

DATE	NAME	EMAIL	ELEMENTARY	MIDDLE	HIGH	OPTION	COMMENTS
01/17/2020	Jagadevi Chikkalli	jagadevi.chikkalli@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		I Attended meeting on 16th Jan.Everyone in the committee vote for one single map even though everyone agreed for walkability and Highschool relations.●In map 8 or 9 JW don't have HS overlaps.●As mentioned by one of the parent HS transitions are very difficult.●Walking in the HS hallway and not knowing anyone is a very sad situation. I don't want this to happen to any kid.●HS is very crucial period for kids to make long term relationships.●Initially Mr Steven Sparks said there will be 3 working maps, but at the end of the meeting only 2 maps are decided.●Please bring back Test map 3, otherwise send Jacob Wismer also to Timberland.●Please move Jacob Wismer also to Timberland so that my daughter will have more friends in High school.
01/17/2020	Lori Near	lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Dear Committee - please reconsider Test Map 3. Having 2 large Elementary Schools in growing communities (Springville K-8 and Sato) feeding into Stoller MS, it will only continue to be over crowded. Test Maps 6 - 11 put Stoller over permanent capacity in 2025. Test Map 3 brings the growing Sato community and a flat and declining Findley community, along with the existing SUMMA program to Stoller, offering the best and most acceptable utilization numbers for Stoller than any other proposals currently on the table.
01/17/2020	Madhu Rangarajan	Mrangar@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	Mr Sparks - I truly appreciate the way you ran the meeting on January the 16th and how you elicited every one of the committee members to speak up.Committee members - I appreciate almost all of you being collaborative, open minded and looking out for the good of ALL BSD kids rather than just a few of us who may be complaining the loudest (myself included).I hope to see more such meetings in the future!Thank YouMadhu
01/17/2020	SM	Misrashikha@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Our child will be going to Summa in 2020-21 in 6th grade. We are the JW minority that goes to Westview. If Summa moves to TL, our child will have little/no friends in Westview since most of the kids in TL will be going to Sunset.Please keep Summa in Stoller.
01/17/2020	Kaitlin Peters	kaitlinpeters01@gmail.com	McKay Elem				The district should not be closing middle schools. Our 6-8th grade students need all the resources and attention possible in this important stage of development. Larger, more crowded middle schools lead to more conduct problems, lower achievement rates and increased bullying for our students. Please consider our children and their needs over monetary issues within the district.
01/17/2020	Sheila Hobernicht	sheila.hobernicht@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		We live in the Terra Linda Neighborhood. We are strong participants and advocates for public school. We are also strong supporters of common sense. We were so excited when Timberland construction began. At last! A middle school that our kids could reasonably walk or bike to! I understand that different proposals are in play. PLEASE! Our kids have commuted through middle school all these years. Don't bus them across highway 26. Let children and their families have a safe, local commute. Terra Linda belongs in the closest middle school: Timber View.

01/17/2020	Ava Palmquist	ava@weareambient.com	Terra Linda Elem				This is in regards to maps 8 and 9: we live in the little rectangle that has been annexed out of the east side of Saltzman and feeds into Terra Linda. I'm writing to ask that this neighborhood (NW Creekside, Hartford and it's adjacent streets) be reconnected to Timberland or any school the rest of the kids on this side of Saltzman attend. We understand why many years ago, for equity reasons, our neighborhood would have been zoned differently. Today however, there are maybe 20 kids in the whole of this neighborhood that attend BSD middle school (if that). There are so few kids in this neighborhood (many in private and at least 4-5 who have been attending Bonny Slope) and with a very slow turnover rate of housing, it doesn't make a huge impact on a school's attendance and won't for many years. However, Bussing kids who have been connected to their local neighborhood, all the way to Meadow Park doesn't make sense and will make an impact on their lives. There are not enough kids in this neighborhood to warrant the way it has been sliced out. For example, of the 6 kids we know currently in 4/5th grade, 4 attend Bonny slope, 2 Terra Linda. Of the 5th graders, 2 will be at option schools and only one going to the assigned middle school, next year. Most of the neighborhood has older or adult kids. The impact of cutting our neighborhood will be felt much more by our children than by any school they attend. Please move the line for Timberland in maps 8/9 to incorporate this little pocket - NW HARTFORD/NW CREEKSIDE and it's cul de sacs. Thank you.
01/17/2020	Venkata Suryadevara	Gokulsuryadevara@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		A quick follow up from the meeting on 1/16/2020 is the 3 maps that received highest feedback - map 3,8,9. Not seeing that committee is considering map 3. Would like to understand why they are considering map 8 and 9 when they said they would consider 3 maps. Please create transparency in the selection process.
01/17/2020	Winnie	winniewong56@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Send Findley to Five Oaks if they don't want to go to Timberland. The distance from Findley to Five Oaks is even shorter than Springville to Five Oaks. Please keep Springville and Summa program both together in Stoller. Thank you!
01/17/2020	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear BSD and comittee. I am writing this comment with a heavy heart. I am grateful to all that you are doing. But disappointed with yesterdays outcome. As a member of public I am disappointed with what happened. One of the committee member called walkability "Luxury". Is it? In Oregon we are trying to promote walking as a lifestyle. So many new trails and new bike paths. But we want to our kids to take the bus. In northern Bethany 400+ kids who have been walking and Biking will be bussed to a school 4 miles from their neighborhood. It will uproot communities. Disrupt everything. The maps choosen to go ahead will not work for North Bethany. We need a map with Summa and walking Findley kids in Stoller. Kindly consider our request. BSD own transportation authorities support walkability. Transportation cost eats into funds that supports teachers and schools. 5 testimonials from public supported walkability. Not except for a few most of the comittee choose to ignore it. This boundary adjustment is our kids. 16 people deciding their fate kindly pay attention to what parents and kid wants. Please don't bring your own personal agenda into this. Be fair and honest judge of the issue. Thanks Aishwarya
01/17/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				If BSD is very worried about the transportation budget, why did it spend 60 million dollars building a new middle school to the south-east of the district, when the new population occurred in the north-west? Why did it build a few elementary schools and middle schools within close proximity of each other to enable walkability and some other elementary schools far away where walkability isn't even possible? Why did it remove the middle school option completely from Springville? If schools were not built within walkable communities, then transportation budget is a reality and we cannot get away from it. These are all public schools, built with our tax-paying dollars. Why is walkability for Findley considered a right, while the same right was taken away from other elementary schools like El Monica, Aloha Huber, etc.? If they were not built with an equitable commute in mind, why are we okay with punishing kids of a particular community and let them have unreasonable commutes to school, to enable walkability for a few kids?

01/17/2020	Sajeeth	Sajeethr@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Stoller summa population as we understand has close to 160 kids. With summa being moved to timberland we are expecting this #160 to go to timberland. This might not happen. Many parents may decide to stick with stoller either for walkability or other reason. I urge BSD to keep summa is stoller to support kids attending stoller. It does not make sense to uproot a well established and well functioning program from stoller.
01/17/2020	Ashutosh Misra	ashutoshmisra@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Hi MS Boundary Adjustment Committee members,I would request you to please support Test map 3 that promotes the walkability to Stoller and also that retains SUMMA program in Stoller. There are over 300 students who attend SUMMA program in Stoller. JW SUMMA students alone are over 100. To move these students to attend SUMMA at Timberland as supported by maps 7 and 9 will disturb this group of students who can currently walk to school. There will also be cost to bus these students who could have actually walked to Stoller. Also, for our neighborhood that is the only neighborhood feeding to JW whose HS boundary was changed to Westview and have a handful of SUMMA students who in Timberland will be a big group of other SUMMA students where most of them are going to Sunset. I would request you to not move SUMMA from Stoller. As we heard from one of the BSD representative that they would like to have SUMMA and non SUMMA students in the same school to promote diversity. Thank you for your time.Ashutosh
01/17/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8			The transportation budget is a worthy consideration, but it should be remembered that any additional expenses that will be incurred by transporting Findley kids to Timberland will be absorbed by the cost savings that occurred when the K-8 Options Middle School programs are closed. It will also be reduced by not sending Springville kids to Five oaks, and not sending Aloha Huber to Cedar Park. There will be a walkable Findley portion that will offset the walkable portion of Timberland. The transportation cost is not a JC criterion and should not be considered as such – BSD spent 60 million dollars building a brand new school, in a location where bussing students is the most realistic option – not utilizing it to full capacity is a waste of everyone's tax-paying dollars.
01/17/2020	Joanne Doyle	doyle4some@gmail.com				As a former BSD parent, my kids grew up attending quite a few schools in the district as we moved a couple of during their time in K-12. When you drastically change where neighborhoods have attended elementary schools, middle and high schools, you Drastically change the make up of that community. I did not agree with the decision to send West TV Elem, and Ridgewood Elem students to Beaverton high school. And we are now seeing how this change has devastated , specifically, youth lacrosse programs. (I have experience in the Sunset lacrosse and Beaverton lacrosse community) I know your decisions are more than just youth sports programs, but I will say that when my son entered a new Middle school for him as a 6th grader, it was his youth football team that helped him make the transition. We had moved the summer of his 5th and 6th grade year, and would have gone to a different MS had we stayed put. Because of the strong youth football community, he was able to make friends before school started, and he entered a tough transition for any kid going into 6th grade. Please consider keeping some form of community connection in your neighborhood boundary change.
01/17/2020	Flor Coronel	Flor_b_coronel@yahoo.com		Stoller MS		As a resident of Oakridge Estates, I oppose the school boundary change sending middle school kids from our neighborhood to Timberland Middle School instead of keeping them at Stoller Middle School which is right across. It seems to defy common sense that the district would rather transport kids by bus when they can actually walk. There will be kids that live beyond walking distance anyway. Have those been considered first to address whatever problem the rezoning is trying to address? Another factor to consider is that families who have lived in the neighborhood close to school did so for a reason. Changing the boundaries could impact family schedules and pick-up/child care arrangements. Families living farther from schools are already prepared to have their kids take the school bus.

01/17/2020	Mamta Sahita	msahita@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>To say that I am shocked by the final decision of yesterday's committee meeting would be an understatement. It almost felt like some of the committee members had called into some other meeting; not the district committee meeting. Why else would they COMPLETELY ignore valid and reasonable points that were brought up during the meeting as they chose the two maps to continue to the next meeting? Several of the middle school speakers brought up that walkability is not a luxury. The district's transportation administrator, Mr. Craig Beaver clearly informed the committee that transportation costs are not to be taken lightly and gave us actual numbers behind these costs – 30% of the transportation costs equals \$18M. That is mind blowing! The Deputy Superintendent of Operations and Support Services, Dr. Carl Mead made a compelling case about transportation costs as well and how it all comes from the same pot of money to fund all other needs; including teachers and staff. It has not even been a year since the district and community went through so much turmoil due to the \$35M deficit in budget! This deficit meant bigger class sizes and the loss of 300 staff, including more than 200 teachers. Other cuts include professional development resources and support for students learning English. – a post from opb.org April 24, 2019. Have we already forgotten how real, agonizing and ridiculous that was for everyone involved including all of our children? The final decision made by the committee yesterday to continue with maps 8 and 9 tells me that they prioritized unnecessary transportation costs over our teachers and staff. They also prioritized unnecessary disruption of all SUMMA children at Stoller (47% of who live within walking distance from Stoller) over a stable non-disruptive plan for the children. That is unacceptable. Having to provide transportation for children across the district that fall within walking zones and taking on unnecessary costs will only lead to two outcomes: 1) Teachers / Staff losing their jobs and our children losing key programs AND / OR 2) Everyone in the school district having to pay additional tax dollars to pay for a bad decision. These are both outrageous outcomes and should not be entertained.</p>
01/17/2020	Xiruo Liu	sissiok@gmail.com	Findley Elem			<p>From the newly released maps, the north part of map 3 and south part of map 8 make most sense (especially in terms of enrollment numbers). So I suggest to combine these two parts and create a new map for further development.</p>
01/17/2020	Xiaoying Zhang	xiaoyinger@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>I'd like to point out some clear flaws of committee test map 3 and 10. Map 3: 1) The enrollment/capacity ratio of Five Oaks is 104% and 105% in year 2021 and 2025. If the goal is to make Stoller at 90% of permanent capacity. How is it acceptable to have Five Oaks at 105%? Where is equity? 2) Rachel Carson program is different with other specialized program such as SUMMA. It specifically requires a garden and proximity to food bank. Have Rachel Carson parents and students been even consulted if they agree to be moved? What is the intention of moving this well established valuable program? To make room for Springville and Rock Creek students is not an ethical answer. 3) It sends Aloha Huber Park ES, which is within walking distance to Mountain View, all the way east to Cedar Park. Aloha Huber Park currently feeds Five Oaks which is 3.5 miles away. Instead of improving its situation, map 3 proposes to send it even further to Cedar Park which is 5.2 miles away. What happened to the walkability argument? Is Findley the only one deserving walkability? What is the intention of this move? Just to make enrollment number look better in Cedar Park? Again, this is not an ethical answer. 4) It makes Bethany ES an island. Do Bethany ES kids not want to go to the same middle school with their neighbors and friends? Is Findley the only one having a sister school? Map 10: 1) Same as map 3, it unethically proposes to move Rachel Carson without good reason. 2) Highland Park is at 107% in year 2021. The goal is for 2021, so let's focus on 2021. 3) All middle school except for Mountain View and Cedar Park are split at High school level. 4) It splits Springville, Sato, Rock Creek and Findley. 5) It makes arbor oaks neighborhood an island. Although the Five Oaks boundary looks continuous on this map, in fact there are literally NO PEOPLE living in PCC, leaving a big void between arbor oaks and the rest area within the boundary! In reality arbor oaks kids are floating in the middle of nowhere. They don't get to go to the same school with their neighbors and friends. This island is even worse than the Bethany ES island in map 3, because the arbor oaks island is a much smaller area, which means the kids are more awfully isolated.</p>

01/17/2020	Suzanne Mattson	portlandsuz@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				Hi there - I was unable to attend last night's meeting because my child was sick and my husband works nights. I'm told maps 7 and 8 - putting Terra Linda kids north of Highway 26 - into Meadow Park was 'up for approval.' I was excited to see the new middle school (Timberland) was going to be walking/biking distance for my daughter when she will attend middle school and very disheartened to find out that maps 7 and 8 would mean not only is she going to be bused, but to a different middle school than we've become accustomed to (Meadow Park versus Cedar Park). I would request that you please keep Terra Linda kids north of highway 26 so that they can walk/bike to middle school - Timberland seems the obvious choice for these kids to attend middle school as in maps 3, 10 and 11.
01/17/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				I was lucky enough to attend the committee meeting yesterday and found it very disheartening that there are committee members who view walkability as a "luxury" for students who live close to their neighborhood schools. This is a very flawed and limited mindset. Are teachers and supplies for schools also "luxuries"? Optimizing walkability allows BSD to use the common funds less on transportation/bussing expenses and more on our teachers and school programs!! As we heard from the BSD administrators in the meeting, there is no new money coming. If we choose maps that increase transportation costs, that will directly impact BSD funding for teachers and school programs. I urge committee members to stop viewing walkability as a "luxury" for a few kids and more of a necessity for BSD to help use more of our funds on teachers and school programs
01/17/2020	Ankit Shah	tofanmasti@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				In my opinion, these are the most important things to consider. 1. Kids need a school where they have space and resources to learn. Having a lot more students than what the school can support is a big negative. So I fully support initiate by BSD resolve the Stoller overcrowding issue. Having said that, by bringing in both Springville and Sato to Stoller along with Jacob Wismer makes the problem worst. The Springville neighborhood is a growing neighborhood and can easily overcrowd Stoller quickly. Test Maps 6 through 11 put Stoller over permanent capacity in 2025. Test map 3 brings into JW (fairly flat growth), Sato (growing) and Findley (flat growth) and SUMMA (flat) into Stoller. This has the better utilization number than any other proposal. 2. Walkability is a huge factor as well. Traffic and pollution is a big concern around the Bethany neighborhood and its getting worse. It makes very little sense that my kids, who live literally 2 min walking distance from Stoller need to take a bust to go to Timberland. They are potential SUMMA kids and if the SUMMA is moved to TL, they will face the situation. If entire JW is moved to TL, it will be the worst case. 3. When kids transition to High School, they need their friends with them so that they can take on all the social and academic challenges with good support. By only allowing JW without SUMMA into Stoller, they will have very little friends going into Sunset. In summary, I support the test map 3 which keeps JW and SUMMA at stoller along with Sato and walking Findley.
01/17/2020	Jay	jays24@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear AC,I was surprised to see Map 3 and 10 was discarded. Walkability considered luxury and Portland is one of the finest Green city and now the committee recommendation of bussing 355 SUMMA kids, did not align with the city philosophy. Map (7,8) and 9 are similar, why they were treated separately, lots of changes proposed for Medowpark, Mountain View, Highland Park and Fiveoaks, and it seems that the committee members representing the schools in the area are happy and just voted for map 8 and 9. I request considering Map 3 along with some adjustments for the next meeting. Map 3 will reduce the transportation cost, decrease congestion on Laidlaw(which is a single lane road), remove CO2, increase walkability and keep the utilization of permanent capacity in control.
01/17/2020	JAYAPRAKASH SHANMUGAM	JAYAPRAKASHNS@GMAIL.COM	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Dear committee - The shortlisted test maps 8 & 9 both move the entire SUMMA students from JW, SPV and SATO communities to Timberland. The newer SPV and SATO communities are young and growing. When more students from these communities send their kids to middle school, there will be more SUMMA kids coming from these communities than the existing JW/Findley area. I cant imagine these kids being penalized for excelling in academics by forcing them to spend more time in commute. Please keep the SUMMA in Stoller by increasing the capacity or reducing the crowd.

01/17/2020	Debbie Chin	debbienihc@gmail.com	Fir Grove Elem	Mountain View MS	Aloha	Last night the committee decided to keep maps 8 and 9 for further study. Map 8 is very bad for Mountain View MS. It would increase the poverty at the already high-poverty school to an unacceptable level, and forecasts the school to be under capacity (73 percent) in 2025, which may negatively impact its ability to offer a full range of electives in the future. Mountain View is currently at 48 percent free and reduced lunch – please don't make it worse. Split feeders and extend boundaries if necessary, but do not burden any school with a free and reduced lunch level of greater than 50 percent.
01/17/2020	jianqiao/huang	huangjianqiao@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thx for all the committee members to make the right selection. Map8/9 is the right choice for BSD.Findley, Bonny Slope and Cedar Mill are also great schools, so Timberland is guaranteed to be a great school as well. Jacob Wismer/Springville/Sato have to sacrifice to send our SUMMA students to Timberland. This is not an ideal case, but it seems that there is no better way. Nevertheless, BSD should still work on to restrict new building construction without considering school capacity. Many schools are talking about walkability, but please note that Springville can not walk to any MS, and it is NOT our fault to be put into a corner where no MS is around, and it is NOT OK just to bus Springville kids to anywhere like trash, only because they are already on the bus. No matter which MS we are aligned to, we will always be the farrest school to that MS because we are in the far corner of BSD. Thus, Springville is natrually in a unfair position when we are talk about proximity. I hope BSD can keep this in mind: Springville has no MS around except Stroller.Thank you again for all committee members.
01/17/2020	Mamta Sahita	msahita@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	In yesterday's committee meeting, several committee members supported the view that walkability is important, and they endorsed Map 3 for being a really good foundational map that could be fine-tuned to meet the district's objectives. However, several of them turned around and voted for maps 8 and 9 as the maps to move forward to the next meeting which are very similar maps. Given that maps 7, 8 and 9 are very similar, they should have been considered as one option – at most one of these being allowed to move forward. I would like to know how such an oversight of letting two very similar maps being picked to move forward by the committee will be fixed going forward.
01/17/2020	Anna Francois	Anna.d.francois@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	Hello,I have heard you are planning on removing terra Linda from the new timberland middle school boundary. I am asking you to reconsider. We personally live In the terra Linda boundary and within 0.6 miles from the new middle school and consider it a walkable distance. Terra Linda is economically diverse and would provide more variety to the student body population. I do not understand the logic of having all of these families drive their kids across town when they are one of the closest elementary schools relative to the middle school. It seems like it is a waste of fossil fuel, a safety hazard, and unfair. Please include Terra Linda in the boundary of the new middle school. Do not make our families cross 26 and drive for miles everyday. We moved here a little over a year ago for the great schools and do not want to have to move again.Thank you,Anna
01/17/2020	Chris Mattocks	chris.mattocks@nike.com	Bonny Slope Elem		Sunset	Hello,I'm writing due to recent information reflecting the new middle school boundary I learned. I found out that Bonny Slope is now being proposed to go to Cedar Park instead of Timberland. This due to other elementary parents raising the loudest concerns. We, too are concerned. We moved from SW Portland a year ago. Bought a house specifically to go to Bonny Slope and Timberland. Our house is currently 1.5 miles from Timberland. 3 miles from Cedar Park. It is beyond me why we could be slated to go to Cedar Park, which is not known as a good school. We would have never moved to be in district there.I wanted to write to raise my concerns on behalf of the Bonny Slope community. Please do not let us be bullied out of our nearest middle school to our community.Thanks for your time and consideration.Chris Mattocks

01/17/2020	Katie Payne	katiekpayne@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem	Cedar Park MS			I am not sure how the committee is determining equity in the decision making process however if it is keeping utilization at or below 90% for Stoller as well as other middle schools there are only several of the committee maps that do this and they are maps 7 & 9 for both 2021 and 2025. The data used to determine this assessment is the "permanent + portable capacity" since some schools do not have portables.I recommend that the committee move forward with maps 7 & 9 that address the capacity issues at Stoller as well as all middle schools across the district beginning in 2021 through 2025. From there, the committee can discuss how they want to define equity, feasibility of implementation, costs, community impacts or whatever criteria is deemed important to choose between them. If additional maps are desired for consideration I would like to see only those that accomplish the goal of reducing the overcrowding across all beaverton schools.
01/17/2020	Zack Waters	Zswaters@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		Consensus from our neighbors including our family. Please see below & reconsider those boundaries in 8 & 9!!If you are in Bauer Woods Estates, even if you have children who are grown or attend private schools, would you please consider sending a comment via the form and requesting that our neighborhood be zoned and connected to the same schools as the other neighborhoods this side of Saltzman?The fact is that there are very few young kids in our neighborhood as it is, with many in private school or with exemptions to attend Bonny Slope. Bussing kids to Terra Linda, then to Meadow Park for middle school doesn't make sense. The current number of kids are likely less than 20 and the turnover rate so slow that it won't make a significant impact on any school for many years. It will however make more sense for the kids who live here to attend the same schools as those in connected neighborhoods. It will also make more sense for buyers looking for homes in our neighborhood to want their kids to attend closer schools. Thank you!
01/17/2020	Katherine Waters	Katiwaters@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		We will make sure to be at the meetings going forward to voice our concerns publicly. Please read below from concerned neighbors including my family. If you are in Bauer Woods Estates, even if you have children who are grown or attend private schools, would you please consider sending a comment via the form and requesting that our neighborhood be zoned and connected to the same schools as the other neighborhoods this side of Saltzman?The fact is that there are very few young kids in our neighborhood as it is, with many in private school or with exemptions to attend Bonny Slope. Bussing kids to Terra Linda, then to Meadow Park for middle school doesn't make sense. The current number of kids are likely less than 20 and the turnover rate so slow that it won't make a significant impact on any school for many years. It will however make more sense for the kids who live here to attend the same schools as those in connected neighborhoods. It will also make more sense for buyers looking for homes in our neighborhood to want their kids to attend closer schools. Thank you!

01/17/2020	Ramesh krishnan	Rameshkumarkrishnan@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	The BSD Boundary Committee Held on Jan 16th at (School Name) – the decision taken by committee members voting concerns me widely. The final decision was based on the committee members vote, majority did not consider the serious concerns raised by Transportation Administrator Mr Criag Beaver and Deputy Superintend of Operations Mr Carl Mead ; They both emphasized on the importance of walkability and serious challenges if we do not consider the walkability . The choosen Test Maps 8 & 9 had large flaws from the walkability perspective for many schools and almost most of the Middle schools are at end of each of the boundary – This means these two maps (8&9) are purely based on capacity and not based on the walkability. Probably we should consider walkability and capacity as the two key aspects to select test Maps for the working maps discussion. I can appreciate all the hard work by the committee members and but all goes in vain if the right decision criteria's are not applied. *Hence seek intervention of the key stakeholders to quickly correct this before it is too late*. Test Map 8 had 14 Votes, Test Map 9 had 9 votes and Test Map 3 had 4 votes. Unfortunately Map 8 & 9 are very identical (>90%) and not sure why both were selected when these two purely based on capacity– and neither of the maps centered on the walkability. In this regard, it's a good idea to consider map 8 (Based on capacity) and Test Map3 (based on walkability). We must take the best of the both worlds and come up with the hybrid map that address all key aspects of the districts, otherwise we will be ignoring serious concerns from many – Especially Increased Cost of Transportation, Decreased fund for teachers, Exhausted more students with travels, Congested traffic causing nightmare for public, more pollution with more buses on the roads , Freshman not having friends at HS, Increased burden for the tax payers and for the school district.
01/17/2020	Edwin Krieger	Krieger57@yahoo.com	McKay Elem	Conestoga MS	Southridge	Early College	Please consider map 7-8 or changing map 9 to keep my kids feeding into Conestoga. We walk there regularly to play basketball after school. We use our neighborhood trail system that has a crossing under Scholls ferry. We can see the soccer field lights from our kitchen window. Conestoga is our neighborhood middle school. Whitford is four times further away, has no walking route with continuous sidewalk, and one must cross highway 217 to get there from our house. We bought our house believing that our kids would attend Conestoga, please keep us in our neighborhood school.Thank you,Edwin Krieger 503-619-6545
01/17/2020	Nilima	Nvwijera@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		We live across from Stoller and my daughter was in Summa program in Stoller and she walked to school everyday that saved us so much time and helped to Minimized the carbon foot print. I want my 4th grade son at Findley to have that same opportunity and also save the planet resources.Also elementary school is where the kids spend their most of the years in school life and they build friendships that last a life time please don't split Findley that will ruin their friendships and I Priti always health. Findley, JW and Sato make Stoller shine and have those schools feed to Stoller.
01/17/2020	Jordan Jenkins	jordansj@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				I have been unable to attend boundary meetings due to a lack of babysitter for young children, but had not been previously concerned regarding the boundary maps. I have now been seeing the maps 8 & 9 that are being pushed and placing the Terra Linda neighborhood into Meadow Park territory. I am against this for multiple reasons. Terra Linda is a mid income neighborhood, that would bring balance to the new Timberland middle school. The 8 & 9 proposals exclusively have high income/socioeconomic status in the Timberland school, leaving it more likely that there will be an unfair distribution of resources. Not just funding from the district, but fundraising and parent involvement to the schools. Terra Linda is an equal 1 mile to both middle schools, but when considering traffic, Murray Blvd gets extremely congested and would take longer to get to Meadow Park. I do not support my children getting less sleep because they have to leave for school earlier due to traffic. This will also impact their education and well being. Due to the travel time to the school, as an active parent I would be less able to volunteer, as would many other families if the Terra Linda school is sent to Meadow Park. Continuing to drive down the quality of the school while unfairly shifting resources into Timberland.

01/17/2020	Jayita Banerjee	jayitarm@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Jan 16 BSD committee meeting - stating walkability is a "luxury" is equally astonishing and shocking. Walkability is environment friendly.....we cannot take that as a luxury! This is the most serious issue on planet earth at this point. At one point Portland is taking every measures by making trails/bikeways so that we can reduce emission, but our BSD committee members deeming it as luxury instead of a need is a short sightedness where we leave our children in unlivable environment. This doesn't aligns with our Government's view to make Portland a green city!Walkability is also pocket friendly.... Transportation is a huge cost which was indicated in the meeting but completely ignored during voting for the maps...why? When another budget deficit comes along...what is BSD's plan? By adding this huge extra cost which cannot be removed in near future you are actually pushing the kids/teachers to cut back on resources that actually matters towards education...which is the main goal of school (instead of some "home equity of a growing neighborhood"). This is also a very short sightedness of the committee. Since we tax payers will be paying for all these bad decisions, no way this can be supported for the future of our children!
01/17/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			We heard multiple people from the Committee and from the community mention that the transition from MS to HS can be a very challenging time for children. I especially felt bad for the women from Oak Hills/Meadow Park who said her daughter hated her time at Sunset because she didn't know anyone when she got there.Please keep this in mind when making adjustments to Maps in the next committee meeting. The current plans having a gaping hole when it comes to the Jacob Wismer students who would attend Sunset HS (around 290 kids). All their middle school friends from SPV and Sato would go to Westview and the JW kids would enter Sunset HS having gone to school with only ~10% of the students. This could lead to social/emotional challenges for these kids since they would likely lose their friends after MS.The Committee should either have JW fully feed into Westview HS, or combine JW with another ES that will also feed into Sunset to minimize the impact of the MS->HS transition. Alternatively, JW could move fully into Timberland so that they'll know all the kids as they move to HS.
01/17/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8			At the last meeting, BSD threw a curve-ball to the on-going discussions on middle schools, regarding transportation costs. With all due respect to BSD and the transportation director, I will challenge this constraint – BSD invested 61 million dollars to build a new Middle school, in a location, where students will primarily have to be bussed or dropped off. What was the thought process and planning behind this? Did BSD already have in mind which schools will feed into Timberland, before they built it? That would have been a wise thing to do. Even better, it would have been a great solution to build a new middle school in the NW Bethany area, so that it is walkable for these communities too – it would have reduced transportation costs greatly! But, BSD made the decision to not only strip Springville of its middle school option, but it also built Timberland to the SE of the district. Wasn't Stoller over-crowded for a few years? Wasn't Timberland built to relieve Stoller?Now that Timberland has been built and it is ready to accept students, why is BSD harping on the cost of transportation? BSD cannot build a middle school at a non-walkable location and then claim it won't work because it wants to reduce transportation costs. One cannot have the cake and eat it too – something's gotta give!
01/17/2020	Lauren	Lauren.hopkins17@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			We'd like to voice concern and confusion as to why Terra Linda Elementary would not feed into the new middle school? We are currently located right on the boundary line for Terra Linda & Bobby Slope...many of our children's neighborhood friends go to Bobby Slope and we are within a mile from new middle school! Seems crazy to think this wouldn't be the next transition?!? Thank you for listening!

01/17/2020	Karen	john.karen.roberts@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		A few things I would like to mention in regards to transportation. First of all, the current issues being faced regarding increases to transportation are due to Beaverton School District's placement of the new middle school and therefore should NOT unfairly burden a single school. Secondly, there are many places within the district where transportation will be saving money. Some of these savings include: the shuttering of the K-8 options which means significant savings in not having to bus students extreme distances (as expressly stated by the transportation representative in the meeting on January 16th), not having to bus Aloha Huber clear across the southern part of the district to attend Cedar Park (as suggested in older maps to free up room to move northern schools south), not having to bus the largest majority of Springville students twice as far as they currently travel, no longer having the exorbitant costs associated with bussing students from all over the district to Timberland (as we have been doing over the last few years while renovations took place at other schools), and the new walking community created within the Timberland boundary that are currently bussed. All of these areas of savings will help to mitigate the cost of bussing the very small number of students from Findley who currently walk to Stoller.
01/17/2020	Radha Muddu	radha.m@gmail.com	Springville K-8				I have a question for BSD in general. They invested valuable tax-payer dollars in creating portables and they are committed to using them. I agree that portables is not a preferred solution, nobody likes them they are not safe, etc. But here we are – we have portables all the way from elementary schools to high schools! If BSD does not want to consider using permanent capacity + portables has a high probability projection at Stoller, then BSD should remove portables from ALL schools, starting with ELEMENTARY schools! We cannot use double standards here – we cannot whine about using portables for middle schoolers at Stoller and be okay with using them at every other school in the entire district. We need to accept the constraints that we have and work with them, TOGETHER!
01/17/2020	Matthew Hendrix	hendrixmb@gmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		Hello,I've heard you are considering having Terra Linda Elementary school NOT attend the new middle school in the neighborhood (Timberland school?) but rather having them attend Meadow Park. I humbly request you reconsider this decision and the ramifications upon our neighborhood and community at large. This would likely cause fractures to friendships within the community. Terra Linda should absolutely be within the Timberland boundary given it's geographic proximity.
01/17/2020	Rucha	rucha23@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS			Instead of having parents duke it out about the boundaries, BSD already published feeder map that 14 out of 16 members agreed on. There have been comments across the district from every single school that maintaining middle school to high school feeder pattern is critical. Use that map and focus on solving long standing prickly issues which don't involve Stoller or Timberland. We have not seen a single one of those addressed in more than 3 months now.The simplest solution is right in front of us – new school is in North of HW 26. Over crowding is North of HW 26. Move schools which feed into Stoller into Timberland. Create or move a summa program at Timberland so both Stoller and Timberland have summa. This will take care of a lot of heartburn in JW and Findley. Sunset Feeders go to Timberland, West View feeders go to Stoller. Leave everyone else who doesn't have a problem, alone. Thank you.
01/17/2020	Michelle R Duwe	micharn04@yahoo.com	Nancy Ryles Elem	Highland Park MS	Mountainside	ACMA	Good afternoon,I am thankful that you are giving us the opportunity to discuss where our child can attend middle school. I currently have a 6th grader (ACMA), 4th and 1st grader who are attending Nancy Ryles. My 4th grader is already stressed and bummed that half of his friends are going to Conestoga. I find it a huge bummer that Nancy Ryles gets split up into two different schools, let alone our neighborhood (We live in Murray hill). Is there ANY way our school can attend the same middle school? Or at least not break up our neighborhood? I find it a difficult age for the kids to be separated to different schools. I am lucky with my oldest, she fell in love with ACMA and got in, but I do worry for my boys (esp my 4th grader).Thank you for your time,Michelle Duwe

01/17/2020	Allison Putnam	adputnam@comcast.net	Nancy Ryles Elem	Highland Park MS			I would love to see all of Nancy Ryles kiddos go on to the same middle school together. My 6th grader has had a really tough transition this year - half of her friend group is at Conestoga, half at Highland Park. She already has anxiety and splitting from her friends hasn't helped. This is such a tough transitional age group anyway (puberty), to have this additional stress of not being with friends for support is very difficult.
01/17/2020	Mamta Sahita	msahita@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		In prior committee meetings and in yesterday's meeting, several committee members and community speakers brought up that two growing communities just CANNOT be put together in the North. Feeding two growing communities into one school defies the whole objective of the district to have utilization numbers that work for the long-range. In yesterday's meeting, one of the committee members even brought up the North Bethany Subarea Plan that shows that the growth in north Bethany will continue much beyond what has been taken into consideration to calculate the future student projections. Even after having this data, both the maps that the committee chose to move forward with have the two largest growing communities feeding Stoller and overcrowding it all over again by 2025. I just don't understand how and why this continues to be supported. It defies logic. This whole boundary adjustment exercise is being led by the district's long-range planning department and yet time and again it is being validated that numbers that work in 2021 are the objective. How is that long-range planning? It seems more like short-sightedness - a band-aid approach. The last time middle school boundaries were adjusted was more than 20 years ago. How can the committee be instructed that numbers that work in 2021 are sufficient? That makes absolutely no sense. PLEASE do not put the community through this grueling process again! It is just time consuming, counterproductive, exhausting, and absolutely avoidable. Please direct and urge the committee to think long-term.
01/17/2020	Monica Li	ptmyli@hotmail.com	Findley Elem				Oakridge Estates' students are much more suitable and reasonable go to Stoller Middle School than any other middle schools. Because of such short distance, Oakridge students can walk to school which save school money for the bus, the money to hire additional bus driver. It also means less bus on the road less traffic, and less gas which good for the environment.
01/17/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Why is the BSD "Long Range Planning" committee only focusing on the 2021 utilization numbers? This doesn't seem very long term to me and is in fact very short sighted. Shouldn't the committee also be looking at the 2025 numbers to ensure that the 90% utilization goal is also satisfied? Both maps 8 and 9 have 108%/114% utilization in 2025 for Stoller middle school. At this rate, we are going to need to form another committee in a few years to help deal with the overcrowding in Stoller (again)! Why not just deal with the issue now so that the plan will work in the long term and save our time/money from needing to go through this process again? The root of the problem with map8/map9 is that they have 2x high growth schools (SPV/Sato) both feeding Stoller. The Rock Creek ES representative said they wanted a sister school from the NW to also come with them to Five Oaks. That sounded like a subtle comment that SPV should move along with Rock Creek to FO.
01/17/2020	Albert Lu	350812@bsd48.org	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	I am a former student at Stoller Middle School and am currently attending Westview High School. A key aspect of Stoller that I benefited from was the proximity. Many times throughout the school year I had to stay after school for either help from my teachers or attending extracurricular activities. It wasn't a bother to conduct these activities because I could walk home after I finished. Both of my parents work and do not have time to pick me up. I strongly advocate for these activities, such as science olympiad and science bowl, that strongly promote scientific thinking. These activities have helped me throughout high school, and I fear these opportunities may be taken away for other students if we changed our school location to 5 miles away. That is why I strongly support the notion to have our neighborhood remain in Stoller's boundaries.

01/17/2020	Venkat	venky.injam@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	HS2/SST	We are in walkable distance to Stroller . Please don't separate Jacob Wismer School from Stroller including SUMMA program. In every meeting , board members are bringing different views and really concerned not considering basic facilities available to the existing communities (walking distance ,safety and bonding with neighborhood kids and families) . Keeping SUMMA program at stroller is equally important for neighborhood communities . Hope , you guys will hear our voice to keep our kids safe and happy for their middle school journey . Thank you
01/17/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				It was very surprising to me to hear map 9 being selected by the Committee despite it's very poor MS to HS Feeder pattern. It is the only map that has 3x middle schools feeding into 3x different High Schools: Five Oaks (AHS, SUN, WHS), Highland Park (BHS, MHS, SRHS), Meadow Park (AHS, BHS, SUN).It is a cumbersome process to keep redrawing these maps again and again and adds delay to the overall process. Map 10 is tied with a few other maps with the best MS to HS feeding pattern. Map 10 also had better 2021/2025 average utilization numbers compared to both Map 8 and 9.Given all of this, it's not clear to me why map 8 and 9 was selected by the committee. In the future, in addition to just asking committee members to pick a map, can we find out why map X instead of map Y?
01/17/2020	Tejas Rakshe	tejasrakshe@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		As a Jacob Wismer parent I strongly support the boundary adjustment that sends JW (along with Findley and Summa) to the new Timberland ES. After that, all of JW and Findley areas should feed into Sunset.At first it looks like a strange map, but it solves many problems for years to come. Mainly it alleviates Stoller overcrowding and allows it to absorb growing population in Sato/Springville area. Secondly, currently the JW boundaries are fragmented to split to Sunset and Westview, which is hard on a lot of students. This options also uses up the new Timberland capacity, which was the whole purpose behind building that school. I know several parents would be concerned with increased busing needs; but the truth is busing is a far more environmentally friendly and congestion-alleviating option than parents driving to school. Today, even though Stoller is "walkable" a large number of parents drop off and pick up their kids (more so during fall/winter). Busing JW students together to Timberland in five buses is a far better option than a fifty parent cars driving to Stoller. Keeping JW together through middle and high school is better than slicing the student communities into separate lanes.
01/17/2020	Paul Alappat	paul.alappat@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				Mr. Ken Struckmier mentioned that middle schools want at least 800 students in order to provide the full compliment of programs expected from a middle school.Map9 Utilization problem: Cedar Park would only have 638/620 students (73%/71%) and Whitford would only have 684/616 students (80%/72%). This means that Cedar Park and Whitford will be subpar middle schools in terms of their offerings. Should the BSD committee follow Mr. Struckmier's recommendation and address this gaping issue with Map9? After making all the adjustments, it sounds like it will be map13.Map8 also has this issue with Meadow Park utilization: 678 students/79% in 2025.

						<p>Dear BSD committe,I would like to express my strong opposition to the committee's decision to move forward with maps 8 and 9. While many solutions like the utilization maps have already arrived at similar numbers, maps 8 and 9 were chosen to move forward even though they both looked very similar. Are we really trying to solve Stoller overcrowding or are we interested in findly the population to feed Timberland?Why did the committee not consider multiple inputs on walkability criteria? I do not understand the rationale behind being ready to pump money for transporting kids within walking distance to Stoller and accodmodate fast growing neighborhoods. The numbers provided by Mr Craig at the meeting clearly show us that this is not something to be ignored. we are talking about MILLIONS of dollars here.Almost half the SUMMA population is within walking distance to Stoller. Do we want to relocate them and spend money on busing so that Timberland is optimally populated? Moving a well established program like SUMMA to a school that is still new and where we do not have clear plans of even accomodating SUMMA will hurt the program.We are choosing to ignore the ballooning population of North Bethany so that Timberland can be appropriately populated. Even conservative projections from that area will leave Stoller overcrowded with no longterm solution.I support keeping JW and SUMMA at Stoller for the benefit of half the SUMMA population that is within walking distance to Stoller (this is half of SUMMA population). While we want to find population to feed Timberland, it should not come at busing kids near one MS to another MS spending Millions of dollars of tax payers money. I also strongly urge the committe to acknowledge the elephant in the room which is the growing population at SPV and Sato. your proposals that combine these schools to feed Stoller will leave the MS forever crowded.</p>
01/17/2020	Shubha	shubhasajeeth@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			
01/17/2020	Terry	Haashold@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>If test map 8 & 9 are the final cut...please keep our neighborhood that is across the street from stoller in stoller. We are a safe walkable neighborhood to stoller.No bus will save money, reduce negative climate effects, lower liability and increase health of students. Neighborhood directly south of stoller front doors. South of laidlaw between nw kaiser and saltzman.</p>
01/17/2020	Audelia DeCosta	audelia.decosta@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem			<p>Dear committee members,I am a parent of 1, soon to be 2, students at Cedar Mill Elementary. i am writing to advocate that Maps #4 and #5 should be excluded from consideration for the new middle school boundaries for the following reasons:Walkability - to remove those students who can walk to school and bus them elsewhere is a huge transportation and financial impact. it will impact general budge. it is also a big hardship for the many working families in the area. Cedar Mill ES is the only school that is completely encapsulated in the Timberland walkability zone. High school feeder - CM ES feeds to Sunset HS so should go to Timberland, not Cedar Park MS. Putting an entire small ES into a MS that goes into a different HS boundary is wrong. it creates multiple social transitions for our students during a stressful developmental time. It is in their best interest, and the interest of our community, for these kids to be able to grow together. It would be very concerning if it were the case that any part of this process was being used to change the already completed designation of the HS boundaries. Community- CM is a small community where everyone knows everyone. It is supportive of families and students. as a childhood mental health professional, I can say that it is this type of community that is critical in creating healthy, well adjusted children. It is this type of community that is protective against childhood adversity, trauma, and helps support the developmental of healthy and resilient children. To rip this community into a different school boundary would be detrimental to the health and well being of our children. to be able to watch our children grow friendships and community, and to be able to continue to do so, is something we are so lucky to have. Thank you for your time. Again, please exclude maps #4 and #5 from consideration.</p>

01/17/2020	Acosta	eacosta0707@gmail.com	Sato Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	Given the outcome of the meeting yesterday, it seems the committee has gone off the rails. BSD has made very clear goal of Stoller at 90% by 2021. BSD should add a new criteria, STOLLER SHOULDN'T SURPASS 100% OF PERMANENT CAPACITY BY 2025. What's the point of going thru this discussion to have an overcrowded school again in less than 5 years with maps 8/9or any variation where Springville and Sato are together?The commute from Springville area to Five Oaks is about 25 minutes, it's within reason. Stoller will be overcrowded again soon with Springville/Sato together. As a Sato resident, I don't want an overcrowded school for my kids, do you want that for your kids?On another note, some Committee members seem to have a vendetta against Summa at Stoller or Findley. Their comments about map 3/10 made this pretty obvious. One member said, "I feel we are missing something" about map 3 without elaborating. Shame!
01/17/2020	Xiaoying Zhang	xiaoyinger@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Transportation cost was discussed a lot during yesterday's meeting. I would like to share my thoughts on this topic.In my opinion, it is very natural that new bus routes will be needed and transportation costs will go up when a new school is added. The area is growing fast, which means we have more students to serve. More students, more schools, more bus routes -- perfectly reasonable. If we end up able to appropriately (definition of "appropriately" is not to let any student suffer from unreasonably long commute) serve Timberland and all existing schools with current or lower transportation cost, great; if not, as long as the increase is within reasonable range, I don't see any issue with it.Saving transportation cost is NOT a goal or guideline of this school boundary adjustment. BSD's job is to better serve all students, not to save money. If saving money is the goal, the new school wouldn't have been built and we wouldn't have this discussion today.
01/17/2020	Jessica Baker	jessicanoellebaker@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	To all of you on the committee and those supporting its work, we are grateful. Thank you. I'd like to try something different and think not about what is best for my own child, or the kids of an existing school, but instead think about what is best for the middle school we are trying to create. What do we want for it? What do we want its identity to be?I would argue that if this middle school could speak for itself, it would not want its entire population to be bused in from the farthest portions of the district. Instead, it would want some families from the nearby homes and apartments to attend. I think it would also want the students who have eagerly watched it being built, have driven by it for years, and have asked their parents "When will it be my turn to go there?" to be represented in its population. I don't think it would want all of those local students to be bused out to other schools.Every school in this district pulls at least some students from its local neighborhoods. This is even true for our option schools like ISB and ACMA. In essence, I am arguing against test map 5 which eliminates all local kids at Timberland. I also would like to see the new middle school comprised of students within a continuous area, not from separated pockets like are found in Maps 5 and 6. I hope that when you think about what you want for this new middle school, you will agree. Please remove the idea of bringing our new school's students from distant parts of the district from further consideration. Let the new middle school be comprised of its local community so it can reach its full potential. Thank you.
01/17/2020	Suwen Bian	tuanzi30@hotmail.com		Stoller MS		I am so disappointed about the whole process. This boundary adjustment discussion is supposed to be democratic and based on the numbers. But it looks like the decision has already been made to kick out Findley from Stoller at the very beginning regardless the numbers showing keeping Springville will be over Stoller permanent 90% capacity in 3021 and 2025. Now they says 100% is ok and 2025 number is not considered.... BSD is do short-sighted by closing Springville K-8 program when they know North Bethany needs a middle school. By removing Findley(by the way, WA county approve road improvements around Saltzman and Laidlaw, which will enable more Findley students to walk to Stoller), not only increasing transportation costs and damage environment, but also will be a short sighted decision again. Because in a couple of years, Stoller will be over-crowded again. Then another boundary adjustment. This whole process is maddening and biased against certain community.

01/17/2020	Tanya Abou-Elmajd	ctanya20@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please keep Jacob Wismer Elementary feeding to Stoller Middle School. My kids can walk to both schools because of the close proximity. It would cost the district more money on busses to move them to a farther middle school. This money could be spent in other areas. In addition, keeping JW feeding to Stoller reduces traffic due to the many number of JW kids that walk to school. Please keep JW feeding to Stoller!
01/17/2020	SM	Shipanmis@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Please keep JW and Summa at Stoller. We live in walking distance from Stoller and our HS is Westview. My child will be going to Summa in 2020-21 and he will need to be bussed out to Timberland if Summa moves to Timberland. Why add buses for 300+ Summa kids to transport them to TL? What about the major transportation costs? My son won't have any Westview kids in TL which is also another reason we want Summa in Stoller. Please do not add growing communities like Springville to Stoller. It will stay overcrowded and you would have solved nothing!!!
01/17/2020	Ajay Garg	ajaygarg1980@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		Hello BSD Committee Members and School Board,I truly appreciate all of your efforts in redrawing middle school boundary. This will help addressing the overcrowding problem at Stoller middle school. I would like to highlight few points which might be helpful. I would like to propose the following:1. Split Jacob Wismer school in this way: a. Have sunset bound Jacob Wismer kids attend Timberland middle school.b. Have westview bound Jacob Wismer kids attend Stoller2. Springville and Sato attend Stoller3. SUMMA program in both Timberland and StollerAbove proposal will serve these objectives:a. Overcrowding problem at Stoller b. Maintain middle school and high school continuity (Jacob Wismer has two feeding high schools: Sunset and Westview)c. SUMMA program easily accessible for all kids. It will have less transportation cost (Springville and Sato SUMMA students will be bused to Stoller and not to Timberland)I hope my points will be considered in the best interest of entire community.
01/17/2020	Katherine Waters	Katiwaters@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		I have already sent comments/concerns but I have more to add before attending the next meeting 1/30. Many parents including myself had an afternoon consumed with concerns over the recent MS boundary discussion with maps 8 & 9.Our concern along with other Terra Linda parents has to do with Map 9 specifically. When looking at the three elementary schools that are suggested for Meadow Park with map 9 (Barnes, Beaver Acres and Terra Linda). These three schools are very low socioeconomic schools with high levels of free/reduced lunch. Please refer to the school profiles (from the Beaverton School District website) for these three schools. Also refer to the school profiles for (Bonney Slope, Findley and Cedar Mill - slated to go to Timberland with Map 9). If you look at the individual school rates for free/reduced lunches there is a HUGE disparity between those two school groupings.Map 9 proposes these three schools for Meadow Park:Barnes 68% free/reduced lunchBeaver Acres 58% free/reduced lunchTerra Linda 38% free/reduced lunchMap 9 proposes these three schools for Timberland:Bonny Slope 10% free/reduced lunchCedar Mill 13% free/reduced lunchFindley <5% free/reduced lunchThere are also large disparities between these two groups in their testing scores. The three schools slotted for Meadow Park have much lower scores in English Language Arts and Math than the three schools slotted for Timberland. We don't see how it can be justified selecting map 9. By choosing this option the socioeconomic disparity between Meadow Park and Timberland would be shocking! It is vital for the committee to make the schools as diverse as possible and to ensure there are students from all different socioeconomic groups. This is important for the SUCCESS of the school, the students and the community.
01/17/2020	laura fuller	laurafuller1@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS			We live in Terra Linda and our daughter attends Terra Linda elem. we do NOT support map test #9. We thought for sure she would be attending the new Timberland school.But any options are ok except #9 , please.We live close to Timberland and want her to attend Timberland ms and support all the Timberland options that include TL. I hope you can make this happen for us. Thanks

01/17/2020	Jyothsna Rao	every999@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	During the last meeting, the committee voted to keep two maps. During the meeting we also heard from the transportation director, how important it is to keep walking students walking. Otherwise, it will take away from teachers and programs. So, essentially, the committee voted to take money from teachers, so students who can walk can be bussed!! I am hoping the committee and BSD sees the problem with this and fixes it. Please, always keep walking students walking. Even in times of weather or other emergencies, it is so much safer and cost effective to be able to walk home. I sincerely hope the committee votes to keep walking students in schools they can walk to and only bus students that cannot walk to a school. This way the money stays with the programs and teachers. Thank you.
01/17/2020	Dave fuller	Fulleda1@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS		We live close to Timberland. Please choose a boundary for our girls that puts us at Timberland. Definitely NO to test map #9.
01/17/2020	PRP	pram125@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I am very disappointed by the committee decision to disregard current Stoller walk-able students by selecting Map 8 and Map 9 only. In my opinion both these maps result in busing around 300 Summa kids to Timberland. On top of this Findley ES kids opposite to Stoller MS also need to be bused. Most of these kids are within the walking distance to Stoller. Consider the cost of transportation, traffic this generates on Saltzman/laidlaw rd and also environmental impact when considering these maps. Also with the maps proposed, Jacob wismer is the only elementary school in Stoller MS which feeds to Sunset. Please also take this into consideration. And lastly two growing communities Springville and Sata are feeding Stoller which is also a problem. The number one objective for this committee was to reduce the stoller utilization which the maps selected don't address. I hope the committee re-consider their decision and brings more maps into discussion for future meetings.
01/17/2020	Pratibha M	madhu.pratibha@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS		I have been following the middle school boundary adjustment discussions as a somewhat silent observer. I would like to make a few points at this time, on the following issues; Walk-ability to middle schools: I completely agree that being able to walk to the nearest middle school is a wonderful privilege and we should, ensure that the criteria is met while drawing boundary lines through neighborhoods. However let's also make sure other neighborhoods that belong to the same elementary school but are not within walking distance, do not piggy back onto the walkable neighborhoods, under the no-split pretext. That would not be fair to the other middle schools in the area. We are all part of the larger Bethany community and that will not change. We should also make sure that the areas deemed walkable are indeed so (unlike some areas in Arbor Heights that are considered to be within walking distance but are not so in reality) This is just adding to the general traffic chaos that has increased substantially in the last few years. Transportation costs: We all heard from the transportation expert yesterday on the importance of keeping transportation costs low which is reasonable...but I also don't think anyone expected that the district would incur absolutely no transportation cost while opening a brand new middle school in such a large community. Lastly, I would like to thank the volunteers in the Advisory committee who are putting their personal interests aside to help with this process. It does seem to involve a lot of time and effort on their part.

01/17/2020	Jennifer Lynott	jennifer_lynott@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	<p>Hello Middle School Boundary Change Committee,I have a couple concerns I would like to address regarding the two maps chosen to move forward with during the committee meeting this week (Maps 8 & 9). 1 - My first concern has to do with the Map 9 option. I looked at the three elementary schools that are suggested for Meadow Park (Barnes, Beaver Acres and Terra Linda). These three schools are very low socioeconomic schools with high levels of free/reduced lunch. I've provided the statistics for free/reduced lunch for each of these schools (I found these numbers on the Beaverton School District Website). I've also included the same statistics for the schools suggested to go to Timberland with the Map 9 option (Bonney Slope, Findley and Cedar Mill). If you will please take a look at the free/reduced lunch percentages there is a huge disparity between these two school groupings, Meadow Park and Timberland.Below is the information from the BSD website for each individual school.Map 9 proposes these three schools for Meadow Park:Barnes - 68% free/reduced lunchBeaver Acres - 58% free/reduced lunchTerra Linda - 38% free/reduced lunchMap 9 proposes these three schools for Timberland:Bonny Slope - 10% free/reduced lunchCedar Mill - 13% free/reduced lunchFindley - <5% free/reduced lunchThere are also large disparities between these two groups in their testing scores. The three schools slotted for Meadow Park have much lower scores in English Language Arts and Math than the three schools slotted for Timberland. I don't see how it can be justified selecting map 9. By choosing this option the socioeconomic disparity between Meadow Park and Timberland would be egregious! It is vital for the committee to do their best to make the schools as diverse as possible and to ensure there are students from all different socioeconomic groups at each school. This is important for the success of the school, the students and the community.2 - My second concern is relating to both Map 8 and Map 9. In both instances, the three schools slated for Timberland (Findley, Bonny Slope and Cedar Mill) are high income areas and the schools all have a history of high test scores in both ELA and Math. The grouping of these three schools together does not meet the commitment to ensure a diverse population of students.In conclusion, I would recommend moving Terra Linda students back into the population going to Timberland. In doing so, this will provide for a more diverse group of students at Timberland.Thank you,Jennifer Lynott</p>
01/17/2020	Steve Neill	Steve.faye.cn@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>We live in Oakridge Estates. The neighborhood is directly adjacent to Stoller Middle School and should remain within the Stoller boundary. Redrawing boundaries based on distance from school is a common and sensible practice that easily withstands scrutiny. It is the most common method used across the nation. Adjustments then come on the fringes of the areas where there can be shifting to balance school populations. This approach is better for children and parents. Reasoning is clear, it's difficult to argue against and there is no basis for special agendas or favoritism. Convenience of commutes, surrounding area support and community feeling is important. This simple method of boundary designation has not been applied well for the elementary schools resulting in the kind of jigsaw puzzle allocations that are being pushed as options for the middle schools. Please don't compound the problem of mismanagement that occurred at the elementary school level by applying the same special interest reasoning for the middle schools. There are going to be instances where not all pupils from a school are going to move together to the next level. A move that focuses on keeping elementary students together for middle school is going to exasperate the problem as the surrounding area continues to grow because of the current elementary boundary system. It is better to start correcting the previous boundary mistakes with reasonable surrounding area boundaries for middle schools based upon distance and school population constraints. Thank you.</p>
01/17/2020	Angella Kelemen	angellakelemen@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>Hi folks, I realize that you have a tough job and balancing the needs of the entire community, but we live two short blocks to the south of Stoller middle school in the Oakridge Estates neighborhood and we are now looking at plans to be zoned into Timberline middle school. With it being such a short walk to Stoller, wouldn't it make more sense to let the kids who can walk do so? Again, I know you have a lot to consider, but it seems strange to live so close to a school and not be zoned to attend it. Thank you for your consideration.Angella Kelemen</p>

							<p>Hello,I have heard that maps 8 and 9 are being pushed forward and you have abandoned the previous 4 theme maps. I would like to take this time to advocate for Terra Linda students and argue against moving Terra Linda to maywood park. this move splits schools and neighborhoods. it makes for unsafe commutes for our children who would have to bike and walk over 26 and along Murray. Since we are already splitting schools has anyone suggested looking at saltzman as a boundary for timberland, then a more continuous swath of students could attend timberland with a smoother traffic flow on main arterials. alternatively if it must be map 8 or 9 i would strongly encourage map 8. this makes more of a sense of community for maywood as it is a continuous area instead of a patchwork. it would allow the children would have a better ability to get to and from their classmates houses on their own for play or school projects. it would also diversify the income of the Maywood park students and better balance the district than map 9. Map 9 has far too much disparity of socioeconomic status (honestly they both do and I am shocked that isn't more of an issue) to be be fair to students and I worry about distribution of resources.to summarize please reconsider the 4 original maps with small changes (like tweak a boundary by a fewstreets/natural divide not a whole school) to balance numbers. The original maps make geographic sense and are practical. if that is not possible then map 8 is far superior to map 9cheers,Basil</p>
01/17/2020	Basil Francois	francois.basil@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				<p>Dear committee,Thank you for doing the hard work to rearrange the middle school boundaries to reduce overcrowding and populate the new Timberland middle school. It is difficult and you won't be able to make everyone happy.I would like to voice my support for Bonny Slope, Cedar Mill, Terra Linda and Findley feeding into the new middle school. With the recent threat of snow, it brought to mind the 2017 snow storm that crippled our area roads. Those of us at higher elevation around Bonny Slope were unable to get our cars out of our neighborhoods due to combined icy conditions and abandoned vehicles. If something like that were to happen again during a school day, the students would be able to reunited with their families more easily because most of us up at Bonny Slope could walk down to Timberland even if we can't drive. Having Cedar Park as the middle school destination for Terra Linda, Bonny Slope, Cedar Mill, and Findley would also serve to enhance and strengthen community connections and reduce the number of hours our students have to spend on buses. Thank you for your consideration.</p>

01/17/2020	April Powers	POWERS.VMD@GMAIL.COM	Sato Elem			<p>CAP STOLLER CAPACITY BY BUSING NEW DEVELOPMENTS -- There are several facts that need to be recognized: (1) Springville and Sato can remain in Stoller together with Jacob Wismer, meeting both objectives and bringing 2025 capacity to reasonable numbers. (2) Moving Springville to Five Oaks cannot be done without overcrowding Five Oaks or splitting elementary schools. Five Oaks cannot absorb any capacity over 100% since it does not have portables in place. (3) Middle school principals stated that SUMMA works best if there are over 270 students in order to provide more differentiated math groups and this can only be done if all of SUMMA is kept together, but Stoller cannot house them all.. Therefore it makes sense to move them en masse to Timberland to help with overcrowding and to keep their program strong. (4) There is no guarantee that North Bethany will be an issue for Stoller as PSU estimates of growth are much lower. In any case, growth plateaus in 2025 and total capacity of Stoller should be used to judge scenarios since portables are already in place and BSD would be remiss to move them during a growth period. *** All of this leads me to the solution of busing the new developments of Sato (and Springville) - areas that have not yet been built - to other schools such as Five Oaks or Meadow Park in order to mitigate the growth at Stoller. This allows Springville to remain and prevents a cascade of overcrowding in the south by trying to force them into Five Oaks. It keeps existing communities intact and does not affect any current families. New families can be alerted when buying a house that they are zoned for Stoller but will be temporarily bused to other middle schools until Stoller has capacity for them. This way, no further boundary adjustments will need to be made when Stoller does stabilize. This also allows the Springville area on 185th (low income apartments) to remain at Stoller, as well as the Pirate Park area, as these two areas are the only student poverty areas currently considered to remain in Stoller. It may also allow space for walkable students at Findley to remain in Stoller, maximizing walkability (Pirate Park is walkable as well). If this seems like an overreaching proposal, I would like to remind the district that it was done for Findley in the early 2000s. If committee members or the district are concerned about Stoller going over permanent capacity by 14% (about 150 students) in 2025 by the inclusion of Springville and Sato together, then it is a viable option to consider.</p>
------------	--------------	----------------------	-----------	--	--	--

01/17/2020	April Powers	POWERS.VMD@GMAIL.COM	Sato Elem			<p>PIRATE PARK AREA OF SATO --- I want to focus on the southern area of Sato, also called the Pirate Park area. This area was split from Springville 3 years ago and current 5th graders at Sato had to switch schools in 3rd grade. If the southern Sato area is moved out of Stoller in 2021, these students will have to switch schools in 3rd grade, 6th grade, 7th grade, and 9th grade - all while living in the same house in an older neighborhood. This area would also be split from their community a 3rd time in less than 15 years. We use to be a part of Jacob Wismer, but we were separated from our community and moved to Springville in 2008. We created a great school at Springville and then, when it overcrowded, we were yet again separated from our rebuilt community and sent to Sato in 2017. So we built another school from scratch and created a great community at Sato. To propose now that our community should be split AGAIN as they enter middle school is not only cruel but also completely unnecessary. There are viable options that keep all of Sato at Stoller together. This southern area of Sato not only deserves to remain with the COMMUNITIES that they have helped create but it is also walkable and can bring much needed diversity to Stoller. It represents a large lower income area for Stoller and helps to balance demographics while not affecting transportation. Data shows that all of Springville, Sato, and Jacob Wismer can remain at Stoller while meeting both objectives as long as SUMMA students are moved to Timberland (or elsewhere). Neighborhood students need to be the priority in this adjustment and options programs should be moved to where space remains. Separating the Pirate Park area from their walkable community middle school is unreasonable and should not be a consideration since several alternatives exist. It is time for other areas to share the burden so that our families can start to rebuild trust in the district. If 14% over permanent capacity in Stoller in 2025 - about 150 students - is such an issue, please consider taking a page from the Findley playbook and capping enrollment at Stoller. Temporarily bus students from areas that have not yet been built in Sato and Springville to Five Oaks or Meadow Park to balance the growth, keep current communities intact, and avoid impacts on current families. Basically, treat them as options students for now and, when Stoller stabilizes, they can return to their neighborhood school without another boundary adjustment process. This might even allow space in Stoller for the walkable areas of Findley to remain, thus maximizing walkability.</p>
01/17/2020	Mrs. Reddy	rhometar@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>To Whom It May Concern: We have lived in the Oakridge Estate neighborhood for 20 years. Both our kids had the pleasure of attending Stoller Middle School. It is convenient for families to have a neighborhood school within walking distance. As a part of the surrounding neighborhood to Stoller it gives us great pride to be involved with Stoller by volunteering with the many different events at the school i.e. Annual Stoller Run/Trot. Our children enjoyed a sense of community and comradary in making friends while walking to and from school. We request to keep this sense of community and comradary making Oakridge Estates neighborhood to remain in Stoller Middle School boundary. It would be really a great loss and a shame to change this which has worked beautifully for years and really makes sense for kids who live within neighboring locations to remain within the boundary of the neighborhood school. This give the kids and adults a sense of pride and neighboring ownership in their school and many of the programs run by the school as well.</p>
01/17/2020	Min Pan-Lee	minni_pan@hotmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Hi everyone, I was at the 16th meeting. I couldn't and still can't believe that the exsit of the test map 3. One committee member kept mentioning walking distance. I totally understand how important that walkable area to the kids and reducing of the transportation costs. However, map 3 clearly shows that Findley kids has priority over other kids. If not, why are the Aloha-huber kids sending 5 miles away from their back yard middle school on the same map? Steven keeps saying that all kids are the same and the committee members are there for all the kids. I just wonder where the equity is on map 3! How could map 3 be put up there?! One more thing I want to clear is that proximity is not equal walkable! Unfortunately, The closest middle school to Springville is Stoller which is 2.6 miles. Fortunately, Findley is closer to all middle schools than Springville, not only to Stoller, but also to Five oaks 4miles, Meadows parks 3 miles and Timberland 2miles. Does it mean Findley kids have priorities to go to any of those schools? For 80 kids to walk to school, 400+ kids have to take buses for 5 miles. Can anyone help with the math here?</p>

01/17/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	Vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Thank you Mr. Spark for a moderating a very productive meeting and thank you BSD technical team or fixing the audio & video live stream issues from the Jan 9th meeting.Thank you Committee members for presenting your recommendation, voicing your opinions and for debating passionately on several issues.We hope to continue seeing similar deliberations in future sessions !
01/17/2020	Mitalii China	mitali.china@gmail.com	Findley Elem				We live in Oak Ridge Estate, right across from Stoller MS. My daughter attends Findley elm. All of Oak Ridge Estate middle schoolers walk to Stoller. It would be really unfortunate for the neighborhood kids to commute all the way to Timberline. This increases daily commute time from 5 min walking to 45 min commute by bus. Oakridge kids would be affected the most with this move to Timberline. I strongly recommend BSD to consider walk-ability first. Saw some comments about keeping feeding pattern and Findley together for kids' emotional reasons. This is not quite true. Kids get a new set of classmates and a new teacher in every school year. I don't understand why breaking Findley would affect kids emotionally. Rather it enriches kids when they get new friends/teachers. Again, I strongly recommend BSD to prioritize walk ability over anything.
01/17/2020	Lindsey Snodgrass	lsnodgras@gmail.com	Nancy Ryles Elem	Conestoga MS	Mountainside		Steve, thank you for coming to our NRCO meeting last week, explaining the process and allowing conversation about the topic. I feel fortunate that we have such amazing schools around us and that I feel excited about both of the middle schools that we could end up at. My children also have friends that would go to both schools, since our elementary schools splits. If I could choose, I would love to have our whole school go to one middle school so they didn't have to face saying goodbye to good friends while also navigating a new school and other challenges. I have a 4th grader and 2nd grader and they both feel worried about separating from some of their best friends. I also worry they will become even closer with friends in junior high and be separated again for high school. We can't control everything and just hope to support our kids in whatever situation they find themselves in. But my wish is that the thing that holds the most weight in your decisions is about what is best for the kids and less about what pockets of neighborhoods create the best mix of economic statuses in a school. Not because that's not important, because that should be the case for every school, but it shouldn't be the reason to split schools apart, in my opinion. We live in Murrayhill, in Heron Circle, and we are one of the small portions that would go to Connestoga, which causes me to wonder why. But with that said, I know it will work out and we appreciate the many opinions and decisions you have to consider. So thank you!
01/17/2020	Vinod Kulkarni	vkpkulkarni@gmail.com		Stoller MS			Dear Committee members,Thank you for debating Walkability. It is not something that is available to all communities. Even though it is a desirable, it should not be a primary criteria until all communities are afforded walkability. Children in bused communities already pay a "tax" in commute time (walking to the bus stop, waiting for the bus rain/shine/cold, commuting to school) so when there are recommendations such as "just divert the SPV bus to Five oaks instead of Stoller" it signals complete apathy by some haughty parents. The Stoller committee member showed so much concern about transporting 300 summa kids to Timberland, some from walkable areas, but where did that concern disappear for the 500+ SPV kids that she plans to send on a 10.2 miles and 1.5 hours daily round trip commute ? I urge the committee to completely disregard Theme 3 - it is an unfair and an irresponsible theme.

01/17/2020	Ram Ramakrishnan	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>The boundary adjustment process has been ongoing for a few months and I was surprised to hear for the first time things like the budget for transportation/teaching is a zero sum game on the Jan 16th meeting. Based on discussions in prior weeks proposals were being made like we had an endless pot of gold which prompted me to highlight that our tax payer dollars be utilized prudently. Why is BSD releasing key pieces of information (budgets and allocations to transportation/teachers/facilities, bus route maps, %walking by school, student population by neighborhoods, etc, #students needed to keep various programs at school, feeder requirements, etc) in bits and pieces and wasting time/energy for the public. I hope BSD realizes that we are all taking time outside of work/family priorities to participate in the process. You need to consider and provide key pieces of information and constraints that are fundamental and impact the process at the outset vs. release on demand to ensure a richer/healthier data driven discussion and outcome.</p>
01/18/2020	Anne Dantzig	Dantzighome2@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	<p>Hi! We are residents of Bauer Woods Estates and have lived here 4 years. Our kids live close enough to walk to Findley or Bonny Slope Elementary Schools but take a bus to Terra Linda ES. This makes no sense economically for fuel costs, effect on the environment or on student's time. Now there is a proposal to bus them further away to Meadow Park MS (Maps 8 & 9). The students need to stay closer to home and located with other neighborhood kids at Timberland MS. Map 10 is the best option of those proposed.</p>
01/18/2020	BRIAN NAUERT	NAUERTJB1@COMCAST.NET	Oak Hills Elem			<p>About five or so years ago when all the realignment processes started it was stated on the district website that one of the primary goals was to establish clean feeder groups. I went to an early meeting, I think it was during the highschool realignment, and inquired why middle school attendance wasn't being considered to establish clean feeder groups. At that time all I heard was there were too many unknowns to consider. The lack of leadership, foresight and planning by the district is clearly evident in the kerfuffle created by this process. Are we really going to continue this process with a lack of foresight and vision that got us into this mess? The least the district could do at this point is to establish clean MS to HS feeders. There is an overwhelming amount of data outlining the academic challenges of the MS to HS transition. One of the biggest factors affecting academic and social emotional outcomes during this transition is connections and relationships. Relationships between peers, teachers, larger community/extracurriculars. Many of the other factors being considered when making these maps are factors of convenience with scant data to support their role in positive student outcomes. For a district that prides itself on data driven decisions the choice to not base your decisions on data tied to student outcomes baffles me.</p>

01/18/2020	Lawrence Jiang	lawrencejiang2000@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>To say that I am shocked by the final decision of yesterday's committee meeting would be an understatement. It almost felt like some of the committee members had called into some other meeting; not the district committee meeting. Why else would they COMPLETELY ignore valid and reasonable points that were brought up during the meeting as they chose the two maps to continue to the next meeting? Several of the middle school speakers brought up that walkability is not a luxury. The district's transportation administrator, Mr. Craig Beaver clearly informed the committee that transportation costs are not to be taken lightly and gave us actual numbers behind these costs – 30% of the transportation costs equals \$18M. That is mind blowing! The Deputy Superintendent of Operations and Support Services, Dr. Carl Mead made a compelling case about transportation costs as well and how it all comes from the same pot of money to fund all other needs; including teachers and staff. It has not even been a year since the district and community went through so much turmoil due to the \$35M deficit in budget! This deficit meant [?] bigger class sizes and the loss of 300 staff, including more than 200 teachers. Other cuts include professional development resources and support for students learning English. – a post from opb.org April 24, 2019. Have we already forgotten how real, agonizing and ridiculous that was for everyone involved including all of our children? The final decision made by the committee yesterday to continue with maps 8 and 9 tells me that they prioritized unnecessary transportation costs over our teachers and staff. They also prioritized unnecessary disruption of all SUMMA children at Stoller (47% of who live within walking distance from Stoller) over a stable non-disruptive plan for the children. That is unacceptable. Having to provide transportation for children across the district that fall within walking zones and taking on unnecessary costs will only lead to two outcomes: 1) Teachers / Staff losing their jobs and our children losing key programs AND / OR 2) Everyone in the school district having to pay additional tax dollars to pay for a bad decision. These are both outrageous outcomes and should not be entertained.</p>
01/18/2020	Baotong Xie	Xiecchmc@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>Thank you all the BSD staff working so hard to provide these many test maps. We strongly suggest the northern solution on the map 3 can be combined with the southern solution of the map 8. On the original map 8, there will be about 300 students (more than 100 in the Findley and more than 100 of Summa in Jacob Wismer) who can actually walk to the stoller have to take buses to the Timberland. This will significantly increase the district cost and us not fair to these students. Walkability should be encouraged, and the whole Findley staying in Stoller is the only sustainable, reasonable and long term solution for the overcrowded Stoller. All the committee members, please note that SPV staying in Stoller will never help to solve the overcrowded situation: based on the legacy rule, in 2021 and 2022, the 7th and 8th grades still have students from all the current boundary. After that, the developing north Bethany will make the school overcrowded again. All the committee members, the whole Findley and Summa program staying in Stoller is an easy and best solution. We don't want to see that after a few year, people would talk about that this committee make a bad decision.</p>

01/18/2020	Carmen	cgsteen@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	I was unable to attend the last meeting, but have a few comments based on both seeing the proposed maps, as well as reading some of the comments. 1. I find it difficult to believe that \$61 million was spent on building a brand new middle school without the district projecting exactly which elementary schools would be feeding into said middle school, now leaving it in the hands of people who are not experts in these matters. 2. Considering the upheaval and outright animosity this has created amongst neighborhoods, I would think that, since the REASON for all of this is to relieve Stoller of overcrowding, NONE of the other middle schools should be left with carrying the brunt of being overcrowded themselves as a result. I see all of the maps have several middle schools ending up with a >100% populations (overcrowding) which seems inequitable. 3. Is there really no software program that can be used to resolve this issue by (neutrally) plugging in the numbers from elementary schools and yielding a solution as to, based on a combination of populations and geography, resolving the issue of which school should go where? 4. I see many, many, MANY comments that, while bringing up the "walkability factor" ("we can literally walk across the street to our middle school but would have to be bused 4 miles if we were moved to the brand new \$61 million school") seemingly have no problem with having any and all other schools be bused away twice as far (to a much less desirable school). While geographic distance ought to be a factor in the decision, it CANNOT and SHOULD NOT be the sole measure of who goes where, if the result would be that, catering to this ONE school's needs, a domino effect of multiple other schools would have to be switched around, many of whom may ALSO be in a situation where they can currently walk to THEIR currently assigned middle school. For the sake of all of our children, let's not be short-sighted and selfish. We are offered an opportunity to get together as a community, and show our kids that by working together, we stand a better chance at solving a problem.
01/18/2020	Loretta Ramm	Rett71@yahoo.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset	Dear BSD, Please, please, please put Oak Hills elementary school students with a middle school group that will all (or at least half) go to Sunset High School. The Oak Hills (proper) neighborhood is so small and is slated to go to Sunset from Meadow and the transition to HS from MS currently has very few students that will stay together. Please, keep more of them together!! Please consider map 8 for Oak Hills!!
01/18/2020	Karen Gadawski	karengadawski@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	We're a Terra Linda family that is walking distance to Timberland middle school. If maps 8 and 9 are the proposed options, it would send my kids to Meadow Park. This news is incredibly disappointing and wanted to voice my concern about not including Terra Linda in the Timberland boundary. We've been looking forward for years for my youngest child to get to go to Timberland. It's only a 1/2 mile from my house!
1/18/20	Michael Achterman	machterman@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			I was disappointed to hear that most of the original maps that had Terra Linda students going to Timberland MS were scrapped after the 1/16 meeting. The majority of the maps (excluding 8 and 9, which have TL students going to Meadow Park) I believe were much better suited to families on the North side of Hwy 26. Drop off and pickup would be much more conducive for TL and Bauer Woods families if kids went to Timberland (even bus routes)! I strongly encourage the boundary committee to reconsider and will be encouraging fellow families in Terra Linda to join me in voicing concern. Thank you.
01/18/2020	RAFAEL FIGUEIRA	rafaelfigueira@hotmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			As a Terralinda ES family, it would be much closer and easier for us to stay with the Timberland MS. It's on the same side of the freeway, barely 1 mile away, and bikable.
01/18/2020	Gauri Trivedi	Gauritri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Hello Mr. Sparks & Committee, Thank you for finalizing the data based and logical maps number 8 & 9. While it was good to hear about transportation costs and its impact, the information felt incomplete without taking into consideration some costs that will be reduced or offset owing to discontinuation of K-8 middle school programs at 3 locations. Looking at walkability is good for calculating transportation costs, but I believe that should be district wide and not only surrounding one middle school. This redistribution of boundaries may take away some of the walkability of Stoller to maintain equity and diversity, but it will create walkability at places near other middle schools, there will surely be a trade off. I hope the offsets will be kept in mind while making any decision about transportation costs vs. deemed walkability.

01/18/2020	Marla Hay	marlaannleahy@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				<p>First of all - thank you so much for your efforts in this committee. Dividing up school boundaries is a massive undertaking, super difficult, and relatively thankless so - Thank you!! I wasn't able to attend the meeting on the 16th, but listened to the recording and understand the votes have moved maps 8 and 9 forward. We live in the the Terra Linda boundary and our neighborhood is split between Findley, Bonny Slope, and Terra Linda. Our kid's friends in the neighborhood, and their cousins in an adjacent neighborhood, mostly attend either Findley or Bonny Slope. Although it's not ideal to have this neighborhood split into 3 elementary schools, they are very much looking forward to rejoining their friends when they all attend Timberland (which we presumed Terra Linda would attend). We were very surprised to see that even though 22 of the original 24 maps featured Terra Linda in the boundary for the Timberland, somehow during the last meeting, two maps aligning Terra Linda to Mountain Park were chosen for further discussion on the 30th. It would tear apart our community to move the Terra Linda students into a separate middle school from their friends and family. And the map as written wouldn't just tear apart our neighborhood, but other Terra Linda neighborhoods that sit between Bonny Slope and Cedar Mill. In addition to pulling our kids out of their neighborhood community, we'd be moving them to a school that is further from us that multiple alternative middle schools. Both Stoller and Timberland are closer to us than Mountain Park. In fact, we have to drive by houses that would attend those middle schools just to get to Mountain Park, which makes the boundaries chosen even more surprising. It also completely rules out the possibility of riding bikes to school, since they would need cross highway 26. There are frequent projects in school as well, and if map 9 in particular is selected, our kids could potentially have to drive far into Aloha in order to collaborate with other students in their classes. Finally, there are some socioeconomic issues with both maps selected. In both 8 and 9, Findley, Bonny Slope, and Cedar Mill are isolated into a single middle school. These are the highest economic and academically ranked schools in the district (with >5%, 10%, and 13% reduced lunch, respectively). This is at odds with the goal of redistricting to create equality and diversity within each boundary. It also creates the appearance that those with time and means to attend the committee meetings unduly influenced the map at the cost of those without the ability to present their case in person, especially since it differs so significantly from the vast majority of the original recommendations. To alleviate these issues, please consider altering the maps under discussion to move Terra Linda into Timberland. Terra Linda is 38% reduced lunch, which would introduce more economic diversity into the Timberland boundary. It would also allow the communities split between Terra Linda, Findley, and Bonny Slope to stay united within a single middle school.Thanks very much!Marla Hay</p>
01/18/2020	Sandeep Kumar	sandysrivastava@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		<p>I am a Springville Parent.Like many other communities we do have Walkable Elementary School. So Yes, I understand Walkability is important. We also understand for all practical reasons in Oregon Weather (the best season to Walk is Summer and then Schools are closed in summer) except for 2-3 months, how difficult it is to Walk for Kids.Also, while many other communities have Walkable Middle School, Springville community has none. The nearest Middle School is Stoller which is 2-3 Miles, and next nearest will be Five Oaks which is 4-5.5 Miles which will be maximum for any Regular Middle School Program Kids if Springville has to go to Five Oaks. (Just for Perspective, please check the Maximum distance any BSD Kid has to commute for his/her regular Middle School. I think it is around 3 miles for Bethany Elementary Kid going to Meadow Park)So we also understand how will it impact our 500 Kids if they don't get to Stoller? The commute will be much longer and eventually it will create adverse impact on them. This is one reason we aren't in favor of moving out of Stoller as our Middle School options are limited. We just wish the Location of New Middle School would've been more friendly for North West part of BSD district.So as a Springville parent, I am not against Walkability but just saying don't prioritize Walkability over other important factors:1. Longer Commute for 700 (Springville + Aloha-Huber) others Kids as shown in Map#32. Feeder Patterns3. Student Body Composition 4. Option Programs5. Equity of CommuteI am really thankful to the Committee Members for discussing all the factors and putting them in perspective before making a decision. The decision to shortlist Map#8 and #9 with such support from Members is result of their thought process.</p>
01/18/2020	Arica Mathers	aricamathers@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				<p>Terra Linda students should be sent to the new middle school. It is less than 1 mile away and my kids would be able to walk or ride their bikes without having to cross a freeway.Sending them to Meadow Park or Cedar Park when there is a much closer option is ridiculous. Busses will have to be provided which is an extra cost the district obviously doesn't need.It's also ridiculous that Findlay students who live within blocks of Stoller would be sent to the new middle school. That will obviously cost a lot for transportation. But again, Terra Linda students are way closer and could walk.</p>
01/18/2020	Arica Mathers	aricamathers@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				<p>Maps 10 and 11 make the most sense</p>

01/18/2020	Ram Ramakrishnan	rramak@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>On Jan 16th many committee members picked maps 8, 9. The primary objectives were to create a boundary for Timberland and ease overcrowding at Stoller while considering policy factors which includes student body composition, space/utilization, feeder patterns, proximity & accessibility. Aspiring to fix capacity in 2021 is short sighted and kicking the can down the road. Both maps 8,9 show Stoller getting to 108% and 114% - well over permanent capacity - in the 2025 time frame which has been touted as being conservative to begin with. Real numbers may end up being much much higher. We should be looking longer term so we are not back discussing this in 5 yrs due to overcrowding at Stoller. Having two fast growing communities in the north just do not allow the numbers to add up. Is this what you call long range planning?!Second, neither of these options help with the feeding pattern to high school. Multiple people have provided powerful testimony that middle school is where stong friendships are made and how disruptive not having familiar faces in the classroom can be in high school. Both these options will reduce Stoller population feeding to sunset to a very small percentage. Jacob Wismer kids will suffer from these actions.Walkability matters and YES a large number of kids in the Stoller neighborhood do walk rain or shine. Moving the entire SUMMA and Findley population out of here and having them bused to Timberland when a large portion of SUMMA and Findley kids live right near Stoller defeats all logic. Lastly it all comes down to who pays the bill. We heard the transportation expert talk about the need for more buses and more importantly the lack of enough drivers TODAY, let alone for the new buses. Busing kids takes Dollars away from the teachers and programs that will enrich and grow our children. Do we really want to trade off teachers and programs for more buses. I have been a staunch supporter voting for our schools and programs in the past. A fiscally irresponsible outcome that raises costs when not needed will make me (and many others) reconsider supporting future measures.Please do the right thing for our schools and children.</p>
01/18/2020	Jagan S	jagans99@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>The two options being reviewed currently don't look a lot different. By moving the Summa kids to Timberland, it does not make sense that these kids will be bussed all the way. Currently these kids are all in walkable distance to Stoller. This does not seem like the most efficient use of the transportation budget.</p>
01/18/2020	Hansini Prasad	Hansinip@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>It appears that both of the proposed map options appear to be very similar. And both seem to propose moving the Summa program from Stoller to Timberland and this will increase the transportation costs. When Stoller is with walkable distance for most Jacob Wismer students, why are we putting them on a bus to Timberland. The two map proposals will unnecessary increase the transportation costs. Keeping all of Jacob Wismer and the Summa program in Stoller seems to be the most practical and cost efficient for BSD and uses tax dollars for education purposes rather than unnecessary transportation costs.</p>

01/19/2020	Priya Telang	priyatelang@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>The outcome of the Advisory Committee meeting on Jan 16th was disturbing on so many levels. A goal of the meeting was to ease the overcrowding at Stoller. After hearing repeatedly from the public that two growing giants called SPV and Sato cannot be housed together and inspite of knowing this to be a fact, not only did 14 out of the 16 committee members voted to opt for maps that included the presence of both these schools in Stoller, but they did this at the expense of the kids who otherwise could have WALKED to Stoller. Yes, I am talking about the well-established SUMMA program that includes kids from both JW and Findley Elem. WALKABILITY does matter and YES, a large number of kids living in and around the Stoller neighborhood do walk to school come rain or shine. In this day, it is appalling that a school district in the green State of Oregon is even considering busing students away from a school they could walk to. While BSD teachers are working hard to instill the importance of climate change, the District is considering putting our kids on fuel burning buses. BSD[?]'s website boasts of programs like First Steps [https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/transportation/safe-routes-to-school/first-steps], Walking School Bus and Walk+Roll to School Days but what message are they passing to their students? That climate change is all talk?? At this meeting, we heard the Asst. Superintendent of Operations and Support Services Dr. Mead inform the Committee, that funding transit for BSD's students comes out of the same bucket that supports teachers, dual language and other special programs etc. If the decision to put walking-able students on a bus is made, BSD will be committed to reduce funds spent on instructional resources to enhance areas like transportation.</p>
01/19/2020	Shobana Aravind	contact2sg@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>We are on NW 146th pl which is on laidlaw. We are in the ARBOR ESTATES community which along with oak ridge are the only TWO communities in front of Stoller middle school (@ WALKING DISTANCE) south of laidlaw. Drawing the boundary for stoller middle school north of laidlaw is a significant disadvantage for us as our kid will be moved to a middle school that is significantly further than Stoller. IT will also increase transportation cost as students in walking zone need to be bussed. We REQUEST that we continue our kids to Stoller and we are fine with switching elementary to Jacob Wismer.Hoping for a favorable decision for our community. Thanks. Shobana Aravind</p>
01/19/2020	Aishwarya Sreenivasan	aishsreeni@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>Dear BSD and Committee.I am still trying to figure out how the Jan 16th comittee voting and choice of maps to proceed can be so illogical.The main aim of the whole exercise is to reduce Stoller over crowding. I now believe throwing to heavily growing communities at Stoller will eventually make it swell. BSD hasn't resolved this. Who cares if Stoller is overcrowded or kids suffer? I feel BSD is totally indifferent with this issue.Please make sure you cap Stoller, so newer developments in Springville and SATO areas are not allowed into Stoller and get a different option. Stoller communities want our Summa back. With growing population we need one more Summa at Stoller along with one in Timberland. Taking away this well established Summa from Stoller walkable to most Summa kids from JW, Sato and Findley isn't a right move. We request BSD to reconsider the same. Thanks so muchAish</p>
01/19/2020	Kelly Morris	kmorrisfam5@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	<p>I currently have a child about to enter Kindergarten next year at Terra Linda, one child at Cedar Park, and my oldest is currently a sophomore at Sunset. We live in the Terra Linda neighborhood which is a close nit community that is intentional to look out and support all of the children in our neighborhood. My older boys were blessed with the ability to walk to Terra Linda and now Sunset. My middle son is currently bussed to Cedar Park. We were under the impression that our daughter would flow into Timberland as it is also within walking distance to our home and the Terra Linda community. The previous maps showed Terra Linda flowing into Timberland and then Sunset. It makes no sense to send the Terra Linda community to Meadowpark where children would be unable to walk due to the freeway and busy roads that would make it unsafe for children when the majority of the maps showed Terra Linda going to Timberland where the children would have the ability to walk. I ask that you please reconsider moving ahead with maps 8 and 9. It doesn't make sense when Timberland is literally next door to our neighborhood community.Sincerely,Kelly Morris</p>

01/19/2020	Tanya Abou-Elmajd	ctanya20@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS			Please keep Jacob Wismer Elementary feeding to Stoller Middle School. I have a 5th grade child that is looking forward to going to Stoller Middle School in the fall. I also have an 8th grader currently at Stoller Middle School. The proximity of JW to Stoller makes it great to walk to school, as many JW students do. The weather does not stop us from walking. Being able to walk to school reduces bus costs and traffic. It does not make sense for JW kids to have to go to a farther middle school when there is one closer to them. The money spent on bussing these kids can be spent on other district needs. Please keep JW at Stoller!
01/19/2020	Leann Atkinson	leannatkinson10@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				Thanks very much to the Advisory Committee tasked with sorting out a very complicated middle school boundary adjustment. We appreciate your work. Terra Linda is an integral part of the Cedar Mill Community and the Timberland Town Center is our local community; we're often forgotten because we're a small community with fewer than 400 elementary students tucked away behind Cornell. I was surprised that this far into the boundary adjustment process the placement of Terra Linda students has suddenly changed so significantly. I've been following the process and was surprised by the last set of maps posted after the January 16th meeting because, for the first time, our community was significantly split apart. Of particular concern is the map entitled "MS Boundaries: Committee Test 9".The 26 is a natural geographic boundary based on how we live within our community, the safety of crossing a major highway (26), as well as transportation times. There are crosswalks, sidewalks, and bike lanes allowing families living in the Terra Linda community easy access to Timberland. More importantly, Map 9 takes Terra Linda, the most economically disadvantaged elementary school north of the 26 and pulls it into what will be a very economically disadvantaged middle school at Meadow Park, south of the 26. In Map 9, Meadow Park will hold students from Barnes (71% economically disadvantaged, 4th highest in the district), Beaver Acres Elementary (54% economically disadvantaged) and Terra Linda (37% economically disadvantaged). This doesn't take into account the Cedar Grove apartment project being built at the corner of NW Cornell and Murray which, when finished next year, will house 44 low-income units. In the situation presented in map 9, Timberland will become the middle school for Findley (6% economically disadvantaged), Bonny Slope (12% economically disadvantaged) and Cedar Mill (13% economically disadvantaged). The economic segregation seems unnecessarily unfair not only to our community, but also to the District as a whole. This doesn't seem in-line with the District and Committee goals outlined at the beginning of this process. As the Committee receives the data that accompanies Map 7/8 and Map 9, please consider what the economic cost will be to our District to have a middle school with such a huge number of economically disadvantaged students. Yes, obviously we need to pay attention to transportation costs, but will costs associated with educating a middle school with a huge population of economically disadvantaged students be more?Other maps that show Terra Linda, Bonny Slope, and Cedar Mill elementaries attending Timberland Middle School or Cedar Park make more sense as that's our community; our kids all play Milltown soccer, Cedar Mill Little League, and basketball at the Y together. It also makes geographic sense, sense for feeder school patterns, as well as allowing for a more diverse student body. The students in the economically advantaged schools north of 26 have clear feeder patterns and will be afforded the privilege of continuing their high school education with friends from elementary and middle school. There are demonstrable advantages to students continuing their education with a stable cohort. In Map 9, students at Meadow Park would feed into 3 high schools: Sunset, Beaverton, and Aloha. The Terra Linda community is geographically very far from the Beaver Acres community. The additional travel for families trying to get students back and forth from the Aloha/Beaverton area to Terra Linda for activities and school projects places additional hardships on families who may be least able to afford them.While our community has always embraced its diversity, and worked to help students in need, it seems Map 9 creates unfair and unnecessary challenges within the District, specific to Terra Linda, very late in the process. There are other options that don't economically and racially segregate students so harshly. The Terra Linda school population is similar in number to that of the Summa population at Timberland, perhaps the solution is there!Please consider keeping Terra Linda middle schoolers part of their long standing community!
01/19/2020	Michelle Bisgard	mich_bisgard@hotmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Students who are within walking distance to a school should attend that middle school regardless of assigned elementary school. For example, several Findley neighborhoods are literally across the street (some of the homes can see Stoller from their homes) from Stoller Middle School, but will be possibly be bussed to a different middle school. It seems unnecessary to add bus routes for students/neighborhoods that currently walk, and not a good use of district funds to bus students that aren't currently bussed.
01/19/2020	Marla Hay	marlaannleahy@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem				Hello - Marla again. Just realized I called Meadow Park, "Mountain" Park, for some reason in my previous comment and was sufficiently embarrassed that I wanted to correct it! I also wanted to add that moving Terra Linda into Timberland means that all the schools in Timberland will move to into Sunset together, rather than Terra Linda attending a middle school that is split for high school. I attended a jr high that split for high school, and it's a bummer. We can alleviate that for these students (without making things worse for any other school division, which seems to be the case, if we just pull Terra Linda into Timberland) that would be a huge benefit for this tiny school.Thank you again for reading and considering all of these comments!!

01/19/2020	Madhu Rangarajan	mrangar@yahoo.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	ISB	REGARDING WALKABILITY AND TRANSPORTATION COSTS:Walkability and transportation are great to take into account, but it must be in the context of the bigger picture.1. BSD has approximately 300 buses and transports 28000 students everyday according to the transportation website.2. The total amount from the BSD funds quoted was \$18 million for this.3. Using those numbers, the cost of bussing an extra 300 kids would be in the range of \$100k assuming absolutely no reduction from Timberland and Aloha Huber walkability, no reuse of high school buses, not taking into account increased miles in the other maps, and no state funding for this increase.4. That adds up to a whopping .001% of BSDs overall budget in the absolute worst case. The objective here is to fill a \$60+ million school that was unfortunately built in the wrong place. Paying undue attention to this aspect based on an absolute worst case cost of 100k seems like a non optimal use of time. I would urge the committee to devote their resources to the primary objectives and the feeder patterns. I would also urge the committee to consider if teachers may perhaps prefer kids who are more well rested, not subjected to unreasonable commutes, and are part of more rational feeder pattern into high school.
01/19/2020	Sherman Sims	shermansims@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem				I understand demographic growth may cause us to be aligned to a school further than the closest school, I accept that. But that is usually a case where the closest is to the East and the 2nd closest to the West for example. I don't understand literally driving past one school go to another, as some of the maps would have Bonny Slope (BSE) students do to go to Cedar Park. In addition, it doesn't make sense as BSE eventually aligns to Sunset and not Beaverton HS, as the rest of Cedar Park does.
01/19/2020	Preeti John	johnpreeti81@gmail.com	Bethany Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview		Map 8 and 9 are I think great choices to work on . The few things I feel is fair in the map are 1. The schools mapped to each middle school is geographically well placed . 2. No school has unfair commute time at the expense of others 3. Findley will have not a bad commute at all compared to the horrible commute that Springville kids will have if moved to Five Oaks . 4. SUMMA should move out of Stoller because it makes no sense to have neighboring schools have to commute so much just to keep SUMMA kids in Stoller . SUMMA is an option . For most kids , they have to go to their Home school so lets keep that accessible . 5. I agree that it would be a change for Walkable FIndley Kids but what more could they ask for , a brand new school and kids from FIndley to High School will be together . Excellent feeder pattern . 6. Jacob Wismer seems to be dissapointed with no SUMMA at Stoller but it is an option school and Jacob Wismer to Timberland for SUMMA kids is not that bad at all . The last meeting was so well organised and moderated .
01/19/2020	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				It makes no sense at all for the committee to recommend having two of the top growing community schools - Springville & Sato - both feed into Stoller along with Jacob Wismer. The issue of overcrowding will NEVER be addressed and this process will have to be done again in just a few short years. I urge you to please take walkability back into the equation. It is NOT a luxury, it is a cost saving and common sense use of tax payer dollars. Findley is a community with stable to declining growth. Students currently attending Findley who can walk to Stoller should NOT be bused to Timberland. With Findley not being a growing community, it also stabilizes growth to Stoller and addresses future overcrowding issues. Dividing elementary schools to feed into different middle schools is a common sense reality. By also having walkable Findley students feed into Stoller, it also means that more Stoller students will feed into Sunset HS. With the majority of Jacob Wismer kids feeling to Sunset, it solves the problem of kids having no friends in HS. Having just recently gone through a HS boundary adjustment, why go through it again. It simply makes NO SENSE. If the committee cannot make a recommendation to the board that makes sense and addresses the issues, the board will be making decisions a on their own and will NOT take the hard work of the committee into account. Our kids educations and the livelihood of our amazing teachers is at risk. Please take these vital factors into consideration.

01/19/2020	A G	anshul792k4@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Springville kids assigned to Five Oaks Middle school was a horrible idea to start with . Thankfully the committee chose a very balanced map to start with . In one of the comments last week , we saw a JW parent say that anyone asking Springville to move to FO was not because it was the right thing to do but because there were self satisfying reasons like keeping themselves at Stoller or keeping SUMMA at Stoller AT THE EXPENSE of Springville kids. That is the sad world we live in today!We are definitely not against walkability or we do not want to be unfair to any school . All we are asking is to please be considerate that if Springville goes to Five Oaks , our kids will be on the bus way more than how much any kids travels to their home middle school today that too in horrible 185th traffic. It really takes away some critical homework time , family time and energy from these kids and they will be unfairly disadvantaged . Even in the last meeting , a couple of committee members were ok with the idea of sending Springville to Five Oaks even when one look at the map should tell us that North Bethany is way up north and it helps nobody to make these kids travel unnecessarily half way across the district to the middle school everyday . Even if BSD will have to create a couple of new routes for walkable Findley to Timberland , they definitively will free up some resources from walkable Timberland who does not need busses to go to Cedar Park Anymore . Also with Springville K-8 and other K-8 options schools being phased out , some of the high mile , high cost routes can be eliminated . With how the middle schools are placed , North Bethany has only one option nearby . Sato + JW + Springville does meet the objective in 2021 and is way way under total capacity even in 2025 . Thank You for choosing a balanced map as a starting point . Please do the right thing for the kids . They depend on you .</p>
01/19/2020	Jenessa Taylor	jenessataylor32@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	<p>We just bought our first home in the Terra Linda neighborhood. I grew up nearby and went to both Cedar Mill Elementary, Cedar Park Middle, and Sunset High School. I love this area. I love this community. And I love all the memories I have from my schooling. I made lifelong friends that went to Terra Linda Elementary, and we met at Cedar Park. I cannot imagine staying in this neighborhood if Terra Linda kids will have to go to Meadow Park. I want to send my kids to the schools I went to as I felt supported, loved, and nurtured at those schools. I feel very strongly about not having the Terra Linda neighborhood included in the new Meadow Park boundary.</p>
01/19/2020	Preeju	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Thank you all Committee members for voting to start with balanced maps . Any map that sends Springville all the way from North Bethany to Five Oaks create a lots of commute issues through the busiest roads and also puts Five Oaks over TOTAL CAPACITY in both 2021 and 2025 even after removing Rachel Carson. As such any such maps cannot be taken into consideration. Map 3 for example made Meadow Park feed into 4 middle schools and Timberland into 3 middle schools. Timberland has a great opportunity to be a single straight feeder to Sunset so why complicate kids lives across the district with any map similar to Map 3 where Springville was being sent to Five OaksWalkability is a worthy goal but please not at the expense of the wellbeing of kids having to undertake crazy commute . Please consider equity of commute time for kids. Scarcity of bus drivers might be an issue but is BSD ok to put kids at risk and make them travel way more than any kid in BSD commutes to their home MS? Please also consider that in Portland weather, kids cannot make that 1.5 mile walk to school. They are dropped off by parents. So it is a deemed walkability rather than kids really walking in many casesTimberland walkable area will free up some busses that needs to go to Cedar Park today and with the balanced maps, the kids from Aloha Huber, Bonny Slope, Cedar Mill will have lesser commute than what it is today so more savings on cost. Please do not save on transportation cost at the expense of kids.</p>

01/19/2020	Preeju	preejususan@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Thank You Committee members for listening to all of us. You have a tough task and Thank you for thinking about all kids and even standing up fir kids who have no direct representation at the table. Jacob Wismer is big enough that even if they split feed from middle to high , most of Jacob Wismer kids about 300 kids will go to Sunset. So it is not like Oak hills were only about 25 kids per grade go to Sunset. I believe that Jacob Wismer will have a lot of company from Stoller moving to Sunset and definitely not alone. The Principal's team when asked how schools can be split if at all needed, he clearly mentioned that when splitting bigger schools from middle to high, they still will have lot of students to go with to high School. Whereas it is a different story when only 25 kids from Oakhills move to Sunset from Meadow. It is nice to see that in Map 8, Terra Linda feeds to Meadow Park as such Oakhills will have more company moving into Sunset.
01/19/2020	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			The outcome of the Advisory Committee meeting on Jan 16th was disturbing and irresponsible. A major goal of the meeting was to come up with ways to ease the overcrowding at Stoller. The votes of the committee did NOT act in a manner that would get us anywhere close to achieving that goal. After hearing repeatedly from the public that two growing communities; Springville and Sato, cannot be housed together in the same middle school, and knowing this to be a fact, 14 of the 16 committee members voted to opt for maps where both of these growing schools would feed into Stoller. The committee voted this way at the expense of the kids who otherwise could have WALKED to Stoller. WALKABILITY is NOT a luxury. It is a way for students to get to school without having to incur high costs of transportation via busing. In this day, it is also appalling that a school district in the green State of Oregon is even considering busing students away from a school they could walk to. While BSD teachers are working hard to instill the importance of climate change, the District is considering putting our kids on fuel burning buses. BSD's website boasts of programs like First Steps [https://www.beaverton.k12.or.us/departments/transportation/safe-routes-to-school/first-steps], Walking School Bus and Walk+Roll to School Days but what message are they passing to their students? That climate change is all talk?? That health and wellness doesn't matter? At this meeting, we heard the Asst. Superintendent of Operations and Support Services Dr. Mead inform the Committee, that funding transit for BSD's students comes out of the same budget that supports teachers salaries, dual language and other vital programs etc. If the decision to put walking able students on a bus is made, BSD will be committed to reduce funds spent on instructional resources to enhance areas like transportation. This is simply an irresponsible misuse of district and taxpayer dollars. It would be fiscally irresponsible for the committee to make such reckless recommendations to the board. I urge you to reconsider the maps set forth. They make no common sense and they don't resolve the issues this committee was put in place to help solve.
01/19/2020	quan shi	ninghe97006@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	As a findley school parent, I live in walking distance, across street of stoller in oak ridge neighborhood. I would like to stay in stoller middle school. Taking kids in this neighborhood to Timberland will add a couple of hundreds of walking distance students to be on bus route, increasing district cost and make traffic near stoller worse. I would strongly request school district to add transportation cost to all boundary maps. In addition, would request to add a lowest cost mapping option such as the following: 1. keeping all walking distance students to stoller 2. tranporting springville students to Timberland
01/19/2020	Carolyn Elaine Pasquarella	carolynpasquarella@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	Hello, I understand that boundaries need to be adjusted due to overcrowding. My concern as a parent of two boys with disabilities: Autism/Anxiety/Sensory Processing/ADHD is that we chose our neighborhood based on feedback of the supports and have been quite satisfied. To see that there is a chance that our kids may have to go to Mountain View which is a much lesser rated school is very stressful. Is there going to be an option where kids with special circumstances can stay at the school they were initially assigned to? This would be Cedar Park for my son who is still at Terra Linda? I have worries based on what I've read about Mountain View about the quality of education/ safety/resources, etc. It's very stressful to have chosen a neighborhood based on the schools that have the best resources for you children and then have the change of a lesser school option. Thanks, Carolyn Pasquarella

01/20/2020	Jamie Williams	jamie.stewart.williams@gmail.com	Rock Creek Elem				I revised the mapping I had submitted (Map 9) to address the concerns I heard from the committee and the public. It has been emailed and I hope the revision is accepted in the place of the previous version. Good job to the committee members. All of them. It is a thankless job and any disrespect toward them is not representative of our greater community. The service you are performing is appreciated.
01/20/2020	Greg Gadawski	gregg@financialforensics.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset		I am disheartened that once again it appears that the squeaky wheel is getting greased in this process. We live just west of 119th and presumed that Timberland would be the only logical choice for our grade school child to attend. She is almost within the walking zone of the school. We already went through this ridiculous process when Boney Slope opened and while we lived right down the street from the school, somehow our neighborhood was carved out and sent to Terra Linda. So here we are again in a situation where illogical proposals appear to be those that are moving forward. 1. Children from Findley going to Timberland makes no sense when Stoller is right up the street from them. 2. Now apparently our child will go to Meadow. And Meadow usually feeds into Westview and our kids will go to Sunset HS. (They already split Cedar Park with the last HS boundary adjustment) Please reconsider the madness and put logic back into the process. Commonsense says that the remaining options aren't the right ones. It's hard to have faith in a process that appears to be politically charged or merely an effort to appease those in select neighborhoods.
01/20/2020	Charli Sand	charli.sand2008@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Sunset		I am most concerned about my kids being able to continue onto middle school and then high school (Sunset) with the same group of kids/friends and not being split up. I know this is a challenge to do for all schools in the district and understand it may not be possible. Looking at the maps presented as options it seems to make the most sense to have Oak Hills Elementary students continue to go to either Meadow Park or go to Timberland. I personally like maps 8 and 7 that have Bethany, Oak Hills, and Terra Linda Elementary schools going to Meadow Park. I am not a fan of map 9 where Oak Hills would go to Five Oaks Elementary. Oak Hills Elementary students will be going to Sunset H.S. and it appears all other Elementary schools in this map would not be going to Sunset. Thanks for the opportunity to provide input.

						<p>The BSD website clearly states "The actions of the advisory committee, the Superintendent, and the School Board will be based entirely on the adopted Board Objectives and the factors contained within Board Policy JC." The factors are: -Student body composition; -Current and future availability of space at a school; -Feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school; and -Neighborhood proximity and accessibility. The decision the committee made to proceed with maps 8 and 9 quite clearly DO NOT address or resolve these objectives. Neighborhood proximity was essentially thrown away with the inconsiderate and irresponsible suggestion that walking/biking to school is a "luxury". No, they are in fact financially and environmentally responsible. Clearly people have gone to extremes to take the committee away from thinking and reviewing the facts rationally. It was confirmed that walking/biking are NOT luxuries when our BSD employees reminded us that transportation costs come from the same budget as teacher salaries and student programs. It is NOT financially responsible to add incremental transportation costs at the expense of teachers and student programs when it is simply NOT necessary. As we heard, transportation costs come from the same budget line as teacher salaries and student programs. Are we really going to cut teachers and vital student programming to bus kids who can safely walk/bike? Small incremental costs may be unavoidable but maps 8 and 9 include significant and unnecessary increases in transportation costs. I see no reason the BSD will even consider such irrational recommendations from the committee. Additional facts have also proven that both Springville and Sato have the greatest amount of community growth happening NOW. Increased housing developments are happening with more coming. These schools cannot entirely feed into Stoller MS. It will NOT solve the overcrowding problem, it will only make it worse. This will NOT address the factor of "Current and future availability of space at a school." For Springville families concerned about their property taxes and having their kids attend Five Oaks, perhaps the committee can recommend that the district invest funds into Five Oaks to make it a stronger school. Perhaps funds being suggested for transportation can be invested in our students and staff at some of our struggling schools like Five Oaks. How can a committee make recommendations that negatively affect the rest of the district and don't even resolve the issues they were tasked to resolve? Stoller will only continue to be overcrowded if the two largest and most growing elementary schools feed into it. I urge you to consider other maps like map 3 that have the walkable part of Findley and only part of Springville feed into stoller with Jacob Wismer, a school that completely surround Stoller and keeps transportation costs down. It also addresses the HS feeding patterns to remain strong. Stoller/JW kids can continue to feed into Sunset with Stoller/Findley kids and Stoller/Sato kids along with Stoller/SPV and Stoller/JW can all continue to feed into WVHS. It also has a declining community like Findley along with a growing Sato and part of SPV feed Stoller and keeps the potential for overcrowding at Stoller down. It seems to be the only way this can work. Let's also be reminded that the majority of SUMMA students live in the Findley/JW communities. Would it not make sense to offer SUMMA programs at both Timberline and Stoller? It sure would keep transportation costs in check. Let's start putting our kids first, be more responsible with taxpayer dollars and not cater to personal complaints from individual homeowners. The board will simply not accept recommendations from the committee that make no sense and come with irrational/irresponsible spending that can easily be avoided. I urge you to please take these important facts into account and consider mapping that addresses the reasons the committee was put in place by the board from the start.</p>
01/20/2020	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			
01/20/2020	Thulasi Alapakam	Thulasi.jp@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>Hello Commitee members- With the maps 8 and 9 totally Jacob wismer students are 100% affected. Due to the following reasons 1. SUMMA students have to travel to TM eventhough they live walkable distance of SM2. Non SUMMA students will be impacted with MS-HS feeding. Could you please revise the map to reduce this impact.Thanks</p>

							<p>Dear Committee Members - as a parent of 3 Jacob Wismer students, the maps you've recommended; maps 8 and 9, have a negative impact on 100% of our students. These maps also demonstrate a fiscally irresponsible use of taxpayer dollars and do nothing to resolve the issues of overcrowding in our middle schools, especially Stoller. A few other major concerns are: 1. These maps show all of SPV and all of Sato feeding into Stoller with our JW kids. These are the 2 fastest growing communities within BSD. It is NOT feasible and makes no common sense whatsoever to have the largest and fastest growing communities all in the same building. The overcrowding problem will NEVER go away. *Findley is a declining and mature community. By having part of Findley (walk/bike homes) feed to Stoller with JW and either SPV or Sato or even part of those schools, Stoller has more of a chance to be utilized at or under capacity for years to come. We can also save money by not having to add a ton more buses. Do we want to be going through this process again in 2-3 years? Are we really listening to complaints about people's home values and not considering our teacher salaries, tax payer dollars and vital programs for our students?2. SUMMA students have to travel to TM even though they live walkable/bike able distance to SM*Perhaps you can consider a SUMMA program at both TM and SM, especially since the majority of SUMMA students are from both Jacob Wismer & Findley. Saves costs and makes more people happy. Makes a great deal more sense than moving all staff and students to TM through more buses, bus drivers etc. I urge you to please revise the maps to reduce this impact. Let's make recommendations that the board can use in making decisions that positively impact as many kids/families as possible. Not everyone will be happy. Change is never easy but it is vital to look at the big picture and consider how we are recommending our district spend tax payer dollars. Thanks</p>
01/20/2020	Lori Near	Lori.near@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				<p>Dear Committee, last hearing was a disappointment as the committee seemed to ignore the community input and opinion. Among other issues, moving Summa out of stoller does not make sense. A recommendation should be made to have Summa at Stoller (maybe in addition to a new Summa at TL). Summa strength at Meadow park is 154 and at Whitford it is 125. Having Summa at both Stoller and TL is quite reasonable based on those benchmarks.Has the committee taken into consideration the travel distance for Summa students from SPV, Sato and JWE?</p>
01/20/2020	Mahesh Bhan	mahesh.bhan@intel.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		<p>Hello Board Members, We live in the Oakridge Estates neighborhood across the road from Stoller Middle School. Kids in our neighborhood walk to Stoller. We believe the proposal to move our neighborhood to Timberland is not a well thought through plan. We live within a few meters from Stoller and sending us to a school which is more that 3 miles away does not make sense, especially when we have been already associated with Stoller for multiple decades now. Our neighborhood should not suffer the consequences of growth that has happened elsewhere. We request the board members to continue offering Stoller to be the default middle school for our community</p>

01/20/2020	Currie J Reese	Creese76er@hotmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem				<p>I'm very disappointed that maps 8 & 9 are the ones approved by the majority. Terra Linda, Bonny Slope and Cedar Mill are a strong knit community that have continued on to middle school together for a very long time. Besides their close proximity to one another and Timberland, separating Terra Linda and sending to Meadow Park is detrimental to relationships that have been formed since preschool age in many cases. Safety is another concern. Terra Linda is an active community with many children that live within walking or biking distance of Timberland. No one is going to allow their child to bike or walk from home to Meadow Park along Murray: (The intersections of Cornell/Murray/Hwy 26 are huge bottle necks as it is, adding additional traffic from buses and parent drop off would make traversing this route even more dangerous and time consuming. Map 10 seems to align with feeder pattern into high school and aligns most with existing patterns (with the exception of Findley). It seems to be the least disruptive to existing patterns at the north end of the elementary district. Map 9 should be immediately tossed, it has Terra Linda losing it's sense of community and safety. TL children would be going to school w/ Beaver Acres (who continue on to Aloha) and Barnes (who continue on to Beaverton). This would undue the quilt of the community and give our children a huge lack of continuity into high school as well as take away their independence of getting to school in a more green fashion. Besides all of these points, TL is not a large school and doesn't anticipate much for growth considering it is an older community with defined lines and little to no room for new construction. The impact of displacing all of these kids away from their neighbors and friends from preschool is just awful and has been very upsetting. We've been anticipating this new school for 3 years and there would be plenty of room for us to be included if map 10 (or something very similar) were adopted. It appears that Suma is now being considered at Timberland as well as another option program, Rachel Carson. Our community feels that this whole thing has been a bait and switch, it was stated earlier that these programs were not intended to be moved to Timberland. Many children try to get into these programs and I feel that it adds additional disparity to have them included into the new school. We've been patient but now feel that we're being thrown under the bus. I would like Terra Linda to be included in Timberland or at least not split from Bonny Slope and/or Cedar Mill for the bonds that have been created over their lifetimes. Please reconsider.</p>
01/20/2020	Amy Harrison	amyjoharrison@hotmail.com	Hiteon Elem	Conestoga MS			<p>I would like to see all of Hiteon continue to feed into Conestoga, location wise this makes the most sense. Whatever decision is made with the other middle schools, I would like to see a legacy option offered to the 8th grade students to finish at the middle school they started at. Also, if they have 7th or 6th grade siblings the legacy should be offered to them so that siblings can attend the same school.</p>
01/20/2020	Ashutosh Misra	ashutoshmisra@yahoo.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		<p>Dear Committee Members, I am a JW parent. Please do consider the test map 3. This is the only map that preserves the walkability to Stoller Middle school. A large majority of students from Findley and all of JW students and some of Sato students would be able to walk to school. Also, please make sure SUMMA remains at Stoller. With test map 3 there will be 162 SUMMA students in 2021 and by 2025 that number will be around 149, still higher than the Meadow Park and Whitford MS SUMMA numbers. If all of SUMMA students are moved to Timberland then we are talking about transporting additional 162 students. Test map 3 also divides the Sunset and Westview HS feeds. Walking Findley students and most of JW students will feed to Sunset and a small portion of JW and all of Sato students will feed to Westview thereby preserving friendships developed in Middle school.</p>
01/20/2020	Robert Kwan	robkwan@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	<p>Good Morning, We live in Oakridge Estates and understand that the new boundary being considered may exclude our Neighborhood from Stoller Middle School. One of our reasons for selecting our home was the location to very good schools. We hope the boundary decision will not change that.</p>

01/20/2020	Wei Wei	weiwei40@hotmail.com	Springville K-8				Jan 16th meeting was well ran and each committee member got a change to talk and express their concerns and opinions (in the past some of the meetings it was observed that only very few member get the chance to talk). it was very productive meeting that got us more or less out the mud. I want to thank you all the commit members who are working hard to get a fair solutions for all the BSD kids. I also want to express that:1. Map8 is the most reasonable one. Moving Findley along with Summa to the new Timberland middle school is a great proposal to set the new school for great success. And also leave Stoller with well balance students body composition. In this way, in addition to Stoller MS, there will be another wonderful middle school to for all the kids in North BSD area.2. Proximity is not equal to walkability; and Summa is an optional school. For these reasons, We shouldn't put the priority of the walkability over the average commute of 500 kids at SpringVille; We shouldn't priority the location of optional summa program over the normal middle school for SpringVille kids either. Therefore Map3 should not be considered at all.3. please move forward with the focus on either map8 (or map9) and improve it with minor adjustment. Thanks, - Wei
01/20/2020	Meena	meenakshib@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem				One of the objective is to address overcrowding at Stoller. By choosing map 8 or 9, it shows that we are deferring the problem to 2025 instead of addressing it. Adding two growing communities (SPV and Sato) to Stoller is essentially stressing the school yet again in 2025!! On top of it we are removing an established SUMMA program from the school and adding additional busing costs by ignoring walkability factor. How is this a reasonable solution when it doesn't address the overcrowding problem. How is it fair to knowing put our kids in the same situation few years down the lane? We come back a full circle in 5 years. I urge the committee to reconsider the maps to address the issue at hand instead of postponing the inevitable.
01/20/2020	Rebecca Shell Kanarek	Rebecca.shell@gmail.com	Hiteon Elem				It is lovely, with such a narrow attendance boundary at our Particular elementary school, to know that all of our neighborhood families attend the same schools. It makes blocks feel very familiar, Car pools easy To arrange, and makes for a a very close neighborhood. We[?]d love to preserve that as the boundaries change, whatever schools we end up at. Thank you!
01/20/2020	Gauri Trivedi	Gauritri@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		Mr. Sparks & Committee Members, BSD's choices and actions do not support the pleas made by its transportation department. If BSD really wanted to pick walkability over everything else, the new school-Timberland would have been constructed in the middle of some densely populated neighborhoods in the North instead of where it is right now-in the middle of shopping centers and close to a transit center. It is really confusing for parents to process this contradictory approach by the district of building a brand-new school costing \$61 million dollars and then just when the committee is deliberating on how transportation costs are not even one of the JCs to be considered, there is an emotional plea by the transportation department official (not on the agenda btw) at the 16th January Meeting about how walkability affects transportation costs and committee members should consider the same.I would say, that was something to be considered BEFORE building the school where it is right now. And now that it is already at a location which requires some shuffle and re-routing, adding to the transportation costs should be a given and accounted for. The burden should not be on SOME students to suffer an unreasonably long commute to their middle school to save on transportation for the district. I apologize if there was a logic or some ulterior considerations behind building Timberland in that area that we, as parents are not aware of, in which case I am sure the district has planned for and is prepared to incur all the necessary costs associated for the smooth operation of this middle school without appealing to the parents to choose between teachers and buses

01/20/2020	V. S. Somayazulu	VSSOMAYAZULU@YAHOO.COM	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	In my opinion, any fair adjustment to Stoller MS boundaries should strive to keep Findley ES students feeding into Stoller, given the close proximity of the school to many of the neighborhoods that send students to Findley. Preserving the local community ties to the middle school is very important. For communities that are already busing students to a MS, it would be less of a disruption to bus them to a different MS (just as an example, Rock Creek-to-Stoller could change to Rock Creek-to-Five Oaks, etc.). Eyeing the district map, Stoller MS is so very close to Findley communities - next perhaps only after Jacob Wismer. Please consider the minimization of disruption to the school-community ties while changing the school boundaries. Thank you!
01/20/2020	Laura Harding	Jordanconnorsmom@gmail.com	Hiteon Elem		Southridge	I would like to reiterate the fact that I not only want to keep all of Hiteon's student body within the same middle school, but I think it's incredibly important that no elementary school be split between multiple middle schools. I grew up in Beaverton School district and went to William Walker Elementary. When we moved onto middle school most students fed into Cedar Park, but about a dozen of us went to Highland Park, because of the way boundaries were drawn. Although we all turned out "fine", the kids that went to Highland were at a huge disadvantage building relationships and making friends. Middle School is a challenging time for all children, having familiar faces makes a scary transition much easier. Obviously that boundary has since been changed and I understand and that BSD Is forever evolving. If we can keep students together, as much as possible, K-12 it offers a sense of community in an otherwise huge district. Thank you! Laura Harding
01/20/2020	Jennifer Brinkerhoff	skierjenn@gmail.com	Oak Hills Elem	Meadow Park MS	Westview	Please make it a priority to keep kids together from middle school into high school. Longer driving distances for middle school is worth it to help kids have continuity of peers from middle school into high school. If each middle school could feed into one high school, that would be amazing, but at most two high schools per middle school. Please ensure the the section of Oak Hills elementary that will go to Sunset High School goes to middle school with other Sunset kids!
01/20/2020	Hsien-kai Hsiao	hkhsiao@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem			Dear Committee members, I would like to bring your attention to issues with map 8/9 proposals. It won't solve the Stoller overcrowding issue and will increase significant BSD transportation budget. Below are my reasoning: 1. SPV and SATO are both new and fast growing communities. I think the projection of their growth is underestimated and is too optimistic for map 8/9 options. Stoller will face the overcrowding issue again soon and BSD will have to spend extra funds and go through another round of boundary meeting before 2025. Since map 8/9 cannot stand long term solution, it is an unnecessary use of tax dollars, a waste of time and energy spent by committee/parents and causing unnecessary stress and anxiety in communities. We can only keep one of the two growing community in Stoller, not both. 2. BSD has been proud of its commitment to a greener environment by promoting walk/bike to school. The map 8/9 options ignore the walkability impact on transportation cost. SPV students need to be bused to Stoller or Five Oaks either way. However, the map 8/9 options will force Findley students within walk/bike distance and all SATO/SPV/JW Summa kid to be unnecessarily bused to TL. This will increase BSD transportation cost significantly. I strongly advise the committee to look into the transportation budget increase more carefully. Based on this, I would recommend the committee to seriously consider my proposal below and compare the actual impact on budget for BSD with the map 8/9 option. The following changes are to be made on top of current map 8/9 options: 1. Remove SPV from Stoller and feed to Five Oaks except students within walk/bike distance to Stoller. The transportation cost difference for SPV is only in fuel cost, which is small compared to cost of new bus/drivers. 2. Include Findley walk/bike distance student in Stoller instead of sending them to TL. This save BSD extra transportation cost. 3. Keep Summa at Stoller. BSD can also consider new Summa in TL if cost and student numbers make sense to do so. I think the above proposal will address Stoller overcrowding issue, utilize TL capacity, and reduce the increase of BSD transportation budget compared to original map 8/9 option. Regards, Hsien-kai

01/20/2020	Meghann Finnigan	meghannfin@yahoo.com	Hiteon Elem	Conestoga MS	Southridge	I think it is a huge detriment to the community to split up elementary schools. As a parent I have already dealt with my first child making friends in middle school, then them all going to different high school. Not only is it part of good mental health to have a support group, but kids are more likely to be involved and do well in school if they have a good community around them. It is very hard to have to enter middle school and have to build new friendships. And then again in high school. But it isn't just about the kids. As parents we work as a committed to raise our children together. Getting to know our kids friends parents is a big part of parenting. We help each other out with carpool, after school care and school projects and we build relationships. I think weighing the decision with more emphasis on community is best.
01/20/2020	Harry	niniding0909@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview	Dear BSD ,Thank you for your efforts at so many meetings. Adoption of the last meeting. We as parents strongly oppose the unreasonable planning of picture 3. Various data and transportation costs also do not allow the establishment of picture 3. However, there are still a few committee representatives who support picture 3. We want to hear what reasonable explanations and data support they have! Some people support walking first. Hundreds of children from other regions will have to sacrifice to commute. For the sake of your child's summa, emphasis is placed on picture 3 at all costs. I think most people in BSD have clear eyes. Most parents are also well aware. We strongly urge that the unreasonable planning of picture 3 be cancelled. I also believe that BSD will make a fair and reasonable decision. Thank you.
01/20/2020	Priyanka Sharma	Priyankasep@yahoo.com				Thank you committee. Commendable work so far. Maps are being brought back again and again, but you finally came back to where we left of Earlier by rejecting the maps Or themes similar to what were rejected in the previous meetings. Abdul rightly asked about working on rejected themes all over again. To avoid same arguments, repetitive talks and seemingly never ending wants from various people in the community, please move forward and remember that the rejected ideas have been rejected for a purpose after you carefully considered all sides of the argument. Please don't start any future meetings with any of the rejected themes as your time is very precious and 100s of people attend these meetings as well. Thanks for the great work so far. Really appreciate your desire to make a difference.
01/20/2020	Hannah Novak	hannahmnovak@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	I think it would be crazy to have our middle schoolers go to Meadow Park over the new middle school that is being proposed in Timberland. The traffic patterns and fighting with Nike make absolutely no sense to have them cross the freeway. Also with Terra Linda not being highly rated- it would be nice to send them a school with a higher rating.
01/20/2020	Anurag Singhal	anushi72@gmail.com	Findley Elem			Our home is on NW Werner Ln just off Laidlaw in Arbor Estates community. Kids in this community bus to Findley elementary currently and at walking distance (less than 0.2 miles) from Stoller MS. Any new map as part of boundary adjustment, which puts this community which is stone's throw away from Stoller, into a middle school about 5 miles and min 30 min bus drive one way will put the kids in community at huge disadvantage! This will not only add more than an hour to their school day but will have significant impact on their well being long term. Apart from that, school district will have added communities to be bussed which would have walked to stoller currently. This will add significant cost to BSD towards transportation, not to mention highly probable traffic nightmare on Laidlaw, Saltzman and Cornell, which are already busy during school and office hours! If common sense prevails, our community would have Stoller as middle school and if required we are okay switching to Jacob Wismer further reducing bussing cost which BSD currently incurs currently.
01/20/2020	Pavan Akkisetty	avspavan@gmail.com	Findley Elem			Hello BSD MS Committee, I am a Findley Parent. From Jan 20th meeting, given map8 is chosen I want to submit some requests. Findley Unsplit (Summa and non summa) feeding into one middle school is my request. Stoller is my first choice. I request you to consider bringing Findley unsplit in Stoller back onto the table. Findley enrollments are going down over the coming years and at one point enrollement projections are around 500. Please don't split feed Findley into different middle schools on any criteria, my sincere request. Please consider having Findley & Summa feed unsplit. NO FINDLEY KID LEFT BEHIND is my request. Thanks, Pavan

01/20/2020	Anita Eng	Engboilersmom@gmail.com	Chehalem Elem	Conestoga MS	Southridge	All three of my children went to Hiteon. My younger one is at Conestoga. Please keep it as it has been in the past and currently where all of Hiteon goes to Conestoga. Please do not split Hiteon up into two middle schools. Not only that, most of the kids that go to Hiteon live very close to Conestoga. There would be no point in making them go further away.Thank you!
01/20/2020	Yamini Nimmagadda	yamini.nimmagadda@gmail.com	Findley Elem			Hello BSD MS Committee,Thanks for your hard work in the very complicated BSD MS boundary change project. I am a Findley Parent. My sincere request is to avoid Findley ES split feeding into middle. Please keep linear feeding of Findley into middle.Thanks,Yamini
01/20/2020	Olivia carter	oliviakcarter@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			Hi there! Thank you for all your hard work on the boundary changes. I know it is such a tough process and i appreciate the thoughtfulness put into it. As a Terra Linda parent and a high school coach at Sunset, I am very concerned about maps 8 & 9 being favored. Having our kids go to middle school with kids for 3 years and then being split apart to all go to different high schools would be so detrimental to students relationships, community and confidence leading into high school. The community these kids grow up in for sports and activities is made up if primarily bonny slope & finley kids from their surrounding neighborhoods. I really hope this is thought of and considered not just in our case but across the district. That middle schools would not have to be split up to go to different high schools. This also causes a lot of open enrollment issues. Thank you again for your consideration
01/20/2020	Shikha Singhal	anushikha2000@gmail.com	Findley Elem			We are on NW Werner Ln which is in the Arbor Estates community which is in front of Stoller middle school at walking distance. Drawing the boundary for stoller middle school north of laidlaw is a significant disadvantage for us as our kids are proposed to be moved to a middle school that is significantly further than Stoller. It will also increase transportation cost as students in walking zone need to be bussed. We request that we continue our kids to Stoller and we are fine with switching elementary to Jacob Wismer.
01/20/2020	Russell Durand	RFDurand@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem			As residents of the Timberland community for nearly a decade, my wife and I have watched the development of the neighborhood. We have seen a large increase in the volume of traffic since the shopping center and school have been completed. We knew that the middle school construction would bring some consequences but were excited that our children would be able to walk across the street to go to school. It would be convenient and safe to have their middle school so close. We are appalled by the district's proposed boundary lines for the new middle school. It is ridiculous that the district believes it would be a good idea to bus our kids out of our neighborhood and bus other kids into the neighborhood which would increase traffic and pollution rather than allowing the children in the Timberland community to walk to their local school. This would have negative consequences for daily operations and school events when so many parents would have to drive and park. I attended a meeting last year about expanding the parking lot and even though the local residents opposed the idea, the district said it was necessary to accommodate the school's capacity of over 1,000 students. If the Beaverton School district cares about its communities, then the new middle school should be taking students from Cedar Mill Elementary.
01/20/2020	Tracy Sauter	tracy.sauter@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	I am very concerned with the inequity of the proposed #8 and #9 middle school boundary maps. Myself and many members of Terra Linda see the map as a division of socioeconomic status within our community. The logistics need to be more of a priority. We currently live only 1.7 miles away from Timberland. Our children could walk or bike to school if needed. A student walking or biking to Meadow Park would be a serious safety issue since they would have to cross over Hwy 26. Part of Beaverton School Districts mission is to "connect our community and classrooms". Terra Linda Elementary should remain with the other Elementary schools that will eventually be attending Sunset High School. Allowing students to build and continue strong relationships with their peers, instructors and members of their community.Thank you for taking the time to read this and reconsider the boundaries.

01/20/2020	yunkyoung Lee	kelly112@naver.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I have two sons. The older son is a 7th grader in stoller MS and the younger son is a 3rd grader in Jacob Wismer ES. Our house is out of school bus service zone because our house is within 1mile location from both Stoller and Jacob Wismer. So both of them are walking to school everyday. When I heard Jacob Wismer students might go to the other middle schools except Stoller I was shocked. It doesn't make sense at all. Who walks to go to the Stoller MS? We are living just beside the Stoller MS but have to take a bus to go to the other middle school far away from here? Additionally, BSD has a budget problem as I know. It is waste of money and time to run school buses because of wrong middle school boundary. Please please make a decision practically.
01/20/2020	S Ganguly	sanjoy.ganguly@kp.org	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS		Hello BSD and Committee members - with the maps 8 & 9 both propose to move SUMMA from Stoller to the new school. This will have a large impact as due to the fact that SUMMA students will have to take bus or be driven by parents to the new school even though they are within walking distance of Stoller. Also Springville neighborhood growth is expected to be very high and keeping both Springville and Sato in Stoller will not solve the overcrowding issue at Stoller and again in near future the issue will be back in table. Pls look into this so that both these issues are tackled while doing the boundary adjustment and reconsider splitting part of springville (N of Springville) out of stoller especially for the new neighborhoods which will be constructed and instead keep Summa at stoller.
01/20/2020	Xiaowei	xiaoweib@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	The boundary change topic has been going for a while and more and more suggested maps are on table now. As a parent of two kids going to Findley, my primary concern is over the suggestions that are trying to split Findley. These maps are illogical and harmful for the community. First of all, splitting elementary is not a good idea for sure. Moving from elementary to middle school is a big jump for most children. They will face lots of challenges both emotionally and academically. Separating them from their friends only makes the transition harder. Second, splitting Findley does not help on any effort of keeping the student amount within the school capacity. Given that Findley is not a huge nor fast growing community, like Springville, splitting Findley does not help no reducing the school size in either Stroller or potential new school.Last but not least, if the reason of splitting Findley is walkability, almost the whole Findley community is within 1.5 miles of Stoller middle school. With the proposed trails coming in the near future, more and more Findley family will be walkable to Stoller. Splitting Findley based on the immediate walkability (which has a very weak definition anyway) does not make much sense. I live 1.2 miles from Stroller and my kids have been biking to Stoller to play there many times. I don't see any problem of them to go school biking even with the current trails. To conclude, splitting Findley does much more harm than good to the community. I strongly object the splitting of Findley.
01/20/2020	Sireesha	Sireesha_mls@yahoo.com	Findley Elem			Hi there, My kids go to findley. We live within a walking distance to stoller. Most of the findley community is within a walking distance to stoller. With additional projects approved to have trail from findley to laidlaw, this percentage increases. Please consider walkability to stoller while considering the boundary adjustment maps. It does not make sense in the green city portland, to increase bussing kids who can otherwise walk to stoller, to a school far away, using our tax dollars. It does not give the right message to kids who are entering their teens. It will also potentially create friction amongst Jw and findley communities who live next to each other.Please consider having Findley, JW and Sato in Stoller while keeping SUMMA in Stoller.
01/20/2020	Jeff Curtis	bendlake12@gmail.com	Bonny Slope Elem	Cedar Park MS	Sunset	Thanks a lot to the committee for considering the cost, proximity, the walkbilty of the whole school district to narrow down to maps 8 and 9. Yes, Bonny slope should just feed to Timberland together with FIndley and Cedar Mill because they are close to Timberland. Like the transportation head said in the meeting, Springville cannot go too far. The only choice for them is Stoller. If we spend too much money on the transportation, then we will have to cut the cost to teachers, which we don't want to. Again, Maps 8 and 9 are the right choices where two main issues were resolved without cost increase. Timberland is fed with nearby elementary schools. Stoller overcapacity issue is also resolved. Great job by our committees! thank you!

01/20/2020	Anders Bisgard	anders.bisgard@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Bussing students who are within the walking distance radius as defined by the school district is a ridiculous use of scarce school district funds even if it means that schools are split. Spend your money on classroom resources rather than unnecessary busses.
01/20/2020	Simon Cadiz	sbcadiz1@yahoo.com	Terra Linda Elem			hello,I am a parent of a kindergarten student at Terra Linda Elementary. We live in the Terra Linda neighborhood just walking distance from school. The proposal to have my child moved into the Meadow Park boundary and not the Timberland boundary, our neighborhood, is not well received. please consider rethinking this proposal. Thank you
01/20/2020	Erin R Cadiz	ecarnes@hotmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			Please keep the Terra Linda Neighborhood assigned to the new Timberland middle school! Having the students commute south of 26 creates so many more transportation nightmares for school buses and parents. The gas burned to have to sit in traffic to get to meadow park vs timberland for our family will add at least an hour on to our commutes each day! We have been anticipating and watching the timberland middle school for our child since it was built and this would cause a tremendous disappointment across a whole community who have been waiting for this school to open. I will be voicing this disappointment at the next boundary meeting on the 30th!
01/20/2020	Sarah Bucko	Sarahbucko12@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			As a working mother I have very unhappy that the two maps that are moving forward are the only maps that have Terra Linda students going to Meadow Park. Terra Linda students have gotten the short end of the stick for many reasons and we were excited to finally have a new school and a new start and now you are taking that away from us. As a parent who drives downtown for work meadow park is extremely out of the way, i have made it a point to take my daughter to school every morning and this would end my ability to do that. Not to mention in all the sports she plays she has played with girls from Bonney Slope who are our neighbors and now they won't attend school together. I would like to know what the reasonable explanation is for this change? I will send my daughter to St Pius if the boy dry changes to Meadow Park.
01/20/2020	Michael Jackson	Jacksonspdx@gmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			Terra Linda should remain in timberland feeder area, as majority original plans showed. The historical cedar mill area is one of the few walking neighborhoods North of 26. The location of timberland is the correct middle school walking distance and accessibility to terra linda elementary catchment. This geographic approach makes more sense for the neighborhood vitality and walkability.
01/20/2020	Han Then	Hanwuihen@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear Committee, My son went to Stoller Summa from Findley and had many friends in both Summa and non Summa programs. He had a wonderful experience in Stoller as a result. I really wanted my daughter to follow in his footsteps. It is very important for my daughter who is currently in Findley to stay together with her friends as they continue their friendship into middle school. I am most supportive of keeping Findley students together at Stoller and Summa at Stoller. Thank you.
01/20/2020	Moritz Bauer	moebauer@gmail.com		Stoller MS		When we moved to Oakridge Estates, one of the main selling points was Stoller MS in walking distance for our kids. We have learned now that this is intended to change, which we oppose for a number of reasons. It disconnects the school from its closest neighborhood and creates separate societies causing possible conflicts of interest. It forces us to drive our kids to school instead of walking them, which is harmful to the environment and our kids health. And last but not least, this change would make the Oakridge Estates community a clear loser, there is nothing that this neighborhood gains from it. That speaks for the imbalance of the proposal.
01/20/2020	Tracey Duchek	Traceym1171@comcast.net	Terra Linda Elem			What has occurred to change the "grandfather" or "legacy" rule for students that start at a new middle school in 2020?What are the reasons a student would not be permitted to stay at their school if they start in 2020 before the new boundary rules take place?
01/20/2020	Robyn Cooper	robyncooper@hotmail.com	Terra Linda Elem			We feel strongly that our kids go to Timberland Middle School instead of Meadow Park. It is much closer and a better school for our children.

01/20/2020	Virginia McGregor	viriniajmcgregor@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem				Walkability - to remove those students who can walk to school and bus them elsewhere is a huge transportation and thus financial impact that will affect transportation itself but also the general budget including such things as teacher pay and employment as it all comes from the same budget. Cedar Mill ES is the only school that is completely encapsulated in the Timberland walkability zone. High school feeder - is key. Cedar Mill ES feeds to Sunset HS so should go to Timberland, not Cedar Park MS. Putting an entire small ES into a MS that goes into a different HS boundary is wrong and is not serving the current process. It would be very concerning if it were the case that any part of this process was being used to change the already completed designation of the HS boundaries. Community- Cedar Park MS current community will be redefined and it is appropriate to do so at this time, despite historical community sport boundaries. This new school has been put in place to take the local middle school children that will feed to the local High school from 2021 on. This will be the ongoing community and is appropriate that local kids are kept within this community which will feed to their feeder HS. Action: Remove Maps 4 and 5 as working maps and retain Cedar Mill ES in the Timberland boundary in the remaining maps.
01/20/2020	Bangben Bao	Baorobert@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please don't move Findley Elementary school out of Stoller, our community is walking distance to Stoller. Please keep our walking distance community in Stoller which is very convenient to neighborhood. Sincerely Bangben
01/20/2020	Gavin McGregor	gavin.mcgregor23@gmail.com	Cedar Mill Elem				Walkability - to remove those students who can walk to school and bus them elsewhere is a huge transportation and thus financial impact that will affect transportation itself but also the general budget including such things as teacher pay and employment as it all comes from the same budget. Cedar Mill ES is the only school that is completely encapsulated in the Timberland walkability zone. High school feeder - is key. Cedar Mill ES feeds to Sunset HS so should go to Timberland, not Cedar Park MS. Putting an entire small ES into a MS that goes into a different HS boundary is wrong and is not serving the current process. It would be very concerning if it were the case that any part of this process was being used to change the already completed designation of the HS boundaries. Community- Cedar Park MS current community will be redefined and it is appropriate to do so at this time, despite historical community sport boundaries. This new school has been put in place to take the local middle school children that will feed to the local High school from 2021 on. This will be the ongoing community and is appropriate that local kids are kept within this community which will feed to the feeder HS. Action: Remove Maps 4 and 5 as working maps and retain CM ES in the Timberland boundary in the remaining maps.
01/20/2020	Soumya Sridhar	every999@yahoo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		In the last meeting we heard how transporting the 450 students from Findley to Timberland would create a lot of extra cost that would have to come out of the programs budget. Map 8 and 9 that the committee picked both have all of Findley bussed to Timberland. To find a balanced solution for the overcrowding in Stoller, filling Timberland and reducing transportation cost, it would make sense to at least retain the walking portion of Findley in Stoller. Maximizing walking is the only way of reducing the transportation costs. If we then assume, the other students need to be bussed anyway, that would give you a lot more options to fill the schools while minimizing transportation costs.
01/20/2020	Jyothi	Nagajyothi.cse@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Please DONOT split our school. Keep FE intact. Our closest school is Stoller, but from the meeting last week looks like we might be losing our neighborhood school. The most scariest part is if will be split. Please keep entire Findley ES together wherever we go for MS. we all go to the same Sunset school, so why split in MS?

01/20/2020	Scott Richardson	Scott.Richardson@Nike.com	Findley Elem			Dear Sir/Madam, Thank you for the opportunity to comment. We live in the Oakridge Estates neighborhood directly across the street (Laidlaw) to the south of Stoller MS and our daughter is currently a 3rd grader at Findley ES. We moved into this neighborhood specifically because of the quality of both Findley and Stoller which is our highest priority, irrespective of summa or non-summa location/designation. We prioritize walkability to Stoller above class splitting considerations for the ES to MS transition and feel that class splitting is more negatively impactful when transitioning from MS to HS. While of course we would love for the Findley class to stay together all at Stoller and with Summa if possible, of the current test maps we support test Map 3. Thank You, Scott Richardson (503) 318-4283
01/20/2020	Santosh Krishnamurthy	Santosh.krish2480@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	Dear BSD advisory committee: Last week's BSD meeting selected map 8 and map 9 as the maps to be used as reference maps for future meetings. Both the maps move Findley out of Stoller and also move Summa along with it to TMS. I don't think this solves the Stoller MS overcrowding situation in anyway. I strongly urge you to consider Findley to stay with Stoller as numbers make sense. Test map 3 shown in the last meeting did show numbers favored this option from over crowding standpoint. Findley community is closely knit and we respect each other enjoy each others company. We as a community have grown over years and I consider everyone in this community as an extension of our family. As BSD advisory committee made it clear that Findley will not be considered as part of Stoller, I urge the advisory committee to not consider breaking or splitting Findley to feed into Stoller and TMS. This will adversely affect our community and we don't want to see our family hurt. In light of this situation I urge BSD to keep Findley together and move as a whole to TMS or Stoller and no split it. Moving Summa along with Findley will be a preferred option in that case. Hope this comment would help you to make right call Santosh
01/20/2020	Zack Potocki	zackpotocki@hotmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	I live in the neighborhood across the street from Stoller. I asked my fifth grader the following question: "If you had the option to walk to middle school or go to the same middle school as all of your friends from Findley, which would you choose?" He resoundingly said he would prefer to not be separated from his friends. I think our 12-year-old selves would have all agreed if presented with the same choice. Middle school is an incredibly important time in a child's social development, so please do not divide Findley. Walkability is a luxury that we will gladly forfeit for the sake of our kids' best interests.
01/20/2020	Kiran Patil	kiran.a.patil@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	1. Please do not SPLIT Findley elementary irrespective of whatever is the middle school. Splitting is detrimental for kids overall development 2. Please keep only one SUMMA program as intact optional program and no compromise on quality of program. 3. Even in timberland, please offer all competitive programs such as science bowl, math counts, spelling bee, etc... Just like what is being offered at Stoller and with same quality. 4. Minimize transportation costs and put the tax dollars for teachers and students development 5. Please consider the legacy of Stoller and which elementary school were feeding into it. Maintaining the legacy matters and will be instrumental in providing stable numbers for Stoller (having JW + FE + SATO + SUMMA) 6. Please also consider the best option for Five oaks, which can be accomplished by adding Springville into it, which will also help to bridge the diversity gap at five oaks and will also improve the rating of five oaks middle school
01/20/2020	Dwaipayan Sil	sdwaipayan@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	It is important to restore the school feeder system across Elementary to Middle schools as well as Middle to High school. This is essential to the kids emotional/social and educational development where kids from one ES goes entirely to a middle school and also from middle school to same HS. Besides it helps teachers to maintain continuity for transition purposes. Among the several maps presented in the last meeting, map #8 and map #9 have tried to preserve the feeder schools systems as much as possible and should be used for boundary adjustments going forward. These maps ensure a no-split Findley ES transition to new middle school. Also given that the Findley and Bonny Slope contributes a sizable portion of the summa candidates and will be housed in Timberland as per the maps, it makes sense to move the entire Summa program to the new middle schools. This will ensure a seamless transition of the summa program from Stoller to Timberland. Also it helps BSD to lower spending by not maintaining two summa programs in two different schools.

01/20/2020	Minal Patil	Minal.k.patil@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	1. At any cost. Please keep Findley elementary intact, no splitting Findley since it affects kids overall development if they don't get needed support from friends, parents, teachers2. Please put well thought-out emphasis on keeping only one summa, so it's quality is not compromised3. As per as possible, minimize transportation costs and utilize those tax payers money wisely for teachers and students development and growth4. Give the best to every kids from other middle school as well, hence the suggestion is to put the Springville into five oaks. That will also help to bridge the diversity gap and will to improve the quality and rating for five oaks5. At timerberland,. Please offer all those optional competitive programs such as science bowl, math counts, spelling bee, etc.. just like whatever bring offered at stoller with emphasis on providing same quality
01/20/2020	Ritochit Chakraborty	ritochit.chakraborty@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	As a parent with two kids in Findley Elementary, I strongly believe *NO FINDLEY KID SHOULD BE LEFT BEHIND* in the final solution that the committee sends forth to the Superintendent. That means the entire school should stay together - be it at Timberland MS or be it at Stoller MS. Unity is paramount. I think this consensus prevails among all parents who have seen these kids mingle at at the park or at a birthday party or at a play date. Having said that, I am glad Map 3 was voted out since one of the ideas it hinged on is shipping 80/100 odd SUMMA kids from Findley to Timberland, while all the other 5th graders would transition to Stoller. At Timberland, they would struggle to cope emotionally while two other schools would show up in full force. Alienation is heartbreaking for both the kids and the parents, as we observed from the testimony of one of the Oak Hill parents in the last meeting.My take is simple - Findley should stay together! I will let the committee decide whether this will be at Timberland MS or at Stoller MS.
01/20/2020	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	The maps that BSD is considering right now are unsustainable given the growth in North Bethany. We need a better solution that keeps walkable kids in neighborhood schools and actually addressing the overcrowding issue (instead of just postponing it to 2025). There needs to be real long range planning by BSD such that kids are not back in portables in 2025 or before. And it needs to address the elephant in the room which is to plan to develop a middle school for North Bethany.
01/20/2020	Pronab Das	Pronab.das@qorvo.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB Please don't split Finley kids to go 2 different middle school: if you are not convinced on this, please ask your kid how they feel about having their elementary friends go to a different middle school. Once that is fulfilled, the rest should be based on purely what makes economic sense! Thank you.

01/20/2020	Mike Baldwin	mbaldwin@columbia.com	Terra Linda Elem			<p>By capriciously and irresponsibly ear-marking Terra Linda Elementary School into the Meadow Springs Middle School district, this decision will deeply impact young lives. This is not merely an exercise of re-coloring boundary maps at the 11th hour to plausibly "balance" the numbers and/or demographics. These Terra Linda Elementary kiddos have grown up, played sports, participated in clubs and co-mingled with neighboring Cedar Mill schools that they're next journey into Middle School is that much less trepidatious. These kids all know that Middle School is a larger fish bowl; more kids jockeying for position....so they (and parents) have made every attempt to socialize them with kiddos from surrounding feeding schools. The Cedar Mill community...is just that, a community. (Think of us like a small, socioeconomically, yet balanced community.)* By isolating the three, highest income schools (Findley, Bonny Slope and Cedar Mill)...all with a history of high test scores in both ELA and math...and grouping them into Timberland no longer ensures a diverse, socioeconomic population of students. * By isolating three very low income schools (Barnes, Beaver Acres and Terra Linda)...all with troubling high levels of free/reduced lunches...and grouping them into Meadow Park no longer ensures a diverse, socioeconomic population of students; in fact, it naturally breeds an uninspiring and ineffective learning environment. (Are you intentionally trying to create a school where roughly 40-50% of its population of students are coming to school hungry and dependent upon the district to feed them?! Consider that learning environment...or lack of.)One of the key objectives/goals of the school board is to ensure the schools it governs are equitably balanced and foster an environment of learning for it faculty, students and community. Our schools must promote diversity and provide a fair playing field. By keeping Terra Linda within the Timberland boundary would help bring much-needed balance. If someone on the board with an analytical, democratic and enterprise level mindset were to review their findings, he/she would quickly realize the jeopardy and gravity to the board's decision of pulling Terra Linda from Timberland (or Cedar Park) boundaries.</p>
01/20/2020	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview	<p>Let's think of the injustice maps 8/9 do to JW sunset kids. 80 of the 100 (average) Jacob wismer kids will go to sunset per grade. A typical high school has about 2500 kids so about 600 per grade. Now the 80 kids will be lost in a sea of 600 kids. The composition of Stoller will be approx 85% feeding to Westview high school in map 8/9. Now if you add a grade of Findley where 100 of 100 kids go to Sunset high school from Stoller. Then 180 out of 600 is a much better peer group. And will lead to much better high school years for this entire group.</p>
01/20/2020	Priya Mani	heypriya@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>A key consideration factor for the current middle school boundary redistricting process includes NEIGHBORHOOD PROXIMITY + ACCESSIBILITY. Yet at the last Advisory Committee Meeting, a majority of the Committee members chose maps (two very similar ones at that), that eliminated a significant number of the walking population of Stoller impacting both Jacob Wismer, Findley and some Sato. Prior to the voting round at the last Meeting on Jan 16th, we heard from the District's Transportation Administrator Mr. Craig Beaver talk about the shortage of bus drivers, and then from the Asst. Superintendent of Operations and Support Services Dr. Mead, about how allocating funds for transportation may lead to shortage of teachers themselves. The Committee then promptly proceeded to vote for Maps that would mobilize hundreds of students that could walk to their neighborhood MS in North Bethany. I was left confused as to what data was the Committee using to guide their decision making and where lies the transparency in this process? A definitive goal of this boundary re-drawing exercise should be to create "neighborhood" boundaries where the school is most centrally located within its area. Socioeconomic diversity is important, but not at the cost of walkability to schools, because it simply makes no sense. Could the Committee members please be requested to take another look at Test Map 3, and specifically peek at North Bethany, to see that Map 3 offers a more logic based solution for this growing area/population? Could the Committee possibly find a way to amalgamate Test Map 8 (which I agree resolves feeder patterns with desirable numbers, primarily for south of US26 schools) along with Map 3 to reach a consensus for everyone involved.</p>

01/20/2020	Sanjoy	sanjoy123@gmail.com	Springville K-8	Stoller MS	Westview		The boundaries are not created so that every student will have walkable school. Also not everyone can afford to buy a home within walkable school distance. People who can afford to buy home near walkable school is trying to prioritize walkability. Since walkability is not available for every student, it shouldn't be prioritized. Facts from Elmonica, Beaver Acres & McKinley Elementary Boundary Adjustment Decision where walkability was not priority: Neighborhood proximity and accessibility. The primary concern for this factor is the area of Elmonica (Area B) that will be transferred to Beaver Acres. This area is within walking distance of Elmonica and was recently designated a NonTransportation Zone. The proposed change will necessitate resuming bus transportation for students in order for them to attend Beaver Acres. When possible, the District prefers that students are able to walk to school, but in this case, that desire is subordinate to the need to ameliorate overcrowding at Elmonica.
01/20/2020	SJ Zhu	sjzhusj@msn.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset		Findley community is an united community that is closely tied to and contributing to Stoller, including Summa program. Splitting Findley and moving Summa to Timberland is to destroy the well nourished community, the high ranked middle school and many aspiring kids' future.
01/20/2020	Tong	neuroam@yahoo.com					With all the estimated statistics, moving Findley to Timberland won't solve the problem. Keep Findley in Stoller!
01/20/2020	Sheetal	sheetalruiwale@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Findley and Jacob Wismer are the 2 schools that are closest to Stoller MS and with the addition of new trails within the last 2 years it has made it easier for kids to walk to school instead of bussing or getting dropped off. It does not make sense to make them go on the bus to a school that is farther away and have kids who live far to take a bus to get to Stoller. Findley, Jacob and Sato staying in Stoller will not overcrowd Stoller like it would if Sato and SPV are put together. Springville and Rock Creek feeding into Five Oaks will make their rating go up and will balance it out. Adding more busses for walkable communities will create a huge dent in BSD budget.
01/20/2020	Yao	trueinfo@gmail.com					As a Findley student in non walkable zone to Stoller, I sincerely call to keep Findley in Stoller to save our future.
01/20/2020	Soumya Sah	Soumya.sah@gmail.com	Jacob Wismer Elem	Stoller MS	Westview		As per map 8/9 the Summa kids are being moved away from their neighborhood school when as per BSD's Summa students by grid code, most live the nearest to Stoller or will be bused to Stoller (if in Springville or Sato) as that is their neighborhood school. The Summa program is being treated as an option school when it is not. The kids do not opt for Summa by lottery but have to score in the top 99 percentile of a few exams to reach there. These kids are brilliant but also need the social fabric of familiar friends and the ability to participate in middle school life like their peers. Also moving the Summa program to Timberland would mean extra transportation costs for the district for about 300 kids which can be better utilized in hiring teachers.
01/20/2020	Divya	arudivy@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	ISB	Thank you for the opportunity to post my comment and voice my opinions. It is obvious that many north and south parents in their testimonials have requested for no splitting in feeder patterns. But I would like to remind all parents in the committee that it's our foremost duty to protect the younger and more vulnerable elementary children facing pubertal changes and lots of research are pointing at elementary splitting being worse than middle school splitting. Please do not split Findley kids from their friends irrespective of whether you all decide to send them to Stoller or Timberland MS. Please keep the Summa program also intact with no splits either at Stoller or Timberland. This will ensure the quality of the program is not diluted and uniform for all the gifted kids.

01/20/2020	Nita Chopra	ngchopra@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>We learned these 3 facts:a. Students who start at a middle school as a 6th grader in 2020-2021 would be 7th grade at the boundary change. The district has not decided if these 7th graders are legacy to finish at the same school they started.b. From the Transportation Dept. on Jan. 16th Thursday stated that walkability matters, busing kids who can otherwise walk to school creates expense for the district. Since there is no separate busing fund, additional transportation cost comes out of general funds- for classrooms and educational programs. He noted a challenge to keep bus drivers staff for his current routes and a lot of turnover/challenge to replace. He stated don't add more bus routes for walkable kids.c. There is no guarantee that Timberland can have Summa program since the reduced budget is now more taxed due to busing walkable kids. There have been a few core Findley parents controlling the narrative too long, stating many fear based messages and tactics. I am disappointed many members of Findley elementary would only argue "walkability matters" if they are included and pitch the idea if their neighborhoods are clearly not walkable. We are strong supporters that more than one middle school can be great and do not have a fixed mindset that only one middle school is strong. Also, we don't believe house prices should be the main driving goal for the solution, as one Findley parent fears. Moreover, a wonderful neighbor reminded us that many students choose option Schools, private schools, move away, etc and separate. Their student chose an option School and it broadened their circle of friends, meeting new and keeping the old; splitting elementary is not detrimental to kids' emotional health. The School Board Policy JC lists the factors to make the decision. One factor has been focused-- feeder patterns from elementary, middle to high school. The School Board Policy JC also lists neighborhood proximity and accessibility, which has not been addressed. The streets, from the most North part of Findley to Timberland, are small, narrow. The safety of students and the cost of busing students within a 1/8th mile (or less) of Stoller Middle School to a 4.5-5 mile away Timberland Middle School, matters too. There are subdivisions literally across the street from Stoller at Findley Elementary School. We live in one, like many families we have spoken to in our neighborhood, do feel walkability matters and we should remain at Stoller even if South of Findley Elementary School goes to Timberland. Both middle schools will be great, and our kids will rejoin at high school. If I can see the Stoller Middle School from up our street, then we should remain at Stoller. I do not understand how walkability matters in some part of the district but not in ours. Also, no child should be moved during middle school. If the BSD accepted a student at a middle school, all students should legacy until they finish no matter what the outcome of the boundary change. This goes for 6th graders and 7th graders. Our most important mission is to ensure the success of each student. We should legacy all students who are started already at a middle school at the time of the boundary change.</p>
01/20/2020	Poulami Mitra	mitra.poulami@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>Thanks for ensuring better audio quality in the last meeting! I think this was overall a very productive discussion - the committee debated maps which they helped create based on multiple themes! I think we are nearing the end of this long boundary adjustment process, and maps 8/9 enter the next phase. As a Findley parent, I am glad to learn that our school gets to stay together in either of these maps. We do not want a split Findley - our kids have been friends for a long time now (since even before they entered elementary school). We have never distinguished ourselves based on walkability or otherwise - so why inject an idea that seems to be a sore point among other schools facing such a rift (Nancy Ryles is a classic example). We want to stay together or move together. I understand rezoning is a multidimensional problem, and entire Findley at Stoller would be ideal. But if the most optimal solution (all things considered) is to move to Timberland, so be it. My ask then is (a) keep ENTIRE Findley at Stoller if possible, and if not then (b) move ENTIRE Findley to its new destination (possibly Timberland).</p>
01/20/2020	Aahlad Mallajosyula	aahladm@gmail.com	Findley Elem	Stoller MS	Sunset	<p>As a parent to a child attending Findley Elementary school, I strongly recommend not splitting Findley Elementary across two middle schools. Spitting Findley ES would be especially hard on kids as part of Stoller MS attends sunset high school and other part attends westview high school. These kids would have to experience split feeders in each of the transitions. Please keep summa intact and don't try to carve out a separate summa and cause additional split feeders just to meet the numbers</p>

FW: Revised Boundary Map (Map 9/Plan B)

Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Tue 1/21/2020 9:06 AM

To: deborah wohlmutter <Debby_Wohlmut@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: robert mccracken <Robert_Mccracken@beaverton.k12.or.us>

■ 1 attachments (252 KB)

Boundary_Recommendation_Plan_9.pdf;

please include in the comments

Robert, we are not doing any analysis on this map. The AC did not request.

SAS

From: Jamie Williams <jamie.stewart.williams@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, January 19, 2020 7:37 PM

To: Steven Sparks - Exec Admin <Steven_Sparks@beaverton.k12.or.us>

Cc: Eric Simantel <eric.simantel@directorsmortgage.net>; Tara Powers <torandpowers@hotmail.com>

Subject: Revised Boundary Map (Map 9/Plan B)

⚠ This is from a Non-BSD Email address: Please only click links and attachments if you are sure they are safe

Hi Steven,

I spoke with several committee members before and after the last committee meeting and I was asked to revise the plan I put together to accommodate the requests by the committee members and those who delivered public testimony. Please see attached and forward this on to them. You may want to review it too. I would request that this replace my last version since I have made the revisions in direct response to committee requests.

This was revised by me with direct input from Tara Powers and Eric Simantel, as well as indirect input by pretty much everyone who spoke at that last meeting. I would greatly appreciate if you use this version as the revised version of Map 9 that was generated from my Plan B document. Feel free to email me or call if you have any questions. Thanks so much.

Jamie Williams
503.858.2181


Plan 9 from Rock Creek:

A Revision to Plan B

Revised January 19, 2020 to Incorporate Committee Recommendations

By Jamie Williams

with Tara Powers and Eric Simantel



Changes

- Moved Beaverton portion of Barnes ES to Cedar Park in response to underutilization comments and near consensus by committee members favoring middle school to high school feeding over elementary to middle school feeding. The Sunset-feeding portion of Barnes is maintained at Meadow Park so that a portion of Barnes continues to feed to Meadow Park; the Spanish immersion program can be maintained. The Beaverton-feeding students from Barnes who want to utilize the Spanish immersion portion of Meadow Park should be able to elect to do so.
- Hiteon ES split at Brockman to relieve overpopulation of Conestoga and to better utilize space in Highland Park. Walkability is possible northbound to Highland Park and Southbound to Conestoga in new boundaries. The move was made in response to underutilization comments by various committee members and Mr. Sparks.
- Restored current feeding of McKay ES to Conestoga in response to McKay parent's statement regarding use of trails to walk to Conestoga.
- Moved Aloha HS portions of both Elmonica ES and McKinley ES to Meadow Park to preserve feeding patterns at both Meadow Park and Five Oaks. The move was made in response to a near consensus by committee members favoring middle school to high school feeding over elementary to middle school feeding.
- Restored walkable portion of Findley ES to attend Stoller in response to a majority of the committee members who prefer to keep directly-walkable students in middle school boundaries. In addition, this move was done in response to the BSD transportation employee who indicated that budgetary issues will ensue when walkability is not capitalized upon. Finally, this move was done in response to the Jacob Wismer parent who indicated that Jacob Wismer should not be the only Sunset-feeding elementary school at Stoller.
- Moved Sunset portion of Oak Hills ES to Meadow Park to in response to near consensus by committee members favoring middle school to high school feeding over elementary to middle school feeding. In addition, multiple statements by Oaks Hills parents have demonstrated difficulty with adjustment from middle school to high school because of split feeding. The split was moved to elementary-to-middle school so that children can adjust at an earlier age.
- Split Sato ES at NW Springville Rd. This was done to relieve overpopulation at Stoller. The mention of walkability to Stoller by a Sato parent at committee could be applied to the northeastern boundary of Rock Creek, which is also being moved. Kept the southern portion of Sato with its Rock Creek and Oak Hills neighbors, who feed to Westview as well.
- Restored Vose ES to attend Whitford in response to the Vose parent who indicated that it would be a hardship for Spanish speaking children to move away from Whitford because of the existing Spanish immersion program.

Features

- The goal of achieving 90% permanent capacity of Stoller is accomplished as of 2021. Even with extreme population growth, Stoller will not outgrow its total capacity in the foreseeable future and portable use will not be required at all until 2023 or 2024.
- Walkability is achieved at every middle school.
- No middle school feeds to more than 2 high schools. Three middle schools feed into only 1 high school. Every high school is represented by at least 2 elementary schools within a middle school, including Stoller.
- The only two middle schools with 100% or more of permanent capacity as of 2021 are very close to 100% permanent capacity and have decreasing populations so that population will be well under permanent capacity by 2022. No school will be over permanent capacity at any point unless portables are available at that school (and used minimally).
- Every school leaving Stoller is being rezoned to a school that is expected to be academically comparable based on the academic achievement of the elementary schools feeding into those middle schools.
- Extreme traffic and commute times are mitigated by ensuring that no students feeding to Five Oaks are north of NW Springville Rd.
- The area feeding to Stoller with the highest concentration of poverty (the Springville ES boundary south of NW Springville Rd) is maintained at Stoller to ensure socioeconomic diversity.
- Elementary splits to middle school are minimized and only done so to maintain middle school to high school feeding or walkability, including Hiteon ES, which remains largely walkable to both middle schools it is feeding to.
- Based on population growth projections and the existing availability of portables, this plan is feasible for the foreseeable future; a new middle school will not be needed for decades.

Cedar Park MS

Zoned Schools:

- Barnes ES/Beaverton
- Chehalem ES/Beaverton
- Rachel Carson
- Ridgewood ES
- West TV ES
- William Walker ES

High School(s) Fed: Beaverton (3 full, 2 partial)


2021 Net Population Estimate: 856

- Gross: 1,028, Leaving for Options: 172


2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 86%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 98%

Cedar Park MS Feeder Pattern



Cedar Park MS Population vs Capacity




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 6

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 34%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 17%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 56%

Cedar Park MS



Conestoga MS

Zoned Schools:

- Greenway ES
- Hiteon ES (South of Brockman)
- McKay ES (Current Conestoga MS Population)
- Nancy Ryles ES
- Scholls Heights ES



High School(s) Fed: Mountainside (2 full)
Southridge (1 full, 2 partial)

2021 Net Population Estimate: 951

- Gross: 1,144, Leaving for Options: 193

2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 88%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 99%




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 7

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 19%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 16%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 33%



Five Oaks MS

Zoned Schools:

- Bethany ES
- Elmonica ES/Westview
- McKinley ES/Westview
- Oak Hills ES/Westview
- Rock Creek ES
- Sato ES (South of Springville)

High School(s) Fed: Westview (2 full, 4 partial)


2021 Net Population Estimate: 948

- Gross: 1,303, Leaving for Options: 355


2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 91%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 91%

Five Oaks MS Feeder Pattern



Five Oaks MS Population vs Capacity




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 7

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 22%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 11%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 33%

Five Oaks MS



Highland Park MS

Zoned Schools:

- Chehalem ES/Mountainside
- Fir Grove ES
- Hiteon ES (North of Brockman)
- Sexton Mountain ES

High School(s) Fed: Mountainside (1 full, 1 partial)
Southridge (1 full, 1 partial)


2021 Net Population Estimate: 739

- Gross: 860, Leaving for Options: 121


2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 77%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 85%

Highland Park MS Feeder Pattern



Highland Park MS Population vs Capacity




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 6

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 20%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 14%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 38%

Highland Park MS



Meadow Park MS

Zoned Schools:

- Barnes ES/Sunset/Spanish Immersion
- Beaver Acres ES
- Elmonica ES/Aloha
- McKinley ES/Aloha
- Oak Hills ES/Sunset
- SUMMA Meadow Park (CP, FO, MP)
- Terra Linda ES



High School(s) Fed: Aloha (1 full, 2 partial)
Sunset (1 full, 2 partial)

2021 Net Population Estimate: 855

- Gross: 1,048, Leaving for Options: 193

2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 91%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 100%




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 5

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 30%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 16%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 50%



Mountain View MS

Zoned Schools:

- Aloha-Huber Park K-8
- Cooper Mountain ES
- Errol Hassell ES
- Hazeldale ES
- Kinnaman ES

High School(s) Fed: Aloha (2 full, 2 partial)
Mountainside (1 full, 2 partial)


2021 Net Population Estimate: 1,022

- Gross: 1,456, Leaving for Options: 434


2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 95%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 103%

Mountain View MS Feeder Pattern



Mountain View MS Population vs Capacity




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 6

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 31%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 17%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 54%

Mountain View MS



Stoller MS

Zoned Schools:

- Findley ES (Walkable to Stoller)
- Jacob Wismer ES
- Sato ES (North of Springville)
- Springville ES

High School(s) Fed: Sunset (2 partial)
Westview (1 full, 2 partial)


2021 Net Population Estimate: 951

- Gross: 1,228, Leaving for Options: 277


2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 69%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 88%

Stoller MS Feeder Pattern



Stoller MS Population vs Capacity




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 8

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 19%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 8%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 11%

Stoller MS



Timberland MS

Zoned Schools:

- Bonny Slope ES
- Cedar Mill ES
- Findley ES (Not Walkable to Stoller)
- SUMMA Stoller (Stoller, Timberland)



High School(s) Fed: Sunset (2 full, 1 partial)

2021 Net Population Estimate: 901

- Gross: 1,176, Leaving for Options: 275

2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 82%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 82%




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 9

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 12%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 8%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 9%



Whitford MS

Zoned Schools:

- McKay ES (Current Whitford MS Population)
- Montclair ES
- Raleigh Hills ES
- Raleigh Park ES
- Vose ES



High School(s) Fed: Beaverton (2 full, 1 partial)
Southridge (1 full, 2 partial)

2021 Net Population Estimate: 764

- Gross: 916, Leaving for Options: 152

2021 Percent of Total Capacity: 89%

2021 Percent of Permanent Capacity: 89%




2021 Population Weighted K-5 Great Schools Score: 5

2021 Ever English Learners Percent: 35%

2021 Students with Disabilities Percent: 16%

2021 Free/Reduced Price Lunch Percent: 54%



About the Author: Jamie Williams

- Rock Creek parent (father of Liam and Gracie)
- Sr. Actuarial Analyst at a major group Life/Disability insurance company based in Portland
- M.A. in Education with an Emphasis in Teaching and Learning Mathematics from UC Santa Barbara
- B.S. in Mathematics with a Specialization in Applied and Computational Mathematics from UC Irvine
- Board Member, Westview Youth Baseball
- Founder, Bethany Runners Unite, a Bethany-based run club with 300+ members created 3+ years ago