

# EANES INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **SCHOOL FIRST**

## Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

## 2019 RATING and REPORT



**EANES**  
**WESTLAKE**  
INNOVATIVE SCHOOL DISTRICT

Based on Audited Financial Data  
For the 2017 - 2018 Fiscal Year

It is the policy of the Eanes Independent School District not to discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, disability or age in its employment or in providing education or access to benefits of educational services, activities, and programs. The following persons are designated to handle inquiries, regarding non-discrimination policies:  
Title II, Section 504, and Age Act of 1975: Molly May, Executive Director of Special Education & Section 504, (512) 732-9020  
Title IX: Laurie Lee, Chief Human Resources Officer, (512) 732-9010  
Both are officed at EISD Central Administration, 601 Camp Craft Road, Austin, TX 78746

# EANES INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Annual Financial Management Report 2019 Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas (FIRST) Rating

Beginning with the 2001-02 fiscal year, the financial health of every school district in Texas is evaluated as part of a financial accountability system known as School FIRST. The primary goal of School FIRST is to achieve quality performance in the management of school districts' financial resources, a goal made more significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with Texas' school finance system.

Eanes ISD has received a rating of

### Superior

for 2019, the highest rating a district can achieve. The 2019 rating is based on an analysis of staff, student, budget and audited financial data for 2017-2018. Eanes ISD has received the highest possible rating for all years since 2003, the first year of implementation for the FIRST accountability system.

The FIRST rating is assigned to each school district based on 15 indicators designed to measure critical financial management practices, ratios, and other indicators. These indicators provide a snapshot of the district's financial stability and management practices and include information about fund balance reserves, the annual financial audit, debt payments, and district expenditures.

This report includes the following information to assist the public in understanding the FIRST rating system and Eanes ISD's rating:

Eanes ISD 2019 Overall Rating	Page 2
Overall 2019 Texas School District Ratings	Page 3
2019 Eanes ISD FIRST Rating – 2017-18 Indicator Results	Page 4
2018 Eanes ISD FIRST Rating – 2016-17 Indicator Results	Page 8
Required Disclosures	Page 12

Questions regarding the District's FIRST rating may be addressed to:

Chris Scott, Chief Financial Officer  
or  
Maria Rockstead, Director of Finance

601 Camp Craft Rd  
Austin, TX 78746  
(512) 732 – 9000

---

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas  
Overall Statistics  
2019 School District Ratings

---

<b>Ratings</b>	<b>Number of Districts</b>	<b>% Total</b>
A = Superior	902	88.43%
B = Above Standard	72	7.06%
C = Meets Standard	35	3.43%
F = Substandard Achievement	11	1.08%
Totals	1,020	100.00%



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas**

**2018-2019 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2017-2018 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

<b>Name: <span style="color: red;">EANES ISD(227909)</span></b>		<b>Publication Level 1:</b> 8/7/2019 3:33:27 PM	
<b>Status: <span style="color: red;">Passed</span></b>		<b>Publication Level 2:</b> 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
<b>Rating:</b> A = Superior		<b>Last Updated:</b> 8/8/2019 2:06:12 PM	
<b>District Score:</b> 94		<b>Passing Score:</b> 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:12 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:13 AM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:13 AM	Yes

3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:13 AM	Yes
4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:13 AM	Yes
5	This indicator is not being scored.		
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:14 AM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:14 AM	4
8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:15 AM	10

9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:15 AM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:16 AM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:16 AM	10
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:17 AM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:18 AM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:18 AM	10
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	8/6/2019 12:34:18 AM	10
			94 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

**A.** Did the district answer '**No**' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is **F for Substandard Achievement** regardless of points earned.

**B.** Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)

<b>A = Superior</b>	90-100
---------------------	--------

<b>B = Above Standard</b>	80-89
---------------------------	-------

<b>C = Meets Standard</b>	60-79
---------------------------	-------

<b>F = Substandard Achievement</b>	<60
------------------------------------	-----

**No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.**

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.7.1.0



**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas**

**2017-2018 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2016-2017 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

<b>Name: <span style="color: red;">EANES ISD(227909)</span></b>		<b>Publication Level 1:</b> 8/6/2018 2:48:24 PM	
<b>Status: <span style="color: red;">Passed</span></b>		<b>Publication Level 2:</b> 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM	
<b>Rating:</b> A = Superior		<b>Last Updated:</b> 8/8/2018 12:11:29 PM	
<b>District Score:</b> 96		<b>Passing Score:</b> 60	
#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	<u>Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:47 AM	Yes
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.		
2.A	<u>Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:47 AM	Yes
2.B	<u>Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:47 AM	Yes



	<u>financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)</u>		
3	<u>Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:47 AM	Yes
4	<u>Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:47 AM	Yes
5	<u>Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:48 AM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
6	<u>Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:48 AM	10
7	<u>Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:48 AM	6

8	<u>Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:48 AM	10
9	<u>Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:49 AM	10
10	<u>Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:49 AM	10
11	<u>Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:49 AM	10
12	<u>Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)</u>	6/19/2018 11:29:54 AM	10
13	<u>Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:50 AM	10
14	<u>Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:50 AM	10
15	<u>Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?</u>	4/20/2018 9:40:50 AM	10
			96 Weighted Sum

		1 Multiplier Sum
		96 Score

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

<b>A.</b>	Did the district answer ' <b>No</b> ' to Indicators 1, 3, 4, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is <b>F for Substandard Achievement</b> regardless of points earned.	
<b>B.</b>	Determine the rating by the applicable number of points. (Indicators 6-15)	
	<b>A = Superior</b>	90-100
	<b>B = Above Standard</b>	80-89
	<b>C = Meets Standard</b>	60-79
	<b>F = Substandard Achievement</b>	<60
<p><b>No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.</b></p>		

Home Page: [Financial Accountability](#) | Send comments or suggestions to [FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov](mailto:FinancialAccountability@tea.texas.gov)

THE [TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY](#)

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

FIRST 5.7.1.0

# Disclosure Requirements

- Copy of Superintendent's Contract

*Published on District site*

- Summary Schedule of Total Reimbursements Received by the Superintendent and each Board Member

<b>Schools FIRST Report</b>								
Total reimbursements or payments made on behalf of current and past Board Members and the Superintendent for Fiscal Year 2017-2018								
For the Twelve-Month Period Ending June 30, 2018								
Description of Reimbursements	Superintendent Dr. Tom Leonard	Board Member Jennifer Champagne	Board Member Julia Webber	Board Member John Havenstrite	Board Member Colleen Jones	Board Member Ellen Balthazar	Board Member Christie Bybee	Board Member Jason Paull
Meals	\$ 1,387.49	\$ 163.20	\$ 140.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 295.00	\$ 83.00	\$ 116.00	\$ 71.00
Lodging	\$ 3,927.89	\$ 1,397.14	\$ 946.91	\$ 384.16	\$ 455.33	\$ 1,138.99	\$ 407.57	\$ 431.45
Transportation	\$ 3,013.87	\$ 126.66	\$ 211.10	\$ 164.54	\$ 84.44	\$ 32.48	\$ 84.44	\$ -
Motor Fuel	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Other	\$ 5,442.46	\$ 1,310.00	\$ 1,310.00	\$ 570.00	\$ 585.00	\$ 1,435.00	\$ 585.00	\$ 395.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 13,771.71</b>	<b>\$ 2,997.00</b>	<b>\$ 2,608.01</b>	<b>\$ 1,168.70</b>	<b>\$ 1,419.77</b>	<b>\$ 2,689.47</b>	<b>\$ 1,193.01</b>	<b>\$ 897.45</b>

- Summary Schedule of the Dollar amount of Compensation received by the Superintendent in exchange for Professional Consulting

*None to Report*

- Total Dollar Amounts of Gifts that had an Economic Value of \$250 or more received by the Superintendent and Board

*None to Report*

- Summary Schedule of the Dollar Amount of Business Transactions Between a Board Member and School District

*None to Report*