I think if you pushed SPringville out you would have a lot of people moving to other schools causing an overcrowding that wasn't expected.</p>
01/31/2020	S	Srib467@gmail.com	Springville K-8			<p>In looking at the transportation table that was provided at the last meeting, the total miles from Springville to five oaks that will be driven is 47.3 miles which is clearly an outlier compared to the distances that were presented for other ES to middle schools (the next farthest is 31miles). And it also costs significantly more \$\$ ( in fact the highest) in busing Springville kids to Five oaks (\$109,660). Why would BSD even choose to go with this outlier option which is Map C? This costs more money and miles covered and does not meet the objective of filling Stoller to 90% capacity and filling Timberland to the right capacity. Please BSD, you have put in a lot of work in this and please do not go with a map that does not meet any objectives and splits eight elementary schools. I am sure there is a more equitable solution than this.</p>
01/31/2020	Eric Bolken	ericbolken@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			<p>Effects on Cooper Mountain ES prior to the 3 current maps, of the 69 total Theme and Workshop maps in total; •56 (82%) have shown no change to current Middle School feeding. •9 (13%) have shown a shift of all Cooper Mountain ES going to Highland Park MS. •3 (4%) have shown a shift of all Cooper Mountain ES going to Mountain View MS. •1 (1%) has shown a shift of all Cooper Mountain ES going to Conestoga MS. In all posted comments to date regarding Cooper Mountain ES have addressed 2 themes; clean feeders from Cooper Mountain to Highland Park MS and allowing Legacy students to maintain currently enrolled Middle Schools. Zero comments to date have voiced support for a shift of CMES to Mountain View MS. The fact that now 2/3 (67%) of the theme maps are reflecting what has been communicated in a vast minority to date for potential impacts, shows that little consideration is being made for families at CMES. It is clear that the posted comments made by Cooper Mountain families are not being given the same standing as other comments when considering Maps B &amp; C. Only Map A addresses any concerns from CMES families.</p>
01/31/2020	Eric Bolken	bigbolk@hotmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem			<p>While it is understandable that not all feeding is going to be clean from ES to MS to HS, having an entire elementary school population attending a MS that does not feed into the HS they will attend is not beneficial to the students nor community. Having to adjust to a new set of peers at the Middle School level that they will no longer be going to school with in HS is detrimental to the development of students. While other ES's are split into differing MS's which then may feed into differing HS's, Cooper Mountain ES is the ONLY elementary in the entire district that would be going fully to a MS that does not feed into their HS. Please consider only Map A or reverting to one of the many previous options that had no impact to CMES going forward. Neither Map B nor C are acceptable options in my opinion.</p>

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Preethi Pachampettai	preethi.ram@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Why is Springville being asked to make sacrifices that no other elementary community will be required to make? BSD Staff represented Map C like it is the ONLY Map which considers Walkability and other Maps like Map A and B voted by Committee Members are against Walkability. This was not only factually incorrect but also trying to influence Committee and Communities with Misrepresentation of Data. E.g. Cosider Stoller in Map A, It has All Walkable Kids of Stoller still at Stoller except for Findley Walkable Kids, but Findley Walkable Kids are moved to Timberland in Map as Findley Community want NO SPLIT and it also aligns with Feeder Pattern. It was discussed in many meetings was done to preserve Feeder Pattern. So saying Map A is now Walkable Friendly is INCORRECT.
01/31/2020	Colleen	Colleen89@hotmail.com	Findley Elem				A new boundary discussion should be used as an opportunity to fix split feeders situations not to add new ones. Map C minimize transportation cost, can we now use that map and attempt to minimize ES splits and/or MS splits. A great starting point will be to eliminate Rock Creek, Springville, and Findley splits. They seem unnecessary as Stoller can absorb the changes. Then move Bethany and Oak Hills to Timberland. Thanks!
01/31/2020	Leslie Seward	Leslie.Seward@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		The Oak Hills community doesn't deserve to go through the high school boundary changes again! Meadow Park students will struggle with friendships, when they have to change from FOUR different elementary schools, and then FOUR different high schools. This is not fair. Friendships allow our students to connect and grow into wonderful community members.
01/31/2020	Jessica Adsit	jessicaeadsit@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem				I did not realize the impact these adjustments north of highway 26 could have on South Beaverton families. It is pertinent that our voice be heard. The emphasis I hear is on walkability and budget. More important is the social and emotional well being of our kids, and their sense of community as they move from elementary, to middle, and high school. As a family that specifically sought out housing in a particular area to attend certain schools and to have cohesiveness through all the school levels, it is very important that all of Cooper Mountain Elementary feed into Highland Park versus Mountain View. I have heard mentioned the importance of avoiding splits when possible. Sending Cooper Mountain kids to Mountain View with other students they will not attend high school with, and not allowing them to attend middle school with the students they will go to high school does not make sense. Shifting them from one peer group to another, during these middle to high school years is not what is best for their social and emotional development. We recently changed schools in the third grade and the adjustment then was hard enough, I cannot imagine how difficult this would be during a time in our kids lives when friendships and peer support is so important. Please keep all of Cooper Mountain Elementary together, and feeding into Highland Park so they can be with their peers they will attend high school with.
01/31/2020	Usha Krishnamoorthy	deviushak@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				reduce overcrowding at Stoller maintain walkability by having Jacob Wismer feed into Stoller keep SUMMA at Stoller
01/31/2020	Priyanka Aggarwal	aggpri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD, During 30th January Meeting, BSD Transportation represented Map C like it is the ONLY Map which considers Walkability and other Maps like Map A and B voted by Committee Members are against Walkability. This was not only factually incorrect but also trying to influence Committee and Communities with Misrepresentation of Data. E.g. Cosider Stoller in Map A, It has All Walkable Kids of Stoller still at Stoller except for Findley Walkable Kids, but Findley Walkable Kids are moved to Timberland in Map as Findley Community want NO SPLIT and it also aligns with Feeder Pattern. It was discussed in many meetings was done to preserve Feeder Pattern. So saying Map A is now Walkable Friendly is INCORRECT. Why is BSD making it like except for Map C, other Maps are not favoring Walkability? They are undermining the amount of Effort and Thought process put by Committee and Community Members. As mentioned in the example above Map A also favors Walkability but it also considers feedback from Community like Feeder Pattern which is a Policy JC? Why is BSD confusing the Committee and Community by suggesting Saving Cost is Priority? Even BSD Transportation Numbers given during 30th January Meeting, Map C which is the Best Map produced by BSD Staff saves \$200K over another Map B? Do the Math is \$200K that BIG Savings for BSD with Million \$\$\$ Budget to allow suffering for Many Kids for Longer Commute of Minimum 35 Minutes one way for Springville Kids From Bus Stop to School (this is Best Case Scenario, Add Traffic Conditions of SW 185th which is anyway worse than average and we are talking of Minimum 1 Hour and 30 Minutes to 2 Hours of Daily Commute)? It was unfortunate to listen that BSD Transportation Staff said this Long Commute is not an Issue/Impact? YES, it may not be Issue/Impact for the BSD Bus Drivers but it is 100% Issue and Impacts Middle School Kids. This is their age of Spending Time on Learning Activities and not in BUS. This is kind request to Committee Members, Please think. You made the Choice of Map A and B which does Favor Walkability. You have spent so much time discussing and listening feedback from Community and came with these Map A and B by considering all the factors: Walkability, Feeder Patter, Minimum Split and others. Now let's not feel that something was ignored as suggested by BSD Transportation Staff. He may have his priority to save Cost for BSD and favorable driving conditions for his drivers (easy Right Turns, No Rail Crossing as Bus has to Stop at it) at the cost of suffering for Kids. He is doing his Job. Now Let's do our Job and respond him and BSD that for all of us the Kids are the Most Important and if BSD has to spend extra \$200K for it, let's be it. \$200K is nothing against the suffering of Kids.
01/31/2020	Saurabh Jain	hundredrabh@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hi, Seeing map c selected in the last meeting was shocking for me to say the least. That map was based on walkability which had been been listed as a selection objective for past bsd discussions. Also that map did not meet the criteria of filling up timberline. If timeline needs to be empty then why were 50 million plus spent too build a new school so that you can save 40k in bussing 500+ kids for 2+ hours per day?

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Jodi Bean	Jodi.Bean@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem				The Beaverton School District just held a meeting this week on race as a community wide discussion. The description read, "In our ongoing efforts to ensure equitable access, inclusion, and opportunity for our students, families, and staff, the Beaverton School District is engaging in the first of, hopefully, many community conversations around race." This is very timely given the conversations happening around the Middle School Boundaries. Race, Diversity, and Inclusion are key concepts that are essential for the committee to be prioritizing when looking at middle school boundary changes. For example, Map A that was shared at the meeting on Jan 30th does not support the efforts of diversity and inclusion. What Map A does is keep the richest kids in the richest school without diversifying the social or economic classes that live in the area. It is paramount that the committee makes choices based on equity and diversity and evenly spreading the resources. Resources obviously mean funds, but also the ability to have an involved parent group and volunteers. An equitable spread of resources, funds, involved parents, academics, and after school programs would then improve the overall levels of the schools in BSDs. It is Oregon law that an equitable education be provided to all children and not simply allowing the upper echelon of families to stay at their chosen middle school because they have the time and ability to show up at meetings en masse and post a lot of comments. If Rock Creek Elementary School needs to move to a middle school that is over the freeway and across the massively congested commercial area, then it should not be the only one. It makes more sense to move two or three schools north of the freeway so that there can still be a sense of community while also improving diversity and equity. Please discard Map A. Do not divide up Rock Creek Elementary School. And mix up who is at Stoller.
01/31/2020	John Glass	aknofun@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem				The Oak Hills-Sunset bound students should go to Timberland as this will be one of the feeder schools for Sunset. Based on the data presented, there is sufficient space for the Oak Hills-Sunset students to attend Timberland. The other elementary schools (Bonny Slope, Terra Linda, and Cedar Mill) on the north side of Highway 26 will all be attending Timberland. Placing the Oak Hills-Sunset bound students to attend Meadow Park will isolate the Oak Hills-Sunset students. To be equitable and to help the students build true friendships, I would propose that Oak Hills-Sunset be placed at Timberland. Overall this very disappointing SUMA classes in stroller are preventing this from happening. I haven't seen a proposal to relocate the SUMA classes.
01/31/2020	Arun Sundaravaradan	sams.arun@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Proposed Map C ( <a href="https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;45850440">https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;45850440</a> ) creates an island for majority Springville community. Map C as it currently shows it, doesn't appear of have an island but due to the fact that a big part of that map north is farmland and Portland Community College. PCC itself has more than 250 acres of land) where no one lives or will ever live. The community further north is being shown to go to Five Oaks, having to cross the Stoller school boundary, more than 5 miles away, going through high traffics roads resulting in unreasonable commute, especially considering that Springville school have 11% kids with some kind of disabilities. So I request you to feed Springville students into Stoller.
01/31/2020	Archana Raghunath	archanar@outlook.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In reference to the Proposals available here: <a href="https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;45850440">https://v3.boardbook.org/Public/PublicItemDownload.aspx?ik&amp;#61;45850440</a> Map A seems to have an overall good balance. It minimizes the splits. It is an equitable solution compared to other proposed solutions. It fulfills the 2 primary objectives, to adequately fill up new Timberland school and to leave enough room for future growth in Stoller. Hence, we wholeheartedly support map A to be considered for next phase. We also urge the committee to move forward with chosen maps and don't reintroduce discarded maps repeatedly. Thank you all for the great work and contribution for the betterment of the BSD community. So I request to feed students from Springville to Stoller Middle School
01/31/2020	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD Thanks so much for Map C. You have addressed main concerns of most communities. Especially Terra Linda at Timberland. I am a Jacob Wismer parents. But I strongly sympathize with Terra Linda Elementary. Terra Linda, Bonnie Slope and Cedermills elementary have co exhausted so long as a cohesive group. Timberland is the natural middle school. But Map A denies this. Map C on other hand brings Terra Linda at Timberland and increase socioeconomic diversity and improves equity. Most of all addresses the sentiments of these communities. Kindly retain Map C. It needs to be the final map to address main issues. Thanks so much.
01/31/2020	Jamie	jamie@jettexhibits.com	Findley Elem				We think it would be best to keep the entire school of Findley Elementary school together. If they need to go to Timberland in order to stay together that works too. They have been cultivating some amazing relationships over the years and it would be a shame to break them up. Please please please keep them together.
01/31/2020	Jonathan Berndt	jonathan.berndt@frontier.com		Cedar Park MS	Sunset		I'm writing in the hope that you would consider re-zoning Timberland MS to include our neighborhood. We live in the Hicketier Park neighborhood (NW 120th place and NW Reeves St. --- 1 block north of Cornell Rd). Our kids could walk to Timberland MS as it's less than four blocks away. We can literally see the school from our house. However, if Map 8 or Map 9 become final, our kids would have to be driven (or bus) five miles away to Meadow Park MS. We would literally have pass Timberland MS to drive to Meadow Park MS. In the AM, this is at least a 20 minute drive. Doesn't make sense in my mind so I appreciate your consideration. Thanks!
01/31/2020	John Boyle	Airzeus100@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside		I have 2 children - a 4th grader at Cooper Mountain and a 6th grader at Highland Park. I would like to have my younger son go to Highland Park so that he has a built in support system of his older brother for his first year in middle school. I also would like to see the least amount of disruption to the kids and families in this area. In my opinion, that would be either leaving it as a split, or all the kids going to Highland Park since the majority of kids do so now. Thank you for your time.
01/31/2020	Suresh kumar	nasureshkumar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS			split in the elementary schools and requested the modification to avoid the split. Also again they are having split in High schools which is not good sign. Humble request to Keep Findley in one middle school which will go same high school. Most choice if you consider moving summa kids from stoller where Findley kids can accommodate in stoller together in the same boundary. also 2022 there is more walk able plan for THPRD to make trail walk till stoller which will reduce transport cost as well. Consider the Map A even the cost increase we can avoid the split in the

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Dmitry Lukiyanchenko	dmitry.lukiyanchenko@gmail.com	Cooper Mountain Elem					Hello,Previously there was an option for the Middle School Boundary Adjustments that suggested no changes for the students of Cooper Mountain School. The latest meeting (Jan 30th) doesn't list that option. Could you please explain what happened and why no change for Cooper Mountain is not an option any longer?Thank you,Dmitry
01/31/2020	Kristi Pierce	Kristiapierce@yahoo.com	Nancy Ryles Elem					I think when making boundries entire elemschools should go to the same middle school. I think entire middle schools should go to the same high school. Kids who have made friends to only have thier friend go to a different school when they move on to the next grade level is not fair to them.
01/31/2020	Liang Xue	liangx99@gmail.com	Findley Elem					I am a Findley parent writing against splitting Findley elementary kids into middle school. It is for the benefits or all Findley kids to have sustainable friendship into their middle school and high school ages. A lot of sports and extracurricular teams are already formed with Findley kids from both sides of the Bronson creek. Please keep Findley together in the BOUNDary adjustment process.
01/31/2020	Su Huang	Kshen2004@yahoo.com	Springville K-8		Westview			For map C, the current Meadow Park SUMMA will be moved to Cedar Park. It doesn't make any sense sine about 130 of the 164 SUMMA kids come from Springville, Rock Creek, Bethany and Oak Hill elementary, all located on the west/ far northern west. Please reconsider this apparently inappropriate plan. Thanks.
01/31/2020	Sri Bala	Sri.k.balakrishnan@gmail.com	Springville K-8					Map C, which was discussed at the meeting on 1/30, drastically enhances the commuting time and distance that the springville elementary kids will have to travel - to a middle school further south. Please look at the travel times shown at the meeting on 1/30 - 3 out of the 5 bus routes from Springville to Five oaks are each MORE than 40 minutes long and the other two are 35 minutes each. None of the other ES to MS bus routes hit anywhere close to this. In this rainy and windy Portland weather, I understand that kids who live right across the street from a school or within a block or two may still want to walk to their school, but let's be realistic in that not all kids who live within a 1.5 mile radius will want to walk or bike to their school which seems to be the basic presumption under which Map C has been put together. The weather here is just not conducive to this idealistic view. Let's be practical, rational and realistic, and not put the springville area kids through an unduly overwhelming commute. We don't have a middle school in our neighborhood. If BSD thinks walkability is important and one that would save money for the school district, then I request the district to build a MS in the north Bethany area or reinstate springville k-8 as a MS specifically for the Springville kids so that our kids can walk to their middle school just like the way you want other middle schoolers to. Map C may work for some, but it certainly does NOT work for Springville elementary and presents us with an overwhelming commuting burden. I hope the committee will not put this school through this burden and will come up with a solution that works well for all kids across the district - one that will not warrant our kids to travel this far to a comprehensive home MS or split eight elementary schools or send Springville elementary children to a school eight miles away (Cedar Park) for its SUMMA program.
01/31/2020	Guru	gurucharan.chethan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2 / SST		Hi,Map C is not right choice. Currently springville K8 is in transition to K-5. Spingville kids are loosing their nearest middle school. They deserve nearby MS and not far MS like five oaks which is more than double the average MS commute times . Stroller MS is near to Springville community compared to Five oaks, kids can save time in commute and also good w.r.t their mental and physical health. Springville K-5 kids are divided at MS which is not good. Its looking like an island and commuting all the way 5 miles one way to MS is meaning less. Traffic on 185th , tanasbourne is already high and we are adding more traffic here ? It is not good for anyone.Map C is not right choice at all.Also we are not going to achieve less head count at Stroller using Map C, Map C doesn't look good in any terms and it is not good way of defining school boundary.Please consider all the best facts and consider either Map A or B.Thanks,Guru
01/31/2020	Jennifer Sicard	sicard_jennifer1212@yahoo.com	Cooper Mountain Elem					These working maps do not reflect by what we were told by the district representative at our PTO meeting. Two of the three maps show students from Cooper Mountain Elementary school moving to Mountain View. We were told the options were going to be status quo, moving to Mountain View and all students moving to highland. I believe that the students who live closest to Mountain view middle school school and attend Cooper Mountain in our boundaries should stay in that boundary due to transportation costs. The current boundary which has most of our students going to Highland park is working. We would be the only elementary school who goes to mountainside at Mountain View if the boundaries were to move all of us to Mountain View. I think it's better to send Cooper mountain students to highland park where they will be with most of their peers who will attend Mountainside high school. Also, this would be a massive upset to the community to move our entire elementary school to a totally different middle school, which has not been serving their siblings or families at all in the past. The transition is too massive for an entire community. Parents and teachers alike are happy with their kids going to highland park. I do believe there will be massive outrage if the entire Cooper mountain elementary community is assigned to Mountain View. Bottom line: keep the cooper mountain boundaries from middle school as is. Next best scenario is to the have all students at CME attend Highland Park.
01/31/2020	bikram	bikram_siya@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset			We are on NW Werner Lane -Arbor Estates neighborhoods. Arbor Estates along with Oakridge and are essentially, if not literally, across the street from Stoller Middle School.*The Timberland campus is roughly 4 miles from our neighborhoods. That is a SUBSTANTIAL difference.Neighborhood proximity should be a pillar that guides boundary adjustments.*The district often encourages walking/biking at the school level throughout the year.*Walking to school is better for kids, mentally and physically. Teachers and we as parents are teaching our kids the same.*Maximizing walkability saves the district (AND taxpayers) transportation costs.Spending LESS on transportation means spending MORE on teachers and student programs.*Maximizing walkability also reduces the district's environmental impact. Teachers and we as parents are teaching our kids the same.*Walking is generally safer overall than riding the bus.*When students walk, we also reduce the strain on local infrastructure. Traffic congestion created by unnecessarily bussing students effects other members of the community and local businesses.*Middle school is the best time for kids to gain responsibility and independence walking to school with neighborhood friends.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Megan Needham	Meganmwoodhouse@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		If we are limited to Maps A and C from the January 30th meeting, I strongly push for Map A. My children have attended preschool and church with families from Bethany, Oak Hills, and Terra Linda elementary schools. It would be unfair for my kids' elementary school to be the only school that is north of 26 feeding into Meadow Park. Oak Hills should stay with it's neighboring schools and allow the children who have grown up together to stay together, especially given the fact that Oak Hills students will be making the long trek to a middle school farther from their homes than two or three other middle schools (Stoller, Five Oaks, Timberland). Further, Map C has Meadow Park at 104% capacity. We should not start out a redistricting plan with a school over-capacity. Oak Hills elementary school has already been torn up with high school redistricting. Don't make us pay again by further isolating our kids. Middle school matters. Don't force kids to be separated from the people they've known since they were toddlers.
01/31/2020	Sunita Jogi	jsunita3@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD,Please do not put Springville kids through horrible traffic and commute on the bus which would be around 45 mins each way as per yesterday's transportation analysis. These kids will burn out their energy in the commute and will affect their academics, afterschool activities, homework time and family time. We do not have another reasonably distances school other than Stoller. Eventhough we are not walkable, Springville is an integral part of North Bethany Community. Please do not alienate us from all the other kids around us. We have to balance out Walkability and minimizing kid's time on the bus. Please hear our concerns and do not make our kids day to day school life a nightmareThanks,Sunita
01/31/2020	Deepti	Vdeeptiverma@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	1.acwe cannot be an island2. We do not want our kids sitting on the bus for so long3. Look at the transportation map.... maximum time Springville will spent on bus4. Map C doesnt even come close to the first two objectives. Not 90% oranywhere near in 2021Its not fair to send spring vil to five oaks kids it looks like preplanned decision
01/31/2020	Srinivasa Rao Gubbala	Gubbala.rao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello BSD committee,In Map C , springville kids are in an island with kids going to Stoller all around them but they are penalized to go to Five Oaks ....the communities south of Springville road are kept intact feeding i to Stoller but this map sends kids even further up North all the way to Five Oaks half way across the district. That is totally a disservice to the kidsNorth Bethany is our community and we have clubs and teams in North Bethany. Please help us stay with other kids in our community.Thanks,Srini
01/31/2020	Kishore	K_kverma@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Horrible utilization of the brand new school which is by the way our Objective 1 Stoller is at 100% in 2021 ( nowhere near the objective)Makes NorthBethany kids from Springville commute way way more than ANY kid in BSD commuting to their home MS today. Spending unnecessary amount of time on the bus The Springville area going to Five Oaks in that map is an island. Even though the boundary looks continuous, nobody lives in PCC RockCreek area. 50ur SUMMA kids will then also have to go to Meadow park 6-7 miles away, half way across the district Couple of schools are over 100% in 2021 itself
01/31/2020	Bina Prithviraj	madhu.pratibha@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		I watched the advisory committee meeting yesterday and cannot for the life of me understand why Map C was welcomed by so many members. Springville definitely gets a raw deal in the whole process. We have neither a school that's walkable nor do we get to go to the same school as our neighbors, the two issues that seems to be widely discussed. Is this for the benefit of the small number of families that want summa in Stoller?
01/31/2020	Jenny	jennymama34@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Map C is unacceptable. We need to know the commute time for every Elementary Schools to/from each Middle schools, especially from Springville to/ from Stoller/ Five Oaks/ Timberland during peak hours. There is no way for BSD transportation guy only paying attention on how to save transportation cost by spliting schools and having 500+ kids suffering.More details need to be disclosed all at once, not a little by little on each meeting. We're been asking for both the transportation cost and commute time forever.
01/31/2020	Vivek	vivek.t@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello committee members, mapC prioritized walkability, bit what about proximity. This This map that supposedly prioritizes walkability adds a 45 minute one way commute for 500 students in the Springville community. Walkability and proximity are 2 sides of the same coin - please do not prioritize one over the other.Thank you.
01/31/2020	Avni	vivek.t@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear committee members, I am a student at SPRINGVILLE. Please don't make me travel 1.5-2 hours everyday to school. I too would have loved to walk to school but there is no middle school near our house. I also want to be with my friends from middle school in high school. Avni
01/31/2020	Sushanthi	rsushanthi@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Walkability and saving on transportation are not in JC guidelines. If they are, they should have been explicitly stated from beginning. Map C put-forth yesterday emphasis just on saving on transportation costs and preserving walkability for every walking kid. I strongly OPPOSE MAP C . Money is saved on both Map A and Map B, just a bit more in Map C, that's all. Springville does not feel supported at all by BSD from yesterday's meeting. Transportation time should be considered, not just mean miles. Please do not a make decision that is detrimental for 550 odd kids sitting 90 minutes in bus each day.Ensure equity for all kids, not just only for walking kids.

01/31/2020	Shuba Ramaswamy	shubaram@yahoo.com	Rock Creek Elem				Dear Committee Members:I was, until recently, a Rock Creek Mom for 6 years and thoroughly enjoyed being part of the Rock Creek community first as a parent volunteer and after that as a PTO member. My family and I have a lot of good memories associated with Rock Creek and are still in touch with many in my Rock Creek Community. It pains me immensely to see the disheartenment in the community and therefore feel compelled to voice my opinions. I fervently urges you to abandon Map A and instead proceed with modifying Map C to align with the priority of avoiding elementary school splits. Map A produces the most expensive and the least equitable result of the maps that are in consideration. If the committee moves forward with Map A, BSD would be shifting the area of the most economic diversity away from Stoller and down to Five Oaks,m. Going with Map A will end up in a grossly inequitable result for the Five Oaks Community and even more so for the Rock Creek community. Particular unfair is the fact that Map A has Rock Creek being the only North of 26 school to be redistricted to Five Oaks. The northern schools are geographically separated from the current southern Five Oaks feeder schools not just by Highway 26 but also by the Tanasbourne commercial area. Asking Rock Creek to leave their northern neighbors, integrate themselves into an unfamiliar community during the difficult middle school years and then rejoin their northern neighbors at Westview just three short years later creates an extremely inequitable experience for Rock Creek kids and families. I hear from the Rachel Carson community that their reintegration to Five Oaks after their temporary stay at Timberland, has been an unmitigated disaster. Many Rock Creek parents are very worried that our small school of 200 middle school students will have a similar experience integrating with the unfamiliar Five Oaks community. However, getting another northern school to attend Five Oaks with Rock Creek would significantly mitigate this concern and allow the creation of a Five Oaks community that is a more balanced integration of northern and southern schools. I strongly urge the committee to consider moving either Bethany or Springville, to join Rock Creek at Five Oaks. Rezoning Bethany to Five Oaks could potentially result in a smaller transportation budget than bussing all Bethany students to Meadow Park considering Bethany is literally across Highway 26 from Five Oaks. Why not shorten their commute times and reduce transportation costs in the process, while also preserving our northern community for better continuity with our feeder patterns? Similarly, Springville joining Rock Creek is another possibility, although it does create an inequitable result for the northern neighborhoods in their community with the bussing commute time of Five Oaks versus Stoller. But allowing Springville to join Rock Creek would at Five Oaks would allow for a better balance of northern and southern schools at Five Oaks and would allow Springville and Rock Creek students more opportunity to form friendships in middle school with students who would continue on to Westview, rather than the majority of their middle school classmates continuing on to Aloha. With regard to the elementary school split advocated in Map C in its current state, I would strongly urge the community to refrain from allowing additional elementary school splits. Rock Creek is a small school. We send only about 200 students to middle school. Splitting our elementary school creates a disproportionate disruption to our community for no meaningful benefit to the BSD priorities. The northern portion of Rock Creek will not create economic diversity at Stoller. Nor will it promote walkability as those neighborhoods are not within the 1-mile walkability zone for Stoller. If the committee is able to remove the elementary school splits from Map C, and send all of Rock Creek to Five Oaks with at least one northern neighbor, that result would be acceptable to the Rock Creek community. In conclusion, i know I am echoing the sentiments of the larger Rock Creek community as I request you to move forward with Map C with the community supported modification of removing elementary school splits from that map.Thanks for reading.
01/31/2020	Madhu R	Mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING SUMMA: what possible justification can BSD have for keeping summa in Stoller in Map C which throws northern Springville under the bus by putting it in Springville Island and giving it the longest commute to Five Oaks without any neighboring schools? The transportation map is misleading.. all the pink area adjoining Springville is part of PCC and farms and has 0 kids. Springville has no committee representation and seems to be getting a raw deal. The rest seem to be doing ok. This is not a great look for BSD.
01/31/2020	Gauri Trivedi	Gauritri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Mr. Sparks and Committee Members,This will be a quick and short. We were told at the meeting yesterday to put up as comments what we want to see in the Map and these are the revisions Springville community would like to see on the map going Forward:1) Not turn springville area behind PCC into an Island 2) keep us together with the other schools in the North3) prioritize regular middle cschool program over option programs like SUMMA.Thanks
01/31/2020	Bina Prithviraj	madhu.pratibha@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Issue no 2: Map C does not solve the problem of overcrowding in Stoller. To paint a picture of how crazy crowded it is there... My son had to share a locker last year and refuses to have lunch at the cafeteria because the lines are way too long, a scenario most people can't imagine. Can we solve this problem first before we worry about the small number of potential walkers?
01/31/2020	Karen	john.karen.roberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		For those on the committee who are unaware of the landscape in the north Bethany area, I plead with you to consider the way the majority of Springville has been alienated in Map C. While the maps provided to you in the meeting on January 30th appear to provide a continuous boundary from north Springville to Five Oaks, THIS IS NOT THE CASE. If you look closely at the map you will see that the extremely large mass of land between Springville and the nearest neighboring community proposed to attend Five Oaks is in fact the PCC campus, farm lands, and a 7 Dees Nursery. In all meetings prior to January 30th, isolation of schools in this manner were vehemently opposed. Please do not forget to protect Springville from this same fate! This marooning of Springville was accomplished by carving out the southern most portion of Springville (the section closest to Five Oaks) and the northern most portion of Rock Creek in an effort to provide the economic diversity to Stoller which doesn't exist when Jacob Wismer and Findley (two of the wealthiest communities in the district) attend the same school. There are 75 Springville students being pulled away from their Springville peers to attend Stoller just to improve Stoller's economic numbers. Seventy-five kids out of over a thousand going to Stoller knowing not a soul so that Jacob Wismer and Findley can remain at Stoller at the expense of ALL objectives. Please do not lose sight of what the committee was tasked with: 1. Fill Timberland (which is not accomplished without Findley in its entirety as seen by the 74% utilization of Timberland in Map C), 2. Reduce Stoller to 90% capacity (which is not accomplished AND creates split feeders at Springville, Rock Creek, and Findley in Map C), 3. Improve alignment of attendance boundaries at all levels (which is not accomplished in Map C where EIGHT elementary schools are divided and FOUR of only 6 high schools have 3 feeders). Map C also fails to note whether the multiple high school boundary changes presented are even possible from a high school capacity perspective. Map C is driven by what is most convenient for TRANSPORTATION, not what is in the BEST INTEREST OF OUR CHILDREN. Do not sacrifice what is best for our students just to make things easier for transportation and to save a little money (in the grand scheme of a multi-million dollar budget, it is in fact a drop in the bucket). The committee is here to support the children, not the transportation department.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Madhusudhan	Mrangar@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING IMPROVEMENTS TO MAP C:Here are some issues with Map C:1. Springville Island with adjoining PCC area and farms having no children having the longest commutes in BSD without any neighbors2. Stoller is at 100 percent in 2021 and will not have room for grandfathering3. Timberland is under filled which is a waste of a \$61 million investment.RECOMMENDED CHANGES:1. Move all of summa to Timberland. Summa must be deprioritized to keep neighborhood schools together2. Move walkable Findley to Timberland and avoid that split. 3. Move North Springville back to StollerRESULT:Timberland will be filled, Stoller will be at 90 percent, two elementary splits will be avoided, sunset/westview will have a better feeder pattern and Springville children will not be thrown under the bus, all at a minimal overall compromise to walkability
01/31/2020	Ankita Srivastava	ankitasaxena30@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Dear CommitteeWe are saddened by the fact that transportation cost which was not even the main objective has become the main criteria for boundary adjustment. I want committee to be sensitive to the fact that by making Springville kids travel avg of 45 mins where there is no data to support the std-dev and median is just a cruel treatment to our kids. They have not committed any crime to deserve such treatment. Also map C is very tactly made and introduced last minute , which makes Springville ISLAND look like a part of continuous flow when in reality it is not as there is a part of area (PCC's campus, 7 Dees Nursery, a mass of farmland, ) where there are no students. So in reality it is just an Island which jumps over other school boundary and travel 5 miles each way. Map C also does not achieve any of the originally slated goals for the boundary adjustment where stoller should be 90% in 2021 and provide enough feeder schools to timberland. It seems like a well orchestrated move (almost of coup) when the two maps that were shorted after over two months of deliberation and debate was not catering to a strong lobby which is working inside from the committee . So this transportation map was created to undermine all the work done prior to this meeting and makes the mockery of whole process.I hope better sense will prevail in last two meetings and kids from a whole community won't be penalized just to cater to the demands of privileged few.
01/31/2020	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		BSD has a deficit budget and severe financial crunch of millions of dollars. Most school districts across the country are in debt. Its time for everyone to be financially conservative with spending of district's money. Map C saves about \$400000 of cost in transportation. It also reduces the burden of transporting more 500 kids. Kindly requesting committee members to support Map C
01/31/2020	Sarah Barrett	barrettsarah@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I was very upset and disappointed that not one member of the committee raised any concern for Rock Creek in map A. Map A is not fair for Rock Creek students at all, and no one on the committee seems to care. When the theme maps first came out and I looked at them, I recognized that one was unacceptable for us and one was unacceptable for Bethany because they would have been the only northern school sent to Five Oaks. Bethany isn't my school, but I was able to recognize and argue against that map because I didn't think it would be fair for them to be sent to Five Oaks by themselves. Why can't anyone on the committee see this as a problem for us? I am pleased to see Map C move forward. I don't understand why committee members seemed surprised that the public supports this map. I don't live close enough to walk to any middle school, but I can see how it is important to people and if I did live close enough to walk, I would want my kids to be able to walk/bike to their schools. In addition to saving BSD a lot of money, map C lets families walk to school if they are close enough to do so. Every time I am at a meeting, I sit and talk with people from other schools to try to understand their desires too. From my conversations, I see that for some people, being able to walk to school is much more important than their elementary school being split up. I think there are some people who do not want to split up elementary schools, but there are also a lot of people that don't care if they are split. It seems like a greater concern is middle to high school. Some people made comments that it seemed like Map C arbitrarily split elementary schools (as if just for the fun of it), but I don't agree with that assessment. I think there are valid reasons the schools are split. Obviously, the transportation factor (cost, bus routes, number of kids of bus) factored in to the decision to split some schools. In addition, in the current map C, both Stoller and Timberland have an increase in economic and racial diversity. I think the schools being split affects that also. I think that if the portions of Springville and Rock Creek that are headed to Stoller in Map C, go to Five Oaks and the Findley folks all end up at Stoller (not just the walkable), I think you will see the economic and racial diversity decrease at Stoller. Also, if the students from SPV and RC that Map C has going to Stoller right now (from grid map looks to be about 221 in 2021) get added to Five Oaks, it would put Five Oaks over 100%. If the Findley folks who are currently at Timberland in Map C, get moved out of Timberland, Timberland's number of students goes down and they become even lower than 74% capacity (currently for 2021 in Map C). So, I think Map C was not made to just split schools up for the heck of it. I think they had valid reasons to help balance some of the factors affecting the pillars they are going on.Lastly, I want to make sure the committee understands that just because you hear some voices/comments from a school that say, "we don't want a split" or it is 100% not supported, it isn't always true. I know it makes the decisions harder because people don't agree, even from the same elementary school, but that is the truth. Some don't want to split, and some don't care if they are split and value other aspects over that. It doesn't make your job easy, but please don't assume everyone is against splitting elementary schools. I think there might be a few problems with Map C (like Whitford taking on Rachel Carson), but overall, I support Map C, even with the school splits.
01/31/2020	Prasad Atkar	pratkar@gmail.com	Springville K-8				We completely disagree with the recent leanings towards MapC. This is very inconvenient for people in Arbor Oaks neighborhood, our property values are going to fall due to moving to a school that has comparatively lower income immediate neighborhood. You are essentially throwing under the bus the students who were attending Springville school. It makes absolutely no sense that a neighborhood to the immediate south of Arbor oaks goes to Stoller whereas Arbor Oaks folks have to go all the way to Five Oaks school. Can you please publicly post how much funding comes from taxes paid by the neighborhood whose school has been earlier Stoller vs now Five Oaks?
01/31/2020	Shivani	sthakar2309@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Highland Park MS			Dear committee members, I would like to express my disappointment in pushing map C just from the perspective of transportation costs and not thinking of the children who attend this district.1) Map C splits eight elementary schools as they feed into MS: Findley, Rockcreek, Springville, Barnes, Raleigh Park, Elmonica, McKinley and Nancy Ryles, while the communities have all along been asking BSD to keep the ESs together as they move into the Middle school.2) Timberland is massively underutilized at 74% in 2021 and 75% in 2025 thus not meeting the board objectives. 3) Stoller is at a 100% in 2021 and even greater in 2025 again this does not meet the objectives of what BSD set out to at the beginning of the process.4) Makes the community around Springville a massive island as communities to the east and south of us would feed to stoller. There is no population living in the north or west of us due to PCC Rockcreek's presence. This disconnects our middle schoolers from the rest of the community in addition to subjecting them to unduly burdensome commute.I would urge the BSD to think more from the point of view of children's day to day struggles that this map would bring in and not from the transportation department's perspective.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Bina Prithviraj	madhu.pratibha@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Transportation: I understand there is no separate budget for transportation.. But the implication that expecting a reasonable commute for the kids is somehow being anti-teachers is a rather cheap shot. We can all work towards reducing costs of transportation but creating a map by isolating Springville and bussing them the farthest distance is not a solution
01/31/2020	Binny	arora1312@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It is very unfortunate to have a new map as part of the discussion in the last school meeting. We spent last few months finalizing the best fit for all and out of no where a new map is being produced without any prior notice.Our both kids attend Springville and it takes a early hustle for us both working parents to start our routine day in and out. With five oaks as new proposal in Map C we now have to prepare to manipulate our schedule forcefully and might have to request our employer to get breather on working hours.The above request may sound selfish but we planned this arrangement over years and now just because of the incorrect location of new middle school (Timberland) we have to suffer. Such a shame on planning and pain we citizens have to go through in corrupt world. We still hope to fall within Stoller boundary keeping full faith in BSD
01/31/2020	Satya yarlagadda	satyaprasady@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD & Committee members, I would like to express my opinion on the events unfolded during 30-Jan meeting. for the last few months we all have been debating on the right thing to for all BSD kids and minimize & share the impact across all communities due to new middle school boundaries with given objectives. But I was disappointed see that a new MAP called Map-C came up with a new objective of "Reduce the transportation costs" even it drastically increases the commute time for some kids, splitting 8 schools, creating ISLAND. MAP-C is totally Unfair unacceptable to Springville community as it making it as ISLAND and Isolating it from rest of the North Bethany community. Yes. its ISLAND as no kid live in PCC ROCK Creek and farm lands.while MAP-A supports the principals recommendation of feeder patterns, MAP-C supports transportation dept recommendation. I believe principals know what is best for kids for their overall development than transport dept.MAP-C creating 8 school splits, while community consistently asked the committee to avoid the splits.MAP-C creating unreasonable commute for springville kids than any other kid in the district. I urge the committee to share the burden across instead of penalizing springville kids just for transportation costs.MAP-C increasing the commute to MAP-C not meeting the any of the 4 objectives of which set for this whole process.its filling timberland - map-c is showing it as 74%<90% of objective reduce stroller overcrowd - map-c showing it as 100%>90 % objectiveas per the handout given by BSD Springville to stoller - total distance by all routes -29.7 while springville to fiveoaks is 47.3 miles, an increase of 17.6 miles one way through most congested intersection in the county. How can you justify this injustice of 500+ kids spending 2hours of each day spending on roads over saving some transportation dollars ? I request the committee members to start the discussion on MAP-A and discard MAP-C as it will have devastating impact on 500+ kids day to day life.
01/31/2020	Ganesh venkataraman	Vsnadhan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD Community and Committee Members,As I am reading through comments from the neighboring communities and parents making their case for staying put in Stoller, a repetitive statement surfaces again and again "There is new construction in Springville area and a lot of growth is expected in the coming years so take them out of Stoller and send them to Five Oaks" Since when did GROWTH become a bad thing to happen? It should be noted here that the School in question here-Stoller itself was planned to accommodate the growth of the communities surrounding it and resulting into the overcrowding of the existing Middle schools like Meadow Park at that point of time. So basically, the growth that happened around Laidlaw Road and going further was a welcome development and the one happening now around the Springville areas is not? The implying idea seems to be selfish, rigid and averse to any subsequent growth/development.I would like to highlight a fact here - the construction/development in the Springville area, that is the target of all discussion right now at this boundary change process and meetings, has been planned keeping in mind the County's objective of affordable housing for ALL. Along with single family homes, you will find townhomes, apartments, condos, multi-family residences-options that cater to different parts of the society and appeal to the economically diverse population. The students that go to Stoller MS from the Springville/Rock Creek area bring diversity and balance to the otherwise financially sound and resourceful school population. And it is these students that we are considering taking out of Stoller so that life can go on as usual for some. I cannot think of a better example for resistance to change. Relocating a certain section of students to Timberline from Stoller will increase their commute time from 5 minutes to 10-12 minute by bus. On the other hand, sending Springville students to Five Oaks will increase a 12- 15-minute commute time to a minimum of 45 minutes one way. The negative impact of such a ridiculous amount of time to get to school need not be pointed out again. Even if inconvenience was relative, this is a no brainer as far as keeping the best interests of students THROUGHOUT the district goes. It should not be even an option worth considering. If there was no new construction happening, students staying nearby could still walk to Stoller, those a little farther away could take a 5 minutes bus ride to their school, but then there would also be no growth and no development either. It is important to remember that Community revitalization, growth and economic development go hand-in hand. Let us not distinguish between kinds of growth based on area and economics. Let us not punish the Springville Community for Growing.
01/31/2020	Harikishan Naggireddy	harikishanreddyn@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear BSD Committe,I am a Springville parent and my son is currently a kindergartener. I have been hearing that with recent middle school adjustment Springville is going to feed to Five Oaks which doesn't make sense at all to commute about 6 miles one way which it takes about 45 mins to an hour depending on the traffic. All I can say is it is not suggestible to make them travel 2 hours a day at this age. I commute to office 2 hours everyday and I know the pain which I can feel at this age.Please consider the commute time from Springville to Five Oaks and take an appropriate decision keeping in mind about the future of so many kids.Thank your for reading this comment and considering it for taking an appropriate decision.
01/31/2020	Rabia Ishaq	rabiarashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		From the meeting yesterday it clearly shows BSD is not treating all the kids equally. For just the sake of 80+ kids and for option program they are putting springville kids on the longest commute. My kids will be spending their quality time on the bus. I pay the same amount of Tex as others in the district. My kids deserve the same . They wants to walk to school . It was not my kids fault , they did not choose the location for new school . They would they suffer. My kids take bus everyday it hardly takes 15-20 minutes to reach to stoller school . It is the closest school . I strongly disagreed with map C . Map A and B worked better with little modification.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Fakhruddin Bohra	fakhruddin@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please DO NOT make springville go to five oaks. The commute is 45-60 min one way. It is ridiculous to even have this as an option. Please be sensible BSD and pick the best option for all kids in the district. Thanks
01/31/2020	Nataraj Deshpande	nvdsdm2000@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The Springville school should be going into Stroller considering travel distance, time and most importantly cost of BSD buses from Springville neighborhood to Stroller( cost of transportation to stroller is half vs transportation to five Oaks).Hence I strongly urge to the committee Springville neighborhoods going into Stroller middle school. Thanks.
01/31/2020	Arva Hussain	fbhara2@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please DO NOT make springville go to five oaks. The commute is 45-60 min one way. It is ridiculous to even have this as an option. Please be sensible BSD and pick the best option for all kids in the district. Thanks
01/31/2020	Roopa	S.roopa@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Hi, Its so unfortunate Springville kids have to travel so long if they have to go to five oaks.Think about kids mental , physical state before taking a decision. Committee members think about kids future. Our simple ask is to assign in a school that is near to us.You are saving 500+ students time and their future.I am still believing committee will look in all sides and take a decision not go just by saving transportation cost.
01/31/2020	Lisa Lin	guten619@msn.com	Springville K-8				Map C has a few huge flaws:1. North Springville is an ISLAND with a whole bunch of grid codes of 0 around it in PCC, going to Five Oaks all alone.2. Areas of Springville farthest from Five Oaks are being bused to Five Oaks while the Southern ones furthest from Stoller and closest to Five Oaks are bused to Stoller3. The cost of bussing Findley to Timberland is cheaper then Springville to Five Oaks because of the distances involved and transportation couldn't even give us a mean or std deviation on commute time4. Springville is thrown under the bus while option programs like Summa continue to stay in the nearest middle school, Stoller.This is injustice being done to Springville kids and it isn't even meeting the objective of filling Timberland and reducing Stoller to 90 percent 2021.
01/31/2020	Corinne Mosser	corinnemosser@gmail.com	Findley Elem				Thank you for considering all boundary options. My two daughters attend Findley. We would love for them to not be split from their peers when making a crucial transition to middle school. We favor the option where Findley Elementary is not split. Splitting an elementary school is not a option that puts the needs of students first. Children need to remain with a support system of peers in order to feel safe to tackle all of the challenging aspects of middle school. Thank you for putting the kids first when making your decision. Our priority is not the specific middle school or extra programs available, but we ask that Findley is not split. I appreciate your time.
01/31/2020	Rohan Kumar	Rohankumar.sap@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Well... Map C is really a disappointment as a parent as we will have our kids traveling in Buses for 1-2 hrs daily just to save some meagre money that Will eventually be Spent very easily for something similar. PLEASE do justice with all and font do double hit to our kids who first lost K-8 and now the other middle school. Please think again and reconsider. PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE
01/31/2020	Kalpana Nagireddy	kalpanareddy.june19@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Dear BSD Committe,I am a Springville parent and my son is a kindergartener. I couldn't make the last meeting, but I heard from my neighbor's that Map C is being considered which doesn't meet the basic criteria of distance from Springville to Five Oaks which is about 6 miles one way.Also it doesn't fill the Timberland MS.Please consider the distance as primary concern and make an appropriate decision.
01/31/2020	Bhanu	bhanu.narayana@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2 / SST	Please incorporate these changes RECOMMENDED CHANGES:1. Move all of summa to Timberland. Summa must be deprioritized to keep neighborhood schools together2. Move walkable Findley to Timberland and avoid that split. 3. Move North Springville back to Stoller
01/31/2020	Vimal	Vimal.pdx@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Dear BSD and AC, I'm shocked to see how the BSD promoted Map C on Jan 30th giving priority to the Cost savings by bringing the walkability as a main criteria and ignoring core objectives of this process.The words used by the Transportation personal Yesterday to ship Springville Non-walkable kids are objectionable.Also the map C hiding the fact that Springville is an island in map C and their kids will be disconnected from all the connected communities of North Bethany. I request the BSD and transportation person to treat non- walkable kids as humans. I request AC to stick to the map that meets the most objectives which I think is Map A.
01/31/2020	Ariel Fang	Eggroll1217.fang@ymail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I vote against Map C because it tosses the north springville students to the farthest Five Oaks increasing the commute cost dramatically.
01/31/2020	Vageesh Murthy	vaddymurthy@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Hi, Have been following up the High School boundary alignment for some time and below are few things those I need to highlight have been really disappointing:-1. Many people keep talking about the luxury of walk-ability for some students but they seem to be fine subjecting Sprinville students to a 1-2 hours of bus ride every day (by putting Springville at 5 oaks). 2. While transport costs are important can they be more important than the precious time of our kids who could use that time constructively? A few thousand dollars of additional transport expense (in a budget of a billion) vs almost couple of hours of travel for some students. Is there even a comparison to consider here?

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Mahesh Mudigonda	mahesh.mudigonda@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	While I was at the Jan 30th meeting for boundary discussion I was very surprised by how the first 30 minutes of the meeting progressed, and this was a feeling shared by a good majority. As a Springville parent I felt as though the entire process leading up to the 30th mtg was to a large extent invalidated within the first 30 minutes on 30th. Granted most of the Transportation data presented was hard work put in by the BSD staff; however if transportation cost was the most important factor and therefore Map C was always going to be the best possible option why was it not the highest priority in JC? Does everything in BSD boundary mapping boil down to cost savings? Does child welfare hold no value at all? I say this because we Springville parents felt that our children were treated as if they were cost to transport trash bags by waste management. The entire tone was what is the cheapest way to get children into a school and make bus drivers job easy (doesn't matter what the children in bus have to go through). How ironic is it that the most Walkable friendly map or proximity friendly map forces EVERY SINGLE KID of one of the largest elementary schools to travel longer than any other kid ever had to travel to a home middle school. How is that fair to Springville kids when all that we are asking is to send our kids to a reasonably close school especially when that is our ONLY option. How can we all Springville parents request/plead/beg (we are running out of options) the BSD and Advisory committee to help Springville kids? How can we have the committee and look through a Springville parents eyes and empathize with us? What god awful mistake did Springville community Make to deserve no walkable school and instead punish us to travel longer than any other student in entire BSD? This is a genuine plea from all of us to look through our eyes, please consider our request, look beyond the 30 minute testimony that changed everyone's preference like a flip of the switch. One flip of the switch and 500 odd middle schoolers have to travel 1 hour longer every weekday for three years of their lives. Please please please take this decision seriously. This impacts young Middle class families who counted on the BSD school system and spent all of their earnings to buy a home thinking they will be able to send them to neighborhood schools with reasonable commute.
01/31/2020	Rabia Ishaq	rabiashid@aol.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear AC . The purpose of this whole process was to find the solution for 1 . Relive the Stoller Middle School (90% by 2021)2. To find feeder for new school [ 80% capacity)Watched the meeting video yesterday (jan30) . It seems both objective are thrown away and now it all depends on the transportation cost. After listening to the transportation person I am confused . When the new school location was decided that time no body bothered to work on transportation cost. For the sake of the money my kids will be spending 2 hours on the busy . It's Ridiculous. Map C is worst for whole district. So much splits. Springville is shown as an island . Where is one part of springville send all the way down to south . Commuting from the busiest road . We can creat better options with modifications on map A and Map B .
01/31/2020	Abhilash Akula	Abakula123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It was very unfair to send North Springville area kids all the way down to Five oaks. If transportation feedback is so important, why did we even waste time in all these meetings discussing so many options ? From where did this map C suddenly came into picture ? Did we even consider psychological affects on 500 plus kids who need to travel 40 mins one way to school every day ? This is not fair at all - we did not have voice in the committee, our SPV k-8 is reduced in scope to elem only, our comments are not getting posted whenever we comment on fairness of committee members. Pls move Summa out of Stoller to Timberland and allow SPV to be pat of Stoller. We are integral part of Bethany and we deserve Stoller MS just like nearby other schools. My home is on the north most part of Bethany and I will never accept Five oaks middle school.
01/31/2020	Abilasha ravikumar	Abilasha19@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD Community and Committee Members,As I am reading through comments from the neighboring communities and parents making their case for staying put in Stoller, a repetitive statement surfaces again and again "There is new construction in Springville area and a lot of growth is expected in the coming years so take them out of Stoller and send them to Five Oaks" Since when did GROWTH become a bad thing to happen? It should be noted here that the School in question here-Stoller itself was planned to accommodate the growth of the communities surrounding it and resulting into the overcrowding of the existing Middle schools like Meadow Park at that point of time. So basically, the growth that happened around Laidlaw Road and going further was a welcome development and the one happening now around the Springville areas is not? The implying idea seems to be selfish, rigid and averse to any subsequent growth/development.I would like to highlight a fact here - the construction/development in the Springville area, that is the target of all discussion right now at this boundary change process and meetings, has been planned keeping in mind the County's objective of affordable housing for ALL. Along with single family homes, you will find townhomes, apartments, condos, multi-family residences-options that cater to different parts of the society and appeal to the economically diverse population. The students that go to Stoller MS from the Springville/Rock Creek area bring diversity and balance to the otherwise financially sound and resourceful school population. And it is these students that we are considering taking out of Stoller so that life can go on as usual for some. I cannot think of a better example for resistance to change. Relocating a certain section of students to Timberline from Stoller will increase their commute time from 5 minutes to 10-12 minute by bus. On the other hand, sending Springville students to Five Oaks will increase a 12- 15-minute commute time to a minimum of 45 minutes one way. The negative impact of such a ridiculous amount of time to get to school need not be pointed out again. Even if inconvenience was relative, this is a no brainer as far as keeping the best interests of students THROUGHOUT the district goes. It should not be even an option worth considering. If there was no new construction happening, students staying nearby could still walk to Stoller, those a little farther away could take a 5 minutes bus ride to their school, but then there would also be no growth and no development either. It is important to remember that Community revitalization, growth and economic development go hand-in hand. Let us not distinguish between kinds of growth based on area and economics. Let us not punish the Springville Community for Growing.
01/31/2020	Kerry Smith	kerry@bway.net		Highland Park MS			The homes bordered by Rigert, Murray, 175th and Scholls Ferry identify as an area, and it shouldn't be broken up. Keep the Nancy Ryles, Sexton Mountain and Cooper Mountain (South) kids together in Middle School. Only Plan A is acceptable currently in this respect. Plans B and C are taking a hatchet to Southwest Beaverton to benefit the other parts of the District. Long-term plans should factor in a new Middle School close to Mountainside HS, to accommodate the rapid development of South Cooper Mountain due to the expansion of the UGB, but for now, you shouldn't disrupt the kids in the current zone further.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Sumit Ashtekar	sumitash@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It is disheartening to see MapC being considered as one of the main maps in Jan 31st meeting. It has multiple flaws, 2 of which are mentioned here:- The North Bethany Springville comes as ISLAND where the portions located south of it go to Stoller while it feeds into Five Oaks which is much farther from it.-It concentrates only on transportation costs which neglects the negative impact of SPV children, who have to suffer from 1.5 hours to-and-fro while other enjoys 30 min.A solution is to have all of Findley feed Timberland while shifting the SUMMA program from Stoller to Timberland.
01/31/2020	Kerry J Smith	anatdevice@yahoo.com		Highland Park MS			Due to the current programs in place to serve Special Needs populations at Cooper Mountain and Sexton Mountain Elementary Schools, and their current feed into the highly-effective Special Needs team at Highland Park, we recommend that you not disrupt these students opportunities for advancement by disturbing the existing zoning in this respect. Sexton Mountain ES and Cooper Mountain ES should continue to feed to Highland Park MS in the way it currently does.
01/31/2020	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Added another comment:REGARDING IMPROVEMENTS TO MAP C:Here are some issues with Map C:1. Springville Island with adjoining PCC area and farms having no children having the longest commutes in BSD without any neighbors2. Stoller is at 100 percent in 2021 and will not have room for grandfathering3. Timberland is under filled which is a waste of a \$61 million investment.RECOMMENDED CHANGES:1. Move all of summa to Timberland. Summa must be deprioritized to keep neighborhood schools together2. Move walkable Findley to Timberland and avoid that split. 3. Move North Springville back to StollerRESULT:Timberland will be filled, Stoller will be at 90 percent, two elementary splits will be avoided, sunset/westview will have a better feeder pattern and Springville children will not be thrown under the bus, all at a minimal overall compromise to walkability.
01/31/2020	Prasanna	prasanna.pilot@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD & Committee members, I am from springville community (ISLAND created by MAP-C and tearing us apart from rest of the North Bethany). I would like to express my strong NO to MAP-C as its created based on transportation costs treating kids like some packages (I hate to say this, but I don't have better word).Please consider the hardship that 500+ springville kids had to go though everyday 2hr bus ride so that some 100 kids can walk to school.Please consider the hardship that 500+ springville kids had to go though for BASIC MS education so that option program (SUMMA) can stay in stoller ? Please consider sharing the commute burden across all communities so that the impact will be minimal on every kid in BSD instead of penalizing one community so that others can walk to school.Please help prioritize the Feeder patterns & kids commute time over transportation costs. BSD and various boundary adjustment committees in the past had prioritized kids well being over transportation costs. I trust you guys will withheld the standards of BSD and our communities once again.
01/31/2020	Rahul	Rahuleramala@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	I in the recent boundary meeting, there was some proposal to move Springville to five Oaks. This doesn't make any sense, as our kids will have to spend lot of time just for traveling. Please keep Springville as the feeder school for stoller. So please select map A as it gives more homogeneous distribution with respect to distance and diversity
01/31/2020	Ben Levy	Zydecolevy@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Proximity still matters for kids who do not have a walkable middle school.
01/31/2020	Ramakrishna Batchu	batchu.sap@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello Committee, We appreciate your commitment towards finding the best approach and solutions, we need to keep focusing on providing good studies to kids rather than spending time to commute. Map C is considering the most commute time during the peak hours and its 40 to 45 mints during the peak hours for one way, total 1 one hour 30 mints per day. This Map C is not providing good solution and kids will be weary and it will have direct impact on their education and regular activities as well.. Kindly focus on MAP A and B.
01/31/2020	Neha Ashtekar	NEHA.ASHTEKAR25@GMAIL.COM	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		In Jan 31st meeting, it was a shock to see the committee members put too much emphasis on the testimony from the transportation department. It was one-sided and failed to be multifaceted since it neglected the following negative issues with MapC.-A record 8 elementary schools are split to save on the transportation costs. It completely neglects the costs paid by the students like the Springville kids having to do 1.5 hours of daily commute to Five Oaks. When you add the additional time spent by the kids to walk to the bus stop and wait for the bus to arrive, we have 2 hours of time spent by each kid every day!-It doesn't have equity amongst the commute time faced by the students. The longest ride time for Findley to Timberland is shorter than 3 of the five ride times to get Springville to Five Oaks.
01/31/2020	Shashank Sheni Shetti	Shashank.shetti@gmail.com	Springville K-8				As a committee member, I request you to think just to comfort about 100 kids on walkable option impacting 500 other kids with longer commute is how much can be justified. Please give a thought to count in the number of students impacting with the choice you make.Springville should be fed to stoller just being that's the only school in closest distance.We do no have luxury to have 2 middle schools closer like the Finley school do have that option.If you think springville is the growing community then I do question on BSD that did you fail to understand where the needs are and spent \$60 M on a new school where there is lesser need and schools around doesn't want to go there.. and your analyses should not impact 500 kidsOnly option you do have is to send springville to stoller to have lesser impact in kidsKids are future to make America greater.. let them spend more time on studies and not on the bus.. It's now you as a committee member give a thought.. don't get personal.. instead of my kids let's start thinking our kids.. and make a choice accordingly.. Please do not entertain Map C ..

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	SANJOY K SAHA	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	REGARDING WALKABILITY: BSD built Timberland in the wrong place. Objective 1 is to fill it. Objective 2 is to reduce Stoller. BSD needs to figure out how to deal with transportation without giving a raw deal to Springville which has the longest commute in the district in Map C. Transportation also misrepresented the maps, not showing Springville Island since the adjoining areas are PCC and farms, making Springville not just go on the longest commutes, but also all alone with no neighboring schools. Transportation also misled us on commute times and then said they couldn't provide median and standard deviation. This is about fairness for all, and not making transportation's life easier. We are talking about a difference of 10s of thousands out of a budget of 1 BILLION. BSD built the school at the wrong place and needs to deal with it without throwing Springville under the bus. Springville appears to be getting a raw deal while other schools appear to be having strong advocates within the committee itself.	
01/31/2020	Pramodh Bangaru	Pb97229@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members, We as a Springville community appreciate the hard work you have put in so far. You have done excellent job approaching the MSD adjustment process with data driven facts and priorities that are fair to all kids, put in lot of hours coming up with ideas for maps and I urge you all to do the same excellent job with highest fairness going forward and not persuaded by Demands by BSD staff to save transportation cost or to give priority to values that are only fair to certain sections of the communities like walkability. As you all aware by know that the closest middle school for Springville is 1.5 mile, we do wish to walk our kids to school but we do not have that privilege hence don't throw our kids under the bus by favoring values that are only privilege to some kids. Favoring map C would send Springville kids to 4.5 mile across highway 26. please note Map C makes an ISLAND for Springville area. There are no kids on PCC Area.	
01/31/2020	Suresh Srinivasan	Sureshaol@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Merlo	Added another comment: REGARDING IMPROVEMENTS TO MAP C: Here are some issues with Map C: 1. Springville Island with adjoining PCC area and farms having no children having the longest commutes in BSD without any neighbors. 2. Stoller is at 100 percent in 2021 and will not have room for grandfathering. 3. Timberland is under filled which is a waste of a \$61 million investment. RECOMMENDED CHANGES: 1. Move all of Summa to Timberland. Summa must be deprioritized to keep neighborhood schools together. 2. Move walkable Findley to Timberland and avoid that split. 3. Move North Springville back to Stoller. RESULT: Timberland will be filled, Stoller will be at 90 percent, two elementary splits will be avoided, sunset/westview will have a better feeder pattern and Springville children will not be thrown under the bus, all at a minimal overall compromise to walkability.
01/31/2020	Kumar	gk4you@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear committee members, BSD drove the meeting on 01/30/2020 towards its own agenda of promoting Map C. BSD succeeding at promoting map C as champion while shutting down AC who supported other maps even though other maps have represented walkability. Map C also creates island of Springville of North Bethany community. Why the island was not highlighted? Why everyone is ignoring the bad commute time of above 500 kids? For BSD, saving few thousand dollars had become higher priority rather than well-being and providing equitable solution to all students across BSD. Like every parent, Springville parent have right to have their kids sent to their closest middle school. For us, Stoller is the closest middle school. We like to have map C adjusted to add Springville to Stoller. This can be accomplished by removing Summa Program from Stoller. I only plead to provide equitable solution. I urge AC to provide some representation to bad commute times Springville kids have been put into.	
01/31/2020	Ann	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I'm very disappointed with the outcome of last night's (01/30/2020) meeting. How come transportation costs suddenly became the main priority for this boundary change? This ignores the four objectives laid out from the very beginning. Did the transportation staff think about the 500 kids from Springville when they drew Map C? Are Springville students less important? The district is taking the K-8 option and Summa away, sending us to Five Oaks which is a 45 minute one-way trip (excluding the time students walk to the bus stop and wait for the bus). On the other hand, Stoller is 2.5 miles away from us -- so why are our children going to Five Oaks, a school more than 5 miles away? Is this how the district defines proximity?	
01/31/2020	Senthilkumar Krishnamoorthy	Psk_parasu@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	I feel as though it's imperative that the committee take stock of how the Springville community is situated within north Bethany and what Map C does to our community. While the Springville boundary appears to be of a good size, it in fact is NOT. The largest section of land within our boundary is in fact made up of PCC's campus, 7 Dees Nursery, a mass of farmland, and Albertsons shopping center all of which run side-by-side cutting us off from everything southwest of us. This leaves us in connection with only Sato in every other direction (to the south, southeast, and east of our neighborhood). Actual Springville students live almost entirely north of Springville Road (less 75 students located in the area surrounding Albertsons). Every other school within our community has a boundary that extends significantly further south than the Springville boundary. While the Sato Elementary building may be situated at the northern most location, their students live almost entirely south of the school. Springville in fact has the largest number of students in the northern most part of the district (north of Springville Road). Much of the Sato boundary was once part of Springville's boundary. Sato is our community. Our children who have been split from Springville to Sato have looked forward to being together again at Stoller. We are one another's northern most companions and now Springville is facing being diverted through the Stoller boundary (which would include Sato, our only neighboring community) to Five Oaks. Springville families live here, work here, take part in extracurriculars here, volunteer at the schools here, have play dates here, worship here, attend our local community fairs here. This is our home, where our closest neighbors are Sato and Jacob Wismer. The fact that any consideration would be given to sending the largest number of northern most students south to Five Oaks seems completely unfathomable. The absurdity of such an idea can be seen clearly in the cost of transportation: the increased cost to bus Springville to Five Oaks is \$41,000, compared to saving almost HALF that (\$21,000) by bussing Findley to Timberland. This same absurdity is reiterated in the travel times: 2 of only 4 bus routes taking Findley to Timberland are UNDER 30 minutes, MORE THAN HALF of the bus routes taking Springville to Five Oaks are OVER 40 minutes! The LONGEST ride time for Findley to Timberland is still SHORTER than THREE of the five ride times to get Springville to Five Oaks. Money, commute time, diversity, and maintaining community ties all require that Springville attend Stoller.	

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Garg	garg.3678@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please prioritize neighborhood kids from North Bethany who do not have any other MS close by other than Stoller OVER SUMMA . The thought of having my kids travel for 45 mins to 1 hour every day on a commute to school scares me . I am worried about the effect this will have on her academics , afterschool activities etc . I think we as parents , if we ask ourselves , do you want that to happen to your kids? You will have the answer . Walkability is a good goal to have how much ever possible but not by penalizing other kids and separating them away from their North Bethany Community . Just because North Springville kids take the bus , doesnt make them ANY LESS SIGNIFICANT . Please set them all up for success . BSD is not a FEDEX or shipping company to find the cheapest option . You are dealing with kids here , please consider that . PLEASE DO NOT put unnecessary commute on them .....half way across the district . Also SUMMA kids will have to go 8-9 miles away to Cedar Park? The maps that the committee had made , like MAP A , was a balanced map and near to the goals as well . Equity can be tweaked on that as well . But when you start with Map C , you are telling that Walkable kids are priority because they save money for the district and the rest of the kids can be adjusted , wherever there is space .....Is that what it is ? In Map C , Springville is a small island around all the other Stoller kids and painfully separated from their North Bethany COMMUNITY . Even though we cannot walk to school , we are very much an integral part of North Bethany . Please listen to us as well . Hope we are worth your attention .
01/31/2020	Sravan	gk_uict@yahoo.co.in	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		It is very disheartening to see that Map C was promoted by BSD that takes out Springville from stoller. At first, BSD removed 6-8 from Springville. This is followed by denying us the nearest Stoller school and promoting map C to send us to the far away FO school. BSD seem to save several thousand dollars by putting kids under bad commute times. If kids have to be transported from North to South, it would add transportation expenses. Map C also creates Island of Springville on North Bethany. Map C also separates Springville from its North Bethany community. If the kids have to spend 2 hours time in commute (while denying them the nearest middle school stoller), it would add unreasonable stress to their daily activities and BSD would be responsible for this?
01/31/2020	Sathyan Kuppuswamy	sat2975@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Keep Springville with Stoller.
01/31/2020	Ann	ann.g2613@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		If walkability is so accessible and a common method of transportation, we would not see massive traffic jams on Laidlaw every morning and afternoon. To the parents claiming that their children would walk to school, rain or shine, would you support the removal of a parent dropoff/pick up line, since students can either take the bus or walk to school, right? I am dubious that is the case.
01/31/2020	Neshanth Kuppuswamy	472253@bsd48.org	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2 / SST	Keep it, please the schools are good and acceptable for the new incoming community for the 2 new neighborhoods. Don't change it's a big pain
01/31/2020	quan shi	ninghe97006@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		I strongly recommend working map C with following reasons:1. the least crowded at Stoller, the best option to meet the goal of boundary re-mapping2. the cheapest option of all. Also the least traffic and the least students requiring bus. This options benefits the most to all students (ride), families (traffic) and school district (cost). 3. the least impact to current students and families.In summary, map C is the best option of all.
01/31/2020	Jordan Jenkins	jordansj@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				I attended the boundary meeting 1/30 and was relieved with the introduction of Map C. Of the 3 maps currently in circulation, it best addresses the socioeconomic imbalances of our district. Maps A and B disproportionately places all of the wealthiest neighborhoods in the north into 2 middle schools, and separates out the mid and lowest income neighborhoods into schools that are a farther commute. As a Terra Linda family, we look forward to attending Timberland middle school due to it being within walking distance with safer roads. We currently walk every day to elementary school and prioritized walkability in our home selection. Learning at the meeting that Map C is also the most cost effective for transportation was an added bonus since our schools could use the cost savings. Most importantly for us though is that Map C would allow our children the opportunity to grow with their friends and attend the same high school. While Map A allows some community retention, Map B would be devastating for our kids. They would be separated from the cedar mill community for middle school, then returned for high school with none of the connections of the rest of the bonded student body in a very large Sunset High School. This terrifies me for the mental and emotional well being of our children. While it appears there is still some light work to be done about the feeder schools in Map C, it is the best option for our children and the district as a whole.
01/31/2020	Anupa Kuppuswamy	Sanupa@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep springville with stoller
01/31/2020	Raghu Gudla	raghuprasad.gudla@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Thanks for taking in the comments.Assuming a study has been made to determine the school boundaries based on the distance from neighborhoods to Middle school and class room diversity etc.,. With this new boundary proposal Springville kids will have to travel longer distance where Springville has no other MS within 5 miles radius apart from Stroller MS and where as Findley which is closest to new middle school (appx less than 2 miles) and can mapped to new MS. We hope BSD will take a rationale decision and keep Springville continue to go to Stroller.
01/31/2020	Carolin	Carolinehather@gmail.com	Springville K-8				Springville students going to Stoller instead of five oaks makes more sense.
01/31/2020	Atit Thakar	atitthakar@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Mountain View MS			Dear BSD boundary committee,I am a resident of Arbor oaks community which is entry toNorth Bethany neighborhood.I understand BSD had a issue filling up Timberland middle school which was primary issue. This issue has been set aside completely and another issue has been raised to kick springville students (over750)out of nearest middle school in Bethany neighborhood.It is not logical and expensive to make kids travel over 5 miles via bus.It also allows them least time to involve in other out of school activities.I understand we have Findley school close to Timberland and why is that not been taken up as viable solution.Also why did BSD not plan a middle school in North Bethany when over 6500 homes were approved.After months of meetings, I understand the issue of filling Timberland still stands and same solution of kicking out another Bethany school from neighborhood middle school is been looked at.This is unfair and costly option which if selected will show clear bias and favoritism from BSD.Kindly donot consider Map C which was discussed in the last meeting as it will be worst case scenario for over 750 kids coming from springville school.I hope and have full faith in boundary committee members that they will select other map with logical solution without penalizing students from Bethany neighborhood.Thank you,Atit

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	harish bhavanichikar	harish2rock@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD Community and Committee Members,As I am reading through comments from the neighboring communities and parents making their case for staying put in Stoller, a repetitive statement surfaces again and again "There is new construction in Springville area and a lot of growth is expected in the coming years so take them out of Stoller and send them to Five Oaks" Since when did GROWTH become a bad thing to happen? It should be noted here that the School in question here-Stoller itself was planned to accommodate the growth of the communities surrounding it and resulting into the overcrowding of the existing Middle schools like Meadow Park at that point of time. So basically, the growth that happened around Laidlaw Road and going further was a welcome development and the one happening now around the Springville areas is not? The implying idea seems to be selfish, rigid and averse to any subsequent growth/development.I would like to highlight a fact here - the construction/development in the Springville area, that is the target of all discussion right now at this boundary change process and meetings, has been planned keeping in mind the County's objective of affordable housing for ALL. Along with single family homes, you will find townhomes, apartments, condos, multi-family residences-options that cater to different parts of the society and appeal to the economically diverse population. The students that go to Stoller MS from the Springville/Rock Creek area bring diversity and balance to the otherwise financially sound and resourceful school population. And it is these students that we are considering taking out of Stoller so that life can go on as usual for some. I cannot think of a better example for resistance to change. Relocating a certain section of students to Timberline from Stoller will increase their commute time from 5 minutes to 10-12 minute by bus. On the other hand, sending Springville students to Five Oaks will increase a 12- 15-minute commute time to a minimum of 45 minutes one way. The negative impact of such a ridiculous amount of time to get to school need not be pointed out again. Even if inconvenience was relative, this is a no brainer as far as keeping the best interests of students THROUGHOUT the district goes. It should not be even an option worth considering. If there was no new construction happening, students staying nearby could still walk to Stoller, those a little farther away could take a 5 minutes bus ride to their school, but then there would also be no growth and no development either. It is important to remember that Community revitalization, growth and economic development go hand-in hand. Let us not distinguish between kinds of growth based on area and economics. Let us not punish the Springville Community for Growing.
01/31/2020	Mitali China	mitali.china@gmail.com	Findley Elem				My daughter goes to Findley Elementary school. We live on the other side of Laidlaw st, right opposite to Stoller Middle School. My daughter can see the Stoller middle from her bed room. We request BSD to consider walkability and provide each student the opportunity to go to their neighborhood middle school. For my daughter going to stoller middle will take 2min to walk where as it will take her 20min to go to Timberland by bus. We request BSD to prioritize walkability of the students than anything else.
01/31/2020	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Springville have only one neighborhood Middle school and that is Stoller. When we have great options to have equity for all kids in Map A, it is beyond my understanding why Springville kids would have to spend time in long commute from the extreme end of North Bethany to Five Oaks. I do not understand the LOGIC or the MATH to feed the extreme northern part of Springville into Five Oaks. I am calling this forceful because:i.We are crossing southern part of Springville that feeds to Stollerii.We are crossing southern part of Sato that feeds to Stolleriii.We are crossing PCC Rock Creek, a community college having a headcount of 20,751 students.iv.We are crossing WestView, the most crowded BSD high school having 2587 students. v.We are crossing Rock Creek, another elementary school with 600 students.vi.We are crossing a highway, US 26.
01/31/2020	Mangesh Kushare	mangeshkushare@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Springville K-8	Springville kids must attend stoller middle school since stoller is the closest middle school . Asking springville kids to go to five oaks is not practical at all, since it would be One of the longest distance for the school bus travel in Oregon.Asking middle schoolers to travel more than 30 minutes one way is not feasible option. Putting Findley to timberland middle is most practical solution in this case.
01/31/2020	SANU K MATHEW	SANUMATHEW@YAHOO.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem				We'd like to thank the district for promoting map C in the last meeting. Its nice to see our concerns regarding walkability taken seriously. There are a lot of summa kids in the Stoller neighborhood who will be walking to Stoller (my daughter included). It would be a shame if they had to bussed all the way to timberland. My vote is to use tax dollars for teachers and facilities instead of bussing kids around Beaverton!
01/31/2020	Carrie	Pasteesh2@gmqil.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please allow all current 5th grade students who will be 6th next year to be legacy kids. My son will begin as a 6th grader next year and would be very traumatized to be shifting to yet another school a year later, especially when that school will be five Oaks. I'm sure that most parents across the district would agree that legacy kids would reduce the amount of stress on this group(all current 5th grade students). Middle school is hard enough to start let alone switching again to another middle school. Thank you for considering the emotional health of all our children.
01/31/2020	Eric Yang	ericxyang2018@gmail.com	Springville K-8				School boundary adjustment map should consider residential locations since we are moving people instead of land. The extreme large area without any residential buildings should be highlighted or removed from the school boundary map. For instance, the extreme large open area on the west side of Springville K-8 consists of PCC campus and farm land, which are not occupied by any students feeding BSD schools.I noticed that on the newly proposed map C half of Springville area is an isolated island, which will make the bus travel time in that area significantly longer than any of other students within the same proposed middle school district, with more than 2X average travel time and almost an hour for some students living close to the north boundary. It will make those students as outliers compared to the rest of students in the same district, which clearly deviated the fundamental value of public school to provide equal education opportunities for children in the area. We need further improvement of Map C.
01/31/2020	Carrie	pasteesh2@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep all rock creek students feeding into the same middle school. Rock creek is so small it does not profit anyone to split us up.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	David Ramku	dramku@gmail.com					We support map C, but makes no sense to split Rock Creek and Findley. The same math works out if Rock Creek jointly goes to Five Oaks, while Findley goes to Stoller. Jacob Wismer and a subset of Findley will be a very small population going to Sunset. Improve this feeder pattern and you'll have a happy community.
01/31/2020	Navin Jha	navinkrjha@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	We sold our house in Hillsboro and moved to this area so that my my kids can go to springville and Stoller schools.We will be super disappointed if this doesn't happen
01/31/2020	Julie Curtis	juliecurtis@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		My children have attended Oak Hills Elementary, and the difference between Map A and Map C is very significant for our family. I strongly support Map A rather than Map C for the following reasons:1. Map C drastically reduces one of the great current strengths of Meadow Park Middle School, its socioeconomic and ethnic diversity. Under Map C, the Meadow Park student population would have fewer high-performing schools as feeder elementary schools and more Title 1 schools. This population shift would fundamentally change the dynamics of Meadow Park in ways that will be detrimental for all students. Behavioral problems would likely increase, making it more difficult for students to learn and teachers to teach effectively. Meadow Park would likely see diminished parental involvement and support for the school because of the unfortunate socioeconomic and workplace dynamics that make it more difficult for less-affluent parents to volunteer and become engaged in school activities. Parental involvement is one of the most direct indicators of how well schools perform. It would be a disservice to all Meadow Park students, staff, and families to make changes that would amplify these problems. 2. Map C would be quite isolating socially for Oak Hills Elementary children in the transition between Middle School and High School. Oak Hills children would be the only ones from Meadow Park to attend Sunset High School, whereas all the other Sunset students would be coming into high school with most of their middle school classmates (including classmates who come from multiple feeder elementary schools). This would create disadvantages for Oak Hills children socially because they would have fewer opportunities than their non-Oak Hills classmates to form a broader set of middle school friendships, social and community connections to carry with them into high school. Often it is these friendships children carry into high school that contribute in huge ways to a happy and successful high school experience, not just socially and emotionally but also academically.3. Map A better supports the cohesive neighborhood community served by the Oak Hills and Bethany elementary schools. Children and families across this neighborhood come to know each other through the common park spaces that unite us geographically, as well as participation in sports, cultural and community organizations, and events like the annual July 4 celebrations we host. When nearly all the children of this community attend a common middle school, it deepens the neighborly bonds and traditions that make our community what it is. Map C proposes to split this community by sending our children to different middle schools and different high schools. Because education and school attendance are powerful unifiers, and we want to keep this unity within our community.Thank you for considering these factors.
01/31/2020	Satoko Watt	Satoko.watt@gmail.com	Springville K-8				On the meeting of 1/30, I was so shocked that transportation cost is more important than travel distance and long commute time. It is obvious that Springville to Five Oaks is the most distance in BSD for a middle school. Saving transportation cost, does not justify the commute time and burden on families!! The total of cost of Springville [?] Five Oaks completely exceeds the others. That means Springville kids need to ride a bus for long time. If you use map C, please feed all of Springville to Stoller and move Summa to Timberland and move more of Findley to Timberland. Move Rock Creek to Five Oaks and put Rachel Carson back in Five Oaks from Whitford. And please try to fix the 8 split elementary schools. I think most of Findley doesn't want to split, so a map with all Findley to Timberland should be created, too. For BSD, transportation cost is priority 1? How about fairness and consideration for all BSD kids? I understand walkability, but how many students are actually walking? No parents drop off or pick them up? I doubt it. BSD must consider all BSD kids. For only walkability, are you going to punish our families and children by forcing them to a distant middle school? It is very unfair. Please feed Springville to Stoller.
01/31/2020	Priyanka Aggarwal	aggpri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear BSD Staff and Committee Members,Please help Springville Kids, they don't deserve to spend 90-120 Minutes Daily on BUS in their Middle School Years if they are made to go to Five Oaks. This is their age to learn and play. This is the start of their Teen Age and they need all the help and care from Parents and Communities. But if they are spending such long time in just commuting they will not able to get any time for other activities. Many studies have already established that Longer Commute have -ve impacts on BSD Kids and it also have safety concerns.All Springville Kids are looking for just Equitable Solution and Commute like other BSD Kids going to Regular Middle School Program.Thanks in advance!!!

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

							I'm very disappointed with the meeting that happened on 01/30 at Meadow Park Middle School, reason as below..1. It was scheduled in a small place and no room for everyone to be seated and on the overflow room the video/audio feed is not working and/or bad..2. Lot of people who attend the meeting behave unethical like clapping in middle of the speakers and discourage others that were present..I strongly object map C and should go with either Map A or Map B, because of below reasons..Further, for the ones favor Map C, this map really targets springville kids and force them to travel long distance and spend much higher time than acceptable level everyday on bus on both ways. Like an average over 40 minutes one way (with traffic) and take away the time from kids in doing their homework, extra curricular activities and their time on field to play.. 1. The primary objective of the committee is to reduce the crowding in Stoller, but build brand new school in area where the NeighbourHood of new school not ready to go, but fight stay in stoller and pushing/punishing the springville kids to spend more time on travel. Even with that Map C, students count at the new school (Timberland) will still be under utilized (Less than 75% of its permanent capacity). Why was it build on tax dollars and not planing to get over 80%..I think walking to school can be recommend and it shouldn[?]t be at the cost of other set of kids and force them to spend twice the travel time to school and add additional safety concerns to the kids..For the springville kids, till this year we had k-8 programs, but that was already taken out and forcing them without any option, but travel twice the distance/time to five Oaks school. just based they can walk and have higher saving on transportation cost, don't consider Map C, our kids at springville have no near by school, due to bad decision of the school board building at west of Beaverton where not enough population to fill in and over crowding happens on north Beaverton Area..If walking kids should be given higher preference, then leave our springville kids at stoller. Current stoller is able to accommodate all kids with portables, just leave it there and don[?]t cost kids because of bad decision (building at wrong location, until we get our own at north Bethany area)..Due to all above concerns, you should consider keeping springville kids at stoller and adjust the map accordingly..Please think from kids view, their safety and their priorities, not just \$\$\$ on transportation and lobbying from small group of parents. Please consider map B or A as the also save \$, which moderate impact to all kids..
01/31/2020	Manickam Annamalai	Manickam_anna@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		
01/31/2020	Jeff Chen	Jeff.chen2@nike.com	Springville K-8				Why is map C even being considered? Can someone from BSD explain the rationale behind allocating springville to 5 oaks? The part of the map that connect springville to the rest of the Red Zone are PCC and farm lands, extremely appalled by how this misleading and unscientific proposal even made it past the filters.Jeff
01/31/2020	Shawli	shawli22@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		If walkability and transportation cost is priority over equality it should have been mentioned in BSD website. Parents should have bought houses only in walkable distance. Of course, only the rich few could have afforded it. It is a common practice among elitist to buy houses next to the school and shout for walkability. Portland school district have taken measures against it, BSD should do the same. It is completely unfair to throw 500 students from Springville under the bus, in the name of walkability. If walkability and neighborhood proximity is the top priority, why is selective part of Springville and Rock Creek bussed to Stoller? Why is the extreme Northern part of Springville sent to Five Oaks whereas down south part of Springville and Rock Creek is being fed into Stoller? Isn't this increasing distance, time and transportation cost to feed Northern Springville into Five Oaks, while Southern Springville, Sato and Rock Creek feed to Stoller? If walkability and transportation cost is priority over equality it should have been taken into consideration when building the new school Timberland. The school should have been built in the center of Sato and Springville, so kids could walk. Since BSD didn't focus on walkability and transportation cost at that time, it shouldn't be priority now. Walkability is a luxury, it is a privilege nice to have but not at the expense of 500 kids commuting double the distance one way.
01/31/2020	Renee Shirley	rshirley@comcast.net	Findley Elem				Do not feed Findley into two middle schools.
01/31/2020	Suneel Setlur	Suneelss@gmail.com	Springville K-8				There appear to be multiple issues if you move kids from Springville k-8 to Five Oaks1. Children will spend 90 minutes traveling to and from school - lesser time for other activities (they are in school for 6.5 hours)2. Parents of children being dropped off/picked up will have difficulties
01/31/2020	Jennifer Brinkerhoff	skierjenn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		I have been following the middle school boundary adjustment process since it started a few months ago, though I have not been able to attend any of the meetings. I've talked to those who have attended, I've made a few comments here before, and I've read through many of the public comments online. Up until now, it looked like everything was proceeding in a reasonable fashion in the way it would effect our family. But when I saw Map C from the January 30 meeting, this all changed. I do not support Map C at all. Many families who live west of Bethany Blvd and go to Oak Hills Elementary feel very strongly about attending Westview High School. Many of these families live in a large neighborhood with Bethany students. The neighborhood is joined together when Bethany and Oak Hills students go to middle school and high school together. Please keep the portion of Oak Hills that feeds into Westview at Westview. We do not want this to change. Please keep the Westview feeding kids from Oak Hills with Bethany Elementary. These are the kids that we live near and can form long term relationships with through middle and high school. Thank you for all the time and energy you are putting into this process. Our family appreciates the contribution you are making to our community. Thanks for listening to the parents of the district. Please do not support Map C. Please support Map A, it is better for the kids!
01/31/2020	Narasimha	narasimha.tch@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Committee Members,I would like share some issues if we send Springville students to Five Oaks1. The capacity internally increases in Five Oaks and low capacity in the new school Timberland. 1. Timberland : 928 and Five Oaks becomes 16002. Transportation cost increases to BSD due to distance around 5.1 miles from Springville to Five Oaks. If 2 percentage of kids mis the bus then 185th route or other route becomes more busiest road since parents need to drop by car. There are some chances to loose first session of class due to heavy traffic.3. If the road conditions are not good, rain or snow or some other scenarios will be suspended for longer and longer commutes. 4. Springville children under the bus, to send them on the longest commutes across the busiest intersections in BSD to Five OaksAll Springville kids looking forward to get good support from committee members to save their travel time, and consider Stroller MS or closest MS as the good option for all prospectives. Please provide great opportunities to next generation kids after considering of all things equally.
01/31/2020	Jill Murfin	jill_murfin@yahoo.com	Springville K-8				I just want to add my support for Working Map A or B. Both appear to meet the objectives of the committee and provide similar transportation times for all. Thank you for your consideration.

1.31.20 Middle School Boundary Public Comments

01/31/2020	Vikram S	vikram82@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Hello, My humble request is, pleased consider a map that reduces the travel time for kids.Thank you,Vikram
01/31/2020	Annamalai	Manick_basha@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Reject Map C and stop any proposal that feed Springville to 5oaks or Medow ParkEither feed Springville to Stoller else reinstate K-8 at Springville for all Springville kids and let Springville kids walk to school, until a real neighborhood middle school is build in north.
01/31/2020	Loretta Ramm	Rett71@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		To whom it may concern,I attended the meeting last night at Meadow Park and first, I want to thank you for your time and effort you've put into this boundary change. It's not an easy one. My request and that of my neighborhood, Oak Hills, is to please please put us with another elementary school going to Sunset. Moving us to Timberland with Terra Linda (Map C) keeps us from being isolated as we are now, and will help unify our neighborhoods. While I live in Oak Hills, we have many friends in Cedar Mill, my daughter attends youth group at Cedar Mill Bible, I'm a teacher at A Child's Way preschool, I shop at Safeway, I get my prescriptions at Walgreens and get gas at Chevron. Like me, MANY of my neighbors feel that Cedar Mill is their neighborhood too! Please put us with Terra Linda!
01/31/2020	Shashank Aribandi	shashankaribandi@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Dear Superintendent Grotting and members of the Middle School Boundary Adjustment advisory committee,I learnt with some surprise today from my community members that the committee is leaning toward the option of moving Springville students from Stoller MS to Five Oaks MS as part of the boundary adjustment process.Studies have repeatedly shown that students do well when schools are located in the immediate neighborhood, particularly elementary and middle schools.Oregon today sits at the bottom of the table in the country wrt graduation rates and school outcomes. When we need to be focusing more on improving our school outcomes by following best practices, this middle school boundary adjustment process seems to be trending toward doing the opposite by pushing kids out of their neighborhood schools and creating community islands by bussing kids to far away schools.Springville communities are closer to Stoller MS with a strong neighborhood connection and are best suited to remain at Stoller MS. Bussing Springville kids to far away Five Oaks MS which is on the other side of Highway 26 is neither practical nor going to help them with better learning outcomes and in the process might reduce the overall Beaverton School District ratings.I hope the advisory committee takes these factors into account before making their recommendation.Best regards,Shashank
01/31/2020	Joel Miller	jmiller7304@gmail.com		Five Oaks MS			My daughter attends RACHEL CARSON. Central Office Administration has been made aware of reasons why RACHEL CARSON needs to move out of Five Oaks ASAP. While we would support a move to Cedar Park (as proposed in Maps A & B), 2021 would be too long of a wait. I propose RACHEL CARSON move to Timberland next year and stay there. In addition, to balance the numbers of that suggestion, have the current SUMMA locations stay the same as they are currently OR simply have Timberland SUMMA attend elsewhere. Meanwhile, we do not support the Map C option that has RACHEL CARSON move to Whitford. The Whitford principal publicly stated at the Jan 30 meeting that do not want another special program like RC. RC has already been enduring that same sentiment from the Five Oaks principal.
01/31/2020	VAIBHAV KHANE	vaibhavkhane@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Mr. Spark and AC membersI would like to highlight few things based on discussion on Jan. 30th meeting. 1. Map'C' which is the new addition to already shortlisted maps 7 and 8 (from previous MS boundary meeting) was not depicting Springville ES district in a correct manner. PCC rock creek area needs to be grayed out (as no houses in 200+ acres of PCC area) to clearly show that Springville ES district will be an island as per map 'C' and is being proposed to be sent to Five Oaks. 2. The primary objective of this boundary meeting is to meet at least 80% of permanent capacity of newly built Timberland Schoo. This objective is not met by map 'C' either in 2021 or 2025. This was not discussed explicitly in Jan. 30th meeting. Please make sure to discuss this aspect during next public meeting. It does not make sense to unuse brand new school capacity and overload older schools like Five Oaks, Meadow Park as proposed in map 'C' . This will make things worst in long-term. 3. The transportation cost aspect was never the primary metric in any of the previous Middle School Boundary Adjustment meetings. Map 'C' is being sold on the basis on transportation cost and walkability. My own observation is that most of kids living outside 1/4 mile circle end up going to school by parents dropping by Car or byschool BUS. Hence, the transportation cost savings and walkability aspects are overrated as far as map 'C' is concerned and are giving wrong impression to AC/general public. 4. As per map 'C', Springville ES district kids need to spend 45 minutes one-way in the school bus in order to get to Five-Oaks MS. This means every day 1 hour 30 minutes of transportation time + at least 20 minutes to wait and walk to/from bus stop to home. This will have huge impact on kids health and academic performance at MS school. Please kindly consider this aspect while discussing map 'C' in all future meetings. 5. Map 'C' is sending rental apartment neighborhoods from Springville ES district to the Stroller middle school to meet equity criterion. Most of these neighborhoods are further south of Springville ES district and are being sent to MS on North side. However, Springville ES district neighborhood which is on the north border of BSD is being sent south to Five Oaks. Is it fair and square? Thanks for reading my comments and giving me an opportunity to express my opinion. I hope committee will do all the due diligence and consider all the aspects while making final decision on MS boundary. Vaibhav Khane
01/31/2020	Chung-Han Lin	chunghan.lin@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I strongly support Map C in Jan 30's meeting due to (1) Walkbility: Map C fully utilized the walk zone of each school thus not only allow max amount of the student walk/bike to school if they will but also reduce the cost of the BSD transportation cost. I would rather BSD spend more budget to improve educational related item than use on bus transportation. (2) Equity: According to the data provided, Map C seems to have more equal student free lunch rate and more uniformly distributed student demographics. (3) Feeder pattern: According to data, Map C have a little better middle to high school feeder pattern which is a main guild line from BSD. (4) Split/Capacity: According to BSD guide line, students must > 800 and the capacity need to < 100%. Due to the population density differences, I believe split in some area is needed to achieve this goal. On top of that, utilization and capacity for each school is better in Map C than A for both 2021 and 2025. This match BSD's recommendation for school size and special education support. (5) SUMMA: The SUMMA student distribution is more uniform in Map C than A. I believe BSD encourage Summa students are learning in community with other students that are not in Summa. A more uniform SUMMA site is preferred. (6) Safety/Academic/educational resource balance: I think Map C is better than A. For example, Map A directly plug Rock Creek into Five Oak where Rock Creek is the only school north Hwy 26. This will cause culture shock and kid will suffer. On the other hand, even though in Map C Rock Creek split in two, both portions either stay or move with their neighbors. Thus Map C is way much better than A. According to the reason above, I encourage committee to consider Map C in Jan 30's meeting as a choice moving forward.