TEXAS FRAMEWORK: VISION

VISION 1: The Board works collaboratively with the Superintendent to develop the vision and student outcome goals

Does Not Meet Focus	Preparing To Focus	Approaches Focus	Meets Focus 12	Masters Focus
Meet Focus The Board does not meet focus if any of the following conditions are true: The Board does not have a vision. The Board does not have goals. The Board does not consistently distinguish between inputs (resources and activities invested in a particular program or strategy; usually knowable at the beginning of a cycle; a measure of effort applied), outputs (the result of a particular set of inputs; usually			FocusAndThe goals are all studentoutcome goals (they alldescribe what studentsknow or are able to do)as distinct from adultinputs, adult outputs,student inputs, andstudent outputs.All Board Members andthe Superintendentagree that the studentoutcome goals areall SMART (specific,measurable, attainable,results-focused, time-bound), will challengethe organization, and willrequire adult behavior	O 15
knowable in the midst of a cycle; a measure of the implementation of the program or strategy), and outcomes (the impact of the program or strategy; usually knowable at the end of a cycle; a measure of the effect on the intended beneficiary).	The Board has adopted 1 to 5 goals. Three is the recommended number.		change. The Board relied on a root cause analysis, comprehensive student needs assessment, and/ or similar research- based tool to inform identification of and prioritization of potential student outcome goals.	All Board Members agree that the Board's student outcome goals are the Superintendent's first priority for resource allocation.

Lone Star Governance | **15**

Does Not	Preparing To P	Approaches A	Meets 🖌 12	Masters R 15
Meet Focus		Focus	Focus	Focus
The Board does not meet focus if any of the following conditions are true: The Board does not have goal progress measures (GPMs) (specific graph- plottable indicators used to determine if the goal is likely to be met or not). The Board is treating annual targets for the student outcome goals as if they are GPMs (annual targets for student outcome goals are never goal progress measures).	The Board is preparing to focus if all of the following conditions are true: The Board has Board- adopted GPMs for each student outcome goal. The Superintendent owned the GPM development process while working collaboratively with the Board. The current statuses of the GPMs that were adopted are able to be updated multiple times during each school year.	And Each GPM includes a baseline, a target, a population, and a deadline. The Board has adopted 1 to 3 GPMs for each student outcome goal. The deadline for each GPM to reach target is 1 to 5 years away. GPM deadlines that are 1 to 3 years away are recommended. The Board has adopted an annual target for each GPM in addition to its deadline target.	And The GPMs are all student outputs or student outcomes, as distinct from adult inputs, adult outputs, and student inputs. GPMs are most commonly student outputs. All Board Members and the Superintendent agree that the GPMs are all SMART. All Board Members and the Superintendent agree that the GPMs are all predictive of their respective student outcome goals, and are influenceable by the Superintendent. Predictive suggests that there is some evidence of a correlation between the progress measure and the goal. Influenceable suggests that the Superintendent has authority over roughly 80% of whatever the progress measure is measuring.	And Students, families, teachers, and community members were involved in the GPM development process in such a way that there is broad community ownership of the Board's GPMs.

16 | Lone Star Governance

VISION 3: The Board has adopted a vision for what student outcomes will be and has adopted constraints aligned with that vision					
Does Not Meet Focus	Preparing To Focus	Approaches Focus	Meets Focus 9	Masters Focus	
The Board does not meet focus if any of the following conditions are true: The Board does not have a vision. The Board does not have constraints (specific prohibitions on Superintendent authority that are aligned with the vision and grounded in community values).	The Board is preparing to focus if all of the following conditions are true: The Board has Board- adopted constraints. The Board owned the vision development process while working collaboratively with the Superintendent. The Board owned the constraint development process while working collaboratively with the Superintendent. The Board has adopted 1 to 5 constraints. Three is the recommended number.	And Each constraint describes a single operational action or class of actions the Superintendent may not use or allow.	And All Board Members and the Superintendent agree that the constraints will challenge the organization, and will require adult behavior change. Separate from the constraints on the Superintendent's authority, the Board has adopted 3 to 5 self-constraints on its own behavior and self- evaluates against one of them each month. The Board, where appropriate, relied on a root cause analysis, comprehensive student needs assessment, and/ or relevant research that suggests alignment with the vision to inform identification of and prioritization of potential constraints.	And The Board, in collaboration with the Superintendent, has adopted one or more theories of action (high level strategic constraints to which all school system inputs and outputs must be aligned; they do not have CPMs) to drive overall strategic direction. Research has been cited for each theory of action. Students, families, teachers, and community members were involved in the vision and constraint development process in such a manner that there is broad community ownership of the Board's vision and constraints.	

Lone Star Governance | **17**

VISION 4: The Board has adopted constraint progress measures (CPMs) aligned to each constraint						
Does Not Meet Focus	Preparing To P.5	Approaches Focus		Meets Focus	4	Masters S Focus
The Board does not meet focus if any of the following conditions are true: The Board does not have constraint progress measures (CPMs) (specific graph- plottable indicators used to determine if the constraint is likely to be honored or not).	The Board is preparing to focus if all of the following conditions are true:The Board has Board- adopted CPMs for each constraint.The Superintendent owned the CPM development process while working collaboratively with the Board.The current statuses of the CPMs that were adopted are able to be updated multiple times during each school year.	And Each CPM includes a baseline, a target, and deadline. The Board has adopted 1 to 3 CPMs for each constraint. The deadline for each CPM to reach target is 1 to 5 years away. CPM deadlines that are 1 to 3 years away are recommended. The Board has adopted an annual target for ea CPM in addition to its deadline target.	a t a a t a a t a a r a t t c t t t t t t t t t t t t t a a a t t a a a t t a a a t t t a a a a t	And All Board Members the Superintendent agree that the CPMs all SMART. All Board Members the Superintendent agree that the CPMs all predictive of the respective constrain and are influenceab by the Superintende Predictive suggests there is some evide of a correlation bet the progress measu and the constraint. Influenceable sugge that the Superinten has authority over roughly 80% of wha the progress measu measuring.	and and s are ir nts, ole ent. that ence ween ure ests ident tever	And Students, families, teachers, and community members were involved in the CPM development process in such a manner that there is broad community ownership of the Board's CPMs.