

## ADDENDUM #3

## LANDMARK FACILITIES GROUP, INC.

DATE:	5-20-19	Norwalk 252 East Avenue
<b>PROJECT:</b>	Building Q Plumbing Upgrades Bid #2251-19	Norwalk, CT 06855 Tel (203) 866-4626 Fax (203) 866-8019
PREPARER:	Richard Sileo	
DISTRIBUTION:	Eugene Watts, Karen Tedesco – GPS Marc Barbian – Encon Frank Sabia – Sal Sabia Electric Evan Burchell – Wernert Construction	
# OF PAGES:	2	

NOTE: THIS INFORMATION IS TO BE USED AS A SUPPLEMENT TO THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR FULL COMPLIANCE WITH ALL ORIGINAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING ALL LOCAL AND STATE CODES.

Please note the following changes to the project scope:

- 1. Due to a high water table in this area, all disturbance of the existing concrete slab shall be deleted from the project. On drawing P-100, this includes deletion of the new floor drain, underground sanitary piping, and plastic basin for P-2. Specified pumps shall be set on existing floor slab. Contractor shall furnish and install (1) line size check valve, (1) isolation valve, and (1) float assembly (Zoeller PN 10-1965) for each pump. Piping that enters pit from existing floor drain (above pit) shall be routed above the slab to the new P-2 pumps.
- 2. Contractor shall engage factory representative(s) for testing and startup of P-2. Special focus shall be placed on setup height of float assembly for pump start/stop.

The following questions have been asked and answered:

Q1: On drawing P-300 in section 3.5, subsection C, the piping schedule calls for the above ground sanitary piping to be cast iron no hub. The existing piping is PVC schedule 80. Should this piping be schedule 80 PVC?

A1: Existing piping at the inlet of the existing ejector tank is cast iron, so contractor shall provide this material (as specified). It shall be noted that following installation of ownerprovided pump in main sanitary ejector, contractor shall make connection to existing Sched 80 PVC piping at tank discharge.

Q2: On P-100 it states that the existing pad is to be removed. Is the pad to be removed also and the floor patched?

A2: So as to avoid disturbing the floor slab in any way, existing housekeeping pad shall remain.

Q3: On drawing P-100 the notes state "1. All work related to installing new secondary RPZ shall be included as an Alternate. 2. All work related to installing the new main ejector pumps shall be included as an Alternate." Should be of these items be included in Alternate 1 as stated on drawing T-002 or should they be handed as two separate alternates?

A3: Please include separate line item in bid for both tasks.

Best of luck,

hihad M. Aler

Richard A. Sileo, P.E. Senior Engineer Landmark Facilities Group, Inc. 252 East Ave. Norwalk, CT 06855 (203) 866-4626 Ext 311 www.lfginc.com