# The Single Plan for Student Achievement



School: Santiago Elementary School

**CDS Code:** 30-73635-6085351

**District:** Saddleback Valley Unified School District

Principal: Joe Ledoux Revision Date: 12-12-14

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is a plan of actions to raise the academic performance of all students. California Education Code sections 41507, 41572, and 64001 and the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) require each school to consolidate all school plans for programs funded through the ConApp and ESEA Program Improvement into the SPSA.

For additional information on school programs and how you may become involved locally, please contact the following person:

Contact Person: Joseph N. Ledoux

**Position:** Principal

**Phone Number:** (949) 586-2820

Address: 24982 Rivendell Drive

Lake Forest CA, 92630

E-mail Address: Joseph.Ledoux@svusd.org

The District Governing Board approved this revision of the SPSA on 12-12-14.

#### **School Vision and Mission**

#### Santiago Elementary School's Vision and Mission Statements

Vision:

Saddleback Valley Unified School District students will be college and career ready and demonstrate the 21" century skills ofcritical thinking, communication, collaboration and creativity.

### Goals:

- The Santiago Leadership Team will establish a measurable school wide literacy goal by grade level in non-fiction writing and will provide evidence or increased student achievement among all students and within significant subgroups by June 2015.
- All teachers will incorporate the newly adopted math curriculum into their daily lessons in order to master and use the Common Core State Standards.
- \*Santiago will begin the process to become an AVID certified elementary school.

### **School Profile**

#### School Profile

Since opening 1973, Santiago Elementary has had thousands of children pass through its doors, and now many of our former students currently have children attending Santiago as well. We are a unique school because of our beautiful location in the heart of Lake Forest. When visiting our school, you'll quickly notice that our campus is covered with beautiful eucalyptus trees that help to make our school a warm and inviting place to be. Santiago is viewed as the core of the neighborhood and represents more than just a local elementary school. Together, we stand as a community of learners. We are the Santiago Eagles!

When visiting classroom, it is easy to see Santiago Elementary's comprehensive and challenging instructional program. Strong academics, scholarly attributes, community service and character education are all integrated throughout the curriculum. Students from all walks of life make up and add to the richness and diversity of the Santiago Elementary School population. We are a K-6 elementary school of just over 450 students. Santiago is one of four district schools which offers the Learning Center model for students in grades K-6, whether they are have an Individual Education Plan (IEP) or not.

For the 2014-2015 school year we have 141 English Language Learners (31%), 241 Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students (43%) and 34 students with disabilities (8%). Santiago offers support and assistance for at-risk children through federal Title I funds. Students in grades 4-6 not meeting grade level standards in English Language Arts participate in our Read 180/System 44 literacy intervention program. Students still in the process of acquiring English receive 30 minutes of English Development instruction. Some of these programs include an after school Extended Learning Time (ELT). The Santiago staff is truly determined and committed to helping all students achieve.

As a result, not only do our students achieve excellent results on standardized tests, but they also enjoy a rich and rigorous instructional program. All students benefit from the school-wide use of technology, art, music, physical education, science programs, and after school enrichment classes that serve to support the Common Core State Standards (CCSS).

The driving force of our success lies with the talents and energies of our dedicated staff, parents and community. Santiago teachers are committed to providing all students with a solid academic foundation. Working together with parents and community members, the staff recognizes the importance of establishing and maintaining a strong partnership between home and school.

Programs offered at Santiago for students in grades K-6 include:

- Library
- Computer Lab (Mac)
- English Language Development (ELD)
- Primary and Upper Grade Physical Education
- Art Masters
- Music, Instrumental and Performing Arts (Grades 4-6)
- Extended Learning Time (ELT)
- Use of Chromebooks, iPads, iPods, and SmartBoards in every classroom

Other programs offered at Santiago for qualifying students:

- Resources Specialist Program (RSP)
- Occupational Therapy (OT)
- Speech and Language (S & L)
- Adaptive PE (APE)
- Physical Therapy (PT)
- School Psychologist
- Targeted Intervention Classes
- Orange County Mental Health

All classes are located in permanent buildings and each classroom is provided with Smart Boards, speakers, a lapel microphone and a student microphone. TV/VCR/DVD and cable connections. All students in grades 1-6 are provided with either a Chromebook, iPad, or iPod. These devices are networked with systems inside and outside our school. Our multi-purpose room includes a full-sized stage complete with professional microphones, speakers, and a sound-mixing booth. We also have the technology to broadcast video productions to all the classrooms at our school.

### **Academic Performance Index by Student Group**

		API GROWTH BY STUDENT GROUP													
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students		White			African-American			Asian						
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014			
Number Included	394	386		174	145		6	3		20	23				
Growth API	856	844		896	883					958	943				
Base API	873	856		904	895					971	958				
Target	А	А		А	А										
Growth	-17	-12		-8	-12										
Met Target	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes										

					API GRO	WTH BY S	TUDENT (	GROUP				
PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic				English Learners		Socioeconomically Disadvantaged			Students with Disabilities		
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Number Included	158	170		117	123		154	178		51	57	
Growth API	801	790		785	763		792	787		770	751	
Base API	804	799		806	784		796	792		788	772	
Target	А	1		А	5		4	5				
Growth	-3	-9		-21	-21		-4	-5				
Met Target	Yes	No		No	No		No	No				

### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. In looking at the test data from 2012 to 2013 it appears there is a need for increased English Language Learner support. Our EL group dropped 21 points year over year.
- 2. The "White" subgroup dropped as well which indicates there is a need overall to increase student learning outcomes.

# **English-Language Arts Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

		EN	IGLISH-L	ANGUA	GE ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDE	NT GRO	JP	
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students		White			Afric	an-Ame	rican		Asian		
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	99	100		99	99		100	100		100	100	
Number At or Above Proficient	276	235		145	106					19	19	
Percent At or Above Proficient	70.2	61.0		83.3	73.6					95.0	82.6	
AYP Target: ES/MS	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	No	No		Yes	No							

		EN	IGLISH-L	ANGUA	GE ARTS	PERFOR	MANCE	DATA B	Y STUDE	NT GRO	JP	
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic		English Learners			l	econom advanta	•	Students with Disabilities			
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	98	100		97	100		98	100		95	99	
Number At or Above Proficient	82	82		59	48		82	84		28	27	
Percent At or Above Proficient	51.9	48.2		50.4	39.0		53.2	47.2		56.0	48.2	
AYP Target: ES/MS	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0	78.4	89.2	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0	77.8	88.9	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	No	No		No	No		Yes	No				

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. We did not make our AYP goals in all sub groups. We dropped the most in out ELL significant sub group.

### **Mathematics Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GF	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	All Students		White			Afric	an-Ame	rican	Asian			
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	99	100		99	99		100	100		100	100	
Number At or Above Proficient	251	251		131	109					18	20	
Percent At or Above Proficient	63.7	65.2		75.3	75.7					90.0	87.0	
AYP Target: ES/MS	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	No	Yes		Yes	Yes							

			MAT	HEMATI	CS PERF	ORMAN	CE DATA	BY STU	DENT GF	ROUP		
AYP PROFICIENCY LEVEL	Hispanic		English Learners				econom advanta	•	Students with Disabilities			
	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014	2012	2013	2014
Participation Rate	98	100		97	100		98	100		97	99	
Number At or Above Proficient	78	90		57	59		69	90		27	25	
Percent At or Above Proficient	49.4	52.9		48.7	48.0		44.8	50.6		52.9	44.6	
AYP Target: ES/MS	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0	79.0	89.5	100.0
AYP Target: HS	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0	77.4	88.7	100.0
Met AYP Criteria	No	Yes	_	No	No	_	No	Yes	_			

### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. We met the AYP in most of our significant sub groups which indicates our overall math instruction is very solid.
- 2. We dropped slightly in our ELL subgroup which indicates a need to improve our instruction or delivery of math concepts.

### **CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results**

		2013-14 CELDT (Annual Assessment) Results												
Grade	Advanced E		Early A	Early Advanced		Intermediate		Early Intermediate		nning	Number Tested			
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#			
К					******	***	******	***			*****			
1	3	13	4	17	11	46	6	25			24			
2	3	13	5	21	10	42	4	17	2	8	24			
3	5	19	9	35	7	27	5	19			26			
4	9	33	10	37	7	26	1	4			27			
5	4	22	5	28	9	50					18			
6	9	47	5	26	5	26					19			
Total	33	24	38	27	50	36	17	12	2	1	140			

#### Conclusions based on this data:

- 1. The CELDT data indicates we are doing a good job of moving students over to the "Early Advanced" and "Advanced" level.
- 2. However, the data also indicates that we have a significant number of ELLs in the "Intermediate" level that we need to move over to the "EA" and "Adv" level group.

### **Title III Accountability (School Data)**

		Annual Growth	
AMAO 1	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Number of Annual Testers	143	144	140
Percent with Prior Year Data	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Number in Cohort	143	144	140
Number Met	102	84	100
Percent Met	71.3%	58.3%	71.4%
NCLB Target	56.0	57.5	59.0
Met Target	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Attaining English Proficiency										
AMAO 2	201	1-12	201	2-13	2013-14 Years of EL instruction							
	Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL	instruction								
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More						
Number in Cohort	148	34	154	33	129	41						
Number Met	41	26	43	13	46	24						
Percent Met	27.7%	76.5%	27.9%	39.4%	35.7%	58.5%						
NCLB Target	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0	22.8	49.0						
Met Target	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes						

4440.3	Adequate \	early Progress for English Learne	er Subgroup
AMAO 3	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
English-Language Arts			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	
Mathematics			
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	

### Conclusions based on this data:

1. You could infer that EL instruction has improved year over year from 58% (2012) to 71% (2013) which is back to where it was in 2012. Our school met all of its AMAO goals last school year.

# **Title III Accountability (District Data)**

AN404		Annual Growth	
AMAO 1	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Number of Annual Testers	3,879	3,826	3804
Percent with Prior Year Data	99.7	100.0	100.0
Number in Cohort	3,869	3,825	3804
Number Met	2,580	2,268	2382
Percent Met	66.7	59.3	62.6
NCLB Target	56.0	57.5	59.0
Met Target	Yes	Yes	Yes

		Attaining English Proficiency										
AMAO 2	201	1-12	201	2-13	2013-14 Years of EL instruction							
	Years of EL	instruction	Years of EL	instruction								
	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More	Less Than 5	5 Or More						
Number in Cohort	2,944	1,525	2,882	1,564	2885	1616						
Number Met	921	930	772	883	798	935						
Percent Met	31.3	61.0	26.8	56.5	27.7	57.9						
NCLB Target	20.1	45.1	21.4	47.0	22.8	49.0						
Met Target	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes						

	Adequate Yearly Progress for English Learner Subgroup at the LEA Level					
AMAO 3	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
English-Language Arts						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	No			
Mathematics						
Met Participation Rate	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Met Percent Proficient or Above	No	No	No			
Met Target for AMAO 3	No	No	No			

### Conclusions based on this data:

1.

#### School Goal #1

The Site Leadership Team and School Site Council of Santiago Elementary School have analyzed student performance data from the SVUSD LCAP (Saddleback Valley Unified School District Local Control and Accountability Plan), Title III Accountability data, the SVUSD performance task writing assessments, Aeries (student information system), CALPADS (California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System), SEIS (Special Education Information System), and relevant data collected specific to the school site. The Leadership Team and the School Site Council have reviewed the four LCAP goals and have established the following measurable site goals for the 2014-15 school year which align with SVUSD LCAP goals and reflect identified areas of growth for 452 School students.

Sι	JBJE	CT:	<b>English</b>	Language	Arts

#### LCAP GOAL:

Improve literacy in all content areas.

### **LCAP Metric:**

85 percent of schools will meet their site literacy goals (non-fiction writing, or academic vocabulary)

#### SITE GOAL #1:

Percentage of all students scoring proficient or higher in Oct. 14 will increase from 45 % to 65 % by May 29, 2015 as measured by Writing Performance Task administered in May 2015.

### Goals for LCAP Significant Subgroups Y= Significant N = Not Significant

	5 1 5
Y >29	Percentage of English Learners scoring proficient or higher in non-fiction writing will increase from 45 % to 65 % by May 29, 2015 by May 29, 2015 as measured by Writing performance tasks administered in May 2015.
Y >29	Percentage of socioeconomically disadvantaged students scoring proficient or higher in non-fiction writing will increase from 45 % to 65 % by May 29, 2015 as measured by Writing performance tasks administered in May 2015.
Y >29	Percentage of students with disabilities scoring proficient or higher in non-fiction writing will increase from 40 % to 60 % by May 29, 2015 as measured by Writing Performance Tasks administered in May 2015.
N >14	Percentage of foster youth scoring proficient or higher in will increase from % to % by May 29,2015 as measured by administered in May 2015.

Actions to be Taken	The aller	Person(s)		Proposed Expe	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Title I staff (Teacher or IA) will provide intervention services for students at risk of not meeting grade level standards in grades 1st-6th grade utilizing District approved intervention programs and materials.	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Title I Teacher: Read 180/System 44	Develop specific criteria for identifying, selecting, implementing, monitoring, and evaluating research-based reading intervention programs that are designed to meet individual literacy needs of struggling students and English learners, measure growth, and accelerate reading comprehension	1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I	42,530
Provide lower grade intervention teacher for 1st and 2nd grade	September 2014- December 2015	Intervention Teacher		1000-1999: Certificated Personnel Salaries	Title I	25,375
Provide a Health Clerk for student, parent, front office and classroom support for the health and safety of our students.	September 2014- December 2015	Health Clerk		2000-2999: Classified Personnel Salaries	Title I	4,303
Literacy Coach will work with teachers on incorporating strategies into the I language arts program to ensure ' that all students meet mastery of language arts standards.	September 2014- December 2015	Literacy Coach				

Actions to be Taken	The aller	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Necessary technology hardware, software, and programs will be purchased to support the mastery of CCSS for all students, including EL's, using a variety of teaching strategies.	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Technology Coordinator and Principal	Purchase and instruction of technology related to instruction. This includes SMART boards, laptops, computers, Chrome books, iPad's, iPod's, and all Itechnology devices or other software/hardware related items needed to achieve our goal in literacy.		Title I	22,400
					Common Core	4,000
					None Specified	
Instructional materials will be purchased to support the teaching of state standards using a variety of learning modalities.		Principal	Select and/or develop reading intervention strategies, materials and resources for all students.		Title I	500
Students, including EL's, not meeting grade level standards will be identified for Extended Learning Time (ELT) .	September 2014- December 2015	Certificated Staff			Title I	3,500
Anita Archer Training	December 2014	Certificated Staff			Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	450

Actions to be Taken	The aller	Person(s)		enditure(s)		
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Advancement Via Individual Determination Training (AVID)	September 2014- December 2015	Certificated Staff	To train certificated staff in AVID principles and instructional approaches in order to become an AVID certified school		LCFF - Supplemental	4250
					Title I Part A: Professional Development (PI Schools)	4000
Walk Through @ Gates/Olivewood	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Certificated Staff	Schedule and provide feedback for Walk Throughs at two elementary school to look for ELL strategies.		Title I	1,000

#### School Goal #2

The Site Leadership Team and School Site Council of Santiago Elementary School have analyzed student performance data from the SVUSD LCAP (Saddleback Valley Unified School District Local Control and Accountability Plan), Title III Accountability data, the SVUSD performance task writing assessments, Aeries (student information system), CALPADS (California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System), SEIS (Special Education Information System), and relevant data collected specific to the school site. The Leadership Team and the School Site Council have reviewed the four LCAP goals and have established the following measurable site goals for the 2014-15 school year which align with SVUSD LCAP goals and reflect identified areas of growth for 452 School students.

SUBJECT: Math

#### **LCAP GOAL:**

Improve student proficiency in all subject areas: English/language arts, mathematics, social sciences, visual and performing arts, health, physical education, world languages, and career technical education.

#### **LCAP Metric:**

**SVUSD Report Cards** 

#### SITE GOAL #2:

The overall percentage of Santiago students who are achieving a Level 3 (Meeting or Exceeding) standards in the mathematical section of the SVUSD report card will increase from 45% based on trimester I report card to 65% based on trimester III report card given in June 2015.

### Goals for Significant Subgroups Y= Significant N = Not Significant

Y >29	EL	The percentage of ELL students will increase from 35% to 55% in Level 3 (Meeting or Exceeding) standards in the mathematical section of the SVUSD report card.
Y >29	SED	The percentage of SED students will increase from 40% to 60% in Level 3 (Meeting or Exceeding) standards in the mathematical section of the SVUSD report card.
Y >29	SWD	The percentage of SWD students will increase from 25% to 45% in Level 3 (Meeting or Exceeding) standards in the mathematical section of the SVUSD report card.

EL = English Learners; SED = Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students; SWD = Students with Disabilities; FY = Foster Youth. Goal designed as a <u>S</u> (strategic/specify) <u>M</u> (measurable) <u>A</u> (attainable) <u>R</u> (results-oriented) <u>T</u> (timebound) Goal.

Actions to be Taken	Time aline	Person(s)		Proposed Exp	enditure(s)	
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Use the DMR process to collect and chart schoolwide data	Sept. 2014-June 2015	Building Leadership Team SSC ELAC	Collect and chart following data:  Pre-assessment data  Fluency assessment data  Illuminate significant subgroup data			0
Use the DMR process to analyze and prioritize needs	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Building Leadership Team SSC ELAC	Identify strengths and needs in the following areas:  Professional Development/Colla boration  Staffing Intervention/Enrich ment Significant subgroup populations Curriculum/Materia Is Technology Parent Involvement		Title I	2500
Establish the school-wide mathematics goal for all students and targeted subgroup populations using the DMR process	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Building Leadership Team SSC ELAC	The percentage of Santiago students who are achieving a Level 3 (Meeting or Exceeding) standards in the math section of the SVUSD report card will increase from 45% based on trimester I report card to 65% based on trimester III report card given in June 2015.		Title I	100

Actions to be Taken	Time aline	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	
					None Specified		
Use the DT cycle to monitor and evaluate strategy implementation and the impact on adult and student behavior	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Grade Level Teams Support Staff Principal	Monitor and evaluate strategy implementation and the impact on adult and student behavior in the following ways: Each trimester- • SST meetings Bi-monthly- · Grade level meetings to monitor student progress through common formative assessment Weekly- • Teacher and/or Principal Walk-Throughs · Monitor selected intervention/enrichment		LCFF - Supplemental	1,500	

#### School Goal #3

The Site Leadership Team and School Site Council of Santiago Elementary School have analyzed student performance data from the SVUSD LCAP (Saddleback Valley Unified School District Local Control and Accountability Plan), Title III Accountability data, the SVUSD performance task writing assessments, Aeries (student information system), CALPADS (California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System), SEIS (Special Education Information System), and relevant data collected specific to the school site. The Leadership Team and the School Site Council have reviewed the four LCAP goals and have established the following measurable site goals for the 2014-15 school year which align with SVUSD LCAP goals and reflect identified areas of growth for 452 School students.

#### **SUBJECT: Student and Parent Involvement**

#### **LCAP GOAL:**

Increase student engagement and parent involvement

#### **LCAP Metrics:**

100% of schools will hold at least two School Site Council Meetings, 100% of schools will hold at least four English Learner Advisory Committee Meetings.

#### SITE GOAL #3:

By June 23, 2015 Santiago Elementary School will hold at least two School Site Council Meetings as measured by the submission of the agenda and the minutes, including the names of all in attendance at the meeting, for those meetings to the Assistant Superintendent of Educational Services.

### **Goals for Significant Subgroups**

EL

By June 23, 2015 Santiago Elementary School will hold at least four English Learner Advisory Committee Meetings as measured by the submission of the agenda and the minutes, including the names of all in attendance at the meeting, for those meetings to the Coordinator of Services for English Learners.

Actions to be Taken	I.	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	
Four English Language Advisory Committee (ELAC) meetings	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Parent Advocates/Principal	Santiago's parent advocate and community liaison will plan and hold four ELAC meeting throughout the school year with assistance from the principal.		Title I Part A: Parent Involvement	500	

Actions to be Taken	Therefore	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)				
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount	
At least two School Site Council meetings throughout the 2014-2015 school year	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Parents, Principal, and Teachers	Stake holders will study student test scores, district assessments, and parent surveys in order to make informed decisions on our school's overall direction.				
Two grade levels will participate in the Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) program.	Sept. 2014-Dec. 2015	Parents, Principal, Students, & Teachers	Teachers and the principal will attend AVID training in June 2015. Santiago is continuing to work on becoming an AVID Elementary certified school.		Title I Part A: Disadvantaged Students	6000	
					Title II Part A: Improving Teacher Quality	1500	
Parent Educational Math Night	Feb. 2015	Parents, Student, Teachers, Principal	Teachers, along with the Santiago principal, will plan a Parent Education Night centering around the implementation of the new CCSS math standards	None Specified	Title I	400	

#### School Goal #4

The Site Leadership Team and School Site Council of Santiago Elementary School have analyzed student performance data from the SVUSD LCAP (Saddleback Valley Unified School District Local Control and Accountability Plan), Title III Accountability data, the SVUSD performance task writing assessments, Aeries (student information system), CALPADS (California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System), SEIS (Special Education Information System), and relevant data collected specific to the school site. The Leadership Team and the School Site Council have reviewed the four LCAP goals and have established the following measurable site goals for the 2014-15 school year which align with SVUSD LCAP goals and reflect identified areas of growth for 452 School students.

#### **SUBJECT: Increase Student Engagement**

#### **LCAP GOAL:**

Increase student engagement and parent involvement

#### **LCAP Metric:**

Parent surveys, attendance records, report cards, SBAC test scores

#### SITE GOAL #4:

Santiago students, teachers, and parents will strive to decrease the amount of absenteeism and overall suspensions while increasing the overall amount of student engagement and participation.

### **Goals for Significant Subgroups Y= Significant N = Not Significant**

Y >29	EL	Four ELAC meetings will be held throughout the 2014-2015 school year.
Y >29	SED	Title I parent education nights
Y >29	SWD	Monthly Student Study Team (SST) meetings will be held to address students' attendance and academic achievement concerns.

EL = English Learners; SED = Socioeconomically Disadvantaged Students; SWD = Students with Disabilities; FY = Foster Youth. Goal designed as a <u>S</u> (strategic/specify) <u>M</u> (measurable) <u>A</u> (attainable) <u>R</u> (results-oriented) **T** (timebound) Goal.

Actions to be Taken	Ti Ii	Person(s)	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline	Responsible	Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount
Weekly Positive Behavior Intervention System (PBIS) assemblies, Monthly Character Counts Awards Assemblies, Trimester Honor Roll Assemblies, Anti-Bullying Assemblies	2015	Teachers and Principal	Parents will be invited to awards ceremonies weekly monthly, and every trimester.		LCFF - Supplemental	150

# **Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance**

The following actions and related expenditures support this site program goal and will be performed as a centralized service. Note: the total amount for each categorical program in this section must be aligned with the Consolidated Application.

### **Centralized Service Goal #1**

SUBJECT: Centralized Services for Planned Improvements in Student Performance in	
SCHOOL GOAL #1:	

Actions to be Taken		Person(s) Responsible	Proposed Expenditure(s)			
to Reach This Goal	Timeline		Description	Туре	Funding Source	Amount

### **School Site Council Membership**

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

Name of Members	Principal	Classroom Teacher	Other School Staff	Parent or Community Member	Secondary Students
Joseph N. Ledoux	х				
Sharon Bouas		Х			
Marianne Mirth		Х			
Carol Reynolds		х			
Linda Kennedy			X		
Debbie Cain				Х	
Karen Kantas				Х	
Maria Lupotti				Х	
Shawn Mullen				Х	
Erika Zanki				Х	
Numbers of members of each category:	1	3	1	5	

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

### **Recommendations and Assurances**

The school site council (SSC) recommends this school plan and Proposed Expenditure(s)s to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

- 1. The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
- 2. The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.
- 3. The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan (Check those that apply):

	State Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
X	English Learner Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Special Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Gifted and Talented Education Program Advisory Committee	
		Signature
X	District/School Liaison Team for schools in Program Improvement	
		Signature
	Compensatory Education Advisory Committee	
		Signature
	Departmental Advisory Committee (secondary)	
		Signature
	Other committees established by the school or district (list):	
		Signature

- 4. The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.
- 5. This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.
- 6. This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 12-12-14.

Attested:

Joe Ledoux		
Typed Name of School Principal	Signature of School Principal	Date
Erika Zanki		
Typed Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chairperson	Date